

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
1 October 2009 (01.10.2009)

(10) International Publication Number  
WO 2009/118038 A1

(51) International Patent Classification:  
H04L 12/24 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:  
PCT/EP2008/053509

(22) International Filing Date:  
25 March 2008 (25.03.2008)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERICSSON (publ) [SE/SE]; S-164 83 Stockholm (SE).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): CASTELLANOS ZAMORA, David [ES/ES]; C/Embajadores n°256, 1 ºB, E-28045 Madrid (ES). PRZYBYSZ, Hubert [SE/SE]; Telefonvagen 27, 2tr, S-126 37 Hagersten (SE).

(74) Agent: LIND, Robert; Marks & Clerk LLP, 4220 Nash Court, Oxford Business Park South, Oxford Oxfordshire OX4 2RU (GB).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RS, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SV, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE,

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: POLICY AND CHARGING CONTROL ARCHITECTURE




Figure 4

(57) Abstract: Apparatus for generating policy and charging rules to control IP flows across a packet switched network. The apparatus comprises a first interface for coupling to an application function and a second interface for coupling to a policy and charging enforcement function implemented at a node through which said IP flows pass. A processor or processors is/are configured to receive service information at said first interface, and, via said second interface to trigger the removal of one or more pre-existing policy and charging rules at the policy and charging enforcement function following a predefined delay.



ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, Published:

MC, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI

(BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, ~ m 'th ' mternat 'tio nal' search ' r\_eP^ort (A^rt\_2l'3))

NE, SN, TD, TG).

## POLICY AND CHARGING CONTROL ARCHITECTURE

Technical Field

- 5 The present invention relates to a policy and charging control architecture and more particularly to such an architecture for use with the IP Multimedia Subsystem.

Background

- 10 IP Multimedia services provide a dynamic combination of voice, video, messaging, data, etc. within the same session. By growing the number of basic applications and the media which it is possible to combine, the number of services offered to the end subscribers will grow, and the inter-personal communication experience will be enriched. This will lead to a new generation of personalised, rich multimedia  
15 communication services, including so-called "combinational IP Multimedia" services.

- IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS) is the technology defined by the Third Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) and ETSI TISPAN group to provide IP Multimedia services over mobile communication networks (3GPP TS 22.228, TS 23.228, TS 24.229, TS  
20 29.228, TS 29.229, TS 29.328 and TS 29.329 Releases 5 to 7, and TS 24.173 Release 7). IMS provides key features to enrich the end-subscriber person-to-person communication experience through the use of standardised IMS Service Enablers, which facilitate new rich person-to-person (client-to-client) communication services as well as person-to-content (client-to-server) services over IP-based networks. The IMS  
25 makes use of the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) to set up and control calls or sessions between subscriber terminals (or subscriber terminals and application servers). The Session Description Protocol (SDP), carried by SIP signalling, is used to describe and negotiate the media components of the session. Whilst SIP was created as a subscriber-to-subscriber protocol, IMS allows operators and service providers to control subscriber  
30 access to services and to charge subscribers accordingly.

By way of example, Figure 1 illustrates schematically how the IMS fits into the mobile

network architecture in the case of a GPRS/PS access network (IMS can of course operate over other IP access networks, known generically as IP Connectivity Access Networks or IP-CANs). Call/Session Control Functions (CSCFs) operate as SIP proxies within the IMS. The 3GPP architecture defines three types of CSCFs: the Proxy  
5 CSCF (P-CSCF) which is the first point of contact within the IMS for a SIP terminal; the Serving CSCF (S-CSCF) which provides services to the subscriber and which the subscriber is subscribed to; and the Interrogating CSCF (I-CSCF) whose role is to identify the correct S-CSCF and to forward to that S-CSCF a request received from a SIP terminal via a P-CSCF.

10

The IMS architecture offers the opportunity to deploy peer-to-peer applications where two or more users exchange user data directly, following SIP session establishment via IMS. Examples of peer-to-peer applications are Multimedia Telephony (MMTeI), Push to Talk over Cellular (PoC), streaming, real-time video sharing, file sharing, gaming etc.

15 The transport connection(s) is(are) negotiated dynamically by means of the SIP/SDP protocol exchange between the two end points.

In order to support peer-to-peer IMS applications, a mechanism is needed to selectively control the IP flows (QoS, Firewalling, multiplexing etc.) associated with an IMS  
20 session of a subscriber whilst at the same time allowing the application of appropriate charging schemes. 3GPP has identified such needs and it is currently defining a Policy and Charging Control (PCC) Architecture, TS 23.203. Figure 2 presents a basic outline of the PCC architecture.

25 Within the PCC architecture, an Application Function (AF) 1 is an element implementing applications that require dynamic policy and/or charging control of traffic plane resources. In the case of the IMS, the P-CSCF plays the role of AF in the SIP signaling plane. The Policy and Charging Enforcement Function (PCEF) 2 provides service data flow detection, charging, and policy enforcement of the user plane traffic.

30 Within a General Packet Radio Service (GPRS) access network, the PCEF is located within a GPRS Gateway Support Node (GGSN). The PCRF is a separate logical node and sits in between of the Application layer (e.g. IMS), where services are initiated and

service characteristics are negotiated, and the user plane where the actual service is being provided. The Policy and Charging Rules Function (PCRF) 3 provides policy and flow-based charging control functions, using subscriber data stored in a Subscription Profile Repository (SPR) 4. The PCRF receives Service Information (e.g. application identifier, type of media, bandwidth, IP address and port number) from the AF over the Rx interface, and uses this to install PCC rules into the PCEF which in turn ensures that only authorized media flows associated with the requested services are allowed, and that the correct bandwidth, charging and priority are applied. The PCEF provides real-time charging information to an Online Charging System (OCS) 5.

10

The AF may modify Session Information at any time, for example due to an AF session modification or internal AF trigger. Modification is achieved by the AF sending an AA-Request command to the PCRF over the Rx reference point ("reference point" is a term used in 3GPP to identify an information flow between logical functions) containing the Media-Component-Description Attribute-Value Pairs (AVPs), with the updated Service Information as defined in 3GPP TS 29.214. The PCRF processes the received Service Information according to the operator policy and may decide whether the request is accepted or not. If the request is accepted, the PCRF updates the pre-existing Service Information with the new information. The updated Service Information may require the PCRF to create, modify or delete the related PCC rules and provide the updated information towards the PCEF over the Gx reference point as specified in 3GPP TS 29.212. The procedures used to update the Authorized QoS for the affected IP-CAN bearer are also specified in 3GPP TS 29.212. Currently specified procedures for modification of the Service Information for PCC provide for the immediate activation, replacement and removal of filter description information at the PCEF.

20

25

### Summary

30

It is an object of the present invention to provide a method an apparatus for allowing the deferred removal of IP flow filters at a policy charging and enforcement function.

According to a first aspect of the present invention there is provided apparatus for generating policy and charging rules to control IP flows across a packet switched network. The apparatus comprises a first interface for coupling to an application function and a second interface for coupling to a policy and charging enforcement function implemented at a node through which said IP flows pass. A processor or processors are configured to receive service information at said first interface, and, via said second interface to trigger the removal of one or more pre-existing policy and charging rules at the policy and charging enforcement function following a predefined delay.

10

This apparatus may be embodied as a standalone logical entity, or may be colocated with some other network entity.

In one embodiment of the invention, said processor or processors is/are configured to initiate a timer upon receipt of said service information and, upon expiry of the timer, to send an instruction to said policy and charging enforcement function via said second interface to delete said pre-existing policy and charging rule(s).

Said processor or processors may be configured to send to said policy and charging enforcement function, via said second interface, an instruction to delete said pre-existing policy and charging rule(s) after a delay specified in the instruction.

Said processor or processors may be configured to receive, via said first interface, an explicit request to implement said delay. Said processor or processors may be configured to receive, via said first interface, a delay value.

Said processor or processors may be configured to implement said delay based upon service description characteristics received over said first interface.

Said processor or processors may be configured to (a) install a new set of policy and charging rules into said policy and charging enforcement function in addition to a pre-existing set and (b), following said predefined delay, to remove said pre-existing set

from said policy and charging enforcement function. Said processor or processors may be further configured to perform steps (a) and (b) in response to respective requests received from said application function.

- 5 According to a second aspect of the present invention there is provided apparatus for implementing an application function to establish and control IP flows across a packet switched network. The apparatus comprises an interface for coupling to a policy and charging rules function, and a processor or processors configured to determine service information relating to an IP flow or flows and to send said service information via said  
10 interface together with a request for delayed deletion of a policy and charging control rule or rules relating to said flow(s).

According to an embodiment of the second aspect of the invention, said processor or processors may be configured to send a delay value together with said service  
15 information and said explicit request.

Said processor or processors may be configured to include said service information and said explicit request, and optionally said delay value, within a Session Description Protocol offer. Said processor or processors may be configured to include said explicit  
20 request within said offer as an AVP.

The apparatus of the above second aspect of the invention may be configured to operate as a Proxy Call Session Control Function within an IP Multimedia Subsystem.

- 25 According to a third aspect of the present invention there is provided apparatus for implementing an application function to establish and control IP flows across a packet switched network. The apparatus comprises an interface for coupling to a policy and charging rules function, and a processor or processors configured to determine service information relating to an IP flow or flows and to send said service information via said  
30 interface together with a request to maintain an existing policy and charging control rule or rules relating to said flow(s), and, after a predefined delay, to send a further request to delete said existing policy and charging control rule or rules.

According to a fourth aspect of the present invention there is provided apparatus for implementing a policy and charging enforcement function in respect of IP flows through a packet switched network. The apparatus comprises an interface for coupling  
5 to a policy and charging rules function, and a processor or processors configured to receive via said interface an instruction to remove a policy and charging rule or rules relating to an IP flow or flows, to initiate a timer upon receipt of said instruction, and upon expiry of said timer to remove said rule(s).

- 10 The apparatus of the fourth aspect of the present invention may be configured to operate as a GPRS Gateway Support Node within a GPRS packet switched network.

According to a fifth aspect of the present invention there is provided a method of controlling IP flows through a packet switched access network. The method comprises  
15 sending updated service information from an application function to a policy and charging rules function. At the policy and charging rules function, a determination is made that a policy and charging rule or rules must be removed from a policy and charging enforcement function responsible for an IP flow or flows associated with the session. The policy and charging rule or rules are removed after a predefined delay.

20

According to one embodiment, following a determination that a policy and charging rule or rule must be removed from a policy and charging enforcement function, a timer is initiated at the policy and charging rules function and, upon expiry of said timer, an instruction sent to said policy and charging enforcement function to remove said policy  
25 and charging rule or rules.

Alternatively, following a determination that a policy and charging rule or rule must be removed from a policy and charging enforcement function, an instruction is sent to said policy and charging enforcement function to remove said policy and charging rule or  
30 rules after a predefined delay, a delay value being included with the instruction.

According to a still further alternative, a timer is initiated at said application function



and, following expiry of said timer, further service information sent to said policy and charging rules function, receipt of the further service information resulting in the removal of said policy and charging rule or rules.

- 5 It will be understood that said policy and charging rules function is arranged to make separate determinations for rule(s) removal for uplink and downlink IP flows.

Reference above to service information means information including filter information, e.g. IP source and destination IP addresses and port numbers. The service information  
10 may additionally comprise an identification of required codecs, bandwidth, etc.

According to a sixth aspect of the present invention there is provided a method of controlling IP flows through a packet switched access network. The method comprises sending updated filter information from an application function to a policy and charging  
15 rules function together with a request to maintain existing filter information relating to said IP flows. At said policy and charging rules function, a new policy and charging rule(s) are determined using said updated filter information and the addition of said rules at a policy and charging enforcement function is triggered whilst maintaining said existing filter information. After a predefined delay, a request is sent from said  
20 application function to said policy and charging rules function with previously provided updated filter information and an indication that only this filter information shall remain active. Said existing filter information is deleted at the policy and charging enforcement function, and corresponding bearers also deleted.

- 25 A particular use case of the above method is where the IP flow being controlled is an IP media flow between two or more user entities, UEs.

#### Brief Description of the Drawings

- 30 Figure 1 illustrates schematically components of an IP Multimedia Subsystem overlaid on a packet switched access network;  
Figure 2 illustrates schematically a Policy and Charging Control Architecture;

Figure 3 illustrates signalling associated with a procedure for installing and removing PCC rules from a PCEF;

Figure 4 illustrates schematically a PCRF according to an embodiment of the present invention;

5 Figure 5 illustrates schematically an AF according to an embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 6 illustrates schematically a PCEF according to an embodiment of the present invention;

10 Figure 7 is a flow diagram illustrating two alternative procedures for applying Policy and Charging Control.

Figures 8A and 8B illustrate signalling associated with an alternative procedure for installing and removing PCC rules from a PCEF;

Figure 9 illustrates schematically an AF for use with the procedure illustrated in Figure 8;

15 Figure 10 illustrates schematically a PCRF for use with the procedure illustrated in Figure 8; and

Figure 11 is a flow diagram further illustrating the procedure of Figure 8.

### Detailed Description

20

Considering once again the PCC architecture of Figure 2, it has already been noted that current specifications require the immediate activation, replacement and removal of filter description information at the PCEF once the AF delivers modified Service Information to the PCRF. That is, if the AF modifies Service Information to provide  
25 "new" filter information, the "existing" filter information installed in the PCEF is replaced immediately with the new filter information. IP packets received by the PCEF that match the "existing" filter information will be discarded. Similarly, if the AF modifies Service Information to delete "existing" filter information, this information will be removed from the corresponding PCC rules (the PCC rule itself may be  
30 removed) immediately. IP packets matching "existing" filter information will be discarded with immediate effect.

- Scenarios are envisaged however in which, upon modification of the Service Information by the AF to replace or to remove "existing" filter information, it is undesirable to delete the existing filter information immediately. For example, in the case of TCP-negotiated media over SDP as defined in IETF RFC 4145, the endpoints may negotiate the reestablishment of an "existing" TCP connection. This may occur during a single SIP session over IMS. It is likely that the new TCP connection is established using different port numbers, so the filter information provided previously to the PCRF must be updated.
- 10 It is proposed here to activate the filter information corresponding to the new TCP connection immediately, but at the same time to maintain the existing filter information at least for some time to allow the endpoints to gracefully terminate the existing TCP connection, providing for an enhanced user service. The existing filters remain in place to let the associated TCP packets through until either the UE itself requests the removal of the existing filters (which is possible when UE initiated bearer control mode is used), or until a network-controlled safeguard time period has elapsed (which is used regardless of the bearer control mode between the UE and the PCEF as well as when bearer control procedures are not used at all). The safeguard time is determined by the network and is set to a value that will allow the endpoints to gracefully terminate the "existing" TCP connection. In the case of UE initiated bearer control procedures, the safeguard time sets a limit for the UE to remove the filters itself, thus protecting the network from a potentially fraudulent UE which does not remove the filters.

Figure 3 presents an overall description of a method for the deferred removal of existing filter information in the PCEF. This relates by way of example to the reestablishment of an existing TCP connection. In this context, it is assumed that an existing TCP connection has been established between two endpoints (UEs) and for which corresponding filters and PCC rules are active within the IP-CAN (step 0 in Figure 3). The endpoints then negotiate the re-establishment of this TCP connection by exchanging SDP offer and SDP answer messages via P-CSCF of the IMS (step 1-3 and 30 7). In this case, the AF of the PCC architecture resides in the P-CSCF.

If available (i.e. "new, active/passive" within SDP answer), the P-CSCF provides updated filter information to the PCRF for the establishment of the new TCP connection. It does this using the Diameter AAR message (step 4). Otherwise (i.e. "new, holdconn" within the SDP answer) the P-CSCF requests the removal of existing  
5 filter information in order to block the existing TCP connection. The P-CSCF additionally includes a request for deferred removal of existing filter information.

An explicit indication that deferred removal of existing filter information is required may be in the form of a new "Deferred-Removal-Timer-UL/DL" AVP contained within  
10 the AAR message. The Deferred-Removal-Timer-UL/DL AVP may be of type Unsigned32, and may indicate the value for the timer, e.g. in seconds or milliseconds. This AVP is only used when the AF (P-CSCF) requests replacement of existing filter information with new information (i.e. updated filter information is provided within the Flow-Description AVP) or when the AF requests removal of existing filter information  
15 without providing new information (i.e. Flow-Status AVP is set to the value 'REMOVED'). If the AF does not include this AVP, the existing filter information (if available) is removed immediately (i.e. the default value for this timer is zero if this AVP is not provided by the AF). If the AF includes this AVP but existing filter information is not available for the corresponding flows, the PCRF ignores the AVP.

20

It is noted that, as the timers for deferred removal of uplink (UL) and downlink (DL) filter information may be different, two separate AVPs may be defined and included within the AAR sent from the P-CSCF to the PCRF.

25 The Deferred-Removal-Timer-UL/DL may be included at Media Component level as illustrated in Table 1 below, or at the Media Subcomponent level as illustrated in Table 2.

Upon receipt of the AAR message from the P-CSCF, the PCRF starts the deferred-  
30 removal timer (step 5) and responds to the P-CSCF with a Diameter AAA message (step 6). If new filter information was provided in the request, the PCRF creates PCC rules for the new filter information and installs these into the PCEF (step 8). To

generate the new rules, the PCRF may contact the SPR (see Figure 2).

Rather than implement the delay timer at the PCRF, the PCRF may request that the PCEF initiate the timer and remove the PCC rules for the existing filter information upon expiry of the timer. This approach may indeed be optimal where a single message from the PCRF is used to install both new rules and trigger the delayed removal of the existing rules.

IP flows matching the existing filter information will be accepted at the PCEF prior to expiry of the timer as the corresponding PCC rules will not yet have been removed from the PCEF. This provides a transition period during which the end points can gracefully terminate the existing TCP connection (step 9). When the deferred removal timer expires (step 10), the IP-CAN will remove the corresponding PCC rules for the existing filter. The corresponding bearer resources will be also be released (step 11) and IP flows matching the existing filters will no longer be accepted.

It is possible that the UE may itself request the removal of existing filter information after graceful termination of the existing TCP connection (step 10' replacing steps 10 and 11), in which case the IP-CAN will remove the existing filter information and corresponding PCC rule(s) (step 10') and will stop the deferred removal timer (running in either the PCRF or PCEF) (step 11').

An alternative to including an explicit deferral request in the AAR is to configure the IP-CAN to trigger the deferred removal of existing filter information based on certain service description characteristics as currently provided by the AF. For example, the PCRF may decide to trigger the deferred removal of existing filter information based on a protocol defined within the filter information itself (e.g. proto = TCP). This option does not impact on the current definition of the Rx reference point although the Deferred-Removal-Timer is configured locally at the PCRF.

30

Considering this alternative approach in more detail, when the AF requests the removal of existing filter information, the PCRF will start the Deferred-Removal-Timer-UL/DL.

The PCC rule(s) for the IP flows matching the existing filter information are kept active whilst the timer is running (i.e. corresponding IP flows, e.g. over an existing TCP connection, will be accepted). If the AF provided new filter information to replace the existing information, new filters will be installed and activated at the PCEF over the Gx reference point, either within the same PCC rule(s) defining the existing filters or within  
5 a new PCC rule(s).

When the Deferred-Removal-Timer-UL/DL expires, the PCRF proceeds to remove the existing filter information. The PCRF removes the existing filter information from the  
10 PCC rules where they were defined (most probably the PCC rule itself will be removed). The corresponding bearer resources will be released and the IP flows matching the existing filter information will no longer be accepted.

With reference to Figure 4, there is illustrated apparatus 6 for implementing a PCRF in  
15 the case where the PCRF implements the delay timer. The apparatus comprises a first interface 7 connected to the Rx reference point, and a second interface 8 connected to the Gx interface. A processor or processors 9 is responsible for receiving and handling Service Information received over the Rx interface, and for sending Policy and Charging Control Information out over the Gx interface. The processor(s) determines  
20 based upon explicit indications in the Service Information, or based upon service session characteristics, that a delay is to be implemented. If so, a timer 10 is initiated as described above.

Figure 5 illustrates schematically apparatus 11 for implementing an AF. This apparatus  
25 could comprise a P-CSCF of an IMS network. The apparatus 11 comprises an interface 12 coupled to the Rx reference point, and a processor or processors 13 for generating Service Information based upon requirements of IMS subscribers. In particular, the processor(s) may include an explicit request for rule removal delay within the Service Information.

30

Figure 6 illustrates schematically apparatus 14 for implementing a PCEF in the case where the timer is implemented at the PCEF. The apparatus comprises an interface 15

coupled to the Gx reference point. A processor or processors 16 handles incoming PCC rule related instructions. PCC rules are maintained in a PCC rules database 18. A timer is implemented at entity 17.

5 Figure 7 is a flow diagram illustrating two alternative PCC mechanisms as described above. Common to both mechanisms are the steps of detecting session modification at the AF (step 100), sending modified Service Information from the AF to the PCRF (step 101), receiving the modified Service Information at the PCRF and generating any new Policy and Charging Control Information (step 102), and immediately installing the new  
10 PCC information at the PCEF (step 103). Option A is followed when the timer is implemented at the PCRF (step 104). The timer is checked (step 105), and upon expiry the PCRF sends an IP filter removal instruction to the PCEF (step 106). The PCEF responds by removing the appropriate IP filter(s) from its rules database (step 107). Option B is followed when the delay timer is implemented at the PCEF. The PCRF  
15 immediately sends the IP filter removal instruction to the PCEF (step 104'), together with the new rule, i.e. steps 103 and 104' may be implemented using the same message of the Gx reference point. The PCEF initiates a delay timer with appropriate delay value (step 105'), The timer is checked (step 106'), and upon expiry the existing IP filters are removed (step 107').

20

Turning now to the signalling flow illustrated in Figures 8A and 8B, there is illustrated yet another alternative PCC mechanism. Steps common to the flow of Figure 3 will not be described in detail. The main difference lies in the fact that, according to the further alternative procedure, the deferred filter removal timer is implemented at the AF, i.e. P-  
25 CSCF. The P-CSCF determines the need to implement the timer at step 4, with the timer actually being initiated at step 10 (or earlier). The P-CSCF must still include within the AAR (step 5) an indication that the existing filters shall not be removed for the time being. This allows the PCRF to install the new filter description information without removing the existing filters at step 6. Upon expiry of the timer at the P-CSCF  
30 (step 14), the P-CSCF sends a further AAR to the PCRF, with the filter information that shall be active and with an indication that only this filter information shall now be active. This causes the PCRF to instruct (step 18) the PCEF to remove the

corresponding filter information from existing PCC rules. The PCEF makes finally sure that only the filter description information contained in the AAR in step 14 is active and rest of the previously active filter information is removed from the corresponding bearer (Step 19) (if not previously removed by the UE itself).

5

Turning now to Figure 9, this illustrates schematically an AF suitable for use with the alternative procedure of Figure 8. The AF is essentially as described above with reference to Figure 5, but with the addition of a timer 20. Figure 10 illustrates schematically a PCRF for use with the procedure of Figure 9. The PCRF is essentially  
10 as described above with reference to Figure 4, but with the timer 10 removed.

Considering now the flow diagram of Figure 11, this further illustrates the procedure of Figure 8. At step 200, the AF detects session modification and at step 201 identifies a requirement for deferred removal of filters already existing for the session. The AF  
15 sends an AAR, step 202, to the PCRF and includes an indication of deferred removal. The PCRF generates, step 203, the new rules and installs these into the PCEF, step 204. At step 205, the AF initiates a deferred removal timer, and monitors the timer at step 206. Upon expiry of the timer, the AF sends a further AAR with updated session information and which does not include the deferred removal request, step 207. At step  
20 208, the PCRF deletes the existing rules from the PCEF.



```

Media-Component-Description ::= < AVP Header: 517 >
    { Media-Component-Number } ; Ordinal number of the media comp .
    * [ Media-Sub-Component ] ; Set of flows for one flow id
5      [ AF-Application-Identifier ]
      [ Media-Type ]
      [ Max-Requested-Bandwidth-UL ]
      [ Max-Requested-Bandwidth-DL ]
      [ Flow-Status ]
10     [Deferred-Removal-Timer-UL]
      [Deferred-Removal -Timer-DL]
      [ Reservation-priority ]
      [ RS-Bandwidth ]
      [ RR-Bandwidth ]
15     * [ Codec-Data ]

```

Table 1

20

```

Media-Sub-Component ::= < AVP Header: 519 >
    { Flow-Number } ; Ordinal number of the IP flow
    0*2 [ Flow-Description ] ; UL and/or DL
      [Deferred-Removal-Timer-UL]
25     [Deferred-Removal-Timer-DL]
      [ Flow-Status ]
      [ Flow-Usage ]
      [ Max-Requested-Bandwidth-UL ]
      [ Max-Requested-Bandwidth-DL ]
30     [ AVP ]

```

Table 2

35

## CLAIMS:

1. Apparatus for generating policy and charging rules to control IP flows across a  
5 packet switched network and comprising:  
a first interface for coupling to an application function;  
a second interface for coupling to a policy and charging enforcement function  
implemented at a node through which said IP flows pass; and  
a processor or processors configured to receive service information at said first  
10 interface, and, via said second interface to trigger the removal of one or more  
pre-existing policy and charging rules at the policy and charging enforcement  
function following a predefined delay.
2. Apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said processor or processors is/are  
15 configured to initiate a timer upon receipt of said service information and, upon expiry  
of the timer, to send an instruction to said policy and charging enforcement function via  
said second interface to delete said pre-existing policy and charging rule(s).
3. Apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said processor or processor is/are  
20 configured to send to said policy and charging enforcement function, via said second  
interface, an instruction to delete said pre-existing policy and charging rule(s) after a  
delay specified in the instruction.
4. Apparatus according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein said processor  
25 or processors is/are configured to receive, via said first interface, an explicit request to  
implement said delay.
5. Apparatus according to claim 4, wherein said processor or processors is/are  
configured to receive, via said first interface, a delay value.
- 30 6. Apparatus according to any one of claims 1 to 3, wherein said processor or  
processors is/are configured to implement said delay based upon service description

characteristics received over said first interface.

7. Apparatus according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein said first interface is an interface to an Rx reference point, and said second interface is an interface to a Gx reference point.

8. Apparatus according to claim 7, said processor or processors being configured to receive said service information within a Session Description Protocol offer.

9. Apparatus according to claim 1, said processor or processors being configured to (a) install a new set of policy and charging rules into said policy and charging enforcement function in addition to a pre-existing set and (b), following said predefined delay, to remove said pre-existing set from said policy and charging enforcement function.

10. Apparatus according to claim 9, said processor or processors being configured to perform steps (a) and (b) in response to respective requests received from said application function.

11. Apparatus for implementing an application function to establish and control IP flows across a packet switched network, the apparatus comprising:

an interface for coupling to a policy and charging rules function; and  
a processor or processors configured to determine service information relating to an IP flow or flows and to send said service information via said interface together with a request for delayed deletion of a policy and charging control rule or rules relating to said flow(s).

12. Apparatus according to claim 11, said processor or processors being configured to send a delay value together with said service information and said explicit request.

13. Apparatus according to 11 or 12, wherein said interface is an interface to an Rx reference point.

14. Apparatus according to claim 13, said processor or processors being configured to include said service information and said explicit request, and optionally said delay value, within a Session Description Protocol offer.

5

15. Apparatus according to claim 14, wherein said processor or processors are configured to include said explicit request within said offer as an AVP.

16. Apparatus according to any one of claims 11 to 15, the apparatus being  
10 configured to operate as a Proxy Call Session Control Function within an IP Multimedia Subsystem.

17. Apparatus for implementing an application function to establish and control IP flows across a packet switched network, the apparatus comprising:  
15 an interface for coupling to a policy and charging rules function; and  
a processor or processors configured to determine service information relating to an IP flow or flows and to send said service information via said interface together with a request to maintain an existing policy and charging control rule or rules relating to said flow(s), and, after a predefined delay, to send a further  
20 request to delete said existing policy and charging control rule or rules.

18. Apparatus for implementing a policy and charging enforcement function in respect of IP flows through a packet switched network, the apparatus comprising  
an interface for coupling to a policy and charging rules function; and  
25 a processor or processors configured to receive via said interface an instruction to remove a policy and charging rule or rules relating to an IP flow or flows, to initiate a timer upon receipt of said instruction, and upon expiry of said timer to remove said rule(s).


30 19. Apparatus according to claim 18, said interface being an interface to a Gx reference point.

20. Apparatus according to claim 18 or 19 and configured to operate as a GPRS Gateway Support Node within a GPRS packet switched network.
21. A method of controlling IP flows through a packet switched access network, the  
5 method comprising:  
    sending updated service information from an application function to a policy and charging rules function;  
    at the policy and charging rules function, determining that a policy and charging rule or rules must be removed from a policy and charging enforcement function  
10 responsible for an IP flow or flows associated with the session; and  
    triggering the removal of said policy and charging rule or rules after a predefined delay.
22. A method according to claim 21, wherein said service information is sent via an  
15 Rx reference point.
23. A method according to claim 21 or 22 and comprising, following a determination that a policy and charging rule or rule must be removed from a policy and charging enforcement function, initiating a timer at the policy and charging rules  
20 function and, upon expiry of said timer, sending an instruction to said policy and charging enforcement function to remove said policy and charging rule or rules.
24. A method according to claim 21 or 22 and comprising, following a determination that a policy and charging rule or rule must be removed from a policy and  
25 charging enforcement function, sending an instruction to said policy and charging enforcement function to remove said policy and charging rule or rules after a predefined delay, a delay value being included with the instruction.
25. A method according to claim 23 or 24, said instruction being sent via a Gx  
30 reference point.
26. A method according to claim 21 or 22, and comprising initiating a timer at said


application function and, following expiry of said timer, sending further service information to said policy and charging rules function, receipt of the further service information resulting in the removal of said policy and charging rule or rules.

- 5 27. A method according to any one of claims 21 to 26, wherein said application function is implemented at a Proxy Call Session Control Function of an IP Multimedia Subsystem, and said policy and charging enforcement function is implemented at a GPRS Gateway Support Node.
- 10 28. A method according to any one of claims 21 to 27, said policy and charging rules function being arranged to make separate determinations for rule(s) removal for uplink and downlink IP flows.
29. A method of controlling IP flows through a packet switched access network, the
- 15 method comprising:
- sending updated filter information from an application function to a policy and charging rules function together with a request to maintain existing filter information relating to said IP flows;
- at said policy and charging rules function, determining a new policy and
- 20 charging rule(s) using said updated filter information and triggering the addition of said rules at a policy and charging enforcement function whilst maintaining said existing filter information;
- after a predefined delay, sending a request from said application function to said policy and charging rules function with previously provided updated filter
- 25 information and an indication that only this filter information shall remain active; and
- deleting said existing filter information at the policy and charging enforcement function, and deleting corresponding bearers.
- 30 30. A method according to claim 29, wherein the IP flow being controlled is an IP media flow between two or more user entities.

1/10

Figure 1

2/10

Figure 2






Figure 3

4/10




Figure 4




Figure 5

5/10





Figure 6

6/10

Figure 7




Figure 8A




Figure 8B

9/10




Figure 9





Figure 10

10/10

Figure 11



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No  
PCT/EP2008/053509

**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**  
INV. H04L12/24

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
H04L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, IBM-TDB, INSPEC, COMPENDEX

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

| Category* | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages  | Relevant to claim No. |
|-----------|---|-----------------------|
| X         | <p>3RD GENERATION PARTNERSHIP PROJECT:<br/>"Technical Specification Group Core<br/>Network and Terminals; Policy and Charging<br/>Control over Gx reference point (Release<br/>7)"<br/>3RD GENERATION PARTNERSHIP PROJECT,<br/>[Online] 1 March 2008 (2008-03-01), - 1<br/>March 2008 (2008-03-01) pages 1-46,<br/>XP002526081<br/>Retrieved from the Internet:<br/>URL :http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/Specs/archi ve/<br/>29_series/29.212/&gt;<br/>[retrieved on 2009-04-29]<br/>paragraphs [04.1] - [04.3]<br/>figure 4.1<br/>paragraph [4.5.8]</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-----<br/>-/-</p> | 1-30                  |



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

\* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the International filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

<sup>1&1</sup> document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the International search

29 April 2009

Date of mailing of the international search report

19/05/2009

Name and mailing address of the ISA/

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Cichra, Michael

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No  
PCT/EP2008/053509

| C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT |   |                       |
|--|---|-----------------------|
| Category*  | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages  | Relevant to claim No. |
| A  | <p>3RD GENERATION PARTNERSHIP PROJECT:<br/> "Technical Specification Group Services<br/> and System Aspects; Policy and charging<br/> control architecture (Release 7)"<br/> 3RD GENERATION PARTNERSHIP PROJECT,<br/> [Online] 1 December 2007 (2007-12-01), - 1<br/> December 2007 (2007-12-01) pages 1-72,<br/> XP002526082<br/> Retrieved from the Internet:<br/> URL :http://www .3gpp .org/ftp/Specs/htmi -inf<br/> o/23203.htm&gt; [retrieved on 2009-04-29]<br/> paragraph [0004]<br/> paragraph [0005]<br/> figure 5.1<br/> paragraph [6.2.2.1]</p> | 1-30                  |