

(51) International Patent Classification:  
**G11B 5/66** (2006.01)(21) International Application Number:  
PCT/US2010/046010(22) International Filing Date:  
19 August 2010 (19.08.2010)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:  
12/544,091 19 August 2009 (19.08.2009) US(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY LLC** [US/US]; 920 Disc Drive, Scotts Valley, California 95066 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **NOLAN, Thomas P.** [US/US]; 279 E. Warren Ave., Fremont, California 94539 (US). **VALCU, Bogdan F.** [RO/US]; 3400 Stevenson Blvd., Fremont, California 94538 (US). **TANG, Li** [US/US]; 43329 Livermore Common, Fremont, California 94539 (US).(74) Agent: **PRICE, Lucinda**; Seagate Technology LLC, 920 Disc Drive, Scotts Valley, California 95066 (US).


(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RS, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

**Published:**

- with international search report (Art. 21(3))
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments (Rule 48.2(h))

(54) Title: MAGNETIC RECORDING MEDIA WITH RELIABLE WRITABILITY AND ERASURE



(57) **Abstract:** Methods and media structures are provided for increasing writability and reducing unintentional erasure of perpendicular magnetic recording media. Variable permeability is controlled within a thin soft underlayer (SUL) structure, independent of bulk SUL material properties such as magnetic moment ( $B_s$ ) and magnetic anisotropy ( $H_k$ ). Media with an improved combination of easier writability on the recorded track and difficult erasure off-track (between tracks and on neighboring tracks) is achieved, in part, by an unbalanced antiferromagnetically coupled (AFC) SUL structure. A permeability gradient is established within the soft underlayer with layers having different values of permeability and magnetic thickness ( $B_s \cdot t$ ). In an aspect, a first SUL layer includes a high permeability region and an overlying low permeability region. A second layer antiferromagnetically couples the first layer to a low permeability third SUL layer. The present invention may be utilized with high density perpendicular recording media requiring carefully balanced magnetic properties.

Title: MAGNETIC RECORDING MEDIA WITH RELIABLE WRITABILITY AND ERASURE

## FIELD

[0001] The invention relates generally to magnetic recording media, and more particularly to methods and media that increase writability and reduce unintentional erasure of a recording media.

## BACKGROUND

[0002] Magnetic storage media are widely used in various applications, particularly in the computer industry for data/information storage and retrieval applications, as well as for storage of audio and video signals. Disc drive memory systems store digital information that is recorded on concentric tracks on a magnetic disc medium. At least one disc is rotatably mounted on a spindle, and the information, which can be stored in the form of magnetic transitions within the discs, is accessed using read/write heads or transducers. A drive controller is typically used for controlling the disc drive system based on commands received from a host system. The drive controller controls the disc drive to store and retrieve information from the magnetic discs. Efforts are continually made with the aim of increasing the areal recording density, i.e., bit density of the magnetic media.

[0003] Referring to the drawings wherein identical reference numerals denote the same elements throughout the various views, **FIG. 1** illustrates a perspective view of a typical disc drive data storage system in which the present invention is useful, and also a disc recording medium and a cross section of a disc showing the difference between longitudinal and perpendicular magnetic recording. Although Fig. 1 shows one side of the disc, magnetic recording layers are usually sputter deposited on both sides of the non-magnetic aluminum substrate of Fig. 1. Also, although Fig. 1 shows an aluminum substrate, alternative embodiments include a substrate made of glass, glass-ceramic, aluminum/NiP, metal alloys, plastic/polymer material, ceramic, glass-polymer, composite materials or other non-magnetic materials.

[0004] Magnetic thin-film media, wherein a fine grained polycrystalline magnetic alloy layer serves as the active recording medium layer, are generally classified as “longitudinal” or “perpendicular,” depending on the orientation of the magnetization of the magnetic domains of the grains of the magnetic material. In longitudinal media (also often referred as “conventional” media), the magnetization in the bits is flipped between lying parallel and

anti-parallel to the direction in which the head is moving relative to the disc. Perpendicular magnetic recording media are being developed for higher density recording (without experiencing the thermal stability limit) as compared to longitudinal media. The thin-film perpendicular magnetic recording medium comprises a substrate and a magnetic layer having perpendicular magnetic anisotropy ( $H_k$ ). In perpendicular media, the magnetization of the disc, instead of lying in the disc's plane as it does in longitudinal recording, stands on end perpendicular to the plane of the disc. The bits are then represented as regions of upward or downward directed magnetization (corresponding to the 1's and 0's of the digital data).

[0005] While perpendicular media technology provides higher areal density capability over longitudinal media, granular perpendicular magnetic recording media is being developed for further extending the areal density as compared to conventional perpendicular magnetic recording, which is limited by the existence of strong lateral exchange coupling between magnetic grains. Granular structures provide better grain isolation through oxide segregation to grain boundary, hence enhancing grain to grain magnetic decoupling and increasing media signal to noise ratio (SNR). A granular perpendicular magnetic layer contains magnetic columnar grains separated by grain boundaries comprising a dielectric material such as oxides, nitrides or carbides to decouple the magnetic grains. The grain boundaries, having a thickness of about 2 angstroms to about 30 angstroms, provide a substantial reduction in the magnetic interaction between the magnetic grains.

[0006] Perpendicular media have replaced longitudinal media in many computer-related recording applications and continue the movement toward ever-increasing areal recording densities far beyond the capability of longitudinal media. However, perpendicular media and recording technology are less well developed than facets of longitudinal media and recording technology.

[0007] As recording density continues to increase, it is necessary to make smaller grain structures to maintain the number of magnetic particles in a bit at a similar value. However, smaller grain structures are easier to erase, requiring higher anisotropy to maintain thermal stability, and making writability worse. One of the dominant issues facing perpendicular recording is unintentional erasure, particularly sidetrack erasure (STE). It is therefore increasingly difficult to make recording media that can be effectively written on the recording

track by the recording head, while avoiding erasure of neighboring tracks by stray fields or limited thermal stability.

## SUMMARY

[0008] Methods and media structures are described herein for increasing writability and reducing unintentional erasure of perpendicular magnetic recording media. In an embodiment, a perpendicular magnetic recording medium comprises a substrate and an overlying layer stack situated on the substrate. The layer stack comprises a magnetically soft underlayer. The underlayer is a stacked structure comprising, in overlying sequence, a first layer, a second layer being an antiferromagnetic coupling layer, and a third layer. The first layer has a high permeability region and an overlying low permeability region. The third layer has low permeability. The first layer and the third layer are unbalanced having unequal magnetic moment and are antiferromagnetically coupled. The high permeability region has a higher permeability than the low permeability region. These and various other features and advantages will be apparent from a reading of the following detailed description.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0009] The foregoing aspects and many of the attendant advantages of this invention will become more readily appreciated by reference to the following detailed description, when taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, wherein:

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a typical disc drive data storage system in which the present invention is useful, and also a disc recording medium and a cross section of a disc showing the difference between longitudinal and perpendicular magnetic recording;

FIG. 2 is a simplified cross-sectional view of a portion of a conventional granular perpendicular magnetic recording medium, and retrieval system including a single-pole transducer head, as can be used in the disc drive data storage system as in FIG. 1;

FIG. 3 is a simplified cross-sectional view of a portion of a perpendicular magnetic recording medium including a soft underlayer structure according to a contemporary design;

FIG. 4 is a simplified cross-sectional view of a portion of a perpendicular magnetic recording medium including an unbalanced antiferromagnetically coupled soft underlayer

structure, having low permeability on a bottom region, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 5 is a simplified cross-sectional view of a portion of a perpendicular magnetic recording medium including an unbalanced antiferromagnetically coupled soft underlayer structure, having low permeability on a top region, in accordance with another embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 6 is a simplified cross-sectional view of a portion of a perpendicular magnetic recording medium including an unbalanced antiferromagnetically coupled five layer soft underlayer structure, in accordance with another embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 7 is a simplified cross-sectional view of a portion of a perpendicular magnetic recording medium including an unbalanced antiferromagnetically coupled seven layer soft underlayer structure, in accordance with another embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 8 is a graphical illustration of a hysteresis curve of a 20 nm single layer soft underlayer;

FIG. 9 is a graphical illustration of a hysteresis curve of two 10 nm antiferromagnetically coupled layers;

FIG. 10 is a graphical illustration of a hysteresis curve of a 30 nm soft underlayer antiferromagnetically coupled to a 10 nm soft underlayer, all with the same magnetic moment ( $B_s$ ) value;

FIG. 11 is a simplified cross-sectional view of a portion of a perpendicular magnetic recording medium including an unbalanced antiferromagnetically coupled soft underlayer structure, having low permeability on a top region, in accordance with another embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 12 is a graphical illustration of a recording metric, reverse overwrite (ROW), illustrating the ease of writing in comparison to a top region soft underlayer thickness; and

FIG. 13 is a graphical illustration of a recording metric, adjacent track interference (ATI), illustrating ease of adjacent track erasure in comparison to a top region soft underlayer thickness.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[00010] Exemplary embodiments are described with reference to specific configurations. Those of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that various changes and modifications can be made while remaining within the scope of the appended claims. Additionally, well-known elements, devices, components, methods, process steps and the like may not be set forth in detail in order to avoid obscuring the invention.

[00011] Perpendicular recording media (recording media with a perpendicular anisotropy (H<sub>k</sub>) in the magnetic layer and magnetization forming in a direction perpendicular to the surface of the magnetic layers) have been found to be superior to longitudinal media in achieving very high bit densities without experiencing the thermal stability limit associated with the latter. In perpendicular magnetic recording media, residual or remanant magnetization is formed in a direction perpendicular to the surface of the magnetic medium, typically a layer of a magnetic material on a suitable substrate. Very high to ultra-high linear recording densities are obtainable by utilizing a “single-pole” magnetic transducer or “head” with such perpendicular magnetic media. Typically, perpendicular recording media are fabricated with polycrystalline CoCr or CoPt-oxide containing films. Co-rich areas in the polycrystalline film are ferromagnetic while Cr or oxide rich areas in the film are non-magnetic. Magnetic interaction between domains in adjacent ferromagnetic crystal grains is attenuated by nonmagnetic areas in between.

[00012] High density perpendicular recording media require carefully balanced magnetic properties including: sufficiently high anisotropy to ensure thermal stability, resist erasure, and function effectively with modern head designs; sufficiently low switching field to enable writability by the head; sufficiently low lateral exchange coupling to maintain small correlation length between magnetic grains or clusters; sufficiently high lateral exchange coupling to maintain a narrow switching field distribution (SFD); and grain-to-grain uniformity of magnetic properties sufficient to maintain thermal stability and minimum SFD.

[00013] As recording density continues to increase, it is necessary to make smaller grain structures to maintain the number of magnetic particles in a bit at a similar value. Smaller grain structures are easier to erase, requiring higher anisotropy to maintain thermal stability, and making writability worse. It is therefore increasingly difficult to make recording media that can be effectively written on the recorded track by the recording head, while avoiding erasure of neighboring tracks by stray fields or limited thermal stability.

[00014] Perpendicular magnetic recording media commonly include a hard magnetic record layer, a non-magnetic interlayer, and a soft magnetic underlayer (SUL), as described in more detail below. The SUL generally has high permeability ( $\mu$ ) that increases the conductance of magnetic flux, and high magnetic moment ( $B_s$ ) that enables conduction of large amounts of flux. Thus, the SUL helps direct the flux from the main pole of the head, through the media record layer, and back to the head return pole, increasing media writability. However, as a complication, the increase in writability applies similarly to both the recorded track and to the neighboring tracks that can thereby be erased.

[00015] Methods and media structures are described herein to increase writability and reduce unintentional erasure of perpendicular magnetic recording media. It is to be appreciated that magnetic recording media features of the discussion and claims may be utilized with disc drive memory systems, including low profile disc drive memory systems. The present invention provides an improved method for controlling variable permeability within the thin SUL layer structure, independent of bulk SUL material properties such as magnetic moment ( $B_s$ ) and magnetic anisotropy ( $H_k$ ). Media with an improved combination of easier writability on the recorded track and difficult erasure off-track (between tracks and on neighboring tracks) is achieved, in part, by an SUL structure comprising layers having different values of permeability and magnetic thickness ( $B_s \cdot t$ ).

[00016] Referring to **FIG. 2**, a simplified cross-sectional view is illustrated of a portion of a conventional granular perpendicular magnetic recording medium, and retrieval system including a single-pole transducer head, as can be used in the disc drive data storage system of FIG. 1. A conventional perpendicular recording system 200 is illustrated utilizing a vertically oriented magnetic medium 210. The layer stack includes a non-magnetic substrate 212, i.e., glass, aluminum (Al) or an Al-based alloy, and an optional adhesion layer 214. The optional adhesion layer, if present on the substrate surface, typically comprises a less than

about 200 Angstrom thick layer of a metal or a metal alloy material such as Ti, a Ti-based alloy, Ta, a Ta-based alloy, Cr, or a Cr-based alloy. Overlying the optional adhesion layer 214 is a relatively thick (as compared with the magnetic recording layer 222) "soft" magnetically permeable underlayer 216, i.e., a magnetic layer having a relatively low coercivity below about 1 kOe, such as of a NiFe alloy (Permalloy), or a material that is easily magnetized and demagnetized. The magnetically soft underlayer (SUL) 216 serves to guide magnetic flux emanating from the head through the magnetically hard perpendicular recording layer, and to enhance writability. Overlying the underlayer 216 is an optional seed layer 218, and at least one non-magnetic interlayer 220. When present, seed layer 218 may typically include a less than about 100 angstrom thick layer of an FCC material, such as an alloy of Cu, Ag, Pt, Ni, or Au, or an amorphous or fine-grained material, such as Ta, TaW, CrTa, Ti, TiN, TiW, or TiCr.

[00017] The relatively thin intermediate layer or interlayer 220 typically comprises an about 50 to about 300 angstrom thick layer or layers of non-magnetic material(s). The interlayer stack usually includes at least one interlayer of an hcp material, such as Ru, TiCr, RuCr/CoCrPt, etc., adjacent the magnetically hard perpendicular recording layer 222. The role of the interlayer(s) is critical for obtaining good media performance. Specifically, in perpendicular magnetic recording media the interlayer(s) serve to provide:

1. control of the crystallographic orientation of the main recording layer(s);
2. control of the grain size and grain distribution of the main recording layer(s);
3. destruction of exchange coupling between magnetically hard recording layers and magnetically soft layers; and
4. physical separation between adjacent grains of the main recording layer(s), which feature is particularly desirable and important when the latter is formed by a low temperature, high gas pressure sputtering process, and/or by a reactive sputtering process, so that an oxide, i.e., Co-oxide or Cr-oxide, occurs in the boundaries between adjacent grains.

[00018] Overlying the interlayer 220 is at least one relatively thin hard perpendicular magnetic recording layer 222 with its magnetic easy axis perpendicular to the film plane. The magnetically "hard" recording layer employs a relatively high coercivity, typically about 3-8 kOe, i.e., of a cobalt-based alloy (i.e., a Co-Cr alloy such as CoCrPtB) having perpendicular anisotropy. The magnetically hard perpendicular recording layer 222 is typically comprised of an about 10 to about 25 nm thick layer(s) of Co-based alloy(s)



including one or more elements selected from the group comprising Cr, Fe, Ta, Ni, Mo, Pt, W, Cr, Ru, Ti, Si, O, V, Nb, Ge, B, and Pd.

[00019] Completing the layer stack is a protective overcoat layer 224, such as of a diamond-like carbon (DLC), formed over hard magnetic layer 222. The protective overcoat 224 protects the magnetic recording layer 222 from corrosion and reduces frictional forces between the disc and a read/write head. In addition, a thin layer of lubricant 226, such as of a perfluoropolyether (PFPE) material, may be applied to the surface of the protective overcoat 224 to enhance the tribological performance of the head-disc interface by reducing friction and wear of the protective overcoat 224.

[00020] As shown by the arrows indicating the path of the magnetic flux  $\Phi$ , flux  $\Phi$  emanates from the main writing pole 204 of magnetic transducer head 202, enters and passes through the at least one vertically oriented, magnetically hard recording layer 222 in the region below main pole 204, enters and travels within soft magnetic underlayer (SUL) 216 for a distance, and then exits therefrom and passes through the hard magnetic recording layer 222 in the region below auxiliary pole 206 of transducer head 202. The direction of movement of perpendicular magnetic medium 210 past transducer head 202 is indicated in the figure by the arrow 230 above medium 210.

[00021] With continued reference to FIG. 2, vertical lines 232 indicate grain boundaries of polycrystalline layers 220 and 222 of the layer stack constituting medium 210. Magnetically hard main recording layer 222 is formed on interlayer 220, and while the grains of each polycrystalline layer may be of differing widths, (as measured in a horizontal direction) represented by a grain size distribution, they are generally in vertical registry, i.e., vertically "correlated" or aligned.

[00022] A currently employed way of classifying magnetic recording media is on the basis by which the magnetic grains of the recording layer are mutually separated, i.e., segregated, in order to physically and magnetically de-couple the grains and provide improved media performance characteristics. According to this classification scheme, magnetic media with Co-based alloy magnetic recording layers (i.e., CoCr alloys) are classified into two distinct types: (1) a first type, wherein segregation of the grains occurs by diffusion of Cr atoms of the magnetic layer to the grain boundaries of the layer to form Cr-rich grain boundaries,

which diffusion process requires heating of the media substrate during formation (deposition) of the magnetic layer; and (2) a second type, wherein segregation of the grains occurs by formation of oxides, nitrides, and/or carbides at the boundaries between adjacent magnetic grains to form so-called "granular" media, which oxides, nitrides, and/or carbides may be formed by introducing a minor amount of at least one reactive gas containing oxygen, nitrogen, and/or carbon atoms (i.e., O<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, etc.) to the inert gas (i.e., Ar) atmosphere during sputter deposition of the Co alloy-based magnetic layer. In an embodiment, the present invention may be utilized with both types of magnetic recording media.

[00023] Referring now to **FIG. 3**, a simplified cross-sectional view is illustrated of a portion of a perpendicular magnetic recording medium 300 including a soft underlayer structure, according to a contemporary design. The underlayer of this contemporary structure is designed with balanced antiferromagnetically coupled (AFC) layers with a relatively low permeability, as compared with a single layer SUL design. SUL 304 and SUL 308 are AFC coupled across a ruthenium (or ruthenium alloy) layer 306, and a recording layer 320 is situated above the SUL. The SUL of these contemporary designs generally have sufficiently high permeability ( $\mu$ ) to increase the conductance of magnetic flux, and high magnetic moment ( $B_s$ ) to enable conduction of large amounts of flux. Thus, the SUL helps direct the flux, increasing media writability. However, as a complication, the increase in writability applies similarly to both the recorded track and to the neighboring tracks. Therefore, erasure to neighboring tracks can occur.

[00024] **FIG. 4** is a simplified cross-sectional view of a portion of a perpendicular magnetic recording medium 400 including an unbalanced antiferromagnetically coupled soft underlayer structure, having low permeability on a bottom region, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

[00025] The effectiveness of the SUL in increasing media writability generally depends on the SUL permeability, magnetic moment, thickness, and the amount of flux (supplied by the head) that is being carried. The flux that a thin layer of SUL can efficiently carry (with high permeability or magnetic conductivity) is  $B_s t$ , which is the product of saturation magnetic induction and layer thickness. Additional flux greater than  $B_s t$  is not carried in the SUL preferentially to vacuum or non-magnetic material ( $\mu=1$ ). Thus, a very thin or low magnetic moment ( $B_s$ ) layer may carry small amounts of magnetic flux efficiently, but will not carry

larger amounts of flux as efficiently. The efficiency with which an SUL will carry small amounts of flux less than  $B_{st}$  can also be varied by changing the value of the controllable parameter,  $\mu$ . The higher the value of  $\mu$ , the more efficiently the SUL carries flux, and the more writability enhancement is possible. The amount of flux involved in the on-track recording write process is significantly larger than the flux that causes partial erasure of neighbor tracks. Thus, in an embodiment, the present invention provides for media with enhanced resistance to erasure by designing the SUL with lower permeability when the flux is lower.

[00026] The present invention provides for control of variable permeability within the thin SUL layer structure, independent of bulk SUL material properties such as magnetic moment ( $B_s$ ) and magnetic anisotropy ( $H_k$ ). Media with an improved combination of easier writability on the recorded track and difficult erasure off-track (between tracks and on neighboring tracks) is achieved, in part, by an SUL structure comprising layers having different values of permeability and magnetic thickness ( $B_s \cdot t$ ).

[00027] The perpendicular magnetic recording medium 400 includes an unbalanced antiferromagnetically coupled 3-layer SUL structure with a predetermined thickness, having a low permeability bottom region, and a high permeability top region. In the example shown, the magnetic recording medium 400 comprises a substrate (not shown) and an overlying layer stack situated on the substrate. The layer stack includes, in overlying sequence from the substrate, a magnetically soft underlayer. The underlayer is a stacked structure comprising, in overlying sequence: a first layer 404, a second layer 406 being an antiferromagnetic coupling layer, and a third layer (408A and 408B). A magnetic recording layer 420 and optionally other layers including a seedlayer and interlayer are situated over the SUL. The first layer 404 has low permeability, and the third layer has a low permeability region 408A and an overlying high permeability region 408B. The first layer 404 and the third layer (408A and 408B) are unbalanced (unequal magnetic moment) and are antiferromagnetically coupled. The high permeability region 408B has a higher permeability than the low permeability region 408A.

[00028] The thickness and stacking of high and low permeability layers is controlled by the thickness of each SUL layer included in a single AFC coupled SUL structure. The SUL properties of the unbalanced AFC structure are a superposition of a single layer and equal

thickness layer AFC SUL having the layer thickness of the thinnest SUL layer. More generally, the thickness of the larger moment SUL layer exhibiting low permeability properties is equal to the thickness of that layer comprising the same moment as the larger moment layer. The remaining moment of the higher moment layer exhibits permeability of the equivalent layer thickness of the single-layer SUL.

[00029] In an embodiment, the first layer 404 and the low permeability region 408A of the third layer have the same magnetic thickness ( $B_s t$ ). Magnetic thickness ( $B_s t$ ) is equal to the product of magnetic moment ( $B_s$ ) and layer thickness ( $t$ ). In another embodiment, the first layer 404 and the low permeability region of the third layer 408A have the same thickness ( $t$ ). In an example, the first layer 404 and the low permeability region of the third layer 408A together are designed with a permeability ( $\mu$ ) below 100 and a magnetic thickness ( $B_s t$ ) of less than about 2 memu/cm<sup>2</sup>.

[00030] In an example, SUL<sub>1</sub> (408A, 408B) is designed with moment  $B_{s1}t_1$ , SUL<sub>2</sub> 404 with moment  $B_{s2}t_2$ , where  $B_{s1}t_1 > B_{s2}t_2$ , and the Ru AFC coupling layer 406 thickness is optimized. SUL<sub>2</sub> 404 thickness  $t_2$  has low  $\mu$  AFC properties. SUL<sub>1</sub> 408A thickness  $t' = B_{s2}t_2 * (B_{s2}/B_{s1})$  has low  $\mu$  AFC properties equal to that of SUL<sub>2</sub> 404. SUL<sub>1</sub> 408B thickness  $t_1 - t'$  has high  $\mu$  properties of a single layer of SUL<sub>1</sub> material having thickness  $t_1 - t'$ . The SUL<sub>1</sub> high  $\mu$  region 408B is that region of thickness  $t_1 - t'$  farthest from the AFC coupling layer 406. Thus, a dual  $\mu$  SUL structure can be formed, having a low  $\mu$  layer of thickness  $t_2 + t'$  and a high  $\mu$  layer of thickness  $t_1 - t'$ . The thicknesses, permeabilities, and relative position of these layers can be adjusted arbitrarily over a large range by selection of the thickness and  $B_s$  of each SUL layer, and the strength of coupling of the Ru-alloy coupling layer.

[00031] **FIG. 5** is a simplified cross-sectional view of a portion of a perpendicular magnetic recording medium 500 including an unbalanced antiferromagnetically coupled SUL structure, having low permeability on a top region 508. This unbalanced SUL design provides for increased writability and reduced unintentional erasure of perpendicular magnetic recording media. This design and the design as described in FIG. 4 enable permeability grading for adjustment of desired writability and erasure. In this alternative embodiment, the first layer has a high permeability region 504A and an overlying low permeability region 504B, and the third layer 508 is low permeability. The first layer (504A, 504B) and the third layer 508 are unbalanced (unequal magnetic moment) and are antiferromagnetically coupled across AFC

coupling layer 506 (i.e., ruthenium or ruthenium alloy). The low permeability region 504B of the first layer and the third layer 508 are designed with the same magnetic thickness ( $B_s t$ ). In an embodiment, the low permeability region of the first layer and the third layer together have a magnetic thickness ( $B_s t$ ) that is less than the magnetic thickness ( $B_s t$ ) of the high permeability region of the first layer. Magnetic thickness ( $B_s t$ ) is equal to the product of magnetic moment ( $B_s$ ) and layer thickness ( $t$ ). In an embodiment, the low permeability region 504B of the first layer and the third layer 508 are designed with the same thickness ( $t$ ). In another embodiment, the first layer (504A, 504B) and the third layer 508 have unequal magnetic thicknesses ( $B_s t$ ).

[00032] As described above, the thicknesses and magnetic thicknesses of the SUL layers can be varied according to embodiments of the present invention. In an example, the low permeability region 504B of the first layer and the third layer 508 together have a permeability ( $\mu$ ) below 100 and a magnetic thickness ( $B_s t$ ) of less than about 2 memu/cm<sup>2</sup>. In another example, the total thickness of the underlayer is about 400 angstroms, and the thickness of the third layer 508 is less than about 175 angstroms. In yet another example, the thickness of the third layer 508 is less than about 43.75 percent of the total thickness of the underlayer. In yet another example, the low permeability region 504B of the first layer and the third layer 508 together have a permeability ( $\mu$ ) less than 100, and the high permeability region 504A of the first layer has a permeability ( $\mu$ ) greater than 100. In another example, the low permeability region 504B of the first layer and the third layer 508 together have a permeability ( $\mu$ ) less than 50, and the high permeability region 504A of the first layer has a permeability ( $\mu$ ) greater than 200. In an example, the low permeability region 504B of the first layer and the third layer 506 together have a thickness of less than 10 nm, and a magnetic thickness ( $B_s t$ ) of less than 1 nanoweber.

[00033] An SUL structure comprising a high permeability layer and a low permeability layer can also be constructed from a 5-layer structure including an AFC coupled SUL structure and a single layer SUL separated by a nonmagnetic spacer. **FIG. 6** is a simplified cross-sectional view of a portion of a perpendicular magnetic recording medium including an unbalanced antiferromagnetically coupled five layer soft underlayer structure. Here, SUL layer 608 is antiferromagnetically coupled with SUL layer 612 across coupling layer 610. SUL layer 604 is separated by nonmagnetic spacer 606 (i.e., titanium). As an example, SUL layer 604 is designed with high permeability, and AFC coupled SUL layers 608 and 612 are

designed with low permeability. In a 5-layer structure, a SUL structure may be formed with three levels of permeability, which can be graded as desired for various embodiments. For example, SUL layer 604 may have higher permeability than SUL layers 608 and 612. These AFC coupled SUL layers may then be formed into an unbalanced design as previously described, forming relatively low and high permeability regions, both of which have lower permeability than layer 604. The 5-layer structure enables three levels of permeability that can be graded as high, medium, and low, as well as just two levels of permeability, namely, high and low. Additionally, in an alternative embodiment, SUL layers 604 and 608 are AFC coupled, while SUL layer 612 is the higher permeability layer, with the spacer at layer 610.

[00034] In another embodiment, an SUL structure comprising a high permeability layer and a low permeability layer is constructed from a 7-layer structure comprising two AFC coupled structures having different Ru layer thickness and separated by a nonmagnetic spacer. **FIG. 7** is a simplified cross-sectional view of a portion of a perpendicular magnetic recording medium including an unbalanced antiferromagnetically coupled seven layer soft underlayer structure. Here, SUL layer 704 is antiferromagnetically coupled with SUL layer 708 across coupling layer 706. SUL layer 712 is antiferromagnetically coupled with SUL layer 716 across coupling layer 714. SUL layers 708 and 712 are separated by nonmagnetic spacer 710. As an example, AFC coupled layers 704 and 708 are designed with low permeability, and AFC coupled SUL layers 712 and 716 are designed with high permeability. Alternatively, AFC SUL substructure 704 and 708 provide two levels of permeability, and AFC SUL substructure 712 and 716 provide two levels of permeability, establishing a total of four levels of permeability in a 7-layer SUL stack.

[00035] Turning now to **FIG. 8**, a graphical illustration is provided of a hysteresis curve of a 20 nm single layer SUL. Permeability of an SUL may be measured from hysteresis curves by methods such as utilizing a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM). As shown, an applied magnetic field or coercivity  $H(\text{Oe})$  is plotted on the x-axis against magnetization  $m$  (emu) on the y-axis. A large magnetic field is applied and the sample 20 nm single layer SUL material is driven to magnetic saturation. The average permeability ( $\mu$ ) for the sample SUL is the  $M_s$  divided by the magnetic field at which magnetic saturation ( $H_{\text{sat}}$ ) is reached (i.e.,  $M_s/H_{\text{sat}}$ ). The slope of the plotted points is the permeability of the SUL material.

[00036] Permeability of SUL materials having single layer permeability values as high 1000 or more can be reduced to a value below 100 or also 10 by formation of an antiferromagnetically coupled (AFC) structure including two SUL layers separated by an optimized thickness of an AFC coupling layer.

[00037] **FIG. 9** is a graphical illustration of a hysteresis curve of two 10 nm antiferromagnetically coupled SUL layers. Again, the applied magnetic field or coercivity  $H(\text{Oe})$  is plotted on the x-axis against magnetization  $m$  (emu) on the y-axis. The slope of the plotted points is the permeability of the SUL material.

[00038] **FIG. 10** is a graphical illustration of a hysteresis curve of a 30 nm SUL antiferromagnetically coupled to a 10 nm SUL, all with the same magnetic moment ( $B_s$ ) value. Again, the applied magnetic field or coercivity  $H(\text{Oe})$  is plotted on the x-axis against magnetization  $m$  (emu) on the y-axis. The slope of the plotted points is the permeability of the SUL material. The magnetic properties of the dual  $\mu$  SUL structure of FIG. 10 are constructed with a superposition of the single-layer SUL of FIG. 8 and the AFC structure of FIG. 9. The SUL structure results in 20nm of SUL with properties of an AFC SUL and 20nm of an SUL with properties of a single-layer SUL. Any desired thickness of high  $\mu$  SUL material can be placed above or below any desired thickness of low  $\mu$  SUL material in a controlled manufacturable way, according to this method.

[00039] **FIG. 11** is a simplified cross-sectional view of a portion of a perpendicular magnetic recording medium including an unbalanced antiferromagnetically coupled soft underlayer structure, having low permeability on a top region, in accordance with another embodiment of the present invention. The media structure includes a substrate 804, a SUL structure (described below), an overlying seedlayer 812, interlayer 814, and magnetic recording layer 820. Completing the layer stack is a protective overcoat 824, such as of a diamond-like carbon (DLC) to protect the magnetic recording layer 820 from corrosion and reduce frictional forces between the disc and a read/write head. In addition, a thin layer of lubricant (not shown), such as of a perfluoropolyether (PFPE) material, may be applied to the surface of the protective overcoat 824 to enhance the tribological performance of the head-disc interface by reducing friction and wear of the protective overcoat 824.

[00040] In an example embodiment of FIG. 11, the SUL structure includes a first soft magnetic layer 810 of magnetic thickness  $(B_{s1} \cdot T_1)$ , an anti-ferromagnetic coupling layer 808, and a second soft magnetic layer (806A, 806B) of magnetic thickness  $(B_{s2} \cdot T_2) = (B_{s1} \cdot T_1) + (B_{s'} \cdot T')$ . The magnetic layer (806A, 806B) includes a low permeability region 806B of magnetic thickness  $(2B_{s1} \cdot T_1)$  and a high permeability region 806A of magnetic thickness  $(B_{s'} \cdot T')$ .

[00041] In another example embodiment of FIG. 11, the recording media SUL is designed with  $B_{s1} = B_{s2}$ , including a first soft magnetic layer 810 of magnetic thickness  $(B_s \cdot T)_1$ , an anti-ferromagnetic coupling layer 808, and a second soft magnetic layer (806A, 806B) of magnetic thickness  $B_s T_2 = (B_s \cdot (T_1 + T'))$ . The magnetic layer (806A, 806B) includes a low permeability region 806B of magnetic thickness  $2B_s \cdot T_1$  and a high permeability region 806A of magnetic thickness  $B_s \cdot T'$ .

[00042] **FIG. 12** is a graphical illustration of a recording metric, reverse overwrite (ROW), illustrating the ease of writing in comparison to a top region soft underlayer thickness.

[00043] Data is shown for three SUL designs having the same material and total thickness: i.) a low  $\mu$  AFC SUL, with a top SUL layer thickness of about 200 angstroms (such as that shown in FIG. 3); ii.) a high  $\mu$  on top with a low  $\mu$  bottom design, with a top SUL layer thickness greater than 200 angstroms (such as that shown in FIG. 4); and iii.) a high  $\mu$  bottom with a low  $\mu$  on top design, with a top SUL layer thickness less than 200 angstroms (such as that shown in FIG. 5).

[00044] In Fig. 12, top SUL thicknesses from about 25 angstrom to about 175 angstroms represent designs having low  $\mu$  on top and high  $\mu$  bottom. Top SUL thickness of about 200 angstroms represents a balanced design having a low  $\mu$  AFC SUL. Top SUL thicknesses from about 225 angstroms to about 375 angstroms represent designs having high  $\mu$  on top and low  $\mu$  bottom. Top SUL thicknesses of about 0 angstroms and about 400 angstroms represent a high permeability single layer SUL design.

[00045] Writing to the magnetic recording media is easier with large negative values of ROW. However, the thinner top SUL layer (thicker bottom SUL layer) minimizes or avoids unintentional erasure (as illustrated in FIG. 13) by lowering the permeability of the SUL in a region nearest to the recording head and the record layer, where small amounts of erasure



flux travel. **FIG. 13** illustrates ease of adjacent track erasure, ATI (adjacent track interference) delta BER (bit error rate) in comparison to a top region soft underlayer thickness. Writing to the magnetic recording media is easier with large positive values of ATI. As such, the design with low  $\mu$  on top (such as that shown in FIG. 5) illustrates a beneficial combination of increased ease of writing similar to high  $\mu$  on top with reduced erasure similar to the low  $\mu$  balanced AFC design.

[00046] Modifications and variations may be made to the disclosed embodiments while remaining within the spirit and scope of the invention. The implementations described above and other implementations are within the scope of the following claims.

## CLAIMS

We claim:

1. A perpendicular magnetic recording medium comprising:  
a substrate; and  
a layer stack situated on the substrate, the layer stack comprising, in overlying sequence from the substrate:  
a magnetically soft underlayer; wherein the underlayer is a stacked structure comprising, in overlying sequence:
  - a.) a first layer;
  - b.) a second layer being an antiferromagnetic coupling layer; and
  - c.) a third layer;wherein, either:
  - i.) the first layer has a high permeability region and an overlying low permeability region, and the third layer has low permeability, wherein the first layer and the third layer are unbalanced antiferromagnetically coupled, or
  - ii.) the first layer has low permeability, and the third layer has a low permeability region and an overlying high permeability region, wherein the first layer and the third layer are unbalanced antiferromagnetically coupled, and wherein the high permeability region has a higher permeability than the low permeability region.
2. The recording medium as in claim 1, wherein:  
when condition i.) is true, the low permeability region of the first layer and the third layer have the same magnetic thickness ( $B_s t$ );  
when condition ii.) is true, the first layer and the low permeability region of the third layer have the same magnetic thickness ( $B_s t$ ); and magnetic thickness ( $B_s t$ ) is equal to the product of magnetic moment ( $B_s$ ) and layer thickness ( $t$ ).
3. The recording medium as in claim 2, wherein:  
when condition i.) is true, the low permeability region of the first layer and the third layer have the same thickness ( $t$ ); and  
when condition ii.) is true, the first layer and the low permeability region of the third layer have the same thickness ( $t$ ).

4. The recording medium as in claim 1, wherein the first layer and the third layer have unequal magnetic thicknesses ( $B_s t$ ).
5. The recording medium as in claim 4, wherein the first layer and the third layer have equal magnetic moments ( $B_s$ ).
6. The recording medium as in claim 1, wherein:  
when condition i.) is true, the low permeability region of the first layer and the third layer together have a permeability ( $\mu$ ) below 100 and a magnetic thickness ( $B_s t$ ) of less than about 2 memu/cm<sup>2</sup>; and  
when condition ii.) is true, the first layer and the low permeability region of the third layer together have a permeability ( $\mu$ ) below 100 and a magnetic thickness ( $B_s t$ ) of less than about 2 memu/cm<sup>2</sup>.
7. The recording medium as in claim 1, wherein the total thickness of the underlayer is between about 200 angstroms and 400 angstroms, and the thickness of the third layer is less than about 43.75 percent of the total thickness of the underlayer.
8. The recording medium as in claim 1, wherein the antiferromagnetic coupling layer is ruthenium or a ruthenium alloy.
9. The recording medium as in claim 1, wherein the underlayer further comprises:  
i.) a fourth layer, situated either below the first layer or above the third layer; and  
ii.) a fifth layer nonmagnetic spacer material, situated between the fourth layer and the first layer or between the fourth layer and the third layer.
10. The recording medium as in claim 1, further comprising, in overlying sequence:  
i.) a fourth layer nonmagnetic spacer material overlying the third layer;  
ii.) a fifth layer;  
iii.) a sixth layer being an antiferromagnetic coupling layer; and  
iv.) a seventh layer.
11. The recording medium as in claim 1, wherein when condition i.) is true, the low permeability region of the first layer and the third layer together have a permeability ( $\mu$ ) less

than 100, and the high permeability region of the first layer has a permeability ( $\mu$ ) greater than 100.

12. The recording medium as in claim 1, wherein when condition i.) is true, the low permeability region of the first layer and the third layer together have a permeability ( $\mu$ ) less than 50, and the high permeability region of the first layer has a permeability ( $\mu$ ) greater than 200.

13. The recording medium as in claim 1, wherein when condition i.) is true, the low permeability region of the first layer and the third layer together have a magnetic thickness ( $B_s t$ ) that is less than the magnetic thickness ( $B_s t$ ) of the high permeability region of the first layer, and wherein magnetic thickness ( $B_s t$ ) is equal to the product of magnetic moment ( $B_s$ ) and layer thickness ( $t$ ).

14. The recording medium as in claim 1, wherein when condition i.) is true, the low permeability region of the first layer and the third layer together have a thickness of less than 10 nm, and a magnetic thickness ( $B_s t$ ) of less than 1 nanoweber.

15. The recording medium as in claim 1, further comprising, in overlying sequence:

- a.) an amorphous or crystalline, non-magnetic seed layer overlying the underlayer;
- b.) an interlayer structure; and
- c.) at least one crystallographically oriented, magnetically hard, perpendicular magnetic recording layer.

16. A method of increasing writability and resistance to unintentional erasure to a perpendicular magnetic recording medium, comprising employing an unbalanced antiferromagnetically coupled (AFC) soft underlayer, wherein:

- a.) the soft underlayer comprises a first layer and a third layer that are antiferromagnetically coupled across a second layer;
- b.) the first layer and the third layer are unbalanced antiferromagnetically coupled;

and

- c.) a permeability gradient is utilized with the soft underlayer.

17. The method as in claim 16, wherein the permeability gradient is established by the first layer having a high permeability region and an overlying low permeability region, and the third layer having low permeability, wherein the high permeability region of the first layer has a higher permeability than the low permeability region of the first layer and the low permeability third layer.

18. The method as in claim 16, wherein:

the low permeability region of the first layer and the third layer have the same magnetic thickness ( $B_s t$ ), wherein magnetic thickness ( $B_s t$ ) is equal to the product of magnetic moment ( $B_s$ ) and layer thickness ( $t$ ).

19. The method as in claim 18, wherein the low permeability region of the first layer and the third layer have the same thickness ( $t$ ).

20. The method as in claim 16, wherein the first layer and the third layer have unequal magnetic thicknesses ( $B_s t$ ).

21. The method as in claim 20, wherein the first layer and the third layer have equal magnetic moments ( $B_s$ ).

22. The method as in claim 16, wherein the thickness of the third layer is less than about 43.75 percent of the total thickness of the underlayer.

23. A perpendicular magnetic recording medium comprising:

a substrate; and

a layer stack situated on the substrate, the layer stack comprising, in overlying sequence from the substrate:

a magnetically soft underlayer, wherein the magnetically soft underlayer is a stacked structure comprising, in overlying sequence:

a.) a first layer;

b.) an antiferromagnetic coupling second layer; and

c.) a third layer;

wherein:

i.) the first layer has a high permeability region and an overlying low permeability region;

- ii.) the third layer has low permeability;
  - iii.) the first layer and the third layer are unbalanced antiferromagnetically coupled; and
  - iv.) the high permeability region has a higher permeability than the low permeability region.
24. The recording medium as in claim 23, wherein the low permeability region of the first layer and the third layer have the same magnetic thickness ( $B_s t$ ), wherein the  $B_s t$  is equal to the product of magnetic moment ( $B_s$ ) and layer thickness ( $t$ ).
25. The recording medium as in claim 24, wherein the low permeability region of the first layer and the third layer have the same thickness ( $t$ ).
26. The recording medium as in claim 23, wherein the first layer and the third layer have unequal magnetic thicknesses ( $B_s t$ ).
27. The recording medium as in claim 26, wherein the first layer and the third layer have equal magnetic moments ( $B_s$ ).
28. The recording medium as in claim 23, wherein the underlayer further comprises:
- i.) a fourth layer, situated either below the first layer or above the third layer; and
  - ii.) a fifth layer nonmagnetic spacer material, situated between the fourth layer and the first layer or between the fourth layer and the third layer.
29. The recording medium as in claim 23, further comprising, in overlying sequence:
- i.) a fourth layer nonmagnetic spacer material overlying the third layer;
  - ii.) a fifth layer;
  - iii.) a sixth layer being an antiferromagnetic coupling layer; and
  - iv.) a seventh layer.
30. The recording medium as in claim 23, wherein the low permeability region of the first layer and the third layer together have a magnetic thickness ( $B_s t$ ) that is less than the magnetic thickness ( $B_s t$ ) of the high permeability region of the first layer, and wherein magnetic thickness ( $B_s t$ ) is equal to the product of magnetic moment ( $B_s$ ) and layer thickness ( $t$ ).




FIG. 1




FIG. 2  
(Prior Art)






FIG. 3

FIG. 4

FIG. 5  
(Prior Art)




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9





FIG. 10



Unbalanced AFC  
Low  $\mu$  on top

FIG. 11




FIG. 12




FIG. 13

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 10/46010

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(8) - G11B 5/66 (2011.01)

USPC - 428/819.4

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

USPC: 428/819.4

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched  
USPC: 428/800, 812, 813, 819.4 (keyword limited, see terms below)Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  
PubWEST(PGPB, USPT, EPAB, JPAB), Thomson Innovation, Google Scholar. Search terms used: magnet, magnetic, magnetically, record, recording, recorded, recordable, perpendicular, perpendicularly, permeability, region, area, portion, section, part, strip, layer, antiferromagnetic, low, lower, less, high, higher, increase, increasing, increased, decreas

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

| Category*     | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages   | Relevant to claim No.      |
|---------------|--|----------------------------|
| X<br>---<br>Y | US 2007/0217074 A1 (Zhou et al.) 20 September 2007 (20.09.2007)<br>entire document, especially: Fig. 2-4, para. [0010], [0026]-[0026], [0034]-[0037], [0045] | 16<br>-----<br>1-15, 17-30 |
| Y             | US 5,180,609 A (Nakamura et al.) 19 January 1993 (19.01.1993)<br>col. 4, ln 1-15   | 1-15, 17-30                |
| A             | US 7,199,985 B1 (Sato et al.) 03 April 2007 (03.04.2007)<br>entire document  | 1-30                       |

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

\* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&amp;" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

22 April 2011 (22.04.2011)

Date of mailing of the international search report

06 MAY 2011

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US, Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. 571-273-3201

Authorized officer:

Lee W. Young

PCT Helpdesk: 571-272-4300  
PCT OSP: 571-272-7774