



(22) Date de dépôt/Filing Date: 1995/05/16

(41) Mise à la disp. pub./Open to Public Insp.: 1996/11/16

(45) Date de délivrance/Issue Date: 2005/06/14

(30) Priorité/Priority: 1995/05/15 (08/440,950) US

(51) Cl.Int.⁶/Int.Cl.⁶ A01C 1/00, A01G 7/00, G01N 33/02

(72) Inventeurs/Inventors:

TEMPLETON, COLIN WILLIAM GEORGE, CA;
COLOMBO, STEPHEN JOHN, CA

(73) Propriétaires/Owners:

TEMPLETON, COLIN WILLIAM GEORGE, CA;
COLOMBO, STEPHEN JOHN, CA

(74) Agent: RIDOUT & MAYBEE LLP

(54) Titre : METHODE ET APPAREIL POUR EVALUER LA VIABILITE DU MATERIEL VEGETAL

(54) Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR ASSESSING THE VIABILITY OF PLANT MATERIAL

(57) **Abrégé/Abstract:**

A method of assessing the viability of plant material, such as a seedling. The method includes maintaining the plant material in isolation in a substantially gas tight enclosure to trap gases evolved from the plant material. A sample of the trapped gases is removed and the concentration of at least one volatile gas in the sample is measured by contacting the sample with a colorimetric reagent that changes colour on contact with the volatile gas. The viability of the plant material is assessed on the basis of the colour change of the colorimetric reagent. An apparatus is also disclosed which permits simple field evaluations to be conducted according to the method.

2149508

- 42 -

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

A method of assessing the viability of plant material, such as a seedling. The method includes maintaining the plant material in isolation in a substantially gas tight enclosure to trap gases evolved from the plant material. A sample of the trapped gases is removed and the concentration of at least one volatile gas in the sample is measured by contacting the sample with a colorimetric reagent that changes colour on contact with the volatile gas. The viability of the plant material is assessed on the basis of the colour change of the colorimetric reagent. An apparatus is also disclosed which permits simple field evaluations to be conducted according to the method.

2149508

- 1 -

**Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR ASSESSING THE
VIABILITY OF PLANT MATERIAL**

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to assessing the
5 viability of plant materials in general, and in particular
relates to a method and apparatus for assessing the
viability of seedlings prior to planting.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Plant materials are routinely assessed to
10 determine their quality, viability or fitness for a
particular purpose. Seeds, seedlings and propagating
materials such as tubers, cuttings, bulbs and the like are
assessed for their viability and growth potential prior to
planting. Other agricultural products, such as fruits,
15 grains, flowers and vegetables are also assessed for
viability, freshness, consumability and storage life.

Assessment of viability or quality is
particularly important when plant materials have been
stored for extended periods or have been transported over
20 long distances. Plant materials are subject to a wide
range of stresses during storage and transport which can
have serious affects on their subsequent viability and
quality. Stresses to which plant materials may be
subjected during storage and transport include cold
25 temperatures, freezing, excessive heat, water deficit,
physical stresses and chemical stresses from pollution.
Although such stresses can seriously impact on the
viability of plant materials and on their future potential
for growth and storage, the affects may not be immediately
30 apparent even from a close visual inspection of the
materials.

The need for a method to assess viability of
plant materials is particularly acute in the forestry
industry where large scale seedling planting is an

- 2 - 2149508

important aspect of reforestation and protection of renewable wood resources. Billions of tree seedlings and batches of seed are shipped annually for planting, frequently in remote areas. The recipients of the seeds
5 and seedlings are frequently unaware of the stresses and damage to which the seeds and seedlings may have been subject, unless the damage is so severe as to be apparent from a visual inspection. Considerable expense and effort are involved in the planting and nurturing of young tree
10 seedlings, which are frequently planted in large numbers over vast areas. Significant losses are incurred where stressed seedlings are planted which have poor viability and reduced growth potential, resulting in non-reforestation or low yield.

15 Typically the areas where replanting is to take place are in remote locations. Thus tree seedlings or plants to be planted must be transported to these locations from centrally located nurseries. Transportation may stress the trees, making them weaker
20 and less viable or less suitable for planting. Because of the manual labour and expense involved in planting trees it is desirable to have as high a survival of planted trees as possible, namely that the tree seedlings be as fit as possible for replanting. In this manner seedlings
25 have a maximum chance of surviving and growing vigorously. This helps in avoiding costly replanting.

One of the problems with tree seedlings is that it can be very difficult to evaluate plant viability in the field. To date visual inspections have been the main
30 method of evaluation of plants immediately prior to planting. Such assessments though may not detect that the plant has been recently stressed in a way and to an extent which negatively impacts the seedlings' viability. In addition, such stress may not visibly manifest itself
35 until many days or even weeks later.

The viability and quality of plant materials may be assessed by various laboratory analytical procedures to assess the affects of damage and stress. For example

2149508

- 3 -

electrolyte leakage and chlorophyll fluorescence may be measured in the laboratory. The gases evolved by plants have been subjected to gas chromatographic analysis in the laboratory. Some trees have been shown to produce
5 elevated levels of ethylene, ethene, acetaldehyde and ethanol in response to certain stresses (Kimmerer, T.W. and Kozlowski T.T. 1982. Plant Physiol. 69: 840-847).

Deterioration of seeds has been shown to be accompanied by production of volatile gases, such as
10 ethanol and acetaldehyde (Woodstock and Taylorson (1981) Plant Physiol. 67: 424-428; Crawford (1977) New Phytologist 79: 511 -517). The viability of seeds is important as fields sown with poor quality seed may experience reduced yields at harvest due to lack of, or
15 slow, germination. Furthermore, in agriculture, seeds of some plant species are consumed directly (e.g. rice) or are processed into food products (e.g. wheat and other grains for flour production).

Increased levels of acetaldehyde and ethanol in
20 imbibing bean seeds subjected to accelerated aging treatments have been reported by Lowell, W.W. and Taylorson, R.B. (Plant Physiol. 1981, 67:424). Ethane levels in the head space gas from incubation tubes containing leaves or seedlings of loblolly pine have been
25 measured by gas chromatography and correlated with electrolyte leakage as a possible method for assessing freeze damage (Johnson, J.D. and Gagnon, K.G. 1988, New Forests 2:65-72).

A wide variety of volatile emissions are also
30 given off by several fruit species. (Nursten and Williams (1967) Chem. Indust. 486-497; and Mawele, S.M. et al, 1992, J. Food Sci. 57:1173). Fruits and vegetables produce many volatile compounds, for example, 37 compounds were identified by Nursten and Williams in pineapple
35 (Ananas sativus L.). Some of these gases, such as ethanol, acetaldehyde and ethane, are related to stress and damage (Bressan et al. (1979) Plant Physiology 63: 924-930). However, the physiological significance of most of these

- 4 - 2149508

compounds have not been elucidated.

There is no rapid and inexpensive method for field evaluation of volatile emissions produced by seedlings.

5 There is a need for a portable, inexpensive, non-destructive and reliable method for assessing viability and quality of plant materials outside the laboratory setting. In particular, foresters, nursery managers and farmers require a method for rapidly
10 assessing damaged plants when damage occurs close to the time of shipping or planting. In forestry, screening for seedling viability is currently available at centralized facilities and takes at least 48 to 72 hours to obtain initial results and thus cannot provide the fast response
15 required for on-site operational decisions.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The invention generally relates to a simple, inexpensive and rapid method and apparatus for measuring concentrations of volatile gases evolved from plant
20 materials and for directly correlating these measurements with viability of the plant material. In a preferred embodiment, the invention relates to a method for assessing viability of seedlings in the field by comparing colorimetric measurements of volatile gases evolved from
25 the seedlings with measurements obtained from control seedlings.

The present inventors have surprisingly shown that spruce seedling viability is strongly correlated with the levels of gaseous ethanol and aldehydes evolved from
30 the seedlings. The inventors surprisingly found a lower threshold amount of ethanol and aldehydes below which viability was not affected and an upper threshold amount above which seedlings were not viable. Thus the invention provides a method for identifying viable, damaged and non-
35 viable seedlings prior to planting. For example, for black spruce seedlings, concentrations of ethanol below 1000 ppm were correlated with viable seedlings having

2149508

- 5 -

little significant damage. Above this threshold measurement the seedlings were determined to be damaged and viability was affected and above levels of 2000 ppm seedlings were determined to be non-viable.

5 For white spruce seedlings, concentrations of ethanol below 300 ppm or concentrations of aldehyde below 20 ppm were correlated with viable seedlings having little significant damage. Above this threshold measurement the seedlings were likely to be damaged and at concentrations
10 of ethanol greater than 1200 ppm or aldehyde concentrations greater than 30 ppm seedlings had very poor viability.

The present invention thus provides a rapid and inexpensive method and apparatus for assessing the
15 viability of plants and plant materials in the field based on the colorimetric measurement of volatile gases evolved by the plants or plant materials.

Therefore the present invention contemplates a method of assessing the viability of a plant material,
20 comprising the steps of; maintaining the plant material in isolation in a substantially gas tight enclosure to trap gases evolved from the plant material; removing a sample of the trapped gases; measuring a concentration of at least one volatile gas in the sample by contacting the
25 sample with a colorimetric reagent that changes colour on contact with the volatile gas and; assessing the viability of the plant material on the basis of the colour change of the colorimetric reagent.

The plant material to be assessed may be, for
30 example a seed, seedling, leaf, cutting, plant, bulb, tuber, fruit or vegetable and the volatile gas may be ethanol or an aldehyde.

In a preferred aspect, the invention contemplates a method of assessing the viability of a
35 seedling sample of a genus, species or cultivar of plant, comprising the steps of: maintaining the seedling sample in isolation in a substantially gas tight enclosure to trap gases evolved from the seedling sample; measuring a

2149508

- 6 -

concentration of at least one volatile gas in the trapped gases by contacting the trapped gases with a colorimetric reagent that changes colour on contact with the volatile gas to be measured and; assessing the viability of the seedling by comparing the concentration of volatile gas to control concentrations of the volatile gas obtained from at least one control seedling sample of known viability.

In an embodiment, the sample is assessed as non-viable when it has a concentration of volatile gas higher than a threshold concentration measured in the control seedling samples. In a further embodiment the threshold concentration is determined by exposing control seedling samples to different levels of stress to produce exposed seedling samples having quantitatively different levels of viability; quantitatively measuring the concentration at least one volatile gas evolved from the exposed seedlings as described above; and comparing the concentrations of volatile gas to the quantitative viability of the exposed seedlings to determine the threshold concentration of gas above which viability is affected or the threshold concentration of gas above which the exposed seedlings are non-viable.

The different levels of viability in the exposed seedlings may be quantitated based on one or more of the following factors: root growth, shoot growth, dormancy of terminal buds and foliage damage, the number of new roots, dormancy status of terminal bud, foliage damage, length of new terminal shoots, chlorophyll fluorescence and electrolyte leakage.

In a preferred embodiment, the plant is a tree. In a particularly preferred embodiment, the tree species is a white spruce or a black spruce.

The stress to which the control seedlings are exposed may be for example heat, cold, water deficit or pollution. The volatile gas may be ethanol or an aldehyde. In a preferred embodiment, the volatile gas is ethanol and the colorimetric reagent is potassium dichromate.

2149508

- 7 -

The invention further provides a portable apparatus for assessing the viability of a plant comprising: an air tight enclosure to house the plant being evaluated; a colorimetric indicator means for
5 measuring a concentration of least one volatile gas inside of the enclosure, and a means for comparing the measured amount of the gas to an amount of the gas which has been correlated to one or more viability factors for the plant for determining the viability of the plant being
10 evaluated.

In an embodiment, the apparatus further includes a pump for drawing a pre-determined volume of gas from the enclosure and a gas detection tube containing a colorimetric reagent which changes colour in response to
15 certain concentrations of the volatile gas being measured. The apparatus may still further include a pump to create a flow of gas from inside of the enclosure out of the enclosure through the gas detection tube to measure the concentration of the volatile gas present in the
20 enclosure.

In a still further embodiment, the gas detection tube includes calibration marks correlated to the amount of gas given off by plants which are viable and plants which are not viable.

25 Other objects, features and advantages of the present invention will become apparent from the following detailed description. It should be understood, however, that the detailed description and the specific examples while indicating preferred embodiments of the invention
30 are given by way of illustration only, since various changes and modifications within the spirit and scope of the invention will become apparent to those skilled in the art from this detailed description.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

35 Reference will now be made to the attached drawings, by way of reference only, which show preferred embodiments of the instant invention and in which:

2149508

- 8 -

Figure 1 shows a device for measuring volatile emissions from plants;

Figure 2 is an enlarged view of a pair of gas detection tubes as shown in Figure 1;

5 Figure 3 is a view of a gas sample being taken with the device of Figure 1;

Figure 4 is graph showing the relationship between ethanol concentration and the number of new roots produced which are over 1cm long for black spruce
10 seedlings;

Figure 5 is a graph showing the relationship between ethanol concentration and the percent of healthy needles for black spruce seedlings;

Figure 6 is a graph showing the relationship
15 between ethanol concentration and the percentage terminal bud break for black spruce seedlings;

Figure 7 is a bar graph showing the relationship between ethanol concentration and percent root viability for white spruce seedlings;

20 Figure 8 is a bar graph showing the relationship between ethanol concentration and percent terminal bud viability for white spruce seedlings;

Figure 9 is a bar graph showing the relationship between ethanol concentration and percent foliage
25 viability for white spruce seedlings;

Figure 10 is a bar graph showing the relationship between concentration of aldehydes and percent terminal bud viability for white spruce seedlings;

Figure 11 is a bar graph showing the
30 relationship between concentration of aldehydes and the percentage terminal bud break for white spruce seedlings;
and

Figure 12 is a bar graph showing the relationship between concentration of aldehydes and
35 percent root viability for white spruce seedlings.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

As previously noted the present invention is

2149508

- 9 -

directed to a method and apparatus for assessing the viability of plant material. In one particular embodiment the present invention relates to a method of assessing the viability of a seedling sample, prior to the
5 same being shipped or planted in the ground. The method includes a series of steps each of which are set out in more detail below.

In one aspect, the invention particularly relates to the assessment of viability of a seedling
10 sample of a genus, species or cultivar of plant based on the colorimetric measurement of one or more volatile gases.

To assess viability using the methods of the invention a seedling sample is selected for evaluation.
15 Any type of seedling may be selected, preferably tree seedlings, more preferably the seedling may be selected from the following species: white spruce Picea glauca; black spruce Picea mariana; white pine Pinus strobus; red pine Pinus resinosa; jack pine Pinus banksiana; loblolly
20 pine Pinus taeda; Norway spruce Picea abies and larch larix spp. Other suitable plant species include lettuce Lactuca sativa, broccoli Brassica oleracea italica, bananas Musa sapientum, peaches Prunus serica and Lactuca spp. It will be appreciated however that while reference
25 is made to the foregoing and specific examples are provided herein with respect to certain of the foregoing, this invention is not restricted to these particular species as it is believed to be generally applicable to the seedlings of many other plant species and other types
30 of plant material as described below.

Seedling samples may be particularly usefully assessed where there are reasons to be concerned about viability, for example after shipping or prolonged storage or where the seedlings may have been subjected to stresses
35 such as excessive heat, cold, drought, pollution or disease. Assessment is useful prior to purchase, shipping or planting in order to select viable seedlings for these purposes.

2149508

- 10 -

For assessment, the seedling sample is maintained for a period in isolation in a substantially gas tight enclosure to trap gases evolved from the sample. Suitable enclosures include plastic bags or boxes. It is most preferred if the enclosure is completely air tight, but this may not always be possible in the field. However, the greater the leakage from the enclosure the less accurate the results become and so an essentially air tight enclosure is most preferred. It will be appreciated that the enclosure should provide suitable conditions for the maintenance of the plant material. The conditions selected will depend on the plant materials. For seedlings, appropriate temperature, light and humidity should be provided. The conditions selected will also depend on the volatile gas to be measured. For example, the temperature should be above the boiling point of the volatile gas to ensure that the gas is in the gaseous phase. The period of isolation and volume of the enclosure should be selected to permit comparison with gas measurements made in control seedling samples. The period of isolation need only be of sufficient duration to allow sufficient levels of volatile gas to accumulate in the enclosure. It is contemplated that any period between 15 minutes and 7 days may be used, preferably between 1 and 24 hours, most preferably about 3 hours.

The concentration of the volatile gas or gases to be measured is measured by contacting the trapped gases from the enclosure with a colorimetric reagent that changes colour on contact with the volatile gas to be measured. Suitable colorimetric reagents may be selected based on the volatile gas to be measured. For example potassium dichromate, hydroxylamine and palladium sulphate may be used for measuring ethanol, aldehydes and ethylene respectively.

In a preferred embodiment, the colorimetric reagent is contained in a gas detection tube, through which the gases may be passed. Gas detection tubes are known in the art and generally consist of sealed elongate

2149508

- 11 -

cylindrical enclosures of transparent material such as glass, pyrex or clear plastic. Preferably, the gas detection tube is used in combination with a pump for drawing a predetermined volume of the entrapped gases out
5 of the enclosure and through the tube. The distance along the tube over which a colour change occurs in relation to the length of the tube provides a visual measure of the amount of the volatile gas in the entrapped gases. Suitable systems incorporating gas detection tubes and
10 pumps are described for example in U.S. Patent No. 3,388,975 and also include the portable gas analysis system manufactured by Gastec® Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

In a particularly preferred embodiment the gas detection tube has calibration marks correlated to the
15 threshold amount of a volatile gas evolved by plants which are viable, plants which are viable but damaged and plants which are not viable.

In a preferred embodiment, the gas analysis system comprises a pump such as shown as 10 in Figure 1,
20 and gas detection tubes shown as 12. The pump is relatively small and preferably small enough to be easily transportable, such as in the glove compartment of a car or truck. The pump 10 includes a pull handle 14 and a carrying strap 16. At an end of the pump 10 remote from
25 the handle 14 is a connector 18. One gas detection tube 12 at a time can be placed in connector 18. The gas detection tube 12 is then inserted into an enclosure 13 containing seedlings 15. Thus when the pull handle 14 is drawn out pulling out piston 24, air is drawn through the
30 gas detection tube and into the body of the pump 10 (shown in Figure 3 as arrows 26). Preferably the pump is provided with markings 28 to permit a specific amount of gas to be drawn through the gas detection tube and into the body of the pump 10. It is most preferred if the pump
35 is capable of drawing predetermined fixed volumes of air through the tube, such as for example, 50, 100 or 200 cc of gas, so a concentration of gas can be measured. The pump made by the Gastec Corporation of Tokyo, Japan has

2149508

- 12 -

been found suitable. Other suitable pumps include those employed in mine safety appliances, for example those manufactured by Drager or MSA.

The gas detection tubes 12 are shown in more detail in Figure 2. They are most preferably generally disposable and contain colorimetric reagents. The tubes come sealed at both ends and when a reading is required all that is necessary is to break off the ends and expose the tube to the air being tested. A change in colour is thus indicative of a certain concentration of a particular reactant (i.e. volatile gas) to which the tube is exposed. The exterior of the tube is graduated at 22 to indicate the number of parts per million of the target volatile gas in the air sample. The leading edge of the colour change indicates the concentration. The tubes 12 are then visually assessed for the gas concentration, and the viability of the plant material evaluated in accordance with the protocol below.

Suitable results have been obtained by using gas detection tubes available from Gastec, but there are also other suppliers of these types of pumps and gas detection tubes. It will be noted that the most preferred form of the tube is one which has been calibrated to have gradations on it specific to the application of this invention. In some cases it may be desirable to provide a single mark, which would be a pass fail mark shown schematically as 30 in Figure 2. In other words, gas concentrations above the mark would mean that the plant or tree seedling being tested was not likely viable and should not be planted. In other cases it may be preferred to provide a plurality of marks which indicate likely viability (and hence vigour) shown schematically as 32, 34 and 36. While the gas reaction tubes are commercially available which indicate ppm concentrations in absolute terms, it is most preferred to custom calibrate such in accordance with this invention to make them genus, species or cultivar specific. As will be explained in more detail below, the exact position of the marks will depend upon

2149508

- 13 -

the isolation protocol used and the specific genus, species or cultivar and gas being considered.

Further, it will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that while reference is made in the preferred embodiment to gas detection tubes other types of colorimetric systems for measuring gas concentration may also be suitable. In cases where the enclosure is of fixed and known dimensions, the gas concentration indicator could take the form of a sealed card or the like which is exposed to the air in the enclosure. Thus reference is made herein to a means for measuring gas concentration, which preferably includes a visual colour indication of gas concentration.

It will now be appreciated that the foregoing description relates to measuring the concentration of the volatile gases within the enclosure. Measuring concentration is possible because the pump permits a specific volume of gas to be withdrawn from the enclosure, to provide a concentration measurement. It will be appreciated that the enclosure could be modified to contain a set volume, and the measurement could be of the total or some defined portion of the total volume, and in this manner concentration measured. However, since it can be difficult in the field to establish good control over the volume in the actual enclosures (especially if they are merely plastic bags which are readily available, cheap and efficient) the most preferred method is to remove a fixed volume from the enclosure and to determine concentration based on this known volume.

As noted above, the viability of the seedling is assessed by comparing the concentration of volatile gas to concentrations of the volatile gas obtained from a control seedling sample of known viability. It will be appreciated that healthy (viable) controls seedlings may be used as a basis of comparison and significant differences from such control measurements may be taken as indicative of reduced viability.

Preferably, to obtain more detailed information

2149508

- 14 -

about viability of the seedling sample, measurements are taken from a range of control seedlings which have quantitatively different levels of viability. For example control seedling samples may be exposed to different
5 levels of stress to produce seedlings having quantitatively different levels of viability. The levels of the volatile gas evolved by these control seedlings can then be measured as described herein and the levels can be correlated to the viability of the control seedlings.

10 The control seedlings may be subjected to any suitable stress, such as heat, cold, water deficit, physical stress, such as shaking, chemical stress, such as pollution. The manner in which the stress is applied is not believed to be too critical, however the most
15 preferred form of stress is that type of stress the seedling is likely to be subjected to prior to it being used, so as to emulate the same. Thus for tree seedlings which have to be transported over large distances by train or truck, and stored on site for a period of time prior to
20 planting, the preferred type of stress is thought to be exposure to elevated temperatures for periods of time of up to several days, such as might occur prior to planting tree seedlings. One preferred manner of stressing is set out in more detail below in the examples.

25 The control seedlings that have been stressed are planted under controlled growing conditions and allowed to develop naturally. Then after a predetermined elapsed growing time, the materials are quantitatively evaluated for viability and growth. There are a variety
30 of growth factors that can be measured, but the most preferred ones include, root, bud and foliage viability tests including measuring the length and number of any new roots produced; measuring the percent of needles that are healthy and measuring the buds that have broken open,
35 signifying growth. It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that other parameters might also be measured, provided that the same are related to the general viability of the plant being considered and that

2149508

- 15 -

while reference is primarily made to these growth factors, this invention is not limited to these specific growth factors but is intended to comprehend any growth or physiological performance factors (quality factors) which
5 can provide a measure of the damage suffered by the plants.

The viability of the control seedlings may be measured using techniques known to those skilled in the art, such as visual and microscopic inspection,
10 chlorophyll fluorescence, electrolyte leakage, status of roots, shoots, foliage, buds, observing growth and survival.

In a preferred embodiment, the seedling is a tree seedling and the viability is determined by
15 measurements of at least one of the following: electrolyte leakage; chlorophyll fluorescence; growth performance including number of new roots, terminal bud viability and foliar damage.

The volatile gases to be measured may include a
20 wide range of volatile gases, which are known to be evolved from plant materials (Nurnsten and Williams, 1967, supra). Suitable gases include hydrocarbons, alcohols, acids, carbonyls, hydroxy compounds, and esters. Preferably, the following volatile gases are measured:
25 ethanol, aldehydes, ethane, ethylene. Most preferably, the volatile gases are ethanol and aldehydes. It will be appreciated that the term aldehyde refers to a broad class of compounds having the general formula $RCHO$, and characterized by an unsaturated carbonyl group ($C=O$).
30 Colorimetric reagents may be selected which change colour in response to a wide range of aldehydes or in response to specific aldehydes, such as acetaldehyde or formaldehyde.

Volatile gases such as terpenes may be produced by plants in response to insects and fungal pathogens,
35 and their levels in the air in a plant community or ecosystem may indicate viability of the plants in the ecosystem. Isoprene (C_5H_8) production, another common volatile emission from plants, may be indicative of

2149508

- 16 -

photosynthesis (Sanadze (1991) In: "Trace Gas Emissions by Plants", Academic Press). High rates of isoprene emission by plants may reflect high rates of photosynthesis, an indication of high plant growth rates,
5 yield and viability.

Once the data has been collected, the plant viability measurements are correlated to the amounts of volatile gas measured. One convenient way of correlating the results is to plot on a graph measured volatile gas
10 concentration vs plant growth (viability) parameter. Statistical evaluations can then be made to determine the best fit or best correlation and to include a margin of error. The statistical analysis of the results will be understood by those skilled in the art, and are discussed
15 in more detail with reference to the specific examples.

The relationship between the viability of the plant material and the concentration of the volatile gases evolved may be determined by regression analysis as described in the examples herein. An analysis of variance
20 may be used to assist in the determination of the location of thresholds corresponding to viable, damaged and non-viable plant materials. Accordingly, the present method provides a simple method for identifying and selecting viable, damaged and non-viable plant material.

25 The present method permits the identification of the threshold amounts of volatile gas above which seedlings are damaged, as indicated by significant reductions in one or more of the viability factors quantitated. Seedlings under assessment producing levels
30 of the gas under this threshold amount may be considered to be fully viable. Seedlings producing levels of gas over this threshold may be classed as damaged. The method also permits the identification of the threshold amounts of volatile gas above which seedlings will not survive and
35 grow and seedlings under assessment producing levels of gas over this threshold may be classed as being non-viable.

The present method also permits a determination

2149508

- 17 -

of specific viability parameters. For example, where the correlation between a specific viability parameter and the concentration of volatile gas evolved has been established, then a volatile gas measurement from a test seedling may be used to determine whether the seedling will be viable for the specific parameter. Thus root, shoot, bud or foliage viability may be individually determined based on the measured concentration of volatile gas evolved by the test seedling.

10 It is an advantage of the methods and apparatus of the present invention that they are inexpensive. Gas detection tubes are not costly and are readily available. It is a particular advantage that the method may be carried out in remote locations as the apparatus is light and portable and can easily be carried into remote locations for rapid testing in the field by persons not having any specialized laboratory or scientific skills. It is a further advantage that the method is non-destructive and plants which have been tested may be
15 planted or used for their intended purpose after the test is complete.

One aspect of the present invention must be understood is that in certain circumstances it is possible to obtain false positive readings using the foregoing techniques. This would occur for example where the plants being evaluated were so severely damaged that the ethanol producing systems, for example, were no longer functional; substantially dead tissues do not exhibit this behaviour of producing certain volatile gases. Thus a visual
25 assessment of the plant material to be evaluated initially is preferred to prevent such false positive readings from being relied upon. In other words, specimens with severe symptoms of damage (like dead foliage or visible disease) should be evaluated with caution. However this is
30 relatively easy to do since at the point at which volatile gas production drops off, visible necrosis of foliage or other damage symptoms are usually apparent.

It will be appreciated by those skilled in the

2149508

- 18 -

art that the foregoing description has been given with respect to evaluating tree seedlings prior to being planted, but that it is known the instant invention has a much wider application. In any circumstance where the evaluation of plant material is required for freshness or viability the techniques of the instant invention are believed applicable. Of course each circumstance needs to be separately evaluated. Fruits, for example, produce certain gases naturally and without necessarily being stressed. Levels of gas concentration can be correlated to freshness and the evaluation of the concentration of the gases used to obtain an objective measure of the freshness and hence the quality of the fruit being evaluated. Thus this invention is not intended to be limited to the specific application of the measurement of tree seedling viability although satisfactory results have been obtained in this particular application of the invention to date.

As noted above, it is contemplated that a wide range of plant materials may be assessed by the methods and apparatus of the invention such as seeds, seedlings, plants, flowers, vegetables, bulbs, tubers, parts of plants such as cuttings and leafs, propagating materials and fruit. Of course as will be understood from the description below, there must be enough of the plant or plant material being tested to provide a representative and repeatable result, but provided that this requirement is met, the method is believed applicable.

As hereinbefore mentioned the methods and apparatus of the invention may be used to assess the viability of a wide range of plant materials. The term viability as used herein refers most broadly to the quality and health of the plant material and to its suitability for a particular purpose. Viable plant material is healthy and not damaged. Viable produce will be fresh produce suitable for shipping, storage and consumption or use.

In a preferred embodiment, the methods and

2149508

- 19 -

apparatus of the invention may be used to assess the viability of plant materials to be planted, grown or propagated. In a particularly preferred embodiment, the methods and apparatus are useful for assessing the
5 viability of seedlings, most preferably tree seedlings. The method can be applied in the field to identify tree seedlings that are not viable and which would not survive planting or which have poor growth potential. These seedlings can then be rejected, saving the effort and
10 expense of planting, nurturing and then having to replant. Viable seedlings, suitable for planting and having strong growth potential may also be identified. In a broader sense, viability may include a measure of suitability for replanting, or for other uses such as even the freshness
15 of fruit, as explained in more detail below.

The methods and apparatus of the invention have possible applications in the horticulture and floriculture industries for the assessment of viability of a wide variety of ornamental plant species and cultivars. These
20 include annual plants (e.g. Chrysanthemum, Dianthus carnation), herbaceous perennial (eg. Begonia, Lilium (lily)), and woody plants (eg. Rosa (rose), Syringa vulgaris (lilac)). During the production, shipping and handling of plants or tissues of any horticultural or
25 floricultural species, stressful conditions may reduce plant quality and viability.

The flowering process and flower senescence is controlled by ethylene, and measurement of ethylene synthesis levels may, therefore, be useful in regulating
30 flower production and in predicting shelf-life of cut-flowers (Pratt and Goeschl, 1969, Ann. Rev. Plant Physio. 20:541-584). The method and apparatus of the invention may be used to assess viability of horticultural and floricultural plant species.

35 The methods and apparatus of the invention may also be used for the assessment of viability of fruits and vegetables, i.e. as a measurement of their readiness for harvest, shelf life and suitability for consumption.

2149508

- 20 -

During ripening, fruits and vegetables of virtually all species produce gases, one of the best known being ethylene (C₂H₄). Levels of production of gases such as ethylene by fruits and vegetables are associated with
5 readiness for harvesting (eg. abscission of fruit from plants, change in color) and their palatability and nutritional quality (e.g. changes in carbohydrate composition, protein content, organic acid composition, development of wax on the skin and changes in pectin
10 composition leading to fruit or vegetable softening, aromas imparting fruit flavor) (Pratt and Goeschl (1969) Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. 20: 541-584; Nursten and Williams (1967) supra).

The methods and apparatus of the invention may
15 also be useful for assessing the viability of seeds prior to planting or prior to consumption. It will be appreciated that assessment of viability may play a role in assessing a general ecosystem condition as the biogenic synthesis of gases (i.e. gases produced by biological
20 processes) can indicate the status or condition of plant communities and ecosystems. For example, ammonia volatilized from plants can indicate the nitrogen status of plants and the presence of fungal diseases (Schjoerring (1991) In: "Trace Gas Emissions by Plants", Academic
25 Press).

Other possible applications for the methods and apparatus of the invention include assessing viability, shelf life and suitability for consumption of dairy and meat products as a wide variety of volatile chemicals are
30 associated with the spoilage of dairy and meat products. (Freeman et al. (1976) Appl. Env. Microbiol. 32(2): 222-231).

The following non-limiting examples are illustrative of the present invention:

35

EXAMPLES

EXAMPLE 1

Analysis of Stress-Induced Black Spruce Seedling Damage Plant Material

2149508

- 21 -

Black spruce tree seedlings from Ontario site region 32-34-000 were obtained from an operationally produced bare-root crop grown at the Swastika Tree Nursery (48°02'N, 80°22'W). Trees were operationally lifted
5 between October 23 and 28, 1992, and stored frozen at -2°C prior to being received.

Seedling Handling

In April 1993, 4 polyethylene-lined paper bags, each containing between 200 and 250 seedlings were
10 transported to the Ontario Forest Research Institute in Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario. The seedlings were maintained in frozen storage at -2°C then thawed in the bags at 2°C for 10 days immediately prior to heat treatment. The experiments were repeated once on June 16, June 21 and
15 twice on July 7. Each replication consisted of one bag of seedlings allowed to heat in a greenhouse. After thawing, a bag of seedlings was taken to the laboratory and allowed to warm to room temperature (approximately 20°C) overnight. After internal bag temperature reached 20°C,
20 two or three ethanol gas measurements per bag were made using a portable gas analysis system (control value). The portable gas analysis system was manufactured by Gastec® Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). The bag containing the remaining seedlings was resealed and placed in a
25 greenhouse for stress treatment. This procedure was repeated separately for each of the four bags of seedlings.

Stress Treatments

Heat treatments were used to simulate potential
30 operational conditions in the field, where bags of seedling may be exposed to direct sunlight and warm temperatures for several days prior to planting. A range of levels of seedling stress was created by heating seedlings in their bags in a greenhouse at ambient
35 temperatures. The maximum temperature in the greenhouse during the exposure period was 36°C. Durations of heating were arbitrarily chosen to provide a range of stress levels and differed each time the experiment was repeated

2149508

- 22 -

depending upon the intensity of the light and ambient temperature. Exposure periods ranged from 3 hours to 7 days. After each exposure period, the bag of seedlings was returned to the laboratory and allowed to cool to room temperature. When internal bag temperature reached 20°C, two or three ethanol gas measurements per bag were made. An average ethanol concentration was calculated for each exposure period. After measurement of ethanol gas concentration, 20 seedlings were removed from the bag and potted for performance analysis. The bag was then resealed and returned to the greenhouse for further heat treatment. From three to seven exposure durations were used to obtain a range of seedling stress levels and gas concentrations.

15 *Stress-Induced Ethanol Gas Analysis*

A portable gas analysis system, composed of a gas vacuum pump and gas detection tubes were used to determine the concentration of ethanol produced by differentially stressed seedlings. The gas is drawn by vacuum through a disposable gas detection tube attached to the end of a vacuum pump. The gas detection tube is packed with orange potassium dichromate. If gaseous ethanol is present in the air passing through the tube, it reacts with the potassium dichromate to produce light-blue chromic sulphate. The exterior of the tube is graduated to indicate the number of parts per million of ethanol gas in the air sample. The leading edge of the colour change indicates the concentration of ethanol in the sample.

Gas samples were collected as follows. The internal temperature of a bag of seedlings was brought to 20°C $\pm$ 1°C by incubation in the laboratory. A gas detection tube was attached to the vacuum pump and inserted approximately 6 cm through a 1 mm diameter hole in the bag containing the seedlings.

The vacuum pump was manually operated to withdraw 100 cm³ of the gases from the bag through the gas detection tube. After approximately three minutes sampling was complete and the tube was removed from the

2149508

- 23 -

bag of seedlings. Gas concentration was estimated after a further five minutes by observing the position of the colour change in the gas detection tube.

Black spruce seedlings were handled and stressed generally as described above. Twenty seedlings for each control and stressed group of seedlings removed from the bags immediately following gas extraction. Two seedlings per pot were planted in 3-L pots, using a 2:1 (v/v) peat; vermiculite substrate, watered and placed into a controlled environment (26°C:18°C day:night temperature); 70% relative humidity; 250 $\mu\text{mol. m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ photosynthetic photon flux density, PPFD) to allow the damage symptoms to develop and for growth to occur.

After 14 days the seedlings were examined to determine the number of new roots, dormancy status of the terminal bud, foliage damage and length of new terminal shoots. Seedlings were removed from their pots, and the number of white root tips over 1 cm long were counted (root growth potential). The main stem terminal bud of each tree was evaluated to determine bud break. If a terminal bud failed to break it was bisected longitudinally and examined for tissue browning. Each seedling was evaluated visually to classify needle damage and undamaged needles in 10% classes.

The terminal 2 cm of the shoots of 10 randomly selected seedlings for each treatment were removed and placed in test tubes containing 30 ml distilled water. The shoot tips were incubated for 20-24 hours at 20°C, then the electrical conductivity (EC initial) of the solution was measured using a conductivity meter. Shoot tips were killed in a 90°C oven for 3 hours, re-incubated for 20-24 hours at 20°C and the electrical conductivity (EC killed) was re-measured. The per cent relative conductivity was calculated as the initial conductivity x 100/killed conductivity. Relative conductivity was used as an indicator of cellular membrane damage (Colombo et al. 1994).

Chlorophyll fluorescence total yield (Genty et

2149508

- 24 -

al 1988), Schreiber and Nilger 1993) was measured on 10 potted seedlings (one seedling per pot), after 48 hours of growth in the environmental chamber. Needles near the top, middle and bottom of the seedling were measured using
5 a PAM 2000 fluorometer (Heinz Waltz GmbH, Effeltrich, Germany). The three measurements were averaged to estimate fluorescence yield for each plant.

Data from four trials were combined for regression analysis. Best-fit nonlinear regressions with
10 95% confidence intervals were used to describe the relationships between ethanol concentration and the number of new roots, bud dormancy status, and foliar damage. Linear regressions were performed using the computer programs Sigmaplot® and SigmaStat® (Jandel Scientific, San
15 Rafael, California U.S.A.).

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to assist in the determination of the location of thresholds corresponding to undamaged, damaged and severely damaged categories. A separate ANOVA was calculated for each of
20 the four trials. In the ANOVA model bags of seedlings exposed to elevated temperatures for different durations (labelled by ethanol concentration) were used as treatments. A replication was defined as a pot containing two seedlings. The ANOVA models were slightly different
25 (because of differing numbers of treatments) for each experiment. Values of foliar damage were modified by an arcsine transformation prior to ANOVA analysis. Percent bud break of seedlings from bags with different ethanol levels was compared using X^2 analysis.

30 Ethanol concentration in the bags from which the seedlings came was strongly correlated with growth and damage of black spruce seedlings as shown in Table 1 and Figures 4, 5 and 6. Figures 4, 5 and 6 show the relationship between ethanol concentration in bags
35 following heat stress and seedling viability 14 days after being stressed. In each graph, the central line is the prediction based on the regression equation and the two outer lines form the 95% confidence interval. There was

- 25 - 2149508

a general increase in ethanol concentration with increased duration of exposure during heat treatment. Below a bag concentration of 1000 ppm ethanol the number of new roots, percent bud break and needle damage were largely
5 unaffected. Above a threshold of approximately 1000 ppm there were decreasing numbers of new roots, fewer terminal buds resuming growth, and increasing foliage damage, with increasing bag ethanol concentrations. Buds which failed to break dormancy were found upon dissection to be
10 damaged.

Table 1 shows the root growth potential, foliage viability and terminal bud viability in black spruce seedlings from bags of different concentrations of ethanol. Results of the regression analysis are shown in
15 Table 2.

When bag concentrations of ethanol were 1500 ppm, the average number of new roots predicted by the statistical regression analysis was 25.8, a 41% reduction compared to the predicted value of 43.8 roots at 0 ppm
20 ethanol. Furthermore, predicted terminal bud viability decreased from an estimated value of 73.3% to 39.4% respectively at 0 ppm and 1500 ppm ethanol. Finally, predicted values of foliage viability dropped from 93.5% in the control to 72.1% at 1500 ppm. Above bag ethanol
25 concentrations of approximately 2000 ppm seedling root growth potential and terminal bud viability decreased to near zero.

EXAMPLE 2

Analysis of Stress-Induced White Spruce Seedling Damage

30 Plant Material

Three seed sources of operationally produced white spruce seedling (Picea glauca) stock were used in this experiment: site region 6276041 (Hills 1960) from Orono Nursery (48° 58' N. 78° 37' W), fall-lifted October
35 27, 1993, and overwintered in frozen storage at -2°C, site region 3234000 from Swastika Nursery (48° 02' N. 80° 22' W), spring-lifted May 17, 1994, and cool stored at +2 to +3°C, and site region 4315002 from Dryden Nursery (49° 47'

- 26 - 2149508

N. 92° 36' W), fall-lifted October 21, 1993, and overwintered in frozen storage at -2°C. The first two seed sources were grown as three-year-old seedling stock (3+0), the third source was grown as a greenhouse transplant (G + 11/2) crop. Frozen stored seedlings were transported to the Ontario Forest Research Institute (OFRI) (46° 30' N. 84° 18' W) and held at -2°C, then thawed at +2°C for 10 days prior to treatment.

Seedling Handling

10 Storage treatments simulated operational conditions in the field, where bags of seedlings may be exposed to elevated temperatures for several days prior to planting. Forty seedlings per treatment were sealed in 4 mil 45cm x 80cm polyethylene bags with excess air removed
15 prior to dark storage for durations of 0, 8, 24, 32, 48, 56, 72 or 80 hours at 30°C. In this experiment an experimental unit was defined as a bag of seedlings containing 40 seedlings. Three replications per seed source were treated.

20 After a storage treatment was completed each bag of seedlings was opened and filled with air in an effort to prevent anaerobic conditions from developing during incubation. This would simulate field conditions where bags of seedlings would be opened to collect samples for
25 incubation prior to gas analysis. The ratio of plant material to air volume inside the bag has an influence on the gas concentration measured after incubation. Therefore attempts were made to maintain a constant plant material to air ratio. The bags were then incubated in the dark for
30 24 hours at 20°C. After incubation the internal bag temperature was monitored with a soil probe thermometer, and, if it was 20°C ($\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$), a gas sample was extracted and analyzed.

Stress-Induced Gas Analysis

35 The concentrations of ethanol and aldehydes produced in bags by seedlings was measured using a portable gas detection system (Gastec Corp., Tokyo, Japan). The gas detection tubes are calibrated during

2149508

- 27 -

manufacture using a combination of dynamic diffusion and gas chromatographic techniques, to ensure accurate gas concentration measurements. Gas concentrations were estimated 3 to 5 minutes after the completion of gas sampling by observing the position of the colour change in the gas detection tube.

Analysis of Stress-Induced Seedling Damage

Twenty randomly selected seedlings from each bag were removed immediately following gas extraction. Two seedlings were planted in each of 10, 3 litre pots, using a 2:1 (v:v) peat: vermiculite substrate, watered and placed into a controlled environment (26°C/ 18°C day/night, 70% RH, 250 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ PPFD) to allow damage symptoms to develop and growth to occur.

After 14 days, the seedlings were examined to determine root viability, terminal bud viability and foliage viability. Root systems were determined to be viable if one or more white roots were present. Terminal buds which failed to break were dissected and examined for viability. A bud was deemed to be viable if the needle primordia were green. Each seedling was visually assessed to classify damaged and undamaged needles in 10% classes.

The terminal 2 cm of the shoots of 10 randomly selected seedlings for each treatment were removed and placed in test tubes containing 30 ml distilled water. The shoot tips were incubated for 20-24 hours at 20°C, then the electrical conductivity (EC initial) of the solution was measured using a conductivity meter. Shoot tips were killed in a 90°C oven for 3 hours, reincubated for 20-24 hours at 20°C, and the electrical conductivity (EC killed) was remeasured. The per cent relative conductivity was calculated as $\text{initial conductivity} \times 100 / \text{killed conductivity}$. Relative conductivity was used as an indicator of cellular membrane damage.

Chlorophyll fluorescence f_v/f_{max} was measured on ten potted seedlings (one seedling per pot), after 48 hours of growth in the environmental chamber. Needles near the top, middle and bottom of the seedling were measured

2149508

- 28 -

using a PAM 2000 fluorometer (Heinz Waltz GmbH, Effeltrich, Germany). The three measurements were averaged to estimate fluorescence f_v/f_{max} for each plant.

Root, bud and foliage viability were plotted
5 against storage treatment duration (0,8,24,32,48,56,72, or 80 hours), and compared using analysis of variance (ANOVA). Sources of variation in the ANOVA model included treatment and nursery (source). Sources were combined to
10 compare gas emissions (ethanol and aldehydes) with treatment duration using linear regression. Root, bud and foliage viability were plotted against ethanol and concentration of aldehydes. Root, bud and foliage viability were plotted against chlorophyll fluorescence f_v/f_{max} (optimum quantum yield) and relative conductivity
15 and best fit linear or polynomial regressions were calculated.

Correlations between root, bud, and foliage viability and duration of warm storage were significant (Table 5). Increasing warm storage duration significantly
20 reduced root, bud and foliage viability. Since the primary source of variation was found to be the treatment durations all sources were combined for subsequent linear regression. The correlation between ethanol concentration and warm storage treatment duration was significant ($r^2 =$
25 0.52). The relationship between concentration of aldehydes and treatment duration was even stronger ($r^2 = 0.76$).

Correlations of ethanol and aldehyde concentration with root, bud, and foliage viability were also significant (Table 5). In general, at low ethanol
30 concentrations (less than 300 ppm) and low concentrations of aldehydes (less than 20 ppm) the viability of different parts of the plant remained high (Figures 7-12). At ethanol concentrations from 400 - 1200 ppm and aldehyde concentrations from 20 to 30 ppm the viability of
35 different parts of the plant was variable; some seedlings had low levels of damage, while others were severely damaged. Ethanol concentrations greater than 1200 ppm and concentrations of aldehydes greater than 30 ppm were

2149508

- 29 -

associated with poor viability in all attributes measured (Figures 7-12).

Correlation between root, bud, foliage viability and chlorophyll fluorescence fv/f_{max} were strong (Table 5). The linear regression between chlorophyll fluorescence yield and foliage viability was very strong ($r^2 = 0.94$). Foliar relative conductivity was less well correlated with any subsequent measure of viability (Table 5).

10 A significant relationship was found between bag concentrations of ethanol and root, bud and foliage viability, as shown in Figures 7, 8 and 9 respectively. A significant relationship was also found between bag concentrations of aldehydes and root, bud and foliage
15 viability, as shown in Figures 10, 11 and 12 respectively. The error bars in Figure 7-12 show the 95% confidence interval. Tables 3 and 4 show the correlation between concentration of ethanol and aldehydes respectively, and root, bud and foliage viability.

20 In general, at low ethanol concentrations (less than 400 ppm) and low aldehyde concentrations (less than 20 ppm) the viability of the different parts of the plants remains high. At ethanol concentrations from 400 - 1200 ppm and aldehydes concentrations from 20 to 30 ppm the
25 average viability is reduced as some seedlings have low levels of damage, while others are severely damaged. Ethanol concentrations greater than 1200 ppm or aldehyde concentrations greater than 30 ppm were associated with poor viability in all attributes measured. The results of
30 the regression analysis is set out in Table 5 which shows the correlation between root, bud and foliage viability and the various methods of estimating plant quality.

The information in Table 2 may be used, for example, to determine root viability for this species
35 based on ethanol measurement, using the equation $y = -0.06x + 70.87$, where x is the measure of ethanol concentration. For example, if the measured concentration was 600 ppm, then $y = -0.06(600) + 70.87$; or $y = 34.87\%$.

2149508

- 30 -

Thus about 34% of the seedlings will have viable root systems at an ethanol concentration of 600 ppm.

Based on the results, white spruce seedlings may be classified as follows: undamaged seedlings, have an ethanol measurement less than 400 ppm and an aldehyde measurement less than 20 ppm; damaged seedlings have an ethanol measurement of from 400 to 1200 ppm and an aldehyde measurement of from 20 -30 ppm and; severely damaged (non-viable) seedlings have an ethanol measurement over 1200 ppm or an aldehyde measurement greater than 30 ppm.

The results show that seedlings exposed to elevated temperatures while packaged in polyethylene lined kraft paper bags may be severely damaged in relatively short periods of time. For, example in as little as 48 hours, root viability may drop from near 100% to an average of 19.3%. The lack of difference between stocklots in this study indicates that the prediction of damage and viability using the methods of the invention is independent of seedlot and cultural factors.

The correlations between duration of warm storage and gas emissions were very strong. However, under operational conditions storage temperatures and duration are seldom accurately known. Furthermore, storage temperature is an environmental factor which may or may not cause seedling damage. Seedling stress resistance depends on factors of stress avoidance and tolerance. For this reason, except in extreme cases monitoring temperature conditions inside packages of seedlings has limited operational value in predicting seedling condition at the planting site.

Ethanol and aldehyde emissions were both good indicators of seedling viability following storage at 30°C. When a multiple linear regression model was tested, the analysis indicated that both parameters are approximately equal in terms of predictive ability and that a model combining both factors would be no more predictive than a model using either factor individually.

2149508

- 31 -

Simple linear regression models were computed for each gas and viability parameter (Table 5). At low ethanol (less than 400 ppm) and aldehydes (less than 20 ppm) concentrations, seedling viability was not appreciably
5 different from the control. At concentrations, between 400 and 1200 ppm ethanol and 20 to 40 ppm aldehydes, the viability of the stock was variable, with some samples performing well, while other samples performed very poorly. However, at ethanol concentrations greater than
10 1200 ppm and aldehyde concentrations greater than 40 ppm, very poor seedling viability was reliably predicted using the methods of the invention.

EXAMPLE 3

Field Trials

15 The methods described above have been used to assess nursery stock shipments of black spruce seedlings under normal field conditions. Damage was suspected in a nursery stock lot of approximately 70,000 seedlings as the seedlings were thought to have been stored at an elevated
20 temperature, although no visual symptoms of damage were apparent. A random sampling of gas from several bags found ethanol levels ranging from 1800 to 2500 ppm. Based on these results, shipment of the stock was delayed to allow further evaluation of the stock.

25 The results obtained were confirmed by planting a sample of the seedlings and assessing viability of the plants by measuring root growth capacity, relative conductivity and chlorophyll fluorescence following the methods described above. These measurements confirmed
30 that the high ethanol levels had correctly identified non-viable stock with a high level of damage, which would have failed if planted. The rapid identification of the damaged stock permitted healthy replacement seedlings to be planted in place of the damaged stock, which was
35 discarded.

Having illustrated and described the principles of the invention in preferred embodiments, it should be appreciated by those skilled in the art that the invention

- 32 -

can be modified in arrangement and detail without departure from such principles. We claim all modifications coming within the scope of the following claims.

2149508

- 33 -

TABLE 1

E t h a n o l concen. (ppm.)	Root Growth Potential (n o . o f roots>1cm)	F o i l a g e viability (% healthy needles)	Terminal bud viability (% viable buds)
Expt. 1			
0	48.9a	88.9a	100
200	43.5ab	96.9a	81.2
650	41.1ab	90.6ab	81.2
900	40.4ab	90.6ab	93.7
1100	33.0b	94.7ab	77.7
1550	24.9c	68.9bc	38.8
1600	2.9d	41.4c	16.6
1800	7.6d	39.4c	0.0
Expt. 2			
300	34.4a	93.8a	62.5
450	41.3a	96.1a	64.7
1150	41.4a	91.2a	53.2
1550	36.1a	86.6a	50.0
1600	13.6b	63.1b	18.7
2300	11.7b	54.4b	16.6
Expt. 3			
0	41.6a	86.8ab	68.6
450	36.4a	95.0a	83.3
500	35.4a	91.6ab	55.6
950	41.2a	96.7a	72.2
1400	33.1a	87.2b	38.9
2100	14.6b	52.5c	11.1
Expt. 4			
0	44.8a	99.0a	50.0
550	43.6a	97.2a	33.4
950	47.2a	98.7a	54.9
2000	7.4b	11.3b	0.9

Note: Means followed by a different letter within columns in each experiment differ at $p < 0.05$. Tests of significance for seedlings attributes were Student-Newman-Keuls test for root growth potential and foilage viability, and X^2 test for terminal bud variety.

2149508

- 34 -

Table 2

Dependent Variable (x)	Independent Variable (y)	Regression Equation	R ²
Ethanol Concentration	Root Viability	$y = (-7.15 \times 10^{-6})x^2 - (1.31 \times 10^{-3})x + 43.81$	0.73
	Bud Viability	$y = (-1.80 \times 10^{-5})x^2 + 0.0127x + 93.51$	0.69
	Foliage Viability	$y = (-1.48 \times 10^{-6})x^2 - (3.67 \times 10^{-4})x + 73.26$	0.64

2149508

- 35 -

Table 3
White Spruce Ethanol

Parameter	Ethanol Range of concentration (ppm)	Mean viability (%)	Confidence Interval @ 95% (%)
Root Viability	0-339 ppm	74.53	8.63
	400-1199 ppm	20.68	10.07
	1200 + ppm	0.00	0.00
Bud Viability	0-399 ppm	90.58	5.07
	400-1199 ppm	16.43	10.49
	1200 + ppm	0.00	0.00
Foliage Viability	0-399 ppm	91.06	4.38
	400-1199 ppm	33.60	12.77
	1200 + ppm	10.76	11.23

2149508

- 36 -

Table 4
White Spruce Aldehyde

Parameter	Aldehyde Range of concentration (ppm)	Mean viability (%)	Confidence Interval @ 95% (%)
Root Viability	0-19.8	74.24	7.16
	20-29.9	35.71	9.03
	30 +	10.30	4.00
Bud Viability	0-19.9	89.84	6.90
	20-29.9	47.86	30.62
	30 +	7.67	7.47
Foliage Viability	0-19.9	92.21	3.40
	20-29.9	69.14	18.60
	30 +	21.11	10.04

2149508

- 37 -

Table 5

Correlations between root, bud, and foliage viability and methods of estimating plant quality.

Dependent Variable (x)	Independent Variable (y)	Regression Equation	R ²
Storage Duration	Root Viability	$y = 0.2x^2 - 2.11x + 122.48$	0.72
	Bud Viability	$y = 0.03x^2 - 4.16x + 156.21$	0.81
	Foliage Viability	$y = -1.48x + 113.71$	0.67
Ethanol Concentration	Root Viability	$y = -0.06x + 70.87$	0.69
	Bud Viability	$y = -0.07x + 81.42$	0.71
	Foliage Viability	$y = -0.06x + 88.39$	0.69
Aldehyde Concentration	Root Viability	$y = -1.06x + 68.46$	0.64
	Bud Viability	$y = -1.31x + 81.83$	0.67
	Foliage Viability	$y = -1.23x + 89.42$	0.72
Chlorophyll Fluorescence Quantum Yield	Root Viability	$y = 54.76x^2 + 73.43x + 0.70$	0.78
	Bud Viability	$y = 113.39x^2 + 60.15x + 0.66$	0.82
	Foliage Viability	$y = -101.21x^2 + 204.16x + 3.4$	0.94
Relative Conductivity	Root Viability	$y = -3.17x + 81.04$	0.48
	Bud Viability	$y = -3.84x + 96.22$	0.48
	Foliage Viability	$y = -3.29x + 99.82$	0.46

- 38 -

We claim:

1. A method of assessing whether a fruit, vegetable, plant, or flower has stress-induced damage, comprising the steps of:
 - (a) maintaining a fruit, vegetable, plant, or flower in isolation in a substantially gas tight enclosure to trap gases evolved from the fruit, vegetable, plant or flower;
 - (b) measuring a concentration of at least one volatile gas in the trapped gases by contacting the trapped gases with a colorimetric reagent that changes colour on contact with the volatile gas to be measured and;
 - (c) assessing whether the fruit, vegetable, plant, or flower has stress-induced damage by comparing the concentration of volatile gas to control concentrations of the volatile gas obtained from at least one control sample having known stress-induced damage or no damage.
2. A method as claimed in claim 1 wherein the fruit, vegetable, plant, or flower has stress-induced damage if it has a concentration of volatile gas higher than a threshold concentration measured in the control sample.
3. A method as claimed in claim 2 wherein the threshold concentration is determined by exposing control samples to different levels of stress to produce samples having different levels of stress-induced damage; quantitatively measuring the concentration of at least one volatile gas produced by the fruit, vegetable, plant, or flower as described in steps (a) and (b); and comparing the concentrations of volatile gas to the stress-induced damage in the controls exposed to the stress to determine the threshold concentration of gas above which an exposed fruit, vegetable, plant, or flower has stress-induced damage.
4. A method of assessing viability or condition of plant tissue, comprising the steps of:
 - maintaining plant tissue in isolation in a substantially gas tight enclosure to trap gases evolved from the plant tissue;
 - removing a sample of the trapped gases;
 - measuring a concentration of at least one volatile gas in the sample by contacting the sample with a colorimetric reagent that changes colour on contact with the volatile gas; and
 - assessing viability of the plant tissue by comparing the colour change of the colorimetric reagent to a known standard.
5. A method as claimed in claim 4, wherein the plant tissue is a seed, seedling, leaf, cutting, plant, bulb or tuber.
6. A method as claimed in claim 4, wherein the plant tissue is a fruit, vegetable or flower.

- 39 -

7. A method as claimed in any one of claims 4 to 6 wherein the volatile gas is ethanol or an aldehyde.

8. A method of assessing viability of a seedling sample of a genus, species or cultivar of plant, comprising the steps of:

(a) maintaining the seedling sample in isolation in a substantially gas tight enclosure to trap gases evolved from the seedling sample;

(b) measuring a concentration of at least one volatile gas in the trapped gases by contacting the trapped gases with a colorimetric reagent that changes colour on contact with the volatile gas to be measured; and

(c) assessing viability of the seedling by comparing the concentration of volatile gas to control concentrations of the volatile gas obtained from at least one control seedling sample of known viability.

9. A method as claimed in claim 8 wherein the seedling sample is assessed as non-viable when it has a concentration of volatile gas higher than a threshold concentration measured in the control seedling samples.

10. A method as claimed in claim 9 wherein the threshold concentration is determined by:

exposing control seedling samples to different levels of stress to produce exposed seedling samples having quantitatively different levels of viability;

quantitatively measuring the concentration of at least one volatile gas evolved from the exposed seedlings as described in steps (a) and (b);

quantitatively measuring a known indicator of viability of the seedling samples and;

comparing the concentrations of volatile gas to the known indicator of viability of the exposed seedlings to determine the threshold concentration of gas above which viability is affected or the threshold concentration of gas above which the exposed seedlings are non-viable;

this unexpectedly enables the simple, reliable field determination of thresholds of viability concentrations of (various materials) in a simple on-off manner to be used for screening.

11. A method as claimed in claim 10 wherein the known indicator of viability in the exposed seedlings is quantitated based on one or more factors selected from the group consisting of root growth, shoot growth, dormancy of terminal buds and foliage damage.

12. A method as claimed in claim 10 or 11 wherein the different levels of viability in the exposed seedlings are quantitated based on one or more factors selected from the group consisting of the number of new roots, dormancy status of terminal bud, foliage damage, length of new terminal shoots, chlorophyll fluorescence and electrolyte leakage.

- 40 -

13. A method as claimed in any one of claims 10 to 12 wherein the stress is heat, cold, water deficit or pollution.
14. A method as claimed in any one of claims 10 to 13 wherein the volatile gas is ethanol and the colorimetric reagent is potassium dichromate.
15. A method as claimed in any one of claims 8 to 14 wherein the plant is a tree.
16. A method as claimed in claim 15 wherein the tree is a white spruce or a black spruce.
17. A method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 13, 15 or 16 wherein the volatile gas is ethanol or an aldehyde.

Application number / numéro de demande: 2149 508


Figures: 1, 2

Unscannable items
received with this application
(Request original documents in File Prep. Section on the 10th floor)

Documents reçu avec cette demande ne pouvant être balayés
(Commander les documents originaux dans la section de préparation des dossiers au
10ème étage)

2149508

FIGURE 3



2149508




FIGURE 4




FIGURE 5




FIGURE 6

FIGURE 7



2149508
FIGURE 10

FIGURE 8




FIGURE 11




FIGURE 9





FIGURE 12



Ethanol Concentration (ppm)

Aldehyde concentration (ppm)