

(19)



(11) Publication number:

SG 178312 A1

(43) Publication date:

29.03.2012

(51) Int. Cl:

B01J 20/06, B01J 20/08, B01J
20/32, B01D 53/02, B01D 53/64,
C10G 25/00;

(12)

Patent Application

(21) Application number: 2012008652

(71) Applicant:

JOHNSON MATTHEY PLC 5TH FLOOR
25 FARRINGDON STREET LONDON
EC4A 4AB GB

(22) Date of filing: 10.08.2010

(72) Inventor:

FISH, ANDREW 3 ABBOTS WAY
FAIRFIELD STOCKTON ON TEES
CLEVELAND TS19 7SR GB
CHALLIS, LUCY JANE GREYSTONES
MILTON AVENUE BISHOP AUCKLAND
COUNTY DURHAM DL14 6AN GB
COUSINS, MATTHEW JOHN 234
GREENBANK ROAD DARLINGTON
COUNTY DURHAM DL3 6ES GB
FEAVIOUR, MARK ROBERT 9
SHACKLETON WAY WOODLEY
READING BERKSHIRE RG5 4UU GB
WAGLAND, ALISON MARY 27
SPRING LANE WATLINGTON OXFORD
OXFORDSHIRE OX49 5QN GB

(30) Priority: GB 0914272.0 17.08.2009

(54) Title:
SORBENT

(57) Abstract:

A method is described for preparing a sorbent composition, comprising the steps of: (i) applying, from a solution or a slurry, a layer of a copper compound on the surface of a support material, and (ii) drying the coated support material, wherein the thickness of the copper compound layer on the dried support is in the range 1-200µm. The precursor may be converted to a sorbent suitable for removing heavy metals from liquids or gases by applying one or more sulphur compounds to sulphide the copper compound and form CuS.

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
24 February 2011 (24.02.2011)

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2011/021024 A1

(51) International Patent Classification:
B01J 20/06 (2006.01) *B01D 53/02* (2006.01)
B01J 20/08 (2006.01) *B01D 53/64* (2006.01)
B01J 20/32 (2006.01) *C10G 25/00* (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/GB2010/051318

(22) International Filing Date:
10 August 2010 (10.08.2010)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
0914272.0 17 August 2009 (17.08.2009) GB

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **JOHNSON MATTHEY PLC** [GB/GB]; 5th Floor, 25 Farringdon Street, London EC4A 4AB (GB).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **FISH, Andrew** [GB/GB]; 3 Abbots Way, Fairfield, Stockton on Tees, Cleveland TS19 7SR (GB). **CHALLIS, Lucy Jane** [GB/GB]; Greystones, Milton Avenue, Bishop Auckland, County Durham DL14 6AN (GB). **COUSINS, Matthew John** [GB/GB]; 234 Greenbank Road, Darlington County, Durham DL3 6ES (GB). **FEAVIOUR, Mark Robert** [GB/GB]; 9 Shackleton Way, Woodley, Reading, Berkshire RG5 4UU (GB). **WAGLAND, Alison Mary** [GB/GB]; 27 Spring Lane, Watlington, Oxford, Oxfordshire OX49 5QN (GB).

(74) Agent: **RIDLAND, John**; Johnson Matthey Catalysts, PO Box 1, Belasis Avenue, Billingham, Cleveland TS23 1LB (GB).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RS, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

WO 2011/021024 A1

(54) Title: SORBENT

(57) Abstract: A method is described for preparing a sorbent composition, comprising the steps of: (i) applying, from a solution or a slurry, a layer of a copper compound on the surface of a support material, and (ii) drying the coated support material, wherein the thickness of the copper compound layer on the dried support is in the range 1-200 μ m. The precursor may be converted to a sorbent suitable for removing heavy metals from liquids or gases by applying one or more sulphur compounds to sulphide the copper compound and form CuS.

Sorbent

This invention relates to sorbents and in particular to metal sulphide absorbents suitable for capturing heavy metals, such as mercury, arsenic and antimony, from fluid streams.

5

Mercury is found in small quantities in fluid streams such as hydrocarbon or other gas and liquid streams. Arsenic may also be found in small quantities in hydrocarbon streams.

Mercury, in addition to its toxicity, can cause failure of aluminium heat exchangers and other processing equipment. Therefore there is a need to efficiently remove these metals from fluid

10 streams, preferably as early as possible in the process flowsheet.

US 4094777 discloses the use of a pre-sulphided absorbent comprising copper sulphide for the absorption of mercury from a natural gas stream containing mercury. The sorbent is prepared by mixing a copper compound such as powdered copper hydroxycarbonate (also known as basic copper carbonate) with a support or dispersion material, for example a cement, and forming extrudates or granules. Alternatively the sorbent is prepared by impregnation of a support, such as alumina spheres, with a solution of a soluble compound of copper such as copper nitrate. The copper compound in the granules, extrudates or support is sulphided using hydrogen sulphide or a solution of a sulphide in water or in an organic solvent.

20

Whereas combining particulate copper carbonate with a particulate support or cement provides an effective sorbent, a considerable proportion of the copper can remain unavailable within the resulting extrudate or granule. Copper is now an expensive metal and it is desirable to provide sorbents with lower levels of Cu that retain the high capacity for heavy metals observed with the granulated or extruded products. Impregnated materials, such as copper-nitrate impregnated materials can require high sulphiding temperatures, or the addition of silver compounds in order to be fully sulphided, both of which are undesirable.

We have found a method that overcomes the problems of the previous preparative routes.

30

Accordingly the invention provides a method for preparing a sorbent composition, comprising the steps of:

(i) applying, from a solution or a slurry, a layer of a copper compound on the surface of a support material, and

35 (ii) drying the coated support material,

wherein the thickness of the copper compound layer on the dried support is in the range 1-200 μ m.

The method may further comprise the step of applying one or more sulphur compounds to effect sulphidation of the copper compound to form copper (II) sulphide, CuS.

5 The invention further provides a sorbent composition obtainable by this method, comprising a sulphided copper compound in the form of a layer on the surface of a support material.

The invention further provides a process for removing a heavy metal from a process fluid comprising contacting a heavy metal-containing -containing process fluid with the sulphided sorbent.

10

By the term "sorbent" we include adsorbent and absorbent.

15 The term "heavy metal" used herein means mercury, arsenic, lead, cadmium and antimony, but especially mercury from fluid streams.

20 The copper compound should be sulphidable, i.e. reactive with sulphur compounds to form copper (II) sulphide, CuS. Suitable copper compounds are one or more of copper hydroxycarbonate, copper nitrate, copper sulphate, copper acetate, ammine complexes thereof, i.e copper ammine carbonate, copper ammine nitrate, copper ammine sulphate and copper ammine acetate, copper-(II)-sulphide and copper oxide. Preferred copper compounds are copper carbonate compounds, such as basic copper carbonate. Copper nitrate and sulphate are less preferred due to the evolution of HNO₃ or H₂SO₄ compounds during any subsequent sulphiding step.

25

30 Unlike the granulated or extruded products, the copper content of the sorbent of the present invention is relatively low and is preferably in the range 0.5-20% by weight (expressed as copper present in the unsulphided material), more preferably 0.75-10% by weight, most preferably 0.75-5.0% by weight. Although this level is less than half and in some cases less than a third of the copper in granulated materials, the effectiveness has surprisingly been found to match these products in terms of mercury captured.

35 In the present invention, the total sulphidable metal content of the sorbent, other than copper, is preferably ≤ 5% by weight. This is so that the corresponding water-soluble metal sulphates are formed in sufficiently low levels not to cause an increase in pressure drop and deactivation as a result of dissolution-re-deposition and agglomeration during use where the process fluids contain free water. Preferably the total metal sulphide content in the sulphided sorbent, other than copper, is ≤ 1% wt, more preferably ≤ 0.5% wt, especially ≤ 0.1% wt. The contaminant metal sulphide may be one or more of calcium sulphide, zinc sulphide, iron sulphide, nickel

sulphide, chromium sulphide and manganese sulphide. They may be introduced by contamination of the copper compound or support material. The low contaminant metal sulphide level may be achieved by the selection of high purity copper compound and support, and by the exclusion of contaminant metal compounds from the composition.

5

The copper compound is present as a layer on the surface of the support. The thickness of the layer in the dried material is in the range 1 to 200 μm (micrometres), but preferably is in the range 1-150 micrometres, more preferably 1-100 micrometres, particularly 1-50 micrometres. Thinner layers make more efficient use of the applied copper. Sulphiding will typically leave the 10 layer thickness unchanged or slightly thinner depending on the copper compound. The copper compound layer in the sorbent of the present invention may be readily fully sulphided.

The support material may be a ceramic or metal but preferably comprises an oxidic support such as an alumina, hydrated alumina, titania, zirconia, silica or aluminosilicate, or a mixture of 15 two or more of these. Preferably the support has a relatively high surface area and porosity so that the surface area of the resulting layer may be maximised. Desirably the support has a BET surface area of 10-330 $\text{m}^2\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$, preferably 100-330 $\text{m}^2\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$, more preferably 130-330 $\text{m}^2\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$. The pore volume is preferably 0.3-0.9 $\text{cm}^3\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$, more preferably 0.4-0.9 $\text{cm}^3\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$. The support 20 may be macroporous, mesoporous or microporous but is preferably macroporous, i.e. it has an average pore diameter >50 nm, or mesoporous, i.e. it has an average pore diameter in the range 2-50 nm. In such materials preferably $>50\%$ of the pore volume arises from such pores. Macroporous materials are useful in the present invention because of their ability to hold 25 copper compounds on their surface. The BET surface area may be conveniently measured using nitrogen physisorption. Pore volumes may also be determined using nitrogen physisorption, but in the present invention as the pore volumes are relatively large, mercury porosimetry may be more suitably used. Pore diameters may also be determined using these techniques.

Preferred supports are aluminas such as gamma, theta and delta aluminas. In a particularly 30 preferred embodiment, the support is a gamma alumina.

In the present invention, the copper compound is applied to the surface of the support. If the support is porous, some of the copper compound may enter pores at or near the surface of the support. However the thickness of the layer of copper compound in the dried coated support 35 should remain in the range 1-200 μm .

The support may be provided as a foam, monolith or honeycomb, or as a coating on a structured packing. Such supports offer a reduced pressure drop in sorbent vessels compared to spherical granulated sorbents. Particularly suitable foam supports are described in

EP-A-0260826. Alternatively, the support may be in the form of shaped particulate unit selected from the group consisting of spheres, rings (e.g. Rashig rings), trilobes, quadralobes, and cylinders, which may be lobed or fluted, having between 2 and 10 holes extending therethrough. Shaped supports that provide the combination of reduced pressure drop 5 combined with high strength are preferred. 4-hole cylinders, and rings are particularly preferred.

Particulate shaped units desirably have a minimum dimension, which may be the width, diameter or length, in the range 1-50 mm, and an aspect ratio (i.e. width or diameter/length) in 10 the range 0.5-5. Units with a diameter or width in the range 3-10 mm are preferred for industrial scale sorbents, although 1-5 mm units may also be used.

The layer of copper compounds may be formed on the support in a number of ways. In one embodiment, a layer of copper carbonate is formed by applying a slurry, e.g. by dipping or 15 spraying the support with a slurry, which may be aqueous or non aqueous, of an insoluble copper compound such as basic copper carbonate. The basic copper carbonate may be obtained commercially or prepared freshly by precipitating it from a copper salt solution using an alkaline carbonate precipitant, followed by washing to remove the corresponding alkaline metal salt. The basic copper carbonate is dispersed in a liquid medium, which is desirably 20 aqueous. The solids content may conveniently be in the range 10- 30% wt. Binder materials such as alumina or hydrated alumina sols may be included in the layer and other conventional wash-coat preparation techniques may be applied, such as milling and mixing of the dispersion to achieve the desired particle size prior to coating the support. The support may be coated by dipping the support into the slurry dispersion or by spraying the slurry dispersion of the copper 25 carbonate compounds onto the support. Multiple dipping and/or spraying may be applied. The slurry may be applied to supports at temperatures in the range 10-95°C or higher, preferably 10-50°C. We have found that the pH of the slurry can have an effect on the sulphur capacity and hence mercury capacity of the sorbent. Preferably the pH of the basic copper carbonate slurry is in the range 5-9.

30 In an alternative embodiment, the layer of copper compound is formed by applying a solution of a copper ammine compound onto the support and simultaneously or subsequently heating the support to a temperature in the range 50-200°C. Copper ammine compounds may be formed by dissolving copper compounds such as basic copper carbonate, copper acetate or copper 35 nitrate in aqueous ammonia solutions optionally in the presence of ammonium salts, using known methods. For example, basic copper carbonate may be dissolved in a solution of ammonium carbonate and concentrated ammonia such that the Cu:NH₃ molar ratio is preferably at least 1:4. Heating the solution causes the evolution of ammonia and the deposition of copper compounds on the surface of the support. Unlike impregnation

techniques, the use of a heated support that destabilises the copper ammine compound on contact results in the formation of a layer of the copper compound on the surface of the support rather than permitting the copper to diffuse all the way through it. In the present invention, the heated support is preferably sprayed with a solution comprising copper ammine carbonate.

5 Alternatively the support may be dipped in the copper ammine compound solution and removed, with heating of the support before or after dipping, although this is less preferred. It is not preferred to form a slurry of the support in the solution of copper ammine compound as, upon heating, this could result in considerable amounts of unsupported copper compounds precipitating out of solution. In a preferred method, the support is heated to a temperature in
10 the range 50-200°C and a solution of copper ammine compound, preferably copper ammine carbonate, sprayed onto the heated support. This immediately forms a thin eggshell layer of copper compound on the surface of the support with evolution of ammonia.

15 The coated support is dried prior to sulphiding to remove any solvents that may interfere with the sulphiding reaction, e.g. water. However the drying temperature is preferably kept $\leq 200^\circ\text{C}$, more preferably $\leq 150^\circ\text{C}$ to avoid bulk decomposition of the copper compounds. The coated supports may conveniently be dried at about 70-105°C in air for 1-16 hours.

20 Whereas the un-dried or dried material may be calcined to convert the copper compounds to copper (II) oxide, e.g. by heating it to a temperature in the range 250-500°C in air or inert gas, this is not necessary, as we have found that the deposited copper compounds may be directly sulphided without this additional step.

25 The sulphiding step, which converts the copper compounds to copper (II) sulphide, CuS, may be carried out using conventional processes. Hence the sulphiding step may be performed by reacting the copper compound in the layer with a sulphur compound selected from hydrogen sulphide, alkali metal sulphide, ammonium sulphide, elemental sulphur or a polysulphide. Hydrogen sulphide is preferred. Using a hydrogen sulphide-containing gas mixture is considerably easier and faster than using alternatives such as solutions of sulphur or sulphur
30 compounds such as polysulphides. The gas mixture may, if desired, contain other sulphur compounds such as carbonyl sulphide or volatile mercaptans. The sulphiding compounds are preferably used in a mixture with other gases. Inert gases such as nitrogen, helium or argon are a convenient means to control the process. Carbon dioxide may also be used. The sulphiding gas mixture is preferably free of reducing gases such as hydrogen and carbon
35 monoxide, but these may be present where the sulphiding step is performed at temperatures below 150°C, particularly below 100°C. Hydrogen sulphide is preferably provided to the copper carbonate layer in gas streams at concentrations of 0.1 to 5% by volume. Sulphiding temperatures in the range 1-100°C may be used.

The sulphiding step may be performed on the dried sorbent precursor composition ex-situ in a sulphiding vessel through which a sulphiding agent is passed, or the sulphiding step may be performed in situ, in which case an absorbent precursor is installed and undergoes sulphidation in the vessel in which it is used to absorb heavy metals. In-situ sulphiding may be achieved

5 using a sulphiding agent stream or where the stream containing heavy metal also contains sulphur compounds, the heavy metal-containing stream itself. Where such concomitant sulphiding and heavy metal absorption occurs, the amount of sulphur compound that is present depends on the type of sulphur compound and metal compound used. Usually, a concentration ratio, as defined by the ratio of sulphur compound (expressed as hydrogen 10 sulphide) concentration (v/v) to heavy metal concentration (v/v), of at least one, and preferably of at least 10 is used so that the precursor is sufficiently sulphided. Should the initial concentration of the sulphur compound in the feed stream be below the level necessary to establish the desired ratio of sulphur compound to heavy metal concentration then it is preferred that the concentration of the sulphur compound is increased by any suitable method.

15

The sorbent according to the present invention is preferably pre-sulphided where the fluid to be treated contains free water. Pre-sulphiding also avoids problems caused by the change in volume and strength of the sorbent that can accompany the sulphiding step.

20 The sulphided sorbents desirably have a BET surface area in the range 10 to 330 m².g⁻¹, preferably 100 to 330 m².g⁻¹, more preferably 130 to 330 m².g⁻¹, and a pore volume of 0.3 to 0.8 cm³.g⁻¹, more preferably 0.4 to 0.7 cm³.g⁻¹.

25 The present invention may be used to treat both liquid and gaseous fluids containing heavy metals, particularly mercury and arsenic, especially mercury. In one embodiment, the fluid is a hydrocarbon stream. The hydrocarbon stream may be a refinery hydrocarbon stream such as naphtha (e.g. containing hydrocarbons having 5 or more carbon atoms and a final atmospheric pressure boiling point of up to 204°C), middle distillate or atmospheric gas oil (e.g. having an atmospheric pressure boiling point range of 177°C to 343°C), vacuum gas oil (e.g. atmospheric 30 pressure boiling point range 343°C to 566°C), or residuum (atmospheric pressure boiling point above 566°C), or a hydrocarbon-containing stream produced from such a feedstock by e.g. catalytic reforming. Refinery hydrocarbon streams also include carrier streams such as "cycle oil" as used in FCC processes and hydrocarbons used in solvent extraction. The hydrocarbon stream may also be a crude oil stream, particularly when the crude oil is relatively light, or a 35 synthetic crude stream as produced from tar oil or coal extraction for example. Gaseous hydrocarbons may be treated using the process of the invention, e.g. natural gas or refined paraffins or olefins, for example. Off-shore crude oil and off-shore natural gas streams in particular may be treated with the absorbent of the present invention. Contaminated fuels such as petrol or diesel may also be treated. Alternatively, the hydrocarbon may be a condensate

such as natural gas liquid (NGL) or liquefied petroleum gas (LPG), a liquefied natural gas (LNG) or gases such as a coal bed methane, landfill gas or biogas.

Non-hydrocarbon fluids which may be treated according to the invention include carbon
5 dioxide, which may be used in carbonated drinks, enhanced oil recovery processes, in carbon capture and storage, solvents for decaffeination of coffee, flavour and fragrance extraction, solvent extraction of coal etc. Fluids, such as alcohols (including glycols) and ethers used in wash processes or drying processes (e.g. triethylene glycol, monoethylene glycol, Rectisol™, Purisol™ and Selexol™), may be treated by the inventive process. Mercury may also be
10 removed from amine streams used in acid gas removal units. Natural oils and fats such as vegetable and fish oils may be treated by the process of the invention, optionally after further processing such as hydrogenation or transesterification e.g. to form biodiesel.

Other fluid streams that may be treated include the regeneration gases from dehydration units,
15 such as molecular sieve off-gases, or gases from the regeneration of glycol driers.

Feed streams which are susceptible to being treated by the absorbents may also include those which inherently contain both heavy metal and a sulphur compound e.g. certain natural gas streams, or a mercury- and/or arsenic- containing stream to which a sulphur compound has
20 been added to effect mercury and arsenic absorption.

The present invention is of particular utility where the fluid contains free water, preferably in low levels in the range 0.02 to 1% vol. Higher levels up to 5% vol may be tolerated for short periods. The absorbents of the present invention may be regenerated simply after prolonged
25 exposure to water simply by purging with a dry gas, preferably a dry inert gas such as nitrogen.

Preferably the absorption of mercury is conducted at a temperature below 150 °C, preferably at or below 120 °C in that at such temperatures the overall capacity for mercury absorption is increased. Temperatures as low as 4 °C may be used to good effect in the present invention.
30 A preferred temperature range is 10 to 60 °C.

The mercury may be in the form of elemental mercury, or organomercuric, or organomercurous compounds. The present invention is particularly effective in removing elemental mercury although other forms of mercury may be removed for short periods. Typically the concentration
35 of mercury in a gaseous feed stream is from 0.01 to 1100 µg/Nm³, and more usually between 10 to 600µg/Nm³.

In use, the sorbent material may be placed in a sorption vessel and the fluid stream containing heavy metal is passed through it. Desirably, the sorbent is placed in the vessel as one or more fixed beds according to known methods. More than one bed may be employed and the beds may be the same or different in composition. The gas hourly space velocity through the 5 absorbent may be in the range normally employed.

The invention is further described by reference to the following Examples. Unless otherwise stated, the following analytical tools were used;

10 i) Sulphur. Analysis for sulphur content was performed using a LECO SC632, by combustion and subsequent infrared measurement of sulphur dioxide.

ii) BET surface area and Pore Structure Analysis. These were determined using conventional nitrogen physisorption. The samples were out-gassed at 140°C for 1 hour with a nitrogen purge prior to BET surface area / isotherm measurements.

15 iii) Mercury porosimetry. The samples were dried at 115°C for 16 hours prior to introducing mercury into the pores under increasing pressure to determine pore volume.

iv) Copper content. Cu was measured using ICP-OES and calculated using conventional standards.

20 v) Cu carbonate thickness. The layer thickness was measured using electron-probe micro-analysis (EPMA). The samples were mounted in resin, polished and vacuum carbon coated before images were taken at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV.

Example 1: Washcoat of basic copper carbonate

25 Washcoat preparation: Basic copper carbonate (81 g) and Sasol Disperal P3 [a high purity dispersible alumina binder] (9 g) were added to 210 g of demineralised water. The slurry was mixed on a high-speed mixer and milled to obtain the desired particle size. The pH was 5.9 after milling and the particle size (d90) was 4.4 microns.

Spray Coating: 100 g of gamma-, delta-theta-, or alpha-alumina spheres (1 mm diameter) were 30 loaded into a foil-lined pan coater and sprayed with 12 g of washcoat. The support was maintained at 25 – 65°C throughout.

The coated support was dried for 16 hours at 105°C. The copper contents of the dried materials were 2.53-3.66% wt.

Sulphiding: 60 ml of coated material were fully sulphided with 1% H₂S in N₂. The flow rate of 35 the gas was 42 litres hr⁻¹ and the sulphiding was carried out at ambient temperature and pressure.

Copper layer thicknesses of 25-50 µm were observed for the sulphided materials.

Example 2: Copper ammine carbonate [Cu(NH₃)₄(CO₃)]

Ammonium carbonate (46.18 g, 0.294 mol) was dissolved in ammonia solution (100 ml, 1.8 mol) under gentle heating. Copper hydroxycarbonate (20.22 g, 9.98 g Cu, 0.17 mol) was added to the ammonium carbonate/ammonia solution and stirred to dissolve. The resulting

5 solution was determined to contain 93.1 g.L⁻¹ copper.

Gamma-, delta-theta- or alpha-alumina spheres (1 mm diameter) were heated to either 50°C, 80°C or 150°C and sprayed with the Cu ammine carbonate solution.

The coated support was dried for 16 hours at 105°C. The copper content of the dried materials was 0.98 – 1.46.% wt.

10 The coated materials were sulphided using the method of Example 1.

The copper layer thicknesses on the dried gamma-alumina supported material were measured by EPMA to be about 47 µm in each case. The dried delta-theta alumina support had a copper layer thickness of 41 µm and the dried alpha alumina support had a copper layer thickness of

15 28 µm.

The experiment was repeated on the gamma alumina support at 120°C but with subsequent calcination at 350°C for 2 hours to convert the copper carbonate layer to copper oxide prior to

sulphidation using the method of Example 1. The copper content of the calcined material was

20 3.33% wt

The copper layer thickness on the sulphided oxidic material was observed to be in the range 20-40 µm.

25 Example 3: Copper ammine carbonate/acetate

Ammonium acetate (22.64 g, 0.294 mols) was dissolved in ammonia solution (100 ml, 0.9 mol).

Basic copper carbonate [Cu₂(OH₂)₂CO₃] (221 g/mol, Alfa Aesar) (20.22 g, 9.98 g Cu, 0.17 mols) was added in portions with stirring.

An alumina support, gamma alumina spheres (1 mm diameter), was heated to 150°C and

30 sprayed with the copper ammine carbonate/acetate solution.

The coated support was dried for 16 hours at 105°C and calcined at 350°C for 2 hours. The copper content of the calcined material was 0.78 % wt.

The calcined material was sulphided using the method of Example 1.

35 The copper layer thickness on the dried gamma alumina support was 10 µm.

Example 4: Mercury Static Testing

30 ml n-hexane saturated with elemental mercury was diluted with 30 ml clean hexane to give a Hg concentration of 300-700 ppb (w/v), transferred to a 100 ml conical flask with a PTFE magnetic stirrer bar and stirred on a medium-speed setting for 5 minutes.

5 0.50g of each test material was weighed out and added into the conical flask. The suspension was stirred for 20 minutes on a medium setting to avoid the formation of fine particles. Samples of the suspension were taken over 20 minutes and analysed by atomic fluorescence on a PSA modified Hewlett Packard 6890 GC for elemental mercury quantification. The first order rate constant, k (min^{-1}), was determined as the gradient of a plot of $\ln(\text{Hg}_0/\text{Hg}_x)$ against reaction time.

10 Samples prepared according to the methods of Examples 1-3 were tested using the above method. The results are set out below.

Material Number	Cu (% wt) by ICPOES	Alumina Phase	Support	Copper Loading Technique	Copper Form pre-sulphiding	Rate Constant, k (min^{-1})
1	3.66	γ	Spheres	Example 1	Carbonate	0.75
2	2.95	$\theta - \delta$	Spheres	Example 1	Carbonate	0.33
3	2.53	α	Spheres	Example 1	Carbonate	0.38
4	1.08	γ	Spheres	Example 2 sprayed at 150°C	Carbonate	0.38
5	1.07	γ	Spheres	Example 2 sprayed at 80°C	Carbonate	0.41
6	1.46	γ	Spheres	Example 2 sprayed at 50°C	Carbonate	0.52
7	3.33	γ	Spheres	Example 2 sprayed at 120°C	Oxide	0.39
8	0.78	γ	Spheres	Example 3	Oxide	0.47
9	0.98	$\theta - \delta$	Spheres	Example 2 sprayed at 150°C	Carbonate	0.41
10	1.15	α	Spheres	Example 2 sprayed at 150°C	Carbonate	0.46

The best result was obtained with sprayed slurry of basic copper carbonate on gamma alumina. The mercury concentrations with time exposed to the materials are given below.

Material Number	Hg Concentration (ppb w/v) / Sample Number							Removal (%)
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	
1	380	187	89	19	0	0	0	100
2	292	190	153	96	39	5	0	100
3	295	186	131	69	20	0	0	100
4	330	190	127	54	12	4	0	100
5	314	188	130	67	21	2	0	100
6	273	147	89	31	7	0	0	100
7	361	240	158	88	33	3	0	100
8	271	158	99	39	10	0	0	100
9	282	180	120	57	15	0	0	100
10	296	176	122	52	11	0	0	100

Material 1 was analysed to assess porosity in comparison with commercially available granulated copper-zinc oxide/alumina mercury sorbent. The results were as follows;

Material Number	Cu (% wt) by ICPOES	Alumina Phase	Nitrogen Physisorption BET Surface Area (m ² g ⁻¹)	
			Fresh	Sulphided
1	3.66	γ	149.7	148.9
Comparative	43.2**	-	59.5	53.6

5 ** Derived from mass balance calculation

The difference in BET surface area for the product prepared according to the invention can be clearly seen. For measuring pore structure, Mercury porosimetry is more applicable for mesopores and in conjunction with N₂ physisorption gives a clearer indication of pore structure.

10

Material	Mercury Porosimetry					
	Corrected Intrusion Volume (cm ³ g ⁻¹)		Hg Entrapment (%)		Mean Pore Diameter (nm)	
	Fresh	Sulph	Fresh	Sulph	Fresh	Sulph
1	0.40	0.41	26	27	9	9
Comparative	0.34	0.34	49	90	40	38

The material 1 is mesoporous by this measurement.

Example 5: Flowing Tests

Materials 1, 6 and a commercially available granulated copper-zinc oxide/alumina product for comparison, were sulphided to saturation in 1% H₂S/N₂. 25 ml of the sulphided sorbent was charged to a tubular lab-scale absorption vessel (i.d. 19 mm). N-hexane saturated with

5 elemental mercury to ca. 1.2 ppm (w/v) was passed through the bed at ambient temperature (about 25°C), at a Liquid Hourly Space Velocity (LHSV) of 7.0hr⁻¹. Samples were taken from the reactor exit line and analysed by atomic fluorescence on a PSA modified Hewlett Packard 6890 GC to monitor mercury levels. At the end of the test, the bed was discharged into 9 equivalent discrete sub-beds by vacuum, which were analysed for total mercury content (w/w)

10 by ICP-Optical Emission Spectroscopy.

All materials were run for 750 hours with no consistent mercury slip observed in the exit stream. The results of the analysis of the recovered materials are given below.

Bed #	Cumulative Bed Volume (ml)			Total Mercury (ppm w/w)		
	1	6	Comp.	1	6	Comp.
1	2.26	2.38	2.59	52260	24660	44380
2	5.31	4.72	4.47	22500	22900	8720
3	7.52	7.95	7.06	385	15170	715
4	10.51	10.85	9.53	0	1790	30
5	13.49	14.05	12.32	0	55	0
6	16.55	17.25	14.42	0	0	0
7	19.80	20.20	17.20	0	15	0
8	23.43	22.97	20.31	0	15	0
9	25.65	25.35	25.00	10	25	0

15

Material 1 shows superior mercury pickup to the commercially available product, on the inlet bed, at 4.5-5 wt% Hg. The profile is also sharp, with the majority of mercury removed by the inlet two beds and the remainder used to remove the mercury down to trace levels. Material 6 appears to be reaching saturation at ca. 2.5 wt% Hg, resulting in the different profile shape.

20

Example 6: Effect of pH

Two sorbents were prepared according to the method of Example 1 by spray coating a slurry washcoat of basic copper carbonate onto 1 mm gamma alumina spheres. The copper contents of both were virtually identical at 3.6% wt. The only difference was in the pH of the basic

25 copper carbonate slurry washcoat. With material 1, the pH was 6.0-6.5. With material 11, the

pH was raised with tetramethylammonium hydroxide to 10.0 in order to thin the slurry for spray-coating.

Assuming 100% conversion of the carbonate on sulphiding, this should result in 1.8% wt
 5 sulphur loading for both materials. However, the actual sulphur loadings achieved were
 Material 1 = 1.57% S (87% conversion)
 Material 11 = 0.69% S (38% conversion)

The bed profiles during flowing testing were also very different, with material 11 appearing to
 10 have reached saturation on the inlet beds as indicated below.

Bed	Cumulative Bed Volume (ml)		Total Mercury (ppm w/w)	
	1	11	1	11
1	2.26	3.03	52260	13510
2	5.31	6.08	22500	13200
3	7.52	8.84	385	11730
4	10.51	11.28	0	9610
5	13.49	13.41	0	7945
6	16.55	16.42	0	4725
7	19.80	19.77	0	1335
8	23.43	22.74	0	175
9	25.65	25.47	0	25

The pH 6-6.5 material proved superior to the material applied at pH 10.

15

Example 7: Macroporous material

Washcoat preparation: A basic copper carbonate washcoat slurry was prepared according to the method of Example 1.

Coating: A macroporous alpha alumina support material in the form of rings with an outer
 20 diameter of 15 mm, surface area 0.4 m²/g and pore volume 0.09cm³/g was used. 30g of the alumina support was dipped into the washcoat slurry for 10 minutes to coat the surface. The coated support was dried for 16 hours at 105°C. The coated rings were crushed to a particle size of 1-2 mm. The copper content of the dried material was 3.5% wt.

Sulphiding: 20 ml of the crushed material were fully sulphided with 1% H₂S in N₂. The flow rate
 25 of the gas was 42 litres hr⁻¹ and the sulphiding was carried out at ambient temperature and pressure.

The resulting material was tested for mercury removal from n-hexane in a flowing test according to the method of Example 5. The material was run for 750 hours with no consistent mercury slip observed in the exit stream. The results of the analysis of the recovered material are given below.

5

Bed	Cumulative Bed Volume (ml)	Total Mercury (ppm w/w)
1	2.95	17530
2	5.93	10560
3	8.56	2535
4	11.93	660
5	14.51	260
6	17.02	210
7	20.07	200

The profile is sharp, with the majority of mercury removed by the inlet two beds and the remainder used to remove the mercury down to trace levels.

10 Example 8: Gas phase testing

A sulphided sorbent material was prepared according to the method of Example 1. The copper content of the sulphided sorbent was approximately 2% wt.

15 The ability of the sorbent to capture mercury in the gas phase was determined as follows: 4ml of sorbent material was charged to a glass reactor of internal diameter 5mm. Nitrogen gas containing ~ 17ppb (w/v) of elemental mercury vapour was passed downwards over the sorbent material at atmospheric pressure and ambient temperature at a GHSV of 400hr^{-1} . The test was left under these conditions for 1173 hours. At the end of the test, the reactor was purged with clean nitrogen gas before discharging the sorbent material from the reactor. The 20 sorbent was analysed for mercury content by acid digestion followed by ICP-OES analysis. The results show > 2% by weight mercury capture with a sharp profile through the bed.

Claims.

1. A method for preparing a sorbent composition comprising the steps of:
 - (i) applying, from a solution or a slurry, a layer of a copper compound on the surface of a support material, and
 - (ii) drying the coated support material,
wherein the thickness of the copper compound layer on the dried support is in the range 1-200 μ m.
2. A method according to claim 1 wherein the thickness of the copper compound layer in the dried support is in the range 1 to 150 micrometres, preferably 1-100 micrometres, more preferably 1-50 micrometres.
3. A method according to claim 1 or claim 2 wherein the sorbent comprises 0.5 to 20% by weight of copper.
4. A method according to any one of claims 1 to 4 wherein the total sulphidable metal content of the sorbent, other than copper, is \leq 5% by weight.
5. A method according to any one of claims 1 to 4 wherein the copper compound comprises one or more of copper hydroxycarbonate, copper nitrate, copper sulphate, copper acetate, ammine complexes thereof, copper-(II)-sulphide and copper oxide.
6. A method according to any one of claims 1 to 5 wherein the support material comprises an alumina, hydrated alumina, titania, zirconia, silica or aluminosilicate, or a mixture of two or more of these.
7. A method according to any one of claims 1 to 6 wherein the support material is an alumina.
8. A method according to any one of claims 1 to 7 wherein the support is in the form of a foam, monolith or honeycomb or a coating on a structured packing.
9. A method according to any one of claims 1 to 7 wherein the support is in the form of shaped particulate unit selected from the group consisting of spheres, rings, trilobes, quadralobes, and cylinders having between 2 and 10 holes extending therethrough.
10. A method according to any one of claims 1 to 9 wherein the support has a BET surface area of 10-330 m².g⁻¹ and a pore volume in the range 0.3-0.9 cm³.g⁻¹.

11. A method according to any one of claims 1 to 10 wherein the support is macroporous and/or mesoporous.
12. A method according to any one of claims 1 to 11 wherein the layer of copper compound is formed by dipping or spraying the support with a slurry of basic copper carbonate.
13. A method according to claim 12 wherein the slurry is at a pH in the range 5 to 9.
14. A method according to any one of claims 1 to 11 wherein the layer of copper compound is formed by applying a solution of copper ammine compound onto the support and simultaneously or subsequently heating the support to a temperature in the range 50-200°C.
15. A method according to claim 14 wherein the copper ammine compound comprises copper ammine carbonate.
16. A method according to claim 14 or claim 15 wherein the support is heated to a temperature in the range 50-200°C and a solution of copper ammine compound sprayed onto the heated support.
17. A method according to any one of claims 1 to 16 comprising a step of calcining the dried coated support to convert the copper compound to copper (II) oxide.
18. A method according to any one of claims 1 to 17 further comprising applying one or more sulphur compounds to the layer to effect sulphidation of the copper to form copper (II) sulphide.
19. A method according to claim 18 wherein the sulphur compound is hydrogen sulphide.
20. A sorbent precursor composition obtainable by the method of any one of claims 1 to 17.
21. A sorbent composition obtainable by the method of claim 18 or claim 19, comprising a sulphided copper compound in the form of a layer containing CuS on the surface of a support material.
22. A sorbent composition according to claim 21 wherein the total metal sulphide content in the sulphided sorbent, other than copper, is ≤1% wt.

23. A process for removing a heavy metal from a process fluid comprising contacting said fluid with a sorbent composition according to claim 20 or prepared according to the method of claim 1 to 17 wherein the fluid further comprises one or more sulphur compounds, preferably hydrogen sulphide.
24. A process for removing a heavy metal from a process fluid comprising contacting said fluid with a sorbent according to claim 21 or claim 22 or prepared according to the method of claim 18 or claim 19.
25. A process according to claim 23 or claim 24 wherein the heavy metal is mercury and/or arsenic.
26. A process according to any one of claims 23 to 25 wherein the fluid is a hydrocarbon stream.
27. A process according to any one of claims 23 to 26 wherein the heavy metal containing fluid contains free water in an amount in the range 0.02 to 5% vol.
28. A process according to any one of claims 23 to 27 wherein the fluid is an off-shore hydrocarbon stream or a regeneration stream from a hydrocarbon dehydration unit.