



(86) Date de dépôt PCT/PCT Filing Date: 2008/01/18
 (87) Date publication PCT/PCT Publication Date: 2008/07/31
 (45) Date de délivrance/Issue Date: 2015/03/31
 (85) Entrée phase nationale/National Entry: 2009/07/14
 (86) N° demande PCT/PCT Application No.: IB 2008/001156
 (87) N° publication PCT/PCT Publication No.: 2008/090479
 (30) Priorité/Priority: 2007/01/19 (US60/885,727)

(51) Cl.Int./Int.Cl. *C09K 17/14* (2006.01),
C12Q 1/02 (2006.01)
 (72) Inventeurs/Inventors:
FAURE, DENIS, FR;
CIROU, AMELIE, FR;
DESSAUX, YVES, FR
 (73) Propriétaires/Owners:
CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE
SCIENTIFIQUE, FR;
COMITE ECONOMIQUE AGRICOLE PRODUCTION
DES PLANTS DU NORD (COMITE NORD POMME
DE TERRE), FR
 (74) Agent: BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP

(54) Titre : PRODUITS CHIMIQUES STIMULATEURS DE CROISSANCE DES BACTERIES ENTRAINANT LA
DEGRADATION DE N-ACYLE HOMOSERINE LACTONES (N-ACYL-HSL)
 (54) Title: CHEMICALS PROMOTING THE GROWTH OF N-ACYLHOMOSERINE LACTONE-DEGRADING BACTERIA

(57) **Abrégé/Abstract:**

The present invention concerns the field of bacterial biocontrol. More precisely, the invention relates to the identification of chemicals which promote the growth of bacteria inactivating NAHL, such as gamma-caprolactone (GCL) and 4-heptanolide (HTN) and their use in soil additives.

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
31 July 2008 (31.07.2008)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2008/090479 A3

(51) International Patent Classification:
A01N 63/00 (2006.01)

(74) Agents: MARCADÉ, Véronique et al.; Cabinet ORES,
36, rue de Saint-Pétersbourg, F-75008 Paris (FR).

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/IB2008/001156

(81) Designated States (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RS, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SV, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(22) International Filing Date: 18 January 2008 (18.01.2008)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
60/885,727 19 January 2007 (19.01.2007) US

(84) Designated States (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available*): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

(71) Applicants (*for all designated States except US*):
CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE [FR/FR]; 3, rue Michel Ange, F-75016 Paris (FR). **COMITE ECONOMIQUE AGRICOLE PRODUCTION DES PLANTS DU NORD (COMITE NORD POMME DE TERRE)** [FR/FR]; 9, rue d'Athènes, F-75009 Paris (FR).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (*for US only*): **FAURE, Denis** [FR/FR]; 91 rue Taitbout, F-75009 Paris (FR). **CIROU, Amélie** [FR/FR]; Résidence les Vignes de Bures, Avenue Champagne, F-91940 Les Ulis (FR). **DESSAUX, Yves** [FR/FR]; 12, rue Hector Berlioz, F-91470 Forges-les-bains (FR).

Published:

- with international search report
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments

(88) Date of publication of the international search report:
30 April 2009

(54) Title: CHEMICALS PROMOTING THE GROWTH OF N-ACYLHOMOSERINE LACTONE-DEGRADING BACTERIA.

(57) Abstract: The present invention concerns the field of bacterial biocontrol. More precisely, the invention relates to the identification of chemicals which promote the growth of bacteria inactivating NAHL, such as gamma-caprolactone (GCL) and 4-heptanolide (HTN) and their use in soil additives.



WO 2008/090479 A3

CHEMICALS PROMOTING THE GROWTH OF N-ACYLHOMOSERINE LACTONE-DEGRADING BACTERIA

The present invention concerns the field of bacterial biocontrol. More precisely, the invention relates to the identification of chemicals that promote the growth of bacteria inactivating NAHL.

N-acylhomoserine lactones (NAHL) are essential signals for cell-to-cell communication in numerous bacterial populations and communities. The perception of critical concentration of NAHL by bacterial protein sensors (LuxR family) controls the expression of specific genes. The regulatory pathway that links cell quorum to gene expression via the perception of NAHL signal is termed quorum-sensing (QS) (Fuqua *et al.*, 1994). The functions that are regulated through QS are highly diverse (Whitehead *et al.*, 2001), and involve the virulence of animal and plant pathogens, such as *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, *Erwinia carotovora*, or *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

Initially discovered in a few bacteria (Dong *et al.*, 2000; Leadbetter and Greenberg 2000), NAHL-degrading enzymatic activities have now been reported in Proteobacteria belonging to the *Agrobacterium*, *Bosea*, *Commamonas*, *Delftia*, *Pseudomonas*, *Ralstonia*, *Sphingopyxis*, and *Variovorax* genera (Leadbetter and Greenberg, 2000; Huang *et al.*, 2003; Lin *et al.*, 2003; Uroz *et al.*, 2003; Flagan *et al.*, 2003; Hu *et al.*, 2003; Park *et al.*, 2003; d'Angelo-Picard *et al.*, 2005; Jafra *et al.*, 2006), as well as in Actinobacteria and Firmicutes, such as *Arthrobacter*, *Bacillus*, *Rhodococcus* and *Streptomyces* genera (Dong *et al.*, 2000; Lee *et al.*, 2002; Uroz *et al.*, 2003; Park *et al.*, 2003; Park *et al.*, 2005; Park *et al.*, 2006). These NAHL-degrading bacteria were recovered from different environments such as soil, rhizosphere and biofilm. Noticeably, an extensive analysis of the diversity of NAHL-degrading and NAHL-producing bacteria in the rhizosphere of *Nicotiana tabacum* (d'Angelo-Picard *et al.*, 2005) suggests that these two functional communities co-exist in a same environment. Moreover, some bacterial isolates belonging to a same genus (*Agrobacterium*, *Pseudomonas*, *Sphingopyxis*, and *Variovorax*) may be either NAHL producer or degrader. In a few genera, such as *Agrobacterium* and *Pseudomonas*, these two antagonist functions may be co-expressed in a same isolate, and are therefore under a sophisticated regulation, which was explored in the case of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (Zhang *et al.*, 2002; Chevrot *et al.*, 2006).

Over past years, antivirulence strategies targeting QS appeared in the literature (Dong *et al.*, 2001; Zhang 2003; Molina *et al.*, 2003; Rasmussen and Givskov, 2006). They include the following approaches:

- inhibition of the synthesis of the NAHL signal: for example, triclosan is an antibiotic molecule which inhibits the synthesis of fatty acids, which are themselves necessary to the synthesis of NAHL (Hoang and Schweizer, 1999). However, resistances to this antibiotic appear because it is not specific for the NAHL.

Fischer-Tropsch synthesis performance of the catalyst. US 5585316 claims that the selectivity of heavier Fischer-Tropsch products is increased if the catalyst is first oxidised and then reduced with carbon monoxide. EP 1444040 discloses a two stage reduction step with pure hydrogen with a catalyst precursor in which all reducible cobalt oxide species combined can be described by the formula-unit CoO_aH_b (where: $a \geq 1.7$ and $b > 0$), resulting in a more economical reduction process without sacrificing Fischer-Tropsch synthesis catalyst activity.

10 An object of the present invention is to provide a supported cobalt-based Fischer-Tropsch synthesis catalyst having a higher hydrocarbon synthesis activity. Such a catalyst can be obtained with the process of the present invention.

15 According to the invention, there is provided a process for producing a supported cobalt-based Fischer-Tropsch synthesis catalyst, which process includes

in a first activation stage, treating a particulate supported cobalt-based Fischer-Tropsch synthesis catalyst precursor comprising a catalyst support impregnated with cobalt and containing cobalt oxide, with a hydrogen-containing reducing gas or a nitrogen-containing gas, at a first heating rate, HR1, until the precursor has reached a temperature, T_1 , where $80^\circ\text{C} \leq T_1 \leq 180^\circ\text{C}$, to obtain a partially treated catalyst precursor;

25 in a second activation stage, treating the partially treated catalyst precursor with a hydrogen-containing reducing gas, at a second heating rate, HR2, where $0 \leq \text{HR2} < \text{HR1}$, for a time, t_1 , where t_1 is from 0.1 to 20 hours, to obtain a partially reduced catalyst precursor; and thereafter

30 in a third activation stage, treating the partially reduced catalyst precursor with a hydrogen-containing reducing gas, at a third heating rate, HR3, where $\text{HR3} > \text{HR2}$ until the partially reduced catalyst precursor reaches a temperature, T_2 , and maintaining the partially reduced catalyst precursor at T_2 for a time, t_2 , where t_2 is from 0 to 20 hours, to obtain an activated supported cobalt-based Fischer-Tropsch synthesis catalyst.

According to another preferred embodiment of the invention, the compound present in the soil additive according to the invention is selected among the following compounds:


5 - compounds in which three of R_1 , R'_1 , R_2 or R_3 are hydrogen atoms and the other one is an alkyl group or an alkylene group; preferably R_1 represents an alkyl group of the formula $\text{CH}_3\text{-(CH}_2\text{)}_n\text{-}$ with $1 \leq n \leq 6$.

- compounds in which two of R_1 , R'_1 , R_2 or R_3 are hydrogen atoms and each of the other ones is an alkyl group or an alkylene group; preferably R_1 represents an alkyl group of formula $\text{CH}_3\text{-(CH}_2\text{)}_n\text{-}$ with $1 \leq n \leq 6$ and R_2 represents a methyl group.

10 - compounds in which one of R_1 , R'_1 , R_2 or R_3 is an hydrogen atom and each of the other ones is an alkyl group or an alkylene group; preferably R_1 and R'_1 represent a methyl group and R_2 represents $\text{CH}_3\text{-CO-(CH}_2\text{)}_2\text{-}$.

Preferred examples of soil additive according to the invention comprise at least the following compounds:


15 - gamma-caprolactone (GCL) of formula (II):



(II)

- 4-heptanolide (HTN) of formula III:


20



(III)

- gamma-octalactone (GOL) of formula IV:


25



(IV)

4

- 4-hydroxy-4-methyl-3-(3-oxobutyl)-valeric acid gamma lactone of formula V:




(V)

The soil additive according to the invention preferably contains at least gamma-caprolactone (GCL) or 4-heptanolide (HTN).

In certain situations, the skilled artisan can choose to add, in addition to a compound favouring the growth of NAHL-degrading bacteria, one of several strains of such bacteria. This can be the case, for example, in hydroponic cultures likely to be contaminated by plant pathogens, or in specific soils naturally devoid of NAHL-degrading bacteria. Hence, the present invention also pertains to a soil additive as described above, which further comprises at least one NAHL-degrading bacterial strain, especially a NAHL-degrading bacterial strain, the growth of which is stimulated by gamma-caprolactone (GCL) or 4-heptanolide (HTN). Non-limitative examples of NAHL-degrading bacterial strains that can be used according to this embodiment are bacteria which belong to a genus selected amongst the genera *Delftia*, *Rhodococcus*, *Ochrobactrum*, *Pseudomonas*, *Rhizobium*, *Sinorhizobium*, *Bacillus*, *Comamonas* and *Variovorax*. Preferred strains which can be incorporated to the soil additives of the invention are *Delftia acidovorans* and *Rhodococcus*, including the bacterial strain *Rhodococcus erythropolis* W2 (Uroz et al., 2003). Of course, several strains can be used in combination.

In one aspect, the invention provides a soil additive composition comprising at least one NAHL-degrading bacterial strain and at least one compound selected from the group consisting of the compounds of formula (I):




(I)

wherein R₁, R'₁, R₂ and R₃ are selected from the group consisting of a hydrogen atom, a linear or branched C₁-C₂₀ alkyl group, a linear or branched C₂-C₂₀ alkylene group carrying one or more double bonds and a C₁-C₂₀ alkoxy group, wherein at least one of R₁,

R₁, R₂ and R₃ is selected from the group consisting of an alkyl group, an alkylene group and an alkoxy group.

Another aspect of the present invention is the use of a compound of formula (I):



(I)

5

wherein R₁, R'₁, R₂ and R₃ represent a hydrogen atom, a linear or branched C₁-C₂₀ alkyl group, a linear or branched C₂-C₂₀ alkylene group carrying one or more double bonds, or a C₁-C₂₀ alkoxy group provided that at least one of R₁, R'₁, R₂ and R₃ is an alkyl group, an alkylene group, or an alkoxy group, for favouring the growth of a NAHL-degrading bacterial strain, in a complex bacterial consortium. Of course, the same particular and preferred compounds as described above for the soil additives are also a part of this aspect of the invention.

In particular, these compounds can be used for preventing biofilm formation and/or for preventing the NAHL-dependent expression of a virulence factor from a pathogenic bacterium in a complex environment. Non-limitative examples of such complex environments which can be treated according to the present invention are a soil, a surface likely to be colonized by bacteria, and the interior of a plumbing material, a pipe, a silo, a fermenter, or a colander.

According to another preferred aspect, the present invention pertains to the use of a soil additive as described above, for protecting plants from biofilm formation and/or from the NAHL-dependent expression of a virulence factor from a pathogenic bacterium. This aspect of the invention can be used for protecting plants either in hydroponic cultures, or in soil cultures. Examples of plant pathogens which can be targeted by the invention are: *Erwinia sp.*, especially *Erwinia carotovora* and *Erwinia chrysantemi*, *Burkholderia sp.*, especially *Burkholderia cepacia*, *Burkholderia glumae*, *Burkholderia plantarii*, *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, *Pantoea sterwartii* and *Ralstonia solanacearum*. Accordingly, non-limitative examples of plants which can benefit from the present invention are: potato plants, tomato plants, lettuce, rice, basil, beet.

A process for determining if a compound is able to stimulate NAHL-degrading bacteria in a bacterial consortium containing at least one *Delftia* strain and/or at least one *Rhodococcus* strain is also part of the present invention. Such a process can comprise the following steps:

30

5a

(i) incubating a sample of said bacterial consortium in a synthetic medium enriched with said compound to be tested;

5 (ii) incubating a sample of said bacterial consortium in the same synthetic medium as in (i), but enriched with one of the compounds of formula II-V and preferably with compound of formula II (GCL) or compound of formula III (HTN) in place of said compound to be tested;

(iii) incubating a sample of said bacterial consortium in the same synthetic medium as in (i), without addition of one of the compounds of formula II-V, nor of the compound to be tested; and

10 (iv) after an incubation time of at least 12h, preferably of at least 20h, comparing the ability of each of the consortia obtained in the conditions mentioned in (i), (ii) and (iii) to degrade a NAHL compound such as C6-HSL.

15 When performing this process, the skilled artisan will consider that the tested compound is able to stimulate NAHL-degrading bacteria if the bacterial consortium obtained in step (i) can degrade said NAHL compound at least as efficiently as the bacterial consortium obtained in step (ii). Of course, steps (i) to (iii) can be performed simultaneously or sequentially.

The invention is further illustrated by the following figures and examples.

Figure 1: Structure of a first set of molecules used in this study.

Hexanoylhomoserine lactone (C6-HSL) substitutions are as follows: R₁ is H and R₂ is CH₂-CH₂-CH₃. The other compounds are homoserine lactone (HSL), gamma-butyrolactone (GBL), gamma-valerolactone (GVL), gamma-caprolactone (GCL), 4-heptanolide (HTN), gamma-hydroxybutyrate (GHB), succinic semialdehyde (SSA), succinic acid (SA), succinic anhydride (SAN), 2-pyrrolidone (2PR), delta-valerolactame (DVM), 6-caprolactame (6CM), 6-caprolactone (6CL), L-homoserine (HS), 2-acetylbutyrolactone (ABL), tetrahydrofuran (THF), tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol (THA).

Figure 2: Kinetics of C6-HSL inactivation by bacterial consortia. The different bacterial consortia, which were obtained after enrichment on the indicated compounds as a sole carbon source (mannitol is abbreviated as Man), were tested for their capacity to inactivate C6-HSL signal (at 25 μ M at the beginning of the kinetics). At each point of the kinetics (0, 1, 2, 3, 5 and 24 hours), the uninoculated control (C) was used to estimate the percentage of residual C6-HSL. The kinetics which were simultaneously performed, are showed in a same graph. Bacteria came from unplanted soil (A, B, C, D) and rhizospheric soil (E). The values are the mean of 4 replicates.

Figure 3: Structure of a second set of molecules used in this study.

Abbreviations: UGL: Undecanoic γ -lactone; GOL: γ -Octalactone; WL: Whiskey lactone; GDL: γ -Decalactone; GNL: γ -Nonalactone; DNL: δ -Nonalactone; DDL: δ -Decalactone; ϵ -Decalactone.

Figure 4: Kinetics of NAHL inactivation by bacterial consortia. (A) NAHL is C6-HSL with an initial concentration of 25 μ M (B) NAHL is 3-oxo-octanoylhomoserine lactone (OC8-HSL), with an initial concentration of 200 nM. The different bacterial consortia obtained after enrichment on the indicated compounds as a sole carbon source (mannitol is abbreviated as Man) were tested to for their capacity to inactivate NAHL signal. At each time (0, 1, 3 and 5 hrs), the uninoculated control (C) was used to estimate the percentage of residual NAHL.

Figure 5: Inactivation of OC8-HSL by mannitol, 6CL, GCL and HTN consortia.

Figure 6: Maceration assay on potato tubers. Potato tubers were infected by NaCl solution as a negative control (a), or *Erwinia carotovora* 6276 (f) as a positive control, or bacterial consortia from enrichment procedure in the presence of Mannitol (b), 6CL (c), GCL (d), or HTN (e), or a mix of *Erwinia carotovora* 6276 and Mannitol (g), 6CL (h), GCL (i), HTN (j) consortia. A biocontrol activity was observed in the presence of the GCL- and HTN-consortia.

Figure 7: Percentage of NAHL-degrading and NAHL-producing strains in potato rhizosphere. The percentages of NAHL-degraders and NAHL-producers were estimated among cultivable bacteria (CFU/gram of fresh weight of roots), which were

recovered from potato rhizospheres before (D0; white columns), 14 days (D14; black columns) and 28 days (D28; grey columns) after the treatment with GCL or 6CL. An untreated batch was used as a control in the two independent sets of experiments. The mean of three replicates is shown. Statistically different values (Student *t* test; 0.05) are noted by different letters.

Figure 8: Concentration of GCL in hydroponic solution (A) and plant tissues (B) of hydroponic cultures of potato plants.

Figure 9: Percentage of NAHL-degrading and NAHL-producing strains in potato rhizosphere after treatment with HTN. The percentage of NAHL degraders and NAHL producers was estimated among cultivable bacteria (cfu/g of fresh weight of roots), which were recovered from potato rhizospheres before the treatment (D0; white columns), 14 days (D14; dark grey columns), 28 days (D28; black columns) after the first treatment and 42 days after the first treatment, *i.e.*, 14 days after the second treatment (D42; grey columns). The letters indicate statistically different values (Student's *t*-test; 0.05).

Figure 10: Assimilation of GCL and NAHL-degrading capacity. Each disk represents 90 isolates from untreated or GCL-treated (0.1 and 0.4 mL/L) batches 14 days (D14) and 28 days (D28) after the beginning of the treatment. Pieces indicate the proportion of bacteria which assimilated GCL as a sole carbon source and inactivate C6-HSL signal (hatched), those which only assimilated GCL (grey), those which only inactivate NAHL signal (black), and those which did not assimilate GCL, nor inactivated NAHL signal (white).

Figure 11: DGGE analyses. DGGE analyses were performed on two samples from a same batch, 14 days (panel A) and 28 days (panel B) after the application of GCL (0.1 and 0.4 mL/L). Numbered bands on pictures were analyzed by sequencing. For each sequence or group of sequences, one of the closest *rrs* sequences was indicated. It is identified by its Genbank number, the similarity indice (SI) (calculated at Ribosomal Database Project), and the name and taxonomical position of the bacteria of origin (Bact, Bacteria; BC, Bacteroidetes/Chlorobi group; Firm, Firmicutes; α , α -proteobacteria; β , β -proteobacteria; and γ , γ -proteobacteria).

Figure 12: Impact of GCL (0.4 mg/mL) treatment on potato plants culture in greenhouse during 56 days. The time scale (A) indicates the different GCL treatments (at day-0, day-18 and day-49) and the analyses of rhizospheric samples (day-0 before treatment, day-7, day-28, day-49 and day-56). The plants were cultivated in hydroponic batches from D0 to D17, then transferred into hydroponic system allowing the long term culture until tuberisation. At each time, three samples (D0 and D7) and four samples (D28, D49 and D56) were analyzed: the number of CFU/g of roots was estimated (B), as well as the percentage of acyl-HSL-producing (C) and acyl-HSL degrading strains. Different letters indicate a significative difference (Student's *t*-test; $\alpha=0.05$) between the two conditions, untreated and GCL-treated.

EXAMPLES

The experimental data which follow have been obtained by using the materials and methods described below:

Chemicals and bacterial media

5 All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich-Fluka. Bacterial rich media were King B (King *et al.*, 1954), Tryptic soy agar (TSA) (AES, France) and TY (0.5% tryptone, 0.3% yeast extract). The minimal medium was AB (Chilton *et al.*, 1974), in which ammonium chloride (1 g/L) was used as a sole nitrogen source and mannitol (2 g/L) as a sole carbon source, excepted when another carbon source is specified. Agar was added at 15g/L.
10 Fluorescent Pseudomonads were counted under UV (312 nm), on King B agar plates supplemented with ampicillin (40 mg/L) and chloramphenicol (13 mg/L).

Bacterial enrichment and isolation from soils

Non sterile soil from the CNRS experimental field (Mérantaise) at Gif-sur-Yvette (France) was mixed with sterile sand Loire River and distributed into pots (20 cm in
15 diameter). Some of them were sown with disinfected seeds of *Nicotiana tabacum* cv. Samson. Pots were randomly placed in the greenhouse (CNRS, Gif-sur-Yvette), under a long day conditions (16h) at 17°C (night) and 24°C (day), and watered daily with tap water. One gram of unplanted or rhizospheric (3-months aged plants) soil was resuspended in 10 mL of sterile 0.8% NaCl. Soil suspensions were diluted (1/50) into AB media supplemented with actidione
20 (100 mg/L) and with mannitol or one of the 17 molecules showed in the figure 1. After a 3-days incubation at 25°C under shaking (180 rpm), the cell cultures were diluted (1/50) into fresh AB media for an additional 2-days enrichment step. At this stage, bacterial consortia were washed twice in NaCl (0.8%), their cell density was adjusted upon OD at 600 nm, and they capacity to inactivate C6-HSL was compared. Bacteria from these consortia were then
25 purified by two successive isolations on AB agar plates supplemented with the corresponding carbon source, and by a third isolation on TY agar plates. Such isolates were tested for NAHL-production and NAHL-degradation.

Bacteria isolation from batch experiments

The non sterile batches (40x60x8cm) contained 13L of the nutritive solution
30 Hydrobloom (Cellmax, UK) with Nitrogen at 0.80 g/L, Phosphore at 0.56 g/L and Potassium at 1.48 g/L as major components. The solution was diluted from a concentrated stock solution (x250) with non sterile water from the public water system. One hundred plants of *Solanum tuberosum* var. Allians, which were recovered from cultures performed under sterile conditions, were placed into holes (3 cm space to each other) of batch covers. Planted batches
35 were placed in the greenhouse (Comité Nord Plants de Pomme de Terre, Bretteville-du-Grand-Caux) under natural light at 10-15°C (night) and 25-30°C (day). Four weeks later, 6CL (0.1 mL/L) and GCL (0.1 and 0.4 mL/L) were added (D0), and the bacterial populations were analyzed 14 days (D14) and 28 days (D28) after the beginning of the treatment. An untreated

batch was used as a control. One gram of roots (fresh weight) was resuspended in 10 mL of sterile MgSO₄ 10 mM, diluted and spread on TSA and King B plates to isolate total cultivable bacteria and fluorescent *Pseudomonas*, respectively. Three samples were analyzed from each batch at each time D0, D14 and D28; from each sample, thirty isolates from TSA plates and
5 thirty fluorescent isolates from King B plates were individually tested from production and inactivation of NAHL signal.

Identification of NAHL-producers and NAHL-degraders

Bacterial isolates grown in 96-microwell plates were individually tested from production of NAHL with the *A. tumefaciens* biosensor NT1 (pZNLR4) and the
10 inactivation of the C6-HSL signal, as described previously (d'Angelo-Picard *et al.* 2004). The growth on AB medium with GCL as a sole carbon source was monitored in 96-microwell plates at 600 nm.

rrs identification and DGGE (Denaturing Gel Gradient Electrophoresis) analysis

15 The 5'-end of the *rrs* gene was amplified with primers pA (5'-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG) (SEQ ID NO:1) and 518r (5'-ATTACCGCGGCTGCTGG) (SEQ ID NO:2), and sequenced with pA primer by GenomeExpress (Meylan, France). At least 400 bp were submitted to the NCBI database. Although some sequence comparisons authorized identification of isolates at the species level,
20 only the genus level was retained in this study for homogeneity.

The structure of the bacterial population associated with roots system (ca. 10 g) was analyzed by DGGE of PCR-amplified *rrs* region (position 341-534 of *E.coli* sequence). Two samples were analyzed from each batch. The DGGE analysis was performed by Microbial Insights (Rockford, TN, USA), as previously described (d'Angelo-Picard *et al.*,
25 2004). Band positions in each line were converted to 0 (absence) and 1 (presence) value and assembled in a matrix. Profile similarity was calculated with the Dice algorithm using DistAFLP software. Sequence identification of selected bands was performed using the sequence match facility of the Ribosomal Database Project, according to NCBI taxonomy.

Example 1: Enrichment for bacterial consortia that inactivate NAHL signal

Example 1A: first set of compounds

Seventeen compounds, which show some structural or metabolic relations with the conserved core of NAHL (Figure 1), were used individually as a sole carbon source
35 in synthetic medium inoculated with one gram of unplanted soil. After two cycles of enrichment, the resulting bacterial consortia were compared for their capacity to inactivate C6-HSL (Figure 2 A-D). With the exception of consortia obtained from enrichments on

succinic anhydride (SAN) and succinic semialdehyde (SSA), all consortia exhibited a stronger NAHL degrading activity than that of consortia obtained from enrichments performed using mannitol, a sugar analogue structurally unrelated to NAHL. The bacterial consortia that grew on succinic acid (SA), 2-acetylbutyrolactone (ABL), tetrahydrofuran (THF), 6-caprolactame (6CM), delta-valerolactame (DVM), and 2-pyrrolidone (2PR) inactivated from 50 to 80% of the introduced C6-HSL after an incubation time of 24 hours, while over than 90% of C6-HSL signal was obliterated by consortia obtained from enrichments on gamma-butyrolactone (GBL), gamma-hydroxybutyrate (GHB), gamma-valerolactone (GVL), gamma-caprolactone (GCL), 6-caprolactone (6CL), 4-heptanolide (HTN), homoserine lactone (HSL), homoserine (HS) and tetrahydrofuran (THA). Amongst these, the HTN, 6CL, GHB, and THA consortia inactivated more than 90% of the C6-HSL signal after an incubation time of 5 hours. Similar results were observed with bacterial consortia originating from rhizospheric soil (Figure 2E). In this case, the HTN, THA, 6CL and GCL consortia were the most active towards C6-HSL.

Example 1B: second set of compounds

Additional compounds (Figure 3) were then individually tested as sole carbon source of soil bacterial consortia, as described above. After two cycles of enrichment, the resulting bacterial consortia were compared for their capacity to inactivate C6-HSL (Figure 4 A) or OC8-HSL (Figure 4 B).

The most efficient compounds for obtaining NAHL-degrading consortia were HTN, GCL and GOL.

Example 2: Structure of the bacterial consortia inactivating NAHL signal

The bacteria recovered from the mannitol, GBL, GCL, 6CL, HTN, THA, and THF consortia were individually tested for their capacity to produce and inactivate NAHL signals. To this purpose, a collection of 280 isolates which were recovered from 280 independent enrichments (*i.e.*, only one isolate was selected from each of the cultures), was screened for the above two phenotypes. NAHL-producing bacteria were detected among mannitol consortia (10% of the tested strains), as well as GBL (12%), THA (13%) and THF (41%) consortia, while none was present among isolates from GCL, 6CL and HTN consortia. NAHL-inactivating bacteria were recovered from GCL, 6CL, HTN, THA, and THF consortia. GCL, 6CL and HTN consortia showed the higher abundance (40-50%) of NAHL-degrading bacteria. Fifty-three of them were characterized by determining the sequence of the 5' region of their *rrs* gene. All seventeen isolates from HTN consortia belonged to the *Rhodococcus* genus, while those from 6CL and GCL consortia were related to the *Delftia* (80% of the identified strains), *Chryseobacterium* (10%), *Rhodococcus* (7%), and *Ralstonia* (3%) genera.

Example 3: Biocontrol activity of the bacterial consortia against***Erwinia carotovora***

The Mannitol-, 6CL-, GCL-, and HTN-consortia were compared for their capacity to inactivated OC8-HSL, the major NAHL produced by the plant pathogen *Erwinia carotovora subsp. atroseptica* 6276, as well as for their biocontrol activity against this pathogen in potato maceration assay. In the presence of the 6CL-, GCL-, and HTN-consortia, the C6-HSL and OC8-HSL signals were inactivated more rapidly than in the presence of the Mannitol consortia (Figure 5). Moreover, the GCL- and HTN-consortia showed biocontrol activity against *E. carotovora* 6276, while the 6CL- and Mannitol-consortia did not (Figure 6).

Example 4: Growth stimulation of NAHL-degrading bacteria in**hydroponic plant cultures**

The efficiency of GCL and 6CL and HTN treatments in hydroponic cultures of *Solanum tuberosum* var. Allians was evaluated. The plants were cultivated *in vitro* under sterile conditions, then placed into batches in a greenhouse at Bretteville-du-Grand-Caux (France) in a non-sterile environment. Especially, a non-sterile nutritive solution was used and served as a source of naturally occurring bacteria. In a first experiment (without plants), two batches of nutritive solution were supplemented with 6CL or GCL (1mL/L). The ratio of NAHL-degrading bacteria in the nutritive solution was evaluated before the treatment and 14-day later. This percentage increased from 10 ± 2 to 30 ± 2 in the case of the 6CL-treated batch and from 10 ± 2 to 70 ± 2 in the GCL-treated batch. From the 6CL- and GCL-treated batches, 10 NAHL-degrading bacteria were identified by their *rrs* sequence: 9 belonged to the *Delftia* genus, the other one to the *Rhodococcus* genus.

Because young plants are sensitive to high concentrations (1 mL/L) of GCL and 6CL (data not shown), a second preliminary experiment was conducted in planted batches supplemented with GCL and 6CL (0.1 mL/L). An increase of the ratio of NAHL-degrading bacteria was observed 28 days after the GCL amendment but not after that of 6CL (Table 1). Among the 32 NAHL-degrading bacteria that were characterized by *rrs* sequencing (Table 1), most of them also belonged to the *Delftia* and *Rhodococcus* genera. At the end of experiment (D28), a higher diversity of NAHL-degrading bacteria would appeared in the untreated batch (7 identified genera) as compared to that observed in the 6CL- and GCL-treated batches (3 identified genera in each batch). Taken together, the results that were obtained with unplanted and planted batches in this set of experiments suggested that GCL supplementation may increase the ratio of NAHL-degrading bacteria, including *Delftia* and *Rhodococcus*, among the bacterial community of potato rhizosphere.

Table 1: Level and diversity of NAHL-degrading rhizobacteria after 6CL and GCL treatments. The asterisk indicates that D0 and D28 mean values are statistically different (Student t test; 0.05).

	Untreated batch		6CL (0.1 mL/L)		GCL (0.1 mL/L)	
	D0	D28	D0	D28	D0	D28
Log CFU/gram of root (fresh weight)	7.8±7.7	10.2±9.9	8.0±7.6	10.5±10.1	8.0±7.5	10.5±9.8
NAHL producers (%)	5.6±1.2	7.8±1.5	7.8±1.2	5.6±0.6	6.7±1.0	11.1±1.5
NAHL degraders (%)	14.4±3.2	13.3±0.1	8.9±1.5*	6.7±1.7*	16.7±0.1*	33.3±4.0*
<i>rrs</i> -identified						
NAHL-degraders:	-	1	-	4	-	-
<i>Agrobacterium</i>	-	1	-	-	-	1
<i>Bacillus</i>	3	1	-	-	-	-
<i>Bosea</i>	3	1	7	2	3	2
<i>Delftia</i>	-	1	-	-	-	-
<i>Moraxella</i>	1	2	1	2	2	-
<i>Pseudomonas</i>	-	1	-	-	-	-
<i>Sphingomonas</i>	1	-	-	-	3	5
<i>Rhodococcus</i>	8	8	8	8	8	8
Total						

To validate the above preliminary experiments, two extensive and independent analyses of the impact of 6CL and GCL treatments on planted batches were performed under similar conditions in two subsequent sets of experiments. Before (D0) and, at 14 days (D14) and 28 days (D28) following the applications of 6CL (0.1 mL/L) and GCL (0.1 and 0.4 mL/L), the total number of cultivable bacteria was determined, as well as the percentage of NAHL-degrading and NAHL-producing isolates. An untreated batch was used as a control. In the two experiments, cell density (CFU/g of root) consistently increased 14 days after the application of GCL at 0.4 mL/L (Figure 7). However, no repeatable modification of the ratio of NAHL-producing bacteria was observed. In contrast, an increase of the ratio of NAHL-degrading bacteria was constantly noticed 14 days after GCL (0.1 and 0.4 mL/L) application. In the case of the higher concentration of GCL, such an increase was still observed 28 days after the treatment in both experiments. In the untreated and 6CL-treated batches, the proportion of NAHL-degrading bacteria remained at a quite similar level during all experiments, suggesting that, to the contrary of GCL, 6CL had a no specific effect on the NAHL-degrading bacteria of the investigated rhizosphere.

In the case of the GCL assay, the level of GCL in untreated and treated batches in both hydroponic solution and plant tissues was evaluated by HPLC-MS analysis. The GCL rapidly decreased in the course of the time (Figure 8). Fourteen days after the application of GCL, the GCL concentration in hydroponic solution was lower than 10^{-5} mg/mL, which is the lowest detectable concentration of GCL in this assay. In untreated plant

tissues, GCL was detected at a low level, from 2 to $4 \cdot 10^{-5}$ mg/mL. Twenty-eight days after the application of GCL, the GCL concentration was similar in both treated and untreated samples.

An additional experiment was conducted to determine the impact of HTN (0.1 and 0.4 mL/L) treatment on planted batches, under the same conditions as described above. In this experiment, a second treatment was performed 28 days after the first treatment, and the total number of cultivable bacteria, as well as the percentage of NAHL-degrading and NAHL-producing isolates were determined 14 days after this treatment, *i.e.*, 42 days after the first treatment (D42). This assay confirmed the ability of HTN to stimulate the growth of NAHL-degrading bacteria (Figure 9).

Example 5: NAHL-inactivation and assimilation of GCL are partly linked

The above observation prompted the evaluation of the hypothesis of a metabolic link between the ability to assimilate GCL as a sole carbon source and the capacity to inactivate NAHL. Among the collected isolates from the untreated and GCL-treated batches (at D14 and D28), the capability of bacteria to assimilate GCL as a sole carbon source was determined strain by strain. Results were cross-examined with respect to the ability of each strain to inactivate (or not) NAHL signal. From this analysis (Figure 10), four categories of bacterial isolates emerged: bacteria that do neither assimilate GCL, nor inactivate NAHL signal; those only inactivating NAHL signal; those only assimilating GCL; and those both assimilating GCL and inactivating NAHL. The later group was only detected in the treated batches, in which all but a few of the NAHL-degrading bacteria were also GCL-assimilating bacteria. A link between assimilation of GCL and NAHL-inactivation was therefore established.

Example 6: Diversity of the NAHL-degrading and GCL-assimilating bacteria

The impact of GCL and 6CL on the diversity of NAHL-degrading strains was assessed by comparing 262 NAHL-degrading strains sampled from the two first sets of experiments, at the beginning of the experiments (D0) and after 14 and 28 days. The isolates were identified by *rrs*-sequencing (Table 2). At D0, most of the NAHL-degrading isolates were identified as *Delftia*. However, at D14 and D28, the *Agrobacterium* isolates dominated in untreated batches, while they were not detected in the treated batches. In those, the more frequently counted bacteria were *Delftia* and *Rhodococcus*. All these *Delftia* and *Rhodococcus* isolates grew on GCL as a sole carbon source. However, sixteen isolates which assimilated GCL and did not inactivate NAHL signal (Figure 10), were identified as *Acidovorax* (7 isolates), *Microbacterium* (3), *Pseudomonas* (2), *Azospirillum* (1), *Staphylococcus* (1), *Flavobacterium* (1), and *Achromobacter* (1).

Table 2: Diversity of NAHL-degrading rhizobacteria after 6CL and GCL treatments

	Two first sets of experiments				
	D0	D14 and D28			
	All batches	Untreated	6CL 0.1	GCL 0.1	GCL 0.4
<i>rrs</i> -identified NAHL-degraders:	28	30	18	80	106
<i>Agrobacterium</i>	4	19	-	-	-
<i>Delftia</i>	19	3	9	16	9
<i>Pseudomonas</i>	1	1	-	1	1
<i>Rhizobium</i>	3	-	1	1	-
<i>Rhodococcus</i>	-	6	6	60	96
Others	1	1	2	2	-

To analyze the impact of HTN on the diversity of NAHL-degrading strains, 50 NAHL-degrading strains were sampled from the third set of experiments, at the beginning of the experiments (D0) and after 14 and 42 days. The isolates were identified by *rrs*-sequencing (Table 3). At D0, all of the 5 NAHL-degrading isolates analyzed were identified as *Agrobacterium*. However, at D14 and D42, the *Rhodococcus* isolates dominated in treated batches, in which *Delftia* and *Sinorhizobium* were also detected.

Table 3 Diversity of NAHL-degrading rhizobacteria after HTN treatments

	D0	D14 and D42		
	All batches	Untreated	HTN 0.1	HTN 0.4
	<i>rrs</i> -identified NAHL-degraders:	5	0	17
<i>Agrobacterium</i>	5	-	-	-
<i>Delftia</i>	-	-	1	-
<i>Sinorhizobium</i>	-	-	-	1
<i>Rhodococcus</i>	-	-	16	27

10 **Example 7: Impact of GCL and 6CL on other bacterial groups**

In addition to the above data that deal with cultivable bacteria, DGGE analyses were performed on D14 and D28 samples (GCL-treated and untreated batches) from the second set of experiments mentioned above. This approach revealed a drastic remodelling of bacterial populations after GCL application (Figure 11). Such modifications were most visible in the presence of the highest concentration of GCL (0.4 mL/L). Some identified bands were more intense, or only present, in the batch treated with GCL at 0.4 mL/L. The nucleotide sequence of these bands was related to the *Flavobacterium*, *Acidovorax*, *Thermomonas* genera. Some others bands were more intense -or only present- in the untreated batch. In this case, they were generated from bacteria close to *Flexibacter*, *Acidovorax*, *Xanthomonas*, *Thermomonas* genera and to an uncharacterized *Rhodocyclaceae* bacterium. Some different bands, which were related to *Thermomonas* and *Acidovorax* genera, were absent or present in untreated and GCL-treated samples. This feature suggests a fine modification of the structure of bacterial populations at sub-genus level. Remarkably, the *rrs*-sequences belonging to

Acidovorax and *Flavobacterium* genera were identified among the bacteria which assimilated GCL and did not inactivate NAHL-signal (Figure 10), as well as among the DGGE bands that appeared in the batch treated with GCL (Figure 11).

Example 8: Impact of GCL treatment on potato plants culture in hydroponic system allowing the long-term culture

Potato plants cultured in greenhouse were treated with GCL (0.4 mg m/L) at D0, D18 and D49, and rhizospheric samples were analyzed at D0 (before treatment), D7, D28, D49 and D56. The plants were cultivated in hydroponic batches from D0 to D17, then transferred into hydroponic system allowing the long term culture until tuberisation. Figure 12 shows a clear effect of GCL treatment on the percentage of acyl-HSL degraders at D28, D49 and D56.

Discussion on the above examples

Bacteria that inactivate NAHL signal are taxonomically diverse (α -, β -, γ -proteobacteria, Firmicutes, and Actinobacteria) and may represent 5 to 15% of total cultivable bacteria in the rhizospheric soils (d'Angelo-Picard *et al.*, 2004; d'Angelo-Picard *et al.*, 2005), as well as in hydroponic plant cultures (Figure 7). The NAHL-degrading bacteria were proposed to play a role in the modulation of quorum-sensing communication *in planta* (Chevrot *et al.*, 2006), as well as to obliterate the antibiotic activity assigned to NAHL-derived compounds (Kaufmann *et al.*, 2005). In addition, these bacteria constitute potential biocontrol agents permitting to protect plants from the NAHL-dependent expression of some virulence factors from several pathogens such as *Erwinia* (Uroz *et al.*, 2003; Dong *et al.*, 2004). This study demonstrates that the application of degradable chemicals might be a valuable tool to stimulate the growth of NAHL-degrading bacteria and therefore increase the percentage of such bacteria in the rhizosphere of hydroponic cultures of *Solanum tuberosum*.

Among 25 assayed molecules (Figures 1 and 3) structurally related to the conserved core of NAHL, GCL, 6CL, HTN and GOL stimulated the growth of NAHL-degrading bacteria after enrichment procedures from soil and rhizospheric samples (Figures 2 and 4). Moreover, when GCL or HTN was applied to hydroponic plant cultures, a significant increase of the percentage of NAHL-degrading bacteria among total cultivable bacteria was observed (Figures 7 and 9). Most of these bacteria, the growth of which was stimulated, were also able to use GCL as a sole carbon source (Figure 10). They belong to the *Rhodococcus* and *Delftia* genera, most probably to the *Rhodococcus erythropolis* and *Delftia acidovorans* species (Tables 2 and 3), as suggested by the partial sequence of their *rrs* gene. Interestingly, isolates of these two species were already known to inactivate NAHL signals. In the case of *Delftia* genus, little is known about the enzymatic properties that are required for NAHL inactivation (Jafra *et al.*, 2006) ; only two *rrs* partial sequences of NAHL-degrading strains A207 and A317 were deposited in Genbank (AY580081 and AY581676) by S. Jafra, P. Garbeva and J.M. Van der Wolf. In contrast, the NAHL-degrading strains of *Rhodococcus*

genus are of a particular interest (Uroz *et al.*, 2003; Park *et al.*, 2006), because they can modify the structure of NAHL by at least three enzymatic activities: a lactonase that opens the GBL-ring of NAHL (Park *et al.*, 2006); an acylase that releases HS and a fatty acid, and an oxidoreductase that reduces the 3-oxo substitution to an hydroxyl on some NAHL (Uroz *et al.*, 2003; Uroz *et al.*, 2005).

A more unexpected effect of the GCL application is the stimulation of the growth of a restricted number of NAHL-degrading species, especially *Rhodococcus erythropolis* and *Delftia acidovorans*, as evaluated in front of the wide diversity of NAHL-degrading bacteria in the soil and rhizosphere (α -, β -, γ -proteobacteria, Firmicutes, and Actinobacteria). These two species emerged after application of GCL in two distinct environments, soil and hydroponic cultures. The efficiency of the GCL application could therefore be dependent upon the natural occurrence of these two species in the amended environments. Besides, in natural environments from where *Rhodococcus* and *Delftia* are absent, the inoculation of selected isolates of these genera appears feasible. However, *Rhodococcus erythropolis* and *Delftia acidovorans* are very common in soils and rhizosphere, including soils in which pesticides (such as 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetate), halogenated compounds, and oil pollutants were introduced (Muller *et al.*, 2001; Uroz *et al.*, 2003; Hamamura *et al.*, 2006). Whether these xenobiotics increase the emergence of NAHL-degrading bacteria in such environments remains open to investigation.

In addition to a significant stimulation of the growth of some *Rhodococcus* and *Delftia* strains, a decrease of the percentage of *A. tumefaciens* isolates among the NAHL-degrading rhizobacteria was observed (Table 2). This feature suggests that both the level and diversity of NAHL-degrading bacteria was modified after GCL-treatments. In contrast, no modification of level of NAHL-degrading bacteria was noticed among fluorescent Pseudomonads which represent about 10% of the cultivable bacteria in the rhizosphere of hydroponic culture of *Solanum tuberosum*. DGGE analysis also revealed significant modifications of the structure of bacterial communities in GCL-treated batches (Figure 11). The identified genera the growth of which was stimulated by GCL applications were close to the *Flavobacterium*, *Acidovorax*, *Thermomonas*. However, the capacity of all these DGGE-identified bacteria to hydrolyze GCL and/or NAHL could not be predicted, and some bacterial isolates, which assimilated GCL and did not inactivate NAHL-signal (Figure 10), were identified after *rrs*-sequencing as *Acidovorax* and *Flavobacterium*. Bacteria assimilating GCL, but inactive towards NAHL, are amongst the most undesirable bacteria as they disturb the effect of GCL as a stimulator of the growth of NAHL-degrading bacteria.

REFERENCES

- Chevrot R., Rosen R., Haudecoeur E., Cirou A., Shelp B.J., Ron E., and Faure D. (2006) GABA controls the level of quorum-sensing signal in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **103**: 7460-7464.
- 5 Chilton M., Currier TC., Farrand SK., Bendich AJ., Gordon MP., Nester EW. (1974) *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* DNA and PS8 bacteriophage DNA not detected in crown gall tumors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **71**:3672-3676.
- d'Angelo-Picard, C., Faure D., Carlier, A., Uroz, S., Raffoux, A., Fray, R. and Dessaux, Y. (2004) Dynamics of bacterial populations in the rhizosphere of tobacco plants producing - or not - the quorum sensing signals hexanoyl- and 3-oxo-hexanoyl-homoserine lactone. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **51**: 19-29.
- 10 d'Angelo-Picard, C., Faure D., Penot, I. and Dessaux, Y. (2005) Diversity of N-acylhomoserine lactone-producing and -degrading bacteria in soil and tobacco rhizosphere. *Environ Microbiol* **7**: 1796-1808.
- 15 Dong, Y.H., Xu, J.L., Li, X.Z., and Zhang, L.H. (2000) AiiA, an enzyme that inactivates the acylhomoserine lactone quorum-sensing signal and attenuates the virulence of *Erwinia carotovora*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **97**: 3526-3531.
- Dong, Y.H., Wang, L.H., Xu, J.L., Zhang, H.B., Zhang, X.F., and Zhang, L. H. (2001) Quenching quorum-sensing-dependent bacterial infection by an *N*-acyl homoserine lactonase. *Nature* **411**: 813-817.
- 20 Dong, YH, Zhang, XF, Xu, JL, and Zhang, LH. (2004) Insecticidal *Bacillus thuringiensis* silences *Erwinia carotovora* virulence by a new form of microbial antagonism, signal interference. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **70** :954-60.
- Dong YH and Zhang LH. (2005) Quorum sensing and quorum-quenching enzymes. *J Microbiol*. Feb;**43** Spec No:101-9.
- 25 Flagan, S., Ching, W.K., and Leadbetter, J.R. (2003) *Arthrobacter* strain VAI-A utilizes acyl-homoserine lactone inactivation products and stimulates quorum signal biodegradation by *Variovorax paradoxus*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **69**: 909-916.
- Fuqua, W.C., Winans, S.C., and Greenberg, E.P. (1994) Quorum sensing in bacteria: the LuxR/LuxI family of cell density-responsive transcriptional regulators. *J Bacteriol*. **176**: 269-275.
- 30 Hamamura, N., Olson, S.H., Ward, D.M., and Inskip, W.P. (2006) Microbial population dynamics associated with crude-oil biodegradation in diverse soils. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **72**: 6316-24.
- 35 Hoang TT and Schweizer HP. (1999) Characterization of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* enoyl-acyl carrier protein reductase (FabI): a target for the antimicrobial triclosan and its role in acylated homoserine lactone synthesis. *J Bacteriol*. **181**(17):5489-97.

Hu, J.Y., Fan, Y., Lin, Y.H., Zhang, H.B., Ong, S.L., Dong, N., Xu, J.L., Ng, W.J., and Zhang, L.H. (2003) Microbial diversity and prevalence of virulent pathogens in biofilms developed in a water reclamation system. *Res Microbiol* **154**: 623-629.

5 Huang, J.J., Han, J.I., Zhang, L.H., and Leadbetter, J.R. (2003) Utilization of acyl-homoserine lactone quorum signals for growth by a soil pseudomonad and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **69**: 5941-5949.

Jafra S, Przysowa J, Czajkowski R, Michta A, Garbeva P, Van der Wolf JM. (2006) Detection and characterization of bacteria from the potato rhizosphere degrading N-acetyl-homoserine lactone. *Can J Microbiol*. Oct;**52**(10):1006-1015.

10 Kaufmann, G.F., Sartorio, R., Lee, S.H., Rogers, C.J., Meijler, M.M., Moss, J.A., Clapham, B., Brogan, A.P., Dickerson, T.J., and Janda, K.D. (2005) Revisiting quorum sensing: discovery of additional chemical and biological functions for 3-oxo-N-acylhomoserine lactones. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **102**: 309-314.

15 King, E.O., Ward, M.K., and Raney, D.E. (1954) Two simple media for the demonstration of pyocyanin and fluorescin. *J Lab Clin Med* **44**:301-307.

Kluepfel, D.A. (1993) The behavior and tracking of bacteria in the rhizosphere, *Annu Rev Phytopathol* **31**: 441-472.

20 Leadbetter, J.R., and Greenberg, E.P. (2000) Metabolism of acyl-homoserine lactone quorum-sensing signals by *Variovorax paradoxus*. *J Bacteriol* **182**: 6921-6926.

Lee, S.J., Park, S.Y., Lee, J.J., Yum, D.Y., Koo, B.T., and Lee, J.K. (2002) Genes encoding the N-acyl homoserine lactone-degrading enzyme are widespread in many subspecies of *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **68**: 3919-3924.

25 Lemanceau, P., and Alabouvette, C. (1993) Suppression of fusarium wilts by fluorescent pseudomonads: mechanisms and applications. *Biocontrol Science and Technology* **3**: 219-234.

Lin, Y.H., Xu, J.L., Hu, J., Wang, L.H., Ong, S.L., Leadbetter, J.R., and Zhang, L.H. (2003) Acyl-homoserine lactone acylase from *Ralstonia* strain XJ12B represents a novel and potent class of quorum-quenching enzymes. *Mol Microbiol* **47**: 849-860.

30 Manefield M, de Nys R, Kumar N, Read R, Givskov M, Steinberg P, Kjelleberg S. (1999) Evidence that halogenated furanones from *Delisea pulchra* inhibit acylated homoserine lactone (AHL)-mediated gene expression by displacing the AHL signal from its receptor protein. *Microbiology*. Feb;**145** (Pt 2): 283-91.

35 Molina, L., Constantinescu, F., Michel, L., Reimann, C., Duffy, B., and Défago, G. (2003) Degradation of pathogen quorum-sensing molecules by soil bacteria: a preventive and curative biological control mechanism. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **1522**: 1-11.

Muller, R.H., Kleinstauber, S., and Babel, W. (2001) Physiological and genetic characteristics of two bacterial strains utilizing phenoxypropionate and phenoxyacetate herbicides. *Microbiol. Res.* **156**:121-31.

5 Park, S.Y., Lee, S.J., Oh, T.K., Oh, J.W., Koo, B.T., Yum, D.Y., and Lee, J.K. (2003) AhlD, an N-acylhomoserine lactonase in *Arthrobacter* sp., and predicted homologues in other bacteria. *Microbiology* **149**: 1541-1550.

Park, S.Y., Kang, H.O., Jang, H.S., Lee, J.K., Koo, B.T., and Yum, D.Y. (2005) Identification of extracellular N-acylhomoserine lactone acylase from a *Streptomyces* sp. and its application to quorum quenching. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **71**: 2632-2641.

10 Park, S.Y., Hwang, B.J., Shin, M.H., Kim, J.A., Kim, H.K., and Lee, J.K. (2006) N -acylhomoserine lactonase-producing *Rhodococcus* spp. with different AHL-degrading activities. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **261**: 102-108.

Rasmussen, T.B., Givskov, M. (2006) Quorum-sensing inhibitors as anti-pathogenic drugs. *Int J Med Microbiol* **296**: 149-161.

15 Uroz, S., d'Angelo-Picard, C., Carlier, A., Elasri, M., Sicot, C., Petit, A., Oger, P., Faure, D., and Dessaux, Y. (2003) Novel bacteria degrading N-acylhomoserine lactones and their use as quenchers of quorum-sensing-regulated functions of plant-pathogenic bacteria. *Microbiology* **149**: 1981-1989.

20 Uroz S., Chhabra S.R., Camara M., Williams P., Oger P. and Dessaux Y. (2005) N-acylhomoserine lactone quorum-sensing molecules are modified and degraded by *Rhodococcus erythropolis* W2 by both amidolytic and novel oxidoreductase activities.

Microbiology **151**: 3313-3322.

Weller, D.M. (1988) Biological control of soilborne plant pathogens in the rhizosphere with bacteria. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* **26**: 379-407

25 Whitehead, N.A., Barnard, A.M., Slater, H., Simpson, N.J., and Salmond, G.P.C. (2001) Quorum-sensing in Gram-negative bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **25**: 365-404.


Zhang, L.H. (2003) Quorum-quenching and proactive host defense. *Trends Plant Sci* **8**: 238-244.

30 Zhang, H.B., Wang, L.H., and Zhang, L.H. (2002) Genetic control of quorum-sensing signal turnover in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **99**: 4638-4643.

CLAIMS:

1. A soil additive composition comprising at least one NAHL-degrading bacterial strain and at least one compound selected from the group consisting of the compounds of formula

(I):



wherein R_1 , R'_1 , R_2 and R_3 are selected from the group consisting of a hydrogen atom, a linear or branched C_1 - C_{20} alkyl group, a linear or branched C_2 - C_{20} alkylene group carrying one or more double bonds and a C_1 - C_{20} alkoxy group, wherein at least one of R_1 , R'_1 , R_2 and R_3 is selected from the group consisting of an alkyl group, an alkylene group and an alkoxy group.

2. The soil additive composition of claim 1, wherein R_1 , R'_1 , R_2 or R_3 is a linear or branched C_2 - C_{14} alkyl group.

3. The soil additive composition of claim 2, wherein R_1 , R'_1 , R_2 or R_3 is a linear or branched C_2 - C_8 alkyl group.


4. The soil additive composition of claim 3, wherein R_1 , R'_1 , R_2 or R_3 is a linear or branched C_2 - C_6 alkyl group.

5. The soil additive composition of claim 1, wherein three of R_1 , R'_1 , R_2 and R_3 are hydrogen atoms and the other one is an alkyl group or an alkylene group.

6. The soil additive composition of claim 5, wherein R_1 is an alkyl group of formula $CH_3-(CH_2)_n-$ with $1 \leq n \leq 6$.

7. The soil additive composition of any one of claims 1 to 6, wherein the at least one compound is at least one compound selected from the group consisting of:

- gamma-caprolactone (GCL) of formula (II):




(II)

- 4-heptanolide (HTN) of formula III:



(III), and

- gamma-octalactone (GOL) of formula IV:



(IV).


8. The soil additive composition of any one of claims 1 to 7, wherein said at least one NAHL-degrading bacterial strain belongs to a genus selected from the group consisting of the genera *Delftia*, *Rhodococcus*, *Ochrobactrum*, *Pseudomonas*, *Rhizobium*, *Sinorhizobium*, *Bacillus*, *Comamonas*, and *Variovorax*.

9. The soil additive composition of claim 8, which comprises at least one *Delftia acidovorans* strain and/or at least one *Rhodococcus erythropolis* strain.

10. The soil additive composition of any one of claims 1 to 9, which comprises at least one compound selected from the group consisting of gamma-caprolactone (GCL) and 4-heptanolide (HTN), and at least one NAHL-degrading bacterial strain, wherein the growth of said NAHL-degrading bacterial strain is stimulated by said compound.

11. The soil additive composition of claim 10, wherein said bacterial strain is selected from the group consisting of *Delftia*, *Rhodococcus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Rhizobium* and *Sinorhizobium*.

12. Use of a compound of formula (I):



(I)


wherein R₁, R'₁, R₂ and R₃ represent a hydrogen atom, a linear or branched C₁-C₂₀ alkyl group, a linear or branched C₂-C₂₀ alkylene group carrying one or more double bonds, or a C₁-C₂₀ alkoxy group, wherein at least one of R₁, R'₁, R₂ and R₃ is an alkyl group, an alkylene group, or an alkoxy group,

for favouring the growth of a NAHL-degrading bacterial strain, in a complex bacterial consortium.

13. The use of claim 12, wherein R₁, R'₁, R₂ or R₃ is a linear or branched C₂-C₁₄ alkyl group.

14. The use of claim 13, wherein R_1 , R'_1 , R_2 or R_3 is a linear or branched C_2 - C_8 alkyl group.
15. The use of claim 14, wherein R_1 , R'_1 , R_2 or R_3 is a linear or branched C_2 - C_6 alkyl group.
16. The use of claim 12, wherein three of R_1 , R'_1 , R_2 and R_3 are hydrogen atoms and the other one is an alkyl group or an alkylene group.
17. The use of claim 16, wherein R_1 represents an alkyl group of formula $CH_3-(CH_2)_n-$ with $1 \leq n \leq 6$.
18. The use of any one of claims 12 to 17, wherein said compound is selected from the group consisting of gamma-caprolactone (GCL), 4-heptanolide (HTN), and gamma-octalactone (GOL).
19. The use of any one of claims 12 to 18, for preventing biofilm formation and/or for preventing the NAHL-dependent expression of a virulence factor from a pathogenic bacterium in a complex environment.
20. The use of at least one compound selected from the group consisting of:

- gamma-caprolactone (GCL) of formula (II):




(II)

- 4-heptanolide (HTN) of formula III:



(III); and

- gamma-octalactone (GOL) of formula IV:



(IV),

for preventing biofilm formation and/or for preventing the NAHL-dependent expression of a virulence factor from a pathogenic bacterium in a complex environment.

21. The use of claim 19 or 20, wherein said complex environment is a soil, a surface likely to be colonized by bacteria, or the interior of a plumbing material, a pipe, a silo, a fermenter, or a colander.
22. Use of the soil additive composition of any one of claims 1 to 11, for protecting plants from biofilm formation and/or from the NAHL-dependent expression of a virulence factor from a pathogenic bacterium.
23. The use of claim 22, for protecting plants in hydroponic cultures.
24. The use of claim 22, for protecting plants in soil cultures.

25. The use of any one of claims 22 to 24, wherein said plants are selected from the group consisting of potato plants, tomato plants, lettuce, rice, basilica and beet.
26. A process for determining if a compound is able to stimulate NAHL-degrading bacteria in a bacterial consortium containing at least one *Delftia* strain and/or at least one *Rhodococcus* strain, comprising the following steps:
- (i) incubating a sample of said bacterial consortium in a synthetic medium enriched with said compound to be tested;
 - (ii) incubating a sample of said bacterial consortium in the same synthetic medium as in (i), but enriched with one compound selected from the group consisting of gamma-caprolactone (GCL), 4-heptanolide (HTN), gamma-octalactone (GOL), and 4-hydroxy-4-methyl-3-(3-oxobutyl)-valeric acid gamma lactone, in place of said compound to be tested;
 - (iii) incubating a sample of said bacterial consortium in the same synthetic medium as in (i), without addition of any of GCL, HTN, GOL, and 4-hydroxy-4-methyl-3-(3-oxobutyl)-valeric acid gamma lactone, nor addition of the compound to be tested; and
 - (iv) after an incubation time of at least 12h, comparing the ability of each of the consortia obtained in the conditions mentioned in (i), (ii) and (iii) to degrade a NAHL compound.
27. The process of claim 26, wherein in step (iv), the NAHL compound is C6-HSL.
28. The process of claim 26 or 27, wherein in step (ii), the selected compound is gamma-caprolactone (GCL) or 4-heptanolide (HTN).
29. The process of any one of claims 26 to 28, wherein the incubation time in step (iv) is at least 20h.

1 / 9




Figure 1




Figure 2

3 / 9




Figure 3

4 / 9




Figure 4




Figure 5




Figure 6

6/9




Figure 7




Figure 8

7 / 9




Figure 9




Figure 10




Figure 11




Figure 12