




- (51) **International Patent Classification:**  
G06N 5/02 (2006.01)
- (21) **International Application Number:**  
PCT/IB2016/053051
- (22) **International Filing Date:**  
25 May 2016 (25.05.2016)
- (25) **Filing Language:** English
- (26) **Publication Language:** English
- (30) **Priority Data:**  
62/166,025 25 May 2015 (25.05.2015) US
- (71) **Applicant:** AGROMENTUM LTD. [IL/IL]; 16 Masaryk st., 6416507 Tel - Aviv (IL).
- (72) **Inventors:** BIRGER, Iftach; Kfar Kish, 1933000 Kfar Kish (IL). BACHAR, Boaz; 16 Masaryk St., 6416507 Tel - Aviv (IL).
- (74) **Agent:** FOGEL, Ronny; 8 Gordon Street, 5323512 Givatayim (IL).
- (81) **Designated States** (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM,

AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) **Designated States** (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

**Published:**  
— with international search report (Art. 21(3))



WO 2016/189468 A1

(54) **Title:** CLOSED LOOP INTEGRATED PEST MANAGEMENT




Fig. 1A

(57) **Abstract:** A crops management system comprising: a system server storing at least one database comprising past and present data relating to at least one resource, crop and procedure; at least one mobile communication device running a user application adapted for different functionalities, the at least one mobile communication device is configured to communicate bi-directionally with the system server; at least one sensor communicating with the system server, the at least one sensor adapted to sense at least one parameter, the at least one sensor selected from the group consisting of fixed sensors and mobile sensors; and at least one external electronic data source communicating with the system server, the system configured to aggregate data received from the at least one data source relating to a plurality of crops and from the at least one mobile device, and produce at least one of reports, forecasts, work plans and performance control for at least one resource, relating to at least one crop.

## CLOSED LOOP INTEGRATED PEST MANAGEMENT

### FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention generally relates to crop management and specifically to a closed loop integrated pest management system and method.

### 5 BACKGROUND

Pest control is at least as old as agriculture, as there has always been a need to keep crops' health. In order to maximize food production, it is advantageous to protect crops from pests by optimizing pesticides implementation and preventing pest infestation.

10 There is a long felt need for a closed loop pest management system which provides a platform for monitoring and recommending how to treat a lot based on its history data and various inputs from various sources and recording the recommended implementation for further use.

### SUMMARY

15 According to an aspect of the present invention there is provided a crops management system comprising: a system server storing at least one database comprising past and present data relating to at least one resource, crop and procedure; at least one mobile communication device running a user application adapted for different functionalities, the at least one mobile communication device is configured to communicate bi-directionally with the system server; at least one sensor communicating with the system  
20 server, the at least one sensor adapted to sense at least one parameter, the at least one sensor selected from the group consisting of fixed sensors and mobile sensors; and at least one external electronic data source communicating with the system server, the system configured to aggregate data received from the at least one data source relating to a plurality of crops and from the at least one mobile device, and produce at  
25 least one of reports, forecasts, work plans and performance control for at least one resource, relating to at least one crop.

The data relating to at least one resource may comprise at least one of weather conditions, season, regulation demands and pesticide prices.

The data relating to crops may comprise at least one of crop type, phenologic stage, monitoring results and LAI (Leaf Area Index) and crop location.

- 5 The data relating to procedures may comprise crops and execution data for pest control.

The fixed sensors may be selected from the group consisting of soil moisture sensors, temperature sensors and at least one camera.

- 10 The mobile sensors may be selected from the group consisting of GPS sensors and at least one camera.

The different functionalities may comprise at least one of pest control and directing.

The user application may comprise a pest control advisor application.

The external sources may be selected from the group consisting of weather forecast, season, regulation demands and pesticide prices sources.

- 15 The reports may comprise at least one of pest distribution maps and implementation maps.

The forecasts may comprise pest distribution forecasts.

- 20 According to another aspect of the present invention there is provided a method of controlling crops comprising: providing a system server storing at least one database comprising past and present data relating to at least one resource, crops and procedures; at least one mobile communication device running a user application adapted for different functionalities, the at least one mobile communication device communicating bi-directionally with the system server; at least one sensor communicating with the system server, the at least one sensor adapted to sense at  
25 least one parameter, the at least one sensor selected from the group consisting of fixed sensors and mobile sensors; and at least one external electronic data source

communicating with the system server, receiving from the at least one mobile communication device current data relating to a crop or a lot; receiving from the at least one sensor current data relating to the crop or the lot; receiving from the at last one external electronic data source data relating to external conditions; communicating to  
5 the at least one mobile communication device instructions relating to the crop or the lot; and aggregating data relating to the crop, received from the at least one data source and from the at least one mobile communication device and producing at least one of reports, forecasts, work plans and performance control for at least one resource, relating to the crop.

10 The relating to at least one resource may comprise at least one of carrier type, sprayer type nozzles' pressure carrier speed and sprayer speed.

The data relating to the crop may comprise at least one of crop type, phenologic stage, monitoring results and LAI (Leaf Area Index) and crop location.

15 The method may further comprise capturing a crop with a mobile camera and processing the image to compute the crop's phenologic stage.

The method may further comprise receiving satellite images of a crop and processing the image to compute the crop's LAI (Leaf Area Index).

The method may further comprise producing crops and execution data for pest control.

20 The fixed sensors may be selected from the group consisting of soil moisture sensors, temperature sensors and at least one camera.

The mobile sensors may be selected from the group consisting of GPS sensors and at least one camera.

The mobile sensors may be mounted on a carrier.

The different functionalities may comprise at least one of pest control and directing.

25 The user application may comprise a pest control advisor application.

The external sources may be selected from the group consisting of weather forecast, season, regulation demands and pesticide prices sources.

The producing reports may comprise at least one of pest distribution maps and implementation maps.

- 5 The producing forecasts may comprise producing pest distribution forecasts based at least on the pest distribution maps, data from the at least one external source and the past data.

### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

- 10 For better understanding of the invention and to show how the same may be carried into effect, reference will now be made, purely by way of example, to the accompanying drawings.

With specific reference now to the drawings in detail, it is stressed that the particulars shown are by way of example and for purposes of illustrative discussion of the preferred  
15 embodiments of the present invention only, and are presented in the cause of providing what is believed to be the most useful and readily understood description of the principles and conceptual aspects of the invention. In this regard, no attempt is made to show structural details of the invention in more detail than is necessary for a  
fundamental understanding of the invention, the description taken with the drawings  
20 making apparent to those skilled in the art how the several forms of the invention may be embodied in practice. In the accompanying drawings:

Fig. 1 is a schematic block diagram of the system according to embodiments of the invention;

- 25 Fig. 1A is a schematic block diagram of the system modules according to embodiments of the present invention;

Fig. 2 is a flowchart showing a monitoring stage according to embodiments of the present invention;

Fig. 2A is a flowchart showing an alternative optional monitoring stage according to embodiments of the present invention;

5 Fig. 3 is a flowchart showing the recommendation stage;

Fig. 4 is a flowchart showing the decision stage according to embodiments of the present invention;

Fig. 5 is a flowchart showing the implementation stage according to embodiments of the present invention; and

10 Fig. 6 shows an exemplary implementation map.

## **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS**

Before explaining at least one embodiment of the invention in detail, it is to be understood that the invention is not limited in its application to the details of construction  
15 and the arrangement of the components set forth in the following description or illustrated in the drawings. The invention is applicable to other embodiments or of being practiced or carried out in various ways. Also, it is to be understood that the phraseology and terminology employed herein is for the purpose of description and should not be regarded as limiting.

20 The present invention offers to provide a closed loop integrated pest management system, which is configured to facilitate crop(s) management, prevent pest infestation and optimize pesticide use. The system receives various inputs from various sources, provides or assists in providing recommendations according to these inputs and the history of the lot to be treated (over time) and monitors the execution of the actions  
25 taken upon the recommendations and their actual influence. The closed loop enables

the system to monitor the lot over time, keep a record of its history and perform statistic calculations in order to improve future recommendations and results.

It will be appreciated that the term "lot" as used herein is not limited to a predefined land lot size as may be determine by a lot owner. The term "lot" may be part of a determined land lot, the land lot, a number of land lots, a block, a plot or any other field, land, area or territory to be managed.

It will be appreciated that the term "crop" as used herein may include vineyard, citrus, orchard or any other crop that needs to be managed.

**Fig. 1** is a schematic block diagram of the system **100** according to embodiments of the present invention comprising a server **110** storing a database **120** which may comprise pesticide types, regulation demands, operators names and/or IDs, lots locations, the history of each lot, the spraying tasks that have been completed in the relevant lot, weather forecast and meteorological data, season, crop types, crop cultivar, pesticide prices, soil types, phenologic stages data and relevant data from relevant websites and from knowledge bases derived from books, articles, academic research centers, etc.; server **110** running a server application (not shown); a mobile communication device **130** running the present invention's user application (UA) **140**, an applicator **150** (e.g. a sprayer) or an applicator carried by a carrier **160** (e.g. tractor) and a stock of pesticides, pheromones, hormones, etc. **170**. The pesticides, pheromones, hormones, etc. **170** may comprise an ID such as an RFID (Radio Frequency Identification) code, barcode, QR (Quick Response) code, etc. The system may optionally comprise a field camera(s) **180** and at least one sensor **175** (temperature, humidity, etc.) mounted in the lot(s) (fixed sensors). The camera(s) comprises remote control means **181**, communication means **182** and a CPU **183**. The mobile device may also comprise a camera **190** (front or back). The applicator **150** may optionally comprise remote control means **151** and location means such as GPS (global positioning system) **152** (mobile sensor). The carrier **160** may optionally comprise remote control means **161** and location means such as GPS (global positioning system) **162**.

According to embodiments of the invention, instead of the UA **140**, a user may launch a designated web page for using the system.

According to embodiments of the invention, the remote control means **161** and the GPS **162** may be installed in the carrier or be plugged as a "plug and play" component.

- 5 According to embodiments of the invention, the system may also derive data from a satellite(s) **195**. The derived data may be for example images of the crop(s), lot(s) or even zoomed images of the crop's canopies for further calculation of Leaf Area Index (LAI), phenologic stage, etc. which may assist in providing a recommendation.

**Fig. 1A** is a schematic flow diagram **100A** of the system modules according to  
10 embodiments of the present invention comprising monitoring **1100**, recommendation **1200**, decision **1300** and implementation **1400** modules.

The monitoring process may be done by a pest control advisor (PCA) (or any other person with the relevant knowledge) carrying a mobile device (MD) having communication capabilities, location means such as GPS (global positioning system)  
15 and optionally a camera (mobile sensors). The MD runs the present invention's user application (UA). The UA does not require a continuous communication line; it may transfer data to the system whenever a connection is available (e.g. internet).

Alternatively, or additionally, the process may be done using the MD's camera or remote devices such as for example a field camera(s), mounted near the plant(s), on the plant  
20 or in the lot, using image processing; satellite(s), or any other device capable of collecting relevant data. According to embodiments of the invention, the camera(s) may be configured to capture images of traps mounted in the lot which are configured to attract pests. According to embodiments of the invention, the collected data or the images captured by the remote device(s) may be analyzed by the PCA from a remote  
25 location (e.g. an office).

According to embodiments of the invention, the MD may be a smartphone, tablet, Personal Digital Assistant (PDA), wearable device such as smart watch or any other device having at least communication capabilities and location means.

**Fig. 2** is a flowchart showing a monitoring stage **1100(1)**, performed, for example, by the PCA according to embodiments of the invention. In step **210**, The UA may derive the PCA's location (e.g. using his mobile device's GPS) and prompt him to select a lot from a number of lots existing within a pre determined proximity to his location.

5 Alternatively in step **215**, the PCA may select a lot. According to the lot's location the system derives from the database the crop's type. In step **220**, the PCA checks relevant parameters such as the phenologic stage (e.g. blossom) and/or the biological stage of the crops in the lot and enters them to the system. Alternatively, in step **225**, the PCA may capture an image(s) of the crops using his mobile device's camera, and the  
10 system, using image processing, automatically recognizes the phenologic stage of the crops. According to embodiments of the invention, step **225** may be performed in addition to step **220**. In step **230**, the PCA receives from the system relevant data regarding the crop (monitoring instructions) according to the phenologic stage, the crop's type and the history of the lot (collected over time from the output of the  
15 implementation stage **1400** as will be explained below in conjunction with **Fig. 5**). According to embodiments of the invention, the system may provide the monitoring instructions based on the lot's degree days calculation (not shown). Total degree days from an appropriate starting date are used to plan the planting of crops and management of pests and pest control timing. The relevant data (monitoring  
20 instructions) the PCA receives may be the types of pests he has to look for and where to look (on the leaves, on the fruits, on the trunk, etc.). The pests' presumed locations are derived from the crop's type and phenologic stage. According to embodiments of the invention, it might be difficult to find pests with the naked eye. In such a case the system may assume that certain types of pests exist on the crop according to the degree days  
25 calculation or other known models related to the relevant pest.

Different types of pests may be counted differently (e.g. by number, coverage percentage, etc.). Different PCAs who use the system may count pests differently, namely, one may count by number (e.g. 8 pests per leaf) and one may count by percentage (e.g. 10% of the leaf). The system may learn over time that one PCA's 8  
30 equals the other PCA's 10%. Alternatively, the system may receive from the PCAs their counting methods in order to be able to convert one PCA's count to another PCA's

count. Back to **Fig. 2**, in step **235**, the PCA monitors the crop according to the relevant data he has received and enters the monitoring results to the system using the UA. The monitoring results may be, for example, the number or the coverage percentage of each pest type at each location.

5 **Fig. 2A** is a flowchart showing an alternative optional monitoring stage **1100(2)**, performed by remote sensing devices (such as **175**, **180** and **195** of **Fig. 1**) according to embodiments of the invention. For the purpose of explanation the process is described in relation to the field camera(s) (**180** of **Fig. 1**). In step **210A**, the camera(s) is ordered by the system to capture an image(s) of a lot to be treated. Using image processing, in  
10 step **220A**, the system extracts the phenologic and/or biological stage of the crop from the image(s). In step **230A**, the camera receives from the system relevant data about the crop (monitoring instructions) according to the phenologic and/or biological stage, the crop's type and the history of the lot (collected over time from the output of the implementation stage **1400** as will be explained below in conjunction with **Fig. 5**). The  
15 relevant data may be the types of pests it has to look for and where to look (on the leaves, on the fruits, on the trunk, etc.). The pests' presumed locations are derived from the crop's type and phenologic stage. In step **240A**, the camera captures an image(s) of the pests' location(s) and sends it to the system. In step **250A**, using image processing the system extracts the number, the infection percentage, etc. of each pest type at each  
20 location from the image(s). The monitoring results may be for example, the number, the coverage percentage, etc. of each pest type at each location. Alternatively, the image(s) captured by the camera(s) may be observed by the pest control advisor (PCA) who extracts the results and enters them to the system manually.

It will be appreciated that other inputs from other remote sensing devices may assist in  
25 the monitoring process. For example, temperature, humidity, etc. measured by sensors; images captured by satellite(s), etc.

According to embodiments of the invention, the monitoring stage may be performed according to **Fig. 2** and/or **Fig. 2A** intermittently or interchangeably.

According to embodiments of the invention, as part of the monitoring process, the system may enable the PCA to sample the crops/fruits using, for example, his MD's camera that can capture Near Infra Red waves (like spectrophotometer) and a designated application which is configured to extract the sugar level of the captured crop/fruit according to the captured wavelength.

According to embodiments of the invention, as part of the monitoring process, the system may enable the PCA to sample the crops/fruits using, for example, irradiating the fruit with near infrared radiation such that the radiation penetrates the fruit; measuring the absorbance at a given wavelength with a wavelength selector that is placed in the optical path of the near infrared radiation; normalizing the obtained absorbance, taking account of the size of the fruit; and finding an index of the sweetness of the fruit from the normalized measured absorbance, such as described, for example, in US Patent No. 5324945 A.

The sugar level may indicate the harvesting, fruit picking, etc. timing, thus may influence the recommendation. There is a period of time before harvesting, fruit picking, etc. where a crop/fruit cannot be sprayed.

According to embodiments of the invention, using this data the system may recommend when to harvest, pick, etc.

**Fig. 3** is a flowchart showing the recommendation stage **1200**. In order to provide or assist in providing recommendations, the recommendation stage may receive the monitoring results of stage **1100** (**310**), fixed and/or mobile sensor(s) readings (**315**), weather conditions (**320**), season (**325**), regulation demands (**323**), crop's phenologic stage (**330**), pesticides prices (**335**), crop's type (**340**), satellite data (**342**) or any other relevant data from relevant websites and from knowledge bases derived from books, articles and the like (**345**). Regulation demands may be for example limitations regarding the type of pesticide allowed to be used. For example, apples for export may require the use of pesticide(s) allowed in the designated country. According to these inputs, the system may provide recommendations (**355**). Alternatively, the system may present all the relevant data it has to the control advisor (PCA) and let him recommend

(360). The recommendations may include the pesticide type(s), when to apply it (based on weather conditions for example), the amount of pesticide to be used, the pesticide concentration, which lot or which part of the lot needs a treatment, the recommended sprayer type, nozzles type, the number of nozzles to be open while spraying, the spraying pressure, the spraying or carrier's speed for best results, etc.

It will be appreciated that the recommendation stage is not limited to receiving all or only the described inputs (310 – 345).

It is better not to apply certain pesticides after others hence, the system may alert in case that the PCA recommends using such pesticide. For example, pesticide A is not recommended to be applied after pesticide B; if the lot has been sprayed with pesticide B and the PCA recommends using pesticide A, the system may alert.

Certain pesticides cannot be applied in certain countries (regulation demands) hence the system may alert in case that the PCA recommends using such pesticide.

According to embodiments of the invention, the system may alert if an implementation recommendation is made regarding a crop/lot which is configured to be harvested/picked.

According to embodiments of the invention, for optimization purposes, the system may alert when a lot is about to be sprayed with a pesticide that will have little to no influence. For example, if the lot has been sprayed with pesticide A, the system "knows" what will be the influence of pesticide B if sprayed after A, hence if the influence won't be sufficient or a different pesticide will provide better results, the system may alert and recommend changing the pesticide's type.

**Fig. 4** is a flowchart showing the decision stage **1300** according to embodiments of the invention. The inputs of the decision stage are the system recommendations **355** and/or the pest inspector recommendations **360**. According to the recommendation(s), in step **410**, the farmer, the lot(s) owner or any other person authorized to make decisions, assigns an applicator and an operator to each lot or each part of the lot according to the recommendation(s). Then, in step **420**, each assignment (e.g. work plan) may get a

date, operator's name or ID, the applicator's identification data, the lot's location, the pesticide's type, concentration and amount of pesticide to be used and all the relevant data regarding the sprayer's type, nozzles' type, the number of nozzles to be open while spraying, the spraying pressure, the spraying or carrier's speed for best result, etc.

- 5 According to embodiments of the invention, the system may provide the decision making person a list of similar pesticides (to the one recommended) in order for him to be able to choose the one he wants (e.g. the cheapest).

It will be appreciated that the assignment is not limited to include all or only the described data.

- 10 According to embodiments of the invention, the system may alert if an assignment is scheduled to be done in unsuitable conditions (e.g. on a bad weather day) or if a wrong applicator has been assigned to the assignment (each crop requires a certain applicator).

- 15 According to embodiments of the invention, the system may alert or change certain spraying parameters in real time during the spraying. For example, if during the spraying the weather conditions change, the system may instruct the operator to use more/less nozzles, increase/decrease the nozzles' pressure, change the spraying or carrier's speed or even stop the implementation in cases where the spraying will not have the expected effect.

- 20 According to embodiments of the invention, similar lots (similar crop, phenologic stage, etc.) may get the same recommendation in cases that the monitoring stage provides similar results.

- Fig. 5** is a flowchart showing the implementation stage **1400** according to embodiments of the invention. The implementation process is done according to the assignments of the decision stage **1300**. According to embodiments of the present invention, the system may allow an operator to take a pesticide from the stock only if he attempts to take the "right" pesticide. The right pesticide is the one assigned to this specific operator according to his assignment. In step **510**, the system may identify the operator using his ID or an RFID (Radio Frequency Identification) and enable him to take only the

pesticide assigned for his assignment. For example, the operator may swipe his ID card through a designated card reader and the system allows him to open a specific section holding the pesticide assign to him according to the assignment. In step **520** the operator inserts the pesticide into the applicator (e.g. sprayer), preferably carried by a carrier (e.g. tractor). According to embodiments of the invention, in step **530**, the pesticide type may be double checked by the applicator using an RFID for example. The applicator/carrier may also comprise location means such as a GPS which enables it to be directed through the lot by the system, or be monitored by the system through the path it takes. In addition, according to embodiments of the invention, the applicator and/or the carrier may have remote control means which enable it to be operated or at least partly operated from a distance. Using the location means and the remote control means, the system may activate or deactivate the applicator's nozzles according to the applicator's location. In step **540**, the system keeps a record of the amount of pesticide that has been used, the nozzles flow rate, the applicator's/carrier's speed, the applicator's path along the lot, etc. as mentioned above, thus providing a closed loop (performance control) for the next monitoring stage **1100(1)** of **Fig. 2** or **1100(2)** of **Fig. 2A**.

According to embodiments of the invention, the system may include sensors mounted on the carrier and directed towards the crops. The sensors are configured to capture the crops in real time and release the pesticide accordingly. For example, a smaller tree will be sprayed with less pesticide than a bigger one. According to embodiments of the invention, the system may process satellite images in real time and release the pesticide accordingly. The amount of pesticide to be released may be determined for example according to the tree's volume, the treetop's size, etc.

According to embodiments of the invention, the lot assigned to be sprayed may be divided into sections or fragments. Each section or fragment may be configured as a sub-assignment thus facilitating monitoring the completion of the assignment. Without the sections or fragments, the assignment is either "completed" or not. When using sections or fragments, some of the sub-assignment may be configured as "completed"

and some not. The sections or fragments assist in providing more accurate assignment report.

It will be appreciated that the identification of the operator and enabling him to take only the pesticide assigned to him (step **510**) is optional. Alternatively, the operator may take  
5 a pesticide without any identification process.

The applicators may comprise any kind of sprayer known in the art which may be carried by a person, a tractor, a crop duster (agricultural aircraft), a drone or a quadcopter or any other carrier known in the art.

According to embodiments of the invention, the system may be configured to control the  
10 nozzles flow rate, thus in slower speed - decrease the nozzles flow rate and in faster speed - increase the nozzles flow rate.

Using the nozzles flow rate, the applicator's/carrier's speed, the phenologic stage of the crop, the lot's size and the LAI (for example) the system may conclude the quality of pesticide coverage and the Spraying Week Spots (SWS). The SWS indicates locations  
15 where the spraying was not performed as recommended. The SWS may assist in directing the PCA to the spots that need treatment or represent the least treated locations.

The carrier's (e.g. tractor) speed may be derived from the carrier's motor by measuring its revolutions per minute (rpm), by measuring the number of wheel rotations per  
20 distance or by any other way known in the art.

According to embodiments of the invention, in order to identify implementation of a certain assignment, the system may be able to derive the carrier's power take off (PTO) condition and the applicator's activation switch condition. When the system recognizes that the PTO is ON, the switch is ON, there is a flow through the nozzles and the GPS  
25 samples more than a predetermined number of different samples (e.g. 4) it may conclude that an assignment has begun.

The minimum number of samples is used in order to be sure that an assignment actually begins in cases where the PTO and the switch had been turned ON by mistake.

When the system identifies that an assignment has begun, it may automatically search for this assignment (e.g. according to the location). An assignment may be completed in one time or may be performed in parts. The system may alert that an assignment is not done after a predetermined time (e.g. three days). A completed assignment may be  
5 configured as a function of the percentage of coverage (e.g. 95% of the lot). When the assignment has been completed, the system may mark it as "DONE". By knowing the size of the lot and the distance between the rows the system may estimate the expected distance that the applicator/carrier should pass in order to complete the assignment. The system may conclude that an assignment is done by deriving the actual distance  
10 that the applicator/carrier has passed in the lot and comparing it to the expected distance.

If the system does not find the assignment, it may issue an alert notifying about it (e.g. wrong location (lot)).

According to embodiments of the invention, the operator may have a designated  
15 application which enables him to:

1. Be directed according to the optimal path in the lot.
2. In case he left an uncompleted assignment, see from where he has to continue spraying.
3. Receive notifications or alerts when he digresses from his path.
- 20 4. Receive notifications or alerts when he sprays a location that has been sprayed before.
5. Receive notifications or alerts when the weather conditions are bad for spraying.

It will be appreciated that this designated application is not limited to these five  
25 capabilities.

According to embodiments of the invention, the system may detect a resistance event. A resistance event describes the decreased susceptibility of a pest population to a pesticide that was previously effective at controlling the pest. The detection is made by monitoring the influence of the applied pesticide. For example, the PCA monitors 20

aphids (pest) per leaf at a first lot and 13 aphids per leaf at a second lot and recommends using a pesticide called Movento (for example). Next time, e.g. after a week, if the PCA returns to the same lots and finds 18 aphids per leaf at the first lot and 1 aphid per leaf at the second lot, the system may alert that a resistance event has probably occurred at the first lot. The system takes under consideration the applicator's type, pesticide coverage, wind, humidity, velocity, pesticide coverage, etc.

According to embodiments of the invention, the system may provide pest distribution map and/or implementation map. The pest distribution map may be created, for example, based on the detection of the resistance event. The implementation map may be created by monitoring the carrier's route using GPS for example.

**Fig. 6** shows an exemplary implementation map. According to embodiments of the invention, the map may show the sprayer's/carrier's route, location that it missed, locations where it passed twice, numeric indications of its speed, etc.

According to embodiments of the invention, the system receives from the carrier/sprayer data regarding the speed of the carrier/sprayer, the amount of pesticide used (flow meter), the carrier/sprayer location, etc. and other relevant data such as weather conditions e.g. temperature, wind velocity, etc. Using this data the system may conclude spraying quality. For example, wind above 10 Km/h in a certain crop, in a certain phenologic stage with a certain sprayer is a wind that may harm the spraying quality. In another example, the conclusion may be based on additional parameters such as the temperature in the lot and the type of pesticide used. Certain pesticides are sensitive to temperature, thus may function other than expected.

Each parameter may have its threshold(s). Combination of parameters may influence the threshold of some of these parameters.

An exemplary process:

According to an exemplary assignment, an implementation may be done with a sprayer having 56 sprinklers, with 400 liters of pesticide per acre and in a speed of 3.5 Km/h. As the sprayer arrives to the assigned lot and begins the implementation, the system

constantly receives data regarding the implementation as mentioned above. At the beginning the wind velocity was 7 Km/h and the sprayer's average speed was 3.5 Km/h but after an hour (for example) the wind velocity increased to 12 Km/h and the sprayer's average speed increased to 5 Km/h thus influencing the spraying quality.

- 5 According to embodiments of the invention, the system may provide a numeric indication regarding the spraying quality (e.g. 0-1).

An exemplary formula may be:

$$\frac{\textit{Time with parameter as expected}}{\textit{Total spraying time}} + \frac{\textit{Time with parameter not as expected}}{\textit{Total spraying time*FACTOR}}$$

Where, FACTOR represents the influence of the parameter on the spraying.

- 10 Each parameter may be calculated to provide its indication. The total indication may be, for example, the weighted average of all the parameters indications.

Alternatively, the system may provide a graphic representation of the spaying, namely, a user may be able to see where the spaying was as expected and where there was a decrease in the spraying quality. For example, in a similar map such as presented in

- 15 **Fig. 6** but with different colors according to the quality of spraying.

According to embodiments of the invention, the system may provide an implementation report. The report may include for example, the lot's details, the pesticide's type, the operator details, the recommendation from which the implementation was derived, the spraying details such as the weather conditions during the spraying, the number of

20 nozzle used, the nozzles pressure, the carrier's speed, etc.

It will be appreciated that the implementation report is not limited to these specific examples and is not limited to include all of these examples.

It will be appreciated by persons skilled in the art that the present invention is not limited to what has been particularly shown and described hereinabove. Rather the scope of

25 the present invention is defined by the appended claims and includes combinations and sub-combinations of the various features described hereinabove as well as variations

and modifications thereof which would occur to persons skilled in the art upon reading the foregoing description.

**CLAIMS**

1. A crops management system comprising:
  - a system server storing at least one database comprising past and present data relating to at least one resource, crop and procedure;
  - 5 at least one mobile communication device running a user application adapted for different functionalities, said at least one mobile communication device is configured to communicate bi-directionally with said system server;
  - at least one sensor communicating with said system server, said at least one sensor adapted to sense at least one parameter, said at least one sensor
  - 10 selected from the group consisting of fixed sensors and mobile sensors; and
  - at least one external electronic data source communicating with said system server,
  - said system configured to aggregate data received from said at least one data source relating to a plurality of crops and from said at least one mobile device,
  - 15 and produce at least one of reports, forecasts, work plans and performance control for at least one resource, relating to at least one crop.
2. The management system of claim 1, wherein said data relating to at least one resource comprises at least one of weather conditions, season, regulation
- 20 demands and pesticide prices.
3. The management system of claim 1, wherein said data relating to crops comprises at least one of crop type, phenologic stage, monitoring results, LAI (Leaf Area Index) and crop location.
- 25
4. The management system of claim 1, wherein said data relating to procedures comprises crops and execution data for pest control.
5. The management system of claim 1, wherein said fixed sensors are selected
- 30 from the group consisting of soil moisture sensors, temperature sensors and at least one camera.

- 5
6. The management system of claim 1, wherein said mobile sensors are selected from the group consisting of Global Positioning System (GPS) sensors and at least one camera.
7. The management system of claim 1, wherein said different functionalities comprise at least one of pest control and directing.
- 10
8. The management system of claim 7, wherein said user application comprises a pest control advisor application.
9. The management system of claim 1, wherein said external sources are selected from the group consisting of weather forecast, season, regulation demands and pesticide prices sources.
- 15
10. The management system of claim 1, wherein said reports comprise at least one of pest distribution maps and implementation maps.
11. The management system of claim 1, wherein said forecasts comprise pest
- 20
- distribution forecasts.
12. A method of controlling crops comprising:
- 25
- providing a system server storing at least one database comprising past and present data relating to at least one resource, crops and procedures;
- at least one mobile communication device running a user application adapted for different functionalities, said at least one mobile communication device communicating bi-directionally with said system server;
- 30

at least one sensor communicating with said system server, said at least one sensor adapted to sense at least one parameter, said at least one sensor selected from the group consisting of fixed sensors and mobile sensors; and

5 at least one external electronic data source communicating with said system server,

receiving from said at least one mobile communication device current data relating to a crop or a lot;

10 receiving from said at least one sensor current data relating to said crop or said lot;

receiving from said at least one external electronic data source data relating to external conditions;

communicating to said at least one mobile communication device instructions relating to said crop or said lot;

15 and

aggregating data relating to said crop, received from said at least one data source and from said at least one mobile communication device and producing at least one of reports, forecasts, work plans and performance control for at least one resource, relating to said crop.

20

13. The method of claim 12, wherein said data relating to at least one resource comprises at least one of carrier type, sprayer type nozzles' pressure carrier speed and sprayer speed.

25 14. The method of claim 12, wherein said data relating to said crop comprises at least one of crop type, phenologic stage, monitoring results, LAI (Leaf Area Index) and crop location.

30 15. The method of claim 14, further comprising capturing a crop with a mobile camera and processing the image to compute the crop's phenologic stage.

16. The method of claim 14, further comprising receiving satellite images of a crop and processing the image to compute the crop's LAI (Leaf Area Index).
- 5 17. The method of claim 12, further comprising producing crops and execution data for pest control.
18. The method of claim 12, wherein said fixed sensors are selected from the group consisting of soil moisture sensors, temperature sensors and at least one camera.
- 10 19. The method of claim 12, wherein said mobile sensors are selected from the group consisting of GPS sensors and at least one camera.
20. The method of claim 12, wherein said mobile sensors are mounted on a carrier.
- 15 21. The method of claim 12, wherein said different functionalities comprise at least one of pest control and directing.
22. The method of claim 21, wherein said user application comprises a pest control advisor application.
- 20 23. The method of claim 12, wherein said external sources are selected from the group consisting of weather forecast, season, regulation demands and pesticide prices sources.
24. The method of claim 12, wherein said reports comprise at least one of pest distribution maps and implementation maps.
- 25 25. The method of claim 24, wherein producing forecasts comprises producing pest distribution forecasts based at least on said pest distribution maps, data from said at least one external source and said past data.
- 30




Fig. 1






Fig. 1A



**Fig. 2**

1100 (2)



**Fig. 2A**






Fig. 3




**Fig. 4**

1400



**Fig. 5**



**Fig. 6**

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IB2016/053051

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC (2016.01) G06N 5/02		
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC		
B. FIELDS SEARCHED		
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC (2016.01) G06N 5/02		
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched		
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Databases consulted: USPTO, THOMSON INNOVATION		
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 2014067745 A1 AVEY DONALD [US] 03 Mar 2014 (2014/03/03) paragraphs [0023], [0024], [0026], [0028], [0030], [0039], [0052]	1-25
A	US 2011035246 A1 FITHIAN WAYNE ALAN [US] 10 Feb 2011 (2011/02/10) the whole document	1-25
A	US 2003069697 A1 MAFRA-NETO AGENOR [US] 10 Apr 2003 (2003/04/10) the whole document	1-25
<input type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.		
* Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family		
Date of the actual completion of the international search 30 Aug 2016		Date of mailing of the international search report 01 Sep 2016
Name and mailing address of the ISA: Israel Patent Office Technology Park, Bldg.5, Malcha, Jerusalem, 9695101, Israel Facsimile No. 972-2-5651616		Authorized officer MOSKOVICH Elad  Telephone No. 972-2-5651607

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**  
Information on patent family members

International application No. PCT/IB2016/053051
--

Patent document cited search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication Date
US 2014067745 A1	03 Mar 2014	US 2014067745 A1	06 Mar 2014
		AR 092378 A1	15 Apr 2015
		CA 2882940 A1	06 Mar 2014
		EP 2890232 A2	08 Jul 2015
		EP 2890232 A4	20 Jan 2016
		MX 2015002372 A	03 Jun 2015
		WO 2014036281 A2	06 Mar 2014
		WO 2014036281 A3	22 May 2014
US 2011035246 A1	10 Feb 2011	US 2011035246 A1	10 Feb 2011
		CA 2774058 A1	17 Feb 2011
		CN 102725728 A	10 Oct 2012
		WO 2011019513 A1	17 Feb 2011
US 2003069697 A1	10 Apr 2003	US 2003069697 A1	10 Apr 2003
		US 6766251 B2	20 Jul 2004
		AU 2002243737 B2	24 Aug 2006
		BR 0207105 A	11 Jan 2005
		CA 2437232 A1	06 Sep 2002
		EP 1374135 A1	02 Jan 2004
		EP 1374135 A4	01 Jun 2005
		US 6385544 B1	07 May 2002
		US 2002107639 A1	08 Aug 2002
		WO 02069234 A1	06 Sep 2002