

REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA  
PATENTS ACT, 1978

(To be lodged in duplicate)

DM KISCH INC.

## PUBLICATION PARTICULARS AND ABSTRACT

(Section 32(3)(a) – Regulations 22(1)(g) and 31)

REFERENCE: P36863ZA00

| OFFICIAL APPLICATION NO. |    |       | LODGING DATE     |  | ACCEPTANCE DATE |          |
|--------------------------|----|-------|------------------|--|-----------------|----------|
| 21                       | 01 | 22/23 | 19 November 2007 |  | 43              | 9-5-2006 |

| INTERNATIONAL CLASSIFICATION |                  | NOT FOR PUBLICATION<br>CLASSIFIED BY: |
|------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 51                           | A61K, A61P, C07K |                                       |

| FULL NAME(S) OF APPLICANT(S) |                          |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 71                           | LAY LINE GENOMICS S.p.A. |

| FULL NAME(S) OF INVENTORS |                                                                               |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 72                        | PAVONE, Flaminia<br>MARINELLI, Sara<br>CATTANEO, Antonio<br>UGOLINI, Gabriele |

| EARLIEST PRIORITY CLAIMED                                                                                 |  | COUNTRY |    | NUMBER |               | DATE |              |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|---------|----|--------|---------------|------|--------------|
| NOTE: The country must be indicated by its International Abbreviation – see Schedule 4 Of the Regulations |  | 33      | IT | 31     | RM2005A000332 | 32   | 24 June 2005 |
|                                                                                                           |  |         |    |        |               |      |              |

| TITLE OF INVENTION |                                                                  |
|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 54                 | METHOD FOR THE POTENTIATION OF OPIOID ANALGESICS EFFECTS ON PAIN |

|    |                                    |                 |    |
|----|------------------------------------|-----------------|----|
| 57 | ABSTRACT (NOT MORE THAN 150 WORDS) | NUMBER OF PAGES | 41 |
|----|------------------------------------|-----------------|----|

FOR ABSTRACT SEE THE NEXT SHEET

## (12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
28 December 2006 (28.12.2006)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 2006/137106 A3**

## (51) International Patent Classification:

C07K 16/28 (2006.01) A61K 31/485 (2006.01)  
A61K 39/395 (2006.01) A61P 43/00 (2006.01)

## (21) International Application Number:

PCT/IT2006/000488

(22) International Filing Date: 23 June 2006 (23.06.2006)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

## (30) Priority Data:

RM2005A000332 24 June 2005 (24.06.2005) IT

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): LAY  
LINE GENOMICS S.p.A [IT/IT]; Via di Castel Romano,  
100, I-00128 Roma (IT).

## (72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): PAVONE, Flaminia  
[IT/IT]; c/o CNR Istituto di Neuroscienza, Via del Fosso  
di Fiorano 65, I-00143 Roma (IT). MARINELLI, Sara  
[IT/IT]; c/o Lay Line Genomics S.p.A., Via di Castel  
Romano, 100, I-00128 Roma (IT). CATTANEO, Antonio  
[IT/IT]; c/o Lay Line Genomics S.p.A., Via di Castel  
Romano, 100, I-00128 Roma (IT). UGOLINI, Gabriele  
[IT/IT]; c/o Lay Line Genomics S.p.A., Via di Castel  
Romano, 100, I-00128 Roma (IT).

(74) Agents: CAPASSO, Olga et al.; De Simone & Partners  
S.p.A., Via Vincenzo Bellini, 20, I-00198 Roma (IT)

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every  
kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM,  
AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN,  
CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI,  
GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP,  
KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT,  
LU, LV, LY, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, NA,  
NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RS, RU, SC,  
SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ,  
UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every  
kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH,  
GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM,  
ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM),  
European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI,  
FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, NL, PL, PT,  
RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA,  
GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

## Published:

— with international search report

(88) Date of publication of the international search report:

7 June 2007

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guid-  
ance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the begin-  
ning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: METHOD FOR THE POTENTIATION OF OPIOID ANALGESICS EFFECTS ON PAIN

(57) Abstract: According to the invention there is provided use of an anti-TrkA antibody capable of inhibiting the binding between NGF and TrkA combined with at least one opioid analgesic for the preparation of a medicament for treating and/or preventing pain.

WO 2006/137106 A3

## Method for the potentiation of opioid analgesics effects on pain

### BACKGROUND TO THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to molecules that are able to block TrkA activity for the  
5 potentiation of opioid analgesics effects on pain. In particular, the molecules are anti-TrkA  
antibodies showing a potentiation of the analgesic effect of opioids such as morphine.

### STATE OF THE ART

The nociceptive signals afferent to the spinal cord are carried by the fibres A $\delta$  and C, the  
10 cell bodies of which (primary sensitive neurons) are located in the spinal dorsal ganglion  
(DRG). The primary sensitive neurons release glutamate together with ATP as  
excitatory neurotransmitter, and various other substances such as substance P and CGRP  
(calcitonin-gene-related-peptide), (Hunt and Mantyh, 2001). The release of these excitatory  
neurotransmitters is controlled by various classes of receptors present on the afferent  
15 terminals including those sensitive to capsaicin (vanilloid receptors, VR1), those activated  
by GABA, those activated by ATP itself and those activated by cannabinoids (CB1 and CB2)  
(Sivilotti and Nistri, 1991; Hunt and Mantyh, 2001; Khakh, 2001; Morisset et al., 2000).  
One of the physiopathological whereby chronic pain occurs is allodynia, i.e. the  
transformation of stimuli that are not normally painful into painful sensations. This  
20 phenomenon involves various ionic currents and therefore different channels of the  
“ligand-gated” type, including the receptor for the capsaicin, VR1, and the ionotropic  
receptors for ATP (Khakh, 2001). The simultaneous activation of the receptors for VR1  
and of those for ATP on spinal nociceptive interneurons generates a considerable  
accumulation of the excitatory synaptic signals with reinforcement of the painful stimulus  
25 transmission (Nakatsuka et al., 2002). From these observations it is therefore clear that  
ATP receptors (especially those belonging to the P2X3 class) play a fundamental role in  
the pain pathways (Burnstock, 2001). These receptors are present on the peripheral nerve  
terminals activated by algogenic stimuli, on the cell bodies of the neurons in the DRGs  
on the presynaptic terminals thereof, as well as on postsynaptic terminals in the spinal cord  
30 (Khakh, 2001). There is considerable evidence showing an involvement of the nerve  
growth factor (NGF) and its high-affinity receptor TrkA (Levi-Montalcini, 1987; Levi-  
Montalcini et al., 1996; Frade and Barde, 1998; Kaplan, 1998) in the molecular processes  
underlying the main kinds of “persistent” pain, indicating a major therapeutic area (tha

pain, with particular reference to the "tonic" forms), for the antibodies which block NGF/TrkA system (Levine, 1998). The development of sensitive nociceptive neurons depends greatly on NGF, and the responses of the adult nociceptors are modulated by same factor (Julius and Basbaum, 2001). In particular, NGF exerts acute sensitisation to capsaicin algogenic stimulus (Shu and Mendell, 1999). From a functional standpoint, nociceptive neurons, following chronic inflammation, develop alterations in the frequency and duration of their action potential. These phenomena regress by blocking endogenous NGF, leading to a significant attenuation of the hyperexcitability typical of states of chronic pain (Djouhri et al., 2001). NGF action in defining the pain threshold in adult nociceptors is mediated by the TrkA receptor, also through modulation of the response mediated by the VR1 receptor present on the nociceptive terminals. The TrkA dependent potentiation of the VR1 response is thought to occur through the intracellular transduction pathway of the phospholipase C gamma (PLCgamma, Chuang et al., 2001). This rapid TrkA mediated potentiation of nociceptor signalling and function could have an algogenic effect in acute and chronic pain settings. The peripheral NGF levels are increased in inflammatory processes, while the administration of exogenous NGF has a hyperalgesic effect on rats and produces muscular pain in humans. Furthermore, NGF produces hypersensitisation to heat stimulation in humans and mammals in general. NGF is released by mast cells, fibroblasts and other cell types in the peripheral sites where inflammatory processes occur. In particular, mast cells appear to play a fundamental role (Woolf et al., 1996). As they produce NGF and at the same time express functional TrkA receptors on their surface (Nilsson et al., 1997), they are able to respond to NGF itself, in the presence of lysophosphatidylserine (Horigome et al., 1993; Kawamoto et al., 2002). As a result, the NGF/TrkA system appears to mediate mastocyte activation through an autocrine positive feedback mechanism which allows local amplification of the algogenic inflammatory signal.

High levels of NGF are also found in neurons, where this neurotrophin is apparently responsible for the modifications of the nerve fibres, associated with pain (Harpf et al., 2002). In certain forms of cancer, the excess of NGF facilitates the growth and infiltration of nerve fibres with induction of oncological pain (Zhu et al., 1999). Recent experimental studies demonstrate how, by blocking NGF, it is possible to significantly reduce the formation of neuromas, responsible for neuropathic pain, without damaging the cell bodies of the lesioned neurons (Kryger et al., 2001). These results generated significant

interest in therapeutic approaches based on the reduction of NGF effects for the treatment of acute and persistent pain (Saragovi and Gehring, 2000). In recent years, the involvement of the NGF/TrkA system in the molecular processes of pain transduction was genetically demonstrated. In particular, mutations of the TrkA gene (localised on chromosome 1q21-q22) are responsible for a hereditary recessive autosomic syndrome known as CIPA ("congenital insensitivity to pain with anhidrosis"), characterised by recurrent episodic fever, anhidrosis, absence of reaction to nociceptive stimuli, mental retardation and a tendency to self-mutilation (Indo et al., 1996; Saragovi and Gehring, 2000; Indo, 2001; Indo et al., 2001). Further confirmation of the involvement of NGF in the nociceptive response was recently obtained by the inventors with the characterisation of anti-NGF transgenic mice phenotype (AD11). In these animals, the ectopic expression of the anti-NGF antibody  $\alpha$ D11 produces a functional block of NGF in adult age. Such block consistently translates into an increase in the latency time of the response to harmful stimuli (Capsoni et al., 2000; Ruberti et al., 2000). Numerous evidence indicates the system constituted by the nerve growth factor (NGF) and its high-affinity receptor TrkA as a possible target for pain therapy. For this reason, antibodies capable of neutralising the biological activity of the NGF/TrkA system by blocking the TrkA receptor may represent an important resource for pain therapy.

The authors of the present invention make use of antibodies (directed against the TrkA receptor) which are able to block the biological effects of NGF mediated by TrkA. The reagent MNAC13 is of particular interest.

The MNAC13 antibody is a mouse monoclonal antibody directed against the human TrkA receptor (Cattaneo et al., 1999; Pesavento et al., 2000), particularly in the inhibition of TrkA activation by NGF and the downstream biological functions, both *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Cattaneo et al., 1999; Pesavento et al., 2000). Anti-TrkA antibodies, including the MNAC13 antibody, having an antagonist activity preventing the functional activation of TrkA by NGF are disclosed in EP 1.181.318. Derivatives of such antibody are also disclosed in WO2005/061540. However, the potentiation of the analgesic effect of opioids by such molecules is not disclosed.

The antibodies were characterised in detail from the point of view of the structure (Covaceuszach et al., 2001) and as for the molecular interaction with the TrkA receptor (Covaceuszach et al., 2005). On the basis of such in-depth structural knowledge, by means of an innovative method a humanised version of MNAC13 was generated (Hu-MNAC13).

with the same antigen binding features as the parental antibody (patent application WO2005/061540).

The action of the MNAC13 antibody, as well as of the humanized MNAC13 antibody version (huMNAC13), was investigated in a classical model of persistent inflammatory pain, i.e. the mouse formalin test (Porro and Cavazzuti, 1993). When animals undergo the test, two behavioral phases can be distinguished, in terms of pain response. The two phases are separated by an interval of a few minutes during which the response to the pain stimulus is mild or absent. Phase 1 is determined by direct stimulation of nociceptive terminals (by formalin), whilst phase 2 is due to the subsequent inflammation. The action of the MNAC13 antibodies was compared to that of morphine, a traditional strong analgesic belonging to the opioid class, largely used to treat mild to severe pain (Przewlocki and Przewlocka, 2001), and the anti-NGF antibody  $\alpha$ D11. Opioid analgesics include all active principles, natural or synthetic, whose action is similar to that of morphine. Synthetic or semi-synthetic principles are derivatives of five chemical classes (phenanthrenes, phenylethylamine, phenylpiperidines, morphinans, and benzomorphan). From the pharmacological point of view, they have different activities: they may be strong opioid receptor agonists (like morphine), moderate or weak agonists of the same receptor (like codeine), compounds with mixed antagonist/agonist activity (like nalbuphine), partial agonists (like nalorphine). Of all these compounds, morphine remains the most largely used. However, despite its therapeutic properties, it has several side effects (sedative effect, nausea, vomiting, etc.). Moreover, morphine and the compounds belonging to this analgesic class show a fundamental disadvantageous characteristic that is the development of tolerance and physical addiction. There is therefore an urgent need to develop treatments using doses of this class of analgesics as low as possible, reducing the incidence of side effects and the probability to develop tolerance and/or addiction. For this purpose potentiation of the analgesic effect of opioids was investigated, with antibodies blocking the NGF/TrkA system.

The patent application WO2004/096122 describes a method for the treatment or the prevention of pain including the administration of an NGF antagonist and an opioid analgesic. The application refers, in particular, to an anti-NGF antibody and not to an antagonist of its receptor, TrkA.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The authors of the present invention have found that small amounts (below their efficacy threshold) of molecules able to block TrkA biological activity can potentiate the analgesic effect of opioids. Such combined therapy allows using a reduced opioid amount to obtain the same level of pain relief that would be achieved with a higher opioid dose administered alone.

The object of the present invention is the use of an anti-TrkA antibody that is able to inhibit the binding between NGF and TrkA combined with at least one opioid analgesic in the preparation of a medicament for the treatment and/or the prevention of pain. Said antibody blocks the biological activity of TrkA i.e. is an antagonistic antibody.

A molecule that blocks the biological activity of TrkA refers to a molecule that acts as an antagonist in terms of the NGF binding to the TrkA receptor, and which can be defined as a synthetic molecule or a monoclonal antibody or a biological/synthetic derivative thereof, which:

- i) binds to TrkA; and
- ii) inhibits the binding of NGF to the "native" TrkA receptor expressed on the surface of living cells; and
- iii) blocks the biological activity deriving from NGF binding to the same TrkA receptor.

The term "blocking the biological activity" does not simply mean blocking activation of the receptor, defined as blocking the conversion process of the receptor itself into an "active" state, but also the functional neutralisation of biological consequences downstream of the activation process: second messengers, new gene expression, phenotypic and functional modifications both at cell and system level. The molecule of the invention is not only able to block TrkA in a classic *in vitro* test (test of neurite growth in PC12 cells), but also *in vivo* (functional block of the cholinergic neurons of the basal forebrain and block of the nociception in a classic "hot plate" test).

As noted above, antagonistic TrkA antibodies are disclosed in EP 1181318 and WO2005/061540.

In an aspect of the invention the variable region of the antibody light chain comprises at least one of the complementarity determining regions (CDRs) having the sequence selected from aa. 24 to aa. 33 of SEQ ID No.1; from aa. 49 to aa. 55 of SEQ ID No. 1; from aa. 61 to aa. 96 of SEQ ID No. 1, more preferably two of the above CDRs, most preferably the

5

**CDR L2**

**CDR L3**

10 In an aspect of the invention the variable region of the antibody heavy chain comprises at least one of the complementarity determining regions (CDRs) having the sequence selected from aa. 26 to aa. 35 of SEQ ID No. 2; from aa. 50 to aa. 66 of SEQ ID No. 2; from aa. 99 to aa. 112 of SEQ ID No. 2, more preferably two of the above CDRs, most preferably three of the above CDRs. The variable region of the antibody light chain  
15 may, for example, comprise essentially the sequence of SEQ ID No.2.

**CDR H2**

CDR H3

20 SRDNAKNTLYLQMSSLKSEDTALYYCARGAMFGNDFFFPMDRWGQGTSVTVSS (SEQ ID No 2).

25 Alternatively the antibody may be a Fab (monovalent fragment antigen binding) fragment. Fab fragments may be prepared by recombinant means *ab initio* or may be prepared enzymatic (eg papain) proteolysis of a whole antibody.

Alternatively the antibody may be a Fab2 fragment. Fab2 fragments may be prepared recombinant means *ab initio* or may be prepared by enzymatic (eg pepsin)\_proteolysis of whole antibody.

In a preferred aspect of the invention the anti-TrkA antibody is a human or humanised antibody. The skilled in the art shall select the proper humanisation method to design an antibody, a preferred method is the method as disclosed in WO 2005/061540. Exemplary humanised antibodies comprise a light chain variable region which is a humanised derivative of SEQ ID No 1 (a mouse origin sequence). Exemplary humanised antibodies

comprise a heavy chain variable region which is a humanised derivative of SEQ ID No 2 mouse origin sequence).

In a preferred aspect of the invention the variable region of the humanised antibody li; chain comprises essentially the sequence from aa. 1 to aa. 106 of SEQ ID No. 3.

- 5 In a more preferred aspect the humanised antibody light chain has essentially the sequer of SEQ ID No. 3.

10 *DIVLTQSPSSLSASVGDRVTITCSASSSVSYMHYQQKPGQAPKLLIYTTSNLAGVPSRFSGSGSGTDYT  
ISSLOPEDVATYYCHQWSSYPWTFGGGTKVEIKRTVAAPSVFIFPPSDEQLKSGTASVVCLLNIFYPREAK  
WKVDNALQSGNSQESVTEQDSKSTYLSSTLTLSKADYEKHKVYACEVTHQGLSSPVTKSFNRGEC*  
hMNAC13 Vk hCk (SEQ ID No. 3).

In a preferred aspect of the invention the variable region of the humanised antibody hea chain comprises essentially the sequence from aa. 1 to aa. 123 of SEQ ID No. 4 .

- 15 In a more preferred aspect the humanised antibody heavy chain has essentially a sequer selected from SEQ ID No. 4, SEQ ID No. 5, SEQ ID No. 6.

20 *EVQLLESGGGLVQPGGSLRLSCAASGFTFSTYTM<sup>SWARQAPGKGLEWVAYISKGGGSTYYPDTVKG</sup>RFTIS  
NSKNTLYLQMNSLRAEDSAVYYCARGAMFGNDFFFPMDRWGQGT<sup>L</sup>VTVSSASTKGPSVFPLAPSSKSTSGG  
ALGCLVKDYFPEPVTVSWNSGALTSGVHTFPAVLQSSGLYSLSSVTVTPSSSLGTQTYICNVNHKPSNTKV  
RVEPKSCDKHTHTCPPCPAPELLGGPSVFLFPPKPKDTLMISRTPEVTCVVDVSHEDPEVKFNWYVDGVEV  
AKTKPREEQYNSTYRVVSVLTVLHQDWLNGKEYKCKVSNKALPAPIEKTISKAKGQPREPQVYTLPPSRDE  
KNQVSLTCLVKGFYPSDIAVEWESNGQPENNYKTTTPVLDSDGSFFLYSKLTVDKSRWQQGNVFSQVMHE  
HNHYTQKSLSLSPGK* hMNAC13 VH hIgG1 (SEQ ID No. 4).

25 *EVQLLESGGGLVQPGGSLRLSCAASGFTFSTYTM<sup>SWARQAPGKGLEWVAYISKGGGSTYYPDTVKG</sup>RFTIS  
NSKNTLYLQMNSLRAEDSAVYYCARGAMFGNDFFFPMDRWGQGT<sup>L</sup>VTVSSASTKGPSVFPLAPSSKSTSGG  
ALGCLVKDYFPEPVTVSWNSGALTSGVHTFPAVLQSSGLYSLSSVTVTPSSSLGTQTYICNVNHKPSNTKV  
RVEPKSCDKHTHTCPPCPAPELLGGPSVFLFPPKPKDTLMISRTPEVTCVVDVSHEDPEVKFNWYVDGVEV  
30 AKTKPREEQYASTYRVVSVLTVLHQDWLNGKEYKCKVSNKALPAPIEKTISKAKGQPREPQVYTLPPSRDE  
KNQVSLTCLVKGFYPSDIAVEWESNGQPENNYKTTTPVLDSDGSFFLYSKLTVDKSRWQQGNVFSQVMHE  
HNHYTQKSLSLSPGK* hMNAC13 VH hIgG1 (N297A) (SEQ ID No. 5).

35 *EVQLLESGGGLVQPGGSLRLSCAASGFTFSTYTM<sup>SWARQAPGKGLEWVAYISKGGGSTYYPDTVKG</sup>RFTIS  
NSKNTLYLQMNSLRAEDSAVYYCARGAMFGNDFFFPMDRWGQGT<sup>L</sup>VTVSSASTKGPSVFPLAPCSRSTSES  
ALGCLVKDYFPEPVTVSWNSGALTSGVHTFPAVLQSSGLYSLSSVTVTPSSSLGTQTYTCNVDHKPSNTKV*

RVESKYGPPCPSCPAPEFLGGPSVFLFPPKPKDTLMISRTPEVTCVVVDVSQEDPEVQFNWYVDGVEVHNA  
 KPREEQFNSTYRVVSVLTVLHQDWLNGKEYKCKVSNKGLPSSIEKTISKAKGQPREPQVYTLPPSQEEMTK  
 VSLTCLVKGFYPSDIAVEWESNGQPENNYKTPPVLDSDGSFFLYSRLTVDKSRWQEGNVFSCSVMEALH  
 YTQKSLSLSLGK hMNAC13 VH hIgG4 (SEQ ID No. 6).

5

Italics: variable regions, Bold: mutations in the mouse sequence in the humanization process, Underlined: CDRs.

10 A still further object of the present invention is the use of an anti-TrkA antibody that  
 able to inhibit the binding between NGF and TrkA combined with at least one opioid  
 analgesic to prepare a remedy for treatment of pain of any etiology, including but not  
 limited to acute and chronic pain, any pain with an inflammatory component, and any pain  
 in which an opioid analgesic is usually prescribed. More preferably the pain is caused  
 pancreatitis, kidney stones, headaches, dysmenorrhoea, musculoskeletal pain, sprain  
 15 visceral pain, ovarian cysts, prostatitis, cystitis, interstitial cystitis, inflammatory bowel  
 disease post-operative pain (including dental pain), post-surgical pain, migraine, trigeminal  
 neuralgia, pain from burns and/or wounds, pain associated with trauma (including  
 traumatic head injury), neuropathic pain, post-herpetic neuralgia, pain associated with  
 musculoskeletal diseases, rheumatoid arthritis, osteoarthritis, ankylosing spondylitis  
 20 periarticular pathologies, oncological pain (including "break-through pain" and pain  
 associated with terminal cancer), pain from bone metastases, pain from HIV, pain from  
 myocardial infarction.

According to International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP, [www.iasp-pain.org](http://www.iasp-pain.org)),  
 25 <http://www.iasp-pain.org>), pain is generally defined as "An unpleasant sensory and  
 emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage, or described  
 in terms of such damage or both". The essential element in all forms of pain is the activation  
 of specialized high-threshold receptors and nerve fibers to warn the organism of potential  
 tissue damage. The involvement of inflammatory cells and processes is a common element  
 in many pain states. The term "acute pain" means immediate, generally high threshold  
 30 pain brought about by injury such as a cut, crush, burn, or by chemical stimulation. The  
 term "chronic pain," as used herein, means pain other than acute pain. It is understood that  
 chronic pain often is of relatively long duration, for example, months or years and can  
 be continuous or intermittent.

In one particular embodiment of the invention the pain to be treated is a persistent form pain, e.g. oncological, neuropathic or rheumatic pain.

The anti-TrkA antibody of the invention is suitably administered systemically. Systemic administration can be performed by injection, e.g. continuous intravenous infusion, bolus intravenous infusion, subcutaneous or intramuscular injection. Alternatively other forms of administration (e.g. oral, mucosal, via inhalation, sublingually, etc.) may also be used. Local delivery of the antibody can be performed by local administration e.g. intra-articular injection or subcutaneous, intramuscular injection in the affected tissue area.

The anti-TrkA antibody will suitably be formulated in a pharmaceutical composition appropriate for the intended route of administration. Solutions for injection will suitably contain the antibody dissolved or dispersed in an aqueous medium (e.g. water for injection) as appropriate containing appropriate buffers and molarity modifiers e.g. phosphate, sodium and/or dextrose.

Treatment regimen i.e. dose, timing and repetition, can be represented by single or repeated administrations (eg injections) of the product by the chosen administration route. The interval of dose administration can be subject to modifications depending on the extent and duration of the clinical response, as well as the particular individual and the individual's clinical history.

Combined administration includes both simultaneous administration and/or administration at different times. The TrkA antagonist and the opioid analgesic may be administered with different frequencies and doses, i.e. a TrkA antibody may be administered 1 to 3 times a week or maybe 1 to 3 times a month in single doses in the 0.01-500 mg/kg range (e.g. 0.1 mg/kg), whilst the opioid analgesic may be administered with greater frequency, with lower or equal dose to that normally used for this category of analgesics. For example a suitable dose of morphine (as morphine hydrochloride) would be in the 0.05-5 mg/kg range. For example a suitable dose of fentanyl (as fentanyl citrate) would be in the 0.0005-0.1 mg/kg range. TrkA antibody and the opioid analgesic may be administered in different ways and the doses may vary during the administration according to patient's response to the treatment. Adequate administration pathways possible (for one and/or the other component of the combination) are the following: oral, intravenous, sublingual, subcutaneous, intraarterial, intramuscular, rectal, intraspinal, intrathorax, intraperitoneal, intraventricular, transdermic, by inhalation. The administration pathway may be systemic (as in the case

intravenous administration) or localized. A preventive administration, before the onset pain, may also be considered.

Suitably the anti-TrkA antibody has a long duration of action. In particular the clinical effect of the antibody extends following administration may be as long as 21 days determined from animal studies. Furthermore preliminary data implies that anti-Trk antibodies may manifest clinical benefit for a longer period than that in which its presence can be detected in a relevant biological matrix such as serum or plasma following administration.

In a preferred aspect, the opioid analgesic is a compound or a combination of one or more compounds selected from the following list: morphine, codeine, dihydrocodeine, diacetylmorphine, hydrocodone, hydromorphone, levorphanol, oxymorphone, alfentanil, buprenorphine, butorphanol, fentanyl, sufentanyl, meperidine, methadone, nabuprophine, propoxyphene, pentazocine; and their pharmaceutically acceptable salt derivatives. In one embodiment the opioid analgesic is morphine or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof. In another embodiment the opioid analgesic is fentanyl or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof.

Suitably the quantity of anti-TrkA antibody is such that the opioid dose is reduced by at least 5% eg at least 20% for example at least 50% of that necessary to produce the same analgesic effect by itself.

Suitably the quantity of opioid analgesic is such that the TrkA antibody dose is reduced by at least 5% eg at least 20% for example at least 50% of that necessary to produce the same analgesic effect by itself.

Another object of the invention is a pharmaceutical formulation comprising pharmaceutically acceptable and effective doses, at least one anti-TrkA antibody and at least one analgesic opioid. Preferably, the formulation is contained in a single pharmaceutical composition. More preferably, the formulation is constituted by two pharmaceutical compositions, the first one comprising the molecule able to block the biological activity of TrkA receptor, and the second one including the analgesic opioid.

A further object of the invention is an anti-TrkA antibody of the invention for use in combination with an analgesic opioid for the treatment of pain.

Another object is a method of treatment and/or prevention of pain in a subject comprising administering to the subject an effective amount of an anti-TrkA antibody and an effective amount of an analgesic opioid to treat and/or prevent pain in said subject.

There is also provided a kit comprising a composition containing an anti-TrkA antibody and an analgesic opioid together with instructions directing administration of said composition to a subject in need of treatment and/or prevention of pain thereby to treat and/or prevent pain in said subject.

5

This invention will now be described providing non limiting examples thereof with particular reference to the following figures:

**FIGURE 1:** Effect of morphine (A) 1 mg/kg, (B) 2.5 mg/kg, (C) 5 mg/kg on mouse formalin test (n=10 for each experimental group). Morphine was injected intraperitoneally 15 minutes prior to starting the test and the total "Licking" time (response to pain) was measured in seconds. Saline solution (Sal) was injected to negative controls. Time intervals of 5 minutes were considered. Each time interval was analyzed by means of one factor variance analysis test (ANOVA). The first 8 intervals (=total time 40 min) correspond to the duration of the test. The animals were also observed during the 20 minutes immediately after the test. (A) 1 mg/kg of morphine is completely ineffective; (B) 2.5 mg/kg morphine have a significant analgesic effect; (C) 5 mg/kg of morphine block the response to formalin consistently.

**FIGURE 2:** Formalin test in mouse: Effect of the anti-NGF  $\alpha$ D11 and anti-TrkA MNAC13 monoclonal antibodies on the pain response (Licking) when antibodies were injected 1 hour prior to the test, as indicated in the method section. (A) anti-NGF  $\alpha$ D11 monoclonal antibody (Mab format: 12.5  $\mu$ g/20  $\mu$ l) and anti-TrkA MNAC13 monoclonal antibody (Mab format: 60  $\mu$ g/20  $\mu$ l); (B) Fab (monovalent fragment antigen binding) of the  $\alpha$ D11 antibody (12.5  $\mu$ g/20  $\mu$ l) and Fab of the MNAC13 antibody (60  $\mu$ g/20  $\mu$ l). Irrelevant mouse immunoglobulins (IgG, 60  $\mu$ g/20  $\mu$ l) and murine irrelevant Fab (Fab, 60  $\mu$ g/20  $\mu$ l) were used as controls. The total licking time is divided into phase 1 (early phase= 0-15 min) and phase 2 (late phase= 15-40 min).

Experimental groups: (A) IgG (n=11),  $\alpha$ D11 (n=10), MNAC13 (n=10); (B) Fab (n=10),  $\alpha$ D11 Fab (n=10), MNAC13 Fab (n=10). \*\* p<0.01 vs. control group (Fisher's PLSD Test). Fabs of the 2 antibodies show analgesic properties (limited to phase 2).

**FIGURE 3:** Formalin test in mouse: Effect of the anti-NGF  $\alpha$ D11 and anti-TrkA MNAC13 monoclonal antibodies on pain response (Licking) when the antibodies were injected 18 hours prior to the test, as indicated in the method section. (A) anti-NGF  $\alpha$ D11 monoclonal antibody (Mab format: 12.5  $\mu$ g in a total injection volume of 20  $\mu$ l) and an

TrkA MNAC13 monoclonal antibody (format Mab: 60 µg, in a total injection volume 20 µl); (B) Fab (monovalent fragment antigen binding) of the αD11 antibody (12.5 µg in total injection volume of 20 µl) and Fab of the MNAC13 antibody (60 µg, in a total injection volume of 20 µl). Irrelevant mouse immunoglobulins (IgG, 60 µg, in a total injection volume of 20 µl) and irrelevant murine Fab (Fab, 60 µg in a total injection volume/20 µl) were used as control. The total licking time is divided into phase 1 (early phase= 0-15 min) and phase 2 (late phase= 15-40 min).

Experimental groups: (A) IgG (n=10), αD11 (n=8), MNAC13 (n=9); (B) Fab (n=10), αD11 Fab (n=8), MNAC13 Fab (n=8). \*\* p<0.01, vs. control group (Fisher's PLSD Test). MNAC13 (Mab) produces a significant analgesic effect (limited to phase 2).

**FIGURE 4:** Dose-response study of the anti-TrkA MNAC13 antibody in the formalin test (mouse), both for the early phase (phase 1= 0-15 min), and for the late one (phase 2= 15-40 min). The antibody was administered, 18 hours prior to the test as indicated in the methods section. Experimental groups for single dose of MNAC13: 0.9 µg: n=8; 1.875 µg: n=8; 3.75 µg: n=10; 7.5 µg: n=10; 15 µg: n=10; 30 µg: n=10; 60 µg: n=9. Mouse Immunoglobulins (IgG, 60 µg n = 12) were injected as control.

\* p<0.05, \*\* p<0.01, \*\*\* p<0.001 vs. control IgG group (Fisher's PLSD Test). Only the lowest dose (0.9 µg, equal to approximately 25 µg/kg) did not show any analgesic property. The intermediate dose of 15 µg (equal to approximately 0.4 mg/kg) is already able to produce the maximal effect observed (reduction by approximately 52 % of the licking time in phase 2) and is the only dose displaying a statistically significant effect in phase 1 of the test.

**FIGURE 5:** Effect of anti-TrkA MNAC13 monoclonal antibody and its humanized counterpart (Hu-MNAC13) in the formalin test (mouse). (A) 15 µg of MNAC13 was given either sc (subcutaneously) or ip (intraperitoneally) to mice, 18 h before testing. Irrelevant mouse immunoglobulins (IgG) were used as controls. Experimental groups: IgG (ip, n= 10); IgG (sc, n=9); MNAC13 (ip, n=9); MNAC13 (sc, n=8). Statistical analysis (two way ANOVA) of both early and late phase results showed a significant effect of treatment (F13,66- P0.0008 for early phase; F21,657-P<0.0001 for late phase), but no significance for the administration route and interaction (treatment\*administration route). Post-hoc comparisons (Tukey/Kramer) revealed a significant difference (\*\* p<0.01) between MNAC13 and IgG treatment groups for both early and late phase. MNAC13 induces analgesia in the formalin test, independently of the administration route. (B) A

$\mu\text{g}$  dose of Hu-MNAC13 (IgG4) was given ip to mice, 18 h before testing. Irrelevant human immunoglobulins (IgG) were used as controls. Experimental groups: Human Ig (n=6); Hu-MNAC13 (n=9). T-Student test \*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*  $p < 0.005$ . The results were comparable to those obtained with the mouse MNAC13 antibody (panel A).

- 5 **FIGURE 6:** Potentiation effect of MNAC13 (anti-TrkA) on opioid-induced analgesia (formalin test in mouse: A, morphine; B, fentanyl). Each mouse was randomly assigned one of the different experimental groups and subcutaneously (sc) injected 18 hours before the test with anti-TrkA (mouse MNAC13, 0.9  $\mu\text{g}$  per animal, approximately equivalent 25  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ , ineffective dose per se) antibody or irrelevant mouse IgGs (antibody dose: 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  per animal) as indicated in the method section. (A) Morphine hydrochloride was injected i.p. 15 min before testing. (B) Fentanyl was injected sc 20 min before testing. Experimental groups: Saline (Sal) and IgG (n=10); IgGs and morphine 1 mg/kg (Mo1) (n=9); IgGs and morphine 2.5 mg/kg (Mo2.5) (n=9); MNAC13 (mouse) and saline (n=10); MNAC13 (mouse) and morphine 1 mg/kg (Mo1) (n=11); IgGs and fentanyl 0.005 mg/kg (FEN 0.005) (n=8); IgGs and fentanyl 0.01 mg/kg (FEN 0.01) (n=7); MNAC13 (mouse) and fentanyl (0.01 mg/kg (FEN 0.01) (n=8). One-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc comparisons (Tukey/Kramer). \*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*  $p < 0.005$  vs. control group.

**FIGURE 7:** Potentiation effect of humanized MNAC13 (Hu-MNAC13) on morphine-induced analgesia (formalin test in mouse).

- 20 Each mouse was randomly assigned to one of the different experimental groups and subcutaneously (sc) injected 18 hours before the test with anti-TrkA antibody (Hu-MNAC13; antibody dose: 25  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ , per se ineffective) or irrelevant human IgG (antibody dose: 25  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ ), as indicated in the method section. Morphine hydrochloride 1 mg/kg = Mo-1, dose per se ineffective) was injected i.p. 15 min before testing. Morphine negative controls were injected with saline (Sal). Experimental groups: Sal and IgG (n=8); IgG and Mo-1 (n=6); Sal and Hu-MNAC13 (n=8); Hu-MNAC13 and Mo-1 (n=6). One-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc comparison (Tukey/Kramer): \*\*  $p < 0.001$  vs. control group.

## 30 METHODS

### Monoclonal antibodies production

The monoclonal antibodies MNAC13 and  $\alpha\text{D11}$  were produced from a hybridoma supernatant, according to standard methods, disclosed above (Galfre and Milstein, 1981).

Cattaneo et al., 1988; Cattaneo et al., 1999). The supernatant containing each antibody was subjected to precipitation (29% ammonium sulphate), followed by dialysis against PBS (Spectra-Por 12/14K membrane, Spectrum) and affinity chromatography on sephar protein G column (4-Fast Flow, Amersham Biosciences). Elution was performed by means of a low pH (HCl 5 mM) solution that was neutralised upon collection. The final eluate was concentrated (Amicon Ultra-15, 50K, Millipore) to obtain preparations of purified antibodies with concentrations between 1 and 5mg/ml.

The Fab (Monovalent Fragment Antigen binding) version of the  $\alpha$ D11 and MNAC antibodies were produced as previously described (patent application WO 05/061540; Covaceuszach et al., 2004).

Briefly, Fab fragments were obtained from the correspondent whole monoclonal antibodies (IgG format) by papain proteolysis, followed by a ion exchange chromatography purification step and concentration of the Fab fragments collected in the flow-through. In order to separate the Fab fragments from the quite low amount of uncleaved IgG that was still present, size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex G75 column (Pharmacia) was performed using an FPLC system (Pharmacia), followed by a final concentration step.

As far as the humanised versions of the 2 antibodies (Hu- $\alpha$ D11 and Hu-MNAC13) concerned, they were also purified as disclosed above, starting from the supernatants of stably transfected cell lines, which were stable cotransfectants for the heavy chain (pVH/CMVexpress) and the light chain (pVL/CMVexpress) of each antibody. The vectors used were previously disclosed (patent application WO 05/061540). The stable transfected clones were obtained through double selection with G418 and mycophenolic acid. In order to produce the IgG4 variant of Hu- $\alpha$ D11, since the pVH/CMVexpress vector comprises the constant part of human IgG1, this was replaced by the corresponding region of IgG4 (cloned by RT-PCR from human lymphocyte RNA). The IgG1\* variant (=IgG1 with the N297A mutation described by Bolt et al., 1993) was generated by site directed mutagenesis.

#### Animals

Male CD1 mouse (Charles River Labs, Como, Italia) weighing approximately 35g were used. On their arrival to the laboratory (at least 2 weeks before the experiment), they were housed in standard transparent plastic cages (4 per cage), at a constant temperature (22 $\pm$ 1°C) and relative humidity (60%), with a light/dark cycle rule (light 07:00-19:00) and

with no water or food limitation. The experiments took place between 09:00 and 14:00. The animals were treated and handled in compliance with the IASP Ethical Committee ("International Association for the Study of Pain") guidelines and with the Italian national law (DL116/92, application of the European directive 86/609/EEC) on the use of animals for research purposes. All the necessary efforts were made to minimize animal suffering and to use the lowest possible number of animals, sufficient to produce reliable scientific data.

#### Formalin test

The mice nociceptive response to formalin was tested. Each experimental group included 10 animals (unless stated otherwise). Mice were randomly assigned to experimental groups. 30 minutes prior to the test, the animal to be tested was placed in a transparent plastic cage (30 x 12 x 13 cm), where it was free to move in all directions. A mirror placed underneath the cage and a video camera placed opposite allowed a complete view of hind legs during the observation period. Each mouse was treated with antibodies blocking NGF ( $\alpha$ D11) or TrkA (MNAC13 or huMNAC13), e.g. with irrelevant antibodies (mouse IgG) or saline, through subcutaneous injection (s.c) in the dorsal part of the right hind paw performed 1 to 18 hours prior to the injection (s.c) of formalin (5% in saline solution) in the same paw. A Hamilton micro syringe with a 26 diameter needle was used for injections (injection volume equal to 20  $\mu$ l). In some experiments, antibody (MNAC13 or Hu-MNAC13) injection was performed intraperitoneally (ip) 18 h before testing. Morphine (morphine hydrochloride, Guieu) was injected intraperitoneally (i.p) at a final concentration between 1 mg/kg and 5 mg/kg (in saline solution), 15 min prior to formalin test.

Fentanyl citrate (Hameln pharmaceuticals GmbH), doses employed: 0.01 or 0.005 mg/kg were administered subcutaneously (dorsal injection) to animals 20 min before testing. The choice of fentanyl doses to be tested was based on published protocols (Seguin et al., 1999; Meert and Vermeirsch, 2005).

The "Licking" activity, that is the total time spent by the animal licking and/or biting the injected paw, was recorded continuously for 40 minutes (divided into observation time intervals of 5 min). During each session of the test, as well as the "Licking", general activities and "self-grooming" activities (intended as grooming of the face) were also recorded. General activity is conventionally intended as the time the animal spends

horizontal locomotory activities and in exploring activities, including moments when the animal is in a vertical position or leans out.

With regards to the antibodies administration, the tested doses are summarized in the following table:

| Antibody           | Format                                      | Used doses ( $\mu\text{g}/20\ \mu\text{l}$ )                                                                                              |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| MNAC13             | Whole monoclonal (Mab)                      | 60 $\mu\text{g}$ ; 30 $\mu\text{g}$ ; 15 $\mu\text{g}$ ; 7.5 $\mu\text{g}$ ; 3.75 $\mu\text{g}$ ; 1.875 $\mu\text{g}$ ; 0.9 $\mu\text{g}$ |
| MNAC13             | Monovalent fragment (Fab)                   | 60 $\mu\text{g}$                                                                                                                          |
| MNAC13             | Humanized antibody (huMNAC13) in Mab format | 15 $\mu\text{g}$ ; 7.5 $\mu\text{g}$ ; 3.75 $\mu\text{g}$ ; 1.875 $\mu\text{g}$ ; 0.9 $\mu\text{g}$                                       |
| $\alpha\text{D11}$ | Whole monoclonal (Mab)                      | 12.5 $\mu\text{g}$                                                                                                                        |
| $\alpha\text{D11}$ | Monovalent fragment (Fab)                   | 12.5 $\mu\text{g}$                                                                                                                        |
| Mouse IgG          | Whole monoclonal (Mab)                      | 60 $\mu\text{g}$                                                                                                                          |
| Mouse IgG          | Monovalent fragment (Fab)                   | 60 $\mu\text{g}$                                                                                                                          |

5

In some experiments, MNAC13 (15  $\mu\text{g}$ , roughly equivalent to 0.4 mg/Kg), Hu-MNAC13 (15  $\mu\text{g}$ , roughly equivalent to 0.4 mg/Kg) and mouse/human control Immunoglobulins (1  $\mu\text{g}$ , roughly equivalent to 0.4 mg/Kg) were also given through the ip administration route.

#### Statistical analysis

- 10 The formalin test was performed in a double-blinded manner. Formalin pain responses were separated into an early phase (from 0 to 15 min) and a late phase (from 15 to 40 min). The 2 phases were analyzed separately using one factor variance analysis test (ANOVA) except for experiments summarized in Fig. 5A, where a two way ANOVA (treatment and administration route factors) was performed. The same type of statistical analysis was performed on the general and "self-grooming" activities. When appropriate, "post-hoc" comparisons were drawn using either Fisher PLSD or Tukey/Kramer tests, as indicated.
- 15 A simple Student T-test was employed to analyse results of Fig. 5B (effect of a single dose of Hu-MNAC13 vs human IgG, given ip).

#### RESULTS

- 20 Formalin produced the typical two-phase response, with a first phase of "Licking" activity and a second phase of intense response to pain, starting 15 minutes after formalin injection. Subcutaneous administration of irrelevant mouse immunoglobulins (IgG) or of th

monovalent version (Fab) had no effect on the response to pain. The response of animals treated with IgG matched with that of the animals treated with Saline. In addition, general activity and "grooming" were indistinguishable amongst both the treated group and the control group, proving the specific feature of the pharmacological effect of treatment.

### Single dose studies

The first series of experiments evaluated the analgesic effect of 3 different doses of morphine (1 mg/kg; 2.5 mg/kg; 5 mg/kg) on the response to pain induced by formalin injection. The graphics (Fig. 1) relate to a period of observation of 60 minutes (divided into 5 min intervals), including the time of the actual test (first 40 minutes) and the following 20 minutes.

Considering the first 40 min, while the highest morphine dose was able to strongly reduce the pain response (Fig. 1C) and the intermediate dose substantially reduced by 50% the licking time (Fig. 1B), the lowest dose (1 mg/kg) proved to be totally ineffective, both in the first (0-15 min) and in the second phase (15-40 min, Fig. 1A).

When anti-NGF and anti-TrkA antibodies were used, the pain response (measured as "Licking" time), was differentially affected, depending on the format of the antibody (Whole immunoglobulin = Mab; monovalent fragment antigen binding = Fab).

In the first single dose study, the MNAC13 and  $\alpha$ D11 antibodies were administered 1 hour prior to the test in Mab format (Fig. 2A) or in Fab format (Fig. 2B). The statistical analysis did not highlight any significant analgesic effect for the antibodies in Mab format both in the early phase ( $F_{2,28}$ : 1.217;  $p = 0.3114$ ), and the late phase ( $F_{2,28}$ : 1.31;  $p=0.2650$ ). On the contrary, the administration of the antibodies in Fab format ( $\alpha$ D Fab: 12.5 $\mu$ g/20 $\mu$ l; MNAC13 Fab: 60 $\mu$ g/20 $\mu$ l) revealed a significant reduction of response to pain (analgesic effect) in the late phase of the test ( $F_{2,26}$ : 8.340  $p<0.01$ ), while no statistically significant changes were observed in the early phase ( $F_{2,26}$ : 1.61;  $p=0.2195$ ).

When the antibodies were administered (same single doses), 18 hours prior to the formalin test (Fig. 3A and 3B), the anti-NGF ( $\alpha$ D11) and anti-TrkA (MNAC13) antibodies revealed an effect on the licking response that was different from that observed when administered 1 hour prior to the test. When compared to irrelevant mouse immunoglobulins (IgG), neither of the antibodies showed a significant effect on the early phase ( $F_{2,24}$ : 1.226;  $p=0.311$ ); however, as for the late phase, whilst MNAC13 produced a significant reduction in

response to pain ( $F_{2,24}$ : 5.129;  $p < 0.01$ ), the effect of  $\alpha$ D11 was not statistically significant (Fig. 3A). This result showed the non-equivalence of the two molecular targets represented by the NGF ligand and the TrkA receptor. In a well known model of persistent inflammatory pain (formalin test), the functional block of the receptor (with MNAC blocking antibody) produced an analgesic effect, that was not detectable (at least with the same administration protocol), by blocking the ligand (with the  $\alpha$ D11 blocking antibody). As shown on Fig. 3B, neither antibody, if administered 18 hours prior to the test in F format, was able to produce a significant analgesic effect both in the early phase ( $F_2$  0.468;  $p = 0.6318$ ) and in the late phase ( $F_{2,23}$ : 0.074;  $p = 0.9293$ ).

#### 10 Dose-response study for MNAC13

A dose-response study (formalin test in mouse) was performed with the MNAC13 anti-TrkA antibody, based on the significant results obtained in the single dose study (administration 18 hours prior to the test). The analysis of the response to pain ("Licking time") showed (Fig. 4) a significant analgesic effect for all tested doses for the late phase of the test ( $F_{7,71}$ : 4,134;  $p < 0.001$ ), with the exception of the lowest (0.9  $\mu$ g/20  $\mu$ l volume injection, equal to approx. 25  $\mu$ g/kg). It should be noted that three of the MNAC effective doses (1.875  $\mu$ g; 3.75  $\mu$ g; 7.5  $\mu$ g) were smaller than the  $\alpha$ D11 dose (12.5  $\mu$ g) used in the single dose studies. This confirms a fundamental difference in analgesic efficacy between anti-NGF and anti-TrkA antibodies in the formalin test using the administration protocol.

MNAC13 doses higher than 15  $\mu$ g (equal to approx. 0.4 mg/kg) did not show any increase in the average analgesic efficacy in the late phase of the test: The maximum effect (reduction of pain response (about 52% of the control) could be already obtained with 15  $\mu$ g. This is also the only dose for which a small but statistically significant effect was observed in the early phase of the formalin test (Fig. 4).

Fig. 5A shows that the analgesic properties of the 15  $\mu$ g dose are independent of the administration route. Indeed, the same effect on licking behaviour was observed when the antibody was injected s.c. or i.p.

When the Hu-MNAC13 was administered i.p. in the same kind of experiment at the dose of 15  $\mu$ g, a similar analgesic effect, as compared to the corresponding dose of parenteral MNAC13, was observed (Fig. 5A).

#### Synergistic effect of anti-TrkA antibody MNAC13 and opioids

By employing the formalin test, it was also possible to show that MNAC13 (and humanized counterpart) could act synergistically with opioids. Using the previously described administration protocol (18 hours prior to the test), animals were treated with ineffective dose (25  $\mu$ g/kg) of MNAC13 after an i.p. ineffective dose (1mg/kg) morphine, or fentanyl (0.005 mg/kg). The results of the combined treatment (compared all related cross check controls in which MNAC13 was replaced by irrelevant immunoglobulins, while opioids analgesics were replaced by saline solution) showed surprising as well as significant potentiation effect (Fig. 6). The joint administration of ineffective doses of MNAC13 and morphine produced a significant reduction of p  
5 response ("Licking time"), which was more evident in the late phase of the test.

As for the combined administration of morphine and MNAC13 (Fig. 6A), in phase 2, licking time was reduced by 40% compared to the respective IgG+Sal control group. In both phases of the formalin test, the combined administration of two sub-threshold doses of MNAC13 (25  $\mu$ g/kg) and morphine (1 mg/kg) produced an analgesic effect that was  
15 comparable to the (per se effective) 2.5 mg/kg dose of morphine.

Similar results were obtained when another opioid (fentanyl) was given in combination with MNAC13 (Fig. 6B), indicating that the potentiation of opioid-induced analgesia can be obtained independently of the opioid used. For both phases of the formalin test, combined administration of two sub-threshold doses of MNAC13 (25  $\mu$ g/kg) and fentanyl (0.005 mg/kg) produced an analgesic effect that was comparable to the (per se effective)  
20 0.01 mg/kg dose of fentanyl (Fig. 6B).

Similar results were obtained when MNAC13 was replaced by its humanized variant (hMNAC13) as shown in Fig. 7.

The potentiation of opioid-induced analgesia by antibodies blocking the TrkA receptor presents remarkable consequences from a therapeutic point of view. The joint  
25 administration of MNAC13 (or of its humanised version, as well as of any other derivative that maintains the blocking properties of the parental antibody) allows the use of low doses of morphine (or other opioids), normally ineffective, consequently reducing the incidence of the side effects and the probability of developing drug tolerance or addiction.

## 30 BIBLIOGRAPHY

Berardi N, Cellerino A, Domenici L, Fagiolini M, Pizzorusso T, Cattaneo A, Maffei (1994) Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 91:684-688.

- Capsoni S, Ugolini G, Comparini A, Ruberti F, Berardi N, Cattaneo A (2000) *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 97:6826-6831.
- Cattaneo A, Rapposelli B, Calissano P (1988) *J Neurochem* 50:1003-1010.
- Cattaneo A, Capsoni S, Margotti E, Righi M, Kontsekova E, Pavlik P, Filipcik P, Novak  
5 (1999) *J Neurosci* 19:9687-9697.
- Chuang HH, Prescott ED, Kong H, Shields S, Jordt SE, Basbaum AI, Chao MV, Julius  
(2001) *Nature* 411:957-962.
- Covaceuszach S, Cattaneo A, Lamba D (2001) *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystall*  
57:1307-1309.
- 10 Covaceuszach S, Cattaneo A, Lamba D (2005) *Proteins* 58:717-727.
- Covaceuszach S, Cassetta A, Cattaneo A, Lamba D (2004) *Acta Crystallogr D E*  
*Crystallogr* 60:1323-1327.
- Djoughri L, Dawbarn D, Robertson A, Newton R, Lawson SN (2001) *J Neurosci* 21:87  
8733.
- 15 Frade JM, Barde YA (1998) *Bioessays* 20:137-145.
- Galfre G, Milstein C (1981) *Methods Enzymol* 73:3-46.
- Harpf C, Dabernig J, Humpel C (2002) *Muscle Nerve* 25:612-615.
- Hempstead BL (2002) *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 12:260-267.
- Horigome K, Pryor JC, Bullock ED, Johnson EM, Jr. (1993) *J Biol Chem* 268:148  
20 14887.
- Hunt SP, Mantyh PW (2001) *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2:83-91.
- Indo Y (2001) *Hum Mutat* 18:462-471.
- Indo Y, Tsuruta M, Hayashida Y, Karim MA, Ohta K, Kawano T, Mitsubuchi H, Tono  
H, Awaya Y, Matsuda I (1996) *Nat Genet* 13:485-488.
- 25 Indo Y, Mardy S, Miura Y, Moosa A, Ismail EA, Toscano E, Andria G, Pavone V, Brown  
DL, Brooks A, Endo F, Matsuda I (2001) *Hum Mutat* 18:308-318.
- Julius D, Basbaum AI (2001) *Nature* 413:203-210.
- Kaplan DR (1998) *Prog Brain Res* 117:35-46.
- Kawamoto K, Aoki J, Tanaka A, Itakura A, Hosono H, Arai H, Kiso Y, Matsuda H (200  
30 *J Immunol* 168:6412-6419.
- Khakh BS (2001) *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2:165-174.
- Kryger GS, Kryger Z, Zhang F, Shelton DL, Lineaweaver WC, Buncke HJ (2001) *J Ha*  
*Surg [Am]* 26:635-644.

- Lee R, Kermani P, Teng KK, Hempstead BL (2001) *Science* 294:1945-1948.
- Levi-Montalcini R (1987) *Science* 237:1154-1162.
- Levi-Montalcini R, Skaper SD, Dal Toso R, Petrelli L, Leon A (1996) *Trends Neurosci* 19:514-520.
- 5 Levine JD (1998) *Neuron* 20:649-654.
- Meert TF, Vermeirsch HA (2005) *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 80:309-326.
- Molnar M, Ruberti F, Cozzari C, Domenici L, Cattaneo A (1997) *Neuroreport* 8:575-579.
- Molnar M, Tongiorgi E, Avignone E, Gonfloni S, Ruberti F, Domenici L, Cattaneo A (1998) *Eur J Neurosci* 10:3127-3140.
- 10 Morisset V, Ahluwalia J, Nagy I, Urban L (2001) *Eur J Pharmacol* 429:93-100.
- Nakatsuka T, Furue H, Yoshimura M, Gu JG (2002) *J Neurosci* 22:1228-1237.
- Nilsson G, Forsberg-Nilsson K, Xiang Z, Hallbook F, Nilsson K, Metcalfe DD (1997) *J Immunol* 27:2295-2301.
- Nykjaer A, Lee R, Teng KK, Jansen P, Madsen P, Nielsen MS, Jacobsen C, Klieman M, Schwarz E, Willnow TE, Hempstead BL, Petersen CM (2004) *Nature* 427:843-848.
- 15 Pesavento E, Margotti E, Righi M, Cattaneo A, Domenici L (2000) *Neuron* 25:165-175.
- Porro CA, Cavazzuti M (1993) *Prog Neurobiol* 41:565-607.
- Przewlocki R, Przewlocka B (2001) *Eur J Pharmacol* 429:79-91.
- Ramer MS, Bradbury EJ, McMahon SB (2001) *J Neurochem* 77:864-875.
- 20 Ruberti F, Capsoni S, Comparini A, Di Daniel E, Franzot J, Gonfloni S, Rossi G, Bera N, Cattaneo A (2000) *J Neurosci* 20:2589-2601.
- Saragovi HU, Gehring K (2000) *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 21:93-98.
- Seguin L, Le Marouille-Girardon S, Millan MJ (1995) *Pain* 61:325-343.
- Sevcik MA, Ghilardi JR, Peters CM, Lindsay TH, Halvorson KG, Jonas BM, Kubota Kuskowski MA, Boustany L, Shelton DL, Mantyh PW (2005) *Pain* 115:128-141.
- 25 Shu X, Mendell LM (1999) *Neurosci Lett* 274:159-162.
- Sivilotti L, Nistri A (1991) *Prog Neurobiol* 36:35-92.
- Winston J, Toma H, Shenoy M, Pasricha PJ (2001) *Pain* 89:181-186.
- Woolf CJ, Ma QP, Allchorne A, Poole S (1996) *J Neurosci* 16:2716-2723.
- 30 Zhu Z, Friess H, diMola FF, Zimmermann A, Graber HU, Korc M, Buchler MW (1999) *Clin Oncol* 17:2419-2428.

## CLAIMS

1. Use of an anti-TrkA antibody capable of inhibiting the binding between NGF and TrkA combined with at least one opioid analgesic for the preparation of a medicament treating and/or preventing pain.
- 5 2. Use according to claim 1 wherein the antibody is capable of blocking the biological activity of TrkA.
3. Use according to claim 2 wherein the variable region of the antibody light chain comprises at least one of the complementarity determining regions (CDRs) having a sequence selected from aa. 24 to aa. 33 of SEQ ID No.1; from aa. 49 to aa. 55 of SEQ ID No. 1; from aa. 88 to aa. 96 of SEQ ID No. 1.
- 10 4. Use according to claim 1 or 2 wherein the variable region of the antibody light chain comprises at least two of the complementarity determining regions (CDRs) having a sequence selected from aa. 24 to aa. 33 of SEQ ID No.1; from aa. 49 to aa. 55 of SEQ ID No. 1; from aa. 88 to aa. 96 of SEQ ID No. 1.
- 15 5. Use according to claim 1 or 2 wherein the variable region of the antibody light chain comprises all three complementarity determining regions (CDRs) having the sequence selected from aa. 24 to aa. 33 of SEQ ID No.1; from aa. 49 to aa. 55 of SEQ ID No. 1; from aa. 88 to aa. 96 of SEQ ID No. 1.
6. Use according to claim 1 or 2 wherein the variable region of the antibody light chain comprises essentially the sequence of SEQ ID No.1.
- 20 7. Use according to any one of claims 1 to 6 wherein the variable region of the antibody heavy chain comprises at least one of the complementarity determining regions (CDRs) having the sequence selected from aa. 26 to aa. 35 of SEQ ID No. 2; from aa. 50 to aa. 60 of SEQ ID No. 2; from aa. 99 to aa. 112 of SEQ ID No. 2.
- 25 8. Use according to any one of claims 1 to 6 wherein the variable region of the antibody heavy chain comprises at least two of the complementarity determining regions (CDRs) having the sequence selected from aa. 26 to aa. 35 of SEQ ID No. 2; from aa. 50 to aa. 60 of SEQ ID No. 2; from aa. 99 to aa. 112 of SEQ ID No. 2.
9. Use according to any one of claims 1 to 6 wherein the variable region of the antibody heavy chain comprises all three of the complementarity determining regions (CDRs) having the sequence selected from aa. 26 to aa. 35 of SEQ ID No. 2; from aa. 50 to aa. 60 of SEQ ID No. 2; from aa. 99 to aa. 112 of SEQ ID No. 2.
- 30

10. Use according to any one of claims 1 to 6 wherein the variable region of the antibody heavy chain comprises essentially the sequence of SEQ ID No. 2.
11. Use according to any one of claims 1 to 10 wherein the antibody is in single chain form and comprises a light chain variable region and a heavy chain variable region joined by a linker.
12. Use according to any one of claims 1 to 10 wherein the antibody comprises two light chains and two heavy chains.
13. Use according to any one of claims 1 to 10 wherein the antibody is a Fab (monovalent antigen binding) fragment.
- 10 14. Use according to any one of claims 1 to 13 wherein the anti-TrkA antibody is a human or humanised antibody.
15. Use according to claim 14 wherein the variable region of the humanised antibody light chain comprises essentially the sequence from aa. 1 to aa. 106 of SEQ ID No. 3.
16. Use according to claim 14 or claim 15 wherein the variable region of the humanised antibody heavy chain comprises essentially the sequence from aa. 1 to aa. 106 of SEQ ID No. 4.
- 15 17. Use according to any one of claims 14 to 16 wherein the humanised antibody light chain has essentially the sequence of SEQ ID No. 3.
18. Use according to any one of claims 14 to 17 wherein the humanised antibody heavy chain has essentially a sequence selected from SEQ ID No. 4, SEQ ID No. 5, SEQ ID No. 6.
- 20 19. Use according to any of previous claims wherein the opioid analgesic is a compound or a combination of one or more compounds selected from the following list: morphine, codeine, dihydrocodeine, diacetylmorphine, hydrocodone, hydromorphone, levorphanol, oxycodone, alfentanil, buprenorphine, butorphanol, fentanyl, sufentanil, meperidine, methadone, nalbuphine, propoxyphene, pentazocine; and their pharmaceutically acceptable salt derivatives.
- 25 20. Use according to claim 19 wherein the opioid analgesic is morphine or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof.
- 30 21. Use according to claim 20 wherein the opioid analgesic is fentanyl or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof.
22. Use according to one of the previous claims in which the pain is chronic pain.
23. Use according to one of the previous claims 1 to 21 in which the pain is acute pain.

24. Use according to claims 22 or 23 wherein the pain is caused by pancreatitis, kidney stones, headaches, dysmenorrhoea, musculoskeletal pain, sprains, visceral pain, ovarian cysts, prostatitis, cystitis, interstitial cystitis, inflammatory bowel disease post-operative pain (including dental pain), post-surgical pain, migraine, trigeminal neuralgia, pain from burns and/or wounds, pain associated with trauma (including traumatic head injury), neuropathic pain, post-herpetic neuralgia, pain associated with musculoskeletal disease, rheumatoid arthritis, osteoarthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, periarticular pathological pain, oncological pain (including "break-through pain" and pain associated with terminal cancer), pain from bone metastases, pain from HIV.
25. Use according to one of the previous claims in which the amount of anti-TrkA antibody is such that the opioid dose is reduced by at least 5% of that necessary to produce the same analgesic effect by itself.
26. Analgesic pharmaceutical formulation comprising in pharmaceutically acceptable effective doses, at least one anti-TrkA antibody as disclosed in any one of claims 1 to 15 and at least one analgesic opioid.
27. Formulation according to claim 26 wherein the formulation is contained in a single pharmaceutical composition.
28. Formulation according to claim 27 wherein the formulation is constituted by two pharmaceutical compositions, the first one comprising the anti-TrkA antibody, and 20 second one including the analgesic opioid.
29. Formulation according to any one of claims 26 to 28 wherein the opioid analgesic is a morphine compound or a combination of one or more compounds selected from the following: morphine, codeine, dihydrocodeine, diacetylmorphine, hydrocodone, hydromorphone, levorphanol, oxycodone, alfentanil, buprenorphine, butorphanol, fentanyl, sufentanil, 25 meperidine, methadone, nalbuphine, propoxyphene, pentazocine; and their pharmaceutically acceptable salt derivatives.
30. Formulation according to claim 29 wherein the opioid analgesic is morphine or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof.
31. Formulation according to claim 30 wherein the opioid analgesic is fentanyl or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof.
32. An anti-TrkA antibody as disclosed in any of claims 1-18 for use in combination with an analgesic opioid for the treatment of pain.

33. The anti TrkA antibody according to claim 32 for use in combination with an analgesic opioid for the treatment of pain wherein the the opioid analgesic is a compound or a combination of one or more compounds selected from the following list: morphine, codeine, dihydrocodeine, diacetylmorphine, hydrocodone, hydromorphone, levorphanol, oxymorphone, alfentanil, buprenorphine, butorphanol, fentanyl, sufentanyl, meperidine, methadone, nabulfina, propoxyphene, pentazocine; and their pharmaceutically acceptable salt derivatives.

34. A kit comprising a composition containing an anti-TrkA antibody as disclosed in any one of claims 1 to 18 and an analgesic opioid together with instructions directing administration of said composition to a subject in need of treatment and/or prevention of pain thereby to treat and/or prevent pain in said subject.

35. Kit according to claim 34 wherein the opioid analgesic is a compound or a combination of one or more compounds selected from the following list: morphine, codeine, dihydrocodeine, diacetylmorphine, hydrocodone, hydromorphone, levorphanol, oxymorphone, alfentanil, buprenorphine, butorphanol, fentanyl, sufentanyl, meperidine, methadone, nabulfina, propoxyphene, pentazocine; and their pharmaceutically acceptable salt derivatives.

36. Kit according to claim 35 wherein the opioid analgesic is morphine or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof.

37. Kit according to claim 36 wherein the opioid analgesic is fentanyl or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof.

38. The use, anti TrkA antibody, formulation or kit substantially as herein described with reference to any example hereof.

1/7




Fig. 1

2/7




Fig. 2

3/7




Fig. 3

4/7




Fig. 4

5/7




Fig. 5

6/7




Fig. 6

7/7




Fig. 7