

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
8 November 2007 (08.11.2007)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2007/126352 A1

- (51) International Patent Classification:
H04L 12/56 (2006.01) *H04Q 7/38* (2006.01)
- (21) International Application Number:
PCT/SE2006/050538
- (22) International Filing Date:
5 December 2006 (05.12.2006)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:
0600965-8 2 May 2006 (02.05.2006) SE
- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERICSSON (publ) [SE/SE]; S-164 83 Stockholm (SE).
- (72) Inventors; and
- (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): KAZMI, Muhammad, Ali [PK/SE]; Svartviksslingan 110, 3 Tr, S-167 39 Bromma (SE). FODOR, Gabor [SE/SE]; Astrakångatan 124, S-165 52 Hässelby (SE).
- (74) Agent: HASSELGREN, Joakim; Ericsson AB, Patent Unit LTE, S-164 80 Stockholm (SE).
- (81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM,

AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, LY, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RS, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SV, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Declarations under Rule 4.17:


- as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a patent (Rule 4.17(ii))
- as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii))
- of inventorship (Rule 4.17(iv))

Published:

- with international search report

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: A METHOD FOR GENERATING A CONGESTION FLAG BASED ON MEASURED SYSTEM LOAD



(57) Abstract: A radio base station generates a congestion status flag, based on measured resource usage in its cell, and based on performance of sessions in the cell. The flag may be a one bit, or a small number of bits, indicating whether the base station is congested. The flag can be sent to neighbouring radio base stations, for use in determining whether to perform handovers to that radio base station. The flag generated in a radio base station, and the flags generated in neighbouring radio base stations, can also be sent to user equipment in a cell.



WO 2007/126352 A1



-
- *before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments*
- For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

A METHOD FOR GENERATING A CONGESTION FLAG BASED ON MEASURED SYSTEM LOAD

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5 The present invention relates to methods and arrangements in cellular mobile communication systems, in particular to efficient radio resource management in an evolved UMTS Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA) Network.

10 BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY

In order to meet high target bit rate requirement in an evolved UMTS Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA) Network, efficient radio resource management (RRM) techniques are required. The realization of efficient RRM methods requires
15 exchange of measurements across different network nodes. The measurement reports indicate the resource usage in a certain network node such as average transmission power level. Generally these measurements are needed before the execution of an RRM function. Since there are different types of
20 resources, there are several kinds of measurement reports that are to be communicated between the network nodes, notably between NodeBs, in order to efficiently perform the RRM operation. Although measurement reports are critically important, excessive or unnecessary measurement reporting
25 should be avoided in order to minimize the signaling overheads. Our key observation is that there are a multitude of radio resource measurements that need to be communicated between radio network nodes for efficient radio resource management.

30 In cellular systems the RRM techniques, namely admission control and handover control, play a key role in achieving the desired grade and quality of service (GoS/QoS) targets

(see also the documents 3GPP TR 25.922 "Radio Resource Management Strategies" and 3GPP TS 25.331 "Radio Resource Protocol Specifications" issued by the 3rd Generation Partnership Project). The main purpose of the admission control is to ensure that the QoS of the new session can be fulfilled. Secondly, it should also ensure that the admission of a new user should not adversely affect the performance of the ongoing sessions.

In UTRA the admission control functionality is located in the radio network controller (RNC), where the radio resource control (RRC) protocol is also terminated. The admission control process in UTRA should take into account several types of resources such as downlink transmitted power, downlink channelization code usage and uplink received total wideband power (RTWP). In UTRA, similarly to admission control, the handover is also carried out by the RNC, which is aware of the resource situation of the target cells, as discussed in the document 3GPP TR 25.922 "Radio Resource Management Strategies".

When a new call or a handover is required, it will be denied if the required resources are not available in the target cell of the target Node B.

Figure 1 illustrates a handover attempt failure due to insufficient cell resources. That is, Figure 1 illustrates a serving Node B sending a handover request to a target Node B. The handover request is denied by the target Node B since uplink resource blocks are either fully utilized or their usage is above a certain threshold. The handover failure can however be prevented to some extent by inquiring the resource usage at the target Node B prior to handover attempt.

Figure 2 therefore illustrates another scenario, where the serving Node B first requests the target Node B to report its measurements, for all type of resources, in order to prevent any handover failure due to the cell resource bottleneck. Only after receiving the measurement reports does the serving Node B determine whether that possible target Node B should be the target Node B for a handover attempt. It is clear that, in this type of situation where the target Node B cannot serve a new call due to lack of resources, the complete set of measurement reporting is wasteful of bandwidth and leads to unnecessary handover latency. In case of a failure of the handover attempt, the serving Node B typically repeats the above mentioned procedures with the second best target Node B and so on.

Hence, in an existing system the situation may arise in which several handover attempts may be needed before the handover is successfully executed. Secondly this would lead to considerable signaling overheads. The above mentioned problems have been identified and realized already from the past experiences in UTRA. The current WCDMA network utilizes try/fail/adjust/reattempt way of handling resource congestions problems.

It has thus been observed to be a problem that admission control and handover control cannot be efficiently used due to an inefficient use of radio resources. It is thus an objective to reduce unnecessary measurement reports in E-UTRA and a need to specify simple mechanisms of exchanging measurement reports between the Node Bs. There is also a need for devising mechanisms whereby the congestion situation in network could be communicated between the Node Bs in simple manner. For instance, it has been proposed to multicast congestion status in the form of single level of congestion such as available free capacity. In the current

proposal the congestion status does not consider the aggregation of all different types of radio, transport network and hardware resources. In addition the congestion status does not include the measured performance such as ongoing grade of service performance. However, a situation can arise in which the system is not fully congested but still the requested QoS requirements cannot be met.

According to an aspect of the present invention, a network node generates a congestion flag, indicative of measured resource usage and measured performance, and this can then be used as a basis for determining whether to attempt to access that node.

In preferred embodiments, the invention can therefore provide some of the following advantages, namely:

A simple way to indicate congestion status to the neighbour base stations and to the UEs.

Minimizing of handover failure and handover attempt failure, thereby improving grade of service. Particular advantage in distributed systems where handover is located in Node B.

Reduction of measurement reports when they are not needed. Particular advantage in distributed systems where excessive reports can overwhelm signalling links between the base stations.

Allowing the serving Node B to update the neighbour cell list. This will allow UE to do measurement on cells, which can accommodate new links. This will also reduce the number of UE measurements.

New call blocking can be reduced. Particular advantage in distributed systems where admission control is located in Node B.

5 Realization of multi-cell RRM in distributed architecture where there is no centralized controller with radio related information.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 illustrates a handover attempt failure due to insufficient cell resources.

10 Figure 2 illustrates measurements required to prevent handover failures, in accordance with the prior art.

Figure 3 illustrates a radio access network architecture of E-UTRA.

15 Figure 4 illustrates an example of the resource situation in a target Node B.

Figure 5 illustrates an example for a flag generation at a Node B.

Figure 6 illustrates a 4-level congestion status diagram realized by single bit using state transition method.

20 Figure 7 is a flow chat, illustrating a process for generating a congestion status flag.

Figure 8 is a flow chart, illustrating a process for initiating a handover using a congestion status flag.

25 Figure 9 is a flow chart, illustrating the transmission of the updated neighbour cell list to a user equipment.

Figure 10 illustrates a part of a telecommunication network including a radio base station and a user equipment for which the present invention is applied.

DESCRIPTION

5 The present invention is particularly applicable to a cellular wireless communications system, for example as shown in Figure 3. In the example network shown in Figure 3, the network 10 is an evolved UMTS Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA) network, although it will be apparent that the
10 invention is similar applicable to other types of network.

Figure 1 shows a part of the network, containing four radio base stations, or Node Bs, although it will be apparent that the network will contain many more than this number. In E-UTRA, the RRC terminates in the Node B. As shown in Figure
15 3, the Node Bs each have respective connections 12, 14, etc, to an access gateway (aGW) 16, which performs only user plane switching but is not aware of any radio related information such as cell load etc. In order to carry out admission control and handovers, the serving network node
20 should be aware of the load at the target node. Thus in E-UTRA both admission control and handovers are placed in the Node B. Each of the direct interfaces 18, 20, etc between the Node Bs (the X2 interfaces) is simply a control plane that can transport measurement reports, handover related
25 commands etc.

E-UTRA is designed to achieve a significantly higher data rate compared to UTRA. Secondly, in E-UTRA the latency requirements of certain functions such as handover, is considerably more stringent than in WCDMA. This means that
30 admission control and handover failures should be minimized because otherwise latency will increase. Thus, in E-UTRA,

efficient admission control and handover execution may require the Node B to monitor various types of measurements such as transmitted power, RTWP, resource block usage, transport network channel usage etc. By using more
5 comprehensive resource information at the Node B, the admission and handover decisions can be made more predictable.

In E-UTRA there are several types of radio and network resources that are assigned to a session by the serving Node
10 B. Some important resources that are to be used in E-UTRA are: the Downlink transmit power; the Uplink received total Interference; the Downlink resource blocks (DL RB); the Uplink resource blocks (UL RB); the Uplink transport network resources (UL TrNetCh); the Downlink transport network
15 resources (DL TrNetCh); and the Hardware resources.

It should be noted that a new call or a handover request can be denied due to the lack of availability of any one of the cell resources listed above. This is illustrated in Figure 4, which shows certain average usage levels of different
20 types of cell resources in a target cell of the target Node B. Figure 4 illustrates an example situation, where only one of the cell resources (that is, the uplink resource blocks) is fully occupied, but other resources are still available.

It is the basic idea of the current invention that each Node
25 B generates a congestion status flag based on resource usage and measured performance to indicate whether or not a new connection can be served. The flag can be sent to neighbour Node Bs to prevent handover failures or it can be broadcasted in its own cell to facilitate admission control.
30 The congestion status flag can also be used by the serving Node B to dynamically set the neighbour cell list, which is used by the UE to do downlink measurements.

Figure 5 illustrates the generation of the flag in the Node B. Two sets of measurements are fed into the flag generating algorithm as shown in figure 5. The first set of measurements relate to usage of resources at the Node B such as the downlink transmitted power (X_1), the downlink resource block usage (X_2), the uplink transport network channel usage (X_N), etc. The second set of measurements relate to the measured performance, which may include the fraction of unsatisfied users (Y_1), the new session blocking probability or P_b (Y_2), the ongoing session dropping probability or P_d (Y_M), the mean user bit rate etc. The measurements can be done over a certain time period. Different resource and performance metrics types may have different measurement periods.

As shown in Figure 5, each measurement is compared with a certain threshold. Specifically, as indicated in the figure, X_i and γ_i represent measured cell resource i and the corresponding threshold, respectively. Similarly, Y_i and β_i represent measured performance i and the corresponding threshold, respectively. In this example, any of the input measurements exceeding its respective threshold would generate a flag=1, indicating congestion in the network. The algorithm that generates the flag in the Node B is implementation dependent (involving the setting of threshold levels, defining the flag generation logic, etc). However, the main idea is the generation of congestion flag based on two sets of measurements: resource usage and measurement performance.

In Figure 5 the flag generation logic is exemplified by a simple OR operation. Other flag generation mechanisms assigning weights to different resources and hysteresis values are also possible.

In its simplest form, the flag may comprise a single bit of information as depicted in the table below, where Flag = 1 and Flag =0 manifest congestion and no congestion situations respectively in a cell.

Table 1: Preventive flag

Flag	Meaning
1	Congestion
0	NO Congestion

5

Different services typically require different amounts of resources. Thus, it is advantageous to have multilevel signalling, i.e. some intermediate levels between congestion and no congestion states. For example, multilevel congestion status can be realized either by using more bits (e.g. 2 bits for 4-levels); or by using multiple states and state transitions with the same number of bits (e.g. 1 bit for 4-levels).

As an example, we can consider the 4-level case, where the congestion status, in addition to the two extreme situations, can also indicate if the target cell can accept low or medium bit rate services. The table below depicts as examples two 4-level congestion status signalling mechanisms, using 2-bits and using a single bit to indicate state transitions, respectively.

20

Congestion Flag		Meaning
Method 1: 2-bits	Method 2: State transition (states)	
00	1	NO congestion
01	2	Accept medium bit rate
10	3	Accept low bit rate
11	4	Congestion

The state transition based signalling mechanism for 4-level congestion status is also illustrated by the 4-state transition diagram in Figure 6. The state transition method, i.e. method 2 in the table, governs the transitions between congestion states rather than indicating the congestion level itself. Thereby multiple congestion levels are maintained but the signalled information between network nodes is 1 bit at a time. However the obvious drawback is that only adjacent congestion states can be reported using 1 bit. On the other hand, in the first method, whereby each state is explicitly represented by 2-bits, a congestion status report can be completely independent of the previous report. An M-level congestion status flag generation algorithm in the Node B can be realized by having M-1 thresholds for both sets of input parameters: resource usage and measurement performance. Thus, a 4-level congestion status would require 3 thresholds for each type of measurement.

The E-UTRA system shall also support inter-RAT handovers, which means handovers to other access technologies such as UTRA and GERAN. In case Node Bs or base stations belonging to different radio access technologies are co-sited (e.g. UTRA/E-UTRA Node Bs at same location), a multi-RAT flag can also be sent to the neighbour Node Bs of these other RATs. This will provide E-UTRA Node Bs with an overall status of the resource situation in cells belonging to other technologies. The multi-RAT flag can depict 2-level or multilevel congestion status as described above. The table below illustrates an example, where 2-level congestion status indicates the congestion in different technologies. In this example both E-UTRA Node B (eNode B) and GSM base station are congested.

eNode B ID	Node B ID	GSM BS ID
1	0	1

Figure 7 is thus a flow chart, illustrating a method in accordance with the invention, whereby a congestion status flag is generated. In step 70 of the process, measurements
5 are made, relating to the congestion status of the relevant radio base station. As mentioned above, these can include any relevant measurements relating to the downlink or uplink radio or fixed network resources. In step 72, measurements are made, relating to the performance of the base station.
10 As described above, these can include any relevant measurements relating to the performance of the ongoing sessions in a cell. In step 74, a congestion status flag is generated. As described above, the flag can be generated by comparing the relevant measurements with respective
15 thresholds, and then generating the flag to indicate the congestion status of the base station. In step 76, the radio base station transmits the generated congestion status flag to other network entities.

More specifically, regarding step 76, one possibility is
20 that the congestion status flag can be used to prevent handover failures. Thus, each Node B sends the congestion flag to its neighbor Node Bs. The flag will indicate the overall resource situation in the transmitting Node B. This means that, when the transmitting Node B is a potential
25 target Node B for a handover, the serving Node B can be prevented from sending handover requests to that target Node B when it is congested, i.e. when its flag = 1. On the one hand this will reduce the handover failure attempt, thereby minimizing handover latency and preventing unnecessary
30 signaling exchange. On the other hand this will allow the

5 serving Node B to select the next possible Node B in terms of resource availability, thereby minimizing the call dropping probability. By using multi-RAT congestion status the handover failures due to congestion of base stations belonging to other access technologies can also be minimized.

10 The simplest way to send the flag is via the control plane, that is, via the respective Node B-Node B interface 18, 20, etc as shown in Figure 3, in E-UTRA. It is important to note that the congestion flag can either be sent as a separate message or it can be concatenated to other types of signaling messages. The main advantage of the former scheme is that some overheads such as base station identifier can be reduced. In any case the target base station receiving the congestion status flag should be able to uniquely identify and interpret the meaning of the congestion flag.

15 Another option is to send the flag by piggybacking it with the user data over the user plane and routing it to the destination Node Bs via the access gateway (aGW) 16. This approach is realizable since the flag comprises only one, or very few, bits. The control plane (Node B-Node B interface) is expected to have much lower capacity than the user plane. Thus, the second approach is also useful in situations where there is congestion on the Node B-Node B control plane.

25 Figure 8 is a flow chart, illustrating a process for initiating a handover, using the congestion status flag as described above. Thus, in step 90, the serving base station identifies another Node B as a potential target base station for a handover. In step 92, the serving base station reads the congestion status flag sent by that potential target base station and, in step 94, it determines whether that congestion status flag indicates that the potential target

30

base station is congested. If the flag indicates that the potential target base station is congested, the process returns to step 90, and the serving base station identifies an alternative potential target base station. If the flag indicates that the potential target base station is not congested, the process passes to step 96, and the serving base station proceeds with the handover to that target base station.

The indication of congestion status does not prevent any Node B from requesting measurement reports from neighbouring Node Bs, whose congestion status flags indicate that they are congested. However, a Node B can have an option not to request measurements reports from congested neighbour Node Bs. This will reduce unnecessary signalling overheads and processing at the Node B.

The congestion status flag can also be used for dynamic setting of neighbour cell lists. As shown in Figure 9, in step 100 of that process, a serving Node B can receive the congestion status information reported by one or more of the neighbour Node Bs, as described above. The serving Node B can then update its existing neighbour cell list at step 102 by excluding from that list any neighbouring Node B whose flag =1. The updated list can then be sent to the users in that cell by the serving Node B. This will prevent those users from doing measurements (for handover or cell change) on cells that are congested.

Thus, Figure 10 shows a system including a radio base station (RBS) 81, including a block 811 for generating a congestion status flag, operating as described above. Figure 10 also shows a user equipment (UE) 82, which may take the form of a mobile phone, or any type of portable wireless communications device, including a block 821 for

reading messages received from the RBS 81 and a processor 822.

In the process of Figure 9, the RBS 81 transmits the updated neighbour cell list to the UE 82, which is able to use that
5 list for future handovers or cell changes.

The congestion status flag can also be used for prevention of admission failures. Thus, considering the system of Figure 10, the flag can also be broadcast to the users in its own cell using the same signalling mechanism as
10 described above. Moreover, since the serving Node B 81 is also aware of the congestion status in the neighbour Node Bs, the serving Node B 81 can also broadcast the flag status of the neighbour Node Bs. This will prevent the UE 82 from listening to the neighbouring Node Bs for the purpose of
15 inferring the congestion status.

In order to prioritize handover over new calls or vice versa, different measurement thresholds can be used to generate the flag for handovers and for admission control. The UE can then consider the overall resource situation by
20 reading the broadcast flag before initiating a call (e.g. before sending random access request). For example, the UE can avoid access to a congested cell (e.g. whose flag =1) even though it is the best in terms of coverage or quality. Rather, the UE shall access the next best cell in terms of
25 coverage or quality, which is not congested (e.g. flag = 0).

The multi-RAT flag can be used to steer the UE to access the best cell belonging to the best possible access technology in terms of available resources.

In the case of UE based handovers (e.g. in fully distributed systems), the broadcast information can also be used by the user equipment to perform handovers.

There is thus described a system for generating congestion
5 status information, which can be used by network entities to
operate efficiently.

CLAIMS

1. A method of indicating a status of a radio base station of a telecommunication network wherein said method comprises generating a congestion status flag based on a measured resource usage and based on a measured performance of said radio base station.
5
2. The method according to Claim 1 wherein the measured resource usage relates to usage of a set of any downlink or uplink radio or fixed network resources in the radio base station.
10
3. The method according to Claim 1 wherein the measured performance relates to performance of ongoing sessions in a cell.
4. The method according to Claim 1 wherein the congestion status flag is generated by comparing the measured resource usage and the measured performance with respective thresholds.
15
5. The method according to Claim 1 wherein the flag has two possible values, and wherein one value indicates full congestion whereas the other value indicates no congestion.
20
6. The method according to Claim 1 wherein the flag has more than two possible values, and wherein highest and lowest of said values indicate full congestion and no congestion, respectively, and intermediate values indicate respective different intermediate levels of congestion.
25
7. The method according to Claim 6 wherein a congestion status having more than two values is signalled by a single bit using state transitions.
8. The method according to Claim 7 wherein the congestion status of the radio base station belonging to multiple access technologies is signalled.
30

9. The method according to any preceding claim, comprising sending said congestion status flag to at least one other radio base station.

10. The method according to Claim 9, wherein the congestion
5 status is signaled as a separate and independent message.

11. The method according to Claim 9, wherein the congestion status is sent by concatenating it with other signaling messages.

12. The method according to Claim 9, wherein the congestion
10 status is sent by concatenating or piggybacking it with the user data.

13. The method according to any preceding claim, comprising sending said congestion status flag to users in its own cell.

15 14. The method according to claim 13, further comprising sending to users in its own cell said congestion status flag relating to at least one other radio base station.

15. A method of operation of a radio base station of a telecommunication network wherein said method comprises
20 reading a congestion status flag generated in at least one other radio base station, said congestion status flag being based on a measured resource usage and based on a measured performance of said at least one other radio base station.

16. The method according to Claim 15, wherein said radio
25 base station, acting as a serving radio base station, utilizes said congestion status flag to determine a target radio base station for the purpose of handovers.

17. The method according to Claim 15, wherein said radio base station, acting as a serving radio base station, utilizes said congestion status flag to dynamically update neighbour cell lists used by user equipment to perform
5 downlink measurements for handovers and cell reselection.

18. A method of operation of a user equipment in a telecommunication network including one or more radio base stations, the method comprising reading a congestion status flag generated in a radio base station, said congestion
10 status flag being based on a measured resource usage and based on a measured performance of said radio base station.

19. A method according to claim 19, comprising reading said congestion status flag of its own cell and at least one neighbouring cell, and utilizing this information to decide
15 the best radio base station in terms of cell resources to establish a new call.

20. The method according to Claim 19, comprising utilizing said congestion status information to decide the best radio base station in terms of cell resources to perform handover.

20 21. A radio base station (81) for a telecommunication network (80) wherein said radio base station (81) comprises a means (811) for generating a congestion status flag based on the measured resource usage and measured performance.

22. A user equipment (82) for a telecommunication network
25 (80) including one or more radio base stations, wherein the user equipment (82) comprises a means (821) for reading a congestion status message based on the measured resource usage and measured performance of its own cell and at least one neighbouring cell, and a means (822) for determining the
30 best radio base station in terms of cell resources to establish a new call based on this information.




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5

5/8




Figure 6




Figure 7

7/8




Figure 8




Figure 9




Figure 10

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/SE2006/050538

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER		
IPC: see extra sheet According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC		
B. FIELDS SEARCHED		
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)		
IPC: H04Q, H04L		
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched		
SE,DK,FI,NO classes as above		
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)		
EPO-INTERNAL, WPI DATA, PAJ		
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 20040160914 A1 (SANDIP SARKAR), 19 August 2004 (19.08.2004), figure 6, claims 1-9, abstract, paragraph 8-10, 25, 33, 41-43, 84, 125-130, 135-141, 147, 151-153 --	1-22
X	EP 1284583 A2 (FUJITSU LIMITED), 19 February 2003 (19.02.2003), claims 1-20, abstract, paragraph 7, 17-21, 24, 27, 30-32, 55-56, 59-60, 64, 70-73 --	1-22
A	US 6741555 B1 (XIANG LI ET AL), 25 May 2004 (25.05.2004), claim 1, abstract --	1-22
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.		
* Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family		
Date of the actual completion of the international search		Date of mailing of the international search report
23 August 2007		28-08-2007
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Swedish Patent Office Box 5055, S-102 42 STOCKHOLM Facsimile No. +46 8 666 02 86		Authorized officer Maziar Soltani/MN Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/SE2006/050538

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 6643318 B1 (KOUROSH PARSA ET AL), 4 November 2003 (04.11.2003), abstract -- -----	1-22

International patent classification (IPC)

H04L 12/56 (2006.01)

H04Q 7/38 (2006.01)

Download your patent documents at www.prv.se

The cited patent documents can be downloaded at www.prv.se by following the links:

- In English/Searches and advisory services/Cited documents (service in English) or
- e-tjänster/anförda dokument (service in Swedish).

Use the application number as username.

The password is **SJKNYCJGUC**.

Paper copies can be ordered at a cost of 50 SEK per copy from PRV InterPat (telephone number 08-782 28 85).

Cited literature, if any, will be enclosed in paper form.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/SE2006/050538

US	20040160914	A1	19/08/2004	AU	2004213980	A	02/09/2004
				AU	2004213981	A	02/09/2004
				AU	2004213994	A	02/09/2004
				AU	2004213995	A	02/09/2004
				AU	2004213996	A	02/09/2004
				AU	2004213998	A	02/09/2004
				AU	2004213999	A	02/09/2004
				AU	2004214794	A	10/09/2004
				BR	PI0407532	A	14/02/2006
				BR	PI0407545	A	14/02/2006
				BR	PI0407560	A	14/02/2006
				BR	PI0407562	A	14/02/2006
				BR	PI0407565	A	14/02/2006
				BR	PI0407567	A	14/02/2006
				BR	PI0407568	A	14/02/2006
				BR	PI0407571	A	01/03/2006
				CA	2516119	A	02/09/2004
				CA	2516176	A	02/09/2004
				CA	2516219	A	02/09/2004
				CA	2516227	A	02/09/2004
				CA	2516228	A	02/09/2004
				CA	2516231	A	10/09/2004
				CA	2516261	A	02/09/2004
				CA	2516296	A	02/09/2004
				CN	1751531	A	22/03/2006
				CN	1762119	A	19/04/2006
				CN	1762169	A	19/04/2006
				CN	1762174	A	19/04/2006
				CN	1768550	A	03/05/2006
				CN	1774885	A	17/05/2006
				CN	1774949	A	17/05/2006
				CN	1774950	A	17/05/2006
				EP	1595351	A	16/11/2005
				EP	1595355	A	16/11/2005
				EP	1595414	A	16/11/2005
				EP	1595419	A	16/11/2005
				EP	1595421	A	16/11/2005
				EP	1595422	A	16/11/2005
				EP	1595423	A	16/11/2005
				EP	1595424	A	16/11/2005
				JP	2006518161	T	03/08/2006
				JP	2006518171	T	03/08/2006
				JP	2006518172	T	03/08/2006
				JP	2006518173	T	03/08/2006
				JP	2006518174	T	03/08/2006
				JP	2006518175	T	03/08/2006
				JP	2006518176	T	03/08/2006
				JP	2006518577	T	10/08/2006
				KR	20050098942	A	12/10/2005
				KR	20050098943	A	12/10/2005
				KR	20050098944	A	12/10/2005
				KR	20050099627	A	14/10/2005
				KR	20050099629	A	14/10/2005
				KR	20050101218	A	20/10/2005
				KR	20050109497	A	21/11/2005

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
Information on patent family members

International application No.
PCT/SE2006/050538

US	20040160914	A1	19/08/2004	KR	20060006772	A	19/01/2006
				MX	PA05008659	A	23/11/2005
				MX	PA05008660	A	23/11/2005
				MX	PA05008661	A	23/11/2005
				MX	PA05008662	A	07/04/2006
				MX	PA05008663	A	23/11/2005
				MX	PA05008767	A	20/09/2005
				MX	PA05008769	A	04/11/2005
				MX	PA05008771	A	20/09/2005
				RU	2005129076	A	20/03/2006
				RU	2005129077	A	27/07/2006
				RU	2005129079	A	10/02/2006
				RU	2005129080	A	27/01/2006
				RU	2005129081	A	10/02/2006
				RU	2005129095	A	10/03/2006
				RU	2005129097	A	20/03/2006
				RU	2005129104	A	20/02/2006
				US	7155236	B	26/12/2006
				US	20040160922	A	19/08/2004
				US	20040160933	A	19/08/2004
				US	20040162075	A	19/08/2004
				US	20040162083	A	19/08/2004
				US	20040162098	A	19/08/2004
				US	20040162099	A	19/08/2004
				US	20040240444	A	02/12/2004
				US	20050002444	A	06/01/2005
				US	20050003843	A	06/01/2005
				US	20050007986	A	13/01/2005
				US	20050176456	A	11/08/2005
				US	20060264220	A	23/11/2006
				WO	2004075448	A	02/09/2004
				WO	2004075468	A	02/09/2004
				WO	2004075496	A	02/09/2004
				WO	2004075593	A	02/09/2004
				WO	2004075595	A	02/09/2004
				WO	2004075596	A	02/09/2004
				WO	2004075597	A	02/09/2004
				WO	2004077726	A	10/09/2004
				AU	2004217283	A	16/09/2004
				AU	2004219053	A	23/09/2004
				BR	PI0408073	A	21/03/2006
				BR	PI0408075	A	21/03/2006
				CA	2518183	A	16/09/2004
				CA	2518252	A	23/09/2004
				CN	1784848	A	07/06/2006
				CN	1784921	A	07/06/2006
				EP	1599958	A	30/11/2005
				EP	1600027	A	30/11/2005
				JP	2006520160	T	31/08/2006
				JP	2006520174	T	31/08/2006
				KR	20050104421	A	02/11/2005
				KR	20050110659	A	23/11/2005
				MX	PA05009463	A	12/12/2005
				MX	PA05009465	A	12/12/2005
				RU	2005130980	A	10/05/2006

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
Information on patent family members

International application No.
PCT/SE2006/050538

US	20040160914	A1	19/08/2004	RU	2005130981	A	10/05/2006
				US	7215930	B	08/05/2007
				US	20040228389	A	18/11/2004
				US	20050014470	A	20/01/2005
				US	20070111669	A	17/05/2007
				WO	2004080106	A	16/09/2004
				WO	2004082165	A	23/09/2004

EP	1284583	A2	19/02/2003	AT	349871	T	15/01/2007
				CN	1407822	A	02/04/2003
				DE	60217027	D	00/00/0000
				GB	0120033	D	00/00/0000
				JP	2003134550	A	09/05/2003
				KR	20030015859	A	25/02/2003
				US	20030083069	A	01/05/2003

US	6741555	B1	25/05/2004	AU	7436901	A	24/12/2001
				WO	0197446	A	20/12/2001

US	6643318	B1	04/11/2003	US	7075971	B	11/07/2006
				US	20040081115	A	29/04/2004
