#### COMMONWEALTH of AUSTRALIA

#### **PATENTS ACT 1952**

#### APPLICATION FOR A STANDARD PATENT

¥ We

SUMITOMO CHEMICAL COMPANY, LIMITED of No. 15, Kitahama 5-chome, Higashi-ku, Osaka-shi, Osaka-fu, Japan

APPLICATION ACCEPTED AND AMENDMENTS

ALLOWED 9 - 2 - 2 &

hereby apply for the grant of a Standard Patent for an invention entitled:

"HERBICIDAL COMPOSITION"

595974

which is described in the accompanying xprovided specification.

Details of basic application(s):-

Number


Convention Country

Date

012848/1987

Japan

22nd January 1987



The address for service is care of DAVIES & COLLISON, Patent Attorneys, of 1 Little Collins Street, Melbourne, in the State of Victoria, Commonwealth of Australia.

Dated this

8th

day of January

1988

To: THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS

Th. ov. Qimington

(a member of the firm of DAVIES & COLLISON for and on behalf of the Applicant).

Davies & Collison, Melbourne and Canberra.

# COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA PATENTS ACT 1952

# DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF CONVENTION OR NON-CONVENTION APPLICATION FOR A PATENT

Insert title of invention.

insert full name(s) and address(es) of declarant(s) being the applicant(s) or person(s) authorized to sign on behalf of an applicant company.

Cross out whichever of paragraphs 1(a) or 1(b) does not apply

- I(a) relates to application made by individual(s)
- 1(b) relates to application made by company; insert name of applicant company.

Cross out whichever of paragraphs 2(a) or 2(b) does not apply

1 1

2(a) relates to application made by inventor(s)

2(b) relates to application made by company(s) or person(s) who are not inventor(s); insert full name(s) and address(ea) of inventors.

State manner in which applicant(s) derive title from inventor(s)

Cross out paragraphs 3 and 4 for non-convention applications. For convention applications, insert basic country(s) followed by date(s) and basic applicant(s).

Insert place and date of signature.

Signature of declarant(s) (no aftestation required)

Note: Initial all alterations.

In support of the Application made for a patent for an invention entitled: "HERBICIDAL COMPOSITION"

Yoshihiko NISHIZAWA

Waxof Sumitomo Chemical Company, Limited
of No. 15, Kitahama 5-chome, Higashi-ku,
Osaka-shi, Osaka-fu, Japan

do solemnly and sincerely declare as follows:-

- or (b) I am authorized by

Sumitomo Chemical Company, Limited

the applicant..... for the patent to make this declaration on its behalf,

or(b) Tatsuhiro HAMADA of 3-4-8-306, Mukomoto-machi, Amagasaki-shi, Hyogo-ken, Japan and Ryo YOSHIDA of 5-19, Azajizoyama, Higashiuneno, Kawanishi-shi, Hyogo-ken, Japan

XXX the actual inventor, S....... of the invention and the facts upon which the applicant........ is entitled to make the application are as follows:—

The actual inventors assigned the invention to the said applicant.

- 3. The basic application as defined by Section 141 of the Act was made in Japan on the Sumitomo Chemical Company, Limited in on the by on the by
- 4. The basic application...... referred to in paragraph 3 of this Declaration werex the first application...... made in a Convention country in respect of the invention the subject of the application.

Declared at Osaka-fu

this 27th

day of November 1987

Sumitomo Chemical Company, Limited

DAVIES & COLLISON, MELBOURNE and CANBERRA, REPRESENTATIVE DIRECTOR

# (12) PATENT ABRIDGMENT (11) Document No. AU-B-10134/88 (19) AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE (10) Acceptance No. 595974

(54) Title
HERBICIDAL COMPOSITION OF A TETRAHYDROPHTHALIMIDE AND A
135-TRIAZINE-UREA

International Patent Classification(s)

(51)<sup>4</sup> A01N 037/32 A01N 047/36

(21) Application No.: 10134/83

(22) Application Date: 08.01.88

(30) Priority Data

(31) Number (32) Date (33) Country 62-12848 22.01.87 JP JAPAN

(43) Publication Date: 28.07.88

(44) Publication Date of Accepted Application: 12.04.90

(71) Applicant(s) SUMITOMO CHEMICAL COMPANY, LIMITED

(72) Inventor(s)
TATSUHIRO HAMADA: RYO YOSHIDA

(74) Attorney or Agent

DAVIES & COLLISON, MELBOURNE

(56) Prior Art Documents EP 198298

(57) Claim

1. A herbicidal composition which comprises a herbicidally effective amount of the combination of (a) at least one of compounds of the formula:

wherein R is a hydrogen atom or a methyl group and (b) at least one of 1-(2-chlorophenylsulfonyl)-3-(4-methoxy-6-methyl-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl) urea and methyl 2-[3-(4-methoxy-6-methyl-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl) aminocarbonylaminosulfonyl]-benzoate as the active ingredient, and an inert carrier or diluent.

# COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTLALIA

PATENT ACT 1952

COMPLETE SPECIFICATION

595974

(ORIGINAL)

POR OPPICE USE

CLASS

INT. CLASS

Application Number: Lodged:

Complete Specification Lodged:
Accepted:
Published:

Priority:

Related Art:

This document contains the amendments made under Section 49 and is correct for Printing.

NAME OF APPLICANT: SUMITOMO CHEMICAL COMPANY, LIMITED

ADDRESS OF APPLICANT: No. 15, Kitahama 5-chome,

Higashi-ku, Osaka-shi,

Osaka-fu, Japan.

NAME(S) OF INVENTOR(S) Tatsuhiro HAMADA

Ryo YOSHIDA

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE: DAVIES & COLLISON, Patent Attorneys

1 Little Collins Street, Melbourne, 3000.

COMPLETE SPECIFICATION FOR THE INVENTION ENTITLED:

"HERBICIDAL COMPOSITION"

The following statement is a full description of this invention, including the best method of performing it known to us

www.

The present invention relates to a herbicidal composition. More particularly, it relates to a novel herbicidal composition exerting a highly enhanced herbicidal activity against a wide variety of weeds without causing any material phytotoxicity to crop plants (e.g. wheat, barley).

The herbicidal composition of the invention comprises as the active ingredients (a) at least one of tetrahydrophthalimides (hereinafter referred to as "Compound(s) (I)") of the formula:

wherein R is a hydrogen atom or a methyl group and (b) at least one of 1-(2-chlorophenylsulfonyl)-3-(4-methoxy-6-methyl-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)urea of the formula:

$$\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{C1} & \text{N} \longrightarrow \text{OCH}_{3} \\
\text{SO}_{2} \text{NHCNH} \longrightarrow \text{N} \longrightarrow \text{CH}_{3}
\end{array}$$

(hereinafter referred to as "chlorsulfuron") and methyl 2-[3-(4-methoxy-6-methyl-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)aminocarbonyl-aminosulfonyl]benzoate of the formula:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & \\ & & \\$$

(hereinafter referred to as "metsulfuron-methyl").

In recent years, there have been used a great number of chemicals having herbicidal activities in order to exterminate or control undesired vegetation of weeds in agricultural and non-agricultural fields. Since, however, weeds are diversified in kinds and grow over a long period of time, the herbicidal effects of conventional herbicidal agents are restricted in general. Under the circumstances, the appearance of any herbicidal agent exerting a strong herbicidal activity as well as a broad herbicidal spectrum over a wide variety of weeds has been highly demanded.

3888888

As a result of the extensive study, it has now been found that the associated use of (a) at least one of Compounds (I) with (b) at least one of chlorsulfuron and metsulfuron-methyl, these being hereinafter referred to as "Compound(s) (II)", produces a highly enhanced herbicidal activity against a wide variety of weeds in agricultural and non-agricultural fields. In comparison with the sole use of each of said active ingredients, enhancement of the herbicidal potency on such associated use is remarkable so that the active ingredients may be applied in a smaller dosages. Further, the weed-control spectrum is greatly enlarged. Thus, a clear and definite synergistic effect is observed in said associated use.

The herbicidal composition of the invention can exterminate or control a variety of weeds, i.e. monocotyledonous weeds such as silky bentgrass (Apera spica-venti) and green foxtail (Setaria viridis); dicotyledonous weeds such as catchweed bedstraw (Galium aparine), common chickweed (Stellaria media), persian speedwell (Veronica persica), ivyleaf speedwell (Veronica hederifolia), field pansy (Viola arvensis), scentless chamomile (Matricaria perforata), pineappleweed (Matricaria matricarioides), wild mustard (Sinapis arvensis), purple deadnettle (Lamium purpureum), Canada thistle (Cirsium arvense), field pennycress (Thlaspi arvense), forget-me-not (Myosotis arvensis), ladysthumb (Polygonum persicaria), pale smartweed (Polygonum lapathifolium), wild buckwheat (Polygonum convolvulus), field bindweed (Convolvulus arvensis), common lambsquarters (Chenopodium album), redroot pigweed (Amaranthus retroflexus) and black nightshade (Solanum nigrum), etc. Particularly, it is notable that the herbicidal composition according to the invention can exterminate hard-to-control weeds such as catchweed bedstraw, common chickweed, persian speedwell, ivyleaf speedwell, field pansy, ladysthumb, wild buckwheat, wild mustard, pineappleweed and scentless chamomile all together.

i-managa.

Compounds (I), which cover specifically the following two compounds:

, are per se known to exert a herbicidal activity (EP-0061741A). Compounds (II), i.e. chlorsulfuron and metsulfuron-methyl, are also known as herbicides (Farm Chemicals Handbook '86, p. C58 and C157 (1986) published by Meister publishing Co.). However, their associated use has never been attempted, and the production of said synergistic effect on such associated use has never been expected.

The proportion of Compound(s) (I) as the component (a) and Compound(s) (II) as the component (b) in the composition of the invention may vary in a considerably broad range and is usually within a range of about 1: 0.01 - 100 by weight, preferably about 1: 0.02 - 20 by weight

In addition to the above active ingredients, the composition may contain a solid or liquid carrier or diluent. Any surface active or auxiliary agent may also be contained therein. Thus, the composition may be formulated in any conventional preparation form such as emulsifiable concentrate, wettable powder, suspension or granules. The total content of the active ingredients, i.e. Compound(s)

nonthing.

(I) and Compound(s) (II), may be from about 0.5 to 90 % by weight, preferably from about 2 to 80 % by weight.

As the solid carrier or diluent, there may be used kaolin clay, attapulgite clay, bentonite, terra alba, pyrophyllite, talc, diatomaceous earth, calcite, wallnut-shell powder, urea, ammonium sulfate, synthetic hydrated silica, etc. Examples of the liquid carrier or diluent are aromatic hydrocarbons (e.g. xylene, methylnaphthalene), alcohols (e.g. isopropanol, ethylene glycol, cellosolve), ketones (e.g. acetone, cyclohexanone, isophorone), vegetable oils (e.g. soybean oil, cotton-seed oil), dimethylsulfoxide, acetonitrile, water, etc.

The surface active agent used for emulsification, dispersion or spreading may be of any type such as anionic type or nonionic type. Examples of the surface active agent include alkylsulfates, alkylarylsulfonates, dialkylsulfosuccinates, phosphates of polyoxyethylenealkylaryl ethers, polyoxyethylene alkyl ethers, polyoxyethylene alkylaryl ethers, polyoxyethylene polyoxypropylene block copolymers, sorbitan fatty acid esters, polyoxyethylene sorbitan fatty acid esters, etc. Examples of the auxiliary agents include ligninsulfonates, sodium alginate, polyvinyl alcohol, gum arabic, CMC (carboxymethyl cellulose), PAP (isopropyl acid phosphate), etc.

Practical embodiments of the composition are illustratively shown in the following Formulation Examples wherein part(s) are by weight.

Formulation Example 1

ningges

addition of

Forty parts of Compound (1), 10 parts of chlor-sulfuron, 10 parts of synthetic hydrated silica, 3 parts of alkylsulfate, 2 parts of calcium ligninsulfonate and 35 parts of diatomaceous earth are well mixed and pulverized to obtain a wettable powder.

#### Formulation Example 2

Ten parts of Compound (1), 10 parts of chlor-sulfuron, 3 parts of polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleate and 77 parts of water are mixed and pulverized until the particle size becomes less than 5 microns to make a suspension.

# Formulation Example 3

Sixty parts of Compound (2), 20 parts of chlor-sulfuron, 3 parts of calcium ligninsulfonate, 2 parts of sodium laurylsulfate and 15 parts of synthetic hydrated silica are well mixed and pulverized to obtain a wettable powder.

19191999

# Formulation Example 4

Thirty parts of Compound (1), 15 parts of metsulfuron-methyl, 5 parts of polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleate, 5 parts of polyvinyl alcohol and 45 parts of water are mixed and pulverized until the particle size becomes less than 5 microns to make a suspension.

#### Formulation Example 5

Eight parts of Compound (2), 2 parts of metsulfuron-methyl, 30 parts of bentonite, 1 part of calcium ligninsulfonate and 59 parts of talc are well mixed and pulverized. The resulting powder is admixed with water and dried to obtain granules.

## Formulation Example 6

Ten parts of Compound (1), 10 parts of metsulfuronmethyl, 3 parts of polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleate, 77 parts of water are mixed and pulverized until the particle size becomes less than 5 microns to make a suspension.

### Formulation Example 7

Ten parts of Compound (2), 10 parts of metsulfuron-methyl, 3 parts of polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleate, 77 parts of water are mixed and pulverized until the particle size becomes less than 5 microns to make a suspension.

A composition comprising Compound(s) (I) and Compound(s) (II) thus formulated is useful for pre-emergence control of undesired weeds by soil treatment or post-emergence control of undesired weeds by foliar treatment. The soil treatments include the application to the soil surface prior to or after the seeding or transplanting of the crop or the incorporation into the soil before seeding. The foliar treatment may be effected by spraying the composition containing Compound(s) (I) and Compound(s) (II) over the top of plants. The directed application may also be adopted.

In order to improve the herbicidal activity, the composition may be used with other herbicides. Besides, it may be used in combination with insecticides, acaricides, nematocides, fungicides, plant growth egulators, fertilizers, soil improvers, etc.

The composition of the invention is widely used as the herbicide applicable in plowed field, non-cropping land, sottlite

attentietist.

orchards, pasture land, lawn, forest, non-agricultural fields, etc.

The dosage of the active ingredients may vary depending on prevailing weather conditions, soil involved, formulation used, mixing proportion of each active ingredient, crop and weed species, etc. In general, however, the total amount of Compound(s) (I) and Compound(s) (II) may be within a range of about 1 to 300 grams per hectare. In case of the composition being in the form of emulsifiable concentrate, wettable powder, suspension or the like, it is normally diluted with water and then applied at a volume of about 100 to 1,000 liters per hectare to the area where extermination of weeds is desired. The composition in the form of granules, etc. is normally used as such.

The herbicidal activity of the composition of the invention will be explained in further detail with reference to the following Test Examples wherein the growth control percentage (%) was determined by weighing the aerial parts of the test plants (fresh weight) and making calculation according to the following equation:

olyman.

The phytotoxicity to crop plants was visually observed.

#### Test Example 1

Seeds of wheat, barley, catchweed bedstraw, common chickweed, field pansy, scentless chamomile, persian speed-

well, wild mustard and ivyleaf speedwell were sowed in a vat (area, 33 x 22 cm²; height, 11 cm) filled with upland field soil, followed by cultivation outdoors. A designated amount of the composition in the form of a suspension formulated according to Formulation Example 6 or 7 was diluted with water and sprayed to the foliage of the test plants at a spray volume of 500 liters per hectare by the aid of a small hand sprayer. After 35 days' cultivation outdoors, the phytotoxicity and the growth control percentage were observed. The results are shown in Table 1. At the time of treatment, the test plants were in general at the 1 to 4-leaf stage and had a height of 2 to 25 cm, although the growing stage varied depending on each species.

Hilling

Table 1

Compound No.	Dosage (g/ha)	Mixing ratio (by weight)	Phyto- toxicity		Growth control percentage (%)						
			Wheat	Barley	Catch- weed bedstraw	Common chick- weed	Field pansy	Scent- less chamornile	Persian speed- well	Wild mustard	Ivyleaf speed- well
Metsulfuron- methyl	4 8	<u>-</u>	None None	None None	10 23	95 100	61 85	92 98	92 100	98 100	21 43
(1)	8 16	<u>-</u>	None None	None None	84 93	78 87	87 94	69 80	89 94	78 92	85 92
(1) + Metsulfuron- methyl	8 + 4 8 + 8 16 + 4 16 + 8	1:0.5 1:1 1:0.25 1:0.5	None None None None	None None None	98 100 100 100	100 100 100 100	100 100 100 100	100 100 100 100	100 100 100 100	100 100 100 100	97 98 100 100
(2)	8 16	_	None None	None None	70 84	82 92	80 89	36 50	82 90	61 83	78 91
(2) + Metsulfuron- methyl	8 + 4 8 + 8 16 + 4 16 + 8	1:0.5 1:1 1:0.25 1:0.5	None None None None	None None None	89 95 99 100	100 100 100 100	99 100 100 100	100 100 100 100	100 100 100 100	100 100 100 100	94 99 100 100

ŀ

### Test Example 2

Seeds of wheat, barley, catchweed bedstraw, common chickweed, field pansy, ladysthumb, persian speedwell, wild mustard and pineappleweed were sowed in a vat (area, 33 x 23 cm²; height, 11 cm) filled with upland field soil, a designated amount of the composition in the form of a wettable powder formulated according to Formulation Example 1 was diluted with water and sprayed to the soil at a spray volume of 500 liters per hectare by the aid of a small hand sprayer. After 35 days' cultivation outdoors, the phytotoxicity and growth control percentage were observed. The results are shown in Table 2.

appenper



Table 2

Compound No.	Dosage (g/ha)	Mixing ratio (by weight)	Phyto- toxicity		Growth control percentage (%)						
			Wheat	Barley	Catch— weed bedstraw	Common chick- weed	Field pansy	Ladys- thumb	Persian speed- well	Wild mustarā	Pineapple- weed
(1)	40 80	-	None None	None None	87 95	90 96	92 98	83 96	94 100	82 97	88 98
Chlorsulfuron	8 16	- -	None None	None None	73 89	95 100	51 72	96 1.00	76 91	90 98	52 83
(1) + Chlorsulfuron	10 + 8 40 + 16 80 + 8 80 + 16	1:0.2 1:0.4 1:0.1 1:0.2	None None None None	None None None	98 100 100 100	100 100 100 100	100 100 100 100	100 100 100 100	100 100 100 100	100 100 100 100	100 100 100 100

# Test Example 3

Seeds of catchweed bedstraw were sowed in a vat (area, 33 x 23 cm²; height, 11 cm) filled with upland field soil, and a designated amount of the composition in the form of a wettable powder formulated according to Formulation Example 1 was diluted with water and sprayed to the soil at a spray volume of 500 liters per hectare by the aid of a small hand sprayer. After 35 days' cultivation outdoors, the growth control percentage was observed. The results are shown in Table 3.

eighten.

Table 3

Compound No.	Dosage (g/ha)	Mixing ratio (by weight)	Growth control percentage of catchweed bedstraw (%)		
(1)	5 10 20 40 80	1111	26 50 73 92 99		
Chlorsulfuron	2 4 8 16 32		24 44 73 90 96		
(1) + Chlorsulfuron	5 + 2 5 + 4 5 + 8 5 + 16 5 + 32 10 + 2 10 + 4 10 + 8 10 + 16 10 + 32 20 + 2 20 + 2 20 + 4 20 + 8 20 + 16 40 + 2 40 + 4 40 + 8 40 + 16 80 + 2 80 + 4	1:0.4 1:0.8 1:1.6 1:3.2 1:6.4 1:0.2 1:0.4 1:0.8 1:1.6 1:3.2 1:0.1 1:0.2 1:0.4 1:0.8 1:0.05 1:0.1 1:0.2 1:0.4 1:0.05 1:0.1 1:0.2	60 67 87 98 99 72 89 95 100 100 100 100 100 100 100		

#8#\$#\$#

### Test Example 4

Seeds of ivyleaf speedwell and persian speedwell were sowed in each vat (area, 33 x 23 cm<sup>2</sup>; height, 11 cm) filled with upland field soil and cultivated outdoors for 30 days. A designated amount of the composition in the form of a suspension formulated according to Formulation Example 6 or 7 was diluted with water and sprayed to the foliage of the test plant at a spray volume of 500 liters per hectare by the aid of a small hand sprayer. After 35 days' cultivation outdoors, the growth control percentage was observed. The results are shown in Tables 4 and 5. At the time of treatment, the test plant was at the 2-leaf stage and had a height of 2 cm.

18252fektirit

Table 4

<del></del>	<del> </del>		<del></del>		
Compound No.	Dosage (g/ha)	Mixing ratio (by weight)	Growth control percentage of Ivyleaf speedwell (%)		
(1)	2 4 8 16 32		37 64 85 93 98		
Metsulfuron- methyl	1 2 4 8 16		19 38 66 84 95		
(1) + Metsulfuron- methyl	2 + 1 2 + 2 2 + 4 2 + 8 2 + 16 4 + 1 4 + 2 4 + 4 4 + 8 4 + 16 8 + 1 8 + 2 8 + 4 8 + 8 16 + 1 16 + 2 16 + 4 16 + 8 32 + 1 32 + 2	1:0.5 1:1 1:2 1:4 1:8 1:0.25 1:0.5 1:1 1:2 1:4 1:0.125 1:0.5 1:0.5 1:1 1:0.0625 1:0.5 1:0.5 1:0.5 1:0.5 1:0.5 1:0.5	56 65 82 93 98 75 87 95 99 100 94 96 100 100 100 100		

1111111111

Table 5

1 6 C C C

Compound No.	Dosage (g/ha)	Mixing ratio (by weight)	Growth control percentage of Persian speedwell (%)		
(2)	2 4 8 16 32		41 65 78 89 94		
Metsulfuron- methyl	1 2 4 8 16		21 50 77 94 99		
(2) + Metsulfuron- methyl	2 + 1 2 + 2 2 + 4 2 + 8 2 + 16 4 + 1 4 + 2 4 + 4 4 + 8 4 + 16 8 + 1 8 + 2 8 + 4 8 + 8 16 + 1 16 + 2 16 + 4 16 + 8 32 + 1 32 + 2	1:0.5 1:1 1:2 1:4 1:8 1:0.25 1:0.5 1:1 1:2 1:4 1:0.125 1:0.5 1:0.5 1:1 1:0.0625 1:0.5 1:0.5 1:0.5 1:0.5 1:0.5	58 77 91 99 100 78 92 98 100 100 100 93 99 100 100 96 100		

4000000

The results in Test Examples 3 and 4 were analyzed according to the isobor (i.e. equivalent efficacy line) method [Vol. 3, Herbicides, pages 109 - 111 (1981) in "Noyaku Jikkenho" (Methods in Pesticide Science) edited by Junichi Fukami et al., Soft Science Inc., Tokyo) based on the Tammes's method [Tammes, P.M.L.: Neth. J. Plant Path., 70, 73 - 80 (1964)]. Namely, several combinations of the compositions having different mixing ratios of Compound (I) and chlorsulfuron or metsulfuron-methyl but exerting the same level of growth control effect, for example, 90 % growth control, were plotted in a graph so as to readily determine a synergistic effect, an arithmetic effect or a competitive effect. In case of exhibiting the synergistic effect, the equivalent efficacy line as plotted is shown below the arithmetic efficacy line.

5,000

Explaining further in detail with reference to the accompanying drawings, Fig. 1 wherein the ordinate indicates the dosage of chlorsulfuron and the abscissa indicates the dosage of Compound (1), the equivalent efficacy line (i.e. solid line) of 90 % growth control of catchweed bedstraw is located under the arithmetic efficacy line (i.e. dotted line), from which it is understood that the associated use of Compound (1) and chlorsulfuron in a certain mixing ratio produces the synergistic effect; Fig. 2 wherein the ordinate indicates the dosage of metsulfuron-methyl and the abscissa indicates the dosage of Compound (1), the equivalent efficacy line (i.e. solid line) of 90 % growth control of ivyleaf speedwell is located under the arithmetic efficacy

line (i.e. dotted line), from which it is understood that the associated use of Compound (1) and metsulfuron-methyl in a certain mixing ratio produces the synergistic effect; likewise Fig. 3 wherein the ordinate indicates the dosage of metsulfuron-methyl and the abscissa indicates the dosage of Compound (2), the equivalent efficacy line (i.e. solid line) of 90 % growth control of persian speedwell is located under the arithmetic efficacy line (i.e. dotted line), from which it is understood that the associated use of Compound (2) and metsulfuron-methyl in a certain mixing ratio produces the synergistic effect.

simplem:

The claims defining the invention are as follows:

1. A herbicidal composition which comprises a herbicidally effective amount of the combination of (a) at least one of compounds of the formula:

wherein R is a hydrogen atom or a methyl group and (b) at least one of 1-(2-chlorophenylsulfonyl)-3-(4-methoxy-6-methyl-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)urea and methyl 2-[3-(4-methoxy-6-methyl-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)aminocarbonylaminosulfonyl]-benzoate as the active ingredient, and an inert carrier or diluent.

meesting

- 2. The composition according to claim 1, wherein the weight proportion of the components (a) and (b) is from 1:0.01 to 100.
- 3. The composition according to claim 1, wherein the weight proportion of the components (a) and (b) is from 1:0.02 to 20.
- 4. A method for controlling weeds which comprises applying a herbicidally effective amount of the composition according to claim 1 to the weeds.

- 5. The method according to claim 4, wherein the weeds are those germinated in the field of wheat or barley.
- 6. The method according to claim 4, wherein the total amount of the components (a) and (b) is from 1 to 300 grams per hectare.
- 7. A process for preparing a herbicidal composition which comprises mixing (a) at least one of compounds of the formula:

wherein R is a hydrogen atom or a methyl group and (b) at least one of 1-(2-chlorophenylsulfonyl)-3-(4-methoxy-6-methyl-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)urea and methyl 2-[3-(4-methoxy-6-methyl-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)aminocarbonylaminosulfonyl]-benzoate with an inert carrier or diluent.

things:

- 8. The process according to claim 7, wherein the weight proportion of the components (a) and (b) is from 1: 0.61 to 100.
- 9. The process according to claim 7, wherein the weight proportion of the components (a) and (b) is from 1: 0.02 to 20.

- 10. The process according to claim 7, wherein the total content of the components (a) and (b) is from about 0.5 to 90 % by weight.
- 11. Herbicidal compositions, processes for their manufacture, or methods involving them, substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to the Examples, and /or the drawings.
- 12. The steps, features, compositions and compounds referred to or indicated in the specification and/or claims of this application, individually or collectively, and any and all combinations of any two or more of said steps or features.

singlight.

Dated this 8th day of January 1988
SUMITOMO CHEMICAL COMPANY, LIMITED
By Its Patent Attorneys
DAVIES & COLLISON



\*\*\*\*\*

