



(51) International Patent Classification:

B01D 15/38 (2006.01) *C07K 14/31* (2006.01)
B01J 20/281 (2006.01) *C12N 15/03* (2006.01)
C07K 1/22 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/SE2011/051537

(22) International Filing Date:

19 December 2011 (19.12.2011)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

61/424,698 20 December 2010 (20.12.2010) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **GE**

HEALTHCARE BIO-SCIENCES AB [SE/SE]; Patent
 Department, Björkgatan 30, S-751 84 Uppsala (SE).

(72) Inventors; and


(75) **Inventors/Applicants** (for US only): **BJÖRKMAN, To-**
mas [SE/SE]; GE Healthcare, Björkgatan 30, S-751 84
 Uppsala (SE). **NORÉN, Björn** [SE/SE]; GE Healthcare,
 Björkgatan 30, S-751 84 Uppsala (SE). **RODRIGO, Gust-**
av [SE/SE]; GE Healthcare, Björkgatan 30, S-751 84
 Uppsala (SE). **VASIC, Jelena** [SE/SE]; GE Healthcare,
 Björkgatan 30, S-751 84 Uppsala (SE). **ÅBERG, Per-Mi-**
kael [SE/SE]; GE Healthcare, Björkgatan 30, S-751 84
 Uppsala (SE).

(74) **Agents: GE HEALTHCARE BIO-SCIENCES AB** et al.;
 Patent Department, Björkgatan 30, S-751 84 Uppsala (SE).

(81) **Designated States** (unless otherwise indicated, for every
 kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM,
 AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ,
 CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO,
 DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN,
 HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR,
 KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME,
 MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ,
 OM, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SC, SD,
 SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR,
 TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) **Designated States** (unless otherwise indicated, for every
 kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH,
 GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ,
 UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU,

(54) Title: AFFINITY CHROMATOGRAPHY MATRIX



(57) **Abstract:** The present invention relates to a method of separating one or more immunoglobulin containing proteins from a liquid. The method includes first contacting the liquid with a separation matrix comprising ligands immobilised to a support; allowing the immunoglobulin containing proteins to adsorb to the matrix by interaction with the ligands; followed by an optional step of washing the matrix containing the immunoglobulin containing proteins adsorbed thereon; and recovering said immunoglobulin containing proteins by contacting the matrix with an eluent which releases the proteins. The method improves upon previous separation methods in that each of the ligands comprises one or more of a protein A domain (E, D, A, B, C), or protein Z, or a functional variant thereof, with at least one of the monomers having a substitution of the Asparagine or Histidine at the position corresponding to H18 of B domain of Protein A or Protein Z, and wherein the ligand provides an increase in elution pH compared to non-substituted ligand.



TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— *with international search report (Art. 21(3))*

Affinity Chromatography Matrix

Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to the field of affinity chromatography, and more specifically to separation matrix containing ligand containing one or more of a protein A domain (E, D, A, B, C), or protein Z, wherein in at least one of the domains (monomers), the Asparagine or Histidine at the position corresponding to H18 of B domain of Protein A or Protein Z has been substituted with another amino acid. The invention also relates to methods for the separation of proteins of interest with aforementioned matrix, with the advantage of increased elution pH.

Background of the Invention

Immunoglobulins represent the most prevalent biopharmaceutical products in either manufacture or development worldwide. The high commercial demand for and hence value of this particular therapeutic market has lead to the emphasis being placed on pharmaceutical companies to maximise the productivity of their respective mAb manufacturing processes whilst controlling the associated costs.

Affinity chromatography is used in most cases, as one of the key steps in the purification of these immunoglobulin molecules, such as monoclonal or polyclonal antibodies. A particularly interesting class of affinity reagents is proteins capable of specific binding to invariable parts of an immunoglobulin molecule, such interaction being independent on the antigen-binding specificity of the antibody. Such reagents can be widely used for affinity chromatography recovery of immunoglobulins from different samples such as but not limited to serum or plasma preparations or cell culture derived feed stocks. An example of such a protein is staphylococcal protein A, containing domains capable of binding to the Fc and Fab portions of IgG immunoglobulins from different species.

Staphylococcal protein A (SpA) based reagents have due to their high affinity and

selectivity found a widespread use in the field of biotechnology, e.g. in affinity chromatography for capture and purification of antibodies as well as for detection. At present, SpA-based affinity medium probably is the most widely used affinity medium for isolation of monoclonal antibodies and their fragments from different samples including industrial feed stocks from cell cultures.

5 Accordingly, various matrices comprising protein A ligands are commercially available, for example, in the form of native protein A (e.g. Protein A SEPHAROSE™, GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden) and also comprised of recombinant protein A (e.g. rProtein A SEPHAROSE™, GE Healthcare). More specifically, the genetic manipulation performed in the commercial recombinant protein A product is aimed at facilitating the attachment thereof to a
10 support.

These applications, like other affinity chromatography applications, require comprehensive attention to definite removal of contaminants. Such contaminants can for example be non-eluted molecules adsorbed to the stationary phase or matrix in a chromatographic procedure, such as non-desired biomolecules or microorganisms, including for example proteins,
15 carbohydrates, lipids, bacteria and viruses. The removal of such contaminants from the matrix is usually performed after a first elution of the desired product in order to regenerate the matrix before subsequent use. Such removal usually involves a procedure known as cleaning-in-place (CIP), wherein agents capable of eluting contaminants from the stationary phase are used. One such class of agents often used is alkaline solutions that are passed over said stationary phase. At
20 present the most extensively used cleaning and sanitising agent is NaOH, and the concentration thereof can range from 0.1 up to e.g. 1 M, depending on the degree and nature of contamination. This strategy is associated with exposing the matrix for pH-values above 13. For many affinity chromatography matrices containing proteinaceous affinity ligands such alkaline environment is a very harsh condition and consequently results in decreased capacities owing to instability of the
25 ligand to the high pH involved.

An extensive research has therefore been focussed on the development of engineered protein ligands that exhibit an improved capacity to withstand alkaline pH-values. For example, Gülich et al (Journal of Biotechnology 80 (2000), 169-178) suggested protein engineering to improve the stability properties of a Streptococcal albumin-binding domain (ABD) in alkaline environments. Gülich et al created a mutant of ABD, wherein all the four asparagine residues have been replaced by leucine (one residue), aspartate (two residues) and lysine (one residue). Further, Gülich et al report that their mutant exhibits a target protein binding behaviour similar to that of the native protein, and that affinity columns containing the engineered ligand show higher binding capacities after repeated exposure to alkaline conditions than columns prepared using the parental non-engineered ligand. Thus, it is concluded therein that all four asparagine residues can be replaced without any significant effect on structure and function.

Recent work show that changes can also be made to protein A (SpA) to effect similar properties. US patent application 2005/0143566 discloses that when at least one asparagine residue is mutated to an amino acid other than glutamine or aspartic acid, the mutation confers an increased chemical stability at pH-values of up to about 13-14 compared to the parental SpA, such as the B-domain of SpA, or Protein Z, a synthetic construct derived from the B-domain of SpA (US 5,143,844). The authors show that when these mutated proteins are used as affinity ligands, the separation media as expected can better withstand cleaning procedures using alkaline agents. US patent application 2006/0194955 shows that the mutated ligands can better withstand proteases thus reducing ligand leakage in the separation process. Another US patent application 2006/0194950 shows that the alkali stable SpA domains can be further modified such that the ligands lacks affinity for Fab but retains Fc affinity, for example by a G29A mutation.

Historically the native protein A containing 5 IgG binding domains was used for production of all protein A affinity media. Using recombinant technology a number of protein A construct have been produced all containing 4 or 5 IgG binding domains. A recent study shows

that dimeric ligands have a similar, or increased binding capacity compared to tetrameric ligands (WO 2010/080065).

It is well known that some antibodies are prone to aggregation or sensitive (e.g. they can lose activity) at low pH. There is still a need in this field to obtain a separation matrix containing protein ligands having an increased elution pH for antibody or related targets.

Brief Summary of the Invention

One object of the present invention is to provide an affinity separation matrix, which comprises protein ligands capable of binding immunoglobulins, such as IgG, IgA and/or IgM, preferably via their Fc-fragments. These ligands carry a substitution of the Asparagine or Histidine at the position corresponding to H18 of B domain of Protein A or Protein Z, in at least one of the monomeric domains of protein A (E, D, A, B, C) or protein Z, thus have a higher elution pH, as compared to ligands without the substitution. Preferably, the ligands are multimeric, i.e., containing more than one monomeric domains selected from protein A (E, D, A, B, C) and protein Z.

Another object of the invention is to provide a method for separating one or more immunoglobulin containing proteins, using the current affinity matrix. By using affinity ligands with the substitution, the method unexpectedly achieves increased elution pH for the target molecules.

Thus the invention provides a method for either producing a purified product, such as a pure immunoglobulin fraction or alternatively a liquid from which the immunoglobulin has been removed, or to detect the presence of immunoglobulin in a sample. The ligands according to the invention exhibit an increased elution pH, which renders the ligands attractive candidates for cost-effective large-scale operation.

One or more of the above-defined objects can be achieved as described in the appended

claims.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Figure 1 shows the amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO: 2) of protein Z, with the Histidine at position 18 shown in bold. Also shown is the Serine substitution.

Figure 2 shows an alignment of the amino acid sequences from each of the five domains of protein A, with the Asparagine or Histidine at the position corresponding to H18 of B domain of Protein A in bold. Also shown are the location of the three alpha-helices (Graille et al, PNAS 2000, 97 (10): 5399-5404; Deisenhofer, Biochemistry 1981, 20 (9): 2361-2370).

Figure 3 shows the amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO: 9) of a tetrameric ligand, used in the experimental studies, with four copies of protein Z, each with an H18S substitution.

Figure 4 shows the amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO: 3) of a dimeric ligand, used in the experimental studies, with two copies of protein Z, each with an H18S substitution.

Figure 5 is an overlay of representative chromatogram results for dynamic binding capacity assay.

Figure 6 is an overlay of representative chromatogram showing results for size exclusion chromatography on fractions from the experiment with Z(H18S)₂ described in Figure 5.

Detailed Description of the Invention

Definitions

The term “protein” is used herein to describe proteins as well as fragments thereof. Thus, any chain of amino acids that exhibits a three dimensional structure is included in the term “protein”, and protein fragments are accordingly embraced.

The term “functional variant” of a protein means herein a variant protein, wherein the function, in relation to the invention defined as affinity and stability, are essentially retained.

Thus, one or more amino acids that are not relevant for said function may have been exchanged.

The term “parental molecule” is used herein for the corresponding protein in the form before a mutation according to the invention has been introduced.

The term “structural stability” refers to the integrity of three-dimensional form of a molecule, while “chemical stability” refers to the ability to withstand chemical degradation.

The term “Fc fragment-binding” protein means that the protein is capable of binding to the Fc fragment of an immunoglobulin. However, it is not excluded that an Fc fragment-binding protein also can bind other regions, such as Fab regions of immunoglobulins.

In the present specification, if not referred to by their full names, amino acids are denoted with the conventional one-letter or three letter symbols.

Mutations are defined herein by the number of the position exchanged, preceded by the wild type or non-mutated amino acid and followed by the mutated amino acid. Thus, for example, the mutation of an asparagine in position 23 to a threonine is denoted N23T.

The present invention in one aspect relates to a method of separating one or more immunoglobulin containing proteins from a liquid, which method comprises (a) contacting the liquid with a separation matrix comprising ligands immobilised to a support; (b) allowing the immunoglobulin containing proteins to adsorb to the matrix by interaction with the ligands; (c) an optional step of washing the adsorbed immunoglobulin containing proteins; and (d) recovering the immunoglobulin containing proteins by contacting the matrix with an eluent which releases the proteins. The method provides increased elution pH for the immunoglobulin molecules by using a ligand, each comprises one or more domains (i.e., monomers) of staphylococcal Protein A (SpA) (E, D, A, B, C) or protein Z or a functional variant thereof, wherein the Asparagine or Histidine at the position corresponding to H18 of B domain of Protein A or Protein Z has been substituted with any other amino acid.

The immunoglobulin-binding protein (i.e., ligand) can be any protein with a native

immunoglobulin-binding capability, such as Staphylococcal protein A (SpA) or Streptococcal protein G (SpG), or recombinant proteins containing IgG-binding domains of these proteins. For a review of other such proteins, see e.g. Kronvall, G., Jonsson, K. Receptins: a novel term for an expanding spectrum of natural and engineered microbial proteins with binding properties for mammalian proteins, *J. Mol. Recognit.* 1999 Jan-Feb; 12(1):38-44. The ligands can comprise one of more of the E, D, A, B and C domains of SpA. More preferably the ligands comprise domain B of protein A, domain C of protein A or the engineered protein Z.

Every protein Z or protein A domain contains an Asparagine or Histidine at the position corresponding to H18 of B domain of Protein A or Protein Z (see e.g., Figure 1, Figure 2, and SEQ ID NO: 1-8).

As shown in Figure 2, the sequences among the five domains of Protein A are highly related. There are no deletions or insertion, and many of the substitutions are conservative changes with minimal potential change to the structure or function of the protein. For example, there are only four changes between the B domain and the C domain, over the entire 58 amino acid polypeptide. Thus, the Asparagine or Histidine at the position corresponding to H18 of B domain of Protein A or Protein Z in each of these domains have a similar structural/functional contribution to protein A or ligand containing the domain. Similarly, changes of the Asparagine or Histidine cause a similar effect to the structure/function of the ligand containing such a change.

In certain embodiments, the Asparagine or Histidine is substituted with an amino acid that increases the elution pH while maintaining the binding capacity to IgG. Preferably, the substitution is to a Serine.

In certain preferred embodiments, the parental molecular sequence comprises the sequences defined by SEQ ID NO: 1-2, 4-8, or any functional variance thereof.

In certain embodiments, the Asparagine or Histidine at the position corresponding to H18 of B domain of Protein A or Protein Z in at least one of the monomeric domains in a multimeric

ligand are substituted. In other embodiments, the Asparagine or Histidine residue in all the monomeric domains in a multimeric ligand are substituted.

The substitution of the Asparagine or Histidine residue at the position corresponding to H18 of B domain of Protein A or Protein Z unexpectedly increases the elution pH of

immunoglobulins, such as IgG, IgA and/or IgM, or fusion proteins containing an Fc-fragment, in a pH gradient. Preferably, the elution pH increases by between 0.2 to over 1.0 pH. More preferably, the elution pH increases at least 0.3 pH, most preferably, the elution pH increases at least 0.4 pH. Alternatively, the elution pH is increased to >4.0, preferably pH >4.2, while the yield of the target molecule is at least 80% or preferably >95% . An advantage of this increase in elution pH is that the tendency of the immunoglobulins to form aggregates can be strongly diminished. Antibody aggregates are potentially immunogenic and need to be removed before pharmaceutical use of the immunoglobulins. This adds significant cost to the processing.

In one embodiment, the ligands are also rendered alkali-stable, such as by mutating at least one asparagine residue of at least one of the monomeric domains of the SpA domain B or protein Z to an amino acid other than glutamine. As discussed earlier, US patent application 2005/0143566 discloses that when at least one asparagine residue is mutated to an amino acid other than glutamine or aspartic acid, the mutation confers the ligand an increased chemical stability at high pH (e.g., N23T). Further, affinity media including these ligands can better withstand cleaning procedures using alkaline agents. US patent application 2006/0194955 shows that the mutated ligands can also better withstand proteases thus reducing ligand leakage in the separation process. The disclosures of these applications are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

In another embodiment, the ligand(s) so prepared lack any substantial affinity for the Fab part of an antibody, while having affinity for the Fc part. Thus, in certain embodiments, at least one glycine of the ligands has been replaced by an alanine. US patent application 2006/0194950

shows that the alkali stable domains can be further modified such that the ligands lacks affinity for Fab but retains Fc affinity, for example by a G29A mutation. The disclosure of the application is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety. The numbering used herein of the amino acids is the conventionally used in this field, exemplified by the position on domain B of protein A, and the skilled person in this field can easily recognize the position to be mutated for each domain of E, D, A, B, C.

In an advantageous embodiment, the ligand is made of multimer copies of domain B, and the alkali-stability of domain B has been achieved by mutating at least one asparagine residue to an amino acid other than glutamine (e.g. N23T); and contains a mutation of the amino acid residue at position 29 of the alkali-stable domain B, such as a G29A mutation.

In an advantageous embodiment, the ligand is made of multimer copies of domain C, with the Histine residue at H18 mutated, or functional variants thereof. Optionally, the ligand also contains a mutation of the amino acid residue at position 29, such as a G29A mutation.

In another embodiment, the ligand is made of multimer copies of protein Z in which the alkali-stability has been achieved by mutating at least one asparagine residue to an amino acid other than glutamine. In an advantageous embodiment, the alkali-stability has been achieved by mutating at least the asparagine residue at position 23 to an amino acid other than glutamine.

As the skilled person in this field will easily understand, the substitution of Asparagine or Histidine at position 18, the mutations to provide alkaline-stability, and the G to A mutation may be carried out in any order of sequence using conventional molecular biology techniques. Further, the ligands can be expressed by a vector containing a nucleic acid sequence encoding the mutated protein ligands. Alternatively, they can also be made by protein synthesis techniques. Methods for synthesizing peptides and proteins of predetermined sequences are well known and commonly available in this field.

Thus, in the present invention, the term “alkali-stable domain B of Staphylococcal Protein

A” means an alkali-stabilized protein based on Domain B of SpA, such as the mutant protein described in US patent application 2005/0143566 and US patent application 2006/0194950; as well as other alkali-stable proteins of other origin but having a functionally equivalent amino acid sequence.

5 As the skilled person will understand, the expressed protein should be purified to an appropriate extent before been immobilized to a support. Such purification methods are well known in the field, and the immobilization of protein-based ligands to supports is easily carried out using standard methods. Suitable methods and supports will be discussed below in more detail.

10 Accordingly, in one embodiment, a mutated protein according to the invention comprises at least about 75%, such as at least about 80% or preferably at least about 95%, of the sequence as defined in SEQ ID NO: 1 or 2, with the proviso that the asparagine mutation is not in position 21.

In the present specification, SEQ ID NO: 1 defines the amino acid sequence of the B-
15 domain of SpA:

Ala Asp Asn Lys Phe Asn Lys Glu Gln Gln Asn Ala Phe Tyr Glu Ile
Leu His Leu Pro Asn Leu Asn Glu Glu Gln Arg Asn Gly Phe Ile Gln
Ser Leu Lys Asp Asp Pro Ser Gln Ser Ala Asn Leu Leu Ala Glu Ala
Lys Lys Leu Asn Asp Ala Gln Ala Pro Lys

20 SEQ ID NO: 2 defines a protein known as protein Z:

Val Asp Asn Lys Phe Asn Lys Glu Gln Gln Asn Ala Phe Tyr Glu Ile
Leu His Leu Pro Asn Leu Asn Glu Glu Gln Arg Asn Ala Phe Ile Gln
Ser Leu Lys Asp Asp Pro Ser Gln Ser Ala Asn Leu Leu Ala Glu Ala
Lys Lys Leu Asn Asp Ala Gln Ala Pro Lys

25 Protein Z is a synthetic construct derived from the B-domain of SpA, wherein the glycine

in position 29 has been exchanged for alanine, see e.g. Ståhl et al, 1999: Affinity fusions in biotechnology: focus on protein A and protein G, in The Encyclopedia of Bioprocess Technology: Fermentation, Biocatalysis and Bioseparation. M.C. Fleckinger and S.W. Drew, editors. John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, 8-22.

5 In one embodiment, the above described mutant protein is comprised of the amino acid sequence defined in SEQ ID NO: 1, 2 or 8, or is a functional variant thereof, with a substitution at Histidine at the position corresponding to H18 of B domain of Protein A or Protein Z. In another embodiment, the above described mutant protein is comprised of the amino acid sequence defined in SEQ ID NO: 4-6, or is a functional variant thereof, with a substitution at
10 Asparagine at the position corresponding to H18 of B domain of Protein A. The term “functional variant” as used in this context includes any similar sequence, which comprises one or more further variations in amino acid positions that have no influence on the mutant protein’s affinity to immunoglobulins or its improved chemical stability in environments of increased pH-values.

15 In an advantageous embodiment, the present substitutions of Asparagine or Histidine are selected from the group that consists of amino acids of, e.g. Arginine, Aspartic acid, Isoleucine, Lysine, Threonine, Alanine or Serine; and wherein the parental molecule comprises the sequence defined by SEQ ID NO: 1-2 and 4-8, or any functional variance thereof. More preferably, the substitution is to a serine. As mentioned above, in order to achieve a mutant protein useful as a
20 ligand with high binding capacity for a prolonged period of time in alkaline conditions, mutation of the asparagine residue in position 21 is avoided. In one embodiment, the asparagine residue in position 3 is not mutated.

 The substitution of the Asparagine or Histidine residue at the position corresponding to H18 of B domain of Protein A or Protein Z unexpectedly increases the elution pH of
25 immunoglobulins, such as IgG, IgA and/or IgM, or fusion proteins containing an Fc-fragment.

Preferably, the elution pH increases by between 0.2 to over 1.0 pH. More preferably, the elution pH increases at least 0.3 pH, most preferably, the elution pH increases at least 0.4 pH.

Alternatively, the elution pH is increased to >4.0, preferably pH >4.2, while the yield of the target molecule is at least 80% or preferably >95%.

5 In certain embodiments, the Asparagine or Histidine residue in at least one of the monomers in a multimeric ligand are substituted. In other embodiments, the Asparagine or Histidine residue in all the monomers in a multimeric ligand are substituted.

 In one advantageous embodiment, an asparagine residue located between a leucine residue and a glutamine residue has also been mutated, for example to a threonine residue. Thus,
10 in one embodiment, the asparagine residue in position 23 of the sequence defined in SEQ ID NO: 2 has been mutated, for example to a threonine residue. In a specific embodiment, the asparagine residue in position 43 of the sequence defined in SEQ ID NO: 2 has also been mutated, for example to a glutamic acid. In the embodiments where amino acid number 43 has been mutated, it appears to most advantageously be combined with at least one further mutation, such as N23T.

15 Thus, the invention encompasses the above-discussed monomeric mutant proteins. However, such protein monomers can be combined into multimeric ligands, such as dimers, trimers, tetramers, pentamers, hexamers etc. Accordingly, another aspect of the present invention is a multimer comprised of at least one of the mutated proteins according to the invention together with one or more further units, preferably also mutant proteins according to the
20 invention. Thus, the present invention is e.g. a dimer comprised of two repetitive units, or a tetramer comprised of four repetitive units.

 In certain embodiments, the multimeric ligands contain two or more copies of the same monomeric domain from domain E, D, A, B, C of protein A, or protein Z, or any functional variants.

25 In other embodiments, the multimeric ligands contain two or more different monomeric

domains selected from domain E, D, A, B, C of protein A, or protein Z, or any functional variants.

In one embodiment, the multimer according to the invention comprises monomer units linked by a stretch of amino acids preferably ranging from 0 to 15 amino acids, such as 0-10 or 5-10 amino acids. The nature of such a link should preferably not destabilize the spatial conformation of the protein units. Furthermore, said link should preferably also be sufficiently stable in alkaline environments not to impair the properties of the mutated protein units.

In another embodiment, the present dimeric ligands comprise the sequence of SEQ ID NO: 3:

10 Ala Gln Val Asp Ala Lys Phe Asp Lys Glu Gln Gln Asn Ala Phe Tyr Glu Ile Leu
 Ser Leu Pro Asn Leu Thr Glu Glu Gln Arg Asn Ala Phe Ile Gln Ser Leu Lys Asp
 Asp Pro Ser Gln Ser Ala Asn Leu Leu Ala Glu Ala Lys Lys Leu Asn Asp Ala Gln
 Ala Pro Lys Val Asp Ala Lys Phe Asp Lys Glu Gln Gln Asn Ala Phe Tyr Glu Ile
 Leu Ser Leu Pro Asn Leu Thr Glu Glu Gln Arg Asn Ala Phe Ile Gln Ser Leu Lys
 15 Asp Asp Pro Ser Gln Ser Ala Asn Leu Leu Ala Glu Ala Lys Lys Leu Asn Asp Ala
 Gln Ala Pro Lys Cys

In a further embodiment, the present tetrameric ligands comprise the sequence of SEQ ID NO: 9:

Ala Gln Val Asp Ala Lys Phe Asp Lys Glu Gln Gln Asn Ala Phe Tyr Glu Ile Leu Ser Leu Pro
 20 Asn Leu Thr Glu Glu Gln Arg Asn Ala Phe Ile Gln Ser Leu Lys Asp Asp Pro Ser Gln Ser Ala
 Asn Leu Leu Ala Glu Ala Lys Lys Leu Asn Asp Ala Gln Ala Pro Lys Val Asp Ala Lys Phe Asp
 Lys Glu Gln Gln Asn Ala Phe Tyr Glu Ile Leu Ser Leu Pro Asn Leu Thr Glu Glu Gln Arg Asn
 Ala Phe Ile Gln Ser Leu Lys Asp Asp Pro Ser Gln Ser Ala Asn Leu Leu Ala Glu Ala Lys Lys Leu
 Asn Asp Ala Gln Ala Pro Lys Val Asp Ala Lys Phe Asp Lys Glu Gln Gln Asn Ala Phe Tyr Glu
 25 Ile Leu Ser Leu Pro Asn Leu Thr Glu Glu Gln Arg Asn Ala Phe Ile Gln Ser Leu Lys Asp Asp

Pro Ser Gln Ser Ala Asn Leu Leu Ala Glu Ala LysLys Leu Asn Asp Ala Gln Ala Pro Lys Val
 Asp Ala Lys Phe Asp Lys Glu Gln Gln Asn Ala Phe Tyr Glu Ile Leu Ser Leu Pro Asn Leu Thr
 Glu Glu Gln Arg Asn Ala Phe Ile Gln Ser Leu Lys Asp Asp Pro Ser Gln Ser Ala Asn Leu Leu
 Ala Glu Ala Lys Lys LeuAsn Asp Ala Gln Ala Pro Lys Cys

5

In another embodiment, the present dimeric ligands comprise the sequence of SEQ ID
 NO: 10:

Ala Gln Val Asp Asn Lys Phe Asn Lys Glu Gln Gln Asn Ala Phe TyrGlu Ile Leu Ser Leu Pro
 Asn Leu Thr Glu Glu Gln Arg Asn Gly Phe Ile Gln Ser Leu Lys Asp Asp Pro Ser Val Ser Lys
 10 Glu Ile Leu Ala Glu Ala Lys Lys Leu Asn Asp Ala Gln Ala Pro Lys Val Asp Asn Lys Phe Asn
 Lys Glu Gln Gln Asn Ala Phe TyrGlu Ile Leu Ser Leu Pro Asn Leu Thr Glu Glu Gln Arg Asn
 Gly Phe Ile Gln Ser Leu Lys Asp Asp Pro Ser Val Ser Lys Glu Ile Leu Ala Glu Ala Lys Lys Leu
 Asn Asp Ala Gln Ala Pro Lys Cys

15 The current invention unexpectedly found that when comparing the elution pH of the
 ligands, a substitution of the Asparagine or Histidine at the position corresponding to H18 of B
 domain of Protein A or Protein Z in at least one of the monomeric domains of the ligand provides
 a higher elution pH compared to non-substituted ligands.

In one embodiment, the invention relates to a matrix for affinity separation, which matrix
 20 comprises ligands that comprise immunoglobulin-binding protein coupled to a solid support.
 Preferably, the Asparagine or Histidine at the position corresponding to H18 of B domain of
 Protein A or Protein Z, in at least one of the monomeric domains of protein A (domain E, D, A,
 B, C) or protein Z, has been substituted to another amino acid. Preferably, the ligands are
 multimeric, i.e., containing more than one monomeric domains selected from protein A (domain
 25 E, D, A, B, C) and protein Z. The present matrix, when compared to a matrix without the

substitution, exhibits an increased elution pH. The mutated protein ligand is preferably an Fc-fragment-binding protein, and can be used for selective binding of IgG, IgA and/or IgM, preferably IgG.

The matrix according to the invention can comprise the mutant protein as described above in any embodiment thereof as ligand. In the most preferred embodiment, the ligands present on the solid support comprise a substitution as described above.

The solid support of the matrix according to the invention can be of any suitable well-known kind. A conventional affinity separation matrix is often of organic nature and based on polymers that expose a hydrophilic surface to the aqueous media used, i.e. expose hydroxy (-OH), carboxy (-COOH), carboxamido (-CONH₂, possibly in N- substituted forms), amino (-NH₂, possibly in substituted form), oligo- or polyethylenoxy groups on their external and, if present, also on internal surfaces. In one embodiment, the polymers may, for instance, be based on polysaccharides, such as dextran, starch, cellulose, pullulan, agar, agarose etc, which advantageously have been cross-linked, for instance with bisepoxides, epihalohydrins, 1,2,3-trihalo substituted lower hydrocarbons, to provide a suitable porosity and rigidity. In the most preferred embodiment, the solid support is porous agarose beads. The supports used in the present invention can easily be prepared according to standard methods, such as inverse suspension gelation (S Hjertén: Biochim Biophys Acta 79(2), 393-398 (1964). Alternatively, the base matrices are commercially available products, such as SEPHAROSE™ FF (GE Healthcare). In an embodiment, which is especially advantageous for large-scale separations, the support has been adapted to increase its rigidity, and hence renders the matrix more suitable for high flow rates.

Alternatively, the solid support is based on synthetic polymers, such as polyvinyl alcohol, polyhydroxyalkyl acrylates, polyhydroxyalkyl methacrylates, polyacrylamides, polymethacrylamides etc. In case of hydrophobic polymers, such as matrices based on divinyl and

monovinyl-substituted benzenes, the surface of the matrix is often hydrophilised to expose hydrophilic groups as defined above to a surrounding aqueous liquid. Such polymers are easily produced according to standard methods, see e.g. "Styrene based polymer supports developed by suspension polymerization" (R Arshady: *Chimica e L'Industria* 70(9), 70-75 (1988)).

5 Alternatively, a commercially available product, such as SOURCE™ (GE Healthcare) is used.

In another alternative, the solid support according to the invention comprises a support of inorganic nature, e.g. silica, zirconium oxide etc.

In yet another embodiment, the solid support is in another form such as a surface, a chip, capillaries, or a filter.

10 As regards the shape of the matrix according to the invention, in one embodiment the matrix is in the form of a porous monolith. In an alternative embodiment, the matrix is in beaded or particle form that can be porous or non-porous. Matrices in beaded or particle form can be used as a packed bed or in a suspended form. Suspended forms include those known as expanded beds and pure suspensions, in which the particles or beads are free to move. In case of monoliths,
15 packed bed and expanded beds, the separation procedure commonly follows conventional chromatography with a concentration gradient. In case of pure suspension, batch-wise mode will be used.

The ligand may be attached to the support via conventional coupling techniques utilising, e.g. amino and/or carboxy groups present in the ligand. Bisepoxides, epichlorohydrin, CNBr, N-
20 hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) etc are well-known coupling reagents. Between the support and the ligand, a molecule known as a spacer can be introduced, which improves the availability of the ligand and facilitates the chemical coupling of the ligand to the support. Alternatively, the ligand may be attached to the support by non-covalent bonding, such as physical adsorption or biospecific adsorption. The ligand content of the matrix may e.g. be 5 – 15 mg/ml matrix and can
25 advantageously be 5 – 10 mg/ml.

In an advantageous embodiment, the present ligand has been coupled to the support by thioether bonds. Methods for performing such coupling are well-known in this field and easily performed by the skilled person in this field using standard techniques and equipment. In an advantageous embodiment, the ligand is firstly provided with a terminal cysteine residue for subsequent use in the coupling. The skilled person in this field also easily performs appropriate steps of purification.

In certain embodiments of the invention, the conditions for the adsorption step may be any conventionally used, appropriately adapted depending on the properties of the target antibody such as the pI thereof. The optional wash step can be performed using a buffer commonly used such as a PBS buffer.

The elution may be performed by using any suitable solution used for elution from Protein A media.

The present method is useful to capture target antibodies, such as a first step in a purification protocol of antibodies which are e.g. for therapeutic or diagnostic use. In one embodiment, at least 75% of the antibodies are recovered. In an advantageous embodiment, at least 80%, such as at least 90%, and preferably at least 95% of the antibodies are recovered using an eluent having a suitable pH for the particular ligand system. The present method may be followed by one or more additional steps, such as other chromatography steps. Thus, in a specific embodiment, more than about 98% of the antibodies are recovered.

As discussed earlier, for either SpA (domain E, D, A, B, C) or protein Z ligand, when at least one asparagine residue is mutated to an amino acid other than glutamine or aspartic acid, affinity media including these mutant ligands can better withstand cleaning procedures using alkaline agents (US patent application 2005/0143566). The increased stability means that the mutated protein's initial affinity for immunoglobulin is essentially retained for a prolonged period of time. Thus its binding capacity will decrease more slowly than that of the parental molecule in

an alkaline environment. The environment can be defined as alkaline, meaning of an increased pH-value, for example above about 10, such as up to about 13 or 14, i.e. from 10-13 or 10-14, in general denoted alkaline conditions. Alternatively, the conditions can be defined by the concentration of NaOH, which can be up to about 1.0 M, such as 0.7 M or specifically about 0.5 M, accordingly within a range of 0.7-1.0 M.

Thus, the affinity to immunoglobulin i.e. the binding properties of the ligand, in the presence of the asparagine mutation as discussed, and hence the capacity of the matrix, is not essentially changed in time by treatment with an alkaline agent. Conventionally, for a cleaning in place treatment of an affinity separation matrix, the alkaline agent used is NaOH and the concentration thereof is up to 0.75 M, such as 0.5 M. Thus, its binding capacity will decrease to less than about 70 %, preferably less than about 50% and more preferably less than about 30%, such as about 28%, after treatment with 0.5 M NaOH for 7.5 h.

In a further aspect, the present invention relates to a method of isolating an immunoglobulin, such as IgG, IgA and/or IgM, wherein a ligand or a matrix according to the invention is used. Thus, the invention encompasses a process of chromatography, wherein at least one target compound is separated from a liquid by adsorption to a ligand or matrix described above. The desired product can be the separated compound or the liquid. Thus, this aspect of the invention relates to affinity chromatography, which is a widely used and well-known separation technique. In brief, in a first step, a solution comprising the target compounds, preferably antibodies as mentioned above, is passed over a separation matrix under conditions allowing adsorption of the target compound to ligands present on said matrix. Such conditions are controlled e.g. by pH and/or salt concentration i.e. ionic strength in the solution. Care should be taken not to exceed the capacity of the matrix, i.e. the flow should be sufficiently slow to allow a satisfactory adsorption. In this step, other components of the solution will pass through in principle unimpeded. Optionally, the matrix is then washed, e.g. with an aqueous solution, in

order to remove retained and/or loosely bound substances. The present matrix is most advantageously used with an intermediate washing step utilizing additives such as solvents, salts or detergents or mixture thereof.

In a next step, a second solution denoted an eluent is passed over the matrix under conditions that provide desorption i.e. release of the target compound. Such conditions are commonly provided by a change of the pH, the salt concentration i.e. ionic strength, hydrophobicity etc. Various elution schemes are known, such as gradient elution and step-wise elution. Elution can also be provided by a second solution comprising a competitive substance, which will replace the desired antibody on the matrix. Regular protein A media based on native or recombinant protein A (e.g. MABSELECT™ and nProtein A SEPHAROSE™ 4 Fast Flow) normally elutes at pH 3.1 – 4.0 (measured at peak apex) mainly depending on its VH3 binding (see e.g. Ghose, S. et al. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering* 92 665-673 [2005]). The alkaline stabilized product MABSELECT SURE™, derived from the B-domain of protein A, essentially lacks the VH3 binding giving a higher elution pH: 3.7-4.0. For a general review of the principles of affinity chromatography, see e.g. Wilchek, M., and Chaiken, I. 2000. An overview of affinity chromatography. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **147**: 1-6.

The substitution of the Asparagine or Histidine residue at the position corresponding to H18 of B domain of Protein A or Protein Z unexpectedly increases the elution pH of immunoglobulins, or fusion proteins containing an Fc-fragment. The substitution thus provides a ligand which allows for elution above pH 4.0, preferably above 4.2, while the yield of target molecule is at least 80% or preferably >95%. This results in gentler elution conditions which minimize the risk for aggregation or inactivation of the target molecule.

Aggregate separation from monomers has been a challenge in antibody purification, especially at higher resolution. The present ligands show an improved separation between monomers and aggregates, making this a viable approach for aggregate removal in the capture

step, even at large scale (see Figures 5 and 6).

Examples

Below, the present invention will be described by way of examples, which are provided for illustrative purposes only and accordingly are not to be construed as limiting the scope of the present invention as defined by the appended claims. All references given below and elsewhere in this application are hereby included herein by reference.

Mutagenesis of protein

Site-directed mutagenesis was performed by a two-step PCR using oligonucleotides coding for the histidine replacement. As template a plasmid containing a single domain of either Z or C was used. The PCR fragments were ligated into an E. coli expression vector (pGO). DNA sequencing was used to verify the correct sequence of inserted fragments.

To form multimers of Z(H18S) and C(H18S) an Acc I site located in the starting codons (GTA GAC) of the C or Z domain was used, corresponding to amino acids VD. pGO Z(H18S)1 and pGO C(H18S)1 were digested with Acc I and CIP treated. *Acc* I sticky-ends primers were designed, specific for each variant, and two overlapping PCR products were generated from each template. The PCR products were purified and the concentration was estimated by comparing the PCR products on a 2% agarose gel. Equal amounts of the pair wise PCR products were hybridized (90°C -> 25°C in 45min) in ligation buffer. The resulting product consists approximately to ¼ of fragments likely to be ligated into an Acc I site (correct PCR fragments and/or the digested vector). After ligation and transformation colonies were PCR screened to identify constructs containing Z(H18S)2, Z(H18S)4, C(H18S)2 and C(H18S)4. Positive clones were verified by DNA sequencing.

Construct expression and purification

The constructs were expressed in the bacterial periplasm by fermentation of *E. coli* K12 in standard media. After fermentation the cells were heat-treated to release the periplasm content into the media. The constructs released into the medium were recovered by microfiltration with a membrane having a 0.2 μm pore size.

Each construct, now in the permeate from the filtration step, was purified by affinity. The permeate was loaded onto a chromatography medium containing immobilized IgG. The loaded product was washed with phosphate buffered saline and eluted by lowering the pH.

The elution pool was adjusted to a neutral pH and reduced by addition of dithio threitol. The sample was then loaded onto an anion exchanger. After a wash step the construct was eluted in a NaCl gradient to separate it from any contaminants. The elution pool was concentrated by ultrafiltration to 40-50 mg/ml.

The purified ligands were analyzed with LC-MS to determine the purity and to ascertain that the molecular weight corresponded to the expected (based on the amino acid sequence).

Activation

The base matrix used was rigid crosslinked agarose beads of 85 microns average diameter, prepared according to the methods of US6602990 and with a pore size corresponding to an inverse gel filtration chromatography Kav value of 0.70 for dextran of Mw 110 kDa, according to the methods described in Gel Filtration Principles and Methods, Pharmacia LKB Biotechnology 1991, pp 6-13.

25 mL (g) of drained base matrix, 10.0 mL distilled water and 2.02 g NaOH (s) was mixed in a 100 mL flask with mechanical stirring for 10 min at 25°C. 4.0 mL of epichlorohydrin was added and the reaction progressed for 2 hours. The activated gel was washed with 10 gel sediment volumes (GV) of water.

5

Coupling

To 20 mL of ligand solution (50 mg/mL) in a 50 ml Falcon tube, 169 mg NaHCO₃, 21 mg Na₂CO₃, 175 mg NaCl and 7 mg EDTA, was added. The Falcon tube was placed on a roller table for 5-10 min, and then 77 mg of DTE was added. Reduction proceeded for >45 min. The ligand solution was then desalted on a PD10 column packed with Sephadex G-25. The ligand content in the desalted solution was determined by measuring the 276 nm UV absorption.

10

The activated gel was washed with 3-5 GV {0.1 M phosphate/1 mM EDTA pH 8.6} and the ligand and was then coupled according to the method described in US6399750. All buffers used in the experiments had been degassed by nitrogen gas for at least 5-10 min.

15

After immobilisation the gels were washed 3xGV with distilled water. The gels + 1 GV {0.1 M phosphate/1 mM EDTA/10% thioglycerol pH 8.6} was mixed and the tubes were left in a shaking table at room temperature over night. The gels were then washed alternately with 3xGV {0.1 M TRIS/0.15 M NaCl pH 8.6} and 0.5 M HAc and then 8-10xGV with distilled water. Gel samples were sent to an external laboratory for amino acid analysis and the ligand content (mg/ml gel) was calculated from the total amino acid content.

20

Example 1

Prototypes

25

Mutant Z(H18S)2 (SEQ ID NO: 3): ligand dimers containing two copies of protein Z, each containing the H18S substitution (Z(H18S)2), with ligand density of 6.1 mg/ml.

Z2 (similar to SEQ ID NO: 3, expect H18 are not substituted to S): ligand dimer containing two copies of protein Z (Z2), with ligand density of 5.9 mg/ml.

- 5 Mutant Z(H18S)4 (SEQ ID NO: 9): ligand tetramer containing four copies of protein Z, each containing the H18S substitution (Z(H18S)4), with ligand density of 9.6 mg/ml.

Z4 (similar to SEQ ID NO: 9, expect H18 are not substituted to S): ligand tetramer containing four copies of protein Z (Z4), with ligand density of 6 mg/ml.

2 ml of resin packed in Tricorn 5 100 column.

- 10 Mutant C(H18S)2 (SEQ ID NO: 10): ligand dimers containing two copies of the C domain, each containing the H18S substitution (C(H18S)2), with ligand density of 6.5 mg/ml.

C2 (similar to SEQ ID NO: 10, expect H18 are not substituted to S): ligand dimer containing two copies of domain C (C2), with ligand density of 6.9 mg/ml.

15 **Protein**

Gammanorm 165 mg/ml (Octapharma), diluted to 1 mg/ml in Equilibration buffer.

Equilibration buffer

APB Phosphate buffer 20 mM +0.15 M NaCl , pH 7.4 (Elsichrom AB)

20

Elution buffers

Citrate buffer 0.1 M, pH 6.

Citrate buffer 0.1 M, pH 3.

25 **CIP**

0.1 M NaOH.

Experimental details and results:

The breakthrough capacity was determined with an ÄKTAExplorer 10 system at a residence time of 2.4 minutes. Equilibration buffer was run through the bypass column until a stable baseline was obtained. This was done prior to auto zeroing. Sample was applied through the bypass until the 100% UV signal was obtained. Then, equilibration buffer was applied to the column again until a stable baseline was obtained. Sample was loaded onto the column until a UV signal of 85% of maximum absorbance was reached. The column was then washed with equilibration buffer until a UV signal of 20% of maximum absorbance at flow rate 0.5 ml/min. The protein was eluted with a linear gradient over 10 column volumes starting at pH 6.0 and ending at pH 3.0 at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. Then the column was cleaned with 0.1 M NaOH at flow rate 0.5 ml/min and re-equilibrated with equilibration buffer prior to adding 20% ethanol. The last step was to check the sample concentration by loading sample through the bypass column until a 100% UV signal was obtained.

For calculation of breakthrough capacity at 10%, equation below was used. That is i.e. the amount of IgG that is loaded onto the column until the concentration of IgG in the column effluent is 10% of the IgG concentration in the feed.

$$q_{10\%} = \frac{C_0}{V_c} \left[V_{app} - V_{sys} - \int_{V_{sys}}^{V_{app}} \frac{A(V) - A_{sub}}{A_{100\%} - A_{sub}} * dV \right]$$

$A_{100\%}$ = 100% UV signal;

A_{sub} = absorbance contribution from non-binding IgG subclass;

$A(V)$ = absorbance at a given applied volume;

V_c = column volume;

V_{app} = volume applied until 10% breakthrough;

V_{sys} = system dead volume;

C_0 = feed concentration.

The dynamic binding capacity (DBC) at 10% breakthrough was calculated and the appearance of the curve was studied. The curve was also studied regarding binding, elution and CIP peak. The dynamic binding capacity (DBC) was calculated for 5, 10 and 80% breakthrough. Some results are shown in Table 1. Similar capacity was observed for ligands with H18S substitution as compared to the parental H18 ligand (Z2 or Z4).

IgG capacity and elution study for polyclonal human IgG and different mABs were done on Z(H18S)2, Z(H18S)4, Z2 and Z4. The capacity values did not differ between Z(H18S)2 and Z2. Some examples are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Capacity data for Z(H18S)2, Z(H18S)4, Z2 and Z4, using 1 mg/ml IgG dissolved in 20 mM PBS+0.15 M NaCl buffer, pH 7.4.

prototype	Qb10% (mg/ml resin)	Qb80% (mg/ml resin)	Residence time (min)	Ligand density (mg/ml)
Z(H18S)2	32.0	46.4	2.4	6.1
Z(H18S)4	36.4	65.0	2.4	9.6
Z2	31.4	43.8	2.4	5.9
Z4	33.6	58.0	2.4	6
C(H18S)2	36.5	55.1	2.4	6.5
C2	38.3	59.9	2.4	6.9

The elution studies were done for different cell culture supernatant (mAb C, mAb D) or polyclonal human IgG in phosphate buffer. The elution pH increases from 0.4 to over 1 pH unit for Z(H18S)2 and Z(H18S)4 compared to Z2 and Z4. Biggest difference is shown with polyclonal IgG (Gammanorm) while mAb D shows the smallest difference. In these elution studies approximately 10 mg cell culture supernatant (different cell culture supernatants were used, see Table 2) was loaded on the column. A gradient elution from pH 6 to pH 3 was done using 0.1 M citrate buffers. The pH at peak apex was noted (see Table 2.)

Table 2. Elution pH for polyclonal human IgG and two different mAbs.

prototype	pH hIgG (first peak)	pH hIgG (second peak)	pH mAb C	pH mAb D
Z(H18S)2	4.95	4.36	4.54	4.37
Z(H18S)4	5.11	4.61	ND	ND
Z2	3.99	3.59	3.81	3.93
Z4	3.89	3.53	3.77	3.81
C(H18S)2	4.66	4.07		
C2	3.74	3.45		

Note **pH hIgG (first peak)** and **pH hIgG (second peak)** are polyclonal IgG (Gammanorm).

Except for higher elution pH for Z(H18S)2 and Z(H18S)4, they appear also to have better separation properties than Z2 and Z4. In Figure 5, for example, one can see tailing on all three different prototypes, but with Z(H18S)2 there is an actual separation of the two peaks. Size exclusion chromatography, using two 3 ml TRICORN™ 5/150 SUPERDEX™ 200 columns coupled in series, using equilibration buffer and a flow rate of 0.2 ml/min and UV detection at 215 nm (Figure 6) revealed that the main peak (B7) contained no detectable amounts of aggregates while the second peak (B2) mainly contained aggregates.

The above examples illustrate specific aspects of the present invention and are not intended to limit the scope thereof in any respect and should not be so construed. Those skilled in the art having the benefit of the teachings of the present invention as set forth above, can effect numerous modifications thereto. These modifications are to be construed as being encompassed within the scope of the present invention as set forth in the appended claims.

CLAIMS:

Claim 1: A method of separating one or more immunoglobulin containing proteins from a liquid, which method comprises:

- 5 (a) contacting the liquid with a separation matrix comprising ligands immobilised to a support;
- (b) allowing said immunoglobulin containing proteins to adsorb to the matrix by interaction with the ligands;
- (c) an optional step of washing the immunoglobulin containing protein adsorbed matrix;
- 10 (d) recovering said immunoglobulin containing proteins by contacting the matrix with an eluent which releases the proteins;

the improvement being that each of said ligands comprises one or more domains (monomers) of staphylococcal Protein A (SpA) (E, D, A, B, C) or protein Z or a functional variant thereof, wherein in at least one of the one or more monomers, the Asparagine or Histidine at the position
15 corresponding to H18 of B domain of Protein A or Protein Z has been substituted with another amino acid, and wherein the ligand provides an increase in elution pH compared to non-substituted ligand with essentially unaltered or improved dynamic binding capacity and yield.

Claim 2: The method of claim 1, wherein said one or more domains of Protein A or protein Z are
20 two or more copies from the same domain E, D, A, B, C or protein Z.

Claim 3: The method of claim 1, wherein said one or more domains of Protein A or protein Z are two or more domains selected from domain E, D, A, B, C or protein Z.

25 Claim 4: The method of claim 1, wherein said one or more domains of protein A or protein Z are

selected from domain B, domain C or protein Z.

Claim 5: The method of any one of claims 1 - 4, wherein the Asparagine or Histidine at the position corresponding to H18 of B domain of Protein A or Protein Z has been substituted with
5 another amino acid in at least one of the monomers in a multimeric ligand.

Claim 6: The method of any one of claims 1 - 5, wherein the Asparagine or Histidine at the position corresponding to H18 of B domain of Protein A or Protein Z has been substituted with another amino acid in all the monomers in a multimeric ligand.

10

Claim 7: The method of any one of claims 1 - 6, wherein Asparagine or Histidine at the position corresponding to H18 of B domain of Protein A or Protein Z has been substituted with another amino acid that increase the elution pH.

15 Claim 8: The method of any one of claims 1 - 7, wherein Asparagine or Histidine at the position corresponding to H18 of B domain of Protein A or Protein Z has been substituted with serine.

Claim 9: The method of any one of claims 1 - 8, wherein the ligand provides an increase in elution pH by at least 0.2 compared to non-substituted ligand.

20

Claim 10: The method of any one of claims 1 - 9, wherein the ligand provides an increase in elution pH by at least 0.4 compared to non-substituted ligand.

Claim 11: The method of any one of claims 1 - 10, wherein the ligand provides an increase in
25 elution pH to at least 4.0 while the yield of target molecule is at least 80% or preferably >95%.

Claim 12: The method of any one of claims 1 - 11, wherein the ligands have affinity for the Fc part of an immunoglobulin but lack affinity for the Fab part of an immunoglobulin.

- 5 Claim 13: The method of any one of claims 1 - 12, wherein a glycine residue corresponding to position 29 of the B domain of Protein A has been changed to an alanine.

Claim 14: The method of any one of claims 1 - 13, wherein the ligands are alkali-stable by mutating at least one asparagine residue to an amino acid other than glutamine.

10

Claim 15: The method of any one of claims 1 - 14, wherein the ligand is Protein Z in which the alkali-stability has been achieved by mutating at least one asparagine residue to an amino acid other than glutamine.

- 15 Claim 16: The method of claim 15, wherein the alkali-stability of Protein Z has been achieved by mutating at least the asparagine residue at position 23 to an amino acid other than glutamine.

Claim 17: The method of any one of claims 1 - 16, wherein said multimer is a dimer.

- 20 Claim 18: The method of any one of claims 1 - 16, wherein said multimer is a tetramer.

Claim 19: The method of any one of claims 1 - 18, wherein the immunoglobulin containing protein is a monoclonal antibody.

- 25 Claim 20: The method of any one of claims 1 - 18, wherein the immunoglobulin containing

protein is a polyclonal antibody.

Claim 21: The method of any one of claims 1 - 18, wherein the immunoglobulin containing protein is a fusion protein containing an immunoglobulin Fc Portion fused with another protein.

5

Claim 22: A ligand comprising one or more domains (monomers) of staphylococcal Protein A (SpA) (E, D, A, B, C) or protein Z or a functional variant thereof, wherein in at least one of the monomers, the Asparagine or Histidine at a position corresponding to H18 of B domain of Protein A or Protein Z has been substituted with another amino acid.

10

Claim 23: The ligand of claim 22, wherein the Asparagine or Histidine has been substituted to Serine.

Claim 24: A matrix for affinity separation comprising ligands according to claim 22 or 23 coupled to a solid support.

15

Claim 25: The matrix of claim 24, wherein the solid support is polysaccharide based.

Claim 26: The matrix of claim 24 or 25, wherein the ligands are coupled via thioether bonds.

20

Claim 27: The method of any one of claims 1 - 21, wherein a pH gradient elution is performed which results in an efficient separation of aggregate and monomer species of the target.

Claim 28: The method of any one of claims 1 - 21 or 24, wherein the ligand provides an increase in elution pH to at least 4.2 while the yield of target molecule is at least 80% or preferably >95%.

25

V D A K F D K E Q Q N A F Y E I L H L P
N L T E E Q R N A F I Q S L K D D P S Q
S A N L L A E A K K L N D A Q A P K

1/6

Figure 1

Protein A (five domains, EDABC)

(N-terminus)	< Helix 1>	< Helix 2 >	< Helix 3 >		SEQ ID No:
	QQNAFYQVLNMPN	LNADQNRNGFIQSLKDDPSQSANVLGEAQKLND	SQAPK	(E)	4
ADAAQQNNFNKDDQQSAFYEIILNMPN	LNADQNRNGFIQSLKDDPSQSTNVLGEAKKLNESQAPK	(D)			5
ADNNFNKEQQNAFYEIILNMPN	LNADQNRNGFIQSLKDDPSQSANLLSEAKKLNESQAPK	(A)			6
ADNKENKEQQNAFYEIILHLPN	LNADQNRNGFIQSLKDDPSQSANLLAEAKKLND	QAAPK	(B)		7
ADNKENKEQQNAFYEIILHLPN	LTQQNRNGFIQSLKDDPSVSKETLAEAKKLND	QAAPK	(C)		8
				(C-terminus)	

Figure 2

3/6

AQVDAKFDKE QQNAFYEILS LPNLTEEQRN AFIQSLKDDP SQSANLLAEA
KKLNDAQAPK VDAKFDKEQQ NAFYEILSLP NLTEEQRNAF IQSLKDDPSQ
SANLLAEAKK LNDAQAPKVD AKFDKEQQNA FYEILSLPNL TEEQRNAFIQ
SLKDDPSQSA NLLAEAKKLN DAQAPKVDK FDKEQQNAFY EILSLPNLTE
EQRNAFIQSL KDDPSQSANL LAEAKKLNDA QAPKC

Figure 3

4/6

AQVDAKFDKE QQNAFYEILS LPNLTEEQRN AFIQSLKDDP SQSANLLAEA
KKLNDAQAPK VDAKFDKEQQ NAFYEILSLP NLTEEQRNAF IQSLKDDPSQ
SANLLAEAKK LNDAQAPKC

Figure 4

mAb D feed, overlay Z4, Z2 and Z(H18S)2 mutation




Figure 5

SEC (Superdex 200) showing aggregates removal on Z(H18S)2 mutation (absorbance measured at 215nm)




Figure 6

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/SE2011/051537

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC: see extra sheet

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC: B01D, B01J, C07K, C12N

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

SE, DK, FI, NO classes as above

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, PAJ, WPI data, BIOSIS, COMPENDEX, EMBASE, INSPEC, MEDLINE, XPESP2, XXSPRNG

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 2005075507 A1 (AFFIBODY AB ET AL), 18 August 2005 (2005-08-18); See abstract; page 1; page 14, lines 1-6; page 15, lines 11-18; page 16, lines 18-20; page 17, line 29 - page 18, line 27; page 21, lines 7-22; page 28, lines 25-32; SEQ ID NO:1; claims 1, 5, 8, 19-20; 31-32; 35-37; 61-62. --	1-28
X	US 6602977 B1 (LJUNGQVIST CHARLOTTA ET AL), 5 August 2003 (2003-08-05); See entire document, especially: abstract; column 1, lines 10-20; column 5, lines 4-9; SEQ ID NO:1; claims 1 and 3.	1, 22
A	--	2-21, 23-28



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

“A” document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

“E” earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

“L” document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

“O” document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

“P” document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

“T” later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

“X” document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

“Y” document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

“&” document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

15-03-2012

Date of mailing of the international search report

20-03-2012

Name and mailing address of the ISA/SE

Patent- och registreringsverket
Box 5055
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM
Facsimile No. + 46 8 666 02 86

Authorized officer

Liza Nilsson

Telephone No. + 46 8 782 25 00

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/SE2011/051537

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	WO 2006092338 A2 (AFFIBODY AB ET AL), 8 September 2006 (2006-09-08); See entire document, especially claims 13, 27-28 --	1-28
A	WO 2010080065 A1 (GE HEALTHCARE BIO SCIENCES AB ET AL), 15 July 2010 (2010-07-15); See entire document, especially abstract; page 1, lines 4-7; page 2, line 30 - page 3, line 30; page 6, lines 9-17; page 7, lines 27-32; claims 1-16 --	1-28
A	US 20060194955 A1 (HOBBER SOPHIA ET AL), 31 August 2006 (2006-08-31); Abstract; paragraphs: [0003] - [0005], [0007], [0008], [0014], [0015], [0018], [0045]-[0049], [0051], [0057], [0058], [0065], [0070]; claims 1-4 --	1-28
A	US 20060194950 A1 (HOBBER SOPHIA ET AL), 31 August 2006 (2006-08-31); Abstract; [0002]-[0004], [0008]-[0009], [0011], [0042]-[0054], [0060]-[0067], [0070], [0075]-[0076], [0078]-[0082]; claims 7-11 -- -----	1-28

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/SE2011/051537

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:

2. ☒ Claims Nos.: 1, 7, 9-12, 28 (all partly)
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:

Claim 1

.../...

3. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying additional fees, this Authority did not invite payment of additional fees.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:

4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest fee.
- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.
- ☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

Continuation of: Box No. II

Present claim 1 relates to a ligand defined by reference to a desirable characteristic or property, namely that the ligands provide an increase elution pH compared to non substituted ligand with essentially unaltered or improved dynamic binding capacity and yield. The claim cover all methods according to claim 1 having this characteristic or property, whereas the application provides support within the meaning of Article 6 PCT and disclosure within the meaning of Article 5 PCT for only a very limited number of ligands. In the present case, the claims so lack support, and the application so lacks disclosure, that a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope is impossible. Independent of the above reasoning, the claims also lack clarity (Article 6 PCT). An attempt is made to define the ligand by reference to a result to be achieved. Again, this lack of clarity in the present case is such as to render a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope impossible.

Consequently, the search has been carried out for those parts of the claims which appear to be clear, supported and disclosed, namely those parts relating to the ligands comprising one or more domains of staphylococcal Protein A (SpA) (E,D,A,B,C) or protein Z, wherein at least one of the monomers, the Asparagine or Histidine at the position corresponding to H18 of B domain Protein A or Protein Z has been substituted with another amino acid, e.g. those parts mentioned in claim 1 beyond the desirable characteristics.

Thence it follows that a reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability only can be established for those parts which have been searched.

Claims 7, 9-11, 28

Present claims 7, 9-11, 28 relate to a ligand defined by reference to a desirable characteristic or property, namely that H18 of domain of Protein A or Protein Z has been substituted with another amino acid that increase the eution pH (claim 7); the ligand provides an increase in elution pH by at least 0,2 compared to non substituted ligand (claim 9); the ligand provides an increase in elution pH by at least 0,4 compared to non substituted ligand (claim 10); the ligand provides an increase in elution pH to at least 4,0 while the yield of target molecule is at least 80% or preferably >95% (claim 11); the ligand provides an increase in elution pH to at least 4,2 while the yield of target molecule is at least 80% or preferably >95% (claim 28). The claims cover all methods according to claim 1 using ligands having these characteristics or properties, whereas the application provides support within the meaning of Article 6 PCT and disclosure within the meaning of Article 5 PCT for only a very limited number of such ligands. In the present case, the claims so lack support, and the application so lacks disclosure, that a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope is impossible. Independent of the above reasoning, the claims also lack clarity (Article 6 PCT). An attempt is made to define the ligands by reference to a result to be achieved. Again, this lack of clarity in the present case is such as to render a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope impossible.

Consequently, the search has been carried out for those parts of the claims which appear to be clear, supported and disclosed, namely those parts relating to the ligands comprising one or more domains of staphylococcal Protein A (SpA) (E,D,A,B,C) or protein Z, wherein at least one of the monomers, the Asparagine or Histidine at the position corresponding to

.../...

Continuation of: Box No. II

H18 of B domain Protein A or Protein Z has been substituted with another amino acid, e.g. those parts mentioned in claim 1 beyond the desirable characteristics.

Thence it follows that a reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability only can be established for those parts which have been searched.

Claim 12

Present claim 12 relates to a method that uses ligands defined by reference to a desirable characteristic or property, namely that they have affinity for the Fc part of an immunoglobulin but lacks affinity for the Fab part. Claim 12 covers all methods according to claim 1 with ligands having this characteristic or property, whereas the application provides support within the meaning of Article 6 PCT and disclosure within the meaning of Article 5 PCT for only a very limited number of such ligands. In the present case, the claims so lack support, and the application so lacks disclosure, that a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope is impossible. Independent of the above reasoning, the claims also lack clarity (Article 6 PCT). An attempt is made to define the ligand by reference to a result to be achieved. Again, this lack of clarity in the present case is such as to render a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope impossible.

Consequently, the search has been carried out for those parts of the claims which appear to be clear, supported and disclosed, namely those parts relating to ligands where at least one glycine of said ligand has been replaced by an alanine have been searched e.g. those parts mentioned in claim 13

Thence it follows that a reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability only can be established for those parts which have been searched.

Continuation of: second sheet

International Patent Classification (IPC)

B01D 15/38 (2006.01)

B01J 20/281 (2006.01)

C07K 1/22 (2006.01)

C07K 14/31 (2006.01)

C12N 15/03 (2006.01)

Download your patent documents at www.prv.se

The cited patent documents can be downloaded:

- From "Cited documents" found under our online services at www.prv.se
(English version)
- From "Anförda dokument" found under "e-tjänster" at www.prv.se
(Swedish version)

Use the application number as username. The password is **MKUNJSZZPH**.

Paper copies can be ordered at a cost of 50 SEK per copy from PRV InterPat (telephone number 08-782 28 85).

Cited literature, if any, will be enclosed in paper form.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/SE2011/051537

WO	2005075507	A1	18/08/2005	NONE		
US	6602977	B1	05/08/2003	NONE		
WO	2006092338	A2	08/09/2006	AT	490268 T	15/12/2010
				DE	602006018592 D1	13/01/2011
				DK	1869071 T3	28/02/2011
				US	7993848 B2	09/08/2011
				US	20090306572 A1	10/12/2009
WO	2010080065	A1	15/07/2010	CN	102272145 A	07/12/2011
				EP	2389386 A1	30/11/2011
US	20060194955	A1	31/08/2006	US	7709209 B2	04/05/2010
US	20060194950	A1	31/08/2006	AT	431360 T	15/05/2009
				AU	2003217119 B2	18/02/2010
				CA	2479896 A1	02/10/2003
				CN	1642976 A	20/07/2005
				DE	60327606 D1	25/06/2009
				EP	1485407 B1	13/05/2009
				EP	1972689 A3	19/11/2008
				ES	2325362 T3	02/09/2009
				JP	4391830 B2	24/12/2009
				JP	2005538693 A	22/12/2005
				KR	20110004456 A	13/01/2011
				US	7834158 B2	16/11/2010
				US	20100022760 A1	28/01/2010
				US	20050143566 A1	30/06/2005
				US	20110112276 A1	12/05/2011
				WO	03080655 A1	02/10/2003