



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<p>(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : G06F 3/033</p>	A1	<p>(11) International Publication Number: WO 97/18508</p> <p>(43) International Publication Date: 22 May 1997 (22.05.97)</p>		
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"> <p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/US96/17862</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: 6 November 1996 (06.11.96)</p> <p>(30) Priority Data: 08/558,114 13 November 1995 (13.11.95) US</p> <p>(71) Applicant: SYNAPTICS, INC. [US/US]; 2698 Orchard Parkway, San Jose, CA 95134 (US).</p> <p>(72) Inventors: GILLESPIE, David; 220 Ventura Avenue #8, Palo alto, CA 94306 (US). ALLEN, Timothy, P.; 16100 Soda Springs Road, Los Gatos, CA 95030 (US). FERRUCCI, Aaron, T.; 2004 Ocean Street Ext., Santa Cruz, CA 95060 (US).</p> <p>(74) Agents: D'ALESSANDRO, Kenneth et al.; D'Alessandro & Ritchie, P.O. Box 640640, San Jose, CA 95164-0640 (US).</p> </td> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"> <p>(81) Designated States: CN, JP, KR, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).</p> <p>Published <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i></p> </td> </tr> </table>			<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/US96/17862</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: 6 November 1996 (06.11.96)</p> <p>(30) Priority Data: 08/558,114 13 November 1995 (13.11.95) US</p> <p>(71) Applicant: SYNAPTICS, INC. [US/US]; 2698 Orchard Parkway, San Jose, CA 95134 (US).</p> <p>(72) Inventors: GILLESPIE, David; 220 Ventura Avenue #8, Palo alto, CA 94306 (US). ALLEN, Timothy, P.; 16100 Soda Springs Road, Los Gatos, CA 95030 (US). FERRUCCI, Aaron, T.; 2004 Ocean Street Ext., Santa Cruz, CA 95060 (US).</p> <p>(74) Agents: D'ALESSANDRO, Kenneth et al.; D'Alessandro & Ritchie, P.O. Box 640640, San Jose, CA 95164-0640 (US).</p>	<p>(81) Designated States: CN, JP, KR, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).</p> <p>Published <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i></p>
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/US96/17862</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: 6 November 1996 (06.11.96)</p> <p>(30) Priority Data: 08/558,114 13 November 1995 (13.11.95) US</p> <p>(71) Applicant: SYNAPTICS, INC. [US/US]; 2698 Orchard Parkway, San Jose, CA 95134 (US).</p> <p>(72) Inventors: GILLESPIE, David; 220 Ventura Avenue #8, Palo alto, CA 94306 (US). ALLEN, Timothy, P.; 16100 Soda Springs Road, Los Gatos, CA 95030 (US). FERRUCCI, Aaron, T.; 2004 Ocean Street Ext., Santa Cruz, CA 95060 (US).</p> <p>(74) Agents: D'ALESSANDRO, Kenneth et al.; D'Alessandro & Ritchie, P.O. Box 640640, San Jose, CA 95164-0640 (US).</p>	<p>(81) Designated States: CN, JP, KR, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).</p> <p>Published <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i></p>			
<p>(54) Title: PRESSURE SENSITIVE SCROLLBAR FEATURE</p>				
<p>(57) Abstract</p> <p>A proximity sensor system includes a sensor matrix array having a characteristic capacitance on horizontal and vertical conductors connected to sensor pads. The capacitance changes as a function of the proximity of an object to the sensor matrix. The change in capacitance of each node in both the X and Y directions of the matrix due to the approach of an object is converted to a set of voltages in the X and Y directions. These voltages are processed by circuitry to develop electrical signals representative of the centroid of the profile of the object, i.e., its position in the X and Y dimensions. Noise reduction and background level setting techniques inherently available in the architecture are employed. Pressure information is used to modify the scrolling speed.</p>				
<div style="display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: flex-end;"> <div style="margin-right: 10px;">420</div> </div>				

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
AU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KZ	Kazakhstan	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	LI	Liechtenstein	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LR	Liberia	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LT	Lithuania	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	US	United States of America
FR	France	MR	Mauritania	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon			VN	Viet Nam

SPECIFICATION

PRESSURE SENSITIVE SCROLLBAR FEATURE

RELATED APPLICATIONS

5 This application is a continuation in part of co-pending application serial No. 08/300,630, filed September 2, 1994, which is a continuation in part of co-pending application serial No. 08/300,387, filed September 2, 1994, which is a continuation-in-part of co-pending application serial No. 08/115,743, filed August 31, 1993, now United States Patent No. 5,374,707, which is a continuation-in-part of co-pending application serial No. 07/895,934, filed June 8, 1992.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field Of The Invention

10 The present invention relates to object position and pressure sensing transducers and systems. More particularly, the present invention relates to object position and pressure recognition useful in applications such as cursor movement for computing devices and other applications, and
15 especially for enhancing the scrollbar feature in applications for computing devices.

2. The Prior Art

20 In the vast number of computer systems available today, the interface between the computer system user and the computer system is a graphical interface on a visual display. In several very widespread computer system operating systems, the operating system presents in the graphical interface a "window" through which applications such as word processing, spreadsheets, database, etc. are viewed. It is common in applications to create electronic documents which are also depicted graphically in the visual display. In order to create documents, applications have a variety of features and controls. One common way of accessing these features and controls is by moving a graphical cursor over the graphical display of the feature by some manner of object
25 position detector. The feature is then often activated by the object position detector itself.

30 Numerous devices are available or have been proposed for use as object position detectors for use in computer systems and other applications. The most familiar of such devices is the computer "mouse". While extremely popular as a position indicating device, a mouse has mechanical parts and requires a surface upon which to roll its position ball. Furthermore, a mouse usually needs to be moved over long distances for reasonable resolution. Finally, a mouse requires the user to lift a hand from the keyboard to make the cursor movement, thereby upsetting the prime purpose, which is usually typing on the computer.

Trackball devices are similar to mouse devices. A major difference, however is that, unlike a mouse device, a trackball device does not require a surface across which it must be rolled. Trackball devices are still expensive, have moving parts, and require a relatively heavy touch as do the mouse devices. They are also large in size and do not fit well in a volume-sensitive application like a laptop computer.

There are several available touch-sense technologies which may be employed for use as a position indicator. Resistive-membrane position sensors are known and used in several applications. However, they generally suffer from poor resolution, the sensor surface is exposed to the user and is thus subject to wear. In addition, resistive-membrane touch sensors are relatively expensive. A one-surface approach requires a user to be grounded to the sensor for reliable operation. This cannot be guaranteed in portable computers. An example of a one-surface approach is the UnMouse product by MicroTouch, of Wilmington, MA. A two-surface approach has poorer resolution and potentially will wear out very quickly in time.

Resistive tablets are taught by United States Patent No. 4,680,430 to Yoshikawa, United States Patent No. 3,497,617 to Ellis and many others. The drawback of all such approaches is the high power consumption and the high cost of the resistive membrane employed.

Surface Acoustic Wave (SAW) devices have potential use as position indicators. However, this sensor technology is expensive and is not sensitive to light touch. In addition, SAW devices are sensitive to residue buildup on the touch surfaces and generally have poor resolution.

Strain gauge or pressure plate approaches are an interesting position sensing technology, but suffer from several drawbacks. This approach may employ piezo-electric transducers. One drawback is that the piezo phenomena is an AC phenomena and may be sensitive to the user's rate of movement. In addition, strain gauge or pressure plate approaches are somewhat expensive because special sensors are required.

Optical approaches are also possible but are somewhat limited for several reasons. All would require light generation which will require external components and increase cost and power drain. For example, a "finger-breaking" infra-red matrix position detector consumes high power and suffers from relatively poor resolution.

There have been numerous attempts to provide a device for sensing the position of a thumb or other finger for use as a pointing device to replace a mouse or trackball. Desirable attributes of such a device are low power, low profile, high resolution, low cost, fast response, and ability to

operate reliably when the finger carries electrical noise, or when the touch surface is contaminated with dirt or moisture.

Because of the drawbacks of resistive devices, many attempts have been made to provide pointing capability based on capacitively sensing the position of the finger. United States Patent No. 3,921,166 to Volpe teaches a capacitive matrix in which the finger changes the transcapacitance between row and column electrodes. United States Patent No. 4,103,252 to Bobick employs four oscillating signals to interpolate x and y positions between four capacitive electrodes. United States Patent No. 4,455,452 to Schuyler teaches a capacitive tablet wherein the finger attenuates the capacitive coupling between electrodes.

United States Patent No. 4,550,221 to Mabuth teaches a capacitive tablet wherein the effective capacitance to "virtual ground" is measured by an oscillating signal. Each row or column is polled sequentially, and a rudimentary form of interpolation is applied to resolve the position between two rows or columns. An attempt is made to address the problem of electrical interference by averaging over many cycles of the oscillating waveform. The problem of contamination is addressed by sensing when no finger was present, and applying a periodic calibration during such no-finger-present periods. United States Patent No. 4,639,720 to Rypalski teaches a tablet for sensing the position of a stylus. The stylus alters the transcapacitance coupling between row and column electrodes, which are scanned sequentially. United States Patent No. 4,736,191 to Matzke teaches a radial electrode arrangement under the space bar of a keyboard, to be activated by touching with a thumb. This patent teaches the use of total touch capacitance, as an indication of the touch pressure, to control the velocity of cursor motion. Pulsed sequential polling is employed to address the effects of electrical interference.

United States Patent Nos. 4,686,332 and 5,149,919, to Greanias, teaches a stylus and finger detection system meant to be mounted on a CRT. As a finger detection system, it's X/Y sensor matrix is used to locate the two matrix wires carrying the maximum signal. With a coding scheme these two wires uniquely determine the location of the finger position to the resolution of the wire stepping. For stylus detection, Greanias first coarsely locates it, then develops a virtual dipole by driving all lines on one side of the object in one direction and all lines on the opposite side in the opposite direction. This is done three times with different dipole phases and signal polarities. Assuming a predetermined matrix response to the object, the three measurements present a set of simultaneous equations that can be solved for position.

United States Patent No. 4,733,222 to Evans is the first to teach a capacitance touch measurement system that interpolates to a high degree. Evans teaches a three terminal measurement system that uses a drive, sense and electrode signal set (3 signals) in its matrix, and

bases the measurement on the attenuation effect of a finger on the electrode node signal (uses a capacitive divider phenomena). Evans sequentially scans through each drive set to measure the capacitance. From the three largest responses an interpolation routine is applied to determine finger position. Evans also teaches a zeroing technique that allows "no-finger" levels to be cancelled out as part of the measurement.

United States Patent No. 5,016,008 to Gruaz describes a touch sensitive pad that also uses interpolation. Gruaz uses a drive and sense signal set (2 signals) in the touch matrix and like Evans relies on the attenuation effect of a finger to modulate the drive signal. The touch matrix is sequentially scanned to read the response of each matrix line. An interpolation program then selects the two largest adjacent signals in both dimensions to determine the finger location, and ratiometrically determines the effective position from those 4 numbers.

Gerpheide, PCT application US90/04584, publication No. W091/03039, United States Patent No. 5,305,017 applies to a touch pad system a variation of the virtual dipole approach of Greanias. Gerpheide teaches the application of an oscillating potential of a given frequency and phase to all electrodes on one side of the virtual dipole, and an oscillating potential of the same frequency and opposite phase to those on the other side. Electronic circuits develop a "balance signal" which is zero when no finger is present, and which has one polarity if a finger is on one side of the center of the virtual dipole, and the opposite polarity if the finger is on the opposite side. To acquire the position of the finger initially, the virtual dipole is scanned sequentially across the tablet. Once the finger is located, it is "tracked" by moving the virtual dipole toward the finger once the finger has moved more than one row or column.

Because the virtual dipole method operates by generating a balance signal that is zero when the capacitance does not vary with distance, it only senses the perimeter of the finger contact area, rather than the entire contact area. Because the method relies on synchronous detection of the exciting signal, it must average for long periods to reject electrical interference, and hence it is slow. The averaging time required by this method, together with the necessity to search sequentially for a new finger contact once a previous contact is lost, makes this method, like those before it, fall short of the requirements for a fast pointing device that is not affected by electrical interference.

It should also be noted that all previous touch pad inventions that used interpolation placed rigorous design requirements on their sensing pad. Greanias and Evans use a complicated and expensive drive, sense and electrode line scheme to develop their signal. Gruaz and Gerpheide use a two signal drive and sense set. In the present invention the driving and sensing is done on the same line. This allows the row and column sections to be symmetric and equivalent. This in

turn allows independent calibration of all signal paths, which makes board layout simpler and less constraining, and allows for more unique sensor topologies.

5 The shortcomings of the inventions and techniques described in the prior art can also be traced to the use of only one set of driving and sensing electronics, which was multiplexed sequentially over the electrodes in the tablet. This arrangement was cost effective in the days of discrete components, and avoided offset and scale differences among circuits.

The sequential scanning approach of previous systems also made them more susceptible to noise. Noise levels could change between successive measurements, thus changing the measured signal and the assumptions used in interpolation routines.

10 Finally, all previous approaches assumed a particular signal response for finger position versus matrix position. Because the transfer curve is very sensitive to many parameters and is not a smooth linear curve as Greanias and Gerpheide assume, such approaches are limited in the amount of interpolation they can perform.

15 In prior co-pending application serial No. 08/115,743, filed August 31, 1993, now United States Patent No. _____, a two-dimensional capacitive sensing system equipped with a separate set of drive/sense electronics for each row and for each column of a capacitive tablet is disclosed. All row electrodes are sensed simultaneously, and all column electrodes are sensed simultaneously. The sensed signals are processed by analog circuitry.

20 One of the most common controls in applications is a scrolling feature. Those of ordinary skill in the art are readily familiar with the scrolling feature of documents in graphical displays. With this feature, a user can move through a document line by line. Unfortunately, the scrolling takes place at a constant rate. This feature is often accessed with an object position detector which is used to move the cursor over the scroll arrow. The document is then scrolled a constant rate by activating the scroll arrow, often with the object position detector. If the object position detector
25 is a "mouse", the scroll arrow can be activated by depressing and holding the mouse button.

It is thus an object of the present invention to provide a two-dimensional capacitive sensing system equipped with a separate set of drive/sense electronics for each row and for each column of a capacitive tablet, wherein all row electrodes are sensed simultaneously, and all column electrodes are sensed simultaneously.

30 It is a further object of the present invention to provide an electronic system that is sensitive to the entire area of contact of a finger or other conductive object with a capacitive tablet, and to provide

as output the coordinates of some measure of the center of this contact area while remaining insensitive to the characteristic profile of the object being detected.

5 It is a further object of the present invention to provide an electronic system that provides as output some measure of area of contact of a finger or other conductive object with a capacitive tablet.

10 Yet another object of the present invention is to provide a two-dimensional capacitive sensing system equipped with a separate set of drive/sense electronics for each row and for each column of a capacitive tablet, wherein all row electrodes are sensed simultaneously, and all column electrodes are sensed simultaneously and wherein the information defining the location of a finger or other conductive object is processed in digital form.

15 It is a further object of the present invention to provide a two-dimensional capacitive sensing system wherein all row electrodes are sensed simultaneously, and all column electrodes are sensed simultaneously and wherein the location of a finger or other conductive object within a peripheral region of a sensing plane can optionally cause cursor "edge motion" on a display screen allowing control of large cursor excursions from a small sensing plane with a single gesture.

It is a further object of the present invention to provide a scrolling feature of an application program wherein a document may be scrolled at a variable rate.

20 It is a further object of the invention vary the rate of the scrolling feature of an applications program as a function of pressure information sensed by an object position detector.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

25 With the advent of very high levels of integration, it has become possible to integrate many channels of driving/sensing electronics into one integrated circuit, along with the control logic for operating them, and the interface electronics to allow the pointing device to communicate directly with a host microprocessor. The present invention uses adaptive analog techniques to overcome offset and scale differences between channels, and can thus sense either transcapacitance or self-capacitance of all tablet rows or columns in parallel. This parallel-sensing capability, made possible by providing one set of electronics per row or column, allows the sensing cycle to be extremely short, thus allowing fast response while still maintaining immunity to very high levels of electrical interference.

30

The present invention comprises a position-sensing technology particularly useful for applications where finger position information is needed, such as in computer "mouse" or trackball environments. However the position-sensing technology of the present invention has much more general application than a computer mouse, because its sensor can detect and report if one or more points are being touched. In addition, the detector can sense the pressure of the touch.

According to a preferred embodiment of the present invention, referred to herein as a "finger pointer" embodiment, a position sensing system includes a position sensing transducer comprising a touch-sensitive surface disposed on a substrate, such as a printed circuit board, including a matrix of conductive lines. A first set of conductive lines runs in a first direction and is insulated from a second set of conductive lines running in a second direction generally perpendicular to the first direction. An insulating layer is disposed over the first and second sets of conductive lines. The insulating layer is thin enough to promote significant capacitive coupling between a finger placed on its surface and the first and second sets of conductive lines.

Sensing electronics respond to the proximity of a finger, conductive object, or an object of high dielectric constant (i.e., greater than about 5) to translate the capacitance changes of the conductors caused by object proximity into digital information which is processed to derive position and touch pressure information. Its output is a simple X, Y and pressure value, Z, of the one object on its surface. In all descriptions herein, fingers are to be considered interchangeable with conductive objects and objects of high dielectric constant.

Different prior art pad scan techniques have different advantages in different environments. Parallel drive/sense techniques according to the present invention allow input samples to be taken simultaneously, thus all channels are affected by the same phase of an interfering electrical signal, greatly simplifying the signal processing and noise filtering.

There are two drive/sense methods employed in the touch sensing technology of the present invention. According to a first and presently preferred embodiment of the invention, the voltages on all of the X lines of the sensor matrix are simultaneously moved, while the voltages of the Y lines are held at a constant voltage, with the complete set of sampled points simultaneously giving a profile of the finger in the X dimension. Next, the voltages on all of the Y lines of the sensor matrix are simultaneously moved, while the voltages of the X lines are held at a constant voltage to obtain a complete set of sampled points simultaneously giving a profile of the finger in the other dimension.

According to a second drive/sense method, the voltages on all of the X lines of the sensor matrix are simultaneously moved in a positive direction, while the voltages of the Y lines are moved in a negative direction. Next, the voltages on all of the X lines of the sensor matrix are simultaneously moved in a negative direction, while the voltages of the Y lines are moved in a positive direction. This technique doubles the effect of any transcapacitance between the two dimensions, or conversely, halves the effect of any parasitic capacitance to ground. In both methods, the capacitive information from the sensing process provides a profile of the proximity of the finger to the sensor in each dimension.

As presently preferred, both embodiments then take these profiles and derive a digital value representing the centroid for X and Y position and derive a second digital value for the Z pressure information. The digital information may be directly used by a host computer. Analog processing of the capacitive information may also be used according to the present invention.

According to another aspect of the present invention, a scroll feature modifier uses the Z pressure information to make the rate of scrolling a function of the pressure information.

The position sensor of these embodiments can only report the position of one object on its sensor surface. If more than one object is present, the position sensor of this embodiment computes the centroid position of the combined set of objects. However, unlike prior art, because the entire pad is being profiled, enough information is available to discern simple multi-finger gestures to allow for a more powerful user interface.

According to another aspect of the present invention, several power reduction techniques which can shut down the circuit between measurements have been integrated into the system. This is possible because the parallel measurement technique according to the present invention is so much faster than prior art techniques.

According to a further aspect of the invention, a variety of noise reduction techniques are integrated into the system.

According to yet another aspect of the present invention, a capacitance measurement technique which is easier to calibrate and implement is employed.

According to another aspect of the present invention, when the presence of a finger or other conductive object is sensed within a defined peripheral region of the sensing plane, the control of cursor motion may be changed to provide "edge motion" to allow control of large cursor excursions on a display screen from a single gesture executed on a small sensing plane.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is an overall block diagram of the capacitive position sensing system of the present invention.

5 FIG. 2a is a top view of an object position sensor transducer according to a presently preferred embodiment of the invention showing the object position sensor surface layer including a top conductive trace layer and conductive pads connected to a bottom trace layer.

FIG. 2b is a bottom view of the object position sensor transducer of FIG. 2a showing the bottom conductive trace layer.

10 FIG. 2c is a composite view of the object position sensor transducer of FIGS. 2a and 2b showing both the top and bottom conductive trace layers.

FIG. 2d is a cross-sectional view of the object position sensor transducer of FIGS. 2a-2c.

FIG. 3 is a block diagram of sensor decoding electronics which may be used with the sensor transducer in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention.

15 FIG. 4a is a simplified schematic diagram of a charge integrator circuit which may be used in the present invention.

FIG. 4b is an illustrative schematic diagram of the charge integrator circuit of FIG. 4a.

FIG. 5 is a timing diagram of the operation of charge integrator circuit of FIGS. 4a and 4b.

FIG. 6 is a schematic diagram of an illustrative filter and sample/hold circuit for use in the present invention.

20 FIG. 7 is a more detailed block diagram of a presently preferred arrangement of A/D converters for use in the present invention.

FIG. 8 is a block diagram of an illustrative arithmetic unit which may be used in the present invention.

25 FIG. 9 is a block diagram of a calibration unit which may be used with the arithmetic unit of FIG. 8.

FIG. 10 is a schematic diagram of a bias voltage generating circuit useful in the present invention.

FIG. 11 is a diagram of the sensing plane illustrating the edge motion feature of the object position sensor of the present invention.

5 FIG. 12 is a schematic diagram illustrating hardware implementation of the determination of whether a finger or other object is present in the peripheral regions of the sensing plane.

FIG. 13 is a schematic diagram illustrating hardware implementation of the edge motion feature of the present invention.

10 FIGS. 14a-14c are flow diagrams illustrating a process according to the present invention for recognizing tap and drag gestures.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF A PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

This application is a continuation-in-part of co-pending application serial No. 08/_____, filed September 2, 1994, which is a continuation-in-part of co-pending application serial No. 08/115,743, filed August 31, 1993, now United States Patent No. _____, which is a
15 continuation-in-part of co-pending application serial No. 07/895,934, filed June 8, 1992. The present invention continues the approach disclosed in the parent applications and provides more unique features not previously available. These improvements provide a more easily integrated solution, increased sensitivity, and greater noise rejection, increased data acquisition rate and decreased power consumption. The present invention allows for continuous self calibration to
20 subtract out the effects of environmental changes and allows for enhanced cursor control from edge motion on a sensing plane.

Those of ordinary skill in the art will realize that the following description of the present invention is illustrative only and not in any way limiting. Other embodiments of the invention will readily suggest themselves to such skilled persons.

25 The present invention brings together in combination a number of unique features which allow for new applications not before possible. Because the object position sensor of the present invention has very low power requirements, it is beneficial for use in battery operated or low power applications such as lap top or portable computers. It is also a very low cost solution, has no moving parts (and is therefore virtually maintenance free), and uses the existing printed
30 circuit board traces for sensors. The sensing technology of the present invention can be integrated into a computer motherboard to even further lower its cost in computer applications.

Similarly, in other applications the sensor can be part of an already existent circuit board. Because of its small size and low profile, the sensor technology of the present invention is useful in lap top or portable applications where volume is an important consideration. The sensor technology of the present invention requires circuit board space for only a single sensor interface chip that can interface directly to a microprocessor, plus the area needed on the printed circuit board for sensing.

Referring first to FIG. 1, a simplified block diagram of the capacitive position sensing system 6 of the present invention is presented. Capacitive position sensing system 6 can accurately determine the position of a finger 8 or other conductive object proximate to or touching a sensing plane 10. The capacitance of a plurality of conductive lines running in a first direction (e.g., "X") is sensed by X input processing circuitry 12 and the capacitance of a plurality of conductive lines running in a second direction (e.g., "Y") is sensed by Y input processing circuitry 14. The sensed capacitance values are digitized in both X input processing circuitry 12 and Y input processing circuitry 14. The outputs of X input processing circuitry 12 and Y input processing circuitry 14 are presented to arithmetic unit 16, which uses the digital information to derive digital information representing the position and pressure of the finger 8 or other conductive object relative to the sensing plane 10.

The X, Y, and Z outputs of arithmetic unit 16 are directed to motion unit 18 which provides the cursor motion direction signals to the host computer. The X, Y, and Z outputs of arithmetic unit 16 are also directed to gesture unit 20, which is used to recognize certain finger gestures performed by a user on sensing plane 10. The virtual button output from gesture unit 20 may be used by motion unit 18 to implement some of the functionality of motion unit 18.

The sensor material can be anything that allows creation of a conductive X/Y matrix of pads. This includes not only standard PC boards, but also includes but is not limited to flexible PC boards, conductive elastomer materials, silk-screened conductive lines, and piezo-electric Kynar plastic materials. This renders it useful as well in any portable equipment application or in human interface where the sensor needs to be molded to fit within the hand.

The sensor can be conformed to any three dimensional surface. Copper can be plated in two layers on most any surface contour producing the sensor. This will allow the sensor to be adapted to the best ergonomic form needed for any particular application. This coupled with the "light-touch" feature will make it effortless to use in many applications. The sensor can also be used in an indirect manner, i.e it can have an insulating foam material covered by a conductive layer over the touch sensing surface and be used to detect any object (not just conductive) that presses against it's surface.

Small sensor areas are practical, i.e., a presently conceived embodiment takes about 1.5"x 1.5" of area, however those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the area is scaleable for different applications. The matrix area is scaleable by either varying the matrix trace spacing or by varying the number of traces. Large sensor areas are practical where more information is
5 needed.

Besides simple X and Y position information, the sensor technology of the present invention also provides finger pressure information. This additional dimension of information may be used by programs to control special features such as "brush-width" modes in Paint programs, special menu accesses, etc., allowing provision of a more natural sensory input to computers. It has
10 also been found useful for implementing "mouse click and drag" modes and for simple input gestures.

In the present invention, the scroll rate is made a function of the Z pressure information. According to the present invention, when the scroll feature is activated by moving a cursor over a scroll arrow in a graphical display, and the virtual button on the gesture unit 20 is depressed, the
15 rate of scrolling is dictated by the pressure information Z obtained from the arithmetic unit 16 through the touchpad 20. As the pressure information Z varies, so does the scrolling rate.

The user will not even have to touch the surface to generate the minimum reaction. This feature can greatly minimize user strain and allow for more flexible use.

The sense system of the present invention depends on a transducer device capable of providing
20 position and pressure information regarding the object contacting the transducer. Referring now to FIGS. 2a-2d, top, bottom, composite, and cross-sectional views, respectively, are shown of a presently-preferred sensing plane 10 comprising a touch sensor array 22 for use in the present invention. Since capacitance is exploited by this embodiment of the present invention, the surface of touch sensor array 22 is designed to maximize the capacitive coupling to a finger or
25 other conductive object.

A presently preferred touch sensor array 22 according to the present invention comprises a substrate 24 including a set of first conductive traces 26 disposed on a top surface 28 thereof and run in a first direction to comprise row positions of the array. A second set of conductive traces
30 30 are disposed on a bottom surface 32 thereof and run in a second direction preferably orthogonal to the first direction to form the column positions of the array. The top and bottom conductive traces 26 and 30 are alternately in contact with periodic sense pads 34 comprising enlarged areas, shown as diamonds in FIGS. 2a-2c. While sense pads 34 are shown as diamonds in FIGS. 2a-2c, any shape, such as circles, which allows them to be closely packed is

equivalent for purposes of this invention. As an arbitrary convention herein, the first conductive traces 26 will be referred to as being oriented in the "X" or "row" direction and may be referred to herein sometimes as "X lines" and the second conductive traces 30 will be referred to as being oriented in the "Y" or "column" direction and may be referred to herein sometimes as "Y lines".

5 The number and spacing of these sense pads 34 depends upon the resolution desired. For example, in an actual embodiment constructed according to the principles of the present invention, a 0.10 inch center-to-center diamond-shaped pattern of conductive pads disposed along a matrix of 15 rows and 15 columns of conductors is employed. Every other sense pad 34 in each direction in the pad pattern is connected to conductive traces on the top and bottom
10 surfaces 28 and 32, respectively of substrate 24.

Substrate 24 may be a printed circuit board, a flexible circuit board or any of a number of available circuit interconnect technology structures. Its thickness is unimportant as long as contact may be made therethrough from the bottom conductive traces 30 to their sense pads 34 on the top surface 28. The printed circuit board comprising substrate 24 can be constructed using
15 standard industry techniques. Board thickness is not important. Connections from the conductive pads 34 to the bottom traces 30 may be made employing standard plated-through hole techniques well known in the printed circuit board art.

In an alternate embodiment of the present invention, the substrate material 24 may have a thickness on the order of 0.005 to 0.010 inches. Then the diamonds on the upper surface 28
20 and the plated through holes that connect to the lower surface traces 30, can be omitted, further reducing the cost of the system.

An insulating layer 36 is disposed over the sense pads 34 on top surface 28 to insulate a human finger or other object therefrom. Insulating layer 36 is preferably a thin layer (i.e., approximately 5 mils) to keep capacitive coupling large and may comprise a material, such as mylar, chosen for
25 its protective and ergonomic characteristics. The term "significant capacitive coupling" as used herein shall mean capacitive coupling having a magnitude greater than about 0.5 pF.

There are two different capacitive effects taking place when a finger approaches the touch sensor array 22. The first capacitive effect is trans-capacitance, or coupling between sense pads 34, and the second capacitive effect is self-capacitance, or coupling to virtual ground. Sensing circuitry is
30 coupled to the sensor array 22 of the present invention and responds to changes in either or both of these capacitances. This is important because the relative sizes of the two capacitances change greatly depending on the user environment. The ability of the present invention to detect changes in both self capacitance and trans-capacitance results in a very versatile system having a wide

range of applications.

According to the preferred embodiment of the invention, a position sensor system including touch sensor array 22 and associated position detection circuitry will detect a finger position on a matrix of printed circuit board traces via the capacitive effect of finger proximity to the sensor array 22. The position sensor system will report the X, Y position of a finger placed near the sensor array 22 to much finer resolution than the spacing between the row and column traces 26 and 30. The position sensor according to this embodiment of the invention will also report a Z value proportional to the outline of that finger and hence indicative of the pressure with which the finger contacts the surface of insulating layer 36 over the sensing array 22.

According to the presently preferred embodiment of the invention, a very sensitive, light-touch detector circuit may be provided using adaptive analog and digital VLSI techniques. The circuit of the present invention is very robust and calibrates out process and systematic errors. The detector circuit of the present invention will process the capacitive input information and provide digital information which may be presented directly to a microprocessor.

According to this embodiment of the invention, sensing circuitry is contained on a single sensor processor integrated circuit chip. The sensor processor chip can have any number of X and Y "matrix" inputs. The number of X and Y inputs does not have to be equal. The Integrated circuit has a digital bus as output. In the illustrative example disclosed in FIGS. 2a-2d herein, the sensor array has 15 traces in both the X and Y directions. The sensor processor chip thus has 15 X inputs and 15 Y inputs. An actual embodiment constructed according to the principles of the present invention employed 18 traces in the X direction and 24 traces in the Y direction. Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the size of the sensing matrix which may be employed in the present invention is arbitrary and will be dictated largely by design choice.

The X and Y matrix nodes are driven and sensed in parallel, with the capacitive information from each line indicating how close a finger is to that node. The scanned information provides a profile of the finger proximity in each dimension. According to this aspect of the present invention, the profile centroid is derived in both the X and Y directions and is the position in that dimension. The profile curve of proximity is also integrated to provide the Z information.

There are two drive and sense methods employed in the touch sensing technology of the present invention. According to a first and presently preferred embodiment of the invention, the voltages on all of the X lines of the sensor matrix are simultaneously moved, while the voltages of the Y lines are held at a constant voltage. Next, the voltages on all of the Y lines of the sensor matrix are simultaneously moved, while the voltages of the X lines are held at a constant voltage. This

scanning method accentuates the measurement of capacitance to virtual ground provided by the finger. Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that order of these two steps is somewhat arbitrary and may be reversed.

According to a second drive/sense method, the voltages on all of the X lines of the sensor matrix are simultaneously moved in a positive direction, while the voltages of the Y lines are moved in a negative direction. Next, the voltages on all of the X lines of the sensor matrix are simultaneously moved in a negative direction, while the voltages of the Y lines are moved in a positive direction. This second drive/sense method accentuates transcapacitance and de-emphasizes virtual ground capacitance. As with the first drive/sense method, those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that order of these two steps is somewhat arbitrary and may be reversed.

Referring now to FIG. 3, a block diagram of the presently preferred sensing circuitry 40 for use according to the present invention is presented. This block diagram, and the accompanying disclosure, relates to the sensing circuitry in one dimension (X) only, and includes the X input processing circuitry 12 of FIG. 1. Those of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that an identical circuit would be used for sensing the opposite (Y) dimension and would include the Y input processing circuitry 14 of FIG. 1. Such skilled persons will further note that the two dimensions do not need to be orthogonal to one another. For example, they can be radial or of any other nature to match the contour of the touch sensor array and other needs of the system. Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the technology disclosed herein could be applied as well to a one-dimensional case where only one set of conductive traces is used.

The capacitance at each sensor matrix node is represented by equivalent capacitors 42-1 through 42-n. The capacitance of capacitors 42-1 through 42-n comprises the capacitance of the matrix conductors and has a characteristic background value when no object (e.g., a finger) is proximate to the sensing plane of the sensor matrix. As an object approaches the sensing plane the capacitance of capacitors 42-1 through 42-n increases in proportion to the size and proximity of the object.

According to the present invention, the capacitance at each sensor matrix node is measured simultaneously using charge integrator circuits 44-1 through 44-n. Charge-integrator circuits 44-1 through 44-n serve to inject charge into the capacitances 42-1 through 42-n, respectively, and to develop an output voltage proportional to the capacitance sensed on the corresponding X matrix line. Thus charge-integrator circuits 44-1 through 44-n are shown as bidirectional amplifier symbols. Each charge-integrator circuit 44-1 through 44-n is supplied with an operating bias voltage by bias-voltage generating circuit 46.

As used herein, the phrase "proportional to the capacitance" means that the voltage signal generated is a monotonic function of the sensed capacitance. In the embodiment described herein, the voltage is directly and linearly proportional to the capacitance sensed. Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that other monotonic functions, including but not limited to inverse proportionality, and non-linear proportionality such as logarithmic or exponential functions, could be employed in the present invention without departing from the principles disclosed herein. In addition current-sensing as well as voltage-sensing techniques could be employed.

According to a presently preferred drive/sense method used in the present invention, the capacitance measurements are performed simultaneously across all inputs in one dimension to overcome a problem which is inherent in all prior art approaches that scan individual inputs. The problem with the prior-art approach is that it is sensitive to high frequency and large amplitude noise (large dv/dt noise) that is coupled to the circuit via the touching object. Such noise may distort the finger profile because of noise appearing in a later scan cycle but not an earlier one, due to a change in the noise level.

The present invention overcomes this problem by "taking a snapshot" of all inputs simultaneously in X and then Y directions (or visa versa). Because the injected noise is proportional to the finger signal strength across all inputs, it is therefore symmetric around the finger centroid. Because it is symmetric around the finger centroid it does not affect the finger position. Additionally, the charge amplifier performs a differential measuring function to further reject common-mode noise.

Because of the nature of the charge integrator circuits 44-1 through 44-n, their outputs will be changing over time and will have the desired voltage output for only a short time. As presently preferred, filter circuits 48-1 through 48-n are implemented as sample and hold switched capacitor filters.

The desired voltage is captured by the filter circuits 48-1 through 48-n. As controlled by control circuitry, 56, the filter circuits 48-1 through 48-n will filter out any high frequency noise from the sensed signal. This is accomplished by choosing the capacitor for the filter to be much larger than the output capacitance of charge integrator circuits 44-1 through 44-n. In addition, those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the switched capacitor filter circuits 48-1 through 48-n will capture the desired voltages and store them.

According to the present invention, the capacitance information obtained in voltage form from the capacitance measurements is digitized and processed in digital format. Accordingly, the voltages

stored by filter circuits 48-1 through 48-n are stored in sample/hold circuits 50-1 through 50-n so that the remainder of the circuitry processes input data taken at the same time. Sample/hold circuits 50-1 through 50-n may be configured as conventional sample/hold circuits as is well known in the art.

5 The sampled analog voltages at the outputs of sample/hold circuits 50-1 through 50-n are digitized by analog-to-digital (A/D) converters 52. As presently preferred, A/D converters 52 resolve the input voltage to a 10-bit wide digital signal (a resolution of one part in 1,024), although those of ordinary skill in the art will realize that other resolutions may be employed. A/D converters 52 may be conventional successive approximation type converters as is known in
10 the art.

Given the charge integrator circuitry employed in the present invention, the background level (no object present) of the charge integrator outputs will be about 1 volt. The ΔV resulting from the presence of a finger or other object will typically be about 0.4 volt. The voltage range of the A/D converters 52 should therefore be in the range of between about 1-2 volts.

15 An important consideration is the minimum and maximum voltage reference points for the A/D converters (V_{\min} and V_{\max}). It has been found that noise will cause position jitter if these reference voltages are fixed points. A solution to this problem which is employed in the present invention is to dynamically generate the V_{\min} and V_{\max} reference voltages from reference capacitances 42-Vmin and 42-Vmax, sensed by charge integrator circuits 44-Vmin and 44-Vmax
20 and processed by filter circuits 48-Vmin and 48-Vmax and stored in sample/hold circuits 50-Vmin and 50-Vmax. In this manner, any common mode noise present when the signals are sampled from the sensor array will also be present in the V_{\min} and V_{\max} reference voltage values and will tend to cancel. Those of ordinary skill in the art will realize that reference capacitances 44-Vmin and 44-Vmax may either be discrete capacitors or extra traces in the sensor array.

25 According to the present invention, the V_{\min} reference voltage is generated from a capacitor having a value equal to the lowest capacitance expected to be encountered in the sensor array with no object present (about 12pF assuming a 2 inch square sensor array). The V_{\max} reference voltage is generated from a capacitor having a value equal to the largest capacitance expected to be encountered in the sensor array with an object present (about 16pF assuming a 2 inch square
30 sensor array).

The outputs of A/D converters 52 provide inputs to arithmetic unit 16. As will be more fully disclosed with reference to FIG. 8, the function of arithmetic unit 16 is to compute the weighted

average of the signals on the individual sense lines in both the X and Y directions in the touch sensor array 22. Thus, arithmetic unit 16 is shared by the X input processing circuitry 12 and the Y input processing circuitry 14 as shown in FIG. 1.

- 5 Control circuitry 56 of FIG. 3 orchestrates the operation of the remainder of the circuitry. Because the system is discretely sampled and pipelined in its operation, control circuitry 56 is present to manage the signal flow. The functions performed by control circuitry 56 may be conventionally developed via what is commonly known in the art as a state machine or microcontroller.
- 10 The structure and operation of the individual blocks of FIG. 3 will now be disclosed. Referring now to FIGS. 4a, 4b, and 5, a typical charge integrator circuit will be described. Charge integrator circuit 44 is shown as a simplified schematic diagram in FIG. 4a and as an illustrative schematic diagram in FIG. 4b. The timing of the operation of charge integrator circuit 44 is shown in FIG. 5. These timing signals are provided by the controller block 56.
- 15 Charge integrator circuit 44 is based on the fundamental physical phenomena of using a current to charge a capacitor. If the capacitor is charged for a constant time by a constant current, then a voltage will be produced on the capacitor which is inversely proportional to the capacitance. The capacitance to be charged is the sensor matrix line capacitance 42 in parallel with an internal capacitor. This internal capacitor will contain the voltage of interest.
- 20 Referring now to FIG. 4a, a simplified schematic diagram of an illustrative charge integrator circuit 44 is shown. A charge integrator circuit input node 60 is connected to one of the X (or Y) lines of the sensor matrix. A first shorting switch 62 is connected between the charge integrator circuit input node 60 and V_{DD} , the positive supply rail. A second shorting switch 64 is connected between the charge integrator circuit input node 60 and ground, the negative supply rail. A
- 25 positive constant current source 66 is connected to V_{DD} , the positive supply rail and to the charge integrator circuit input node 60 and through a first current source switch 68. A negative constant current source 70 is connected to ground and to the charge integrator circuit input node 60 and through a second current source switch 72. It is obvious that other high and low voltage rails could be used in place of V_{DD} and ground.
- 30 A first internal capacitor 74 is connected between V_{DD} and output node 76 of charge integrator circuit 44. A positive voltage storage switch 78 is connected between output node 76 and input node 60. A second internal capacitor 80 has one of its plates connected to ground through a switch 82 and to output node 76 of charge integrator circuit 44 through a switch 84, and the other one of its plates connected to input node 60 through a negative voltage storage switch 86 and to

V_{DD} through a switch 88. The capacitance of first and second internal capacitances 74 and 80 should be a small fraction (i.e., about 10%) of the capacitance of the individual sensor matrix lines. In a typical embodiment, the sensor matrix line capacitance will be about 10pF and the capacitance of capacitors 74 and 80 should be about 1pF.

5 According to the presently preferred embodiment of the invention, the approach used is a differential measurement for added noise immunity, the benefit of which is that any low frequency common mode noise gets subtracted out. For the following discussion, it is to be assumed that all switches are open unless they are noted as closed. First, the sensor matrix line is momentarily shorted to V_{DD} through switch 62, switch 78 is closed connecting capacitor 74 in
10 parallel with the capacitance of the sensor line. Then the parallel capacitor combination is discharged with a constant current from current source 70 through switch 72 for a fixed time period. At the end of the fixed time period, switch 78 is opened, thus storing the voltage on the sensor matrix line on capacitor 74.

15 The sensor line is then momentarily shorted to ground through switch 64, and switches 82 and 86 are closed to place capacitor 80 in parallel with the capacitance of the sensor line. Switch 68 is closed and the parallel capacitor combination is charged with a constant current from current source 66 for a fixed time period equal to the fixed time period of the first cycle. At the end of the fixed time period, switch 86 is opened, thus storing the voltage on the sensor matrix line on capacitor 80.

20 The first and second measured voltages are then averaged. This is accomplished by opening switch 82 and closing switches 88 and 84, which places capacitor 80 in parallel with capacitor 74. Because capacitors 74 and 80 have the same capacitance, the resulting voltage across them is equal to the average of the voltages across each individually. This final result is the value that is then passed on to the appropriate one of filter circuits 48-1 through 48-n.

25 The low frequency noise, notably 50/60 Hz and their harmonics, behaves as a DC current component that adds in one measurement and subtracts in the other. When the two results are added together that noise component averages to zero. The amount of noise rejection is a function of how quickly in succession the two opposing charge-up and charge-down cycles are performed as will be disclosed herein. One of the reasons for the choice of this charge integrator
30 circuit is that it allows measurements to be taken quickly.

Referring now to FIG. 4b, a more complete schematic diagram of an illustrative embodiment of charge integrator circuit 44 of the simplified diagram of FIG. 4a is shown. Input node 60 is shown connected to V_{DD} and ground through pass gates 90 and 92, which replace switches 62 and 64 of FIG. 4a. Pass gate 90 is controlled by a signal **ResetUp** presented to its control input

and pass gate 92 is controlled by a signal **ResetDn** presented to its control input. Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that pass gates 90 and 92, as well as all of the other pass gates which are represented by the same symbol in FIG. 4b may be conventional CMOS pass gates as are known in the art. The convention used herein is that the pass gate will be off when its control input is held low and will be on and present a low impedance connection when its control input is held high.

P-Channel MOS transistors 94 and 96 are configured as a current mirror. P-Channel MOS transistor 94 serves as the current source 66 and pass gate 98 serves as switch 68 of FIG. 4a. The control input of pass gate 98 is controlled by a signal **StepUp**.

N-Channel MOS transistors 100 and 102 are also configured as a current mirror. N-Channel MOS transistor 100 serves as the current source 70 and pass gate 104 serves as switch 72 of FIG. 4a. The control input of pass gate 104 is controlled by a signal **StepDn**. P-Channel MOS transistor 106 and N-Channel MOS transistor 108 are placed in series with P-Channel MOS current mirror transistor 96 and N-Channel MOS current mirror transistor 102. The control gate of P-Channel MOS transistor 106 is driven by an enable signal **EN**, which turns on P-Channel MOS transistor 106 to energize the current mirrors. This device is used as a power conservation device so that the charge integrator circuit 44 may be turned off to conserve power when it is not in use.

N-Channel MOS transistor 108 has its gate driven by a reference voltage **Vbias**, which sets the current through current mirror transistors 96 and 108. The voltage **Vbias** is set by a servo feedback circuit as will be disclosed in more detail with reference to FIG. 10. Those of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that this embodiment allows calibration to occur in real time (via long time constant feedback) thereby zeroing out any long term effects due to sensor environmental changes. In a current embodiment of the invention, **Vbias** is common for all charge integrator circuits 44-1 through 44-n and 44-Vmax and 44-Vmin.

Note that proper sizing of MOS transistors 102 and 108 may provide temperature compensation. This is accomplished by taking advantage of the fact that the threshold of N-Channel MOS transistor 108 reduces with temperature while the mobility of both N-Channel MOS transistors 102 and 108 reduce with temperature. The threshold reduction has the effect of increasing the current while the mobility reduction has the effect of decreasing the current. By proper device sizing these effects can cancel each other out over a significant part of the operating range.

Capacitor 74 has one plate connected to V_{DD} and the other plate connected to the output node 76 and to the input node 60 through pass gate 110, shown as switch 78 in FIG. 4a. The control

input of pass gate 110 is driven by the control signal **SUp**. One plate of capacitor 80 is connected to input node 60 through pass gate 112 (switch 86 in FIG. 4a) and to VDD through pass gate 114 (switch 82 in FIG. 4a). The control input of pass gate 112 is driven by the control signal **SDn** and the control input of pass gate 114 is driven by the control signal **ChUp**. The other plate of capacitor 80 is connected to ground through N-Channel MOS transistor 116 (switch 82 in FIG. 4a) and to output node 76 through pass gate 118 (switch 84 in FIG. 4a). The control input of pass gate 118 is driven by control signal **Share**.

Referring now to FIGS. 4a, 4b and the timing diagram of FIG. 5, the operation of charge integrator circuit 44 during one scan cycle may be observed. First the **EN** (enable) control signal goes active by going to 0v. This turns on the current mirrors and energizes the charge and discharge current sources, MOS transistors 94 and 100. The **ResetUp** control signal is active high at this time, which shorts the input node 60 (and the sensor line to which it is connected) to V_{DD}. The **SUp** control signal is also active high at this time which connects capacitor 74 and the output node 76 to input node 60. This arrangement guarantees that the following discharge portion of the operating cycle always starts from a known equilibrium state.

The discharge process starts after **ResetUp** control signal goes inactive. The **StepDn** control signal goes active, connecting MOS transistor 100, the discharge current source, to the input node 60 and its associated sensor line. **StepDn** is active for a set amount of time, and the negative constant current source discharges the combined capacitance of the sensor line and capacitor 74 thus lowering its voltage during that time. **StepDn** is then turned off. A short time later the **SUp** control signal goes inactive, storing the measured voltage on capacitor 74. That ends the discharge cycle.

Next, the **ResetDn** control signal becomes active and shorts the sensor line to ground. Simultaneously the **SDn** and **ChDn** control signals become active and connect capacitor 80 between ground and the sensor line. Capacitor 80 is discharged to ground, guaranteeing that the following charge up cycle always starts from a known state.

The charge up cycle starts after **ResetDn** control signal becomes inactive and the **StepUp** control signal becomes active. At this point the current charging source, MOS transistor 94, is connected to the sensor line and supplies a constant current to charge the sensor line by increasing the voltage thereon. The **StepUp** control signal is active for a set amount of time (preferably equal to the time for the previously mentioned cycle) allowing the capacitance to charge, and then it is turned off. The **SDn** control signal then goes inactive, leaving the measured voltage across capacitor 80.

The averaging cycle now starts. First the voltage on capacitor 80 is level shifted. This is done by the **ChDn** control signal going inactive, letting one plate of the capacitor 80 float. Then the **ChUp** control signal goes active, connecting the second plate of the capacitor to V_{DD} . Then the **Share** control signal becomes active which connects the first plate of capacitor 80 to output node 76, thus placing capacitors 74 and 80 in parallel. This has the effect of averaging the voltages across the two capacitors, thus subtracting out common-mode noise as previously described. This average voltage is also then available on output node 76.

Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the environmental alternating current and other low frequency noise-canceling feature inherent in the averaging of the voltages obtained in the discharge and charge cycles is most effective when the two cycles are performed very close together in time. According to the present invention, the **ChDn** and **ChUp** signals should be asserted with respect to each other within a time period much less than a quarter of the period of the noise to be cancelled in order to take advantage of this feature of the present invention.

According to the present invention, two different drive/sense methods have been disclosed.

Those of ordinary skill in the art will readily observe that the charge integrator circuit 44 disclosed with reference to FIGS. 4a, 4b, and 5 is adaptable to operate according to either scanning method disclosed herein.

As is clear from an understanding of the operation of charge integrator circuit 44, its output voltage is only available for a short period of time and is subject to environmental noise. In order to minimize the effects of noise, a switched capacitor filter circuit 48 is used. Referring now to FIG. 6, a schematic diagram of an illustrative switched capacitor filter circuit 48 which may be used in the present invention is shown. Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize this switched capacitor filter circuit, which comprises an input node 120, a pass gate 122 having a control input driven by a **Sample** control signal, a capacitor 124 connected between the output of the pass gate 126 and a fixed voltage such as ground, and an output node comprising the common connection between the capacitor 124 and the output of the pass gate 126. In a typical embodiment, capacitor 116 will have a capacitance of about 10 pF.

As will be appreciated by persons of ordinary skill in the art, the switched capacitor filter 48 is in part a sample/hold circuit and has a filter time constant which is K times the period of sample, where K is the ratio of capacitor 124 to the sum of capacitors 74 and 80 of the charge integrator circuit 44 of FIGS. 4a and 4b to which it is connected. The switched capacitor filter circuit 48 further reduces noise injection in the system. In the preferred embodiment, $K = 10/2 = 5$. Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that other types of filter circuits, such as RC filters, may be employed in the present invention.

Referring now to FIG. 7, a more detailed block diagram of a presently preferred arrangement of A/D converters 52 of FIG. 3 is presented. There are fewer A/D converters than there are lines in the touch sensor array, and the inputs to the A/D converters are multiplexed to share each of the individual A/D converters among several lines in the touch sensor array. The arrangement in FIG. 7 is more efficient in the use of integrated circuit layout area than providing individual A/D converters for each input line.

In the embodiment illustrated in FIG. 7, twenty-four conductive line traces are assumed for the sensor array 10 of FIGS. 2a-2d. As shown in FIG. 7, the outputs of sample/hold circuits 50-1 through 50-24 are fed to the analog data inputs of analog multiplexer 130. Analog multiplexer 130 has six outputs, each of which drives the input of an individual A/D converter 52-1 through 52-6. The internal arrangement of analog multiplexer 130 is such that four different ones of the inputs are multiplexed to each of the outputs. Analog multiplexer 130 has been conceptually drawn as six internal multiplexer blocks 132-1 through 132-6.

In the example shown in FIG. 7, inputs taken from sample/hold circuits 50-1 through 50-4 are multiplexed to the output of internal multiplexer block 132-1 which drives A/D converter 52-1. Similarly, inputs taken from sample/hold circuits 50-5 through 50-8 are multiplexed to the output of internal multiplexer block 132-2 which drives A/D converter 52-2; inputs taken from sample/hold circuits 50-9 through 50-12 are multiplexed to the output of internal multiplexer block 132-3 which drives A/D converter 52-3; inputs taken from sample/hold circuits 50-13 through 50-16 are multiplexed to the output of internal multiplexer block 132-4 which drives A/D converter 52-4; inputs taken from sample/hold circuits 50-17 through 50-20 are multiplexed to the output of internal multiplexer block 132-5 which drives A/D converter 52-5; and inputs taken from sample/hold circuits 50-21 through 50-24 are multiplexed to the output of internal multiplexer block 132-6 which drives A/D converter 52-6.

Analog multiplexer 130 has a set of control inputs schematically represented by bus 134. In the illustrative embodiment shown in FIG. 7, each of internal multiplexers 132-1 through 132-6 are four-input multiplexers and thus control bus 134 may comprise a two-bit bus for a one-of-four selection. Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the arrangement of FIG. 7 is merely one of a number of specific solutions to the task of A/D conversion from twenty-four channels, and that other satisfactory equivalent arrangements are possible.

In a straightforward decoding scheme, multiplexers 132-1 through 132-6 will pass, in sequence, the analog voltages present on their first through fourth inputs on to the inputs of A/D converters 52-1 through 52-6 respectively. After the analog values have settled in the inputs of A/D converters 52-1 through 52-6, a **CONVERT** command is asserted on common A/D control line

136 to begin the A/D conversion process.

When the A/D conversion process is complete, the digital value representing the input voltage is stored in registers 138-1 through 138-6. As presently preferred, registers 138-1 through 138-6 may each comprise a two-word register, so that one word may be read out of the registers to arithmetic unit 54 while a second word is being written into the registers in order to maximize the speed of the system. The design of such registers is conventional in the art.

Referring now to FIG. 8, a more detailed block diagram of the arithmetic unit 16 is presented. Those of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that arithmetic unit 16 processes information from both the X and Y dimensions, i.e., from X input processing circuit 12 and Y input processing circuit 14 of FIG. 1.

Before disclosing the structural configuration of arithmetic unit 16, it is helpful to understand the preferred method by which the centroid position of an object proximate to the sensor array 22 is determined according to the present invention.

According to a presently preferred embodiment of the invention, the object position in either direction may be determined by evaluating the weighted average of the capacitances measured on the individual sense line of the sensor array 10. In the following discussion, the X direction is used, but those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the discussion applies to the determination of the weighted average in the Y direction as well. As is well known, the weighted average may be determined as follows:

$$\text{X position} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n i \times \Delta C_i}{\sum_{i=0}^n \Delta C_i} \quad [\text{Eq. 1}]$$

where $\Delta C_i = C_i - C_{0i}$. C_i is the capacitance presently being measured on the i th trace and C_{0i} is the value measured on that same trace at some past time when no object was present. In terms of these past and present capacitance measurements, the position can be expressed as:

$$\text{Xposition} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n i \times (C_i - C_{0i})}{\sum_{i=0}^n (C_i - C_{0i})} \quad [\text{Eq. 2}]$$

Using the distributive property of multiplication over addition, this expression is seen to be equivalent to:

$$X_{\text{position}} = \frac{-\sum_{i=0}^n (i \times C0i) + \sum_{i=0}^n (i \times Ci)}{-\sum_{i=0}^n (C0i) + \sum_{i=0}^n (Ci)} \quad [\text{Eq. 3}]$$

where the negative terms in both the numerator and denominator are offsets and represent the background value of the capacitances with no object present. If the term O_N is used to represent the numerator offset and the term O_D is used to represent the denominator offset, Eq. 3 may be re-written as:

$$X_{\text{position}} = \frac{-O_N + \sum_{i=0}^n (i \times Ci)}{-O_D + \sum_{i=0}^n (Ci)} \quad [\text{Eq. 4}]$$

Referring now to FIG. 8, it may be seen that arithmetic unit 16 includes X numerator and denominator accumulators 150 and 152 and Y numerator and denominator accumulators 154 and 156. The source of operand data for X numerator and denominator accumulators 150 and 152 and Y numerator and denominator accumulators 154 and 156 are the registers 138-1 through 138-6 in each (X and Y) direction of the sensor array 22 of FIG. 1. The X and Y denominator accumulators 152 and 156 sum up the digital results from the A/D conversions. The X and Y numerator accumulators 150 and 154 compute the weighted sum of the input data rather than the straight sum. Accumulators 150, 152, 154, and 156 may be configured as hardware elements or as software running on a microprocessor as will be readily understood by those of ordinary skill in the art.

As may be seen from an examination of FIG. 8, numerator accumulators 150 and 154 compute the expression of Eq. 4:

$$\sum_{i=0}^n i \times Ci \quad [\text{Eq. 5}]$$

and denominator accumulators 152 and 156 compute the expression of Eq. 4:

$$\sum_{i=0}^n C_i \quad [\text{Eq. 6}]$$

The contents of X and Y numerator and denominator offset registers 158, 160, 162, and 164 are subtracted from the results stored in the accumulators 150, 152, 154, and 156 in adders 166, 168, 170, and 172. Adder 166 subtracts the offset O_{NX} stored in X numerator offset register 158. Adder 168 subtracts the offset O_{DX} stored in X denominator offset register 160. Adder 170 subtracts the offset O_{NY} stored in Y numerator offset register 162. Adder 172 subtracts the offset O_{DY} stored in Y denominator offset register 164. The numerator denominator pairs are divided by division blocks 174 and 176 to produce the X and Y position data, and the X and Y denominator pair is used by block 178 to produce Z axis (pressure) data. The function performed by block 178 will be disclosed later herein. The offsets O_{DX} , O_{NX} , O_{DY} , and O_{NY} are sampled from the accumulator contents when directed by calibration unit 180.

Persons of ordinary skill in the art will readily appreciate that the architecture of the system of the present invention may be distributed in a number of ways, several of which involve the availability of a microprocessor, whether it be in a host computer to which the system of the present invention is connected or somewhere between the integrated circuit described herein and a host computer. Embodiments of the present invention are contemplated wherein the accumulated numerator and denominator values representing the summation terms are delivered to such a microprocessor along with the O_N and O_D offset values for processing, or where all processing is accomplished by a programmed microprocessor as is known in the art.

Initially, the numerator and denominator accumulators 150, 152, 154, and 156 are set to zero during system startup. If the multiplexed A/D converters as shown in FIG. 7 are employed, the digitized voltage data in the first word of register 138-1 (representing the voltage at the output of sample/hold circuit 50-1) is added to the sum in the accumulator and the result stored in the accumulator. In succession, the digitized voltage values stored in the first word of registers 138-2 through 138-6 (representing the voltage at the outputs of sample/hold circuits 50-5, 50-9, 50-17, and 50-21, respectively) are added to the sums in the accumulators and the results stored in the accumulators. As previously mentioned, A/D converters 52-1 through 52-6 may at this time be converting the voltages present at the outputs of sample/hold circuits 50-2, 50-6, 50-10, 50-14, 50-18, and 50-22 and storing the digitized values in the second words of registers 138-1 through 138-6 respectively.

Next, in succession, the digitized voltage values stored in the second words of registers 138-1 through 138-6 (representing the voltage at the outputs of sample/hold circuits 50-2, 50-6, 50-10,

50-14, 50-18, and 50-22, respectively) are added to the sum in the accumulator and the result stored in the accumulator.

Next, in succession, the digitized voltage values stored in the first words of registers 138-1 through 138-6 (representing the voltage at the outputs of sample/hold circuits 50-3, 50-7, 50-11, 50-15, 50-19, and 50-23, respectively) are added to the sum in the accumulator and the result stored in the accumulator, followed by digitized voltage values stored in the second words of registers 138-1 through 138-6 (representing the voltage at the outputs of sample/hold circuits 50-4, 50-8, 50-12, 50-16, 50-20, and 50-24, respectively).

At this point in time, the accumulators hold the sums of all of the individual digitized voltage values. The digital values stored in the O_N and O_D offset registers 158 and 164 are now respectively subtracted from the values stored in the numerator and denominator accumulators. The division operation in dividers 174 and 176 then completes the weighted average computation.

The division operation may also be performed by an external microprocessor which can fetch the values stored in the accumulators or perform the accumulations itself. As the O_N and O_D offset values are presently derived by an external microprocessor, the additional processing overhead presented to such external microprocessor by this division operation is minimal. Alternately, a dedicated microprocessor may be included on chip to handle these processing tasks without departing from the invention disclosed herein.

The above disclosed processing takes place within about 1 millisecond and may be repeatedly performed. Current mouse standards update position information 40 times per second, and thus the apparatus of the present invention may easily be operated at this repetition rate.

Because of the nature of the method employed in the present invention, an opportunity exists to provide additional noise immunity without requiring additional hardware in the system of the present invention. While it is apparent that after the above-disclosed sequence has been performed, the accumulators may be cleared and the process repeated, the values may also be allowed to remain in the accumulators. If this is done, an averaging function may be implemented to further filter out noise. According to this aspect of the invention, a number of samples are taken and run through the accumulators without clearing them at the end of the processing sequence. As presently preferred, twenty-five samples are processed before a single division result is taken for use by the system, thus greatly reducing the effects of transient system noise spikes. Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the number of samples taken prior to clearing the accumulators is a matter of design choice dictated by factors such as data

acquisition rates, data processing rates etc.

The system of the present invention is adaptable to changing conditions, such as component aging, changing capacitance due to humidity, and contamination of the touch surface, etc. In addition, the present invention effectively minimizes ambient noise. According to the present invention, these effects are taken into consideration in three ways. First, the offset values O_N and O_D are dynamically updated to accommodate changing conditions. Second, a servo-feedback circuit is provided to determine the bias voltage used to set the bias of the charge-integrator circuits 44-1 through 44-n. Third, as previously disclosed herein, the reference voltage points for V_{\max} and V_{\min} of the A/D converters are also dynamically altered to increase the signal to noise margin. Referring now to FIG. 9, a block diagram of a calibration unit 150 which may be used with the arithmetic unit of FIG. 8 is presented. The calibration unit 150 executes an algorithm to establish the numerator and denominator offset values by attempting to determine when no finger or other conductive object is proximate to the touch sensor array 22.

As previously disclosed, the O_N and O_D offset values represent the baseline values of the array capacitances with no object present. These values are also updated according to the present invention since baseline levels which are too low or too high have the effect of shifting the apparent position of the object depending on the sign of the error. These values are established by selection of the values read when no object is present at the sensor array 22. Since there is no external way to "know" when no object is present at sensor array 22, an algorithm according to another aspect of the present invention is used to establish and dynamically update these offset values. When the calibration unit sees a Z value which appears typical of the Z values when no finger is present, it instructs the offset registers (158, 160, 162, and 164 of FIG. 8) to reload from the current values of the accumulators. According to a presently preferred embodiment of the invention, the decision to update the offset values is based on the behavior of the sensor array 22 in only one of the X or Y directions, but when the decision is made all four offsets (O_{NX} , O_{DX} , O_{NY} , and O_{DY}) are updated. In other embodiments of the invention, the decision to update may be individually made for each direction according to the criteria set forth herein.

The calibration algorithm works by monitoring changes in a selected one of the denominator accumulator values. According to the present invention, it has been observed that the sensitivity to changes in capacitance of one of the sets of conductive lines in the touch sensor array 22 is greater than the sensitivity to changes in capacitance of the other one of the sets of conductive lines in the touch sensor array 22. Experience suggests that the set of conductive lines having the greater sensitivity to capacitance changes is the one which is physically located above the conductive lines in the other direction and therefore closest to the touch surface of the sensor

array 22. The upper set of conductive lines tends to partially shield the lower set of conductive lines from capacitive changes occurring above the surface of the sensor array 22.

The finger pressure is obtained by summing the capacitances measured on the sense lines. This value is already present in the denominator accumulator after subtracting the offset O_D . A finger is present if the pressure exceeds a suitable threshold value. This threshold may be chosen experimentally and is a function of surface material and circuit timing. The threshold may be adjusted to suit the tastes of the individual user.

The pressure reported by the device is a simple function $f(X_D, Y_D)$ of the denominators for the X and Y directions as implemented in block 178 of FIG. 8. Possible functions include choosing one preferred denominator value, or summing the denominators. In a presently preferred embodiment, the smaller of the two denominators is chosen. This choice has the desirable effect of causing the pressure to go below the threshold if the finger moves slightly off the edge of the pad, where the X sensors are producing valid data, but the Y sensors are not, or vice versa. This acts as an electronic bezel which can take the place of a mechanical bezel at the periphery of the sensor area.

In the example of FIG. 8, the Y denominator is chosen for monitoring because it is the most sensitive. The chosen denominator is referred to as Z for the purposes of the calibration algorithm. The current saved offset value for this denominator is referred to as O_Z .

The goal of the calibration algorithm is to track gradual variations in the resting Z level while making sure not to calibrate to the finger, nor to calibrate to instantaneous spikes arising from noise. As will be apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art from the following disclosure, the calibration algorithm could be implemented in digital or analog hardware, or in software. In a current embodiment actually tested by the inventors, it is implemented in software.

As Z values arrive in the calibration unit, they are passed through filter 182. History buffer 184, which operates in conjunction with filter 182, keeps a "running average" of recent Z values. When a new Z value arrives, the current running average F_Z is updated according to the formula:

$$\text{new } F_Z = a(\text{old } F_Z) + (1 - a)Z \quad [\text{Eq. 7}]$$

where a is a constant factor between 0 and 1 and typically close to 1 and Z is the current Z value. In the preferred embodiment, alpha is approximately 0.95. The intention is for F_Z to change slowly enough to follow gradual variations, without being greatly affected by short perturbations in Z.

The filter 182 receives a signal **ENABLE** from control unit 186. The running average F_Z is updated based on new Z values only when **ENABLE** is asserted. If **ENABLE** is deasserted, F_Z remains constant and is unaffected by current Z .

5 The history buffer 184 records the several most recent values of F_Z . In the present embodiment, the history buffer records the two previous F_Z values. The history buffer might be implemented as a shift register, circular queue, or analog delay line. When the history buffer receives a **REWIND** signal from control unit 186, it restores the current running average F_Z to the oldest saved value. It is as if the filter 182 were "retroactively" disabled for an amount of time corresponding to the depth of the history buffer. The purpose of the history buffer is to permit
10 such retroactive disabling.

The current running average F_Z is compared against the current Z value and the current offset O_Z by absolute difference units 188 and 190, and comparator 192. Absolute difference unit 188 subtracts the values Z and F_Z and outputs the absolute value of their difference. Absolute difference unit 190 subtracts the values O_Z and F_Z and outputs the absolute value of their
15 difference. Comparator 192 asserts the **UPDATE** signal if the output of absolute difference unit 188 is less than the output of absolute difference unit 190, i.e., if F_Z is closer to Z than it is to O_Z . The **UPDATE** signal will tend to be asserted when the mean value of Z shifts to a new resting level. It will tend not to be asserted when Z makes a brief excursion away from its normal resting level. The filter constant α determines the length of an excursion which will be
20 considered "brief" for this purpose.

Subtractor unit 194 is a simple subtractor that computes the signed difference between Z and O_Z . This subtractor is actually redundant with subtractor 172 in figure 8, and so may be merged with it in the actual implementation. The output C_Z of this subtractor is the calibrated Z value, an estimate of the finger pressure. This pressure value is compared against a positive and negative Z
25 threshold by comparators 196 and 198. These thresholds are shown as Z_{TH} and $-Z_{TH}$, although they are not actually required to be equal in magnitude.

If pressure signal C_Z is greater than Z_{TH} , the signal **FINGER** is asserted indicating the possible presence of a finger. The Z_{TH} threshold used by the calibration unit is similar to that used by the rest of the system to detect the presence of the finger, or it may have a different value. In the
30 present embodiment, the calibration Z_{TH} is set somewhat lower than the main Z_{TH} to ensure that the calibration unit makes a conservative choice about the presence of a finger.

If pressure signal C_Z is less than $-Z_{TH}$, the signal **FORCE** is asserted. Since O_Z is meant to be equal to the resting value of Z with no finger present, and a finger can only increase the sensor capacitance and thus the value of Z , a largely negative C_Z implies that the device must have incorrectly calibrated itself to a finger, which has just been removed. Calibration logic 200 uses this fact to force a recalibration now that the finger is no longer present. Control logic 186 is responsible for preventing running average F_Z from being influenced by Z values that occur when a finger is present. Output **ENABLE** is generally off when the **FINGER** signal is true, and on when the **FINGER** signal is false. However, when **FINGER** transitions from false to true, the control logic also pulses the **REWIND** signal. When **FINGER** transitions from true to false, the control logic waits a short amount of time (comparable to the depth of the history buffer) before asserting **ENABLE**. Thus, the running average is prevented from following Z whenever a finger is present, as well as for a short time before and after the finger is present.

Calibration logic 200 produces signal **RECAL** from the outputs of the three comparators 192, 196, and 198. When **RECAL** is asserted, the offset registers O_N and O_D will be reloaded from the current accumulator values. **RECAL** is produced from the following logic equation:

$$\text{RECAL} = \text{FORCE} \text{ or } (\text{UPDATE} \text{ and not FINGER}). \quad [\text{Eq. 8}]$$

In addition, calibration logic 200 arranges to assert **RECAL** once when the system is first initialized, possibly after a brief period to wait for the charge integrators and other circuits to stabilize.

From the descriptions of control logic 186 and calibration logic 200, it will be apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art that these blocks can be readily configured using conventional logic as a matter of simple and routine logic design.

It should be obvious to any person of ordinary skill in the art that the calibration algorithm described is not specific to the particular system of charge integrators and accumulators of the current invention. Rather, it could be employed in any touch sensor which produces proximity or pressure data in which it is desired to maintain a calibration point reflecting the state of the sensor when no finger or spurious noise is present.

Referring now to FIG. 10, a bias voltage generating circuit 46 useful in the present invention is shown in schematic diagram form. According to a presently preferred embodiment of the invention, all of the bias transistors 108 (FIG. 4b) of charge integrator circuits 44-1 through 44-n have their gates connected to a single source of bias voltage, although persons of ordinary skill in the art recognize that other arrangements are possible. There are a number of ways in which to

generate the bias voltage required by charge integrator circuits 44-1 through 4-n.

As may be seen from an examination of FIG. 10, the bias voltage generating circuit 46 is an overdamped servo system. A reference source which approximates the current source function of a typical one of the charge integrator circuits 44-1 through 44-n includes a capacitor 202
5 having one of its plates grounded. The other one of its plates is connected to the V_{DD} power supply through a first pass gate 204 and to a current source transistor 206 through a second passgate 208. A filter circuit 210, identical to the filter circuits 48-1 through 48-n and controlled by the same signal as filter circuits 48-1 through 48-n is connected to sample the voltage on capacitor 202 in the same manner that the filter-and-sample/hold circuits 48-1 through 48-n
10 sample the voltages on the sensor conductor capacitances in the sensor array 22.

The output of filter circuit 210 is fed to the non-inverting input of a weak transconductance amplifier 212, having a bias current in the range of from about 0.1-0.2 μ A. The inverting input of the transconductance amplifier 212 is connected to a fixed voltage of about 1 volt generated, for example, by diode 214 and resistor 216. The output of transconductance amplifier 212 is
15 shunted by capacitor 218 and also by capacitor 220 through passgate 222. Capacitor 220 is chosen to be much larger than capacitor 218. In a typical embodiment of the present invention, capacitor 218 may be about 0.2pF and capacitor 220 may be about 10pF.

Capacitor 220 is connected to the gate of N-Channel MOS transistor 224, which has its drain connected to the drain and gate of P-Channel MOS transistor 226 and its source connected to the
20 drain and gate of N-Channel MOS transistor 228. The source of P-Channel MOS transistor 226 is connected to V_{DD} and the source of N-Channel MOS transistor 228 is connected to ground. The common drain connection of transistors 224 and 228 is the bias voltage output node.

An optional passgate 230 may be connected between a fixed voltage source (e.g., about 2 volts) and capacitor 220. Passgate 230 may be used to initialize the bias generating circuit 46 on startup
25 by charging capacitor 220 to the fixed voltage.

During each sample period, the filter circuit 210 takes a new sample. If the new sample differs from the previous sample, the output voltage of transconductance amplifier 212 will change and start to charge or discharge capacitor 218 to a new voltage. Passgate 222 is switched on for a short time (i.e., about 1 μ sec) and the voltages on capacitors 218 and 220 try to average
30 themselves. Due to the large size difference between capacitors 218 and 220, capacitor 218 cannot supply enough charge to equalize the voltage during the period when passgate 222 is open. This arrangement prevents large changes in bias voltage from cycle to cycle. Capacitor 202 should look as much as possible like one of the sensor array channels and has a

value equal to the background capacitance of a typical sensor line, (i.e., with no object proximate or present capacitance component). Capacitor 202 may be formed in several ways. Capacitor 202 may comprise an extra sensor line in a part of the sensor array, configured to approximate one of the active sensor lines but shielded from finger capacitance by a ground plane, etc.

5 Alternately, capacitor 202 may be a capacitor formed in the integrated circuit or connected thereto and having a value selected to match that of a typical sensor line. In this respect, the signal source comprising capacitor 202 and filter circuit 210 is somewhat like the circuitry for generating the V_{\max} and V_{\min} reference voltages, in that it mimics a typical sensor line.

As another alternative, one of the actual sensor lines may be employed to set the bias voltage.
10 The measured voltage on the two end-point sensor lines may be compared and the one having the lowest value may be selected on the theory that, if a finger or other object is proximate to the sensor array, it will not be present at sensor lines located at the opposite edges of the array.

According to another aspect of the present invention, an "edge motion" feature may be implemented when the object position sensor of the present invention is used as a computer
15 cursor control device in place of a mouse. A practical problem arises in the use of computer mice or other cursor control devices when an attempt is made to move an object over a large distance on a computer screen. This problem is encountered when a small mouse pad is used with a computer mouse, or when an object position sensor of the kind described herein has a small touch sensor area.

20 In touch sensor applications, this problem is especially acute during a "drag" gesture. If the user lifts the finger to begin a second stroke, the drag effect ends prematurely on the screen. The edge motion feature of the present invention helps to eliminate the need to use "rowing," or multiple strokes of the finger to move a large distance on the screen.

A prior solution to the long-distance drag problem has been to provide an acceleration feature,
25 i.e., a "ballistic" curve, where the gain varies as a function of finger speed, allowing the user to move long distances, albeit clumsily, using a repeated finger swishing motion. This technique can be used with any variable-speed pointing device, for example, with a mouse on a mouse pad of limited size. Typical mouse driver software includes an adjustable acceleration feature (sometimes under a misleading name like "mouse speed").

30 The system of the present invention can distinguish between the commonly used "click", "double click" and "drag" mouse gestures. The single-click and double-click gestures are implemented in a mouse using the mouse to move the cursor to the object of interest on the computer screen and then "clicking" once or twice a mouse button connected to an electrical switch in the mouse body.

A significant advantage of the present invention is that the need for mechanical switches is eliminated, and the single-click and double-click gestures are implemented in the present invention by moving the cursor to the object of interest and then tapping a finger tip once or twice on the selected object. The present system can easily distinguish these gestures from the drag
 5 gesture in which the finger is placed on the touch sensor surface and dragged across the surface in a desired direction while maintaining contact with the surface.

According to a presently preferred embodiment of the invention, the edge motion feature of the object position sensor is implemented by motion unit 18 of FIG. 1 and works by defining two zones in the sensing plane 10 containing the touch sensor array 22. As shown in FIG. 11, the
 10 sensing plane 10 is preferably divided into an inner zone 240 comprising most of the central portion of the surface of sensing plane 10 and an outer zone 242, typically comprising a thin marginal area at the periphery of the sensor array. The center of the sensing plane 10 may be described as the origin (X_{center} , Y_{center}) in a cartesian coordinate system. Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize however that the inner and outer zones could be of any shape.

Thus in FIG. 11, inner zone 240 is defined by the upper dashed line Y_0 , right-hand dashed line X_0 , lower dashed line $-Y_0$ and left-hand dashed line $-X_0$. Outer zone 242 is the region between the outer edges of the sensing plane 10 defined by Y_{max} , $-Y_{max}$, X_{max} and $-X_{max}$ and the outer borders of inner zone 240 defined by Y_0 , X_0 , $-Y_0$, and $-X_0$.

According to this aspect of the present invention, finger motions in the inner zone 240 are
 20 translated in the standard fashion into motion events to be sent to the host computer. As is well understood in the art, the standard way to communicate mouse motion to a host computer may also be employed in the present invention to communicate finger motion to a host computer. After the finger position is established as disclosed herein, the information communicated to the host computer is:

$$\Delta X = A(X_{cur} - X_{old}) \quad [\text{Eq. 9}]$$

$$\Delta Y = A(Y_{cur} - Y_{old}) \quad [\text{Eq. 10}]$$

where ΔX is the change in the X position of the finger, ΔY is the change in the Y position of the finger, X_{cur} is the current X position of the finger and X_{old} is the last reported X position of the finger, Y_{cur} is the current Y position of the finger and Y_{old} is the last reported Y position of the
 30 finger, and A is a "gain factor" which is commonly encountered in mouse cursor control applications.

Typically, the host computer takes ($\Delta X, \Delta Y$) events and moves the cursor by the indicated amount in each axis, thus reconstructing the finger position on the screen as the successive ΔX and ΔY values are accumulated. So far, this is standard cursor control behavior where edge motion is not considered.

- 5 According to the present invention, when the finger is reported as being in the outer zone 242, the edge motion feature of the present invention may be enabled. The determination of whether the finger is in the outer zone is a simple determination:

$$[-X_0 < X_{\text{cur}} < X_0] \text{ is FALSE, OR } [-Y_0 < Y_{\text{cur}} < Y_0] \text{ is FALSE} \quad [\text{Eq. 11}]$$

- 10 Referring now to FIG. 12, a circuit 244 for making a determination of whether a finger is in the outer zone 242 is shown in schematic diagram form. FIG. 12 illustrates a hardware embodiment for determining whether a finger is in the outer zone 242, but those of ordinary skill in the art will readily recognize that this determination could readily be made by performing one of a number of equivalent software routines. Such software routines are obvious and straightforward from the functions described herein.

- 15 Circuit 244 includes digital comparators 246, 248, 250, and 252, which may be straightforwardly implemented by conventional logic. Comparator 246 puts out a true signal when the quantity X_{cur} at one of its inputs is greater than the fixed quantity X_0 presented to its other input. Comparator 248 puts out a true signal when the quantity X_{cur} at one of its inputs is less than the fixed quantity $-X_0$ presented to its other input. Comparator 250 puts out a true
20 signal when the quantity Y_{cur} at one of its inputs is greater than the fixed quantity Y_0 presented to its other input. Comparator 252 puts out a true signal when the quantity Y_{cur} at one of its inputs is less than the fixed quantity $-Y_0$ presented to its other input.

- The outputs of comparators 246, 248, 250, and 252 are ORed together by OR gate 254. As will be appreciated by those of ordinary skill in the art, the **FingerOuter** signal output of OR gate
25 254 is true only when the necessary conditions of Eq. 11 are satisfied.

It is presently preferred that the edge motion aspect of the present invention may be selectively enabled or disabled by a user. When the edge motion feature is enabled and the finger is reported as being in the outer zone as set forth above, a second component is added to the ($\Delta X, \Delta Y$) events reported:

$$\Delta X = A (X_{\text{cur}} - X_{\text{old}}) + S(X_{\text{cur}} - X_{\text{center}}) \quad [\text{Eq. 12}]$$

$$\Delta Y = A(Y_{\text{cur}} - Y_{\text{old}}) + S(Y_{\text{cur}} - Y_{\text{center}}) \quad [\text{Eq. 13}]$$

where X_{center} is the X coordinate of the center of the pad, Y_{center} is the Y coordinate of the center of the pad, and S is a multiplicative factor for speed. S should be chosen such that the movement of the cursor is at a comfortable speed on the display screen.

For example, if the finger is held a good distance to the right (so that $X_{\text{cur}} > X_0$), then the cursor will tend to “glide” to the right at a constant speed set by multiplicative speed factor S in Eqs. 12 and 13. This factor can be adjusted to individual taste of a user.

If the sensor array has different dimensions in X and Y, it is useful to set the multiplicative speed factor S parameters in the X and Y directions to differ by the same ratio as the pad dimensions, so that a finger held at the left or right edge of the sensor array will produce the same cursor speed as a finger held at the top or bottom edge. In the presently preferred embodiment of the sensor array, there are 24 X traces and 18 Y traces. Therefore, since X is 4/3 wider than Y (24 traces vs. 18 traces), the X multiplicative speed factor S_X is set to be 3/4 as large as the multiplicative speed factor S_Y .

The glide speed of the cursor during edge motion is clearly a direct function of the distance of the finger from the center of the pad, and the glide direction is equal to the direction of the finger from the center. If the outer zone has the preferred “edge margin” shape as shown in FIG. 11, then the finger will always be roughly the same distance from the center whenever edge motion is activated (within a factor of the square root of 2 = 1.41, assuming a square pad). Thus, the psychological effect is that edge motion involves a constant glide speed where the direction is set by the position around the sensor array edge.

The square root of 2 variation may be canceled out by dividing the edge motion terms in equations (12 and 13) by a normalizing factor of the form:

$$\sqrt{(X_{\text{cur}} - X_{\text{center}})^2 + (Y_{\text{cur}} - Y_{\text{center}})^2} \quad [\text{Eq. 14}]$$

but this is a computationally intensive step applied to fix a problem that is barely noticeable to the average user; thus, it may be omitted.

The edge motion feature of the present invention can be confusing if the user does not expect it. Since edge motion is most useful in connection with the drag gesture, it is presently preferred to arrange for it to occur only during a drag, i.e., only when the gesture logic is virtually "holding the mouse button down." The drag gesture and other gestures are implemented by gesture unit 20 of FIG. 1.

At times when the edge-motion function is not desired, the outer zone 242 "goes away" (i.e., is ignored) and the inner zone 240 effectively expands to cover the entire sensing plane 10. It has been found that this is much less confusing in practice, probably because the user is more likely to be consciously aware of the cursor control device during a drag gesture than during simple cursor motions.

Assuming the preferred zone boundary shape of FIG. 11, the following algorithm may be employed to implement the edge motion feature of the present invention:

IF NOT ($-X_0 < X_{\text{cur}} < X_0$ AND $-Y_0 < Y_{\text{cur}} < Y_0$)

AND (optionally) a drag gesture in progress, THEN

Let $eX = S_X (X_{\text{cur}} - X_{\text{center}})$

Let $eY = S_Y (Y_{\text{cur}} - Y_{\text{center}})$

ELSE

Let $eX = eY = 0$.

END IF

Next, the dX and dY motion terms are computed from the regular algorithm:

i.e., Let $dX = A(X_{\text{cur}} - X_{\text{old}})$

Let $dY = A(Y_{\text{cur}} - Y_{\text{old}})$

Finally, the resultant packet ($\Delta X = dX + eX$, $\Delta Y = dY + eY$) is transmitted to the host computer.

Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that a linear proportionality is described by the above equation. As used herein, "proportionality" means that the signal generated is a monotonic function. Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that other monotonic functions, including but not limited to inverse proportionality, and non-linear proportionality such as logarithmic or exponential functions, could be employed in the present invention without departing from the principles disclosed herein.

A hardware implementation of this algorithm is illustrated in FIG. 13 in schematic diagram form. While circuit 256 is shown implemented in the X direction only, those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that an identical circuit will also be employed in the Y direction. Such skilled

persons will also immediately appreciate the complete equivalence of implementing the hardware solution of FIG. 13 as a software routine.

Edge-motion circuit 256 includes a subtractor circuit 258 in which the previous value of X_{cur} , stored in delay 260, is subtracted from the present value of X_{cur} . The output of subtractor circuit 258 is presented to multiplier 262, which multiplies the result by the gain factor "A". The output of multiplier 262 is the term dX .

The term X_{cur} is also presented to subtractor circuit 264 in which the value of X_{center} is subtracted from the present value of X_{cur} . The output of subtractor circuit 264 is presented to multiplier 266, which multiplies the result by the gain factor "S".

A two-input AND gate 268 has its input terms the value **FingerOuter** from the circuit of FIG. 12 and the value **MotionEnable** which is a toggled on/off enable signal for the edge motion feature of the present invention. If both **FingerOuter** and **MotionEnable** are true, switch 270 is configured to pass the output of multiplier 266 to adder circuit 272. If either **FingerOuter** or **MotionEnable** is false, then switch 270 is configured to pass the value zero to adder 272. The output of switch 270 is the eX term. The output of adder 272 is passed to the host computer as ΔX . The **MotionEnable** signal can be controlled by the user, e.g., by a control panel. Alternatively, it may be controlled by the gesture unit as will be more fully disclosed.

In an alternate form, the dX term may be replaced by the eX term, and likewise for dY and eY , when the finger is in the "outer" zone, rather than adding the two terms in that zone. This results in a more "pure" edge motion which is harder for the user to guide. User tests have shown that the $dX+eX$ form shown above feels better and is easier to use.

Another alternative which is functional but has been found to be less desirable employs a somewhat wider outer zone. The glide speed is then varied in proportion to the distance of the finger into the outer zone rather than the distance from the center of the pad. Thus, as the finger enters the outer zone the glide speed starts at zero and increases to some reasonable limit as the finger reaches the edge of the pad. The result is a smoother transition between edge-motion and normal behavior. It is not difficult to modify the above formulas to produce this alternate behavior. This variant was tried by the inventors because the transition into edge-motion mode seemed too abrupt; tests showed that this abruptness is actually a boon in typical use. The smooth transition is harder to "feel", and thus winds up being more, not less, likely to catch the user by surprise. Those of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that a solution midway between the two described methods can also be employed to produce a less abrupt transition.

An alternate solution to the long-distance drag problem has been to provide a "locking" drag, but this can be confusing since the lock state becomes a hidden mode, a well-known undesirable item in the study of user interfaces.

5 The edge motion feature of the present invention is used advantageously with one or more finger gestures which may be performed by a user on the sensor array and are recognized by the system. Of particular interest are the basic tap and drag gestures. The tap gesture is analogous to the clicking of the mouse button on a conventional mouse, and the concept of dragging objects is familiar to all mouse users. The drag gesture consists of tapping once, followed by bringing the
10 finger back down to the touch surface and moving it in the desired fashion. The host computer sees the virtual mouse button being held down during this entire gesture.

Referring back to FIG. 1, gesture unit 20 detects the tap and drag gestures as follows. There are four state variables used to recognize the tap and drag gestures: **TapState**, NONE if there is no gesture in progress, TAP if a tap gesture is in progress, or DRAG if a drag gesture is in process; **TapOkay**, TRUE if high enough Z (finger pressure) has been seen to qualify as a tap;
15 **DownTime**, the time when the finger last touched down on the sensor pad; and **DownPos**, the starting (X,Y) position of the current tap.

The parameters utilized are: **TapTime** is the maximum duration of a tap gesture; **DragTime** is the maximum duration of a tap-and-drag gesture; **TapRadius** is the maximum distance moved during a tap gesture; **Ztap** is the minimum pressure (Z) for a tap gesture; and **Zthresh** is the
20 minimum pressure (Z) to detect a finger.

A process according to the present invention for recognizing tap and drag gestures is illustrated in the flow diagrams of FIGS. 14a-14c.

Processing begins at step 280 for every new set of (X,Y,Z) data to arrive from the arithmetic unit 16 of FIG. 1. In a current embodiment of the system, such data arrive 40 times per second.

25 In step 282, the Z (pressure) value is compared against the Z threshold **Zthresh** to determine whether a finger is present ("down") or not ("up"). Instead of a simple threshold comparison, two thresholds may be used to provide hysteresis as is well-known in the art.

In step 284, the finger is known to be down. The previous Z is checked to see whether the finger was previously down or is just now touching down on the pad.

In step 286, a finger-down transition has been detected. If a tap gesture has recently been reported (**Tapstate** = TAP), then, in step 288 this pending tap gesture is converted into a potential drag gesture.

Step 290 records the position and the time at which the finger touched down.

- 5 Step 292 initializes the **TapOkay** flag, which records whether or not the Z (pressure) information ever exceeds the **Ztap** threshold during the current tap.

In step 294, the finger is known to be up. The previous Z is checked to see whether the finger was previously up or is just now being lifted from the pad.

- 10 In step 296, a finger-up transition has been detected. Various tests are made of the most recent finger-down period to see if it qualifies as a tap. To qualify, the finger-down period must have short duration (**CurTime** minus **DownTime** must be less than **TapTime**), little or no motion (the distance from **CurPos** to **DownPos** must be less than **TapRadius**), and sufficient peak finger pressure (**TapOkay** must be TRUE), in order to qualify.

- 15 During the finger-down period which has qualified as a tap, a small amount of motion may have occurred due to natural vibration of the finger and other variations. This motion will have already been transmitted to the host, causing the cursor to drift slightly away from the intended target of the tap gesture. In step 298, this spurious motion is replayed to the host in reverse in order to cancel it out. The motion may be sent in a single lump-sum packet or replayed packet by packet. If the host computer is not expected to apply extreme non-linear gain ("ballistics") to the received
20 packets, a simple lump-sum transmission will suffice.

- Steps 300 and 302 give special consideration to multiple taps. If a tap gesture is recognized, and a previous tap gesture (at this point a potential drag gesture) is pending, then one or more packets reporting a release of the virtual mouse button are sent to the host. This prevents the virtual button presses resulting from the two taps from running together into a single long virtual
25 button press. The special button-release packet may be accomplished by sending an extra packet to the host, or by setting a flag to suppress the virtual mouse button for the next subsequent packet.

Step 304 records that a tap gesture is now in progress.

- 30 In step 306, the finger is still away from the pad. If the finger has been away from the pad long enough so that the current tap gesture (if any) no longer qualifies to be extended into a drag (i.e.,

CurTime minus **DownTime** exceeds **DragTime**), or if a drag gesture is pending (**TapState** is DRAG), then the current tap or drag is terminated in step 308 (causing the virtual mouse button to be released).

5 Steps 310 and 312 compare **Z** against **Ztap**. If **Z** is ever greater than **Ztap** during a given finger-down period, the **TapOkay** flag is set.

Steps 314, 316, and 318 cause the virtual mouse button to be pressed during a tap or drag gesture, and released at all other times.

10 In a current embodiment of an actual system according to the present invention, **TapTime** is a fraction of a second and may be adjusted by the user, **DragTime** is approximately equal to **TapTime**, and **TapRadius** is approximately 5-10% of the pad width.

The "MotionEnable" signal into AND gate 268 of FIG. 13 is obtained by either
MotionEnable = (**TapState** = DRAG) or **MotionEnable** = (**TapState** = DRAG) OR
(**TapState** = TAP).

15 While the foregoing disclosure refers to two particular gestures, those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that other gestures may be employed in the system of the present invention.

Referring next to FIG 15, a simplified block diagram of a host computer system 400 as used in accordance with the present invention is shown. The host computer system 400 has a central processing unit (CPU) 402 controlled by an operating system 404. Applications 406, capable of being run by operating system 404 and CPU 402 are loaded into the memory of the host
20 computer system 400 as is well known in the art. As discussed in the prior art, documents in the applications 406 as well as features and controls of the applications 406 are displayed graphically in a window on a visual display 408.

Many of the controls and features of an application 406 are not provided by executable code (software) which is part of the application 406, but rather exists as pieces of software known in
25 the art as a dynamically linked library (DLL) 410 in the memory of the host computer system 400. In order to add the feature or control implemented by a DLL 410, an application 406 accesses at runtime a DLL 410 for the desired feature or control through an operating system 404 facility called a dynamic linker. The dynamic linker will link an application 406 to any DLL 410 desired by the application 406, thereby adding the feature represented by the DLL 410 to the
30 application 406 without the need for executable code for that feature to be actually included in the code for the application 406.

Depending on the operating system 404, a wide variety of DLL's 410 are provided by the operating system 404 for the use of applications 406. For example, various versions of the Microsoft® Windows™ provide a rich set of standard DLL's for implementing most typical control and display functions. As a consequence, most applications are generally written to expect many controls and display functions to be DLL's. The DLL's will be located and linked by the dynamic linker in the operating system at runtime.

One of the most common display functions provided operating systems as a DLL, and consequently implemented almost universally by applications through a DLL, is the scrollbar. Shown in FIG. 16 is a typical scrollbar 420 found in a graphical display. Usually, the scrollbar 420 is graphically depicted along one edge of an application display window. Scrollbar 420 provides a means for moving through a document displayed in an application window, wherein the document is typically larger than can be displayed in its entirety in the window at one time. With the aid of the scrollbar 420, the application user can move through the document incrementally either one line or approximately one page at a time or in large steps.

The scrollbar 420 shown in FIG. 16 comprises several graphically depicted components. These include an elevator 422, a shaft 424, and up and down scroll arrows 426 and 428, respectively. The elevator 422 is the central moving portion of the scrollbar 420. The elevator 422 is also commonly known in the art as the tab or thumb. The elevator 422 is positioned within the shaft 424 and the elevator 422 may be moved within the shaft 424 either by sliding the elevator 422 along the shaft 424 with a drag gesture, as described above, by positioning the cursor either above or below the elevator 422 in the shaft 424 and implementing a tap gesture to move the elevator a single large step in the desired direction, or as in the present invent, by positioning the cursor over either of the up or down scroll arrows 426 and 428 and implementing a drag gesture to activate either of the scroll arrows 426 or 428. In the prior art, when the scroll arrows are activated the document will be scrolled in the display at a constant rate.

The elevator 422 shows the relative location of the viewing window within the document. For example, when the elevator 422 is near the top of the shaft 424 of scrollbar 420, the document in the viewing window is near the beginning, when the elevator 422 is near the bottom of the shaft 424 of scrollbar 420 the document in the viewing window is near the end. By moving the elevator 422 up and down in shaft 424, any portion of the document may be positioned in the viewing window.

Alternatively, when the cursor is positioned either above or below the elevator 422 in the shaft 424 and a tap gesture is implemented, the position of the document in the viewing window will move either up or down by approximately the size of a single viewing window. It is also known

in the art that when the cursor is positioned in the shaft 424 and a tap gesture is implemented, the position of the document in the viewing window will correspond to the relative position of the cursor on the shaft 424 where the tap gesture was made.

5 In the present invention, when the cursor is position over either of the up or down scroll arrows 426 and 428 and a drag gesture is made, the scrolling function is implemented. Unlike the prior art, which implements the scrolling function at a constant rate, the present invention utilizes pressure information Z to vary the rate of the scrolling function according to the finger pressure. Accordingly, when the user presses on a scroll arrow firmly, the document will scroll quickly. When the user presses lightly, the scrolling would slow down or even stop. This enhancement
10 to conventional scrolling features makes navigation through documents easier and more natural.

For virtually all graphical interfaces in computer systems, the host computer system keeps track of the position of the cursor on the graphical interface. When the cursor is positioned over either the up or down scroll arrow and the scroll arrow is activated, usually by depressing a mouse
15 button, in a mouse driven system, the operating system sends a message to the application program. The application receives the message and is linked to the operating system DLL implementing the scroll arrow function. In prior art systems, when the scroll arrow is depressed, the document will be scrolled through the viewing window at a constant rate which is usually set by the operating system.

20 Operating systems provide many functions, In addition to sending messages to applications programs that certain controls, like the scroll arrows described herein, are to be implemented, most convention operating systems also allow or provide for these messages to detected, intercepted and modified.

Accordingly, referring again to FIG. 15, in the present invention, a scroll modifier unit 412, implemented in the preferred embodiment as a software driver loaded and running simultaneously
25 with the operating system 404 on the host computer system 400, instructs the operating system 404 that all scroll arrow messages are not to be sent to applications 406, but rather are to be sent to the scroll modifier unit 412. Though the scroll modifier unit 412 is implemented as a software driver, those of ordinary skill in the will recognize that a hardware state machine is a known equivalent and would also be a reasonable implementation of the scroll modifier unit 412.

30 As explained above, in conventional systems, when the scroll arrow is activated the document will scroll at a fixed rate. In the present invention, the scroll modifier unit 414 has access to the Z pressure information sensed by the touchpad sensor 414. With the pressure information, the scroll modifier is able to modify the scroll messages being sent by the operating system 404 to

the applications 406.

Shown in FIG. 17 is a flow chart according to the present invention.

At step 450 the host computer system polls the object position information supplied from the touch pad sensor. At, step 452, when the operating system determines that the position of the cursor is over the scroll arrow and a drag gesture has been implemented, a scroll message is sent. It should be appreciated by those of ordinary skill in the art that a virtual button implemented by a drag gesture may be implemented by other gestures as well. It should also be appreciated by those of ordinary skill in the art that using the position information to determine when the cursor is over the scroll arrow is also well known.

At step 454, the scroll message which is sent by the operating system is sent to the scroll modifier unit as it has instructed the operating system to do. At step 456, the scroll modifier unit modifies the scroll rate using the Z pressure information by making the scroll rate a function of the pressure information from the touchpad sensor. In the preferred embodiment, the scroll rate is linearly proportional to the pressure information. Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that other monotonic functions, including but not limited to inverse proportionality, and non-linear proportionality such as logarithmic or exponential functions, could be employed in the present invention without departing from the principles disclosed herein.

At step 458, the scroll message is then relayed to the application by the scroll modifier unit with a scroll rate which has been modified by the scroll modifier unit to be a function of the Z pressure information.

At step 460, the application links to the DLL for the scrolling function using the dynamic linker, and the document is scrolled as a function of the Z pressure information.

The increased sensitivity of the touch sensor system of the present invention allows for a lighter input finger touch which makes it easy for human use. Increased sensitivity also makes it easier to use other input objects, like pen styli, etc. Additionally this sensitivity allows for a trade-off against a thicker protective layer, or different materials, which both allow for lower manufacturing costs.

Greater noise rejection allows for greater flexibility in use and reduced sensitivity to spurious noise problems. Two techniques are employed which allow derivation of the most noise-rejection benefit.

Due to the drive and sense techniques employed in the present invention, the data acquisition rate has been increased by about a factor of 30 over the prior art. This offers several obvious side effects. First, for the same level of signal processing, the circuitry can be turned off most of the time and reduce power consumption by roughly a factor of 30 in the analog section of the design. Second, since more data is available, more signal processing, such as filtering and gesture recognition, can be performed.

The sensor electronic circuit employed in the present invention is very robust and calibrates out process and systematic errors. It will process the capacitive information from the sensor and provide digital information to an external device, for example, a microprocessor.

Because of the unique physical features of the present invention, there are several ergonomically interesting applications that were not previously possible. Presently a mouse or trackball is not physically convenient to use on portable computers. The present invention provides a very convenient and easy-to-use cursor position solution that replaces those devices.

In mouse-type applications, the sensor of the present invention may be placed in a convenient location, e.g., below the "space bar" key in a portable computer. When placed in this location, the thumb of the user may be used as the position pointer on the sensor to control the cursor position on the computer screen. The cursor may then be moved without the need for the user's fingers to leave the keyboard. Ergonomically, this is similar to the concept of the MacIntosh Power Book with its trackball, however the present invention provides a significant advantage in size over the trackball. Extensions of this basic idea are possible in that two sensors could be placed below the "space bar" key for even more feature control.

The computer display with its cursor feedback is one small example of a very general area of application where a display could be a field of lights or LED's, an LCD display, or a CRT. Examples include touch controls on laboratory equipment where present equipment uses a knob/button/touch screen combination. Because of the articulating ability of this interface, one or more of those inputs could be combined into one of the inputs described with respect to the present invention.

Consumer Electronic Equipment (stereos, graphic equalizers, mixers) applications often utilize significant front panel surface area for slide potentiometers because variable control is needed. The present invention can provide such control in one small touch pad location. As Electronic Home Systems become more common, denser and more powerful human interface is needed. The sensor technology of the present invention permits a very dense control panel. Hand-held

TV/VCR/Stereo controls could be ergonomically formed and allow for more powerful features if this sensor technology is used.

5 The sensor of the present invention can be conformed to any surface and can be made to detect multiple touching points, making possible a more powerful joystick. The unique pressure detection ability of the sensor technology of the present invention is also key to this application. Computer games, "remote" controls (hobby electronics, planes) , and machine tool controls are a few examples of applications which would benefit from the sensor technology of the present invention.

10 Musical keyboards (synthesizers, electric pianos) require velocity sensitive keys which can be provided by the pressure sensing ability of this sensor. There are also pitch bending controls, and other slide switches that could be replaced with this technology. An even more unique application comprises a musical instrument that creates notes as a function of the position and pressure of the hands and fingers in a very articulate 3-d interface.

15 The sensor technology of the present invention can best detect any conducting material pressing against it. By adding a compressible insulating layer covered by a layer of conductive material on top of the sensor the sensor of the present invention may also indirectly detect pressure from any object being handled, regardless of its electrical conductivity.

20 Because of the amount of information available from this sensor it will serve very well as an input device to virtual reality machines. It is easy to envision a construction that allows position-monitoring in three dimensions and some degree of response (pressure) to actions.

While embodiments and applications of this invention have been shown and described, it would be apparent to those skilled in the art that many more modifications than mentioned above are possible without departing from the inventive concepts herein. The invention, therefore, is not to be restricted except in the spirit of the appended claims.

What is Claimed is:

1. A method for modifying a scrollbar message generated by a computer system operating system in response to object position information sensed by a touch sensor pad and transmitted by a touchpad driver to said operating system, including the steps of:

5 generating pressure information from object contact information from the touch pad sensor;

intercepting the scrollbar message generated by the operating system;

modifying the scrolling rate of the scrollbar message to be a function of the pressure information from the touch pad sensor; and

10 transmitting said modified scrollbar message to an application.




FIG. 1




FIG. 2A






FIG. 2B

3/17



SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

4/17




FIG. 3

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

5/17




FIG. 4A




FIG. 4B




FIG. 5




FIG. 6

7/17




FIG. 7

8/17




FIG. 8

9/17




FIG. 9

10/17




FIG. 10

11/17




FIG. 11




FIG. 12

12/17




FIG. 13

13/17




FIG. 14A

14/17




FIG. 14B

15/17




FIG. 14C




FIG. 15




FIG. 16

17/17




FIG. 17

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/US 96/17862

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 6 G06F3/033

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
IPC 6 G06F

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	IBM TECHNICAL DISCLOSURE BULLETIN, vol. 33, no. 1B, 1 June 1990, page 277/278 XP000122887 "PRESSURE-SENSITIVE ICONS" see page 278, line 6 - line 13 ---	1
X	IBM TECHNICAL DISCLOSURE BULLETIN, vol. 36, no. 4, 1 April 1993, pages 399-403, XP000364559 "SCROLL CONTROL BOX" see page 399, line 18 - line 26 see page 402, line 17 - line 20 ---	1
A	EP 0 394 614 A (IBM) 31 October 1990 see page 5, line 26 - line 42 --- -/--	1

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

6 March 1997

Date of mailing of the international search report

17.03.97

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Bailas, A

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 96/17862

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	<p>WILTON R.: "microsoft windows 3 developer's workshop" , MICROSOFT PRESS , 1991 XP002027032 see page 229 - page 230 -----</p>	1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 96/17862

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0394614 A	31-10-90	US 5157384 A	20-10-92
		CA 2007411 A	28-10-90
		DE 69026417 D	15-05-96
		DE 69026417 T	14-11-96
		JP 1868440 C	26-08-94
		JP 3020812 A	29-01-91
		US 5252951 A	12-10-93
