



(51) International Patent Classification:

A61B 5/107 (2006.01) A61F 2/24 (2006.01)  
A61B 8/06 (2006.01) G06F 19/12 (2011.01)  
A61B 8/08 (2006.01) G06T 7/00 (2017.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/US2017/055046

(22) International Filing Date:

04 October 2017 (04.10.2017)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

62/403,940 04 October 2016 (04.10.2016) US

(71) Applicant: OHIO STATE INNOVATION FOUNDATION [US/US]; 1524 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio 43201 (US).

(72) Inventors: DASI, Lakshmi; 8009 Luckstone Drive, Dublin, Ohio 43017 (US). AZIMIYAN, Amirsepehr; 27 West 8th Ave Apt B, Columbus, Ohio 43201 (US).

(74) Agent: OZERUGA, Igor et al.; Benesch, Friedlander, Coplan & Aronoff LLP, 200 Public Square, Suite 2300, Cleveland, Ohio 44114 (US).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DJ, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JO, JP, KE, KG, KH, KN, KP, KR, KW, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(54) Title: SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR PREDICTIVE HEART VALVE SIMULATION




FIG. 1

(57) Abstract: Systems and methods are described herein for predictive heart valve simulation. The systems and methods described herein can include segmenting anatomical region of a heart of a patient from image data characterizing the heart of the patient. Anatomical model data that can include three-dimensional shapes of the anatomical regions of the heart can be generated based on the image data. The anatomical model data can be used to generate analytical model data. The analytical model data can include a three-dimensional mesh of the anatomical regions of the heart. A deformed analytical model that can be indicative of a deformed position of the anatomical regions of the heart and a deformed position of the surgical object can be generated based on the analytical model data.



**(84) Designated States** (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available*): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

**Published:**

— *with international search report (Art. 21(3))*

## **SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR PREDICTIVE HEART VALVE SIMULATION**

### **CROSS-REFERENCED TO RELATED APPLICATION**

**[0001]** This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62/403,940, filed on October 4, 2016, entitled "SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR PREDICTIVE HEART VALVE SIMULATION," the contents of which are incorporated herein by reference.

### **TECHNICAL FIELD**

**[0002]** The present specification generally relates to systems and methods for predictive heart valve simulation.

### **BACKGROUND**

**[0003]** Transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) can provide treatment for patients with severe aortic stenosis, and high-risk patients with various comorbidities, who cannot undergo conventional open-heart surgery. Despite the advantages associated with TAVR, complications such as, for example, conduction abnormalities, significant residual aortic regurgitation, and cerebrovascular events can still occur. In addition to the complications, life-threatening events can also occur during TAVR. The events can include coronary obstruction, paravalvular leakage, and thrombosis. Coronary obstruction can occur in either the right or left coronary artery. In some instances, coronary obstruction can be more prevalent with balloon expandable bioprostheses.

**[0004]** An average left coronary artery height and mean aortic root diameter can be used to identify criteria that can provide an indication that the patient is at risk for coronary obstruction. An average left coronary artery height and mean aortic root diameter can be approximately 10 millimeters (mm) and 28 mm, respectively. The identified criteria can include a coronary ostium height (basal leaflet insertion to coronary ostium distance) of less than 12 mm (or 10 mm), a sinus of Valsalva (SOV) diameter of less than 30 mm, a valve leaflet length greater than coronary height relative to an annulus, and a shallow SOV with bulky calcification. The identified criteria can be used to determine if a patient should undergo coronary protection.

**[0005]** Existing criteria based approaches for providing an indication that a patient is at risk coronary obstruction fail to consider certain anatomic factors (e.g., lesion size and/or location, a sinus width at a coronary ostium, a leaflet's length, etc.). Moreover, existing criteria's in some instances cannot be individualized to the anatomy and conditions of the patient. Thus, existing criteria's for coronary obstruction fail to provide a sufficient relationship (e.g., detailed information on anatomical factors and their respective interrelationship relative to a coronary obstruction), and accuracy for guiding a clinical procedure decision making process.

## SUMMARY

**[0006]** In an example, a method for predictive heart valve simulation can include generating anatomical model data based on image data characterizing anatomical regions of a heart of a patient. The anatomical model data can include three-dimensional shapes of the anatomical regions of the heart. The anatomical model data can be used by a geometric modeling engine to generate analytical model data. The analytical model data can include a three-dimensional mesh of the anatomical regions of the heart. The analytical model can be provided with a three-dimensional mesh of a surgical object. The analytical model data can be used by a numerical analysis engine to generate a deformed analytical model. The deformed analytical model can be indicative of a deformed position of the anatomical regions of the heart and a deformed position of the surgical object. The deformed analytical model can be evaluated to provide heart functionality measures for the heart.

**[0007]** In another example, a method for predictive heart valve simulation, can include segmenting, with one or more processors, anatomical regions of a heart of a patient from image data characterizing the heart of the patient. The anatomical regions can include one or more calcific nodules, an aortic root that can include a coronary artery, and an aortic leaflet. The image data of the one or more calcific nodules, the aortic root, and the aortic leaflet can be used by the one or more processors to generate anatomical model data. The anatomical model data can include three-dimensional shapes of the one or more calcific nodules, the aortic root, and the aortic leaflet. A deformed position of the aortic leaflet and the calcific nodule can be simulated by the one or more processors. A gap size can be quantified by the one or more processors based on the deformed position of the calcific nodule and the coronary artery of the aortic root.

**[0008]** In an even further example, a method for predictive heart valve simulation can include segmenting anatomical regions of a heart of a patient from image data characterizing the heart of the patient. The anatomical regions can include one or more calcific nodules, an aortic root that can include a coronary artery, and an aortic leaflet. The image data of the one or more calcific nodules, the aortic root, and the aortic leaflet can be used by an image processing engine to generate anatomical model data. The anatomical model data can include three-dimensional shapes of the one or more calcific nodules, the aortic root, and the

aortic leaflet. The anatomical model data can be used by a geometric modeling engine to generate analytical model data. The analytical model data can include three-dimensional meshes of the one or more calcific nodules, the aortic root, and the aortic leaflet. The analytical model data can be used by a numerical analysis engine to generate a deformed analytical model. The deformed analytical model can be indicative of a deformed position of the calcific nodule and the coronary artery of the aortic root. A gap size can be determined between the deformed position of the calcific nodule and the coronary artery of the aortic root.

**[0009]** In another example, a method for predictive heart valve simulation can include receiving image data indicative of a heart of a patient. The image data can include a calcific nodule, an aortic root that can include a coronary artery, and an aortic leaflet. One or more parameters can be determined based on the anatomical model data. The one or more model parameters can include a thickness  $t$  of the calcific nodule. A deformed position of the aortic leaflet and the calcific nodule can be determined by a parametric analysis engine based on the one or more model parameters. The parametric analysis engine can be programmed to model the aortic leaflet in a fully expanded position. A gap size can be quantified with the parametric analysis engine based on the deformed position of the calcific nodule and the coronary artery of the aortic root. The gap size can correspond to a two-dimensional distance between a nodule point on the deformed position of the calcific nodule and an ostium point on the coronary artery of the aortic root.

**BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

- [0010]** FIG. 1 depicts an example of a system for predictive heart valve simulation.
- [0011]** FIG. 2 depicts an example of a method for predictive heart valve simulation .
- [0012]** FIG. 3 depicts exemplary image data.
- [0013]** FIG. 4 depicts exemplary anatomical model data.
- [0014]** FIGS. 5-9 depict exemplary analytical model data.
- [0015]** FIGS. 10-14 depict exemplary deformed analytical models.
- [0016]** FIG. 15 depicts an example of a method for predictive heart valve simulation.
- [0017]** FIGS. 16-19 depict an example of slices of computed tomography (CT).
- [0018]** FIGS. 20-21 depict exemplary analytical model data.
- [0019]** FIGS. 22-23 depict an example of a parametric analysis engine.
- [0020]** FIG. 24 depicts an example of a method for delivery of a self-expandable stent to a patient.
- [0021]** FIGS. 25(a) and 25(b) depict exemplary portions of a method for delivery of a self-expandable stent to a patient.
- [0022]** FIG. 26 depicts exemplary self-expandable stents.
- [0023]** FIG. 27. depicts an exemplary method for predicting and quantifying paravalvular leakage.
- [0024]** FIGS. 28-30 depict exemplary anatomical model data collected during a patient study.
- [0025]** FIGS. 31-33 depict exemplary deformed analytical models collected during the patient study.
- [0026]** FIGS. 34-39 depict exemplary ordered pairs of gap sizes collected during the patient study.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION

**[0027]** Systems and methods are described herein for evaluating anatomic factors of patients. The anatomic factors can be evaluated according to the systems and methods described herein based on image data. For example, anatomic parameters such as calcium nodule size and location can be used to predict coronary obstruction. Moreover, the systems and methods described herein can be used as a framework to quantify coronary obstruction prior to a procedure, such as transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR). It is noted that, while the examples described herein are with reference to TAVR, the examples described herein should not be construed as limited to only TAVR. The examples described herein can be used to predict outcomes or risks associated with Transcatheter Mitral Valve Replacement (TMVR), or any other existing or yet to be developed transcatheter valve replacement or insertion procedure. Exemplary procedures can include, but not limited to, transcatheter valve replacement or insertion in a pulmonary root, pulmonary vein ostium, tricuspid annulus, superior vena cava, or inferior vena cava.

**[0028]** FIG. 1 relates to a system 10 for predictive heart valve simulation. The system 10 can be configured to collect image data characterizing a heart of a patient 20. The system 10 can include an imaging device 100. The imaging device 100 can be configured to collect image data 22 in two or three dimensions of the patient. The image data 22 can include, but not limited to, X-ray image data (e.g., X-ray computed tomography (CT) images), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) image data, or ultrasound image data. An imaging device 100, as described herein, can correspond to any modality that can be configured to collect image data 22 of the patient 20, such as the patient's heart.

**[0029]** The imaging device 100 can further include one or more processors 102 for executing machine readable instructions and memory 104 for storing the machine readable instructions. The one or more processors 102 can be coupled to the memory 104, and configured to retrieve the stored machine readable instructions at the memory 104. The one or more processors 102 can include an integrated circuit, a microchip, a computer, or any other computing device capable of executing machine readable instructions. The memory 104 can include RAM, ROM, a flash memory, a hard drive, or any device capable of storing machine readable instructions.

**[0030]** The imaging device 100 can further include a sensor 106. The sensor 106 can be configured to collect measurements of the heart of the patient 20. The sensor 106 can be coupled to the one or more processors 102, the memory 104, or both. It is noted that the term “sensor,” as used herein, corresponds to a device that can be configured to measure a physical quantity and convert the measured physical quantity into a representative signal, which can be correlated to a measured value of the physical quantity. In some examples, the imaging device 100 can include an X-ray CT system for collecting X-ray data. Accordingly, the sensor 106 can be an X-ray detector, and can be configured to detect photons such as, for example, a point detector, a linear detector, or a planar detector.

**[0031]** In some examples, the imaging device 100 can include a source 108. The source 108 can be configured to generate excitation energy that can be detectable by the sensor 106. The source 108 can be coupled to the one or more processors 102, the memory 104, or both. In examples where the imaging device 100 includes an X-ray CT system, the source 108 can be an X-ray source. The X-ray can be configured to emit photons along a path. The path can begin at the source 108 and terminate at the sensor 106. The heart of the patient 20 can be located along the path, and thus between the source 108 and the sensor 106. A portion of the photons can be absorbed by the patient 20, while measurements are collected by the sensor 106. Accordingly, the photons received by the sensor 106 can be indicative of the patient 20, e.g., the intensity of the photons can be correlated to the density of patient's 20 body.

**[0032]** The imaging device 100 can further include an actuation assembly 110. The actuation assembly 110 can be configured to manipulate the patient 20, the sensor 106, the source 108, or a combination thereof. For example, the actuation assembly 110 can include one or more servo-mechanisms that can be configured to control an amount of force required for manipulating the patient 20, the sensor 106, the source 108, or a combination thereof. In the examples described herein, the one or more processors 102, the memory 104, or both can be integral with any or all of the sensor 106, the source 108, and the actuation assembly 110. However, it is to be understood that the one or more processors 102, the memory 104, or both, can be separate components that can be coupled with one another.

**[0033]** In some examples, the actuation assembly 110 can include a mechanical actuator, a hydraulic actuator, a pneumatic actuator, an electrical

actuator, or a combination thereof. The actuation assembly 110 can be coupled to the one or more processors 102, the memory 104, or both. The one or more processors 102 can be configured to execute the machine readable instructions to control the operation of the sensor 106, the source 108, and the actuation assembly 110. The actuation assembly 110 can be configured to cause relative motion of the patient 20 with respect to the sensor 106 and the source 108. For example, the actuation assembly 110 can include a gantry system for moving the sensor 106 and the source 108 in a substantially circular pattern relative the patient 20.

**[0034]** In examples where the imaging device 100 includes an X-ray CT system, multiple measurements of the patient 20 can be collected by the sensor 106, relative motion between the patient 20 and the sensor 106, the source 108, or both. Each measurement can be constructed into an image having greater dimensional complexity than the measurement generated by the sensor 106. For example, each measurement can be indicative of absorption or density of the patient 20, and can be constructed into the image data 22 indicative of the anatomy of the patient 20. For example, measurements collected by a line detector can be used to produce a two-dimensional images showing a slice of the patient's anatomy. A plurality of slices can be combined to provide a full representation of the patient 20 in three-dimensions such as, for example, by combining slices collected along a direction orthogonal to the plane of the slices. Measurements collected by a planar detector can be combined into three-dimensional images of the patient 20.

**[0035]** The imaging device 100 can further include network interface hardware 112. The network interface hardware can be coupled to the one or more processors 102 such that the imaging device 100 can be coupled to another device via a network. The network can include, but not limited to, a wide area network (WAN), a local area network (LAN), a personal area network (PAN), or a combination thereof. The network interface hardware 112 can be configured to communicate (e.g., send and/or receive data signals) via any wired or wireless communication protocol. For example, the network interface hardware 112 can include an antenna, a modem, LAN port, wireless fidelity (Wi-Fi) card, WiMax card, near-field communication hardware, or the like. Accordingly, the imaging device 100 can be coupled to a network via wires, a WAN, a LAN, a PAN, or the like.

**[0036]** Suitable LANs can include, but not limited to, wired Ethernet and/or wireless technologies such as, for example, Wi-Fi. Suitable PANs can include, but not limited to, wireless technologies such as, for example, infrared data association (IrDA), BLUETOOTH, wireless universal serial bus (USB), Z-WAVE, ZIGBEE, or the like. Alternatively or additionally, suitable PANs can further include, but not limited to, wired computer buses such as, for example, USB and FIREWIRE. Thus, any components of the imaging device 100 can utilize one or more network components to communicate data via the network.

**[0037]** The system 10 can further include an image analysis device 200. The image analysis device can be configured to executing machine readable instructions to provide image analysis and anatomical simulation functionality based on anatomical information extracted from the image data 22. The image analysis device 200 can include one or more processors 202. The one or more processors 202 can be configured to retrieve and execute the machine readable instruction stored in memory 204. The one or more processors 202 can be coupled to network interface hardware 206. It is noted that, while the image analysis device 200 is depicted in the example of FIG. 1 as being a single machine, each of the one or more processors 202, the memory 204, and the network interface hardware 206, including their components and functions, can be distributed amongst a plurality of machines that can be communicatively coupled to one another. Additionally, it is noted that in some examples, the image analysis device 200 and the imaging device 100 can be implemented on a single machine. The image analysis device 200 can further include a display 208. The display 208 can be coupled to the one or more processors 202. Alternatively or additionally, the display can be provided as a wearable device, such as, for example a smart watch or a virtual reality headset. Suitable example of virtual reality headsets can include Samsung Gear VR, Sony PlayStation VR, Oculus Rift, or the like.

**[0038]** In view of the foregoing structural and functional features described above, a method that can be implemented will be better appreciated with reference to FIGS. 3, 15, and 24. While, for purposes of simplicity of explanation, the method of FIGS. 3, 15, and 24 are shown and described as executing serially, it is to be understood and appreciated that such method is not limited by the illustrated order, as some aspects could, in other examples, occur in different orders and/or concurrently with other aspects from that shown and described herein. Moreover,

not all illustrated features may be required to implement a method. The method or portions thereof can be implemented as instructions stored in one or more non-transitory storage media as well as be executed by a processing resource (e.g., one or more processor) of a system, for example, the image analysis device 200 .

**[0039]** FIG. 2 depicts an example of a flow diagram illustrating an example method 120 for predictive heart valve simulation. The method 120 can include a process 122 for providing image data 22. In some examples, the image data 22 can include X-ray CT image data collected based on the patient 20. The image data 22 can be collected prior to a clinical procedure. For example, prior to performing a heart procedure (e.g., TAVR), the image data 22 can be generated based on the patient 20, which, as described herein, can be used to predict the outcome or risks associated with the clinical procedure. The image data 22 can characterize an anatomical region of the patient 20. The anatomical region can include one or more of the pulmonary root, pulmonary vein ostium, tricuspid annulus, superior vena cava, or inferior vena cava.

**[0040]** The image data 22 can be stored in the memory 104 of the imaging device 104. In some examples, the image data 22 can be organized such as, for example, into systole data and/or diastole data. The image data 22 can be transmitted to the memory 204 of the image analysis device 200 such as, for example, via the network interface hardware 112 and the network interface hardware 206. Additionally, the image data 22 can be stored on or transmitted via an intermediary device that can include memory such as, for example, a cloud storage device or a portable memory.

**[0041]** The method 120 can further include a process 124 for segmenting the image data 22. At process 124, the image data 22 can be used to generate anatomical model data 24, such as shown in FIG. 4. The anatomical model data 24 can include computer-aided design (CAD) shapes composed of points, curves, surfaces, solids, or the like encoded into a machine readable format. In some examples, the image analysis device 200 can execute an image processing engine 210 provided on the memory 204. The image processing engine 210 can be programmed to generate the anatomical model data 24 based on the image data 22. The anatomical model data 24 can be provided in two-dimensions or three-dimensions. For example, CT images can include pixels or voxels indicative of relative intensity that can be encoded into a machine readable format such as, for

example, Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) format, X-ray, raw image data, or the like. Accordingly, the image processing engine 210 can include image processing methods that can evaluate CT images. Suitable commercial software toolkits including image processing methods are available such as, but not limited to, RadiAnt™, available from Medixant, and Mimics available from Materialise.

**[0042]** At process 124, the image processing engine 210 can be further programmed to segment image data characterizing one or more anatomical regions 26 from the image data 22. The imaging processing engine 210 can be programmed to generate the anatomical model data 24 based on the segmented image data. For example, the anatomical regions 26 can include anatomy of the patient 10 that can be manipulated during a clinical procedure. In the example of TAVR, the anatomical regions 26 can include an aortic root 28, native aortic leaflets 30, and calcific nodules 32. The calcific nodules 32 can correspond to calcium based deposits that can develop within the patient 20. The calcific nodules 32 can have an irregular geometric shape and can vary in size and shape for each patient 20. The segmentation can make use of various algorithms such as, for example, thresholding, edge detection, shape recognition, filtering, clustering, or the like. For example, the anatomical regions 26 of CT images can include different ranges of intensity (e.g., pixel or voxel) relative to tissue stiffness. Once segmented, each of the anatomical regions 26 can be transformed into a CAD shape within the anatomical model data 24.

**[0043]** The method 120 can further include a process 124 for defining analytical model data 34, such as shown in FIGS. 5, 6, 7, 8A, 8B, and 9. Generally, the analytical model data 34 can include mesh elements such as, for example, nodes and edges, that can be used for numerical analysis. In some examples, the image analysis device 200 can be configured to execute a geometric modeling engine 212 provided on the memory 204. The geometric modeling engine 212 can be programmed to generate analytical model data 34 based on the anatomical model data 24. Alternatively or additionally, the analytical model data 34 can include a surgical object 36 representative of model implants, surgical instruments, or any other device that can interact with the anatomical regions 26 of the patient 20 during the clinical procedure. For example, the surgical objects 36 can include a three-dimensional model of a transcatheter aortic valve (TAV) 37, such as shown in

FIGS. 8A and 8B. The TAV 37 can include a stent 12 that can be configured to extend between a top portion 14 and a bottom portion 16 of the TAV 37. The stent 12 can include artificial leaflets 18. Additionally, or alternatively, the TAV 37 can be modeled by a correspondingly shaped cylinder 39. Suitable commercial software toolkits for implementing the geometric modeling engine 212 can include, but not limited to, SolidWorks®.

**[0044]** In the example of TAVR, the analytical model data 34 can include meshes. The meshes can correspond to each of the aortic root 28, the aortic leaflets 30, the calcific nodules 32, and the surgical object 36. The meshes can be mapped to the CAD shapes of the anatomical regions 26 and the surgical objects 36. For example, the nodes can be mapped to curves, surfaces, points, or the like of the anatomical model data 24. The nodes and edges of the mesh can be formed in a variety of shapes such as, for example, triangle, quadrilateral, tetrahedron, pyramid, hexahedron, or the like. In a test example, 10-node tetrahedral elements were mapped with a patch-independent algorithm to the anatomical regions 26 corresponding to soft tissue regions. The stent 12 was meshed using hexahedron elements. The total number of mesh elements varied for each patient, and was a function of the shape and size of the anatomical regions 26 (e.g., aortic wall 38, aortic leaflets 30, coronary arteries 40, and calcific nodules 32).

**[0045]** The method 120 can further include a process 128 for simulating the clinical procedure. In some examples, the image analysis device 200 can be configured to execute a numerical analysis engine 214 provided on the memory 204. The numerical analysis engine 214 can be programmed to map boundary conditions, and a system of equations to the analytical model data 34. The numerical analysis engine 214 can be programmed to solve the system of equations based on the boundary conditions to simulate the clinical procedure. For example, the numerical analysis engine 214 can be programmed for finite element analysis (FEA), computational fluid dynamics (CFD), or the like. Suitable commercial software toolkits for implementing the numerical analysis engine 214 can include, but not limited to, ANSYS® available from ANSYS, Inc..

**[0046]** The numerical analysis engine 214 can be programmed to simulate the clinical procedure by assigning boundary conditions to the analytical model data 34 and manipulating the surgical objects 36 to resemble the clinical procedure. In the example of TAVR, the numerical analysis engine 214 can be programmed to model

an impact of the clinical procedure upon the anatomy of the patient 20. For example, the movement aortic leaflets 30 and the calcific nodules 32 can be modeled by the numerical analysis engine 204 to quantify an amount of coronary obstruction, paravalvular leakage, thrombosis, or a combination thereof. The material properties of the aortic root 28 and the aortic leaflets 30 can be considered to be linear elastic, and the calcific nodules 32 and can be modeled by the numerical analysis engine 204 as rigid objects. The physical characteristics of the aortic root 28 and the aortic leaflets 30 can be mapped to the analytical model data 34, e.g., the mesh can be assigned a Young's modulus of about 2,000 kilopascal (kPa), Poisson's ratio of about 0.495, and a density of about 1,000 kilograms per meter squared ( $\text{Kg/m}^3$ ). Moreover, the surgical object 36 can be modeled by the numerical analysis engine 204 as the stent 12, the TAV 37, the cylinder 39, or the like. For example, the surgical object 36 can be modeled by the numerical analysis engine 204 as homogeneous isotropic stainless steel with a Young's modulus of about 205 gigapascal (GPa), Poisson ratio of about 0.275, and tensile strength of about 620 megapascals (MPa).

**[0047]** A pessimistic scenario can be modeled by considering deformation of the aortic leaflets 30 in a fully expanded position. In some examples, the pessimistic scenario can be simulated by representing the TAV 37 as the cylinder 39 that expands in the analytical model data 34 (e.g., the surgical object 36 can be a cylinder 39 with expanding dimensions). It is noted that more complex scenarios can be modeled by representing the TAV 37 with a less idealized model without departing from the examples described herein. In some examples, the surgical object 36 can include a model of the TAV 37, which can be expanded in a manner that imitates a physical deployment (e.g., dimensions, force, rate of change) of the TAV 37 (e.g., a self-expanding device or a balloon-expanding device). The surgical object 36 can be deployed at a center of the commissures to expand the aortic leaflets 30. Since a contact coefficient between the stent 12 and aortic leaflets 30 is not well known, a frictionless contact can be specified. Alternatively, the contact coefficient can be specified. In addition, for better convergence, a "Normal Lagrange" formulation and "Adjust to Touch" interface treatment can be used at a contact region. To account for a nonlinearity of the problem, a sparse direct solver with full Newton-Raphson control can be used. A displacement control boundary

condition can be applied to the surgical object 36 based on an annulus diameter of the aortic root 28.

**[0048]** The numerical analysis engine 214 can be further programmed to generate a deformed analytical model 42 by modeling the impact of changing the dimensions of the surgical object 36. For example, as the dimensions of the surgical object 36 change, the position of aortic leaflets 30 and the calcific nodules 32 can respond by changing position (e.g., the aortic leaflets 30 can expand radially to cause the calcific nodules 32 attached thereto to change position). Likewise, the surgical object 36 can deform in response to interaction with the aortic leaflets 30 and the calcific nodules 32. Accordingly, each deformed analytical model 42 can correspond to a deformed position of the aortic leaflets 30, the calcific nodules 32, the surgical object 36 caused by the TAVR. Any number of deformed analytical models 42 can be defined to model an initial deployment 44 of the surgical object 36, such as shown in FIG. 10, an intermediate deployment of the surgical object 36, such as shown in FIG. 11, a full deployment 48 of the surgical object 36, such as shown in FIGS. 12A and 12B, and any position there between.

**[0049]** In some examples, the surgical object 36 can be changed in the analytical model data 34 to generate additional deformed analytical models 42. Accordingly, the numerical analysis engine 214 can be programmed to predict the impact of the use of different sizes or types of TAV's 37 upon the anatomy of the patient 20. Moreover, the surgical object 36 can be repositioned in the analytical model data 34 to determine the impact of changes in positioning upon the deformed analytical models 42. For example, the TAV 37 can have an insertion depth 60 (e.g., a distance between the top portion 14 of the TAV 37 and the annulus 62 of the aortic root 28). Additionally, a pitch angle and yaw angle relative to a centerline 64 of the aortic root 28 can be modeled by the numerical analysis engine 214. Accordingly, the pitch angle, yaw angle, insertion depth 60, or a combination thereof, can be modeled to quantify a sensitivity of the patient 20 to the TAVR. In some examples, deformed analytical models 42 can be generated consecutively, or in parallel, to allow for direct comparison of different sizes, types, or positions of TAV's 37. For example, each of the deformed analytical models 42 can be depicted on the display 208. Thus, an efficacy of each TAV 37 can be visualized prior to the clinical procedure, for example, prior to performing TAVR.

**[0050]** Referring collectively to FIGS. 12A and 12B, after modeling the deformation of the aortic leaflets 30 caused by full deployment 48 of the surgical object 36, a gap size  $\alpha_{3D}$  can be determined. The gap size  $\alpha_{3D}$  can correspond to a shortest three-dimensional distance between a coronary ostium of the coronary artery 40 and a potential obstruction such as, for example, a calcific nodule 32 on the aortic leaflets 30, or the aortic leaflets 30. Thus, the gap size  $\alpha_{3D}$  can be determined based on a position of the aortic leaflets 30 after TAV stent deployment. The gap size  $\alpha_{3D}$  can be correlated to risk of coronary obstruction. For proper heart function, blood travels over the aortic leaflets 32 to reach the coronary ostium. During TAV stent deployment, aortic leaflets 32 can be forced towards the coronary arteries 40 to accommodate the new valve prosthesis. A life-threatening complication known as coronary ostium obstruction can occur when the aortic leaflets 32 are forced into a position that blocks the coronary ostia, cutting off blood flow to remaining portions of the heart.

**[0051]** Accordingly, a small gap size  $\alpha_{3D}$  (e.g., less than about 3 millimeters (mm)) can provide an indication that the coronary artery 40 is blocked. Moreover, it is noted that for some patients, the gap size  $\alpha_{3D}$  can be measured relatively easily. However, for other patients, especially those at high risk for coronary obstruction, additional views and inspection can be required to determine the gap size  $\alpha_{3D}$ . Accordingly, the deformed analytical model 42 can improve an accuracy of the diagnosis by providing a full three-dimensional geometric representation of the calcific nodule 32, the aortic leaflets 32, and the coronary artery 40.

**[0052]** Referring collectively to FIGS. 8A, 8B, 12A and 12B, after modeling the deformation of the aortic leaflets 30 caused by full deployment 48 of the surgical object 36, a gap size can be determined to quantify paravalvular leakage (e.g., undesired blood flow between the TAV 37 and the annulus of the aortic root 28). The gap size can correspond to a largest three-dimensional distance between the stent 12 or artificial leaflets 18 relative to the annulus of the aortic root 28. Generally, the gap size can be correlated to risk of paravalvular leakage.

**[0053]** The numerical analysis engine 214 can further be programmed to simulate blood flow 49 properties for any of the deformed analytical models 42, such as shown in FIGS. 13 and 14. For example, the geometry of the deformed analytical

model 42 corresponding to full deployment 48 of the surgical object 36 can be used for CFD to model blood flow 49 properties in aortic root 28 region under different conditions. In some examples, the blood flow 49 can be used to quantify paravalvular leakage. For example, the amount and rate of blood flow 49 flowing between the TAV 37 and the aortic root 28 can be indicative of the relative risk for paravalvular leakage. Alternatively or additionally, the blood flow 49 properties of the deformed analytical model 42 can quantify thrombosis. Thrombosis can correspond to localized coagulation or clogging of the blood induced by the TAVR.

**[0054]** The blood flow 49 can be used to identify the TAVR induced blood flow stasis zones. For example, the results of the blood flow 49 can be displayed on the display 208 to depict and quantify blood flow stasis zones. Accordingly, the blood flow stasis zones can be indicative of risk for thrombosis. Additionally, the CFD can be used to model a flow pattern 50 of contrast agent flow in coronary artery 40, which can be used to validate the numerical analysis engine 214 or the efficacy of the modeled clinical procedure with data collected during and/or following the clinical procedure. For example, comparing the arrangement of the calcific nodule 32 arrangement and flow patterns in the CFD relative to aortographic images captured during and/or after the clinical procedure can provide insight into the accuracy of deformed analytical model 42 and the CFD.

**[0055]** Referring collectively to FIGS. 1, 3, 4, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, and 21, the examples provided herein can further include a method 130 for predictive heart valve simulation. The method 130 can include a process 132 for generating parameters indicative of the anatomical regions 26 of the patient 20. In some examples, the parameters can be generated directly or indirectly based on the image data 22. For example, the image data 22 can include a plurality of slices of CT data 52 representative of the left coronary leaflet 54 and the right coronary leaflet 56, such as shown in FIGS. 16, 17, 18, and 19. The CT data 52 can be directly measured for determining parameters for the anatomical regions 26. The parameters can include, for example, a coronary ostium height relative to the annulus baseline, an annulus diameter, and/or a sinotubular junction (STJ) diameter, which can correspond to the final position of the coronary leaflets 30 after deployment.

**[0056]** Alternatively or additionally, model parameters can be determined based on the plurality of slices of CT data 52 of the left coronary leaflet 54 and the

right coronary leaflet 56. The model parameters 58 can include a height  $h$  of coronary artery 40 from the annulus, a thickness  $t$  of the calcific nodule 32 on the left coronary leaflet 54, a thickness  $t$  of the calcific nodule 32 on the right coronary leaflet 58, a projection of coronary ostium diameter  $d$  on the annulus to STJ line, a sinus width  $w$  between coronary ostium and the annulus to STJ, a leaflet length  $l$  of the left coronary leaflet 54, and a leaflet length  $l$  of the right coronary leaflet 56. Since the aortic leaflets 30 undergo the most strain during diastole, the image data 22 can be captured in a diastolic phase of a cardiac cycle. In further examples, the parameters, the model parameters, or both can be generated based on the anatomical model data 24.

**[0057]** Referring collectively to FIGS. 1, 15, 22, and 23, the method 130 can further include a process 134 for simulating the clinical procedure. In some examples, the image analysis device 200 can be configured to execute a parametric analysis engine 216 provided on the memory 204. The parametric analysis engine 216 can be programmed to simulate the impact of the clinical procedure upon the size and the location of the calcium nodule 32 based on the model parameters. When the parametric analysis engine 216 simulates the TAVR, a gap size  $\alpha_{2D}$  can be determined by modeling the coronary leaflets 30 in a fully expanded position (e.g., such as shown in FIG. 21) due to TAV stent deployment. The gap size  $\alpha_{2D}$  can correspond to a two-dimensional distance between the tip of the coronary leaflet 30 and coronary ostium of the coronary artery 40. Generally, the gap size  $\alpha_{2D}$  can be correlated to risk of coronary obstruction. It is noted that the parametric analysis engine 216 can be programmed to model anatomy of the patient 20 in two-dimensions to determine the gap size  $\alpha_{2D}$ .

**[0058]** The parametric analysis engine 216 can be further programmed to determine a location of two points: nodule point  $P_c$ , which can correspond to the position of the calcific nodule 32 of the aortic leaflet 30; and ostium point  $P_o$ , which can correspond to the position of the upper edge of the coronary ostium of the coronary artery 40. Accordingly, the gap size  $\alpha_{2D}$  can be calculated by the parametric analysis engine 216 based on:

$$\alpha_{2D} = \sqrt{(\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2} \quad \text{(Equation 1),}$$

wherein  $\Delta x$  is a horizontal offset (x-direction) between the nodule point  $P_c$  and the ostium point  $P_o$ , and  $\Delta y$  is a vertical offset (y-direction) between the nodule point  $P_c$  and the ostium point  $P_o$ .

**[0059]** The horizontal offset  $\Delta x$  can be determined based on Equation 2 and the vertical offset  $\Delta y$  can be determined based on Equation 3:

$$\Delta x = w - t \quad (\text{Equation 2}),$$

$$\Delta y = h + d - l \quad (\text{Equation 3}),$$

wherein the following model parameters can be used: the sinus width  $w$  at the ostium level of the coronary artery 40, the thickness  $t$  of the calcific nodule 32 on the tip of the aortic leaflet 30, the leaflet length  $l$ , height  $h$  of the coronary ostium of the coronary artery 40, and coronary ostium diameter  $d$  of the coronary artery 40.

**[0060]** The parametric analysis engine 216 can be further programmed to calculate the gap size  $\alpha_{2D}$  for both left and right coronary ostium of the coronary arteries 40.

**[0061]** FIG. 24 depicts an example of a method 2400 for delivery of a self-expandable stent to a patient. The self-expandable stent (or "stent") can correspond to any stent described herein, available, or can become available. In an example, the stent can correspond to a stent, such as shown in FIG. 26. The method 2400 can begin at step 2402, wherein models of patient-specific geometry can be generated and aligned with one or more objects. FIG. 25(a) illustrates a more detailed view of the step 2402, as shown in FIG. 24. In some examples, the models can include CAD models. The patient specific geometry can include an aortic wall, leaflets, and calcium nodules. The patient specific geometry can be aligned with a catheter (e.g., a cylinder with a given diameter based on a type of stent, e.g., valve type). The patient specific geometry can further be aligned with a crimping device (e.g., a funnel with a diameter substantially equal to the diameter of the catheter, and with a greater diameter than an in-flow diameter of the stent). The patient specific geometry can further be aligned with the self-expandable stent (e.g., a TAV stent).

**[0062]** At 2404, a crimping device can be employed to gradually crimp the stent. At 2406, the crimping device simultaneously with the catheter can be configured to move toward the self-expandable stent (e.g., displacement boundary condition in an axial direction) such that bottom nodes of the TAV stent and the catheter are in a similar

plane. The bottom nodes of the TAV stent can be fixed in a radial direction and free in other directions (e.g., axial and circumferential direction).

**[0063]** At 2408, the catheter along with the crimped TAV stent can be implanted at an aortic site (e.g., an aortic root) while the crimped TAV stent can be located inside the catheter. A particular location of a valve differs in patients, and can depend on anatomical factors of the patient specific geometry. At 2410, while bottom nodes of the TAV stent are fixed in the radial direction and free in the other directions (e.g., axial and circumferential directions), the catheter can be configured to release the TAV stent gradually (e.g., the displacement boundary condition in the axial direction). At 2412, the catheter can be removed, and the TAV stent can be in the fully expanded configuration at the aortic site. FIG. 25(b) illustrates a more detailed view of the step 2412, as shown in FIG. 24.

**[0064]** After deployment the TAV stent outcomes of the clinical procedure can be evaluated. A final position of the native leaflets and calcium nodules relative to coronary arteries can be presented based on proper slices. The final configuration of the TAV can be analyzed. All the stress distributions on either the patient-specific geometry or TAV can be measured for further evaluations according to the systems and methods described herein. The material that can be used for the TAV stent can include Nitinol. The material properties of the patient-specific geometry can be modeled according to a hyper-elastic model. Calcium nodules can be modeled according to a linear-elastic model. Both crimping and catheter can be modeled as rigid models.

**[0065]** In an example of an aortic valve replacement, the TAV stent can be positioned relative to the aortic site such that risks associated with a TAVR procedure can be substantially mitigated based on the systems and methods described herein. Such risks can include, but not limited to, coronary obstruction, paravalvular leakage, and thrombosis. Based on the systems and methods described herein, the stent can be positioned relative to the aortic site such that the stent can be deployed at the aortic site with zero to minimal resulting complications. Thus, the systems and methods described herein can substantially improve an accuracy and quality of a TAVR procedure, and thereby substantially reduces the risks associated with the procedure. Accordingly, the systems and methods described herein can be used a framework to quantify a risk (e.g., coronary obstruction) associated with the TAVR procedure prior to the procedure.

**[0066]** The quantified risk can be used to control the subsequent TAVR procedure. The systems and methods described herein can be used to predict risks associated with the TAVR procedure, and can be used to control the TAVR procedure such that the risks associated with the procedure are substantially mitigated. Controlling the TAVR procedure can include controlling one or more parameters of the TAVR procedure. The one or more parameters can include an orientation of the stent relative to the aortic site, a valve type and size, prior coronary protection, paravalvular leak consideration, and a need for the TAVR procedure.

**[0067]** FIG. 26 illustrates exemplary stents 2600 according to the systems and methods described herein. The exemplary stents 2600 can include a plurality of stents that can have varying diameters. Alternatively, the exemplary stents can include a plurality of stents that can have substantially similar diameters. The exemplary stents 2600 can include a plurality of self-expandable stents 2602, 2604, and 2606, and a balloon expandable stent 2608.

**[0068]** FIG. 27 depicts an exemplary method 2700 for predicting and quantifying paravalvular leakage. The method 2400 can begin at step 2702, wherein after deployment of a TAV stent inside a patient-specific geometry, a final configuration of the TAV stent and the patient-specific geometry can be used as an initial geometry for CFD simulations. At 2704, potential gaps between the TAV stent and an inner wall of the patient-specific geometry can be identified for paravalvular leakage by applying a simulated blood flow from the ascending aorta relative to a left ventricle of the heart. At 2706, a section at an ascending aorta (e.g., top surface) can be defined as a flow inlet, and a section at the left ventricle can be defined as a flow outlet. At 2708, a maximum pressure gradient between the left ventricle and ascending aorta can be applied at the inlet. The outlet pressure can be set to zero such that the gradient can cause the fluid to flow from the inlet to the outlet. Since leakage flow is being studied, the flow can be in a reverse direction compared to a flow exiting the aortic valve. At 2710, after obtaining the steady state solution, locations and quantities of leakage flows can be measured based on jet velocity.

**[0069]** Examples

**[0070]** The examples provided herein were evaluated based on CT images of nine (9) patients who underwent TAVR. Three of the patients experienced coronary obstruction. Each of the patients were evaluated based on CT images acquired prior to TAVR. Using a parametric analysis engine (e.g., the parametric analysis

engine 216, such as shown in FIG. 1), the gap size  $\alpha_{2D}$  was calculated for both the left and right coronary arteries of the nine patients. The values as well as clinical statuses of the nine patients studied are summarized below in Table 1.

Table 1: Measurements from Patients

Patient s #	$\alpha_{2D}$ for Left Coronary Ostium (mm)	$\alpha_{2D}$ for Right Coronary Ostium (mm)	$\alpha_{3D}$ for Left Coronary Ostium (mm)	$\alpha_{3D}$ for Right Coronary Ostium (mm)	Coronary Obstruction Risk Level	TAVR Operation Completed	Coronary Obstruction Confirmation
A	14.78	7.52	12.38	7.4	low	Yes	No
B	3.53	3.62	2.58	3.39	low	Yes	No
C	8.69	5.96	6.68	7.07	low	Yes	No
D	3.87	2.26	4.6	2.54	moderate	Yes	No
E	0.98	5.69	0.93	6.4	high	No	n/a
F	2.16	2.24	0.85	3.13	high	No	n/a
G	0.60	4.24	0.7	7.46	high	Yes	Yes
H	7.50	6.85	5.99	6.33	low	Yes	Yes
I	0	0	0	0	high	Yes	Yes

**[0071]** After evaluating the gap size  $\alpha_{2D}$  values for the nine patients, the nine patients were categorized into three groups: low risk, moderate risk, and high risk of coronary obstruction for either coronary ostia. Four of the patients were categorized as low risk, one patient was categorized as moderate risk, and four of the patients were categorized as high risk. The TAVR status and the occurrence of coronary obstruction is also shown in Table 1. Of the four patients who were placed in the high risk category, two patients underwent TAVR (Patient G and Patient I). Patient G and Patient I were confirmed to experience coronary obstruction. For Patient G, the coronary obstruction proved fatal. Patient I was successfully rescued via open heart intervention.

**[0072]** Patient H was characterized as low risk. While patient H did experience coronary obstruction, the coronary obstruction was due to blockage from

prosthetic leaflet subannular membrane material, and not blockage from the native leaflets. Patient H was successfully rescued via open heart intervention. For the other two high risk patients, Patient E declined any surgical intervention because of the high risk, and Patient F was admitted for open heart surgery. The remaining moderate and low risk patients successfully underwent TAVR without coronary obstruction.

**[0073]** Referring collectively to FIGS. 28, 29, and 30, anatomical model data was reconstructed for each of the patients. Aortic and ventricular views of the anatomical model data for each patient's reconstructed aortic root geometry are provided. Aortic views are oriented with the commissure of non and left coronary cusps on top. In the ventricular views, however, the top commissure corresponds to the left and right coronary cusps. Calcific nodules were reconstructed separately from the aortic root and then added to the leaflets. The geometry for Patient H, who has a failed bioprosthetic surgical valve implanted is shaded in grey. Although basic characteristics of all the patients such as tri-leaflet valves, two coronary arteries, and arrangement of the cusps are similar, each patient has a unique aortic geometry with different patterns and severity of calcification (e.g., different size, shape, and position of calcific nodules).

**[0074]** Referring collectively to FIGS. 31, 32, and 33, analytical model data was generated based on the anatomical model data. The numerical analysis engine was used to determine deformed analytical models based on the analytical model data. The deformed analytical models corresponding to TAV stent deployment were extracted. Cross-sectional views of both left and right coronary arteries were selected from the three-dimensional geometry to show the final position of leaflets relative to the left and right coronary ostia. These cross-sections include the ostium centerline as well as maximum calcification thickness on the leaflet tip. Cross-sectional views of simulated post-deployment anatomy of the nine patients for both left and right coronary ostium are provided. For ease of recognition, the edge of the leaflets have a given shade, and calcific nodules on the leaflets have another shade. For patients previously determined the parametric analysis engine as being high risk for coronary obstruction, the three-dimensional cross-sectional views also illustrate the possibility of the native leaflets blocking the ostia.

**[0075]** The gap size  $\alpha_{3D}$  for each of left and right coronary ostia was measured based on the deformed analytical models. The gap size  $\alpha_{3D}$  for each of

the patient is summarized above in Table 1. Based on the gap size  $\alpha_{3D}$ , the patients were again categorized as low risk, moderate risk, or high risk for coronary obstruction. The categorization based upon the gap size  $\alpha_{3D}$  agreed well with the categorization based upon the gap size  $\alpha_{2D}$ . Patients A, B, C, and H were categorized as low risk for coronary ostia obstruction due to stent deployment, Patient D was categorized with potential obstruction of the right coronary ostia, and Patients E, F, G, and I were categorized as having high risk of left coronary ostium obstruction.

**[0076]** Referring collectively to FIGS. 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, and 39, after determining gap size  $\alpha_{2D}$  based on model parameters obtained from CT images, and gap size  $\alpha_{3D}$  using the numerical analysis engine, gap size  $\alpha_{2D}$  data were plotted against gap size  $\alpha_{3D}$  data for both left and right coronary arteries of each patient. The  $\alpha_{2D} = \alpha_{3D}$  regression line is depicted in FIGS. 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, and 39 to provide reference for perfectly matched data. The  $R^2$  value, which is a statistical parameter indicating closeness of data points to the fitted regression line, is also depicted. Red data points indicate patients with high-risk coronary obstruction, and blue points show patients with low-risk coronary obstruction. The results depicted in FIGS. 34 and 35 were determined by neglecting calcification thicknesses for the calculation of gap size  $\alpha_{2D}$ . The  $R^2$  value for the left coronary artery was 0.55 and the  $R^2$  value for the right coronary artery was 0.46. The gap size  $\alpha_{2D}$  data depicted in FIGS. 36 and 37 were determined considering the leaflet tip calcific nodule thickness. The  $R^2$  value for the left coronary artery was 0.92 and the  $R^2$  value for the right coronary artery was 0.73. Thus, after including the calcific nodule size effect, a significant improvement was observed in the  $R^2$  values. Any of the gap sizes provided herein can include a normalized gap size that is normalized according to an anatomical distance. For example, the gap size  $\alpha_{2D}$  data and gap size  $\alpha_{3D}$  data depicted in FIGS. 36 and 37 were normalized according to the respective diameter of the left coronary artery and right coronary artery. The normalized data is depicted in FIGS. 38 and 39. The normalized data showed further improvement of the  $R^2$  values. The  $R^2$  value for the left coronary artery was 0.92 and the  $R^2$  value for the right coronary artery was 0.86. Likewise the gap size for paravalvular leakage can be normalized for by an anatomical distance of the patient.

**[0077]** According to the examples described herein, calcification thickness on the leaflet tip can be used to construct a normalized cut-off factor to evaluate risk of coronary obstruction prior to TAVR. As noted above, neglecting calcium nodule thickness in the calculation of the gap size  $\alpha_{2D}$ , e.g., based only on coronary height, leaflet length, and sinus width at the coronary ostium, can lead to overestimation of the gap size  $\alpha_{2D}$  for patients with high risk, under predicting the level of risk for coronary obstruction. Additionally, the comparison of the gap size  $\alpha_{3D}$  and the gap size  $\alpha_{2D}$  showed relatively weak correlations (e.g.,  $R^2$  value for the left coronary artery was 0.55 and the  $R^2$  value for the right coronary artery was 0.46). Considering calcific nodule thickness in the calculation of the gap size  $\alpha_{2D}$  can improve the correlation with the gap size  $\alpha_{3D}$ , e.g., the  $R^2$  value for the left coronary artery was 0.92 and the  $R^2$  value for the right coronary artery was 0.73.

**[0078]** To further improve the correlation, normalized equivalent parameters were determined for both the gap size  $\alpha_{2D}$  and the gap size  $\alpha_{3D}$  by normalizing the gap size  $\alpha_{2D}$  and the gap size  $\alpha_{3D}$  with respect to their corresponding coronary artery diameter. Consequently, normalization led to a clear cut-off ratio of 0.50 for patients with confirmed or high risk coronary obstruction. This ratio provides an indication that coronary obstruction is likely probable when the final distance between the native leaflets and ostium, e.g., the gap size  $\alpha_{2D}$  or the gap size  $\alpha_{3D}$ , is less than about half of the corresponding coronary artery diameter.

**[0079]** It should now be understood that the examples described herein relate to systems and methods for quantifying a prediction of coronary obstruction in patients with severe aortic stenosis prior to TAVR. For example, model parameters including the position and location of calcific nodules can be collected and provided to a parametric analysis engine to predict an amount of coronary blockage that can result from the TAVR. Alternatively or additionally, analytical model data can be generated based on the three dimensional geometry of the patients anatomy. A numerical analysis engine can analyze the analytical model data to generate deformed analytical models. Accordingly, the amount of coronary blockage resulting from the TAVR can be quantified according to patient specific morphologies of the aortic root.

**[0080]** Moreover, the systems and methods described herein can be used to evaluate patient geometrical factors prior to TAV implantation based on CT image data. For example, various types and sizes of valves can be evaluated in order to

identify a valve and diameter size that is best suited for the patient. In addition to the valve itself, the evaluations can prevent complications such as coronary artery ostium obstruction. Despite the life-threatening nature of coronary artery ostium obstruction, existing valve manufacturers have no specific safety guidelines in place to minimize the chance of coronary ostium obstruction. Moreover, manufacturer guidelines are often neglected by surgeons who have successfully performed operations outside of the guidelines.

**[0081]** Further advantages of the systems and methods described herein include providing a more accurate cut-off factor that is more suited to prevent coronary ostium obstruction. For example, while some studies have identified contributing factors such as coronary height, SOV diameter, and leaflet lengths, the studies have failed to consider the effect of calcific nodule size and location. The systems and methods described herein can be used to quantify an impact of calcific nodules on the amount of coronary ostium obstruction (e.g., gap sizes or normalized gap sizes) expected to be experienced due to TAVR.

**[0082]** The systems and method described herein can make use of three-dimensional anatomical model data to improve an accuracy and consistency of collecting parameter information. For example, CT image data of an aortic root geometry can include a series of slices, each of which can represent specific cross-sections of the patient's anatomy. The accuracy of a measured parameter can be a function of the slice selected for measurement. Since slice selection is use-defined, technicians can introduce bias (e.g., errors) when measuring parameters. The three-dimensional anatomical model data can substantially mitigate technician bias. For example, cross-sections can be generated from any portion of the data, and not just the native image orientation. Accordingly, the most severe aspects of the patient's anatomy can be used to collect parameter measurements. Moreover, the deformed analytical models can provide a full representation of the impact of various stages of a clinical procedure.

**[0083]** Further improvements to TAVR can be provided by real time comparisons of various simulated parameters of the TAV including a type of TAV, a size of TAV, and positioning of the TAV. For example, prior to conducting TAVR, a clinician (e.g., a surgeon) can use the patients anatomical information to simulate various deformed models of the patients anatomy. Accordingly, the sensitivity of the patient to particular positioning of each available model of TAV can be evaluated.

For example, each model of TAV can be provided in various positions and the relative amount of risk for complications such as, coronary obstruction, paravalvular leakage, and thrombosis, can be quantified. Moreover, the deformed models and quantified information can be displayed (e.g., in virtual reality) to allow the clinician to have visual feedback of the results of the TAVR prior to performing the TAVR. Accordingly, the clinical procedure can be performed with greater control, lower risk, and substantially improved patient outcomes.

**[0084]** It is noted that the terms "substantially" and "about" can be used herein to represent an inherent degree of uncertainty that can be attributed to any quantitative comparison, value, measurement, or other representation. These terms are also used herein to represent a degree by which a quantitative representation can vary from a stated reference without resulting in a change in the basic function of the subject matter at issue.

**[0085]** What have been described above are examples. It is, of course, not possible to describe every conceivable combination of components or methods, but one of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that many further combinations and permutations are possible. Accordingly, the disclosure is intended to embrace all such alterations, modifications, and variations that fall within the scope of this application, including the appended claims. Additionally, where the disclosure or claims recite "a," "an," "a first," or "another" element, or the equivalent thereof, it should be interpreted to include one or more than one such element, neither requiring nor excluding two or more such elements. As used herein, the term "includes" means includes but not limited to, and the term "including" means including but not limited to. The term "based on" means based at least in part on.

What is claimed is:

1. A method for predictive heart valve simulation, the method comprising:
  - generating, with an image processing engine, anatomical model data based on image data characterizing anatomical regions of a heart of a patient, wherein the anatomical model data comprises three-dimensional shapes of the anatomical regions of the heart;
  - generating, with a geometric modeling engine, analytical model data based on the anatomical model data, wherein the analytical model data comprises a three-dimensional mesh of the anatomical regions of the heart;
  - generating, with a numerical analysis engine, a deformed analytical model based on the analytical model data and based a three-dimensional mesh of a surgical object, wherein the deformed analytical model is indicative of a deformed position of the anatomical regions of the heart and a deformed position of the surgical object; and
  - evaluating the deformed analytical model to provide heart functionality measures for the heart.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the anatomical regions of the heart comprise an aortic root, a mitral valve, a pulmonary root, a pulmonary vein ostium, tricuspid annulus, superior vena cava ostium, or inferior vena cava ostium.
3. The method of claim 1, wherein the anatomical regions of the heart comprise one or more calcific nodules, an aortic root comprising a coronary artery, and an aortic leaflet.
4. The method of claim 3, further comprising:
  - modeling blood flow, with the numerical analysis engine, around the aortic leaflet and the surgical object of the deformed analytical model, wherein the blood flow is indicative of one of a paravalvular leakage, thrombosis, pressure gradient, energy loss, effective orifice area, and a combination thereof.
5. The method of claim 4,

wherein the blood flow comprises blood flow stasis zones indicative of the thrombosis; and

the method further comprising displaying on a display the blood flow stasis zones and the deformed analytical model.

6. The method of claim 3, further comprising one of:

measuring a gap size between the coronary artery of the aortic root and the calcific nodule based on the deformed analytical model; and

measuring a gap size between the coronary artery of the aortic root and the aortic leaflet based on the deformed analytical model.

7. The method of claim 3, wherein the heart functionality measures comprise heart valve measures comprising one of a pressure gradient, an energy loss, a paravalvular leakage, a thrombosis risk, flow stasis regions, and a combination thereof .

8. The method of claim 1,

wherein the surgical object of the deformed analytical model comprises a stent; and

the method further comprises measuring a gap size between the deformed position of one of the anatomical regions of the heart and the stent, wherein the gap size is indicative of a paravalvular leakage.

9. The method of claim 1,

wherein the surgical object of the deformed analytical model comprises an artificial leaflet; and

the method further comprises measuring a gap size between the deformed position of one of the anatomical regions of the heart and the artificial leaflet, wherein the gap size is indicative of a paravalvular leakage.

10. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

repositioning the surgical object relative to the anatomical regions of the heart to generate a second anatomical model ;

generating, with the numerical analysis engine, a second deformed analytical model based on repositioned analytical model data, wherein the second deformed analytical model is indicative of a second deformed position of the anatomical regions of the heart and a second deformed position of the surgical object; and

providing the deformed anatomical model and the second deformed anatomical model on a display.

11. The method of claim 10, further comprising segmenting the anatomical regions of the heart of the patient from the image data characterizing the heart of the patient.

12. The method of claim 1, comprising:

replacing the surgical object with a second surgical object to generate a second anatomical model;

generating, with the numerical analysis engine, a second deformed analytical model based on repositioned analytical model data, wherein the second deformed analytical model is indicative of a second deformed position of the anatomical regions of the heart and a second deformed position of the surgical object; and

providing the deformed anatomical model and the second deformed anatomical model on a display.

13. The method of claim 12, wherein the display comprises a virtual reality headset.

14. A method for predictive heart valve simulation, the method comprising:

segmenting, with one or more processors, anatomical regions of a heart of a patient from the image data characterizing the heart of the patient, wherein the anatomical regions comprise one or more calcific nodules, an aortic root comprising a coronary artery, and an aortic leaflet;

generating, with the one or more processors, anatomical model data based on the image data of the one or more calcific nodules, the aortic root, and the aortic leaflet into, wherein the anatomical model data comprises three-dimensional shapes of the one or more calcific nodules, the aortic root, and the aortic leaflet; and

simulating, with the one or more processors, a deformed position of the aortic leaflet and the calcific nodule; and

quantifying, with the one or more processors, a gap size based on the deformed position of the calcific nodule and the coronary artery of the aortic root.

15. The method of claim 14, further comprising normalizing, with the one or more processors, the gap size with a diameter of the coronary artery to determine a cut-off ratio.

16. The method of claim 15, wherein, when a value of the cut-off ratio is less than or equal to 0.5, the cut-off ratio is indicative that the patient is at risk for coronary obstruction.

17. The method of claim 14, comprising:

generating, with the one or more processors, analytical model data based on the anatomical model data, wherein the analytical model data comprises three-dimensional meshes of the one or more calcific nodules, the aortic root, and the aortic leaflet; and

generating, with the one or more processors, a deformed analytical model based on the analytical model data, wherein the deformed analytical model is indicative of deformed position of the calcific nodule and the coronary artery of the aortic root, and wherein the gap size corresponds to a three-dimensional distance between the calcific nodule and the coronary artery of the aortic root.

18. The method of claim 17, wherein the analytical model data comprises a surgical object, and wherein the coronary artery of the deformed analytical model is deformed in response to the surgical object.

19. The method of claim 18, wherein the surgical object models a transcatheter aortic valve.

20. The method of claim 14,

further comprising measuring, with the one or more processors, model parameters based on the anatomical model data, wherein

the gap size is a two-dimensional distance between a nodule point on the deformed position of the calcific nodule and an ostium point on the coronary artery of the aortic root.

21. The method of claim 20, wherein the model parameters comprise a sinus width  $w$  of the coronary artery, a thickness  $t$  of the calcific nodule, a leaflet length  $l$  of the aortic leaflet, a height  $h$  of the coronary artery, and a diameter  $d$  of the coronary artery.

22. The method of claim 21, wherein the gap size is determined based on:

$$\alpha_{2D} = \sqrt{(\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2},$$

where  $\Delta x = w - t$  and  $\Delta y = h + d - l$ .

23. The method of claim 14, wherein, when a value of the gap size is less than or equal to 3 millimeters (mm), the gap size is indicative that the patient is at risk for coronary obstruction.

24. A method for predictive heart valve simulation, the method comprising:

segmenting anatomical regions of a heart of a patient from the image data characterizing the heart of the patient, wherein the anatomical regions comprise one or more calcific nodules, an aortic root comprising a coronary artery, and an aortic leaflet;

generating, with an image processing engine, anatomical model data based on the image data of the one or more calcific nodules, the aortic root, and the aortic leaflet, wherein the anatomical model data comprises three-dimensional shapes of the one or more calcific nodules, the aortic root, and the aortic leaflet;

generating, with a geometric modeling engine, analytical model data based on the anatomical model data, wherein the analytical model data comprises three-dimensional meshes of the one or more calcific nodules, the aortic root, and the aortic leaflet;

generating, with a numerical analysis engine, a deformed analytical model based on the analytical model data, wherein the deformed analytical model is

indicative of a deformed position of the calcific nodule and the coronary artery of the aortic root; and

determining a gap size between the deformed position of the calcific nodule and the coronary artery of the aortic root.

25. The method of claim 24, further comprising deforming, with the numerical analysis engine, the coronary artery of the deformed analytical model with a surgical object.

26. The method of claim 25, wherein the surgical object corresponds to a model of a transcatheter aortic valve.

27. The method of claim 24, wherein the image data comprises one of X-ray image data, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) image data, and ultrasound image data.

28. The method of claim 24, further comprising normalizing the gap size with a diameter of the coronary artery to determine a cut-off ratio.

29. The method of claim 28, wherein, when a value of the cut-off ratio is less than or equal to 0.5, the cut-off ratio is indicative that the patient is at risk for coronary obstruction.

30. The method of claim 24, wherein, when a value of the gap size is less than or equal to 3 millimeters (mm), the gap size is indicative that the patient is at risk for coronary obstruction.

31. A method for predictive heart valve simulation, the method comprising:  
receiving image data indicative of a heart of a patient, the image data comprising a calcific nodule, an aortic root comprising a coronary artery, and an aortic leaflet;  
measuring one or more model parameters based on the anatomical model data, wherein the one or more model parameters comprise a thickness  $t$  of the calcific nodule;

determining, with a parametric analysis engine, a deformed position of the aortic leaflet and the calcific nodule based on the one or more model parameters, wherein the parametric analysis engine is programmed to model the aortic leaflet in a fully expanded position; and

quantifying, with the parametric analysis engine, a gap size based on the deformed position of the calcific nodule and the coronary artery of the aortic root, wherein the gap size corresponds to a two-dimensional distance between a nodule point on the deformed position of the calcific nodule and an ostium point on the coronary artery of the aortic root.

32. The method of claim 31, wherein the model parameters comprise a sinus width  $w$  of the coronary artery, a leaflet length  $l$  of the aortic leaflet, a height  $h$  of the coronary artery 40, and a diameter  $d$  of the coronary artery.

33. The method of claim 32, wherein the gap size is given by:  
 $\alpha_{2D} = \sqrt{(\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2}$ , where  $\Delta x = w - t$  and  $\Delta y = h + d - l$ .

34. The method of claim 31, wherein, when a value of the gap size is less than or equal to 3 millimeters (mm), the gap size is indicative that the patient is at risk for coronary obstruction.

35. The method of claim 31, further comprising normalizing the gap size with a diameter of the coronary artery to determine a cut-off ratio.

36. The method of claim 35, wherein, when a value of the cut-off ratio is less than or equal to 0.5, the cut-off ratio is indicative that the patient is at risk for coronary obstruction.




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8A




FIG. 8B




FIG. 9




FIG. 10

FIG. 11

FIG. 12A




FIG. 12B




FIG. 13




FIG. 14




FIG. 15




FIG. 16




FIG. 17




FIG. 18




FIG. 19




FIG. 20




FIG. 21




FIG. 22




FIG. 23




FIG. 24




FIG. 24 (Continued)




FIG. 25(a)




FIG. 25(b)




FIG. 26




FIG. 27




FIG. 28




FIG. 29




FIG. 30







	Right Coronary Artery	Left Coronary Artery
A: Low Risk for Coronary Occlusion		
B: Low Risk for Coronary Occlusion		
C: Low Risk for Coronary Occlusion		

FIG. 31







	Right Coronary Artery	Left Coronary Artery
<b>D: Potential Problem for RCA</b>		
<b>E: Potential Problem for LCA</b>		
<b>F: Potential Problem for LCA</b>		

FIG. 32







	Right Coronary Artery	Left Coronary Artery
<b>G: Confirmed LCA Occlusion</b>		
<b>H: Confirmed LCA Occlusion</b>		
<b>I: Confirmed LCA Occlusion</b>		

FIG. 33




FIG. 34

FIG. 35

### Left Coronary Artery




FIG. 36

### Right Coronary Artery




FIG. 37

Left Coronary Artery




FIG. 38

Right Coronary Artery




FIG. 39

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US17/55046

**Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)**

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

- 1.  Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
  
- 2.  Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
  
- 3.  Claims Nos.:  
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

**Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)**

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

----Please See Within the Next Supplemental Box----

- 1.  As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
- 2.  As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying additional fees, this Authority did not invite payment of additional fees.
- 3.  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
- 4.  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  
1-13

**Remark on Protest**

- The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest fee.
- The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.
- No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US17/55046

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
 IPC - A61B 5/107, 8/06, 8/08; A61F 2/24; G06F 19/12; G06T 7/00 (2017.01)  
 CPC - A61B 6/503, 8/06, 8/0883, 34/10; A61F 2/24, 2/2415; G06F 17/5009, 19/3437; G06T 7/60, 7/0012

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
 See Search History document

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched  
 See Search History document

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  
 See Search History document

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	"Role of Computational Simulations in Heart Valve Dynamics and Design of Valvular Prostheses" (CHANDRAN, KB) March 1, 2011. Cardiovascular Engineering and Technology.	1-13
Y	"Image-Based Mechanical Analysis of Stent Deformation: Concept and Exemplary Implementation for Aortic Valve Stents" (GESSAT, M et al.) January 2014. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering.	1-13
Y	"A Process for Design, Verification, Validation, and Manufacture of Medical Devices Using Immersive VR Environments" (KEEFE, DF et al.) November 3, 2010. Journal of Medical Devices. Volume 4.	5, 10-13
Y	"Patient-Specific Modeling of Biomechanical Interaction in Transcatheter Aortic Valve Deployment" (WANG, Q et al.) July 26, 2013. Journal of Biomechanics. Volume 45, No. 11.	6, 8-9

Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.  See patent family annex.

\* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&" document member of the same patent family
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search 31 October 2017 (31.10.2017)	Date of mailing of the international search report <b>16 JAN 2018</b>
---	--

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US, Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. 571-273-8300	Authorized officer  Shane Thomas  PCT Helpdesk: 571-272-4300 PCT OSP: 571-272-7774
---	---

-\*\*\*-Continued from Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking-\*\*\*-

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid.

Group I: Claims 1-13 are directed towards a method for predictive heart valve simulation, the method comprising: generating, with a numerical analysis engine, a deformed analytical model based on a three-dimensional mesh of a surgical object, wherein the deformed analytical model is indicative of a deformed position of the anatomical regions of the heart and a deformed position of the surgical object; and evaluating the deformed analytical model to provide heart functionality measures for the heart, as seen in figure 8.

Group II: Claims 14-36 are directed towards a method for predictive heart valve simulation, the method comprising: segmenting, with one or more processors, anatomical regions of a heart of a patient from the image data characterizing the heart of the patient, wherein the anatomical regions comprise one or more calcific nodules, an aortic root comprising a coronary artery, and an aortic leaflet, wherein the anatomical model data comprises three-dimensional shapes of the one or more calcific nodules, the aortic root, and the aortic leaflet; and simulating, with the one or more processors, a deformed position of the aortic leaflet and the calcific nodule; and quantifying, with the one or more processors, a gap size based on the deformed position of the calcific nodule and the coronary artery of the aortic root, as seen in figure 23.

The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

the special technical features of Group I include a deformed analytical model based on a three-dimensional mesh of a surgical object, wherein the deformed analytical model is indicative of a deformed position of the anatomical regions of the heart and a deformed position of the surgical object; and evaluating the deformed analytical model to provide heart functionality measures for the heart which are not present in Group II; and

the special technical features of Group II include segmenting anatomical regions of a heart of a patient, wherein the anatomical regions comprise one or more calcific nodules, an aortic root comprising a coronary artery, and an aortic leaflet, wherein the anatomical model data comprises three-dimensional shapes of the one or more calcific nodules, the aortic root, and the aortic leaflet; simulating a deformed position of the aortic leaflet and the calcific nodule; and quantifying a gap size based on the deformed position which are not present in Group I.

The common technical features of Groups I-II are: a method for predictive heart valve simulation, comprising: generating anatomical model data based on image data characterizing anatomical regions of a heart of a patient, wherein the anatomical model data comprises three-dimensional shapes; generating analytical model data based on the anatomical model data; generating a deformed analytical model based on the analytical model data, wherein the deformed analytical model is indicative of a deformed position of the anatomical regions of the heart.

These common technical features are disclosed by "Role of Computational Simulations in Heart Valve Dynamics and Design of Valvular Prostheses" (CHANDRAN).

CHANDRAN discloses generating anatomical model data based on image data (imaging modalities have afforded reconstruction of 3D geometry (model) of valvular apparatus; page 3, paragraph 4) characterizing anatomical regions of a heart of a patient (geometrical reconstruction (model) of the mitral valve annulus and leaflet (anatomical regions of a heart); page 3, paragraph 4), wherein the anatomical model data comprises three-dimensional shapes (3D volumetric reconstructions; page 3, paragraph 4); generating analytical model data based on the anatomical model data (geometrical reconstructions are used for detailed fluid structure interaction analysis (analytical model); page 3, paragraph 2); generating a deformed analytical model based on the analytical model data (geometrical reconstructions used for dynamic simulation in a finite element structure analysis in which the interest is on deformation patterns of the leaflets (deformed analytical model); page 3, paragraph 2), wherein the deformed analytical model is indicative of a deformed position of the anatomical regions of the heart (finite element structure analysis (deformed analytical model) in which the interest is on deformation patterns of the leaflets; page 3, paragraph 2).

Since the common technical features are previously disclosed by CHANDRAN, these common features are not special and so Groups I-II lack unity.