

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁴ : C12Q 1/68, G01N 33/53		A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 89/ 02476
			(43) International Publication Date: 23 March 1989 (23.03.89)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US88/03195 (22) International Filing Date: 21 September 1988 (21.09.88) (31) Priority Application Number: 099,392 (32) Priority Date: 21 September 1987 (21.09.87) (33) Priority Country: US (60) Parent Application or Grant (63) Related by Continuation US 099,392 (CIP) Filed on 21 September 1987 (21.09.87) (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): ML TECHNOLOGY VENTURES, L.P. [US/US]; Merrill Lynch R&D Management Inc., North Tower, World Financial Center, New York, NY 10281-1201 (US).		(72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only) : ARNOLD, Lyle, John, Jr. [US/US]; 5439 Noah Way, San Diego, CA 92117 (US). NELSON, Norman, C. [US/US]; 3639 Marlesta Drive, San Diego, CA 92111 (US). (74) Agents: CONSALVI, Mary, S. et al.; Lyon & Lyon, 61 West Sixth Street, 34th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90017 (US). (81) Designated States: AU, DK, FI, JP, KR, NO, US. Published With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.	
(54) Title: HOMOGENEOUS PROTECTION ASSAY			
(57) Abstract			
<p>Improved homogeneous diagnostic assay methods and labels for detecting an analyte in a medium when the analyte is a member of a specific binding pair. The methods and labels provide procedures for reducing background and increasing sensitivity. The binding partner of the analyte is labeled with a substance, the stability of which detectably changes whenever said analyte is bound as a member of the specific binding pair. In a closely related system, the analyte is labeled with a substance susceptible to differential degradation depending on whether or not the analyte is bound as a member of its specific binding pair. After incubation and selective degradation or chemical or biochemical alteration, the amount of analyte bound is detected by measuring either the stability change or the extent or degradation of the label. In a particular system, chemiluminescent acridinium ester labeled probes are used in a homogeneous hybridization assay format for sensitively detecting the presence of complementary target polynucleotide sequences.</p>			

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	FR	France	ML	Mali
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	MR	Mauritania
BB	Barbados	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NL	Netherlands
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	NO	Norway
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	RO	Romania
BR	Brazil	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	LI	Liechtenstein	SN	Senegal
CH	Switzerland	LK	Sri Lanka	SU	Soviet Union
CM	Cameroon	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
DE	Germany, Federal Republic of	MC	Monaco	TG	Togo
DK	Denmark	MG	Madagascar	US	United States of America
FI	Finland				

-1-

DESCRIPTIONHomogenous Protection Assay

5

10 Background

This invention is in the art of diagnostic procedures and techniques for detecting and quantitating minute amounts of organic compounds.

More particularly, this invention relates to
15 homogeneous diagnostic assays in which there is a difference in the stability of the label in its bound, as opposed to its unbound forms. This invention relates to the construction of environments for diagnostic assay systems in which a label is differentially degraded in
20 either its complexed or bound form as compared to its uncomplexed or unbound form.

Background of the Invention

Diagnostic assays are a common analytical technique
25 for detecting, locating or quantifying biological substances by employing labelled binding reagents. The presence of the labeled reagent can then be detected using a variety of known methods. This invention can be applied to all known diagnostic assay formats, including, without
30 limitation, direct binding and competition assays and sequential saturation. One particular type of diagnostic assay is the nucleic acid hybridization assay. Hybridization assay systems are based on the fact that single stranded nucleic acids (DNA or RNA) will hybridize or
35 recombine, under appropriate circumstances, with complementary single stranded nucleic acids. By labelling the complementary probe nucleic acid with a readily detectable label, it is possible to detect the presence of the

-2-

target polynucleotide sequence of interest in a test sample containing single stranded nucleic acid sequences.

Assay systems may broadly be characterized as heterogeneous or homogeneous. The term "heterogeneous" as applied to assay systems means those specific binding assays which require a separation of the labelled from unlabeled substance to be detected. Homogeneous assays systems, on the other hand, do not involve any physical separation steps. Because homogeneous assay systems involve a minimum number of handling steps they are generally more convenient and easier to use than heterogeneous assay systems.

For example, a typical sandwich immunoassay may involve incubating an immobilized antibody with a test medium. Antigens, if in the medium, will bind to the antibody. After incubation, unbound antigen is removed in a separation step. After a second, or simultaneous incubation with a solution of labeled antibody, the bound antigen becomes "sandwiched" between the immobilized antibody and the labelled antibody. After a second separation step, the amount of labeled antibody can be determined as a measure of the antigen in the medium. This system is time consuming because it involves a series of incubation and separation steps.

A focus of effort of the prior art in diagnostic assays has been directed to developing homogenous assays and labels which can discriminate between minor differences in the amount of bound, as opposed to unbound substances of interest. One of the objects of the present invention is an assay system in which the label itself undergoes a detectable change, which may be, for example, in its ability to chemiluminesce, when it is in its bound, as opposed to when it is unbound. Another object of the present invention is an assay system in which the label is substantially degraded or destroyed in either its bound or unbound form, thereby providing a ready means for identifying and quantitating a reaction of interest.

-3-

It is an object of the present invention to disclose an improved method for sensitively detecting analytes using a homogeneous assay format. It is also an object of this invention to provide improved methods for increasing the sensitivity of assays which involve separation by combining the homogeneous method disclosed herein with other separation methods to reduce non-specific background. The principle of the invention disclosed here is based upon the differential stability of a label to chemical or biochemical reagents. Whenever certain labels are conjugated to binding partners, we have found that the stability of said labels are or may be altered when said binding partner is bound to a binding substance of said binding partner. It is also the object of this invention to disclose a method by which said differential label stability may be employed for the sensitive detection of an analyte employing a homogeneous diagnostic assay system. Yet another object of the present invention is to disclose the use of chemiluminescent acridinium ester labeled DNA probes in said homogeneous diagnostic assays for sensitively detecting the presence of complementary target polynucleotide sequences.

25 Description of the Prior Art

There are a variety of homogeneous assays in the prior art which vary in complexity. In some systems, for example, the label is a catalyst which can participate in a chemical reaction in the presence of other components, for example, enzymes, coenzymes and cofactors. In other, albeit related systems, the label is a substrate for a chemical or biochemical reaction. In these systems, the generation of a specific readily detectable substance, for example, glucose, lactate or alcohol is used to monitor and measure the target reaction. In other assay systems, the analyte possesses unique physical properties which allow it to be directly detected. Examples of

-4-

these types of labels include metals, hemoglobin and chlorophyll.

Still other homogenous assay systems are based on enzyme coupled specific binding reactions, wherein the
5 analyte is a ligand for a specific binding reaction. When the analyte is present, it undergoes a specific binding reaction with a conjugate which is comprised of a specific binding partner and a labelling substance. Either concurrently or subsequently, other substituents
10 are added which interact with the label. The activity of the label is different when the conjugate of which the label is a component, is in a complexed form verses an uncomplexed form. Such systems have typically used enzymes as the labelling reagent and substrates which
15 produce a colorimetric, fluorimetric, or chemiluminescent end point. Examples of homogeneous enzyme immunoassays include U.S. Patent Nos. 3,654,090, 3,817,837 and 4,190,496. Other examples of homogeneous assays involving the use of chromophores which make up
20 fluorescer/quencher pairs may be found in U.S. Patent Nos. 4,199,559, 4,174,384 and 4,318,707. In some systems, however, the label has been a substance other than an enzyme, for example, vitamins, NAD, FAD or biotin, which nevertheless can be coupled to a
25 "monitoring" reaction. An example of this type is U.S. Patent No. 4,383,031. In these systems, the monitoring reaction is based upon a structural change which modifies the activity of the label.

Other homogenous assay systems involve the technique
30 of polarization fluorescence. Here, the analyte competes with a low molecular weight fluorescent conjugate for binding to a high molecular weight specific binding partner. Since the polarization of the fluorescence changes when the small molecule is displaced from the
35 surface of the large molecule, it is possible to determine the amount of analyte in solution. An example of this type of assay system is U.S. Patent No. 4,668,640.

-5-

Yet another type of homogenous assay system involves non-radiative energy transfer. In these systems, the absorption of light from one molecule to another when the two molecules come into close proximity is used as the monitoring reaction. Generally, these methods have been described in two ways. In one method, the first molecule is chemiluminescent and upon excitation a portion of the electromagnetic energy generated is transferred to a second "absorber" molecule which must be in close proximity. If the energy is absorbed by the second molecule and emitted at a different wavelength, a portion of the light will show a spectral shift proportional to the number of chemiluminescent molecules in close proximity to absorber molecules.

In another type of non-radiative energy assay system, the first molecule is fluorescent and serves as an "absorber" of external light. In the presence of a second fluorescent molecule a portion of the energy is transferred and light is emitted at a different wavelength. The emitted light will show a spectral shift proportional to the number of "absorber" and "emitter" molecules in close proximity to each other.

A different type of double probe assay system is seen in U.S. Patent No. 4,670,379. The first probe is labeled with a catalyst; the second with an apoluminescer. Both probes target neighboring areas on the target nucleic acid sequence. Once both probes are hybridized together with the target, the substrate is added. The catalyst converts the substrate to a transformation radical which, in turn, converts the apoluminescer on the second probe to a luminescer. This occurs only if hybridization has taken place. Employing these principles, assays have been developed based upon two labelled substances simultaneously binding a common analyte.

A specific example of this type of energy transfer assay system is Elazar et al., European Patent Application No. 85105130.0, Publication No. 0159719 published

-6-

October 30, 1985, which discloses using two single stranded nucleic acid probes which are complementary to the same or opposite strands of the target genetic material. Each probe is labeled with a moiety capable of producing a signal only when the two labels are brought together. Unlike the invention herein described, Elazar et al. involves the formation and detection of double hybrid or multihybrids. Similarly, Heller et al., European Patent Application No. 82303699.1, Publication No. 0070685 dated January 26, 1983 and related Morrison et al., European Patent Application No. 82303700.7, Publication No. 0070686 of the same date disclose homogenous assay systems using two luminescer probes. At least one of the light labels is of the absorber/emitter type so that when the two probes are hybridized to the target, energy transfer occurs between the two labels. This causes the absorber/emitter to re-emit at a different wavelength. The second emission detects hybridization. An antigen assay of this type is disclosed in Morrisson et al. supra.

Most biological substances can not be readily detected directly at any reasonable level of sensitivity and require a binding reaction of some type. As evident from the foregoing discussion, the prior art is based on the detection of minor attenuations between bound and unbound label. The prior art systems are not capable of significant discrimination between bound and unbound label. Such assays have been found useful for detecting analytes which are present only at high concentration, for example in the monitoring of various drugs in blood and urine.

There is a need in the area of clinical diagnostics for a direct detection homogenous assay which is based on the ability of two binding partners to modify the stability of the label, for example, resulting in selective removal or destruction of label in either the bound or unbound form. While Hirschfield, in Florescence Background Discrimination by Prebleaching J.

-7-

Histochemistry and Cytochemistry, 27/1, 96-101 (1979) describes a somewhat related electrooptics technique involving photochemical bleaching which may destroy label molecules, or at least their fluorescence. The invention disclosed therein does not teach or suggest use of photochemical bleaching or any other technique for selective removal or destruction of a label in a diagnostic assay. The subject invention fulfills a present need in diagnostic assays as it is orders of magnitude more sensitive than the prior art. The range of sensitivity of the prior art is no better than the 10^{-13} mole range, while the present invention is sensitive in the 10^{-16} mole range.

15 Summary of the Invention

Briefly, this invention comprises diagnostic assays and methods. The method may be used to detect an analyte in a medium, said analyte being part of a specific binding pair. When the medium suspected of containing the analyte is combined with a binding partner, a label substance attached to the binding partner is capable of undergoing a detectable change in stability or differential degradation whenever the analyte binds to the specific binding partner. In a specific embodiment, single stranded nucleic acid probes have been modified to contain labels at virtually any desired position or location on the probe. The probe labels are of different stability or susceptible to differential degradation depending on whether the target nucleic acid sequence is hybridized to the probe.

Notably, the present invention comprises assay systems whereby the label on the bound probe is stabilized relative to the unbound probe. This stabilization may be aided by intercalation. DNA intercalating compounds, including acridinium esters, are particularly, but not exclusively, suited for use in this inventive assay system. DNA intercalators are known to bind non-covalently to duplex DNA and are characteristically flat

-8-

molecules which may insert between base pairs of the double helix of DNA. We have evidence which indicates that acridinium esters prefer to insert in regions rich in adenine and thymidine base pairs.

5 It should be understood that while the present invention hereinafter will be described with particular reference to acridinium ester labelled probes, the present invention contemplates the use of equivalent labels and assay formats which are susceptible to differential degradation or change in stability when the
10 conjugate to which the label is a component is bound. As will be explained in more detail herein, other suitable label compounds include substances which are destabilized by the amines present on nucleic acids particularly those
15 in the vicinity of the label on unhybridized probes. Moreover, label substances which can interact with hydrophobic environments, for example by intercalating between base pairs, also may be used.

 This invention has application generally to any
20 system involving the selective substantial degradation of the label when comparing its bound and unbound conjugated forms. Furthermore, because of the relative simplicity of the assay system, which does not involve any separation or washing steps, it is both faster and less expensive than conventional systems. The system can be more
25 sensitive than conventional systems in those cases in which there are large differences in stability between the bound and unbound conjugated forms of the label because virtually all of the residual or unbound label
30 conjugate is destroyed and, therefore, not detected. Finally, the system is very versatile and can be used either alone, or in combination with other separation methods to achieve very low background noise. The present invention is useful in probe diagnostics,
35 including infectious and genetic and viral disease detection and cancer diagnosis.

-9-

Brief Description of the Drawing

Figure 1 is a graphical representation of HPLC purification of an acridinium ester-labeled probe.

Figure 2 is a graphical representation of the results set forth in Example 2.

Figures 3-5 are graphical representations of the results set forth in Example 3.

Figure 6 is a graphical representation of the results set forth in Example 4.


Figure 7 is a graphical representation of the results set forth in Example 5.

Figure 8 is a graphical representation of the results set forth in Example 6.

Best Mode and Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiments


The following definitions shall be used in this description:

1. acridinium ester: derivative of acridine possessing a quaternary nitrogen center and derivatized at the 9 position to yield a labile phenyl ester moiety, specifically, 4-(2-succinimidylloxycarbonyl ethyl) phenyl-10-methylacridinium 9-carboxylate fluorosulfonate:



-10-

2. acridinium esters: moieties of the following general type:



R_1 = ALKYL, ALKENYL, ARYL, SUBSTITUTED ALKYL, SUBSTITUTED ALKENYL, SUBSTITUTED ARYL, ALKOXY, ARYLOXY, OR IS ABSENT WHEN X = HALOGEN.

R_2 = H, ALKYL, ALKENYL, ARYL, SUBSTITUTED ALKYL, SUBSTITUTED ALKENYL, SUBSTITUTED ARYL, ALKOXY, ARYLOXY, IF AND ONLY IF X = N.

R_3 = H, AMINO, HYDROXY, THIOL, HALOGEN, NITRO, AMINO, AMIDO, ACETYL, ALKYL, ALKENYL, ARYL, SUBSTITUTED ACETYL, SUBSTITUTED ALKYL, SUBSTITUTED ALKENYL, SUBSTITUTED ARYL, ALKOXY, ARYLOXY.

R_4 = ALKYL, ALKENYL, ARYL, SUBSTITUTED ALKYL, SUBSTITUTED ALKENYL, SUBSTITUTED ARYL.

X = O, N, S, HALOGEN, SUBSTITUTED PHOSPHOROUS, SUBSTITUTED SULFUR, SUBSTITUTED BORON, OR SUBSTITUTED ARSENIC.

Y = O, S, OR NH.

R_1 AND/OR R_2 AND/OR R_3 AND/OR R_4 HAS A REACTIVE SITE WHICH ALLOWS CHEMICAL CONJUGATION.

-11-

3. analyte - any substance capable of undergoing a binding reaction with one or more specific binding partners, including, without limitation, antigens and antibodies thereto, haptens and antibodies thereto; hormones, drugs, metabolites, vitamins, coenzymes and their binding partners, including receptors; polynucleotides, oligonucleotides, and hybrids of polynucleotides or oligonucleotides and antibodies and binding substances thereto; polynucleotides or oligonucleotides and hybridizable polynucleotides or oligonucleotides thereto; metals and chelating agents thereto.

4. binding partner - any molecule or substance capable of undergoing a specific binding reaction with an analyte.

5. duplex: double stranded complex formed upon the annealing of two complementary, single stranded nucleic acid molecules.

6. hybridization: the formation of stable duplexes between 2 complementary single stranded DNA or RNA molecules or between a DNA and complementary RNA molecule. Duplex formation is specific for complementary base pairs, thereby reproducing the genetic code of the specific gene hybridized.

7. bound: condition in which a binding interaction has been formed between a molecule and its specific binding partner.

8. stable: resistant to chemical or biochemical degradation, reaction, decomposition, displacement or modification.

9. stability: the resistance of a substance to chemical or biochemical degradation, reaction, decomposition, displacement or modification.

It is known that in solution acridinium esters exist in equilibrium with their corresponding bases. At high pH, base formation is favored; the quaternary nitrogen species reforms at low pH. It also is known that chemiluminescence reaction can be affected by adding base, specifically an aqueous solution of sodium hydroxide

-12-

containing hydrogen peroxide. The chemiluminescence involves attack by hydroperoxide ions on the acridinium species, which results in the formation of electronically excited N-methylacridone. See generally, Weeks et al.

- 5 Acridinium Esters as High-Specific Activity Labels in Immunoassay, Clin. Chem. 29:18, 1474-1479 (1983). The reaction is diagrammed below.

10

15

20

25

30

35

-13-

5

ACRIDINIUM ESTER REACTION SCHEME

10


15

20

25

30

35



-14-

The subject invention can be carried out as follows. First, select binding partners comprising a binding substance and one or more binding partners for the assay to be performed. These pairs may be antigens and antibodies thereto; haptens and antibodies thereto; hormones, drugs, metabolites, vitamins, coenzymes and their binding partners, including receptors; polynucleotides, oligonucleotides, and hybrids of polynucleotides or oligonucleotides and antibodies and binding substances thereto; polynucleotides or oligonucleotides, and hybridizable polynucleotides or oligonucleotides thereto; metals and chelating agents therefor.

Second, select the assay format to be used. These may be selected from formats comprising direct binding assays, competition assays, sequential saturation methods, and sandwich assays.

Third, select a label for the assay to be performed. This may be a label which can be directly or indirectly detected by colorimetric, fluorimetric, chemiluminescent, or bioluminescent means. The label should additionally have the property that it can be chemically or biochemically degraded so as to modify its ability to be detected, said degradation being possible under conditions which do not adversely effect the binding between the labeled binding partner and its binding substance and other binding partners and binding substances which may participate in the reaction. Preferred labels are ones which are affected in their ability to be detected after exposure to acids, bases, or selective oxidizing agents such as peroxidate, or enzymes.

Fourth, using chemical methods known in the art, attach the label to the binding substance at a site such that the label's sensitivity to chemical or biochemical degradation is modified upon interaction of the labeled binding partner with its specific binding substance(s). In some cases several different sites may be tested for label attachment and the site which gives the best differential degradation may be used.

-15-

Fifth, optimize the degradation conditions, be they chemical or biochemical, to give the best detection discrimination of the labeled binding partner in the presence and absence of its binding substance.

- 5 Finally, using the preselected assay format, test the ability of the assay system to detect quantitatively or qualitatively the analyte generally employing the steps of:

- a. Incubate
- 10 b. Selectively degrade
- c. Detect
- or
- a. Simultaneously incubate and selectively degrade
- b. Detect.

- 15 Employing this invention, oligonucleotide probes labelled with chemiluminescent acridinium esters are particularly useful for the detection of sequence specific polynucleotides through hybridization. Acridinium esters may be attached at a number of different sites on
- 20 DNA probes and/or mixed nucleotide/ non-nucleotide polymers as described in U.S. Patent Application Ser. No. (not yet assigned) filed September 21, 1987 entitled "Non-Nucleotide Linking Reagents for Nucleotided Probes" by Arnold, et al. This includes the ability to label the
- 25 nucleotide bases, the phosphate backbone, the sugar residues, the 3' terminus, and the 5' terminus of oligonucleotides as well as the nonnucleotide monomeric units of mixed nucleotide/non-nucleotides polymers.

- Such acridinium ester labeled probes can show
- 30 significant differential chemical stability when the probes to which they are attached are free in solution as compared to when they are hybridized. This differential stability is dependent upon the position of the acridinium ester in the probe, the nucleotide residues in the
- 35 vicinity of the acridinium ester label and the presence of other probe molecules which form stable hybrids with the target polynucleotide. A graphic representation is set forth below.

-16-

5

10


15

20

25

30

35



-17-

In the course of determining the factors which contribute to the differential stability of the acridinium ester on DNA probe molecules, we found that the free amines on the bases of unhybridized probes (both
5 nucleotide and mixed nucleotide/non-nucleotide) destabilized the acridinium ester, especially in an alkaline environment. At the same time, if the acridinium ester is near the terminus of the probe, it can also be destabilized to alkali by amines contributed
10 by target sequences once hybridization occurs. When the probe hybridizes with a sequence specific polynucleotide (binding substance) the probe amines participate in base pairing with complementary nucleotides and are restricted in their ability to destabilize the acridinium ester,
15 especially in an alkaline environment. At the same time, it was found that the acridinium ester was further stabilized against degradation by intercalation into the hybrid duplex, particularly in regions of adenine/thymidine base pair density. We have found that a number
20 of other insertion regions also give good differential hydrolysis, as explained in the following section.

There are several modes by which this invention may be applied to hybridization. These include without limitation:

- 25 1. Attaching the acridinium ester to the central region of the probe and near a region of adenine/thymidine base pairs. A preferred method is to attach an acridinium ester to a non-nucleotide monomeric unit as disclosed in U.S. Patent Application Ser. No. (not yet
30 assigned), filed September 21, 1987 entitled "Non-nucleotide Linking Reagents for Nucleotide Probes" by Arnold, et al. which is attached as an insert to nucleotide monomeric units which are complementary to immediately adjacent nucleotides of the target poly-
35 nucleotide sequence. Such placement serves to restrict the amines of the nucleotide bases on both sides of the acridinium ester and to provide a site for intercalation.

-18-

2. Attaching the acridinium ester to either the 3' or 5' terminus of the probe and restricting the effects of nearby amines contributed by the target polynucleotide with a second probe hybridized adjacent to the first. It may also be desirable if said second probe creates an A/T base pair rich region upon duplex formation. Even though this double probe or sandwich system is dependent upon the formation of two duplexes instead of only one, it may provide a method for sensitively detecting minor base pair changes which can be discriminated by very short probes i.e., probes of approximately 5-15 nucleotides in length.

Under normal circumstances such short probes have the ability to discriminate single mismatches under appropriate hybridization conditions. As a practical matter, however, they are not used because they are not sufficiently specific for single polynucleotide sites. That is, the short probe may hybridize several specific sites contained within a multitude of complex DNA or RNA sequences present. With the added requirement, that two different probes must hybridize immediately to adjacent polynucleotide regions, such regions can be specifically targeted and identified. Thus, the benefits of short probe discrimination may be utilized while maintaining the specificity of the region spanned by both probes.

3. Attaching the acridinium ester at or near the site of a mismatch with a polynucleotide sequence that is not the desired target polynucleotide. In this manner discrimination between polynucleotide sequences differing by only one nucleotide can be achieved, since the area of the duplex around a mismatch site is sufficiently destabilized to render the acridinium ester (if it is attached at or near this site) susceptible to degradation.

4. Attaching acridinium ester to a probe region in order to monitor the local stability of a probe-target hybrid. In this manner, the acridinium ester can serve to closely monitor the local hybrid stability under

-19-

conditions in which the entire hybrid duplex doesn't meet but under which the local hybrid region partially melts.

A preferred mode for the above three formats is to conduct the differential hydrolysis step at the same temperature as the hybridization step, typically at 50 to 70°C.

Another mode is to conduct a second differential hydrolysis step at room temperature. This allows pH's in the range of 10-11 to be used, yielding even larger differences in the rate of hydrolysis between hybridized and unhybridized acridinium ester-labeled probe.

Experimental Methods and Materials

The following examples are offered by way of illustration and not by way of limitation. These examples are based on currently available data and the most likely explanations of the phenomenon. Other factors and methods may become evident with more research. Although the invention has been described in detail by way of illustration and examples using acridinium ester labels, certain changes and modifications may be practiced within the scope of the claims and other label systems also may be employed within the scope of the claims.

Example 1.

CONSTRUCTION OF ACRIDINIUM ESTER-LABELED PROBES


A. Synthesis and Purification of Amine Linker-Arm Probes

Deoxyoligonucleotide probes were synthesized to contain an amine linker-arm (i.e., one which terminates in a primary amine for labeling with acridinium ester) located either at the 5'-terminus, at a specific preselected location along the polyphosphate chain, in the internal portion of the probe or attached to one of the nucleotide bases.

(i)

To attach a 5'-amine linker-arm to a probe, the following compound was used:

-20-




(1)

5

This compound will heretofore be referred to as terminal amine linker-arm reagent. This reagent was synthesized as follows: 6-amino hexanol was reacted with S-ethyltrifluorothioacetate in anhydrous ethylacetate. The reaction product was precipitated in petroleum ether, treated with a 10% pyridine in water mixture for 10 minutes to hydrolyze any O-trifluoroacetyl which may have formed, and evaporated to dryness in the form of a gum. This compound was then phosphitylated according to standard protocols within the literature (see Nucleic Acids Research, 12 (11), 4539 (1984)) to yield the desired compound, namely, terminal amine linker-arm reagent (1).

Probes containing a 5'-amine linker-arm were synthesized as follows. Using an Applied Biosystems, Inc. Model 380A DNA synthesizer, probes of desired nucleotide sequence were produced using standard phosphoramidite chemistry, building the probes from the 3' end to the 5' end. After the desired sequence was completed, the amine linker-arm was automatically coupled to the 5'-hydroxyl group of the probe using the terminal amine linker-arm reagent in the same way another phosphoramidite nucleoside would have been coupled. Using standard protocols, the probe was then cleaved from the solid support and deprotected using NH_4OH and purified by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis followed by Sephadex G-25 chromatography.

The following probe was synthesized and purified using this procedure: ⁹


$$5' - \text{NH}_2 - (\text{CH}_2)_6 - \text{GCTCGTTGCGGGACTTAACCCAACAT} - 3'$$

where $\text{NH}_2-(\text{CH}_2)_6$ represents the amine linker-arm.

-21-

(ii)

To incorporate an amine linker-arm into the internal portion of a probe, internal amine linker-arm reagent, type 1 (4 atom spacer; see L1 in patent cited below), or type 2 (2 atom spacer; see L3 in patent cited below), or L7 (9 atom spacer) was used as described in U.S. Patent App. Ser. No. 099,050 entitled "Non-Nucleotide Linking Reagents for Nucleotide Probes" filed September 21, 1987 by Arnold, et al. Again, probes were synthesized using standard phosphoramidite chemistry and purified using polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and Sephadex G25 chromatography.

The following probes were synthesized using this procedure:

1.) A 30mer complementary to the 16S subunit of rRNA from E. coli, with an internal amine linker-arm, type 1, replacing an adenine residue at position 18 in the sequence:

20

Replaced with an internal amine linker arm, type 1

5'-CCA CTG CTG CCT CCC GTA GGA GTC TGG GCC-3'.

2.) A 33mer complementary to the 16S subunit of rRNA from Chlamydia trachomatis, with an internal amine linker-arm, type 1, replacing an adenine residue at position 21 in the sequence,

30

Replaced with an internal amine linker arm, type 1

5'-CGT TAC TCG GAT GCC CAA ATA TCG CCA CAT TCG-3',

or inserted between residues 21 and 22,

An internal amine linker-arm, type 1 or type 2, inserted

35

5'-CGT TAC TCG GAT GCC CAA ATA TCG CCA CAT TCG-3'.

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

-22-

(iii)

Nucleotide bases containing amine linker-arm were incorporated into a probe as follows. Template and primer oligonucleotides with the following sequences were synthesized:

Template 3' - GCA ATG AGC CTA CGG GTT TAT AGC GG - 5'

Primer 5' - CGT TAC TCG GAT GCC CAA AT - 3'

(The primer and extended primer sequences are complementary to the 16S subunit of C. trachomatis.)

Primer extension using the Klenow fragment was performed as described in Maniatis (Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual, T. Maniatis, 1982, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratories, Pubs.) with the exception that the BSA was omitted from the 10X nick translation buffer, to incorporate the amine linker-arm modified base amino (12) dUTP (Calbiochem, California). The sequence of the resulting oligomer is, therefore:

CGT TAC TCG GAT GCC CAA ATA (amino-12-U)CG CC.

20

Purification of the primer extended complex was achieved using a NENSORB-20 cartridge (DuPont) following the procedure recommended by the manufacturer. (The primer extension reaction was diluted by the addition of 900 µl of NENSORB reagent A prior to loading on the column. The purified oligomers were eluted with 50% methanol which was then removed in a speed-vac.)

B. Labeling of Amine Linker-Arm Probe with Acridinium Ester and Subsequent Purification

A 25mM stock solution of acridinium ester was prepared in distilled DMSO. The desired amount of probe (see a listing of the different probes labeled in section A above) was evaporated to dryness in a 1.5 ml conical polypropylene tube. The following cocktail was constructed by adding the following ingredients in the order listed:

-23-

3 μ l H₂O1 μ l 1M HEPES (pH 8.0)4 μ l DMSO (distilled)2 μ l 25mM acridinium ester in DMSO (distilled)

- 5 The mixture was vortexed, spun in a microcentrifuge for 2 seconds (to bring the contents to the bottom of the tube), and incubated at 37°C for 20 minutes. The following components were then added to the reaction cocktail in the order listed:

10

3.0 μ l 25mM acridinium ester in DMSO (distilled)1.5 μ l H₂O0.5 μ l 1M HEPES (pH 8.0)

- The cocktail again was vortexed, spun, and incubated an
15 additional 20 minutes at 37°C. The unreacted label was quenched using a 5-fold excess of lysine by adding 5 μ l of 0.125M lysine in 0.1M HEPES (pH 8.0), 50% DMSO, and incubated 5 minutes at room temperature.

- The acridinium ester-labeled oligomer was then
20 purified using the following method. To the 20 μ l quenched reaction mixture 30 μ l 3M NaOAc (pH 5.0), 245 μ l H₂O and 5 μ l glycogen was added as a carrier (the glycogen was pre-treated to remove any nuclease activity). The sample was vortexed briefly and 640 μ l of
25 absolute EtOH added. The sample was vortexed briefly and incubated on ice 5-10 minutes, then centrifuged 5 minutes at 15,000 rpm in a microcentrifuge. The supernatant was carefully removed and the pellet was redissolved in 20 μ l of 0.1M NaOAc (pH 5.0), 0.1% SDS. The samples were
30 then purified by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) as described below.

(i)

- The AE-labeled probes containing the 5' and internal
35 amine linker-arms were purified as follows: the 20 μ l redissolved pellet was injected onto a a Nucleogen-DEAE 60-7 ion-exchange HPLC column mounted in an IBM® 9533 HPLC system. All buffers were made with HPLC grade

-24-

water, acetonitrile (CH_3CN) and sodium acetate (NaOAc) from Fisher Scientific, and reagent grade glacial acetic acid (HOAc) and LiCl . All buffers were filtered through $0.45\mu\text{m}$ pore size Nylon-66 filters before use. The sample
5 was eluted as described in Figure 1 of the drawing (in this case the probe was the 5'-amine linker-arm containing 26mer described in Section A above). Immediately after the run, $5\mu\text{l}$ of 10% SDS was added to each tube followed by vortexing of each tube (this was done to
10 ensure that the acridinium ester-labeled probe did not stick to the walls of the tube). A $0.5\mu\text{l}$ aliquot was removed from fractions 21-42 and added to $200\mu\text{l}$ water in a $12\times 75\text{mm}$ tube (a separate pipet tip was used for each aliquot to avoid a carryover problem). The chemilumines-
15 cence of each aliquot was then determined in a Berthold Clinilumat using the following automatic injection and read sequence: injection of $200\mu\text{l}$ of 0.25N HNO_3 , 0.1% H_2O_2 ; a 1 second delay; a $200\mu\text{l}$ injection of 1N NaOH ; read chemiluminescent output for 10 seconds.

20 Fractions 29-33 were then EtOH precipitated as follows: Add to each fraction $5\mu\text{l}$ glycogen, vortex, add 1 ml EtOH, vortex, incubate 5-10 minutes on ice, and centrifuge 5 minutes at $15,000\text{ rpm}$ in a microcentrifuge. Each supernatant was carefully removed, the pellet
25 redissolved in $20\mu\text{l}$ 0.1M NaOAc , $\text{pH } 5$, 0.1% SDS, and the fractions pooled.

In this manner, highly pure acridinium ester-labelled probes were obtained. The specific activity of such probes was typically $5\text{-}10\times 10^7$ chemiluminescent light
30 counts (Berthold Clinilumat) per picomole of oligomer.

(ii)

The AE-labeled probe containing the amine linker-arm modified base (amino-12-U) was purified generally as
35 described above, with the following exceptions: A Vydac C4 reverse-phase column was used; buffer A was 0.1M triethylammonium acetate (Applied Biosystems, Inc., Foster City, California) and buffer B was CH_3CN ; the labeled

-25-

probe was eluted as a hybrid using a linear gradient for 10-15% solvent B in 25 minutes at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. The main chemiluminescent peak was then identified and worked up as described above.

5 The preceding discussion generally describes how to make and label probes with acridinium ester. In the specific example herein, probes were end and internal labeled, as well as labeled in a nucleotide base.

10.6 Example 2.

STABILITY AT pH 6 OF HYBRIDIZED VERSUS UNHYBRIDIZED
PROBE INTERNALLY LABELED WITH ACRIDINIUM ESTER

An internally labeled 33mer probe specific for Chlamydia trachomatis was prepared as previously described (adenine replacement, type 1 linker-arm). The probe was hybridized with its target rRNA (in this case Chlamydia trachomatis) according to the following procedure:

Hybridization mixture

20 2 μ l AE-probe (0.5 pmol)
 0.3 μ l 4% (wt:vol) SDS
 5.8 μ l 1M PB, pH 5
 4.4 μ l C. trachomatis rRNA (2 μ g), or 4.4 μ l H₂O for
 control.

25 The hybridization and control mixtures were incubated 40 minutes at 60°C, at which point each mixture was analyzed for percent hybridization using hydroxyapatite (HAP) as follows. A 0.1μl aliquot of the hybrid or control mixture was added to 200μl of 0.14M PB, pH 6.8, 30 containing 2% HAP. Each resulting mixture was vortexed 5 seconds, incubated 5 minutes at 60°C, vortexed 20 seconds, then centrifuged 30 seconds in a microcentrifuge at 15,000 rpm. The supernatant was removed and saved, 200μl of 0.14M PB, pH 6.8, was added to the HAP pellet, 35 the mixture was vortexed 10 seconds, then centrifuged 30 seconds in a microcentrifuge at 15,000 rpm. The supernatant was removed and saved and the pellet was resuspended in 200μl 0.14M PB, pH 6.8. A 50μl aliquot of

-26-

the resuspended HAP and each of the 2 supernatants were analyzed for chemiluminescence as described below, and the percent hybridized probe, i.e., the percent chemiluminescent signal associated with the HAP, was calculated.

5 The stability of hybridized probe versus unhybridized probe (i.e., control) was tested at pH 6 according to the following procedure:

Stability test mixture

10 12.3µl hybrid or control from above
50µl 1M PB, pH 6
2.5µl 4% SDS
65µl H₂O

These mixtures were vortexed briefly, and 5 μ l aliquots were removed immediately (t_0) and analyzed for chemiluminescence as described below. The remainder of the mixtures were incubated at 60°C, and 5 μ l aliquots were removed at various time points (see below) and analyzed immediately for chemiluminescence.

20 The chemiluminescence of each sample was measured by adding a sample aliquot to 200 μ l H₂O in a 12x75mm tube and measuring chemiluminescence in a Clinilumat (automatic injection of 200 μ l 0.25N HNO₃, 0.1% H₂O₂ followed after a 1 second delay, by auto-injection of 25 200 μ l 2M potassium PB, pH 13.2, and reading of chemiluminescence for 10 seconds).

RESULTS:

1. Percent Hybridization (HAP analysis)

30 Hybrid - 96%
 Control - 1.3% (non-specific binding)

2. Stability time course

See Fig. 2 of the drawing.

These results demonstrate that the hybridized probe
35 is well protected against breakdown and subsequent loss
of chemiluminescence (half-life for loss of chemi-
luminescence equal to 3370 minutes), whereas unhybridized
probe is very susceptible to breakdown and loss of

-27-

chemiluminescence (half-life is equal to 29.2 minutes; therefore, discrimination between hybridized and unhybridized is equal to 115-fold). These data demonstrate the ability of the homogeneous assay herein described to
5 locate target DNA sequences by imparting differential stability between hybridized and unhybridized probe and measuring same.

Example 3.

10 STABILITY AT pH 9 OF HYBRIDIZED VERSUS UNHYBRIDIZED
PROBE INTERNALLY LABELED WITH ACRIDINIUM ESTER

Internally labeled probe (all 3 internally labeled 33mer probes described in Example 1 were tested) was hybridized with its target rRNA (in this case Chlamydia
15 trachomatis) and analyzed for percent hybridization as described in Example 2.

The stability of hybridized versus unhybridized probe (i.e., control) was tested at pH 9 according to the following protocol:

20 Stability test mixture

5µl hybrid or control from above

45µl 0.2M sodium borate, pH 9

The mixtures were then incubated, sampled and analyzed for chemiluminescence as described in Example 2.

25

RESULTS:

1. Percent Hybridization (HAP analysis)

a. Adenine replacement, type 1 linker-arm

Hybrid - 95%

30

Control - 0.5% (non-specific binding)

b. Insertion, type 1 linker-arm

Hybrid - 98%

Control - 0.3%

35

c. Insertion, type 2 linker-arm

Hybrid - 98%

Control - 0.2%

-28-

2. Stability time course

See Figs. 3-5 of the drawing. Fig. 3 is a graph for adenine replacement, type 1 linker-arm; Fig. 4 is a graph of insertion, type 1 linker-arm; Fig. 5 is a graph of insertion, type 2 linker-arm.

As in Example 2, hybridized probe is protected from degradation whereas unhybridized probe is not. This is true for all three types of internally labeled probes. In this example, sodium borate at elevated pH is shown to accelerate this process (as compared with example 2) while still retaining the differential degradation characteristics between hybridized and unhybridized probe.

15

Example 4.

STABILITY AT pH 6 OF PROBE END LABELED WITH ACRIDINIUM
ESTER AS HYBRID WITH ADJACENT PROBE VERSUS NON-HYBRID

This example involves use of a probe of sequence 5'-
20 CCG GAC CGC TGG CAA CAA AGG ATA AGG GTT GC-3' (prepared
using standard phosphoramidite chemistry) which
hybridizes to E. coli rRNA immediately adjacent to the 5'
end of the AE-labeled probe used in this example (see
below), thereby leading to the "capping off" of all the
25 amines in the target rRNA in the vicinity of the
acridinium ester label.

Probe end-labeled with acridinium ester (preparation
described in Example 1) (hereinafter referred to as AE-
probe) and the adjacent probe described above were
30 hybridized according to the following procedure:

Hybridization mixture		Control mixture	
1μl	AE-probe (.25 pmol)	1μl	AE-probe (.25 pmol)
2μl	<u>E. coli</u> rRNA (2ug)	5.4μl	H ₂ O
4.3μl	adjacent probe (12 pmol)	5.8μl	1M PB, pH 5
35 0.3μl	4% SDS	0.3μl	4% SDS
7.5μl	1M PB, pH 5		

The hybridization and control mixtures were incubated 40 minutes at 60°C, and then analyzed for

-29-

percent hybridization using hydroxyapatite as described in Example 2.

The stability of the hybridized probe with adjacent probe versus unhybridized probe (i.e., control) was tested at pH 6 exactly as described in Example 2.

RESULTS:

1. Percent hybridization (HAP analysis)

Hybrid - 93.5%

Control - 2.7% (non-specific binding)

2. Stability time course.

See Fig. 6 of the drawing.

As in Example 2, hybridized AE-probe, in this case with an adjacent probe also hybridized, was protected from degradation whereas unhybridized probe was not. The protection was as good as in Example 2, because it is dependent on two hybridations instead of one.

Example 5.

20 STABILITY AT pH 9 OF PROBE END LABELED WITH ACRIDINIUM ESTER AS HYBRID WITH "ADJACENT" PROBE VERSUS NON-HYBRID.

Hybridization was carried out exactly as described in Example 4. Stability of hybridized probe with adjacent probe versus unhybridized probe (i.e., control) was tested at pH 9 exactly as described in Example 2, except the composition of the stability test mixture, which was as follows:

5 μ l hybrid or control

50 μ l 0.2M sodium borate, pH 9.0

30 Hybridized AE-probe with an adjacent probe (also hybridized) again was protected from degradation whereas unhybridized probe was not. As in Example 3, sodium borate at elevated pH accelerated the process while still retaining the differential degradation characteristics between hybridized and unhybridized probe. See Fig. 7 for a graphical representation of these results.

-30-

Example 6.

STABILITY AT pH 6 OF PROBE END LABELED WITH
ACRIDINIUM ESTER AS HYBRID VERSUS NON-HYBRID.

Probe was hybridized to its target rRNA (in this
5 case E. coli) according to the following procedure:

	<u>Hybridization mixture</u>	<u>Control mixture</u>
	1 μ l AE-probe (.25 pmol)	1 μ l AE-probe (.25 pmol)
	2 μ l <u>E. coli</u> rRNA (2 μ g)	5.4 μ l H ₂ O
	5.8 μ l 1M PB, pH 5	5.8 μ l 1M PB, pH 5
10	0.3 μ l 4% SDS	0.3 μ l 4% SDS
	3.4 μ l H ₂ O	

The hybridization and control mixtures were
incubated 40 minutes at 60°C, and then analyzed for
percent hybridization using hydroxyapatite as described in
15 Example 2.

The stability of the hybridized probe versus
unhybridized probe (i.e., control) was tested at pH 6
exactly as described in Example 2.

20 RESULTS:

1. Percent hybridization

Hybrid - 94%

Control - 2.7% (non-specific binding)

25 2. Stability time course

See Fig. 8 of the drawing.

Unhybridized probe again was preferentially degraded
as compared to hybridized probe, although the differen-
tial in degradation is not as great as in previous
30 examples. This is because only a portion of the amines
were "capped off" in the vicinity of the acridinium ester
label. Indeed, this additionally demonstrates the
ability to discriminate between hybridized end-labeled
probe in the presence and absence of hybridized adjacent
35 probe.

-31-

Example 7.

STABILITY AT pH 7.6 OF HYBRIDIZED VERSUS UNHYBRIDIZED
PROBE LABELED ON A NUCLEOTIDE BASE WITH ACRIDINIUM ESTER

The probe labeled on a nucleotide base (amino-12-U)
5 with acridinium ester described in Example 1, was
hybridized with its target rRNA (in this case C.
trachomatis) according to the following procedure:

Hybridization Mixture

0.1M lithium succinate, pH 5.4
10 10% lithium lauryl sulfate
2 µg (1.3pmol) C. trachomatis rRNA or
water for control
0.1 pmol AE- probe
Total volume - 30 µl

15 The hybridization and control mixtures were
incubated 5 minutes at 80°C, followed by 60 minutes at
60°C. The resulting solutions were each diluted to
300 µl with 0.1M lithium succinate, pH 5.4, 10% lithium
lauryl sulfate, and analyzed for percent hybridization
20 using hydroxyapatite as described in Example 2.

The stability of hybridized versus unhybridized
probe (i.e., control) was tested at pH 7.6 with several
identically prepared samples according to the following
protocol:

25 Stability test mixture

15 µl hybrid or control from above
100 µl 0.2% sodium tetraborate, pH 7.6, 5% Triton X-
100

These mixtures were then incubated at 60°C, and
30 samples were removed at various time points and chemi-
luminescence was determined using a Gen-Probe LeaderTM I
Luminometer employing the automatic injection of 200 µl
of 0.1M H₂O₂, a 1-second delay, the automatic injection
of 200 µl of 1N NaOH, and reading of chemiluminescence
35 for 5 seconds. From these data the rates of hydrolysis
were determined by regression analysis.

-32-

Results:

1. Percent Hybridization (HAP Analysis)

Hybrid - 53.8%

Control - 0.5%

5 2. Stability

Half-life of ester hydrolysis (min)		Ratio of half-lives
<u>Hybrid</u>	<u>Control</u>	<u>(Hybrid/Control)</u>
13.6	1.3	10.5

As in preceding examples, these data demonstrate
 10 that hybridized probe is protected against degradation
 whereas unhybridized probe is not, in this case with the
 label attached to a base of the probe. This demonstrates
 the principle that different types of sites are accept-
 able for AE attachment for use in the homogeneous
 15 protection assay described herein.

Example 8.

STABILITY AT pH 7.6 OF HYBRIDIZED VERSUS UNHYBRIDIZED
 PROBES INTERNALLY LABELED WITH ACRIDINIUM ESTER
 20 AT A VARIETY OF SEQUENCE DIMER SITES USING BOTH
 rRNA AND DNA TARGETS

Probes containing the internal linker-arm, type L7,
 inserted at a variety of sequence dimer sites (see below)
 were synthesized, labeled with AE, and purified as
 25 described in Example 1. The sequence and linker-arm
 locations of these probes are as follows:

<u>Probe No.</u>	<u>Sequence; linker-arm location (#)</u>
1	5' -GCT CGC TGC GGA CTT#AAA CCA ACA T-3'
2	5' -AGG TCG GTC T#TT CTC TCC TTT CGT CTA CG-3'
30 3	5' -CAA TCG TCG AAA CCA TT#G CTC CGT TCG A-3'
4	5' -CCG CTA#CCC GGT ACG TT- 3'
5	5' TTG CCC ACA CCG A#CG GCG- 3'
6	5' -TTG CCC ACA CCG C#CG GCG- 3'

These probes were hybridized as described in Example
 35 7 with the exception that the 80°C incubation step was
 omitted, and the amounts and types of target nucleic
 acids used were as follows:

-33-

<u>Probe #</u>	<u>Target Nucleic Acid</u>
1	1 µg of <u>E. coli</u> rRNA
2 & 3	1 µg of <u>C. trachomatis</u> rRNA
4	1 µg of <u>N. gonorrhoeae</u> rRNA
5 5 & 6	1.2 pmol of the exact synthetic DNA complement

The stability of hybridized versus unhybridized probe was tested at pH 7.6 as described in Example 7.

RESULTS:

10

Stability

Ratio of half-lives

<u>Half-life of ester hydrolysis (min)</u>			<u>Hybrid/Control</u>
<u>Probe#</u>	<u>Hybrid</u>	<u>Control</u>	
1	41.2	0.94	43.7
15 2	24.2	0.71	34.5
3	40.7	0.96	42.4
4	15.7	1.2	13.1
5	11.1	1.0	11.1
6	22.6	0.74	30.5

20

These data demonstrate that the linker-arm (and therefore the AE) can be inserted at a wide variety of sequence dimer sites and still be substantially protected against ester hydrolysis in the hybridized form. Furthermore this example demonstrates that DNA targets

25

provide good protection of hybridized AE-probes when employed with these homogeneous assay formats. This example also demonstrates that the long (9 atom) linker-arm used here yields differential hydrolysis ratios essentially equivalent to the other linker-arms cited

30

earlier in this patent establishing that a wide variety of linker-arm lengths can be used in the HPA format described herein.

35

-34-

Example 9.

STABILITY AT pH 7.6 OF INTERNALLY LABELED AE-PROBE
HYBRIDIZED WITH PERFECTLY MATCHED TARGET VERSUS
TARGET WITH A SINGLE MISMATCH

5. A 24mer probe complementary to the 16S subunit of rRNA from E. coli, with an internal amine linker-arm, type L7, inserted between residues 6 and 7 was synthesized. The probe was then labeled with acridinium ester and purified and described in Example 1. The sequence is as follows (# = linker-arm position):

5' -CAA GCT# TGC CAG TAT CAG ATG CAG- 3'

This probe has a single, T-G mismatch at position 6 (numbered from the 5' end of the probe strand) with the 16S subunit of C. diversius.

- 15 The probe was hybridized with its target rRNA (in this case either E. coli or C. diversius) according to the procedure described in Example 8. The stability of the resulting hybridized probes, as well as a sample of unhybridized probe was tested at pH = 7.6 as described in Example 7.

Stability

Ratio of half-lives

<u>Half-life of ester hydrolysis (min)</u>			<u>Hybrid/Control</u>
<u>Target</u>	<u>Hybrid</u>	<u>Control</u>	
<u>E. coli</u>	18.6	0.8	23.3
<u>C. diversius</u>	1.1*	0.8	1.4

- * The low half-life of ester hydrolysis was not due to low hybridization extent, as HAP analysis (as described in Example 2) revealed 73% hybridization.

- These data show that in the case of the hybrid with the perfectly matched target the AE-probe is protected from ester hydrolysis (as described in previous examples), whereas in the hybrid with the target containing a single base mismatch the AE-probe is poorly protected. This gives rise to a 17-fold difference in hydrolysis rate of the AE-probe between the perfect target and a single site mismatch target. Therefore, the

-35-

method described herein is readily able to discriminate between nucleic acid targets containing single base differences.

5

Example 10.

STABILITY AT ROOM TEMPERATURE UNDER VARIOUS
CONDITIONS OF HYBRIDIZED VERSUS UNHYBRIDIZED
PROBE INTERNALLY LABELED WITH ACRIDINIUM ESTER

Internally labeled probe (insertion, type 1; see
10 Example 1) was hybridized with 1 μ g of C. trachomatis
rRNA as described in Example 8. The stability of the
hybridized versus unhybridized probe was measured at room
temperature under a variety of reagent and pH conditions
(see "Results") according to the procedure described in
15 Example 7.

RESULTS:

	BUFFER	pH	HALF LIVES (MINUTES)		CONTROL	HALF LIFE RATIO
			TEMP	HYBRID		
20	0.2M borate 5% Triton	7.6	60°C	34.13	0.89	38.08
	0.2M borate 5% Triton	7.6	40°C	272	7.5	36.26
25	0.2M borate 5% Triton	7.6	Room Temp.	2307.7	61	37.82
	0.2M borate 5% Triton	9.0	Room Temp.	468.75	7.7	60.87
	0.2M borate 5% Triton	10.0	Room Temp.	98.36	1.3	73.78
30	0.2M borate 5% Triton	11.0	Room Temp.	5.6	0.79	7.08
	50mM phytic acid, 0.05% SDS	10.0	Room Temp.	145.48(fast)* 398.55(slow)*	2.18	66.73(fast)* 182.82(slow)*
35	50mM phytic acid, 0.05% SDS	11.0	Room	175.44	1.31	133.92

-36-

*In the phytic acid system, the hydrolysis kinetics were biphasic. "Fast" refers to the early, fast phase of hydrolysis, and "slow" refers to the later, slow phase of hydrolysis.

5 These data demonstrate that there are a wide variety of conditions under which the homogeneous protection assay described herein will function, making it adaptable to a wide range of assay conditions. Furthermore, systems other than borate are acceptable, for example,
10 the phytic acid system at room temperature where very high half-life ratios are achieved.

Example 11.

15 DETECTION OF A DILUTION SERIES OF CHLAMYDIA
 rRNA IN BUFFER USING PROBE INTERNALLY LABELED
 WITH ACRIDINIUM ESTER

 Internally labeled probe (as in Example 2) was hybridized to decreasing amounts of its target rRNA (in this case Chlamydia trachomatis) according to the
20 following procedure:

Hybridization mixture

 0.5 μ l probe (12.5 fmol)
 1 μ l RNA (10^{-2} , 10^{-3} , 10^{-4} or 10^{-5} μ g)
 2 μ l 20% SDS
25 1.7 μ l H₂O
 4.8 μ l 1M PB, pH 6.8

 The control mixture was the same as hybridization mixture except that it contained water instead of rRNA, and the reagent blank mixture was the same as the control
30 mixture except that it contained water instead of probe. The mixtures were incubated 20 minutes at 60°C.

 After hybridization, 90 μ l of 0.2M borate, pH 9, was added to each sample, followed by incubation at 60°C for 14 minutes. Each sample was then read for chemiluminescence as described in Example 2, except that injection 1
35 was 0.1% H₂O₂ only, injection 2 was pH 13.8 instead of 13.2, and the read time was 7 seconds instead of 10 seconds.

-37-

RESULTS:

Reagent blank - 116 rlu

Control - 124 rlu

5

Minus control10⁻⁵ μ g rRNA - 126 rlu

2 rlu

10⁻⁴ μ g rRNA - 210 rlu

86 rlu

10⁻³ μ g rRNA - 1100 rlu

976 rlu

10⁻² μ g rRNA - 7809 rlu

7685 rlu

10 Reagent blank and control represent average of triplicates; all others represent average of duplicates.

These results demonstrate that the invention described herein was able to detect a linear dilution series of target rRNA to a limit of sensitivity of about
 15 10⁻⁴ μ g in a pure buffer system.

Example 12.

DETECTION OF A DILUTION SERIES OF CHLAMYDIA rRNA IN
 CLINICAL MEDIA USING PROBE INTERNALLY LABELED WITH
 20 ACRIDINIUM ESTER

Internally labeled probe (as in Example 2) was hybridized in clinical specimen (throat swab) to decreasing amounts of its target rRNA (in this case Chlamydia trachomatis) according to the following
 25 procedure:

Hybridization mixture50 μ l throat swab6 μ l 4.8M PB, pH 4.7

30 2 μ l rRNA (3x10⁻⁴, 3x10⁻³, 3x10⁻² or 3x10⁻¹ μ g)

2 μ l probe (1 pmol)

Control mixture was the same as hybridization mixture except that it contained water instead of rRNA, and the reagent blank mixture was the same as control
 35 mixture except that it contained water instead of probe. The mixtures were incubated 60 minutes at 60°C.

After hybridization, one third of each mixture (20 μ l) was added to 50 μ l 0.2M borate, final pH = 9 (after

-38-

addition of hybridization mixtures), followed by incubation at 60°C for 40 minutes. Each sample was then read for chemiluminescence as described in Example 11.

5 RESULTS:

Reagent blank	-	163 rlu
Control	-	6560 rlu

			<u>Minus control</u>
10	10^{-3} μ g rRNA	-	8535 rlu 1975
	10^{-2} μ g rRNA	-	27306 rlu 20746
	10^{-1} μ g rRNA	-	258194 rlu 251634

Data represent average of duplicate values.

These data demonstrate that the invention described herein was able to detect a linear dilution series of target rRNA to a limit of sensitivity of about 10^{-3} μ g in a system containing clinical media.

Example 13.

20 DETECTION OF A DILUTION SERIES OF BACTERIAL
rRNA IN URINE USING PROBE INTERNALLY LABELED
WITH ACRIDINIUM ESTER

An internally labeled 30mer probe specific for E. coli was prepared as previously described (adenine replacement, type 1 linker-arm). The probe was hybridized in urine to decreasing amounts of its target rRNA (in this case E. coli) according to the following procedure:

30 Hybridization mixture
79.6 μ l urine
0.4 μ l 0.25M EDTA, 0.25M EGTA
10 μ l 4.8M PB, pH 6.8
5 μ l 20% SDS

35 5 μ l probe (.125 pmol)
1 μ l RNA (10^{-3} , 10^{-2} , or 10^{-1} μ g)

Control mixture was the same as hybridization mixture except that it contained water instead of rRNA,

-39-

and the reagent blank mixture was the same as control mixture except that it contained water instead of probe. The mixtures were incubated 30 minutes at 60°C.

After hybridization, 300 μ l 0.2M borate, pH 9, was added to each sample, followed by incubation at 60°C for 16 minutes. Each sample was then read for chemiluminescence as described in Example 11.

RESULTS:

10	Reagent blank	-	6845 rlu
	Control	-	9250 rlu

Minus Control

15	10 ⁻³ μ g rRNA	-	9358 rlu	8 rlu
	10 ⁻² μ g rRNA	-	14055 rlu	4805 rlu
	10 ⁻¹ μ g rRNA	-	61800 rlu	52550 rlu

Results represent the average of duplicate values.

20 These data demonstrate that the invention described herein was able to detect a linear dilution series of target rRNA to a limit of sensitivity of approximately 5x10⁻³ μ g RNA in a system containing urine.

25 Example 14.

SELECTIVE DEGRADATION USED IN COMBINATION WITH A
SEPARATION STEP FOR DETECTION OF A DILUTION SERIES
OF CHLAMYDIA rRNA IN CLINICAL MEDIA USING PROBE
INTERNALLY LABELED WITH ACRIDINIUM ESTER

30 Internally labeled probe (as in Example 2) was hybridized in clinical specimen (throat swab) as described in Example 8, including control and blank mixtures. After hybridization, one-third of each mixture was removed and subjected to selective degradation
35 exactly as described in Example 8, while one-third was simply removed and allowed to stand at room temperature (i.e., no selective degradation). Both sets of samples, namely, with and without selective degradation, were then

-40-

subjected to separation of hybridized from unhybridized probe using HAP as described in Example 2 with the following exceptions;

- a. 1 ml of HAP solution was used (instead of 200 μ l),
- 5 b. 1 ml of wash solution was used (instead of 200 μ l),
- c. 3 washes were performed (instead of 1),
- d. The final HAP pellets were resuspended in 100 μ l of wash solution, the entirety of which was
- 10 measured for chemiluminescence (as described in Example 2).

RESULTS:

SELECTIVE DEGRADATION					
15	Minus	S:B	Plus	S:B	
	Control (no rRNA)	18	--	4.7	--
	10 ⁻³ μ g rRNA	27	1.5	10	2.1
	10 ⁻² μ g rRNA	117	6.5	70	15
	10 ⁻¹ μ g rRNA	933	52	591	126
20	0.33 μ g rRNA	2756	153	1755	373

Results represent the average of duplicative values with reagent blank already subtracted. S:B = signal to background ratio, i.e., chemiluminescence at a particular rRNA concentration divided by chemiluminescence of control.

- 25 These data demonstrate that the addition of selective degradation before separation results in lower backgrounds leading to higher signal to background ratios, and therefore improved sensitivity.

30

Example 15.

IMPROVED STRINGENCY USING DIFFERENTIAL HYDROLYSIS

- The following probe was constructed to contain an internal linker-arm, type L7 (position of insertion indicated by # below), labeled with acridinium ester, and
- 35 purified as described in Example 1:

ATT CCG CAC A#TG TCA AAA CCA G

This probe is exactly complementary to the 16S subunit of Neisseria gonorrhoeae. However, it is also

-41-

closely related to N. meningitidis, and a cross-reaction is typically observed under the hybridization stringency conditions cited in Examples 2 and 8. This probe was hybridized with 0.5 µg N. meningitidis as described in
5 Example 8, (except in a 200 µl format), then either incubated for 10 minutes at 60°C in 1 ml of 0.2M sodium tetraborate, pH 7.6, 5% Triton X-100 to effectuate differential hydrolysis (+ D.H.), or not subjected to these differential hydrolysis conditions (-D.H.). The
10 resulting hybrids were then separated on magnetic microspheres and measured for chemiluminescence as described below.

Add 1 ml of 0.4M PB, pH 6.0, containing 150 µg of magnetic amine microspheres (BioMag M4100, Advanced
15 Magnetix, Inc., Cambridge, Mass.). Vortex 10 seconds. Incubate at 60°C for 5 minutes. Vortex 10 seconds. Magnetically separate the spheres from the solution using the Pace-Mate™ magnetic separation rack (Gen-Probe, Inc., San Diego, CA). Discard liquid. Wash the spheres by
20 adding 1 ml of 0.3M PB, pH 6.0, vortexing for 10 seconds, magnetically separating and discarding the liquid. Repeat for a total of three washes. Elute the hybrid by adding 300 µl of 0.2M PB, pH 6.0, 50% formamide, vortexing 10 seconds, incubating at 60°C for 5 minutes, vortexing
25 ing for 10 seconds, and magnetically separating the spheres from the solution. Transfer the solution to a Clinilumat tube and measure chemiluminescence as described in Example 7.

30 RESULTS:

<u>Condition</u>	<u>Chemiluminescence*</u>
- D.H.	178,034
+ D.H.	748

*Hybrid signal minus control (i.e., no rRNA) signal,
35 given in relative light units (rlu). Signal from the exact target (i.e., N. gonorrhoeae) in this format is typically 7-10 X 10⁶ rlu.

-42-

In conclusion, application of the differential hydrolysis technique described herein as an additional stringency discrimination step greatly reduces signal from undesired, cross-reacting sequences. In this example cross-reaction of a N. gonorrhoeae probe with N. meningitidis was lowered greater than 200-fold. The differential hydrolysis step increases sensitivity to unstable hybrids, which are in equilibrium with unhybridized probe, by constantly reducing the chemiluminescence (via hydrolysis) of the probe when it is in the unhybridized form, thus lowering the chemiluminescence due to cross reaction.

Example 16.

15 DETECTION OF A CHIMERIC TARGET SEQUENCE ASSOCIATED
WITH CHRONIC MYELOGENOUS LEUKEMIA USING A PROBE
INTERNALLY LABELED WITH ACRIDINIUM ESTER

A 24mer probe (sequence given below) with an internal linker-arm, type L7, inserted as indicated was synthesized, labeled with AE and purified as described in Example 1. This probe is complementary to the chimeric mRNA transcript (common break) associated with chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML) and will be called the bcr/abl probe. This chimeric mRNA is a product of the chimeric gene formed by the translocation of a region of the abl gene on chromosome 9 into a region of chromosome 22 containing the bcr gene.

Synthetic DNA 60mer targets representing the breakpoint junction region of the bcr/abl chimeric target, as well as the normal bcr and abl targets in that same region, were synthesized as described in Example 1 (sequences given below). Also, an mRNA target was produced by transcription of a pGEM clone containing a 450 nucleotide segment of the chimeric bcr/abl gene centered around the breakpoint. An mRNA transcript of the same 450 nucleotide segment in the probe sense was also produced as a negative control.

-43-

BCR/ABL PROBE SEQUENCE

5'-CCGCTGAAGGGCTTTT*GAACTCTGC-3'

SYNTHETIC TARGET SEQUENCE

BCR/ABL TARGET

5 5'ACTCAGCCACTGGATTTAAGCAGAGTTCAAAGCCCTTCAGCGGCCAGTAGCATCTGACT-3'

ABL TARGET

5'-ACTCAGCCACTGGATTTAAGCAGAGTTCAATCTGTACTGCACCCTGGAGGTGGATTTCCT-3'

The asterisk inserted above denotes a linker-arm
insertion site for acridinium ester attachment and the
10 underline indicates abl sequences.

The bcr/abl probe was hybridized with approximately
1 pmol of each of the targets listed above as described
in Example 8, and the stability of these hybrids and the
unhybridized probe was tested at pH 7.6 as described in
15 Example 7.

RESULTS:

	<u>half-life (min)</u>	<u>ratio*</u>	
Targets:	bcr/abl mRNA	27.7	39.6
20 bcr/abl DNA		15.0	21.4
Controls:	probe sense mRNA	0.7	1
abl DNA		0.7	1
bcr DNA		0.7	1
Unhybridized probe		0.7	--

25 *Target or control half-life divided by unhybridized
probe half-life.

These data demonstrate that an AE-labeled probe
designated to span the breakpoint junction region of the
chimeric bcr/abl mRNA transcript associated with CML was
30 able to discriminate between chimeric target and normal
sequences (as well as unhybridized probe) using the HPA
technology described herein. This is a demonstration
that the method of the invention can be used to specific-
ally detect chimeric targets (typically associated with
35 genetic disorders) in the presence of the normal, non-
chimeric component nucleic acids.

This example further demonstrates that targets other
than rRNA-in this case both mRNA and DNA - afford

-44-

protection against AE hydrolysis when hybridized to AE-labeled probe.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

-45-

Claims

1. A method for determining in a medium the presence or amount of an analyte which combines with a binding partner to form a binding pair, which method
5 comprises:

a) combining with said medium, or a portion thereof, (1) a moiety comprising the binding partner, the analyte or an analog of the analyte which forms a binding pair with the binding partner, said
10 moiety being conjugated to a substance whose stability differs when the moiety is a member of a binding pair as compared to its stability in an unbound form; and (2) if said moiety is said analyte or the analog, combining in the medium said binding partner;

15 b) selectively degrading the less stable form of the substance; and

c) relating the amount of intact substance remaining after said substance degradation to the presence or amount of analyte in the medium.

20

2. A method for determining in a medium the presence or amount of an analyte which combines with a binding partner to form a binding pair, which method comprises:

25 a) combining with said medium, or a portion thereof, said binding partner which is conjugated to a substance whose stability differs when said binding partner is a member of a binding pair as compared to its stability in an unbound form;

30 b) selectively degrading the less stable form of the substance; and

c) relating the amount of intact substance remaining after said substance degradation to the presence or amount of analyte in the medium.

35

3. A method for determining in a medium the presence or amount of an analyte which combines with a

-46-

binding partner to form a binding pair, which method comprises:

- a) combining with said medium, or a portion thereof, (1) a moiety comprising the analyte or
5 an analog of the analyte which forms a binding pair with the binding partner, said moiety being conjugated to a substance whose stability differs when the moiety is a member of a binding pair as compared to its stability in an unbound form; and (2) said binding partner;
- 10 b) selectively degrading the less stable form of the substance; and
- c) relating the amount of intact substance remaining after said substance degradation to the presence or amount of analyte in the medium.

15

4. A method for determining in a medium the presence or amount of an analyte which combines with a binding partner to form a binding pair, which method comprises:

- 20 a) combining with said medium, or a portion thereof, a first binding partner to said analyte, said first binding partner being conjugated to a substance whose stability differs when said first binding partner is a member of a binding pair as compared to its
25 stability in an unbound form; and (2) a second binding partner to said analyte being different from said first binding partner;
- b) selectively degrading the less stable form of the substance; and
- 30 c) relating the amount of intact substance remaining after said substance degradation to the presence or amount of analyte in the medium.

5. A method for detecting a nucleotide sequence
35 in medium, which comprises:

- a) combining with said medium, or a portion thereof, said nucleotide sequence and a probe substantially complementary thereto, said probe being

-47-

conjugated to a substance whose stability differs when said probe is hybridized to said nucleotide sequence as compared to its stability in an unhybridized form;

b) selectively degrading the less stable
5 form of the substance; and

c) relating the amount of intact substance remaining after said substance degradation to the presence or amount of nucleotide sequence in a medium.

10

6. A method for determining in a medium the presence or amount of an analyte which combines with a binding partner to form a binding pair, which method comprises:

15 a) combining with said medium, or a portion thereof, (1) a moiety comprising a first binding partner to said analyte, or an analog of said first binding partner which forms a binding pair which participates in a binding reaction, said moiety being
20 conjugated to a substance whose stability differs when the moiety is a member of a binding pair as compared to its stability in an unbound form; and (2) a second binding partner to said analyte different from said first binding partner in said binding reaction;

25 b) selectively degrading the less stable form of the substance; and


c) relating the amount of intact substance remaining after said substance degradation to the presence or amount of analyte in the medium.

30

7. A method as in one of Claims 1-6 in which the substance is an acridinium ester.

8. A method as in one of Claims 1-6 in which
35 the substance is a member selected from the group consisting of:

-48-



5

wherein X comprises a member of the group
 10 consisting of O, N, S, halogen, substituted phosphorous,
 substituted sulfur, substituted boron, or substituted
 arsenic;

wherein Y comprises a member of the group
 consisting of O, S, or NH;

15 wherein R₁ comprises a member of the group
 consisting of alkyl, alkenyl, aryl, substituted alkyl,
 substituted alkenyl, substituted aryl, alkoxy, aryloxy,
 or is absent when X is a halogen;

wherein R₂ comprises a member of the group
 20 consisting of H, alkyl, alkenyl, aryl, substituted
 alkenyl, substituted aryl, alkoxy or aryloxy if and only
 if X is N;

wherein R₃ comprises a member of the group
 consisting of H, amino, hydroxy, thiol, halogen, nitro,
 25 amido, acetyl, alkyl, alkenyl, aryl, substituted acetyl,
 substituted alkyl, substituted alkenyl, substituted aryl,
 alkoxy, or aryloxy;

wherein R₄ comprises a member of the group
 consisting of alkyl, alkenyl, aryl, substituted alkyl,
 30 substituted alkenyl or substituted aryl; and

wherein at least one of R₁, R₂, R₃ or R₄
 contains a reactive site which allows chemical
 conjugation.

35 9. The method of claim 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or
 6 having the further step of separation wherein the
 homogeneous component is used to improve the stringency
 and/or to reduce backgrounds.

-49-

10. The method of claim 9 wherein the separation step is selected from the group consisting of gel filtration, hydroxyapatite, magnetic microspheres, cationic separation supports, separation membranes and
5 antibody-based separation supports.

11. The method of claim 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 performed at room temperature.

10 12. Use of the method of claim 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 for detection of chimeric target nucleic acid sequences.

13. Use of the method of claim 1 or 2 or 3 or 4
15 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 with a probe capable of discriminating between target nucleic acid sequences and non-target sequences which differ from said target nucleic acid sequences by a single nucleotide within the region of hybridization.

20

14. A single stranded polymeric sequence for use in a homogeneous assay, comprising at least two nucleotide monomers or at least one nucleotide monomer and one non-nucleotide monomer, said sequence having at least one
25 sterically tolerant label attachment site capable of permitting hybridization of said polymeric sequence to a substantially complementary nucleic acid sequence.

15. The single stranded polymeric sequence of
30 claim 14 wherein said sterically tolerant site is located at the 3' terminus of said polymeric sequence.

16. The single stranded polymeric sequence of claim 14 wherein said sterically tolerant site is located
35 at the 5' terminus of said polymeric sequence.

-50-

17. The single stranded polymeric sequence of claim 14 wherein said sterically tolerant site is located on the nucleotide base of one of the nucleotide monomers comprising said polymeric sequence.

5

18. The single stranded polymeric sequence of claim 14 wherein said sterically tolerant site is located on the phosphate backbone of one of the nucleotide monomers comprising said polymeric sequence.

10

19. The single stranded polymeric sequence of claim 14 wherein said sterically tolerant site is located on one of the sugars on one of the nucleotide monomers comprising said polymeric sequence.

15

20. The single stranded polymeric sequence of claim 14 wherein said sterically tolerant site is located on one of the non-nucleotide monomers comprising said polymeric sequence.


20

21. The single stranded polymeric sequence of claim 14 or 15 or 16 or 17 or 18 or 19 or 20 wherein said site is labeled with an acridinium ester.

25

22. The single stranded polymeric sequence of claim 14 or 15 or 16 or 17 or 18 or 19 or 20 wherein said site is labeled with a member selected from the group consisting of:

30



35

wherein X comprises a member of the group consisting of O, N, S, halogen, substituted phosphorous,

-51-

substituted sulfur, substituted boron, or substituted arsenic;

wherein Y comprises a member of the group consisting of O, S, or NH;

5 wherein R1 comprises a member of the group consisting of alkyl, alkenyl, aryl, substituted alkyl, substituted alkenyl, substituted aryl, alkoxy, aryloxy, or is absent when X is a halogen;

10 wherein R2 comprises a member of the group consisting of H, alkyl, alkenyl, aryl, substituted alkenyl, substituted aryl, alkoxy or aryloxy if and only if X is N;

15 wherein R3 comprises a member of the group consisting of H, amino, hydroxy, thiol, halogen, nitro, amido, acetyl, alkyl, alkenyl, aryl, substituted acetyl, substituted alkyl, substituted alkenyl, substituted aryl, alkoxy or aryloxy;

20 wherein R4 comprises a member of the group consisting of alkyl, alkenyl, aryl, substituted alkyl, substituted alkenyl or substituted aryl; and


wherein at least one of R1, R2, R3 or R4 contains a reactive site which allows chemical conjugation.

25 23. A single stranded polymeric sequence for use in a homogeneous assay comprising monomeric units which may be nucleotide monomeric units or non-nucleotide monomeric units or a mixture thereof, wherein a label is attached to at least one monomeric unit at a serically
30. tolerant site.

24. The single stranded polymeric sequence of claim 23 wherein the label is an acridinium ester.

35 25. The single stranded polymeric sequence of claim 23 wherein the label is a member selected from the group consisting of:

-52-



5

wherein X comprises a member of the group
 10 consisting of O, N, S, halogen, substituted phosphorous,
 substituted sulfur, substituted boron, or substituted
 arsenic;

wherein Y comprises a member of the group
 consisting of O, S, or NH;

15 wherein R1 comprises a member of the group
 consisting of alkyl, alkenyl, aryl, substituted alkyl,
 substituted alkenyl, substituted aryl, alkoxy, aryloxy,
 or is absent when X is a halogen;

wherein R2 comprises a member of the group
 20 consisting of H, alkyl, alkenyl, aryl, substituted
 alkenyl, substituted aryl, alkoxy or aryloxy if and only
 if X is N;

wherein R3 comprises a member of the group
 consisting of H, amino, hydroxy, thiol, halogen, nitro,
 25 amido, acetyl, alkyl, alkenyl, aryl, substituted acetyl,
 substituted alkyl, substituted alkenyl, substituted aryl,
 alkoxy or aryloxy;

wherein R4 comprises a member of the group
 consisting of alkyl, alkenyl, aryl, substituted alkyl,
 30 substituted alkenyl or substituted aryl; and

wherein at least one of R1, R2, R3 or R4
 contains a reactive site which allows chemical
 conjugation.

35 26. The single stranded polymeric sequence of
 claim 24 wherein the acridinium ester is attached to at
 least one non-nucleotide monomeric unit of said polymeric
 sequence.

-53-

27. The single stranded polymeric sequence of claim 24 wherein the label is attached to at least one non-nucleotide monomeric unit of said polymeric sequence.

5 28. The single stranded polymeric sequence of claim 24 wherein the acridinium ester is attached to at least one non-nucleotide monomeric unit which replaces at least one originally present monomeric unit of said polymeric sequence.

10

29. The single stranded polymeric sequence of claim 24 wherein the label is attached to at least one non-nucleotide monomeric unit which replaces at least one originally present monomeric unit of said polymeric
15 sequence.

30. The single stranded polymeric sequence of claim 24 wherein the acridinium ester is attached to at least one non-nucleotide monomeric unit which is inserted
20 between two originally present adjacent nucleotide monomers of said polymeric sequence.

31. The single stranded polymeric sequence of claim 25 wherein the label is attached to at least one
25 non-nucleotide monomeric unit which is inserted between two originally present adjacent nucleotide monomers of said polymeric sequence.

32. Method of using the polymeric sequences of
30 claim 26 or 27 or 28 or 29 or 30 or 31 to detect local hybrid stability within a probe-target duplex using differential degradation.

33. The method of claim 12 for the detection of
35 chronic myelogenous leukemia.

1/8





FIG. 1.


SUBSTITUTE SHEET

2/8

*FIG. 2.*

SUBSTITUTE SHEET


3/8

*FIG. 3.*


SUBSTITUTE SHEET

4/8

INTERNAL LABELLED PROBE, INSERTION, TYPE 1


*FIG. 4.*

5/8

*FIG. 5.*

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

6/8

*FIG. 6.*

7/8




FIG. 7.

8/8

END LABELLED PROBE WITHOUT ADJACENT PROBE





FIG. 8.

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No. PCT/US88/03195

I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER (if several classification symbols apply, indicate all) ⁶		
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC		
IPC(4) C12Q 1/68; G01N 33/53		
U.S. CL.: 435/6. 7		
II. FIELDS SEARCHED		
Minimum Documentation Searched ⁷		
Classification System	Classification Symbols	
U.S.	436/501 435/6.7	935/78
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched ⁸		
Computer Search: CAS; BIOSIS: APS DATA Bases		
III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT ⁹		
Category *	Citation of Document, ¹¹ with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ¹²	Relevant to Claim No. ¹³
X Y	EP, A, 0,164,054 (CETUS CORPORATION) 11 December 1985 see abstract.	1-6, 9-13 <u>23</u> 32, 33
X Y	EP, A, 0,212,951 (Integrated Genetics,) 04 March 1987 see abstract	14-33 <u>7, 8</u>
X Y	US, A, 4,018,530 (T. HIRSCHFELD) 19 April 1977, see column 1, column 3, claim 8	1-13, <u>22, 23</u>
X Y	Journal of Histochemistry and Cytochemistry, vol. 27 no. 1 1979 pages 96-101, US, T. Hirschfeld: "Fluorescence Background Discrimination by Prebleaching" see pg 97-99 and 101.	1-13 <u>22, 23</u>
Y	Clinical Chemistry, vol. 29, no. 8 1983 pages 1474-1479, Ian Weeks et al. "Acridinium Esters as High-Specific-Activity Labels in Immunoassay" see abstract.	7, 8
<p>* Special categories of cited documents: ¹⁰</p> <p>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</p> <p>"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date</p> <p>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</p> <p>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</p> <p>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</p> <p>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</p> <p>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step</p> <p>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.</p> <p>"&" document member of the same patent family</p>		
IV. CERTIFICATION		
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search	Date of Mailing of this International Search Report	
03 January 1989 (03.01.89)	15 FEB 1989	
International Searching Authority	Signature of Authorized Officer	
ISA/US	 SCOTT A. CHAMBERS	

III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT (CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET)

Category *	Citation of Document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to Claim No
<u>X</u> Y	US, A, 4,358,535 (Falkow et al) 09 November 1982 see abstract.	<u>14,17-32</u> <u>15,16</u>
<u>X</u> Y	US, A, 4,581,333 (Kourilsky et al) 08 April 1986 see abstract.	<u>14,17-32</u> <u>15,16</u>
X	EP, A, 0,119,448 (Wakunaga Seiyakw Kabushiki Kaisha) 26 September 1984 see abstract	14-32
Y	Nucleic Acids Research, vol. 11 no. 18, 1983 pages 6513-6529, Oxford, England; B.C.F. Chu et al.: "Derivatization of Unprotected Polynucleotides" see page 6514.	16
<u>X</u> Y	Nature, vol. 313, 07 February 1985 pages 495-498, Basingstoke UK; R.M. Myers et al.: "Detection of Single Base Substitutions in Total Genomic DNA" see abstract.	<u>1-6,9-13</u> <u>7,8,23,</u> <u>34,33</u>
<u>X</u> Y	Science, vol. 230, 13 December 1985 pages 1242-1246, Washington, D.C., U.S.; R.M. Myers et al.: "Detection of Single Base Substitutions by Ribonuclease Cleavage at Mismatches in RNA:DNA Duplexes" see page 1243.	<u>1-6,9-13</u> <u>7,8,23,32</u>