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machines. If any given client machine fails, or is delayed, in the performance its portion of the task, the master machine uses an estimate of that particular portion when presenting output for the task.
ABSTRACT

The present invention provides a method and system for grid computing. In an embodiment, a plurality of client machines are interconnected to at least one master machine. The master machine assigns a portion of a computing task to each one of the client machines. If any given client machine fails, or is delayed, in the performance its portion of the task, the master machine uses an estimate of that particular portion when presenting output for the task.
SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR GRID COMPUTING

Field Of The Invention

The present invention relates to generally to computing and more particularly to a method and system for grid computing.

Background of the Invention

The interconnection of relatively inexpensive microcomputers via networks, such as the Internet, presents opportunities to provide computing power that can rival very costly supercomputers. Known as grid computing, the harnessing of such computing power typically involves a master computer that assigns portions of a computing task to a plurality of discrete client computers via a network.

One of the more well-known grid computing applications is the SETI@home project (http://setiathome.ssl.berkeley.edu) sponsored by the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence with support from The Planetary Society, 65 North Catalina Avenue, Pasadena, California 91106-2301 USA (http://www.planetary.org). SETI@home is a computing effort that utilizes immense amounts of computing power. In a nutshell, each client in the grid analyzes a small portion of a huge volume of radio telescope data, to mine for extraterrestrial radio communications or other evidence of extraterrestrial life. The radio telescope data is, by-and-large, simply radio-frequency background noise generated by the universe, and therefore the task of discerning an extraterrestrial broadcast within that data is an enormous undertaking. The undertaking is perceived to have low odds of success and little obvious commercial value, thereby making the use of a supercomputer to perform this task cost prohibitive. The SETI@home project is thus perceived to be an ideal task for grid computing. To participate, individuals with personal computers connected to the Internet go to the SETI Web site and download a special screensaver. The screensaver volunteers the individual computer to be a client in a grid of thousands of client computers. SETI's system assigns portions of the data to be processed by each individual client computer.

SETI@home is, however, but one example of the potential for grid computing. In general, grid computing can offer computing power to individuals and institutions that would not
otherwise have access to supercomputers.

One problem common that is grid computing is the management of each client machine. Numerous problems can arise when trying to manage any particular computing task, problems that are exacerbated as more and more machines participate in the task. For example, in the SETI@home project each client machine is typically owned and operated by individuals, who may at any given time choose to “drop out” of participating in the grid computing application. Even where those individuals themselves choose to remain, problems with any individual client, or network problems between the manager and client, will frustrate the performance of the larger computing task. The manager must thus keep track of the performance of each client and accommodate failures in order to properly complete the task.

It is expected that certain problems of grid computing can be overcome with the Open Grid Services Architecture (“OGSA”), which promises to provide a common standard that will make the implementation of software applications via grid computing relatively straightforward to implement. Thus, manager and client machines that are OGSA compliant will at least be able to use the OGSA layer to handle, in a standardized fashion, at least some of the connectivity issues between the manager and the clients.

However, even with the OGSA, problems remain. Each client in a grid is inherently unreliable, either due to client or network failure, making performance of the task less reliable than simply running the task on a supercomputer. Problems are further exasperated by the fact that there can be a delay before the master detects the failure of any given client. Still further problems arise upon detection of the failure of a particular client, as it is can be necessary to restart the entire task if that failed client happened to be performing some critical portion of the task.

Summary of the Invention

It is an object of the present invention to provide a method and system for grid computing that obviates or mitigates at least one of the above-identified disadvantages of the prior art.

In an aspect of the present invention there is provided a manager for use in a system of grid computing. The manager can be a computing device, such as a server, that comprises a processor that is programmed to render the manager operable to define a computing task based on data received by the processor. The processor is further operable to assign a portion of the
task to each of a plurality of clients that are connected to the manager via a network. The processor is also operable to approximate a result of each portion of the task if the client fails to return its result to the manager.

The task can be one of plurality of repeatable operations, that themselves include a plurality of sub-operations, and wherein an approximation of the sub-operation introduces an predefined accepted level of error to a performance of the task. Typically, the sub-operations can be applied substantially independently of said other sub-operations. The task can be an n-body type problem, such as the type that is solvable using the Barnes-Hut operation.

Another aspect of the invention provides a method of grid computing comprising the steps of:

- receiving data respective to a computing task;
- defining the task based on the received data;
- assigning a portion of the task to each of a plurality of clients based on the defining step;
- awaiting receipt of results of the portions from the clients;
- approximating the results for any clients where the results are not received;
- compiling the received results and the approximated results; and,
- outputting the results in a pre-defined format.

Another aspect of the invention provides a system of grid computing comprising a manager operable to define a computing task and assign a portion of the task to each of a plurality of clients that are connected to the manager via a network. The manager is further operable to approximate a result of the portion if the client fails to return the result to the manager.

Another aspect of the invention comprises a computer-readable media comprising a plurality of computing instructions for a manager that connectable to a plurality of clients via a network. The computing instructions are for defining a computing task and assigning a portion of the task to each of the clients. The instructions include steps for approximating a result of the portion of the task, if the client fails to return the result to the manager.

**Brief Description of the Drawings**

The present invention will now be explained, by way of example only, with reference to CA9-2003-0071
certain embodiments and the attached Figures in which:

Figure 1 is a schematic representation of a system for grid computing in accordance with an embodiment of the invention;

Figure 2 is a representation of a plurality of stars within a galaxy for which movements of those stars is to be determined using the system in Figure 1;

Figure 3 is a flow-chart depicting a method of grid computing in accordance with another embodiment of the invention;

Figure 4 shows the galaxy of Figure 2 being sub-divided using the method of Figure 3;

Figure 5 shows the galaxy of Figure 4 being further sub-divided using the method of Figure 3;

Figure 6 shows a tree representative of the sub-division of the galaxy of Figure 5 that is prepared using the method Figure 3; and,

Figure 7 is a flow-chart depicting a method of sub-steps for performing one of the steps in the method of Figure 3.
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Description of the Invention

Referring now to Figure 1, a system for grid computing is indicated generally at 20. System 20 includes a master 24 connected to a plurality of clients 28, 28, ... 28n. (Collectively “clients 28” and generically “client 28”.) Master 24 and clients 28 are connected via a network 32 such as the Internet, but network 32 can be another type of network as desired. Master 24 can be any type of computing device operable to manage a grid computing task, such as an IBM® Pseries running Linux®. Clients 28 are typically a diverse range of relatively low-power personal computing devices, such as any Intel®-based personal computers based on the Pentium® chipset, or iMacs® from Apple® respectively running a suitable operating system. In a present embodiment, software executing on master 24 and clients 28 is OGSA compliant to handle connectivity via network 32.

Before describing system 20 and its operation further, an example of a computing task that can be performed on system 20 will now be described. Referring now to Figure 2, a galaxy is indicated generally at 40. Galaxy 40 is comprised of a plurality of stars 44, 44, ... 44. (Collectively “stars 44” and generically “star 44”.) For each star 44, its mass and the coordinates of its location within galaxy 44 is known. System 20 is operable to perform the
computing task of determining the movement of stars 44 over time. Those of skill in the art will now recognize that this exemplary task is a simplified "n-body" type problem, with the common task of determining the distances (denoted herein with the variable "r") between each one of the stars 44. It will also thus become apparent to those of skill in the art that system 20 can be used to perform other types of, and far more complex and/or multi-dimensional n-body problems.

Figure 3 shows a method of grid computing in accordance with another embodiment of the invention that is indicated generally at 100. In particular, method 100 depicts a set of steps for operating system 20 that can be used to perform the task of determining the movement of stars 44. It is contemplated that the following discussion of method 100 will assist in the understanding of system 20, and vice-versa. However, those of skill in the art will recognize that the operation and sequence of steps of method 100 can be varied, and need not actually be implemented on a system identical to system 20, and such variations are within the scope of the invention.

Beginning first at step 110, a task is defined. When implemented on system 20, manager 24 performs step 110. Continuing with the example of performing the task of determining the movement of stars 44 in galaxy 40, manager 24 will perform step 110 by building a tree that divides this task into smaller portions. In the present embodiment, manager 24 will thus analyze the data associated with galaxy 40 and build a tree using the well-known Barnes-Hut operation to recursively subdivide galaxy 40 in order to simplify determination of distances between stars 44, and thereby to determine their accelerations and movements over time. (For a detailed discussion of the Barnes-Hut operation, see Josh Barnes and Piet Hut, *A Hierarchical O(N log N) Force Calculation Algorithm, Nature*, 324, 4 December 1986, the contents of which are incorporated herein by reference.)

Referring now to Figure 4, galaxy 40 is shown having been divided into a square 48 whose sides are of equal length. The length of the sides is the maximum spatial extent (denoted herein with the variable "E") of stars 44 in any spatial dimension. Using Barnes-Hut, galaxy 40 is thus defined by square 48 whose side is the maximum extent between the stars 44 therein, namely between star 44₂ and star 44₆. The square is divided into four quadrants 52₁, 52₂, 52₃ and 52₄.

As shown in Figure 5, galaxy 40 is then sub-divided recursively using the Barnes-Hut approach to evenly divide galaxy 40 and quadrants 52 thereof until there is one or no star 44
within a given sub-division. For example, since quadrant 52 only contains one star 44, it need not be subdivided.

As shown in Figure 6, the results of the subdividing shown in Figures 4 and 5 is then assembled into a tree 60 in accordance with the Barnes-Hut operation. The root of tree 60 is indicated at 62, and represents the entire galaxy 40. Tree 60 has a plurality of leaves 64, which respectively represent a quadrant 52 or a star 44, depending on whether a subdivision was performed or not on a particular region of galaxy 40. Thus, leaf 64₂ represents star 44₁, while leaves 64₁, 64₃, 64₄ represent quadrants 52₁, 52₃ and 52₄ respectively. By the same token, leaves 64₅, 64₆,... 64₁₀ represent stars 44₂, 44₃, 44₅, 44₆, 44₄ and 44₇ respectively. The contents of each of those leaves 64 will thus include information relevant to its respective star 44, i.e. its mass, precise location within galaxy 40, and any other information that is desired, such as an initial acceleration and velocity.

Thus, the building of tree 60 by manager 24 from the data representing galaxy 40 represents the culmination of the performance of step 110 in method 100.

Method 100 then advances from step 110 to step 120, at which point a portion of the computing task is assigned to each client within the grid. When implemented on system 20, manager 24 performs step 120. Continuing with the example of determining movement of stars 44, manager 24 will thus take tree 60 and assign portions of tree 60 to various clients 28 within system 20 according to the distribution of stars 44 in tree 60. For example, manager 24 can assign:

a) a first portion to client 28₁, namely stars 44₂, 44₃ and 44₁ to according to the contents of leaves 64₅, 64₆ and 64₂ respectively;

b) a second portion to client 28₂, namely stars 44₅ and 44₆ to client 28₂ according to the contents of leaves 64₇ and 64₈ respectively; and

c) a third portion to client 28ₙ, namely stars 44ₙ and 44 to according to the contents of leaves 64ₙ and 64₁₀ respectively.

In a present embodiment, such assignment of portions of the task is performed via an OGSA facility available in manager 24 and clients 28. Having so assigned portions of the task, each client 28 will utilize the data passed thereto at step 120 to determine the total acceleration on each of the respective stars 44 due to the other stars 44 in the galaxy 40 for the respective stars 44 that it was assigned to process in accordance with the Barnes-Hut operation. In other
words, each client 28 is used to walk a respective portion of tree 60 in accordance with the Barnes-Hut operation.

Method 100 then advances to step 130, at which point the results generated by the clients are compiled. In a present embodiment, step 130 can be performed over a number sub-steps, indicated generally as method 130a in Figure 7. Referring now to Figure 7, at step 131 there is a wait-state to receive the results of assigned portions of the task. When using system 20, manager 24 will perform step 131, waiting for a particular client 28 to pass the results of that client 28’s performance of the task that was assigned at step 120. The wait at step 131 can be based on various criteria, such as a simple time-delay, and/or it can be based on receipt of a message from a particular client 28 that a result is, or is not, going to be forthcoming from that particular client 28, and/or it can be based on receipt of a message from equipment that operates network 32 that indicates to manager 24 that a particular client 28 is no longer connected to network 32. Whatever the criteria used at step 131, when method 130a advances to step 132, a determination is made as to whether results were actually received from that particular client 28 for which manager 24 was waiting at step 131. If results were received at manager 24 from that particular client 28, then method 130a advances from step 132 to step 133, and those received results are included in the compilation of results. Thus, according to the specific example discussed above, where client 28₂ completes its determination of the acceleration of stars 44₄ and 44₆ and returns those results to manager 24, then those results are included as part of the compilation of all results collected by manager 24.

However, if, at step 132, no results are actually received for a particular client 28, then the method advances to step 134 where an approximation is made of the results that were expected from that particular client. Such an approximation is typically made by manager 24. According to the specific example discussed above, where, for example, client 28₄, fails to return the results of its determination of acceleration of stars 44₄ and 44₇, then manager 24 will use an approximation of that acceleration. During an initial cycling of method 100, such an approximation can be the same initial acceleration (or velocity, if desired) of stars 44₄ and 44₇ that was originally sent to client 28₄, during the assignment of the portion of the overall task that was performed at step 120. Alternatively, method 100, and method 130a have successfully cycled more than once and during a previous cycle results (i.e. the acceleration of stars 44₄ and 44₇) were actually received from that client 28₄, then the last-received acceleration results from
client 28a will form the approximation at step 134. Other means of having manager 24 perform
the approximation will now occur to those of skill in the art. Method 130a then advances from
step 134 to step 133, and the particular approximation generated at step 134 is used in the
compilation of results performed at step 133.

Method 130a then advances to step 135, where a determination is made as to whether all
clients have been accounted for. If all clients have not been accounted for, then method 130a
advances to step 136, where the manager's attention is moved to the next client, and then the
method 130a returns to step 131 to begin anew of that next client. If, at step 135, however, all
clients have been accounted for, then the method advances to step 137 and all of the results are
compiled. Thus, when step 137 is performed in relation to the determination of the movement of
the stars 44 of galaxy 40, manager 24 will use the accelerations received, or approximated, in
relation to tree 60 to determine the movements, and new locations, of stars 44 within galaxy 40.

Method 130a is thus completed, and by extension, step 130 is also thus completed, and
so, referring again to Figure 3, method 100 advances to step 140 and a determination is made as
to whether the task is complete. In the specific example of determining the movement of stars 44
in galaxy 40, if further determinations are needed or desired to ascertain the movements of stars
44 in galaxy 40, then the method will return to step 110 so method 100 can begin anew.
However, if no further determination are needed, or desired, then the task is complete and
method 100 ends.

While only specific combinations of the various features and components of the present
invention have been discussed herein, it will be apparent to those of skill in the art that desired
subsets of the disclosed features and components and/or alternative combinations of these
features and components can be utilized, as desired. For example, the steps of methods 100 and
130a need not be performed in the exact sequence, or format as shown.

Furthermore, it should be reiterated that system 20 and method 100 were described in
relation to a simplified computing task of determining movements of stars within a two-
dimensional galaxy. It should now be apparent that the teachings herein can be utilized to
determine more general, and multi-dimensional, n-body type problems that can be described has
having in common a determination of:

\[ \frac{1}{r^n} \]

or, more generically, \[ \frac{1}{r^n} \]
for a number of objects, where \( r \) is the distance between those objects, and \( x \) is any real number. In still more general terms, it is to be understood that the teachings herein can be applied to operations where relationships can be occasionally approximated with minimal, or otherwise acceptable, impact on the overall results. Such objects can be masses or charged particles, or any other type of object to which an n-body type problem is applicable.

It is also to be understood that the teachings herein can be applied to a variety of tasks, other than n-body type problems, that may share characteristics that are similar to n-body type problems. In general, the teachings herein can be used to handle computing tasks comprising repeatable operations that include a number of sub-operations, where those sub-operations can be applied a plurality times substantially independently of the other sub-operations. Examples of real-world tasks include determinations of: a) movements of masses in the universe or a given space; b) particle charges; c) electromagnetic fields in electronic circuits or other contexts; d) fluid dynamics in a fluid system; e) weather patterns; f) equity fluctuations in financial markets; and/or g) movements of objects in multi-player games. Other examples of tasks that can be performed using the teachings herein will occur to those of skill in the art.

A variety of enhancements to system 20, method 100 and method 130a are also contemplated and within the scope of the invention. For example, manager 24 can be configured to perform load balancing based on a pattern of failures or other experiences of waiting for client results at step 131. If, for example, manager 24 finds on a given cycling of method 130a that client 28 \( _2 \) returns results more quickly than client 28 \( _1 \), then manager 24 can elect during subsequent cycles of step 120 to assign a greater portion of the overall task to client 28 \( _1 \), and a smaller portion to client 28 \( _2 \), or to elect to stop using client 28 \( _2 \) altogether. More specifically, during a subsequent cycling of step 120, manager 24 can elect to assign:

a) stars 44\( _2 \), 44\( _3 \) and 44\( _4 \) to client 28\( _2 \);

b) stars 44\( _5 \) and 44\( _6 \) to client 28\( _1 \); and

c) stars 44\( _4 \) and 44\( _7 \) to client 28\( _n \).

Such load-balancing can be performed on the fly, from cycle-to-cycle of method 100, as desired. Alternatively, where a given client 28 is effectively disconnected from network 32, then manager 24 can assign that portion to the remaining clients 28. For example, if client 28\( _n \) disconnected from network 32, then manager 24 can elect to assign portions of the task as follows:

a) stars 44\( _2 \), 44\( _3 \), 44\( _4 \), 44\( _4 \) and 44\( _7 \) to client 28\( _2 \); and,
b) stars 44, and 44, to client 28.

Conversely, as new clients 28 join network 32, then manager 24 can further distribute task-portions amongst the full set of clients 28. In general, it should be understood that the number of leaves 64 need not correspond to the number of available clients 28. Additional types of load-balancing techniques will now occur to those of skill in the art.

As another enhancement, manager 24 can be provided with a metric that represents a threshold of a degree of error in the performance of its task that is acceptable or desirable. Thus, for example, where manager 24 has had to perform some predetermined, excessive number of approximations at step 134, then manager 24 can be operated to perform a series of catch-up cycles, wherein the failed task portions assigned to particular clients 28 for which approximations were made are actually reassigned to other clients 28, while further cycles are delayed until the approximations are substituted for correct results. Again, the point at which manager 24 institutes such corrective action can be based on any desired criteria, and the way such corrective action is implemented can be chosen. For example, where a given portion of a task is relatively straightforward, it can be desired to have manager 24 actually perform the task-portion itself, rather than assigning that portion to a client 28.

The aforementioned threshold of degree of error in the performance of the task can also be used to determine what kinds of tasks can be performed by system 20. System 20 can be particularly suitable where approximations are acceptable in performance of all or part of the task at hand.

Furthermore, while the task discussed in reference to galaxy 40 of Figure 2 involves the assignment of all aspects of the task to each client 28, it should be understood that other types of tasks can include input from a particular client 28. For example, where manager 24 is coordinating a playing arena in a multi-player game, and each client 28 represents a participant in the game, then the task can include manager 24 assigning each client 28 the responsibility of determining where that participant is located in the arena, but such determination will also include user-input from the individual operating that particular client 28, as that individual selects where the participant is to move within the arena. Thus, where a client 28 momentarily "drops-out" of the game, manager 24 can approximate the movement of the participant until the client 28 rejoins. This type of variation can also be applicable to tasks involving weather determinations, as various clients 28 represent weather stations that contribute local weather...
condition data to the manager 24. This type of variation can also be applicable to tasks involving tracking pricing of products in financial markets, as each client 28 can represent a particular trading floor of that particular product, with manager 24 tracking an aggregate market-price for a particular product.

The above-described embodiments of the invention are intended to be examples of the present invention and alterations and modifications may be effected thereto, by those of skill in the art, without departing from the scope of the invention which is defined solely by the claims appended hereto.
CLAIMS
The embodiments of the invention in which an exclusive property or privilege is claimed are defined as follows:

1. A manager for use in a system of grid computing comprising a processor operable to define a computing task based on data received by said processor, said processor further operable to assign a portion of said task to each of a plurality of clients connected to said manager via a network, said processor further operable to approximate a result of said portion if said client fails to return said result to said manager.

2. The manager of claim 1 wherein said task is one of plurality of repeatable operations, said task including a plurality of sub-operations, and wherein an approximation of said sub-operation introduces a predefined accepted level of error to a performance of said task.

3. The manager according to claim 2 wherein said sub-operations can be applied substantially independently of said other sub-operations.

4. The manager according to claim 3 wherein said task is an n-body type problem.

5. The manager according to claim 4 wherein said n-body type problem is performed using the Barnes-Hut operation.

6. A method of grid computing comprising the steps of:
   receiving data respective to a computing task;
   defining said task based on said received data;
   assigning a portion of said task to each of a plurality of clients based on said defining step;
   awaiting receipt of results of said portions from said clients;
   approximating said results for any clients where said results are not received;
   compiling said received results and said approximated results; and,
   outputting said results in a pre-defined format.
7. The method of claim 6 comprising the additional step of, prior to said outputting step, of repeating all foregoing steps until a desired level of performance of said task is achieved.

8. The method of claim 6 wherein said task is one of plurality of repeatable operations, said task including a plurality of sub-operations, and wherein an approximation of said sub-operation introduces an acceptable level of error to a performance of said task.

9. The method of claim 6 wherein said sub-operations can be applied substantially independently of said other sub-operations.

10. The method of claim 9 wherein said task is an n-body type problem.

11. The method of claim 10 wherein said n-body type problem can be performed using the Barnes-Hut operation.

12. A system of grid computing comprising: a manager operable to define a computing task and assign a portion of said task to each of a plurality of clients connected to said manager via a network, said manager further operable to approximate a result of said portion if said client fails to return said result to said manager.

13. A computer-readable media comprising a plurality of computing instructions for a manager connectable to a plurality of clients via a network, said computing instructions for defining a computing task and assigning a portion of said task to each of said clients, said instructions including steps for approximating a result of said portion if said client fails to return said result to said manager.

14. The computer-readable media of claim 13 wherein said task is one of plurality of repeatable operations, said task including a plurality of sub-operations, and wherein an approximation of said sub-operation introduces a predefined accepted level of error to a performance of said task.
15. The computer-readable media of claim 14 wherein said sub-operations can be applied substantially independently of said other sub-operations.

16. The computer-readable media of claim 14 wherein said task is an n-body type problem.

17. The computer-readable media of claim 16 wherein said n-body type problem is performed using the Barnes-Hut operation.

18. The computer readable media of claim 13 wherein said task is selected from the group consisting of determining a) movements of masses in a given space; b) charges of particles; c) electromagnetic fields; d) fluid dynamics in a fluid system; e) weather patterns; f) equity fluctuations in financial markets; and g) movements of objects in multi-player games.
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