



- (51) International Patent Classification:
G08C 15/00 (2006.01)
- (21) International Application Number:
PCT/US2011/065918
- (22) International Filing Date:
19 December 2011 (19.12.2011)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:
12/976,274 22 December 2010 (22.12.2010) US
- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **APPLE INC.** [US/US]; 1 Infinite Loop, Cupertino, CA 95014 (US).
- (72) Inventor; and
(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): **WHIT-BY-STREVENS, Colin** [GB/US]; 1 Infinite Loop, MS 305-2GM, Cupertino, CA 95014 (US).
- (74) Agent: **GUTIERREZ, Peter, J.**; Gazdzinski & Associates, PC, 16644 West Bernardo Drive, Suite 201, San Diego, CA 92127 (US).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR THE INTELLIGENT ASSOCIATION OF CONTROL SYMBOLS

(57) Abstract: Methods and apparatus for the intelligent association of control symbols with special symbols that are transmitted over a network. In one embodiment, the association is utilized to improve DC-balance on a transmission medium. In an exemplary variant, the special symbols originate from line codes such as the 8B/10B line code, which format a digital signal so that is optimized for transmission over a given physical channel. These control symbols are divided up according to a first criterion, while the special symbols are further divided up according to a second criterion. The divided control symbols are then allocated to the divided special symbols using a pre-designated allocation algorithm, which may or may not include a scrambling algorithm. The allocation of the control symbols improves signal characteristics and electromagnetic interference (EMI) when various ones of control symbols are ultimately transmitted over a given transmission medium.




FIG. 1



-
- *before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments (Rule 48.2(h))*

METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR THE INTELLIGENT ASSOCIATION OF CONTROL SYMBOLS

5

Priority

This application claims priority to U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 12/976,274 filed December 22, 2010 of the same title, which is herein incorporated by reference in its entirety.

10

Copyright

A portion of the disclosure of this patent document contains material that is subject to copyright protection. The copyright owner has no objection to the facsimile reproduction by anyone of the patent document or the patent disclosure, as it appears in the Patent and Trademark Office patent files or records, but otherwise reserves all copyright rights whatsoever.

15

Background of the Invention

1. **Field of Invention**

20

The present invention relates generally to the field of data networking and telecommunications. More particularly, in one exemplary aspect, the present invention is directed to the intelligent association of control symbols with special symbols that are transmitted over a network in order to improve DC-balance on a transmission medium.

25

2. **Description of Related Technology**

DisplayPort (see, *inter alia*, www.displayport.org) is an emerging digital display interface technology specified by the Video Electronics Standards Association (VESA). Current incarnations of the standard specify support for simple networking of digital audio/visual (A/V) interconnects, intended to be used primarily between an arbitrary assembly of multimedia “sources” (e.g., computers or CPUs) and “sinks” (e.g., display monitors, home-theater system, etc.). This interconnection is generally unidirectional in nature; i.e., from source to sink, in current implementations.

30

Extant *DisplayPort* technology is an extensible digital interface solution that is designed for a wide variety of performance requirements, and broadly supports PCs, monitors, panels, projectors, and high definition (HD) content applications. Current *DisplayPort* technology is also capable of supporting both internal (e.g., chip-to-chip),
5 and external (e.g., box-to-box) digital display connections. Examples of internal chip-to-chip applications include notebook PCs, which drive a display panel from a graphics controller, or display components from display controllers driving the monitor of a TV. Examples of box-to-box applications include display connections between PCs and monitors, and projectors (e.g., not housed within the same physical
10 device). Consolidation of internal and external signaling methods enables the “direct drive” of digital monitors. Direct drive eliminates the need for control circuits, and allows for among other things, cheaper and slimmer display devices.

The current revision of *DisplayPort* (*DisplayPort 1.1a*) transmits both control symbols and data symbols. While data symbols are scrambled in order to, *inter alia*,
15 provide DC-balance on the transmission medium, control symbols currently are not. Control symbols have historically not needed to be scrambled, as the transmission of non-scrambled control symbols allows the relatively rare control symbols to be instantly identified when received at, for example, a sink.

Revisions currently being considered for future revisions of *DisplayPort* have
20 given rise to concerns of EMI and/or inter-symbol interference (ISI) over the transmission medium, as there are some circumstances in which certain control symbols may in the future occur at relatively closely spaced intervals, and/or repeat in a fashion which gives rise to interference concerns.

Accordingly, improved apparatus and methods are needed in order to address
25 these future EMI and EMC concerns with regards to control symbols in a *DisplayPort* network. Such improved apparatus and methods would ideally reduce the effects of EMI in situations such as when the control symbols occur at closely spaced intervals. More generally, such apparatus and methods would provide for mechanisms that improve the characteristics of the symbols transmitted over the transmission medium,
30 while advantageously leveraging existing hardware so as to minimize circuitry costs in future implementations.

In addition, such improved apparatus and methods would ideally take advantage of knowledge about the existing system including: (1) the expected

frequency of transmitted control symbols; and (2) the EMI costs associated with expected control symbol transmission patterns; and/or (3) the specific control symbols that unambiguously identify major delineation points or functions in the format of the data.

- 5 Lastly, such improved apparatus and methods should not introduce significant costs in terms of communications bandwidth, in order to ensure that the system bandwidth is fully utilized whether or not control symbols are scrambled.

Summary of the Invention

- 10 The present invention satisfies the aforementioned needs by providing improved apparatus and methods for intelligently allocating (e.g., scrambling or permuting) control symbols over a communications network.

 In one aspect of the invention, a method of processing data is disclosed. In one embodiment, the data is transmitted on a high-speed bus of a computerized device
15 so as to mitigate electromagnetic interference, and includes both control data and payload data. The method includes: scrambling at least a portion of the payload data according to a first scheme; and scrambling at least a portion of the control data according to a second scheme, the second scheme comprising: dividing the control data into at least two groups, the dividing being based at least in part on the value of a
20 parameter associated with individual ones of the control data; selectively scrambling at least portions of the at least two groups according to a scrambling algorithm; and transmitting the control data onto the high-speed bus. The selective scrambling reduces the level of the electromagnetic interference when the control data is transmitted on the bus.

- 25 In one variant, the parameter relates to the level of electromagnetic interference created by the individual ones of the control data. Alternatively, the parameter relates to the frequency of occurrence of the individual ones of the control data.

 In another variant, at least the payload data is carried according to a packet
30 protocol, and the high-speed bus includes one compliant with a *DisplayPort* Standard.

 In yet another variant, the further includes 8B10B encoding at least portions of the control data and payload data.

In a second aspect of the invention, a method for associating a control symbol with a transmitted encoded control code is disclosed. In one embodiment, the method includes: determining an index value for the control symbol; executing a logical function on the index value to create an encoded value; associating an encoded control
5 code with the encoded value; and transmitting the encoded control code over a physical medium.

In one variant, the control symbol is a *DisplayPort* control symbol, and the encoded control code is an 8B10B code.

In another variant, the method reduces electromagnetic interference associated
10 with the medium when the encoded control code is transmitted with other encoded control codes.

In yet another variant, the logical function includes an exclusive OR (XOR) function.

In a third aspect of the invention, a method for associating a control function
15 associated with an audiovisual implementation with a DC-balanced control code is disclosed. In one variant, the method includes: determining a grouping for the control function; and associating an index value for the control function based at least in part on the determination of the grouping. If the grouping for the control function includes a first group, then the method includes executing a logical function on the index value
20 to create an encoded value; associating the encoded value with the DC-balanced control code; and transmitting the DC-balanced control code onto a network bus. Otherwise, if the grouping for the control function includes a second group, the method includes associating the index value with the DC-balanced control code; and transmitting the DC-balanced control code onto the network bus.

25 In one variant, the logical function includes an exclusive OR (XOR) function, and the DC-balanced control code is a constant-weight line code.

In another variant, the act of determining a grouping for the control function includes determining one of a first group and a second group, the first group having a larger population than the second group.

30 In a fourth aspect of the invention, a method for identifying a transmitted control function is disclosed. In one embodiment, the method includes: receiving a DC-balanced control code via a network interface; determining an association

between the DC-balanced control code and an index value; and identifying the transmitted control function based at least in part on the association.

In one variant, the act of identifying further includes: de-scrambling the index value to obtain a de-scrambled index value; and determining an association between
5 the de-scrambled index value and the transmitted control function. The index value is scrambled using e.g., an exclusive OR (XOR) function and a plurality of bits.

In another variant, the control function is transmitted over a high-speed mini-packet protocol network of two or more devices, one of the devices comprising the network interface.

10 In a fifth aspect of the invention, a method of processing control data transmitted on a bus of a computerized device is disclosed. In one embodiment, the control data is associated with payload data, and the method includes: dividing the control data into at least two groups based at least in part on a criterion associated with individual ones of the control data; selectively scrambling at least a portion of at
15 least one of the two groups according to a scrambling algorithm; and transmitting the control data onto the bus.

In one variant, the selective scrambling reduces the level of the electromagnetic interference when the control data is transmitted on the bus.

In another variant, the criterion relates to a level of electromagnetic
20 interference created by the individual ones of the control data, or to a frequency of occurrence of the individual ones of the control data, and the scrambling algorithm includes an exclusive OR (XOR) function.

In a sixth aspect of the invention, an apparatus configured to transmit and/or receive encoded control symbols is disclosed. In one variant, the apparatus includes a
25 computerized device (e.g., personal computer, laptop, etc.) having a high-speed unidirectional or bi-directional data interface. In one variant, the interface is compliant with the *DisplayPort* packetized protocol(s). In another variant, the device and interface are enhanced through reduction of EMI generated when the control symbols are transmitted.

30 In another aspect of the invention, a computer-readable apparatus is disclosed. In one embodiment, the apparatus includes a storage medium and adapted for use within a computerized device. The medium includes at least one computer program

configured to, when executed on a processor, implement one or more of the foregoing methods.

In yet another aspect of the invention, business methods and models employing one or more of the foregoing aspects are described.

5 Other features and advantages of the present invention will immediately be recognized by persons of ordinary skill in the art with reference to the attached drawings and detailed description of exemplary embodiments as given below.

Brief Description of the Drawings

10 FIG. 1 is a process flow diagram illustrating the process steps for allocating control symbols to control codes in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 2 is a logical flow diagram illustrating the process steps for transmitting control codes associated with control symbols in accordance with one embodiment of the
15 present invention.

FIG. 3 is a logical flow diagram illustrating the process steps for determining control symbols associated with a received control code in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 4 is a functional block diagram illustrating one embodiment of a network
20 component apparatus adapted to implement the intelligent control code association methods of the present invention.

Detailed Description of the Invention

Reference is now made to the drawings, wherein like numerals refer to like parts
25 throughout.

Overview

In one aspect, the present invention provides methods and apparatus for the intelligent association of control symbols with “special” symbols that are transmitted
30 over a communications network, in order for example to improve DC-balance on a transmission medium. These special symbols originate from, for example, line codes such as the exemplary 8B/10B line code, which render a digital signal that is to be

transmitted over a communication medium into a form that is optimized for transmission over a given physical channel.

In an exemplary embodiment, these control symbols are divided up according to a first criterion, while the special symbols are further divided up according to a second criterion. The divided control symbols are then intelligently allocated to the divided special symbols using an allocation algorithm, which may or may not include a scrambling algorithm. The intelligent allocation of the control symbols to the divided special symbols allows for improved signal characteristics when information about various ones of control symbols are ultimately transmitted over a given transmission medium.

Detailed Description of Exemplary Embodiments

Exemplary embodiments of the present invention are now described in detail. While these embodiments are primarily discussed in the context of a Video Electronics Standards Association (VESA) *DisplayPort* audio/visual (A/V) component network, it will be recognized by those of ordinary skill that the present invention is not so limited. As used herein, the term “*DisplayPort*” refers without limitation to apparatus and technology compliant with “VESA DisplayPort Standard” - Version 1, Revision 2 dated January 5, 2010; “VESA DisplayPort Panel Connector Standard” - Version 1.1 dated January 4, 2008; “VESA DisplayPort™ PHY Compliance Test Standard” - Version 1.1a dated October 26, 2009; and/or “VESA DisplayPort™ Link Layer Compliance Test Standard” - Version 1.1a, dated October 2, 2009, each of the foregoing being incorporated herein by reference in its entirety, and any subsequent revisions thereof. In fact, the various aspects of the invention are useful in any network that can benefit from the intelligent association of control symbols to special symbols in order to improve DC-balance on the transmission medium as is disclosed herein.

In addition, while these embodiments are also primarily discussed in the context of a partitioned 8B/10B encoder, it will be recognized by those of ordinary skill that the present invention is useful with literally any type of scrambling algorithm or line code. For example, the various aspects of the invention described herein could be readily be adapted for use with any line code (such as 64B/66B

encoding) that can be utilized to achieve reduced inter-symbol interference over a data or communications network.

Furthermore, while these embodiments are disclosed in a serial transmission of digital data represented as electrical levels, ones having ordinary skill in the related arts will recognize that the various techniques may also extend to other systems and applications including, *inter alia*, multi-level wired systems (e.g., three-level encoded Ethernet), and modulated transmission schemes (e.g., a high frequency carrier that is modulated in the amplitude or frequency domain {AM or FM} by the signals being transmitted).

DC Balanced Line Codes –

Line codes, such as the previously mentioned 8B/10B line code, are codes which format a digital signal that is to be transmitted over a communication medium into a form that is optimized for transmission over a given physical channel. Consequently, a line code will typically be chosen to complement the physical capabilities of a given transmission medium, such as optical fiber or shielded twisted pair copper wire. These characteristics are unique for each transmission medium, because each medium has different characteristics associated with it such as signal distortion characteristics, capacitance, etc.

Many of these line codes are optimized for long-distance or high-speed communication channels that are hindered when transmitting a signal with a DC component. This DC component present within a signal is also known as a disparity, bias, or the DC coefficient. Line codes which aim to eliminate the DC component of a transmitted signal are known as DC-balanced line codes.

Constant-weight line codes (such as e.g., a Manchester II code utilized in the Auxiliary Channel of a *DisplayPort* network) are a first type of DC balanced line code that is designed so that each transmitted code word is balanced in a way that for each positive value within a code word, a substantially equal number of negative values exists. Accordingly, constant-weight line codes transform a signal to be transmitted such that the average power level over each code word is balanced.

Paired disparity line codes, on the other hand, are designed such that for every code word that averages to a negative level, another code word exists in the data stream that averages out to a positive level, thereby balancing out the transmitted

signal. Paired disparity line codes typically work by keeping track of the running DC buildup at the transmitter. By keeping track of the running DC buildup, code words that tend to balance the DC level back towards zero can be selected at the transmitter. Examples of paired disparity line codes include the aforementioned 8B/10B line code.

5

8B/10B Encoding –

As previously discussed, the present invention may be practiced with literally any type of scrambling algorithm or line code known in the electronic arts. However, a discussion of the principles of the present invention are useful in the context of a particular given line code such as 8B/10B. *DisplayPort* utilizes the ANSI 8B/10B encoding (specified in ANSI X3.230-1994, clause 11, incorporated herein by reference in its entirety). 8B/10B encoding maps an 8-bit data byte or one of a set of twelve control symbols to a 10-bit symbol in order to achieve the aforementioned DC balance. The mapping is such that the mapped versions of the control symbols and data symbols are distinct. The 8-bit symbol can either be an 8-bit data byte or a control symbol. In both cases, they are mapped into 10-bit symbols. One particularly useful property of 8B/10B encoded symbols is that in any sequence of 10-bit symbols, there will never be more than a disparity of +1 or -1 when counting the number of ones and zeros (at symbol boundaries). For example, if after a number of 10-bit symbols the running disparity is -1, then the next symbol will either have exactly five (5) ones and five (5) zeros (i.e., the running disparity remains -1), or it will have six (6) ones and four (4) zeros (i.e., the running disparity changes to +1). Since the running disparity is only used at symbol boundaries, the bit-wise running disparity can range between +3 and -3.

As the scheme name suggests, eight (8) bits of data are encoded and transmitted as a 10-bit symbol. The low five (5) bits of data are encoded into a 6-bit group (i.e. the so-called 5b/6b portion) and the top three (3) bits are encoded into a 4-bit group (i.e. the so-called 3b/4b portion). These two sub-encoding groups are subsequently concatenated together to form the 10-bit symbol that is transmitted over the transmission medium. The data symbols are often referred to in the format “D.x.y” where x ranges in value from 0 – 31 ($2^5 = 32$) and y ranges in value from 0 – 7 ($2^3 = 8$), i.e. the five (5) and three (3) bit portions.

One useful property of such an encoding scheme is that since 10-bit symbols are utilized to encode 8-bit words, some of the possible one-thousand twenty-four ($2^{10} = 1024$) codes can be excluded in order to comply with the previously mentioned run-length limit of five (5) consecutive equal bits and a differential limit such that the difference of the count of 0s and 1s is no more than two (2) for a given twenty bit string of bits. In addition, some of the two-hundred fifty-six (256) possible 8-bit words can be encoded in two alternative ways. Using these alternative encodings, the scheme is able to affect long-term DC-balance on the transmission line.

This DC-balance is accomplished in part via a mechanism known as “running disparity” that keeps the number of 1s and 0s that are transmitted roughly equal. That is, for each 5b/6b and 3b/4b code with an unequal number of 1s and 0s, there are two (2) bit patterns that can be used to transmit it. For example, a first ten-bit with two more 1s than 0s can be represented as follows: 001011 1110. This first ten-bit pattern can also be represented by its inverse, thereby encoding two more two more 0s than 1s as follows: 110100 0001. Accordingly, depending on the current running disparity of the signal, the encoding hardware selects which of the two possible 10-bit sequences to send for the given data.

In addition, 8B/10B encoding possesses what are known as a “special symbols”. They are typically referred to in the form “K.x.y” and have different encodings from any of the possible “D.x.y” symbols; i.e., they are symbols which can not result via the encoding of an 8-bit data symbol. Table 1 below illustrates the format for these special symbols, which include a first 10-bit code for a running disparity of negative one (-1), along with its inverse for a running disparity of positive one (+1):

Input – Special Symbols		RD = -1	RD = +1
K-Codes	HGF EDCBA	abcdei fghj	abcdei fghj
K.28.0	000 11100	001111 0100	110000 1011
K.28.1	001 11100	001111 1001	110000 0110
K.28.2	010 11100	001111 0101	110000 1010
K.28.3	011 11100	001111 0011	110000 1100
K.28.4	100 11100	001111 0010	110000 1101
K.28.5	101 11100	001111 1010	110000 0101
K.28.6	110 11100	001111 0110	110000 1001
K.28.7	111 11100	001111 1000	110000 0111
K.23.7	111 10111	111010 1000	000101 0111

K.27.7	111 11011	110110 1000	001001 0111
K.29.7	111 11101	101110 1000	010001 0111
K.30.7	111 11110	011110 1000	100001 0111

Table 1 – 8B/10B Special Symbols

These special symbols are inserted into the data stream and accordingly, can be used for executing low-level control functions separate and apart from encoded data symbols. For example, the *DisplayPort* specification sets forth a number of control functions that can be mapped onto these so-called “K-codes” of the ANSI 8B/10B encoder specification. These control functions include: (1) Blanking Start (BS); (2) Blanking End (BE); (3) Fill Start (FS); (4) Fill End (FE); (5) Secondary-data Start (SS); (6) Secondary-data End (SE); (7) Scrambler Reset (SR); (8) Content Protection BS (CPBS); and (9) Content Protection SR (CPSR).

Unfortunately, while individual K-codes are not particularly high or low in EMI, EMI can become an issue when K-codes are repeated multiple times. In fact, some K codes are worse for EMI when they are repeated than others due to the frequency content of the encoding for the control symbol. For example, if a particular control symbol is not scrambled, but is transmitted repeatedly (even when interspersed with other symbols in between each occurrence) then the radiated energy from the device will peak at a frequency related to the repeat rate of the control symbol. Such energy spikes can result in (a) difficulty meeting regulatory requirements (e.g., Electromagnetic Emissions Compliance), (b) internal electromagnetic interference between the components implementing the communications network and other unrelated functions in the device, such as (but not limited to): wireless communications using Bluetooth, Wi-Fi or cell-phone networking.

25 **Methods –**

Referring now to FIG. 1, exemplary methodology 100 for allocating control symbols (such as the aforementioned *DisplayPort* control functions) to DC-balanced control codes or special symbols (e.g. 8B/10B “K-codes”) in accordance with the principles of the present invention is shown and described in detail.

At step 102 of the method 100, control symbols are divided up into groups based on a predetermined criterion. In one embodiment, control symbols associated with the

DisplayPort specification are categorized based on their expected frequencies (in time) of appearance in a transmitted data stream. Based on these expected frequencies of appearance, these control symbols can then be allocated to a group. For example, two groups may be created: (1) a first group for control symbols that are expected to be seen relatively frequently; and (2) a second group for control symbols that are expected to be seen relatively infrequently. In an alternative embodiment, control symbols which could benefit a user of those control symbols by remaining unscrambled during transmission could be allocated to a first group, while other control symbols which do not offer such a benefit could be allocated to a second group. For example, if the scrambler reset control sequence is scrambled, then recovering scrambler synchronization is much more difficult as the receiver cannot identify what point in the sequence the scrambler is reset. In yet another alternative embodiment, the control symbols might not be allocated to distinct groups at all, but rather categorized individually according to a predetermined criterion.

At step 104, control codes (i.e., “special” symbols) are similarly divided up into groups. In the context of the exemplary 8B/10B line code described previously herein, 8B/10B control codes (i.e. “K-codes”) are divided up based at least in part on a characteristic of that control code. For example, different K-codes have specific 10-bit patterns associated with them (see Table 1 above). Some of these patterns will affect the transmission medium differently by, for instance, introducing a bit pattern that emits (or conversely is susceptible to) more or less EMI. Accordingly, in an exemplary embodiment, it is desirable to divide up 8B/10B K-codes into groups based on these EMI considerations.

At step 106, the control symbols divided at step 102 are allocated or mapped to respective ones of control codes allocated at step 104. In one exemplary embodiment, the allocation is done on a group-by-group basis. For example, those control symbols categorized into a group where they are expected to be seen relatively frequently in time are allocated to control codes that are associated with a group that has desirable EMI performance characteristics (and hence causing use of the control codes with desirable EMI properties more frequently).

In an alternative embodiment, the control symbols are allocated on a symbol-by-symbol basis; i.e., a first control symbol will always be allocated to a corresponding control code.

In yet another alternative embodiment, the allocation is performed on a mixed

basis; i.e., some control symbols are allocated on a group-by-group basis while others are allocated on a symbol-by-symbol basis. In other words, the group-by-group basis refers to the property that for any given control function, its corresponding control code could potentially be chosen from any control code within a group, whereas the symbol-by-
5 symbol basis refers to the property that for any given control function, its designated control code is always the same value.

Furthermore, for example in the context of 8B/10B line codes, the control symbols that are allocated to control codes on a group-by-group basis don't necessarily have to be mapped on a 1:1 basis. For instance, a portion of all available control symbols
10 might be divided up into a first group consisting of eight (8) control symbols, while control codes divided up based on EMI considerations are divided up into another group consisting of nine (9) control codes. Accordingly, the eight (8) control symbols (or functions) of the first group are allocated to the corresponding group of nine (9) control codes. Such a configuration has benefits over a 1:1 mapping by further "spreading" the
15 allocated control symbols over a larger number of control codes at the expense of additional system complexity.

Referring now to FIG. 2, an exemplary methodology 200 for transmitting control codes associated with control symbols (such as those described in FIG. 1) is shown and described in detail. At step 202, an index value associated with a control symbol is
20 determined (e.g., looked up from a table or other data structure). In an exemplary embodiment, index values associated with a control symbol are allocated based on previously allocated groups (see step 102, FIG. 1), although this is by no means a requirement - the methodology of FIG. 2 can be executed independently of the method steps of FIG. 1. For example, consider the case where nine (9) control symbols are
25 allocated between two groups; the first group consisting of eight (8) of the nine (9) control symbols and the second group consisting of the remaining one (1) control symbol. The control symbols of the first group are then associated with an index value comprising an integer value in the range of 0 – 7, while the second group consisting of the lone remaining control symbol includes a range of integer values from 0 – 3. At step
30 202, the index value for a given control symbol is looked up, and will be an integer value in the range of 0 – 7.

At step 204, it is determined whether a logical function should be executed on the index value looked up at step 202. If yes, the logical process flow proceeds to step 206,

otherwise it proceeds to step 208. In an exemplary embodiment, if it is determined that a logical function should be executed, the index value from step 202 is scrambled vis-à-vis an XOR or other such operation with one or more bits of a scrambler. The determination of whether or not a logical function should be executed is determined according to any
5 number of different schemes; e.g., based on which grouping the index value originated from (e.g., Group 1, etc.). In an alternative embodiment, the determination may be made according to a timing criterion. For example, in one embodiment, control symbols transmitted during a predetermined interval of time will always be scrambled while during another period of time, the control symbols will remain unscrambled. Such
10 embodiments may require significantly more complexity to synchronize time context between the encoder on the TX side and the decoder on the RX side.

At step 206, the scrambled index value is associated with a control code index value. In an exemplary embodiment, this is performed on a group-by-group basis. For example, the scrambled index value resulted from the scrambling of an index value
15 associated with a Group 1 control symbol and accordingly, the control code will be looked up from a group of control codes associated with the Group 1 control symbols. In an alternative embodiment, this is performed on a symbol-by-symbol basis as described previously herein.

At step 208, if the logical function execution step previously described is not
20 performed, then the control value is looked up based on the index value determined at step 202. Again, it is recognized that step 208 may be performed on either a group-by-group and/or a symbol-by-symbol basis. At step 210, the control code that was looked up at either step 206 or step 208 is transmitted across the transmission medium (e.g., wireline or wireless interface).

Referring now to FIG. 3, one exemplary methodology 300 for determining control symbols associated with a received control code according to the invention is shown and described in detail. At step 302, the control code symbol is received at a receiver. At step 304, it is determined whether or not the control code is scrambled or not. If it is, then at step 306, a logical function is executed on the received control code
25 symbol. In an exemplary embodiment, the logical function includes an XOR function executed on one or more bits of a scrambler that are executed on a group-by-group basis. Specifically, the executed XOR function will be utilize a scrambler identical to that used in the transmission of the control code so that index value associated with that control
30

code can be readily derived.

At step 308, the index value that was either derived at step 306 or determined directly from the received control code at step 302 is used to look up the control symbol associated with that determined index value.

5

Example # 1 –

In a first embodiment that implements the intelligent association methodology described previously herein, an 8B/10B scrambler in compliance with ANSI INCITS 230-1994, entitled “FibreChannel – Physical and Signaling Interface (FC-PH)” published January 1, 1994 and incorporated herein by reference in its entirety is contemplated within the context of a *DisplayPort* implementation. The control symbols utilized in the 8B/10B scrambler are partitioned into a large group and a small group comprising a group of $2^3 = 8$ and $2^2 = 4$ slots, respectively. In the context of *DisplayPort*, these divided groups of control symbols are shown below divided into
 10
 15
 Tables 2 and 3 below, and subsequently assigned an integer index value (in the presently contemplated embodiment, the integers are in the range 0 – 12) as shown below:

Control Symbol	Index Value
BS	0
BE	1
SS	2
SE	3
FS	4
FE	5
SR	6
CPBS/CP	7

20

Table 2 – Group 1 Control Symbol Index Table

Control Symbol	Index Value
CPSR/BF	0
(reserved)	1
(reserved)	2
(reserved)	3

Table 3 – Group 2 Control Symbol Index Table

In the illustrated embodiment, the division of the control symbols is allocated by generally placing the most infrequently (i.e., most widely spaced in time) utilized control symbols in the smaller group (Table 3 above).

In addition to dividing up *DisplayPort* control symbols, the present embodiment also further divides up the control codes (i.e. K-codes) into two groups and associates an index value with each control code as shown below. It should also be recognized that while the current embodiment shows a one to one (1:1) mapping of control symbols to control codes, the present invention is not so limited.

Accordingly, to scramble and transmit a control symbol in Group 1, the symbol is looked up in Table 2 to find its index integer value. In a next step, the index is then XOR'd with the current value of n_1 (e.g., three) specified bits of the scrambler. In one exemplary embodiment, this is accomplished by an XOR of the index value with bits 1, 3 and 5 of the scrambler, where the least significant bit is bit 0. The resultant value is then compared with Table 4 below, and the specific control code is selected based on this resultant value. The control code corresponding to the resultant value that is looked up in Table 4 is then transmitted across the network.

Special Symbol	Index Value
K.28.5	0
K.27.7	1
K.28.2	2
K.29.7	3
K.30.7	4
K.23.7	5
K.28.0	6
K.28.1	7

Table 4 – Group 1 Control Code Index Table

Similarly, to scramble and transmit a control symbol in Group 3, the symbol is looked up in Table 3 to find its index value, and the index value XOR'd with the current value of n_2 (e.g., two) specified bits of the scrambler. For example, bits 1 and 3 of the scrambler are utilized for the XOR operation in one embodiment. The

resultant value is then compared with Table 5 and the specific control code is selected based on this resultant value. The control code corresponding to the resultant value that is looked up in Table 5 is then transmitted across the network.

Special Symbol	Index Value
K.28.3	0
K.28.4	1
K.28.6	2
K.28.7	3

5

Table 5 – Group 2 Control Code Index Table

Conversely, to de-scramble a received control code (i.e., whenever a control code is detected in the received stream), the control code is looked up in either Table 4 and/or Table 5 to find its corresponding group and index value. For a Group 1 control code, the index value is XOR'd with the current value of the n_1 (e.g., three) specified bits of the scrambler used for transmitting Group 1 control symbols. Similarly, for a Group 2 control code, the index value is XOR'd with the current value of the n_2 (e.g., two) specified bits of the scrambler used for transmitting Group 2 control symbols. For a Group 1 control code, look up the resulting value in Table 2 to find the specific control symbol corresponding to this value, and for a Group 2 control code, look up the resultant value in Table 3 to find the specific control symbol corresponding to this value. The resulting control symbol is subsequently utilized.

20 **Example # 2 –**

In a variant to Example #1 discussed above, the Scrambler Reset control symbol ("SR") to the Group 2 control symbol table and to allocate K28.0 (used for SR in Example #1 above) to the Group 2 control code table. However, the SR control symbol is not scrambled (and hence not de-scrambled at the receiver). Such a variant to Example #1 may, under certain conditions, be more robust to scrambler loss of sync. Unlike Example #1 which scrambles symbols in both groups and may have difficulty recognizing scrambler synchronization when synchronization is lost, the symbol for scrambler synchronization (SR) in Example #2 can always be recognized regardless of the current state of the receiver's descrambler.

Example # 3 –

In yet another embodiment of the invention that implements the intelligent association methodology described previously herein, an 8B/10B scrambler is again contemplated within the context of a *DisplayPort* implementation. The control symbols utilized in the 8B/10B scrambler are partitioned into a large group and a small group comprising a group of $2^3 = 8$ and $2^2 = 4$ slots, respectively. These divided groups of control symbols are shown below divided into Tables 6 and 7, and subsequently assigned an integer index value (in the present embodiment, the integers are in the range 0 – 12) as shown below:

Control Symbol	Index Value
SR	0
CPBS/CP	1
SS	2
FE	3
BS	4
PM	5
SE	6
FS	7

Table 6 – Group 1 Control Symbol Index Table

Control Symbol	Index Value
CPSR/BF	0
(reserved)	1
BE	2
SCM	3

Table 7 – Group 2 Control Symbol Index Table

In the groupings shown above in Tables 6 and 7, the Table 6 Control symbols occur more frequently, while the group in Table 7 occurs less frequently. Because the control symbols in Table 7 occur so infrequently, there is consequently little benefit in scrambling these control symbols, as had been done in Example #1. In addition, there is also a diagnostic purpose benefit to this approach; i.e., bus analyzers and other

diagnostic equipment are able to recognize these control symbols on the transmission medium without needing to first de-scramble the signals.

The available ANSI 8B/10B control codes (K-codes) are also split into two groups. Within each group, each K-code is associated with a unique index as set forth
5 below in Tables 8 and 9, below:

Special Symbol	Index Value
K.28.0	0
K.28.1	1
K.28.2	2
K.28.3	3
K.28.5	4
K.28.7	5
K.29.7	6
K.30.7	7

Table 8 – Group 1 K-Code Index Table

Special Symbol	Index Value
K28.6	0
K23.7	1
K27.7	2
K28.4	3

Table 9 – Group 2 K-Code Index Table

Accordingly, to scramble and transmit a control symbol in Group 1, the symbol is looked up in Table 6 to find its index integer value. In a next step, the index
15 is XOR'd with the current value of e.g., three specified bits of the scrambler. In one exemplary embodiment, this is accomplished by an XOR of the index value with bits 13, 11 and 9 of the scrambler, where the least significant bit is bit 0. Note also that current DisplayPort implementations utilize bits 15 – 8 for scrambling data. The resultant value is then compared with Table 8 and the specific control code is selected
20 based on this resultant value. The control code corresponding to the resultant value that is looked up in Table 8 is then transmitted across the network.

Dealing with Group 2 (see Table 7) control symbols is advantageously very straightforward in the embodiment illustrated. The symbol is looked up in Table 7 to

find its index value. The resultant index value is then cross-correlated with the K-code attributed to it in Table 9 and the corresponding K-code is transmitted unscrambled.

To de-scramble a received control code (i.e., whenever a scrambled control code is detected in the received stream), the control code is looked up in Table 6 to find its corresponding group and index value. For a Group 1 control code, the index value is XOR'd with the current value of the three specified bits of the scrambler used for transmitting Group 1 control symbols. The resulting value is then looked up in Table 6 to find the specific control symbol corresponding to this value. The resulting control symbol is subsequently utilized.

It will be noted that in the foregoing example (and in fact others), the groupings may be broken down so as to comprise seven (7) low EMI producing control symbols and one (1) high EMI producing control symbol in one group (e.g., Group 1), while the second group (e.g., Group 2) includes three (3) high EMI producing control symbols and one (1) low EMI producing control symbol. Other such combinations will be appreciated as well by those of ordinary skill given the present disclosure.

Example # 4 –

In yet another embodiment of the invention that implements the intelligent association methodology described previously herein, an 8B/10B scrambler is again contemplated within the context of a *DisplayPort* implementation.

In the current version of *DisplayPort*, the header control functions are mapped according to Table 10.

Control Function	Control Sequence	Comment
SR (Scrambler Reset)	K28.5	Comma Character; Link Frame Indicator
Reserved	K28.1	Not used
Reserved	K28.7	Not used
Reserved	K28.4	Not used
ACT (Allocation Change Trigger)	C0-C1-C1-C0	Trigger to downstream device indicating change in allocation on local link.

Table 10 – Header Control Functions

Additionally, payload control functions are mapped according to Table 11.

Control Function	Control Sequence
RG (Rate Governing)	C0-C1-C2-C3
BS (Blank Start)	C0-C0-C0-C0
BE (Blank End)	C1-C1-C1-C1
Reserved	C2-C2-C2-C2
SS (Secondary Start)	C3-C3-C3-C3
SF (Stream Fill)	C4-C4-C4-C4
Reserved	C5-C5-C5-C5
SE (Secondary End)	C6-C6-C6-C6
Reserved	C7-C7-C7-C7

5

Table 11 – Payload Control Functions

Where the control symbol C_x, defines a (pre-scrambled) index integer value between 0 and 7. The scrambled index is calculated using the current contents of the main link data scrambling LFSR as follows:

10

$$\text{Scrambled Index} = \text{Index} \wedge \{\text{LFSR}[13], \text{LFSR}[14], \text{LFSR}[15]\}$$

Equation 1 – Scrambled Index

The scrambled index determines the actual K-code transmitted according to Table 12.

15

Scrambled Index	K-Code
0	K23.7
1	K27.7
2	K28.0
3	K28.2
4	K28.3

5	K28.6
6	K29.7
7	K30.7

Table 12 – K-Code Scrambled Index Map**Apparatus -**

5 Referring now to FIG. 4, an exemplary user device apparatus 400 having control code scrambling capability is illustrated. As used herein, the term “user device” includes, but is not limited to cellular telephones, smartphones (such as for example an *iPhone*™), personal computers (PCs), such as for example an *iMac*™, *Mac Pro*™, *Mac Mini*™, *MacBook*™, *MacBook Pro*™, *MacBook Air*™, and minicomputers, whether desktop, 10 laptop, or otherwise, as well as mobile devices such as handheld computers, PDAs, video cameras, set-top boxes, personal media devices (PMDs), such as for example an *iPod*™, *iPad*™, display devices (e.g., those compliant with the aforementioned *DisplayPort* standard(s)), or any combinations of the foregoing. While a specific device configuration and layout is shown and discussed, it is recognized that many other implementations 15 may be readily implemented by one of ordinary skill given the present disclosure, the apparatus 400 of FIG. 4 being merely illustrative of the broader principles of the invention.

The illustrated apparatus 400 of FIG. 4 includes an upstream plurality of ports and corresponding receiving elements (e.g., receiver or transceiver network interfaces) 20 402, a downstream plurality of ports and corresponding transmitting elements (transmitting interfaces or transceivers) 404. As used herein, the terms “network interface” or “interface” typically refer to any signal, data, or software interface with a component, network or process including, without limitation, those of the FireWire (e.g., FW400, FW800, etc.), USB (e.g., USB2, USB 2.0, USB 3.0, wireless USB), 25 DisplayPort, Ethernet (e.g., 10/100, 10/100/1000 (Gigabit Ethernet), 10-Gig-E, etc.), MoCA, Serial ATA (e.g., SATA, e-SATA, SATAII), Ultra-ATA/DMA, Coaxsys (e.g., TVnet™), radio frequency tuner (e.g., in-band or OOB, cable modem, etc.), Wi-Fi™ (e.g., 802.11a,b,g,n, or any draft standards relating thereto), WiMAX (802.16), PAN (802.15), IrDA or other wireless families, including Bluetooth.

30 The upstream plurality of ports and associated receiving elements 402 may

comprise one or more upstream auxiliary channels, one or more upstream media ports, and receiver apparatus (e.g., multiplexing switches, reception logic, clock recovery circuitry, etc.). In one exemplary embodiment, the auxiliary channel is bi-directional and carries management and device control data, and the upstream media ports minimally
5 comprise receivers for unidirectional data lanes, and use of an embedded clock. The receiver apparatus monitors and selectively enables and disables the auxiliary and media ports. In certain embodiments, the receiver apparatus may be adapted to utilize a packet-based unidirectional network protocol, such as the *DisplayPort* protocol previously described herein.

10 Similarly, the downstream plurality of ports and associated receiving elements 404 comprise one or more downstream auxiliary channel, one or more downstream media ports, and transmitter apparatus (e.g. demultiplexing switches, transmission logic, clock embedding circuitry, etc.). In one exemplary embodiment, the auxiliary channel is bi-directional and carries management and device control data, and the downstream
15 media ports minimally comprise transmitters for unidirectional data lanes, and inclusion of an embedded clock. The transmitter apparatus monitors and selectively enables and disables the auxiliary and media ports. As with the receiver, the transmitter apparatus may be adapted to utilize a packet-based unidirectional network protocol (e.g., *DisplayPort*).

20 In an exemplary embodiment, the downstream-facing ports may comprise a serializer adapted to receive an input word and output a bit stream. For example, in one embodiment, the serializer may receive a 10-bit input word and output ten (10) serial bits. In addition, the serializer may also provide an optional corresponding clock.

Conversely, in an exemplary embodiment, the upstream-facing ports may also
25 comprise a de-serializer adapted to receive a serial bit stream and output a word. Furthermore, such de-serializing apparatus may additionally require clock recovery circuitry, and boundary detection as is well understood in the digital computing arts. For example, in one embodiment, the de-serializer may receive 10 (ten) serial bits, and convert it into one 10-bit word. When the de-serializer detects a misalignment of timing
30 by one or more bits, the de-serializer accordingly skips or pads its rate accordingly. Furthermore, during initialization, the de-serializer may recognize an initiation sequence.

Also included are one or more storage devices (e.g., a memory subsystem)
410. It will be appreciated that not all elements are required in a single device for

operation within a network. For instance, a device only capable of “source” operation would not require upstream ports, or certain audio or video elements. Conversely, a “sink” device may not require downstream ports. Moreover, the “receiver” 402 and “transmitter” 404 elements may comprise transceivers capable of both transmission and reception if desired.

The processing subsystem 406 may comprise one or more of central processing units (CPU) or digital processors, such as a microprocessor, digital signal processor, field-programmable gate array, RISC core, or plurality of processing components mounted on one or more substrates. The processing subsystem is coupled to operational memory, which may include for example SRAM, FLASH and SDRAM components. As used herein, the term “memory” includes any type of integrated circuit or other storage device adapted for storing digital data including, without limitation, ROM, PROM, EEPROM, DRAM, SDRAM, DDR/2 SDRAM, EDO/FPMS, RLDRAM, SRAM, “flash” memory (e.g., NAND/NOR), and PSRAM. The processing subsystem may also comprise additional co-processors, such as a dedicated graphics accelerator, network processor (NP), or audio/video processor. As shown processing subsystem 406 includes discrete components, however it is understood that in some embodiments they may be consolidated or fashioned in a SoC (system-on-chip) configuration.

The processing subsystem 406 is adapted to receive one or more media streams from an upstream apparatus 402 for processing for media displays such as a video display 412, or audio speakers 414. Processing subsystem 406 may preferentially comprise graphics processors, applications processors, and or audio processors. In “thin clients”, the processing subsystem 406 may be significantly reduced in complexity and limited to simple logic, or in extreme cases altogether non-existent. Accordingly, the processing subsystem 406 may be connected to a memory subsystem 410 comprising memory which may for example be hard disk drives, or solid state memory (e.g. RAM, FLASH) type components. The memory subsystem may implement one or a more of DMA type hardware, so as to facilitate data accesses as is well known in the art.

The control code scrambling circuitry 416 is, in an exemplary embodiment, tightly coupled for execution on the processing subsystem. This circuitry could be embodied in hardware, software or a combination of both. As used herein, the term “circuitry” refers to any type of device having any level of integration (including without limitation ULSI, VLSI, and LSI) and irrespective of process or base materials (including,

without limitation Si, SiGe, CMOS and GaAs). ICs may include, for example, memory devices, digital processors, SoC devices, FPGAs, ASICs, ADCs, DACs, transceivers, memory controllers, and other devices, as well as any combinations thereof. It is however recognized that the control code scrambling circuitry could alternatively be
5 decoupled and executed independent of the processing element in alternate embodiments.

It will be readily appreciated by those of ordinary skill that different combinations and/or variations of the foregoing can be made depending on the desired application and performance attributes.

10 In an exemplary embodiment, the control code scrambling circuitry 416 of the apparatus of FIG. 4 is implemented at least in part as a computer program; e.g., module of executable application software embodied within a tangible medium such as a physical block of memory (for example, the memory subsystem 408 of FIG. 4). As used herein, the term "computer program" or "software" is meant to include any
15 sequence or human or machine cognizable steps which perform a function. Such program may be rendered in virtually any programming language or environment including, for example, C/C++, Fortran, COBOL, PASCAL, assembly language, markup languages (e.g., HTML, SGML, XML, VoXML), and the like, as well as object-oriented environments such as the Common Object Request Broker
20 Architecture (CORBA), Java™ (including J2ME, Java Beans, etc.), Binary Runtime Environment (BREW), and the like.

Business Methods -

It will be recognized that while certain aspects of the invention are described in
25 terms of a specific sequence of steps of a method, these descriptions are only illustrative of the broader methods of the invention, and may be modified as required by the particular application. Certain steps may be rendered unnecessary or optional under certain circumstances. Additionally, certain steps or functionality may be added to the disclosed embodiments, or the order of performance of two or more steps permuted. All
30 such variations are considered to be encompassed within the invention disclosed and claimed herein.

While the above detailed description has shown, described, and pointed out novel features of the invention as applied to various embodiments, it will be

understood that various omissions, substitutions, and changes in the form and details of the device or process illustrated may be made by those skilled in the art without departing from the invention. The foregoing description is of the best mode presently contemplated of carrying out the invention. This description is in no way meant to be

5 limiting, but rather should be taken as illustrative of the general principles of the invention. The scope of the invention should be determined with reference to the claims.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A method of processing data transmitted on a high-speed bus of a computerized device so as to mitigate electromagnetic interference, the data comprising both control data and payload data, the method comprising:
 - scrambling at least a portion of the payload data according to a first scheme;
 - and
 - scrambling at least a portion of the control data according to a second scheme.
2. The method of Claim 1, wherein the second scheme is different from the first scheme, and at least the scrambling of the at least portion of the control data reduces the level of electromagnetic interference when the control data is transmitted on the bus.
3. The method of Claim 1, wherein the second scheme comprises:
 - dividing the control data into at least two groups, the dividing being based at least in part on the value of a parameter associated with individual ones of the control data;
 - selectively scrambling at least portions of the at least two groups according to a scrambling algorithm; and
 - transmitting the control data onto the high-speed bus;wherein the selective scrambling reduces the level of the electromagnetic interference when the control data is transmitted on the bus.
4. The method of Claim 3, wherein the parameter relates to the level of electromagnetic interference created by the individual ones of the control data.
5. The method of Claim 3, wherein the parameter relates to the frequency of occurrence of the individual ones of the control data.
6. The method of Claim 3, wherein at least the payload data is carried according to a packet protocol, and the high-speed bus comprises one compliant with a *DisplayPort* Standard.
7. The method of Claim 3, further comprising 8B10B encoding at least portions of the control data and payload data.
8. A method of processing control data transmitted on a bus of a computerized device, the control data being associated with payload data, the method comprising:

dividing the control data into at least two groups based at least in part on a criterion associated with individual ones of the control data;

selectively scrambling at least a portion of at least one of the two groups according to a scrambling algorithm; and

5 transmitting the control data onto the bus.

9. The method of Claim 8 wherein the selective scrambling reduces the level of the electromagnetic interference when the control data is transmitted on the bus.

10. The method of Claim 8, wherein the criterion relates to a level of
10 electromagnetic interference created by the individual ones of the control data.

11. The method of Claim 8, wherein the criterion relates to a frequency of occurrence of the individual ones of the control data.

12. The method of Claim 8, wherein the scrambling algorithm comprises an exclusive OR (XOR) function.

15 13. A computerized apparatus, comprising:

a processor;

a network interface in data communication with the processor; and

a storage device in data communication with the processor, the storage device comprising a first set of computer-executable instructions which, when executed by
20 the processor, scramble at least a portion of a payload data according to a first scheme; and

a second set of computer-executable instructions which when executed by the processor:

25 divide a control data based at least in part on the value of a parameter associated with individual ones of control data into at least two groups according to a scrambling algorithm;

selectively scramble at least portions of the at least two groups according to a scrambling algorithm; and

transmit the control data onto the network interface; and

30 wherein the selective scrambling reduces the level of the electromagnetic interference when the control data is transmitted on the network interface.

14. The computerized apparatus of Claim 13, wherein the parameter relates to the level of electromagnetic interference that is likely induced by the individual ones of the control data.

15. The computerized apparatus of Claim 13, wherein the parameter relates to the frequency of occurrence of the individual ones of the control data.

16. The computerized apparatus of Claim 13, wherein the portion of the payload data is carried according to a packet protocol, and the network interface is compliant with a *DisplayPort* Standard.

17. The computerized apparatus of Claim 13, where the network interface further comprises an 8B10B line coder.

18. A computerized apparatus, comprising:
a processor;
a network interface in data communication with the processor; and
a storage device in data communication with the processor, the storage device comprising a plurality of computer-executable instructions, which when executed by the processor:

scramble at least a portion of the payload data according to a first scheme; and


scramble at least a portion of the control data according to a second scheme.


19. The apparatus of Claim 18, wherein the second scheme is different from the first scheme, and the second scheme is configured to reduce the level of electromagnetic interference when the control data is transmitted by the apparatus.


20. The computerized apparatus of Claim 18, where the computer-executable instructions, when executed, additionally divide the control data into at least two groups, based at least in part on the value of a parameter associated with individual ones of the control data.


21. The computerized apparatus of Claim 18, where the computer-executable instructions, when executed, additionally selectively scramble at least portions of the at least two groups according to a scrambling algorithm.

22. The computerized apparatus of Claim 21, wherein the selectively scrambled portions reduce the level of electromagnetic interference on the bus.

**FIG. 1**

**FIG. 2**

**FIG. 3**

**FIG. 4**

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US2011/065918

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC(8) - G08C 15/00 (2012.01) USPC - 370/235 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC				
B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC(8) - G06F 13/14; G08C 15/00; H04L 12/28 (2012.01) USPC - 345/520; 370/235, 254, 350 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) PatBase, Google Patent Search, Google Scholar				
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
Y	US 2007/0257923 A1 (WHITBY-STREVENS) 08 November 2007 (08.11.2007) entire document	1-22		
Y	US 7,639,687 B1 (TSAI et al) 29 December 2009 (29.12.2009) entire document	1-22		
A	US 2007/0206642 A1 (EGAN et al) 06 September 2007 (06.09.2007) entire document	1-22		
A	US 2002/0138721 A1 (KWON et al) 26 September 2002 (26.09.2002) entire document	1-22		
☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.				
* Special categories of cited documents: <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top; border: none;"> "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed </td> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top; border: none;"> "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family </td> </tr> </table>			"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family			
Date of the actual completion of the international search 09 April 2012	Date of mailing of the international search report 24 APR 2012			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US, Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. 571-273-3201	Authorized officer: Blaine R. Copenhaver PCT Helpdesk: 571-272-4300 PCT OSP: 571-272-7774			