



(51) International Patent Classification:
G01R 33/34 (2006.01) **G01R 33/20** (2006.01)
A61B 5/05 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/AU2010/000365

(22) International Filing Date:
30 March 2010 (30.03.2010)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
2009901386 31 March 2009 (31.03.2009) AU

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **THE UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND** [AU/AU]; St Lucia, Queensland 4072 (AU).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **CROZIER, Stuart** [AU/AU]; 60 Main Avenue, Wilston, Queensland 4051 (AU). **TRAKIC, Adnan** [AU/AU]; 157 Bambrook Street, Taigum, QLD 4018 (AU). **WEBER, Ewald** [DE/AU]; 19 Hilltop Crescent, Alexandra Hills, QLD 4161 (AU). **LI, Bing Keong** [SG/AU]; 23 Cape Nelson Way, Springfield Lakes, QLD 4300 (AU). **WANG, Hua** [CN/AU]; Unit 3, 173 Macquarie Street, St Lucia, QLD 4067 (AU).

(74) Agents: **DAVIES COLLISON CAVE** et al.; Level 3, 303 Coronation Drive, Milton, Queensland 4064 (AU).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RS, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

(54) Title: COIL ARRANGEMENT




Fig. 2

(57) Abstract: A coil arrangement for use in a magnetic resonance imaging system, the imaging system being for generating a magnetic imaging field in an imaging region, the coil arrangement including at least three coils for at least one of transmitting, receiving or transceiving an electromagnetic field, each coil being provided on a coil geometry and being substantially orthogonal.

COIL ARRANGEMENT

Background of the Invention

The present invention relates to a coil arrangement for use in a magnetic resonance imaging system, a method for use in determining a coil arrangement for use in a magnetic resonance
5 imaging system and in particular to a coil arrangement having mutually orthogonal coils.

Description of the Prior Art

The reference in this specification to any prior publication (or information derived from it), or to any matter which is known, is not, and should not be taken as an acknowledgment or admission or any form of suggestion that the prior publication (or information derived from
10 it) or known matter forms part of the common general knowledge in the field of endeavour to which this specification relates.

In MRI, when a substance such as the human tissue is exposed to a strong and uniform polarizing static magnetic field B_0 , the spins of abundant water hydrogen protons in the tissue align their individual magnetic moments along the magnetic field B_0 , in a parallel or anti-
15 parallel energy state. There then exists a net magnetic moment of the spin ensemble that is directed along the polarizing flux (low energy or parallel state) and precesses at the characteristic *Larmor* frequency.

If a spatially homogeneous RF field, B_1 , oscillating near the *Larmor* frequency is imposed on the imaged tissue perpendicular to the polarizing field B_0 , the net longitudinal magnetization, M_z , may be 'tipped' or rotated into the plane (x-y) perpendicular to the magnetic field B_0 to
20 generate a net transverse magnetization (or the excited state). When the RF field B_1 is expired, the net magnetization relaxes back to its original low energy state that existed before the RF field B_1 was applied by emitting energy in the form of an RF-signal, or colloquially *free induction decay* (FID), that may be captured and digitally processed to form an MR
25 image.

An RF transmit coil emits the B_1 field in an imaging region of interest when driven by a computer controlled RF transceiver unit. A whole body birdcage RF body coil is commonly

- 2 -

equipped in most commercial MRI systems. However, the large size of this RF coil produces a lower signal to noise ratio (SNR) if it is also used for reception, mainly because of its greater distance from the signal generating tissues being imaged. Instead, dedicated and conformal RF coils are often used for reception to enhance the SNR highly desirable in MRI.

5 These dedicated RF coils, which can be volumetric type of RF coils or multi-element RF coil arrays, are specially designed to be placed near to or conform to the anatomical part or shape that is of interest. Hence, the noise that is received by these dedicated RF coils is predominantly from the dielectric samples and thus the amount of noise received will dramatically be reduced and SNR increased.

10 Although volumetric type of RF coils or multi-element RF coil arrays can be used, RF coil arrays, such as those described in US-4825162, assigned to General Electric Company, have become more desirable. This is primarily because RF coil arrays can achieve higher SNR as compared to volumetric type of RF coils. They can be used for partial parallel imaging applications to reduce MR imaging time. RF coil arrays can be also used to perform RF field
15 focusing, which can increase the quality of image obtained for local regions of interest, as described in International patent application WO2006094354. Lastly, RF coil arrays can be used for partial parallel transmission techniques such as Transmit SENSE [Katscher *et al*, Magn Reson Med 49(1) pg 144-150, 2003], which can potentially ameliorate high-field RF inhomogeneity effects.

20 Regardless of the many advantages that can be gained from using multi-element RF coil arrays, one common criterion in designing RF coil arrays is that some form of mutual decoupling schemes has to be incorporated to decouple the coil elements. A multi-element RF coil array usually suffers from strong mutual coupling between individual coil elements and some of the undesirable effects include difficulty in tuning, reduced SNR and RF field
25 distortion causing image artefacts. Hence, minimizing mutual coupling is vitally important.

A number of methods have been suggested to minimize mutual coupling. Examples include a counter wound inductor decoupling method described in "A Novel 8-Channel Transceive Volume-Array for a 9.4T Animal Scanner" by Ewald Weber, Bing Keong Li, Feng Liu, Yu Li, Peter Ullmann, Hector Sanchez and Stuart Crozier, Proc. of the 16th Annual Meeting of ISMRM, 2008;
30 pp151, the overlapping of adjacent coils, as described for example in US-4825162, and the

- 3 -

use of low input impedance pre-amplifiers and employing capacitive decoupling networks, as described in US-7091721.

There are some constraints, however, in using these decoupling methods. The overlapping of adjacent coils sacrifices the area of coverage, lumped-element decoupling networks have
5 limitations on their decoupling power and the use of low input impedance preamplifiers can limit power transfer and limit the use of the phased array coils to receive only (i.e not suitable for transceive operation).

Summary of the Present Invention

The present invention seeks to substantially overcome, or at least ameliorate, one or more
10 disadvantages of existing arrangements.

In a first broad form the present invention seeks to provide a coil arrangement for use in a magnetic resonance imaging system, the imaging system being for generating a magnetic imaging field in an imaging region, the coil arrangement including at least three coils for at least one of transmitting, receiving or transceiving an electromagnetic field, each coil being
15 provided on a coil geometry and being substantially orthogonal.

Typically the coil arrangement includes a coil support for supporting the coils, the coil support having a surface shape corresponding to the coil geometry.

Typically the coil geometry is determined depending on the imaging to be performed.

Typically the coil geometry conforms to a shape of at least a part of a subject to be imaged.

20 Typically the coil geometry is rotationally symmetric about a coil geometry axis.

Typically the coils are elliptical.

Typically each coil is aligned with a respective one of three orthogonal planes.

Typically the coils are provided circumferentially spaced around a coil geometry axis.

Typically the coils are azimuthally spaced by 120°.

25 Typically the coils are aligned at an angle to a coil geometry axis.

- 4 -

Typically the coils are aligned at an angle of approximately 35.3° to the coil geometry axis.

Typically the coil geometry is at least one of:

- a) spherical;
- b) hemispherical;
- 5 c) cylindrical;
- d) conical; and,
- e) ellipsoidal.

Typically each coil being provided at an intersection between the coil geometry and the orthogonal planes.

- 10 Typically the coil arrangement includes a mutual decoupling means for mutually decoupling the coils.

Typically, in use, the coils are aligned at an angle offset to an imaging field direction.

Typically, in use, the coils are provided at an angle of approximately 54.7° to an imaging field direction.

- 15 Typically the coils are arranged to reduce mutual coupling between the coils.

Typically the coil arrangement is for use in imaging by modulating/encoding a transmitted or received electromagnetic field.

- 20 A coil arrangement for use in a magnetic resonance imaging system, the imaging system being for generating a magnetic imaging field in an imaging region, the coil arrangement including:

- a) a coil support; and,
- b) at least three coils for at least one of transmitting, receiving or transceiving an electromagnetic field, the coils being supported by the coil support and being substantially orthogonal.

- 25 Typically the coil support has a surface shape corresponding to a coil geometry.

- 5 -

In a second broad form the present invention seeks to provide a method of determining a coil arrangement for in a magnetic resonance imaging system, the imaging system being for generating a magnetic imaging field in an imaging region, the method including:

- a) determining a coil geometry;
- 5 b) arranging three orthogonal planes so that each of the planes intersects the coil geometry; and,
- c) determining a coil arrangement for each of three coils in accordance with an intersection of a respective plane with the coil geometry.

Typically the method includes determining the coil geometry in accordance with a shape of at least a part of a subject to be imaged.

Typically the coil geometry conforms to the shape of at least a part of a subject to be imaged.

Typically the coil geometry is at least one of:

- a) spherical;
- b) hemispherical;
- 15 c) cylindrical;
- d) conical; and,
- e) ellipsoidal.

Typically the coil geometry is rotationally symmetric about a coil geometry axis.

Typically the method includes arranging the planes so that coils are elliptical.

Typically the method includes arranging the planes so that coils are circumferentially spaced around a coil geometry axis.

Typically the method includes arranging the planes so that the coils are azimuthally spaced by 120°.

Typically the method includes arranging the planes at an angle to a coil geometry axis.

Typically the planes are arranged at an angle of approximately 35.3° to the coil geometry axis.

Brief Description of the Drawings

An example of the present invention will now be described with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which: -

Figure 1 is a schematic diagram of an example of an imaging system;

5 Figure 2 is a schematic diagram of an example of a 3-element RF coil array structure;

Figure 3A is a flow chart of an example of a process for determining a coil arrangement;

Figure 3B is a schematic diagram of an example of a processing system;

Figure 4A is a schematic diagram of a simulated example of a 3-element orthogonality knee coil array loaded with a homogenous cylindrical phantom;

10 Figure 4B is a schematic diagram of a simulated example of a conventional 3-element knee coil array loaded with a homogenous cylindrical phantom;

Figure 5A is a picture of an example of a 3-element orthogonality knee coil array;

Figure 5B is a picture of an example of a conventional 3-element knee coil array;

15 Figures 6A and 6B are graphs of examples of measured S-parameters for the 3-element knee coil array of Figure 5A;

Figures 7A and 7B are graphs of examples of measured S-parameters for the conventional 3-element knee coil array of Figure 5B;

Figure 8A is a schematic diagram of an example of the position of the knee coils arrays of Figures 5A and 5B relative to a B_0 field;

20 Figures 8B and 8C are diagrams of example experimentally acquired axial-plane MR images of a cylindrical phantom for the 3-element knee coil arrays of Figure 5A and 5B respectively;

Figures 8D and 8E are diagrams of example simulated MR images of the cylindrical phantom arrangement of Figures 4A and 4B respectively;

25 Figures 9A and 9B are schematic diagrams of examples of the position of the knee coils arrays of Figures 5A and 5B at angles of 30° and 90° relative to a B_0 field;

Figures 9C and 9D are diagrams of example experimentally acquired coronal and axial slice MR images of the cylindrical phantom using the knee coil array of Figure 5A positioned at 30° and 90° , respectively;

30 Figures 9E and 9F are diagrams of example experimentally acquired coronal and axial slice MR images of the cylindrical phantom using the knee coil array of Figure 5B positioned at 30° and 90° , respectively;

- 7 -

Figures 10A and 10B are diagrams of examples of experimentally acquired coronal and sagittal slice MR images of a male volunteer's left knee using the knee coil array of Figure 5A;

Figures 10C and 10D are diagrams of examples of experimentally acquired coronal and sagittal slice MR images of a male volunteer's left knee using the conventional knee coil array of Figure 5B;

Figure 11A is a diagram of an example of experimentally acquired MR images of an adult pig's knee with the knee coil array of Figure 5A positioned at 40°, 55° 60° and 70° with respect to the B_0 field;

Figure 11B is a diagram of an example of experimentally acquired MR images of an adult pig's knee with the conventional 3-element knee coil array of Figure 5B positioned at 40°, 55° 60° and 70° with respect to the B_0 field;

Figure 12 is a graph of measured signal intensity plots of the patellar ligament for the images of Figures 11A and 11B;

Figure 13A is schematic diagram of an example of a 3-element orthogonality breast coil array for MRI breast imaging applications;

Figure 13B is schematic diagram of an example of a 3-element orthogonality intravenous coil; and,

Figure 13C is schematic diagram of an example of a 6-element orthogonality intravenous coil.

Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiments

An example of a magnetic resonance (MR) imaging system will now be described with reference to Figure 1.

In this example, the MR system includes a main or primary shimmed magnet 101, three principal axis gradient coils 102, a patient/sample bed 108 and MR instrumentation 109. In use, the main magnet 101 is adapted to generate a substantially homogeneous magnetic field over an imaging region 111, which contains a subject, such as at least part of a patient or sample. The subject is then exposed to an RF field, allowing MRI to be performed. The workings of these components are substantially identical to those of contemporary systems, and will not therefore be described in any further detail.

- 8 -

The RF field is typically transmitted and received by one or more RF coil arrays 103. In one example, separate coil arrays may be used for transmitting and receiving the RF field, whilst in another example a single transceive coil array could be used.

5 An example of a coil array including orthogonally arranged coils (generally referred to as an orthogonality coil array) will now be described with reference to Figure 2.

In this example, the coil array is based on a cylindrical coil geometry 201, which typically corresponds to the shape of a coil support, on which coils 202, 203, 204 are to be provided. The coils 202, 203, 204 have elliptical shapes, thereby allowing the coils 202, 203, 204 to conform to the cylindrical geometry 201 and remain mutually orthogonal. In this example,
10 the three elliptical coils 202, 203, 204 are arranged 120° apart azimuthally and tilted to an angle of 54.7° with respect to an XY plane arranged perpendicularly to an axis 205 of the cylindrical coil geometry 201.

Accordingly, in the above described arrangement the three elliptical coils 202, 203, 204 are arranged orthogonally to one another.

15 Arranging the coils orthogonally reduces mutual coupling between the coils. This can be used to avoid the need for mutual decoupling schemes to be employed to decouple the RF coils, thereby simplifying the resulting MR apparatus.

In addition, orthogonal coil arrays can be positioned arbitrarily relative to the main static magnetic field (B_0) whilst maintaining normal operation and without any loss of efficiency
20 and functionality. Hence, orthogonal coil arrays are capable of being used with any horizontal, vertical bore and open MRI systems. This provides clinical convenience for scanning anatomies that are not necessarily aligned with the B_0 field of a MRI system.

The orthogonal coil arrays can suitably be used for the design of transmit and/or receive RF coil arrays for human/animal MRI and/or magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS)
25 applications.

Furthermore, in one example, the orthogonal relationship of the coils can assist in performing magic angle MRI applications, which can enhance the image intensity of structured collagen fibres, as will be described in more detail below.

An example process for determining an orthogonal coil arrangement will now be described with reference to Figure 3A.

In this example, at step 300, a coil geometry is determined. This may be achieved in any suitable manner, and may depend for example on the design of MR apparatus in which the coil array is to be used. Typically however, the coil geometry is determined at least in part based on the intended imaging application, and in particular, is selected to conform to a shape of part of a subject to be imaged. Thus, for example, a cylindrical geometry is particularly suited for imaging limbs, as it allows the limb to be placed inside the coil support, whilst minimising the distance between the coils and the limb. However, for other body parts, different coil geometries can be used. Example coil geometries can include, but are not limited to:

- spherical;
- hemispherical;
- cylindrical;
- conical; and,
- ellipsoidal.

It will be appreciated from this that the use of a cylindrical coil geometry in the above example is for the purpose of illustration only, and is not intended to be limiting. Specific example geometries and their applications will be described in more detail below.

At step 310, three orthogonal planes are arranged so that each plane intersects the coil geometry, allowing the coil arrangement to be determined at step 320, with each coil location being based on the intersection of a respective plane with the coil geometry.

In one example, the above described process is performed at least in part utilising a processing system, an example of which will now be described with reference to Figure 3B.

In this example the processing system 350 includes a processor 351, a memory 352, an input/output device, such as a keyboard and mouse 353, and an optional external interface 354 coupled together via a bus 355. The optional external interface may be used to connect the processing system 350 to peripheral devices, such as communications networks, remote databases, or the like.

- 10 -

In use, the processor 351 typically executes applications software stored in the memory 352, to allow the processor 351 to perform required calculations and/or display coil arrangements, coil geometries and orthogonal planes, or the like. This can include, for example, allowing a user to define a coil geometry, displaying a representation of the coil geometry and associated orthogonal planes, thereby allowing the user to manipulate the representation and hence the relative position of the planes and coil geometry, and calculating resulting coil arrangements. It will be appreciated that these processes can be performed automatically, but typically involve at least some input or other control by the user.

It will therefore be appreciated that the processing system 350 may be a suitably programmed computer system, such as a laptop, desktop, PDA, computer server, or the like, although alternatively the processing system 350 may be formed from specialised hardware.

Irrespective of how the method is performed, the method provides a design technique for allowing RF coil arrays to be created that result in mutually orthogonal coils. This allows coil arrays to be designed that do not rely on any existing mutual decoupling schemes to achieve mutual decoupling of coils, and is therefore able to achieve high isolation/decoupling power. However, it will be appreciated that the orthogonality coil arrays can be used with mutual decoupling schemes to further increase isolation/decoupling power or to increase the number of coil elements that can be used in the coils.

In order to demonstrate the effectiveness of orthogonality coil arrays, example coil arrays will now be considered both in simulation and experiment.

For the purpose of this example, a combined hybrid method of moments (MoM) / finite element method (FEM) method is employed for the modelling and analysis of a 3-element orthogonality knee coil array prior to constructing a physical array.

The MoM/FEM software is commercially available from FEKO (available from EM Software & Systems-SA (Pty) Ltd of Technopark, Stellenbosch, South Africa; www.feko.info). The rationale in using hybrid MoM/FEM is that MoM is well-suited for modelling complex coil structures but not as well suited to complicated biological tissue loads, due to its requirement to use either a complicated Greens' function and/or the solution of large non-sparse matrix equations necessary to accurately model the essentially lossy

dielectric loads, which are time consuming. In view of this, FEM is used in place of the complicated Greens' function to model dielectric loads. Using FEM, arbitrary inhomogeneous dielectric loads can be modelled with ease. The elements used in the volume discretisation for the FEM allow for accurate geometrical representation of volumes with curved surfaces and the formulation furthermore allows for the variation in the dielectric properties from element to element.

An example modelled 3-element orthogonality knee coil array is shown in Figure 4A, with a constructed coil array being shown in Figure 5A. In this example, the knee coil array 405 is loaded with a homogenous cylindrical phantom 406, 140 mm in diameter, with dielectric properties of $\sigma = 0.6$ S/m and $\epsilon_r = 48.6$, which approximately resemble a human limb.

The 3 elliptical coil elements 402, 403, 404, are located in a respective diametric plane of the cylindrical coil geometry 401 with a diameter of 160 mm and height of 230 mm. For the coil elements 402, 403, 404 to conform to this cylindrical coil geometry 401, each elliptical coil element 402, 403, 404 measures 160 mm for its minor axis while the major axis measures approximately 280 mm. The coils are spaced equi-angularly around the cylindrical coil geometry 401 with the azimuthal angle between the nearest neighbouring coils being 120° and tilted at 54.7° as discussed previously. Three distributed capacitors are inserted around the coil element for tuning the coil element to resonate at 85 MHz and matching it to system impedance of 50Ω .

In order to demonstrate the orthogonality 3-element knee coil array can achieve mutual decoupling without the use of any mutual decoupling schemes and can also achieve high isolation/decoupling power, a conventional 3-element knee coil array is also designed and constructed for comparison purposes. An example modelled conventional 3-element knee coil array is shown in Figure 4B, with a constructed coil array being shown in Figure 5B.

The conventional knee coil array 407 uses a counter wound inductor method, described in "A Novel 8-Channel Transceive Volume-Array for a 9.4T Animal Scanner" by Ewald Weber, Bing Keong Li, Feng Liu, Yu Li, Peter Ullmann, Hector Sanchez and Stuart Crozier, Proc. of the 16th Annual Meeting of ISMRM, 2008; pp151, and as also described in co-pending patent application AU2007901587, to achieve mutual decoupling. The coil elements 408, 409, 410 of the conventional knee coil array 407, are similarly located in a respective diametric plane of the

- 12 -

cylindrical coil geometry 401 with a diameter of 160 mm and height of 230 mm. Each coil element measured 147 mm in width and 230 mm in height. The coils 408, 409, 410 are spaced equi-angularly around the cylindrical space with the azimuthal angle between the nearest neighbouring coil being 120° and is loaded with a homogenous cylindrical phantom 406. Counter wound inductors 411 are also provided as described in co-pending patent application AU2007901587 incorporated into each coil element 408, 409, 410, to perform mutual decoupling between the coil elements.

Once both the orthogonality knee coil array 405 and the conventional knee coil 407 have been modelled, MoM/FEM is utilized to first check if mutual couplings between coil elements have been minimized. This is verified by calculating the S_{11} , S_{21} and S_{31} responses.

As noted, for the orthogonality knee coil array 405, no dual minimum or a 'splitting' of the resonant frequency as explained in US-4825162 can be observed in the calculated S_{11} , S_{21} and S_{31} responses. Persons skilled in the field will understand that 'splitting' of the resonant frequency will appear if mutual couplings between coil elements are not minimized.

Similarly, for the conventional knee coil, S_{11} , S_{21} and S_{31} responses are calculated. However, it is noted that in this instance, a simplex optimization algorithm is employed to find the optimum number of turns each counter wound inductor 411 should have and the optimum overlapping distant between neighbouring counter wound inductors 411 should be so that no 'splitting' of the resonant frequency can be observed in the calculated S_{11} , S_{21} and S_{31} responses. Further evidence that mutual couplings have been minimized will be described in more detail below.

After verifying coil elements for both the orthogonality knee coil array and the conventional knee coil have been mutually decoupled, MoM/FEM is next employed to calculate the magnetic fields inside the cylindrical phantom 406 with an axial plane (xy plane) profile, located at the mid section. The calculated magnetic fields are then used to generate the MR images of the cylindrical phantom as described in "A Focussed, 8-element Transceive Phased Array Coil for Parallel MRI of the Chest – Theoretical Consideration" by Bing Keong Li, Feng Liu and Stuart Crozier, Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 2005; 53(6), pp. 1251-1257. In this paper it is described how the magnetic fields are calculated and how there are used to generate the MR image of the cylindrical phantom.

- 13 -

The simulated MR images are used for comparing with the one acquired using the constructed coil arrays, as will be described in more detail below.

The 3-element orthogonality knee coil array 405 can achieve mutual decoupling without the need of additional mutual decoupling scheme and the conventional knee coil array 407 can achieve mutual decoupling by using the counter wound inductor method. Examples of constructed knee coil arrays are shown in Figures 5A and 5B. Both arrays 512, 513 are constructed in structure and dimensions according to how they are modelled as shown in Figures 4A and 4B.

In these examples, a cylindrical tube 514 made of polyvinyl chloride material, of size 160 mm in diameter and height of 270 mm is used as the support structure for coil elements 502, 503, 504, 508, 509, 510 and also for allowing dielectric samples to rest thereon. In addition, fine resolution tuning system 515 and impedance matching active decoupling system 516 are incorporated into each coil element 502, 503, 504, 508, 509, 510. Persons skilled in the art will understand these systems can be used in order to provide tuning of the coil elements to resonate at 85 MHz and matching the coil element to system impedance of 50Ω while the active decoupling system switches the knee coil arrays 512, 513 into open circuit configuration during the transmission of the 90° RF pulse (via the built-in whole body RF system).

Prior to testing the constructed knee coil arrays 512 and 513 in a Bruker S200 2T whole-body MRI system equipped with four receiver channels, both constructed knee coil arrays were tested on the workbench for successful mutual decoupling, which is determined by inspecting the measured S-parameters between coil elements. An Agilent 2 port RF network analyser, model number 8712 ET is used for measuring the S-parameters. It should be noted that measurement of the S-parameters is performed with the constructed knee coil array 512, 513 loaded with a homogenous cylindrical phantom.

Figures 6A and 6B show are the measured S-parameters for the 3-element orthogonality knee coil 512 while Figures 7A and 7B are the measured S-parameters for the conventional 3-element knee coil 513. In Figures 6A and 7A, "Coil 1" is connected to the reflection port, while "Coil 2" is connected to the transmission port of an analyzer, whereas in Figures 6B and 7B, "Coil 1" is connected to the reflection port, while "Coil 3" is connected to the

- 14 -

transmission port of an analyzer. Table 1 below outlines the coil combinations for each measurement shown in Figures 6A, 6B, 7A, 7B. In each case the measurement applies to both the knee coil arrays 512 and 513 with a 2T field at 85 MHz using 3 channels.

Table 1

<u>Figure</u>	<u>orthogonality knee coil 512</u>		<u>Figure</u>	<u>conventional knee coil 513</u>	
	<u>S11</u>	<u>S21</u>		<u>S11</u>	<u>S21</u>
6A	Coil 1	Coil 2	7A	Coil 1	Coil 2
6B	Coil 1	Coil 3	7B	Coil 1	Coil 3

5 It will be noted that no “splitting” of the resonance frequency is visible in Figures 6A and 6B indicating that mutual couplings between coil elements 502, 503, 504 are minimised. Hence, mutual decoupling occurs naturally without the need for any mutual decoupling schemes. Likewise, Figures 7A and 7B also demonstrated that mutual decoupling can be achieved by using the counter wound inductor method.

10 It will be noted that in comparing the isolation/decoupling power between these two different designs of the 3-element knee coil array, the orthogonality knee coil array 512 can achieve higher isolation/decoupling power as compared to the conventional knee coil array 513, approximately -23dB as compared to -17dB. These measured S-parameters provide evidence supporting that the use of orthogonal coils can achieve higher isolation/decoupling power.

15 After confirming both constructed knee coil arrays 512 and 513 are mutually decoupled, they are tested in the 2T MRI system. Three comparison MRI experiments between the orthogonality knee coil array 512 and the conventional knee coil array 513 will now be described.

20 The first experiment is to show the orthogonality knee coil array 512 is invariant to the direction of the main static magnetic field B_0 of the MRI system and can be arbitrarily positioned in a MRI system without losing any functionality. In this experiment, a homogenous cylindrical phantom having the same dimensions and dielectric properties as the modelled cylindrical phantom 406 is imaged. The orthogonality knee coil array 512 and the conventional knee coil array 513 are firstly positioned with a geometry axis 820

- 15 -

corresponding to t axis of the cylindrical tube 514 aligned with the direction of the B_0 field as illustrated in Figure 8A.

Using a Fast Low Angle Shot (FLASH) imaging pulse sequence with TR = 100 msec, TE = 9.1 msec and NEX =1, three axial slice images located at the mid section of the cylindrical phantom are acquired in parallel by each coil element of the two constructed knee coil arrays 512, 513. The parallel received MR images are thereafter combined using a sum-of-square method, forming a composite image of the cylindrical phantom.

Figure 8B shows the acquired MR image of the cylindrical phantom using the orthogonality knee coil array 512 while Figure 8C is the MR image acquired using the conventional knee coil array 513. Depicted in Figures 8D and 8E are the simulated MR images of the modelled cylindrical phantoms. It can be seen that the simulated MR images can provide very accurate results similar to the images acquired experimentally.

It will be noted that, more importantly, the MR images acquired using the orthogonality knee coil array 512 show a high level of homogeneity similar to the MR image of Figure 8C acquired using the conventional knee coil array 513. This is an indication that although coil elements 502, 503, 504 of the orthogonality knee coil array 512 are arranged to loop around the cylindrical space defined by the tube 514 and tilted at an angle relative to the B_0 field and hence the sample, they do not suffer any degradations in terms of efficiency and functionality as compared to the conventional techniques of using surface coils that have conducting surfaces facing flat to the sample under imaging, as in the case of the coil elements 508, 509, 510 that are used in the conventional knee coil array 513.

In addition, the measured SNRs obtained from Figures 8B and 8C are approximately 75.871 for the orthogonality knee coil array 512 and 72.585 for the conventional knee coil array 513, which indicate the orthogonality knee coil array 512 can provide a higher SNR.

Following this experiment, two additional MR imaging processes are performed using the cylindrical phantom. Firstly the constructed knee coils 512, 513 and the cylindrical phantom are arranged with the geometry axis 520 at an angle of 30° to the direction of the B_0 field as illustrated in Figure 9A. Secondly, the constructed knee coils 512, 513 and the cylindrical phantom are arranged with the geometry axis 520 at an angle of 90° to the direction of the B_0

- 16 -

field as illustrated in Figure 9B. FLASH imaging pulse sequence with same imaging parameters as described earlier is used to acquire MR images of the cylindrical phantom at these two different positions.

Figures 9C and 9D show acquired coronal and axial slice images of the cylindrical phantom using the orthogonality knee coil array 512, while Figures 9E and 9F are corresponding image slices of the cylindrical phantom acquired using the conventional knee coil array 513. In comparing Figures 9C and 9E, at the 30° angle, it can be seen that the MR image of Figure 9E, acquired using the conventional knee coil array 513, is failing to maintain homogeneity. In contrast, the MR image of Figure 9C acquired using the orthogonality knee coil array 512 can still provide high homogeneity at this position. This is further elucidated by the measured SNRs of these two MR images, which are 75.122 using the orthogonality knee coil array 512 and 61.446 using the conventional knee coil array 513.

For the experiment with the constructed knee coil arrays 512, 513 at the position of 90°, comparing Figures 9D and 9F, it is evident that the MR image of Figure 9F, acquired using the conventional knee coil array 513 has failed to operate normally. An arc of dark area at the lower half of the image has distorted the image. However, the MR image of Figure 9D acquired using the orthogonality knee coil array 512 shows that a high homogeneity is still achievable. In addition, the measured SNRs of these two MR images are 60.774 using the orthogonality knee coil array 512 and 31.709 using the conventional knee coil array 513.

From these two experimental results, it will be noted that the orthogonality knee coil array 512 demonstrates invariance to the direction of the B_0 field. It can be arbitrarily positioned in a MRI system and does suffer any degradation in terms of efficiency and functionality. It is also important to note that for the experiment where the knee coil arrays 512, 513 are positioned perpendicular (90°) to the direction of the B_0 field, the experiment is to mimic the scenario where the knee coil arrays 512, 513 will be used in an open magnet MRI system. The results obtained for this particular experiment highlight that orthogonality coil arrays can suitably be used in an open magnet MRI system. The measured SNRs using the orthogonality knee coil array 512 show consistencies regardless of how it is positioned with respect to the direction of the B_0 field, thus providing further evidence that the orthogonal coil arrangement can suitably be used with all current MRI systems.

- 17 -

The MRI experiments on the cylindrical phantom clearly show benefits of the orthogonal coil arrangement. To further demonstrate the benefits, further testing on human imaging will now be described. In this example, imaging of the left knee of a healthy male volunteer with consensual approval was undertaken. The left knee of the healthy male volunteer was imaged
5 using both knee coil arrays 512, 513.

Figures 10A and 10B show coronal and sagittal slice images of the left knee acquired with the orthogonality knee coil array 512 while Figures 10C and 10D show corresponding images acquired with the conventional knee coil array 513. In comparing these images, it will again be noted that the orthogonality knee coil array 512 acquires higher quality MR images of the
10 human knee comparable to the conventional knee coil array 513, which further supports that having coil elements looped around the cylindrical coil geometry and tilted at an angle, as described above, will not cause any degradations to the efficiency and functionality of the orthogonality knee coil array 512. It should be noted that no decoupling scheme is needed by the orthogonality knee coil array 512 for acquiring these high quality MR images. Hence, coil
15 arrays design with orthogonal coils can be greatly simplified and further allow easy modifications to the coil arrays so that they can be operated in either transmit-only, receive-only or transceive modes.

For a third experiment, the benefit of the orthogonality knee coil array 512 for magic angle MRI application is investigated. In MRI, it is well recognized that the magic angle
20 phenomenon can increase the signal intensity of collagen fibres when they are placed at the "magic angle" of 54.74° (usually approximated to 55°) to the B_0 field. In practice, to perform magic angle MRI application, the sample under imaging and the RF coil are positioned at the magic angle. However, with the earlier MRI experiment on the cylindrical phantom, it is shown that using conventional RF coil loss of SNR is unpreventable if they are positioned
25 away from the direction of the B_0 field. However, this is not the case for the orthogonality knee coil array 512 designed as described above. Hence, it is recognised that using the coil arrays described above can have further benefits in magic angle applications.

To demonstrate this, magic angle MRI experiments on an adult pig knee using both knee coil arrays 512, 513 positioned at 40° , 55° , 60° and 70° relative to the B_0 field are undertaken. MR
30 images of the pig knee acquired at these different angles using the orthogonality knee coil

- 18 -

array 512 are shown in Figure 11A, while Figure 11B depicts the images acquired using the conventional knee coil array 513.

The patellar ligament encircled by the white line 1117 is used to show the magic angle phenomenon. In comparing the four images of Figure 11A, it can be seen that the patellar ligament 1117 taken at 55° appears brighter than those taken at 40° , 60° and 70° . This effect is also apparent with the four images of Figure 11B. To better show the magic angle phenomenon, the signal intensity of the patellar ligament 1117 at these positions is measured and plotted as shown in Figure 12. On inspecting the signal intensity plot of Figure 12, it can be seen that starting with the position at 40° , the signal intensity of the patellar ligament 1117 increases gradually peaking at 55° , which is the magic angle and subsequently decreases when positioned beyond the magic angle. This magic angle effect can be seen when using both knee coil arrays 512, 513. However, in comparing the measured signal intensity of both knee coil arrays 512, 513, it will be noted that the orthogonality knee coil array 512 can provide higher signal intensity. The measured signal intensity at the magic angle of 55° using the orthogonality knee coil array 512 is $2.3e5$ while using the conventional knee coil array 513 is $1.53e5$. An improvement of 53.33% can be gained from using the orthogonality knee coil array 512 designed as described above. This is an indication that the above described design process and the resulting orthogonality coil arrangements can therefore provide the additional benefit of improving magic angle MRI applications, enhancing MR images of collagen fibres.

The above described examples focus on a coil geometry corresponding to a cylindrical space. However, the techniques can suitably be applied to the design of other shapes, and in particular other shapes designed to conform to the shape of a part of the subject to be imaged. Specific examples will now be described.

In the example of Figure 13A, the above described orthogonal coil design technique is applied to the design of a 3-element breast coil array based on a half-spherical space 1301, which can be used to rest the breast on during imaging. In this example, the three coil elements 1302, 1303, 1304 are arranged 120° apart azimuthally and tilted to an angle of 54.74° with respect to an XY plane. As a result, the three coil elements 1302, 1303, 1304 are

- 19 -

orthogonal to one another and minimum mutual coupling will exist between the coil elements as previously explained.

As the orthogonality coil arrays are invariant to the direction of the applied B_0 field, the half-spherical coil array design can also be used for designing coil array system for unguided
5 intravenous MRI applications where controlling of the position of a coil array system is not possible. An example of this is shown in Figure 13B, in which a 3-element coil array 1310 is provided on a hemispherical portion 1311 of a probe 1312.

A further extension to this arrangement is shown in Figure 13C, in which an additional 3-element system 1320 is provided on a cylindrical part 1321 of the probe 1312. It will be
10 noted that some form of mutual decoupling scheme will be required to decouple these two separate coil systems 1310, 1320 and a possible decoupling scheme that can be used is an overlapping method as shown.

In addition, it will be noted that coil arrays designed as described above can easily be modified for multi-nucleus MR imaging due to the benefit that since mutual decoupling
15 occurs naturally, to modify any coil array system designed for multi-nucleus MR imaging will be a matter of simply re-tuning and re-matching each coil element to resonant at the *Larmor* frequencies of the different nucleus of interest.

Accordingly, the above described examples highlight how coil orthogonality can be achieved, resulting in improved imaging characteristics. In one example, this is achieved so
20 that the coils are tilted at an angle relative to a coil geometry axis, so that in use the coils are tilted at approximately 54.74° to the B_0 imaging field. This effectively allows mutual decoupling to occur inherently as a result of the coil arrangement, and as such no mutual decoupling scheme is required. It will be appreciated that by achieving orthogonality between coil elements this can provide high isolation/decoupling power.

The above described techniques are not limited to only to cylindrical structures, but can be
25 applied to a range of different coil geometries. Consequently, depending on the applications and importantly the advantages required, there are no bounded constraints with regards to the conformal structure to which the above described techniques can be applied.

- 20 -

It will be appreciated that in the above described example, a receive-only coil array system is described. However, the techniques are not limited to such a system and can, without any limitations, be applied to the design of transmit-only and transceive coil array systems.

5 It will further be appreciated that the orthogonal coil arrays are invariant to the direction of the applied B_0 imaging field and as such can without any limitation be used with any horizontal, vertical bore and open MRI systems.

It will further be appreciated that the orthogonal coil arrays are invariant to the direction of the B_0 imaging field, and can therefore enhance MR images of collagen fibres in light of the magic angle phenomenon.

10 It will further be appreciated since the orthogonal coil arrays are invariant to the direction of the B_0 imaging field, the coil array can without any limitations be used for unguided intravenous MRI applications.

15 It will further be appreciated that the embodiments described utilizes a knee coil array system but the coil arrays are not limited to only to human MR imaging and can, without any limitations, be applied to animal MR imaging and MR spectroscopy applications.

It will further be appreciated that coil array system designed using the above described techniques can without any limitation be used for multi-nucleus MRI applications.

It will further be appreciated that the above described coil arrays will complement the applications of partial parallel imaging and accelerated spatially selective excitation.

20 Throughout the specification, the aim has been to describe the invention without limiting the invention to any particular combination of alternate features or any particular applications it can be implemented. Persons skilled in the art will therefore appreciate that numerous variations and modifications will become apparent. All such variations and modifications which become apparent to persons skilled in the art, should be considered to fall within the
25 spirit and scope that the invention broadly appearing before described.

- 21 -

THE CLAIMS DEFINING THE INVENTION ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1) A coil arrangement for use in a magnetic resonance imaging system, the imaging system being for generating a magnetic imaging field in an imaging region, the coil arrangement including at least three coils for at least one of transmitting, receiving or transceiving an electromagnetic field, each coil being provided on a coil geometry and being substantially orthogonal.
- 2) A coil arrangement according to claim 1, wherein the coil arrangement includes a coil support for supporting the coils, the coil support having a surface shape corresponding to the coil geometry.
- 3) A coil arrangement according to claim 1 or claim 2, wherein the coil geometry is determined depending on the imaging to be performed.
- 4) A coil arrangement according to any one of the claims 1 to 3, wherein the coil geometry conforms to a shape of at least a part of a subject to be imaged.
- 5) A coil arrangement according to any one of the claims 1 to 4, wherein the coil geometry is rotationally symmetric about a coil geometry axis.
- 6) A coil arrangement according to any one of the claims 1 to 5, wherein the coils are elliptical.
- 7) A coil arrangement according to any one of the claims 1 to 6, wherein each coil is aligned with a respective one of three orthogonal planes.
- 8) A coil arrangement according to any one of the claims 1 to 7, wherein the coils are provided circumferentially spaced around a coil geometry axis.
- 9) A coil arrangement according to claim 8, wherein the coils are azimuthally spaced by 120°.
- 10) A coil arrangement according to any one of the claims 1 to 9, wherein the coils are aligned at an angle to a coil geometry axis.
- 11) A coil arrangement according to claim 10, wherein the coils are aligned at an angle of approximately 35.3° to the coil geometry axis.
- 12) A coil arrangement according to any one of the claims 1 to 11, wherein the coil geometry is at least one of:
 - a) spherical;
 - b) hemispherical;
 - c) cylindrical;

- 22 -

d) conical; and,

e) ellipsoidal.

13) A coil arrangement according to any one of the claims 1 to 12, wherein each coil being provided at an intersection between the coil geometry and the orthogonal planes.

5 14) A coil arrangement according to any one of the claims 1 to 13, wherein the coil arrangement includes a mutual decoupling means for mutually decoupling the coils.

15) A coil arrangement according to any one of the claims 1 to 14, wherein, in use, the coils are aligned at an angle offset to an imaging field direction.

10 16) A coil arrangement according to claim 15, wherein, in use, the coils are provided at an angle of approximately 54.7° to an imaging field direction.

17) A coil arrangement according to any one of the claims 1 to 16, wherein the coils are arranged to reduce mutual coupling between the coils.

15 18) A coil arrangement according to any one of the claims 1 to 17, wherein the coil arrangement is for use in imaging by modulating/encoding a transmitted or received electromagnetic field.

19) A coil arrangement for use in a magnetic resonance imaging system, the imaging system being for generating a magnetic imaging field in an imaging region, the coil arrangement including:

a) a coil support; and,

20 b) at least three coils for at least one of transmitting, receiving or transceiving an electromagnetic field, the coils being supported by the coil support and being substantially orthogonal.

20) A coil arrangement according to claim 19, wherein the coil support has a surface shape corresponding to a coil geometry.

25 21) A method of determining a coil arrangement for in a magnetic resonance imaging system, the imaging system being for generating a magnetic imaging field in an imaging region, the method including:

a) determining a coil geometry;

30 b) arranging three orthogonal planes so that each of the planes intersects the coil geometry; and,

c) determining a coil arrangement for each of three coils in accordance with an intersection of a respective plane with the coil geometry.

- 23 -

22) A method according to claim 21, wherein the method includes determining the coil geometry in accordance with a shape of at least a part of a subject to be imaged.

23) A method according to claim 22, wherein the coil geometry conforms to the shape of at least a part of a subject to be imaged.

5 24) A method according to any one of the claims 21 to 23, wherein the coil geometry is at least one of:

a) spherical;

b) hemispherical;

c) cylindrical;

10 d) conical; and,

e) ellipsoidal.

25) A method according to any one of the claims 21 to 24, wherein the coil geometry is rotationally symmetric about a coil geometry axis.

15 26) A method according to any one of the claims 21 to 25, wherein the method includes arranging the planes so that coils are elliptical.

27) A method according to any one of the claims 21 to 26, wherein the method includes arranging the planes so that coils are circumferentially spaced around a coil geometry axis.

20 28) A method according to claim 27, wherein the method includes arranging the planes so that the coils are azimuthally spaced by 120° .

29) A method according to any one of the claims 21 to 28, wherein the method includes arranging the planes at an angle to a coil geometry axis.

30) A method according to claim 29, wherein the planes are arranged at an angle of approximately 35.3° to the coil geometry axis.

25

1/17




Fig. 1

2/17






Fig. 2

3/17

**Fig. 3A****Fig. 3B**

4/17




Fig. 4A





Fig. 4B

6/17

**Fig. 5A**

7/17




Fig. 5B

8/17




Fig. 6A




Fig. 6B

9/17




Fig. 7A




Fig. 7B




Fig. 8A




Fig. 8B

Fig. 8D




Fig. 8C

Fig. 8E




Fig. 9A

Fig. 9B




Fig. 9C

Fig. 9D




Fig. 9E

Fig. 9F

12/17




Fig. 10A




Fig. 10C




Fig. 10B



Fig. 10D

13/17





Fig. 11A

14/17

**Fig. 11B**

15/17




Fig. 12




Fig. 13A




Fig. 13B

17/17




Fig. 13C

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU2010/000365

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

Int. Cl.

G01R 33/34 (2006.01)

A61B 5/05 (2006.01)

G01R 33/20 (2006.01)

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

EPODOC, WPI: MAGNETIC, RESONANCE, IMAG+, ORTHOGONAL, COIL, WINDING, ARRAY, ELLIPTICAL, TRANSMI, RECEIV, COUPLING, DECOUPLING and similar keywords.

Esp@cenet, Google patents and Google Scholar were also searched with similar Keywords as above.

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 6930481 B2 (OKAMOTO et al.) 16 August 2005. Abstract, col 2 lines 15-35, col 3 lines 15-34, see col 4 lines 25-68 col 7 line 9-col 9 line 58, claims 1-11 and figures 1-14	1-5, 7-9, 12, 14, 17-25, 27, 28
X	US 6516213 B1 (NEVO) 4 February 2003. Abstract, col 3 line 15- col 4 line 27, col 5 line 1-col 6 line 31, col 9 lines 39-51, col 13 line 19- col 14 line 40 and figures 1-6	1, 3-5, 7-9, 12, 14, 17, 18, 21-25, 27, 28
X	US 4766383 A (FOX et al.) 23 August 1988. Abstract, col 2 line 47- col 3 line 41, col 4 line 51 – col 6 line 40, col 7 line 25-col 9 line 48 and figures 1A-4B	1-30

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C

☒ See patent family annex

* Special categories of cited documents:	
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&" document member of the same patent family
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search
27 May 2010

Date of mailing of the international search report
31 MAY 2010

Name and mailing address of the ISA/AU
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE
PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA
E-mail address: pct@ipaaustralia.gov.au
Facsimile No. +61 2 6283 7999

Authorized officer
RIJU JACOB
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE
(ISO 9001 Quality Certified Service)
Telephone No : +61 2 6283 2220

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU2010/000365

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 6900635 B1 (PETROPOULOS et al.) 31 May 2005. Whole document	1-30
A	US 2004/0000908 A1 (MOLYNEAUX et al.) 1 January 2004. Whole document	1-30

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/AU2010/000365

This Annex lists the known "A" publication level patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent Document Cited in Search Report				Patent Family Member			
US	6930481	JP	2003153878	US	2003094948	US	2005122113
		US	6998843	US	2005264292	US	7109713
		US	2006181279	US	7227361		
US	6516213	AU	58062/99	CA	2341662	EP	1112025
		US	2003187347	US	6871086	US	2005171427
		WO	0013586				
US	4766383	NONE					
US	6900635	US	6930480	US	6975115		
US	2004000908	AU	43568/01	EP	1269208	US	2004212366
		US	6836118	US	6894496	WO	0169276
Due to data integration issues this family listing may not include 10 digit Australian applications filed since May 2001.							
END OF ANNEX							