




(86) Date de dépôt PCT/PCT Filing Date: 2016/05/19
(87) Date publication PCT/PCT Publication Date: 2016/12/22
(45) Date de délivrance/Issue Date: 2024/04/16
(85) Entrée phase nationale/National Entry: 2017/10/18
(86) N° demande PCT/PCT Application No.: GB 2016/051441
(87) N° publication PCT/PCT Publication No.: 2016/203194
(30) Priorités/Priorities: 2015/06/18 (GB1510727.9);
2015/12/30 (US14/984,585)

(51) Cl.Int./Int.Cl. *F41J 5/02* (2006.01),
F41J 3/02 (2006.01), *F41J 5/10* (2006.01)
(72) Inventeurs/Inventors:
DALE, JASON, GB;
MOORE, STEPHEN, GB
(73) Propriétaire/Owner:
FLIGHT PATH IP LIMITED, GB
(74) Agent: PERRY + CURRIER

(54) Titre : SYSTEME DE COMPTAGE DE CIBLE AUTOMATIQUE
(54) Title: AUTOMATIC DARTBOARD SCORING SYSTEM



(57) Abrégé/Abstract:

A method is disclosed for automatically scoring a game of darts. The method involves obtaining an image of a dartboard (2) using three cameras (10), detecting a visual disturbance in the image, and identifying a validly thrown dart if the visual disturbance includes a moving phase with a maximum temporal duration and successive images of the dartboard which exhibit differences. The visual disturbance should also include a stationary phase when the dart has been embedded in the dartboard (2). The method also includes calculating a score for the validly thrown dart based on its stationary position relative to the dartboard. A lighting system for a dartboard is also disclosed where a LED strip light (14) is provided around a circumference of the dartboard and three spotlights (8) are provided above the dartboard.



(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(10) International Publication Number
WO 2016/203194 A1

(43) International Publication Date
22 December 2016 (22.12.2016)

- (51) International Patent Classification:
F41J 5/02 (2006.01) F41J 5/10 (2006.01)
- (21) International Application Number:
PCT/GB2016/051441
- (22) International Filing Date:
19 May 2016 (19.05.2016)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:
1510727.9 18 June 2015 (18.06.2015) GB
14/984,585 30 December 2015 (30.12.2015) US
- (71) Applicant: FLIGHT PATH IP LIMITED [GB/GB]; 2
Lyttleton Court, Birmingham Street, Halesowen, West
Midlands B63 3HN (GB).
- (72) Inventors: DALE, Jason; The Bothy, Albury Park, Guild-
ford Surrey GU5 9BH (GB). MOORE, Stephen; 8a Grif-
fiths Road, Wimbledon, London SW19 1SP (GB).
- (74) Agent: GILL JENNINGS & EVERY LLP; The
Broadgate Tower, 20 Primrose Street, London EC2A 2ES
(GB).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every
kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM,
AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY,
BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM,
DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT,
HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR,
KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG,
MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM,
PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC,
SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN,
TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every
kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH,
GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ,
TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU,
TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE,
DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LI, LU,
LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK,
SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ,
GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

(54) Title: AUTOMATIC DARTBOARD SCORING SYSTEM




FIG.1

(57) Abstract: A method is disclosed for auto-
matically scoring a game of darts. The method
involves obtaining an image of a dartboard (2)
using three cameras (10), detecting a visual dis-
turbance in the image, and identifying a validly
thrown dart if the visual disturbance includes a
moving phase with a maximum temporal dura-
tion and successive images of the dartboard
which exhibit differences. The visual distur-
bance should also include a stationary phase
when the dart has been embedded in the dart-
board (2). The method also includes calculating
a score for the validly thrown dart based on its
stationary position relative to the dartboard. A
lighting system for a dartboard is also disclosed
where a LED strip light (14) is provided around
a circumference of the dartboard and three spot-
lights (8) are provided above the dartboard.

Automatic Dartboard Scoring System

The invention relates to an automatic dartboard scoring system and a system for lighting a dartboard to facilitate accurate scoring.

5

The game of darts is traditionally played with a bristle dartboard. Each player throws three metal-tipped darts in turn, and can inspect the dartboard to determine their score according to the rules of the game. Scoring is traditionally performed manually using a chalkboard or electronic device such as a smartphone or tablet. Aside from
10 being a chore for the scorer, this slows down gameplay because scoring often cannot keep pace with the player throwing darts.

Some electronic dartboards provide automatic scoring, but these typically use plastic darts that can engage with holes in the board. The look and feel of these electronic
15 dartboards often compares poorly with conventional bristle dartboards which can deter users, especially experienced players.

Automatic scoring systems also exist for bristle dartboards. One example of such a system is described in US 2011/0031696. In this system a pair of cameras is
20 arranged to capture an image of the dartboard from different angles in order to determine the position of a dart on the board. In some systems scoring can be triggered when the cameras detect some difference in sequential images of the dartboard, or if a sound is detected. These systems are vulnerable to interference by players placing darts manually on the board to obtain a false score. In addition,
25 errors can sometimes be introduced by shadowing effects of darts on the board.

An object of the invention is to improve integrity in automatic dartboard scoring so that scores are recorded only for validly thrown darts. Another object of the present invention is to provide an illumination system for a dartboard that facilitates high
30 accuracy automatic scoring.

According to an aspect of the invention there is provided a method for automatically scoring a game of darts, comprising the steps of: obtaining an image of a dartboard; detecting a visual disturbance in the image; identifying a validly thrown dart if the

visual disturbance includes a moving phase with predetermined characteristics and a stationary phase; and calculating a score for the validly thrown dart based on its stationary position relative to the dartboard.

- 5 In this way, it is possible to verify a valid throw by detecting a moving dart, followed by a stationary dart. This can trigger calculation of a score to ensure that scores are calculated only for validly thrown darts. This advantageously improves the integrity of the automatic scoring system. In particular, this can prevent a player from falsifying a score by placing darts manually on the dartboard, or moving darts that
10 have already been thrown. A score would not be calculated for these darts because they would lack a moving phase with the characteristics of a validly thrown dart.

- Preferably the predetermined characteristics of the moving phase include a temporal duration. A validly thrown dart may have a range of possible movements, which
15 depend on throwing style, as it flies from a user's hand, strikes the dartboard, and settles into a final position. Typically, an expected or maximum duration of movement can be established, depending on the length of the dart's in-flight phase which is part of the detected visual disturbance. In one embodiment a validly thrown dart may have a moving phase with a maximum duration of 500ms. This may
20 include an in-flight phase, and a moving phase while the dart settles into a final position on the dartboard.

- A validly thrown dart may be identified if the stationary phase of the visual disturbance has predetermined characteristics. The predetermined characteristics of
25 the stationary and/or moving phase may include physical size and/or colour. Preferably darts are coloured blue so that they contrast with the colours of the dartboard and can be easily detected against that background.

- If the visual disturbance lacks a moving phase with predetermined characteristics
30 and a stationary phase then the visual disturbance can be recorded as an invalid dart. Various actions can be taken in response to an invalid dart according to gameplay rules.

The moving phase of the detected visual disturbance may include successive images which exhibit differences. The stationary phase of the visual disturbance may follow the moving phase and include successive images which are substantially identical. Preferably images of the dartboard are captured with a frequency that is high enough
5 to detect movement of a validly thrown dart. The frequency may be at least 5Hz in some embodiments. In this way, the presence of a moving dart can be inferred, followed by a stationary dart. A validly thrown dart can be identified if the properties of the moving dart and the stationary dart match expected properties. This can improve integrity in scoring because it would be difficult or impossible for a user to
10 mimic the properties of a moving dart, followed by a stationary dart.

The method may include additional steps of: detecting a second visual disturbance in the image; identifying a validly thrown dart if the second visual disturbance includes a moving phase with predetermined characteristics and a stationary phase; and
15 calculating a score for the validly thrown dart based on its stationary position relative to the dartboard. If an invalid dart is identified then play can be advanced in accordance with rules.

If an invalid dart is identified then the method may involve obtaining an image of the
20 dartboard, determining if the image matches an expected image for a clear dartboard, and advancing play in accordance with rules. If a visual disturbance does not correspond to a valid dart then the camera can await a clear dartboard. This may be an indication that a player has removed all of the darts from the dartboard and finished their turn. Thus, play may be advanced so that the next player can throw.

25 According to an aspect of the present invention there is provided a dartboard lighting system comprising: a first lighting system provided around a substantial circumference of a dartboard for projecting light radially inwards with respect to the dartboard and illuminating any darts thereon; a second lighting system positioned
30 above the dartboard, wherein the second lighting system comprises at least one spot light pointing towards the dartboard for illuminating any darts on the dartboard and for illuminating any darts in moving and stationary phases; and at least one camera for imaging darts in moving and stationary phases on the dartboard.

In this way darts can be illuminated by the at least one spot light while they are moving, and they can be illuminated evenly by a combination of the spot light and the first lighting system when they are on the board. This illumination system can facilitate an accurate and automatic dart detection system, with a camera that can
5 detect darts during their moving phase as well as in their final position on the dartboard.

Preferably the at least one spot light and the at least one camera are provided at a position between the oche and the dartboard. This can allow darts to be illuminated
10 while they are in-flight, without interfering with a player during a throw. Additionally, the camera can be provided in a position that would image validly thrown darts, but would not image players' hands or other external objects. This can facilitate an automatic dart scoring system that can verify validly thrown darts by ensuring that they had a valid in-flight phase.

15 The at least one spot light and the at least one camera may be provided on an overhead gantry. The gantry may be positioned specifically so that it can light darts in-flight and on the dartboard without interfering with a player during a throw.

20 The combination of the spot light and the first lighting system is particularly advantageous. It has been found that a ring-shaped light can illuminate darts from all directions. However, some ring-shaped lights may fail to illuminate the centre of the dartboard and the full length of any darts thereon. The spot light can enhance illumination of the centre of the board and the rear of the darts (their flights).
25 However, it has been found that the use of spot lights on their own can introduce undesirable shadowing, which is minimised by the ring-shaped light.

The second lighting system may comprise at least three spot lights, each associated with a camera for imaging darts in-flight and on the dartboard. It has been found that
30 three spot lights can provide even illumination of the darts both in-flight and on the dartboard. In addition, each spot light can provide illumination along the pointing direction of an individual camera. The spot lights can advantageously enhance lighting of the dartboard, especially in the centre of the board, beyond that which is

achieved by the first lighting system. Each camera may be attached to the spot light so that they can reliably point in the same direction.

5 The first lighting system may comprise a ring-shaped light such as an LED strip. It has been found that an LED strip is particularly suitable because it can easily be flexed into a ring and it does not generate excessive heat.

10 The ring-shaped light may be provided behind a shield to protect it from stray darts. This can also shield light from a thrower's eyes. The ring-shaped light can enhance lighting of the dartboard to improve the accuracy of an automatic scoring system.

15 The dartboard and the ring-shaped light may be recessed from a planar wall surface. The dartboard can therefore be provided in a circular hole in the planar wall surface. The shield is preferably co-planar or coincident with the wall surface. This can allow effective shielding of the ring-shaped light so that it is properly protected both from stray darts and from player interference.

20 Preferably the dartboard is bristle-based. However, the techniques and features of the invention may be applied to other types of dartboard as well.

25 According to another aspect of the invention there is provided an automatic dartboard scoring apparatus comprising: a dartboard; at least one camera configured to obtain an image of the dartboard and to detect a visual disturbance in the image; and a processor configured to identify a validly thrown dart if the visual disturbance includes a moving phase with predetermined characteristics and a stationary phase, and to calculate a score for the validly thrown dart based on its stationary position relative to the dartboard.

30 Embodiments of the present invention will now be described, by way of example only, with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

Figure 1 is a front view of a dartboard lighting system in an embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 2 is a top view of the dartboard lighting system shown in Figure 1;

Figure 3 is a perspective view of the dartboard lighting system shown in Figure 1;

- 5 Figure 4 is a flow diagram showing steps taken in a method of automatically scoring a dartboard, in an embodiment of the invention; and

Figure 5 is a perspective view of a bracket for use in a dartboard lighting system in an embodiment of the invention.

10

As shown in Figures 1-3, a dartboard 2 is mounted on a wall 4. A gantry 6 is provided above the dartboard 2 which supports three spot lights 8 and three cameras 10, all of which point at the dartboard 2. A computer 18 including one or more processors is connected to the cameras 10 for analysing the results. In addition a
15 Graphic User Interface 20 is connected to the computer 18 for displaying results to players.

An oche 12 (or throwing line) is provided on the floor. The spot lights 8 and the cameras 10 are provided at a position between the oche 12 and the dartboard 2. In
20 some arrangements there may be multiple throwing lines, all of which are provided behind the gantry 6 from the perspective of the dartboard 2.

A LED strip light 14 is provided in a ring around the dartboard 2. The LED strip light 14 is raised above the dartboard 2 to illuminate its surface effectively. The LED strip
25 light 14 can also illuminate any darts on the board. Shadowing effects are minimised because illumination is provided from all sides.

A screen 16 is provided on the wall 4 to cushion darts that miss the dartboard 2. The LED strip light 14 is also shielded by a lip of the screen 16. This prevents damage to
30 the LED strip light 14 by darts. In addition, it shields the LED strip light 14 from a player at the oche 12 to avoid any distraction. The dartboard 2 and the LED strip light 14 are recessed from the screen 16.

The spot lights 8 are provided for illuminating darts that are in-flight between the oche 12 and the dartboard 2. Darts that are in-flight can be imaged by the cameras 10 for a brief period before they strike the dartboard 2 and while they settle into a final position on the dartboard 2. Successful detection of darts having a moving phase
5 can be used as a trigger for determining a score. The spot lights 8 can also improve illumination of the dartboard 2, especially in central areas, so that the cameras 10 can image darts on its surface. The combined illumination of the LED strip light 14 and the spot lights 8 minimises shadowing that could otherwise introduce errors when the position of a dart is determined.

10

Figure 5 is a perspective view of a bracket for holding a spot light 8 and a camera 10. A scaffold clamp 60 is provided for attaching the bracket to the gantry 6, and a lockable ball joint 62 is provided on a threaded bar below. A metal camera housing 64 is provided below the ball joint 62 for accommodating a camera 10. A metal
15 swivel joint 64 is provided for supporting a spot light 8. Thus, the camera 10 can be supported above the spot light 8, and both can be arranged to point in substantially the same direction towards the dartboard 2.

20

Figure 4 is a flow diagram showing a sequence of steps undertaken in an automatic scoring system for a game of darts. At step 19 the cameras 10 survey the scene. The cameras 10 capture images with a predetermined frequency, which is at least 5Hz. The predetermined frequency is selected so that the cameras 10 can obtain images of thrown darts while they are in a moving phase. Images from the cameras 10 are analysed by the computer 18, which awaits a clear dartboard 2. When a clear
25 dartboard 2 is detected the flow chart moves to step 21 at which the system is armed and waiting for a first dart to be thrown.

30

At step 22 the cameras 10 detect a visual disturbance in the scene. A visual disturbance begins when a minimum pixel difference is detected between successive images of the initially clear dartboard 2. For a validly thrown dart a visual disturbance will normally be initiated when a moving dart is captured in the field of view of (at least one of) the cameras 10. There may be several successive images in which differences are detected from the preceding image, while the dart is in a moving phase. The number of difference images in the moving phase is related to the speed

of the thrown dart, the frequency of images from the cameras 10, and the time taken for the dart to settle into a stationary position on the dartboard 2. Once the dart has settled into a stationary position, successive images captured by the cameras 10 will be substantially identical.

5

At step 24 the computer 18 analyses whether the detected visual disturbance corresponds to a thrown dart. This is achieved by determining whether the visual disturbance includes a moving phase with predetermined characteristics followed by a stationary phase with predetermined characteristics. The moving phase includes a maximum temporal duration, which may be around 500ms; this is the maximum time anticipated for a validly thrown dart to enter the field of view of the cameras 10 and to settle into a final position. The predetermined characteristics of the stationary phase include an expected physical size, within a range of camera pixels, and an expected colour. In a preferred arrangement the darts are coloured blue so that they can be easily detected against a non-blue background; thus, expected properties for a thrown dart include blue pixels only. A range of expected properties is provided to accommodate different throwing styles.

Darts are illuminated effectively in-flight as they strike the dartboard 2 by the spot lights 8. It has been found that high quality illumination of darts in-flight along the line of sight of the cameras 10 is important for ensuring that the computer 18 can accurately detect the short moving phase of a dart in images captured by the cameras 10.

When a thrown dart is detected at step 24, this is used as a prompt for the computer 18 to calculate a score at step 26. This is achieved by comparing an image of the dartboard 2 immediately before the visual disturbance with an image of the dartboard during the stationary phase of the visual disturbance. Typically such a comparison will reveal image pixels corresponding to the new dart on the dartboard 2. These image pixels can be detected more easily, whatever their position on the dartboard 2, because of the combined illumination of the LED strip light 14 and the spot lights 8. The cameras 10 are arranged to image the dart from three different perspectives so that the computer 18 can build a three-dimensional model of the dart and dartboard 2. In this way the computer 18 can determine the position of the dart on the

dartboard 2 and can calculate a score according to the rules of the game. The calculated score is displayed on the GUI 20, and then the system is armed at step 28, awaiting the second dart.

- 5 It is possible that the visual disturbance at step 22 does not match the expected visual disturbance for a thrown dart. For example, the visual disturbance may correspond with some other object being thrown at the board, a player walking up to the dartboard, or any other type of interference. In general the system is designed to ignore these types of interference, and to progress play in accordance with the rules
- 10 of the game. One possible explanation for a visual disturbance, consistent with normal gameplay, is that a player has walked up from the oche 12 to collect darts that have neither hit the dartboard 2 nor been detected by the cameras 10. Thus, at step 30, the GUI 20 is arranged to ask the player whether they have thrown all three of their darts. If the player responds positively then their turn is ended at step 32 and
- 15 a new player's turn begins at step 33. In these circumstances the flow chart returns to step 19 to await a clear board. If the player responds negatively then the flow chart returns directly to step 21 where the system is armed, awaiting a dart from the original player.
- 20 At step 34 the cameras 10 detect a second visual disturbance, following the first validly thrown dart. At step 36 the computer 18 determines whether the second visual disturbance matches the expected properties of a thrown dart, using the process already described. If a validly thrown dart is detected at step 36 then this is used as a prompt for the computer 18 to calculate a score at step 38. This is
- 25 achieved by comparing an image of the dartboard 2 immediately before the (second) visual disturbance with an image of the dartboard during the stationary phase of the (second) visual disturbance. Such a comparison can reveal the pixels in the image corresponding to the new dart. It is noted that the position of the first dart would be common to both images so its presence can be ignored. It is noted that this
- 30 comparison also ignores any re-positioning of previous darts by players since these darts would be in the same relative positions in the before and after comparisons. The computer 18 can then allocate a score for the new dart using the technique already described and this score can be displayed on the GUI 20. The system is then armed at step 40, awaiting the third dart.

5 If the second visual disturbance does not correspond to a validly thrown dart at step 36 then the computer analyses at step 42 whether the dartboard 2 is clear. A clear dartboard 2 at this point would suggest that the visual disturbance corresponds to a player walking up to the dartboard and removing the first dart, and possibly one or more stray darts that were not detected by the cameras 10. Thus, a clear dartboard 2 would indicate that a player had finished their turn. The computer 18 then finalises the player's score at step 42 on the basis of the calculated score for the first dart, and gameplay moves forward to a new player at step 44.

10

If the computer 18 does not detect a clear dartboard 2 at step 40 then it determines that there is some other explanation for the visual disturbance, other than a player removing darts from the dartboard 2. This visual disturbance is ignored and the flowchart returns to step 28 to await the second dart.

15

At step 42 the cameras 10 detect a third visual disturbance, following the first and second validly thrown darts. At step 44 the computer 18 determines whether the third visual disturbance matches the expected properties of a thrown dart, using the process already described. If a validly thrown dart is detected at step 36 then this is used as a trigger for the computer 18 to calculate a score at step 38. This score can be displayed on the GUI 20. The player's turn is finished at step 48, and the flow chart returns to step 44 so that a new player can begin their turn.

20

25 If the third visual disturbance does not correspond to a validly thrown dart at step 44 then the computer analyses at step 50 whether the dartboard 2 is clear. As discussed, a clear dartboard 2 would indicate that a player had finished their turn. The computer 18 then finalises the player's score at step 42 on the basis of the calculated score for the first and second darts, and progresses gameplay to a new player at step 44. If the computer 18 does not detect a clear dartboard 2 at step 50 then the flow chart returns to step 40 to await the third dart.

30

The method described above is appropriate for use in connection with the standard three-dart game. Alternative methods would readily occur to a person skilled in the art for use in games with involving different numbers of darts.

Claims

1. A method for automatically scoring a game of darts, comprising the steps of:
 obtaining a plurality of images of a dartboard;
 detecting a visual disturbance through a comparison of the plurality of images;
 identifying a validly thrown dart if the visual disturbance includes a moving phase with predetermined characteristics and a stationary phase with predetermined characteristics, wherein:
 the moving phase corresponds to a period during which the dart is in-flight and the stationary phase corresponds to a period during which the dart is stationary relative to the dartboard,
 the predetermined characteristics of the moving phase of the visual disturbance includes a minimum pixel difference between successive images of the plurality of images, and
 the predetermined characteristics of at least one of the moving phase or the stationary phase include a feature with a physical size and/or colour; and
 calculating a score for the validly thrown dart based on a stationary position of the dart relative to the dartboard.
2. The method of claim 1 wherein the predetermined characteristics of the moving phase include a maximum temporal duration.
3. The method of claim 1 wherein the predetermined characteristics of the stationary phase of the visual disturbance include successive images of the plurality of images which are identical.
4. The method of any one of claims 1 to 3 comprising the steps of:
 obtaining a second plurality of images of the dartboard;
 detecting a second visual disturbance through a comparison of the second plurality of images;
 identifying a second validly thrown dart if the second visual disturbance includes a moving phase with predetermined characteristics and a stationary phase;
 calculating a second score if a second validly thrown dart is identified, based on a stationary position of the second dart relative to the dartboard; and
 advancing play in accordance with rules if an invalid dart is identified.

5. The method of claim 4 wherein if an invalid dart is identified, obtaining an image of the dartboard, determining if the image matches an expected image for a clear dartboard, and advancing play in accordance with rules.

6. An automatic dartboard scoring apparatus comprising:
 - a dartboard;
 - at least one camera configured to obtain a plurality of images of the dartboard;
 - and
 - a processor configured to:
 - detect a visual disturbance through a comparison of the plurality of images,
 - identify a validly thrown dart if the visual disturbance includes a moving phase with predetermined characteristics and a stationary phase with predetermined characteristics, wherein:
 - the moving phase corresponds to a period during which the dart is in-flight and the stationary phase corresponds to a period during which the dart is stationary relative to the dartboard,
 - the predetermined characteristics of the moving phase of the visual disturbance includes a minimum pixel difference between successive images of the plurality of images, and
 - the predetermined characteristics of at least one of the moving phase or the stationary phase include a feature with a physical size and/or colour, and
 - calculate a score for the validly thrown dart based on its stationary position of the dart relative to the dartboard.

7. The automatic dartboard scoring apparatus of claim 6, further comprising a dartboard lighting system including:
 - a first lighting system provided around a circumference of the dartboard for projecting light radially inwards with respect to the dartboard and illuminating any darts thereon; and
 - a second lighting system positioned above the dartboard, wherein the second lighting system comprises at least one spot light pointing towards the dartboard for illuminating darts in the moving phase and the stationary phase.

8. The automatic dartboard scoring apparatus of claim 7, wherein the at least one spot light and the at least one camera are provided at a position between the oche and the dartboard.

9. The automatic dartboard scoring apparatus of claim 8, wherein the at least one spot light and the at least one camera are provided on an overhead gantry.
10. The automatic dartboard scoring apparatus of any one of claims 7 to 9, wherein the second lighting system comprises at least three spot lights, each associated with a camera for imaging darts in moving and stationary phases.
11. The automatic dartboard scoring apparatus of any one of claims 7 to 10, wherein the first lighting system comprises a ring-shaped light.
12. The automatic dartboard scoring apparatus of claim 11, wherein the ring-shaped light is provided behind a shield to protect it from stray darts.
13. The automatic dartboard scoring apparatus of claim 12, wherein the dartboard and the ring-shaped light are recessed from a planar wall surface.

1/5




FIG.1




FIG.2





FIG.3



4/5




FIG.4
(CONTINUED)




FIG.5

