



US 20050216513A1

(19) **United States**

(12) **Patent Application Publication**

(10) **Pub. No.: US 2005/0216513 A1**

**Levy**

(43) **Pub. Date:**

**Sep. 29, 2005**

(54) **METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR AUTOMATIC ID MANAGEMENT**

(52) **U.S. Cl. .... 707/104.1**

(76) **Inventor: Kenneth L. Levy, Stevenson, WA (US)**

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Correspondence Address:  
**DIGIMARC CORPORATION**  
**9405 SW GEMINI DRIVE**  
**BEAVERTON, OR 97008 (US)**

To stop illegal digital content distribution, IDs will be included in the content. However, current ideas of how to use the IDs are unacceptable. The automatic ID management process and apparatus increases the ease of access to protected content for the consumer, with desired content protection and minimal implementation costs. The process includes tracking the IDs of the previously accessed content of a rendering device, reviewing rules contained within the new content and rendering device, and restricting access if the new content does not meet the rules. For example, devices may be limited to accessing content with N different IDs over a specific time period, where the time period is influenced by the number of times content with a specific ID is accessed. The apparatus includes a logic processor and memory that implements the automatic ID management process.

(21) **Appl. No.: 11/080,352**

(22) **Filed: Mar. 14, 2005**


**Related U.S. Application Data**

(63) **Continuation of application No. 09/522,312, filed on Mar. 9, 2000, now Pat. No. 6,868,497.**

(60) **Provisional application No. 60/123,587, filed on Mar. 10, 1999.**

**Publication Classification**

(51) **Int. Cl.<sup>7</sup> ..... G06F 7/00**






Fig. 1




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 2

**METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR AUTOMATIC ID MANAGEMENT**

**RELATED APPLICATION DATA**

[0001] This application is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/522,312, filed Mar. 9, 2000, which claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/123,587 filed Mar. 10, 1999. Each of these patent documents is incorporated herein by reference.

**BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

[0002] With the influx of digital compression schemes, digital media copiers, digital playing devices, and the Internet, it has become relatively simple to illegally copy and distribute digital content. Therefore, content providers want to allow only the person who bought content to access (i.e. play, copy or record) that content. One way to do this is to provide content that contains an ID, and lock the ID to the consumer, the rendering device or the storage unit. However, these existing solutions of how to use the ID produce unreasonable burdens for consumers.

[0003] One existing solution, known as user-binding, requires a person to carry an ID-card and/or remember a personal identification number (PIN) to access the content, similar to the way bank ATM machines work. The consumer has accepted this solution in order to access money in the bank, a situation where security is an advantage to the consumer too. However, it is doubtful that consumers will accept this requirement to access content, for example, play audio on a car stereo. In addition, when a group of people are sharing content, such as music, the process of each person having to scan a card before listening to their music is obtrusive. Finally, this solution requires data that links the ID to the user, so PINs and/or ID-cards can be produced. This data means the user's privacy has been compromised.

[0004] Another existing solution restricts playing of the content to one device, known as player-binding. This solution means your friend's music will not play in your car stereo, neither will your movie play at his house. This solution is not only inconvenient to the consumer, but also reduces the sale of content since many people buy content after playing or viewing it with their friends.

[0005] A final solution links the content to the storage unit, known as media-binding. The storage unit includes but is not limited to a magnetic hard drive, optical disk or electronic memory. This solution becomes cumbersome when the content should be allowed to move between different storage unit types. For example, a user, Joe, may want to play his audio from his computer's hard drive over his home stereo, or have the audio in his car or on a jog as portable electronic memory. However, with this media-binding solution, this audio can only be played in one place, and to move it from Joe's stereo to his car, he has to remember to where it was "checked out", otherwise, piracy cannot be controlled. Importantly, he can't just listen to it from each place as desirable to the consumer.

**SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

[0006] The object of the invented process and apparatus ease the fashion in which consumers legitimately access protected content while controlling piracy. The basic con-

cept is that the content contains an ID that locks it to a particular user or broadcast and the rendering device automatically determines whether the content can be accessed based upon the current and previously rendered IDs and rules. This invention should result in increased sales of content for the content providers.

[0007] The invented process involves the rendering device keeping track of the IDs contained in both the current and previously accessed content. This allows the rendering device to control access to new content based upon the new content's ID, the rules provided with the content (by the content providers) and/or within the device, and the IDs from previously rendered content by the device.

[0008] The ID may be linked to the user or the broadcast. User IDs work well for content that is sold for a user's continued use, whereas broadcast IDs work well for content recorded by the user from a broadcast.

[0009] An example implementation of the invented process is as follows. For user-linked content, the rendering device includes constraints that limit the number of content tracks with different user IDs that can be accessed in a certain amount of time, possibly influenced by the number of times content with each user ID has already been accessed. For broadcast content, broadcast IDs and the optionally included rules can be used to limit rendering or copying of each broadcast. In other words, with broadcast IDs, the limits are based upon date or number of times that ID is played, not on the total number of broadcast IDs.

[0010] More specifically, a portable MP3 player can keep track of each song's user ID, and if the previously played songs contain more than N different user IDs, the player decides if it can replace an old user ID with the new one due to the old user ID's date and number of times songs with that ID have been played. Similarly, if a broadcast ID is contained in memory, the MP3 player notes that the user has played the audio X times and Y times is allowed by the broadcast, or the date is past the broadcast's allowable usage date.

[0011] To this end, it is easy for the consumer to use the device, as he/she is not required to possess an ID card. In addition, there is no need for a global database linking the user to the ID; thus, the user's privacy is not compromised. For example, if a user loses his/her ID, it can be obtained from previous content. However, the user or broadcast ID can be kept secret and other privacy methods can be used with this invention. Most importantly, access to the media is limited, as the content providers wish, but the user is not inconvenienced.

[0012] The apparatus to implement this process includes a logic processor and memory, where it is desirable if the memory maintains its state when the device is without power. Most devices will already contain logic processors for rendering the content, and this process may be implemented on those processors and share time cycles with the other responsibilities of that processor. Importantly, the cost of the hardware to implement this process is minimal since the process is so simple, as desired by the device manufacturers.

**BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

[0013] FIG. 1 is an overview of the process of automatic ID management.

[0014] FIG. 2 is the pseudo-code for implementing an exemplar automatic ID management process.

[0015] FIG. 3 is the apparatus to implement automatic ID management.

[0016] FIG. 4 is a portable MP3 audio player containing the apparatus.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0017] Lets begin with some definitions. The rendering device is the device that can play, view, record or perform a similar action upon the data. The rendering device can provide any type of perceived data, including but not limited to images, audio and video. If the rendering device has a portable section, such as with a MP3 player, the loader, which puts the content onto the rendering device, is considered as part of the rendering device. The ID may be a user or broadcast ID. For example, many MP3 players can also record broadcasts, and these broadcasts will, in the future, contain embedded broadcast IDs, possibly as watermarks or header data with digital broadcasts. Content refers to the desired audio, video, image, or other relevant perceived data. Content providers include but are not limited to record labels, movie studios, and independent artists. The ID may be embedded within the content such as bits in the header file or a watermark, or the ID can be linked to the encryption and decryption of the content. Finally, this automatic ID management may be used in conjunction with other methods, such as media-binding.

[0018] FIG. 1 displays an overview of the automatic ID management process. In the process, the rendering device 100 keeps track of the IDs contained within the content it has previously accessed (box 110). The rules 120 may be provided in the device hardware and/or contained with the content. The rules 120 decide whether or not the device can access the new content based upon its ID (box 130).

[0019] If the rendering device has a portable section, such as with a MP3 player, the loader, defined above as part of the rendering device, can be used to lower the amount of memory required within the portable section, thus lowering its costs. This means that with a portable rendering device, the portable section may contain all of the memory and processing hardware (described in detail below) required to perform this automatic ID handling, or the hardware may be split between the loader and portable section. For example, when a computer uses a software loader to put MP3 files onto a portable MP3 player, the loader may store all the information about IDs on the computer and all the rendering device needs to do is count the number of times each song is played and maintain date information for its current list of content.

[0020] FIG. 2 displays the pseudo-code to implement an example of the process. In this example, the rules 120 include constraints 245, which are contained within the content as specified by the content provider, as well as default rules contained with the rendering device hardware. The constraints 245 are retrieved from the content 200 (box 240). The constraints 245 may limit the number of content tracks with different IDs that a device can access during a set time-period. The constraints 245 may also change the time-period an ID is stored dependent upon the number of times content with a specific ID was accessed. The constraints 245 may be embedded within the content or attached as a header information or a linked file.

[0021] For ease-of-use, it is better to not change these constraints per song because it may confuse the user. Ideally, the constraints should be agreed upon and set in the rendering device. However, including the rules in the content is a viable option for this invention.

[0022] Before describing the details of this exemplar process, it is important to understand the apparatus that implements the automatic ID management process (FIG. 3). The hardware includes a logic processor 300 and a memory 310. The logic processor 300 may be defined as the equivalent of a digital signal processor (DSP), general-purpose central processing unit (CPU), or a specialized CPU, including media processors. A likely DSP chip is one of the Texas Instruments TMS320 product line. A CPU could include one of Intel's Pentium line or Motorola/IBM's PowerPC product line. The design of code for controlling logic processor 300 is simple for someone familiar with the state of the art given the above pseudo-code and description.

[0023] In addition, a person familiar with the state of the art could implement the logic processor 300 using analog and digital circuitry, either separate or in an application specific integrated circuit (ASIC). The analog and digital circuitry could include any combination of the following devices: digital-to-analog converters (D/A), comparators, sample-and-hold circuits, delay elements, analog-to-digital converters (A/D), and programmable logic controllers (PLC).

[0024] The memory 310 stores the information required by rules 120, such as IDs, last play date, and the number of times that content with each ID has been accessed. Memory 310 may consist of standard computer random access memory (RAM). It is also desirable if memory 310 maintains this information even without power in the rendering device, perhaps but not limited to using ROM with backup and chargeable battery power, or memory that is stable without power, such as EPROM. As discussed above, memory 310 may consist of two separate modules when using a portable section and loader.

[0025] Now, back to a detailed description of the example process. It begins with the device 100 receiving new content 200. From the content 200, an ID 210 is retrieved. The ID 210 is checked to see if it is a user or broadcast ID (box 215).

[0026] For user IDs, the following happens. If the ID 210 already exists in the memory 310 of device 100 (box 220), the play count and last access date are updated (box 222) and the content 200 is rendered (box 230). If the ID 210 does not exist in memory 310 (box 220), the rules 120 are checked. If another ID can exist in memory 310 (box 250), ID 210 and the current date are added to the memory 310 (box 260) and the content is rendered (box 230). If another ID cannot be added, the rules 120 are checked to see if any existing IDs can be replaced because they are too old (box 270). If any IDs can be replaced, the old ID is replaced with ID 210 (box 280) and the content is rendered (box 230). If no IDs can be replaced, the user may be warned and access to content 200 is denied or limited (box 290). The user may also be presented with a link to buy the content (box 290).

[0027] More specifically, the rules may allow a device to store 10 IDs, and IDs can be replaced if they have not been accessed for a week.

[0028] In addition, the number of times an ID has been rendered could be used to determine whether or not to

replace the old ID with a new one (box 270). This count value could influence the time period an ID is held in memory 310; thus allowing ID 210 to replace a stored ID (boxes 270 and 280). For example, if content associated with the stored ID has not been accessed in a week, it can be replaced. Conversely, if content associated with the stored ID has been played at least 7 times, it should be held for at least a month since its last access.

[0029] There are many other simple rules that can be designed to meet the specific needs of the content provider. Some may involve using difference equations to decide whether or not an ID can be replaced. For example, the count for an ID can be reduced by one each day and incremented by one for each rendering of content containing the ID, and the ID can be replaced (box 270) if the count is zero or less, or the date of last access is over a week.

[0030] For broadcast IDs, the following happens. The ID 210 is examined to see if it already exists in memory 310 (box 255). If not, the ID 210 and current date are added to the rendering devices memory 310 (box 265), and the content is rendered (box 230). If the ID 210 does exist in memory, the play count, record date and/or last access date are checked to see if the content can be rendered (box 275). The broadcast may allow only two renders, or one week of rendering, or rendering until a specific date. If the broadcast is allowed to be rendered, the count and last access date are updated (box 285) and the content is accessed (box 230). If the broadcast is not allowed to be rendered, the user is notified, the access is limited and a link to buy the broadcast or similar content may be provided, if applicable (box 295).

[0031] In addition, the device should probably have some way to reset all of the information, such as IDs, date and count. The reset function may require a password code that is pseudo-random, thus requiring the user to contact support to reset the device. For example, the password may depend upon the day and year and obtained from an automation system. The reset button may also delete all the current content as well as ID information. This allows people to use one portable player with many friends at a party, but the loss of content will discourage piracy since it will be cumbersome.

[0032] FIG. 4 shows a portable MP3 player 400 that contains the described apparatus implementing the described pseudo-code. In this case, the logic processor 300 could be a separate processor, or share access with the processor that decompresses the audio. The device also contains the necessary memory 310 to store the required information, such as ID, data and count, possibly even when the player 400 is without power. The device may share this memory with a software loader.

[0033] Finally, in any rendering device, the logic processor 300 could be a separate processor or share time with the processor handling content for the device, such as compressing or decompressing digital content.

[0034] In summary, the main advantage of this invention is that it will be easier for consumers to access protected content than with prior-art ID methods and apparatus. In addition, it provides the content protection desired by content providers, and minimal increase in cost for rendering devices as desired by consumer electronic manufacturers.

[0035] The foregoing descriptions of the preferred embodiments of the invention have been presented to teach those skilled in the art how to best utilize the invention. Many modifications and variations are possible in light of the above teaching, such as other simple rules to meet specific content provider goals or combinations of portable and loader sections. To this end, the following claims define the scope and spirit of the invention.

What is claimed is:

1. A portable media rendering apparatus comprising:
  - electronic processing circuitry; and
  - memory, wherein said memory comprises executable instructions stored therein for execution by the electronic processing circuitry, the instructions comprising instructions to:
    - determine an identifier associated with first media stored in the portable apparatus;
    - control rendering of the first media by the apparatus through reference to at least i) usage rules associated with the identifier or first content, and ii) at least two identifiers associated with second and third media.
2. A method of restricting rendering of content on an apparatus comprising:
  - i. determining an identifier associated with a content item prior to rendering the content item;
  - ii. storing the identifier in list or memory, along with a date the content item is accessed;
  - iii. rendering the content item;
  - iv. repeating i.-iii. for each new content item requested for rendering until a predetermined limit of content identifiers are stored in the list or memory; and then
  - v. determining whether to allow rendering of additional content based on usage rules.
3. The method of claim 2 wherein the usage rules comprise a rule to determine whether to drop an identifier from the list or memory based on its date.
4. The method of claim 2 wherein the usage rules comprise a rule to replace an identifier from the list or memory.
5. The method of claim 2 wherein the usage rules comprise a rule to warn a user of the limit.
6. A method comprising:
  - maintaining a listing of content identifiers; and
  - deciding whether to render new content based at least in part on the listing of content identifiers, wherein the new content includes a content identifier that is not included in the listing.
7. The method of claim 6 wherein the deciding whether to render new content is also based on usage rules associated with the new content.
8. The method of claim 6 further comprising warning a user that the new content can not be rendered unless an action is taken by the user.
9. The method of claim 8 wherein the action comprises a purchase.

\* \* \* \* \*