

(51) International Patent Classification:  
*C02F 1/28* (2006.01)(21) International Application Number:  
PCT/US2015/019476(22) International Filing Date:  
9 March 2015 (09.03.2015)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:  
61/949,810 7 March 2014 (07.03.2014) US(71) Applicant: **MOLYCORP MINERALS, LLC** [US/US];  
5619 DTC Parkway, Suite 1000, Greenwood Village, CO  
80111 (US).(72) Inventors: **PSARAS, Dimitrios**; 11 Shady Lane, Bound  
Brook, NJ 08805 (US). **GAO, Yuan**; 5619 DTC Parkway,  
Suite 1000, Greenwood Village, CO 80111 (US).  
**HANELINE, Mason**; 2251 Wigwam Parkway, Apt. 312,  
Henderson, NV 89074 (US). **LUPO, Joseph**; 2291 West  
Horizon Ridge Parkway, Unit 4219, Henderson, NV 89052  
(US). **LANDI, Carol**; 633 Timber Lane, Devon, PA 19333  
(US).(74) Agent: **INCORVIA, Michael, J.**; Sheridan Ross P.C.,  
1560 Broadway, Suite 1200, Denver, CO 80202 (US).(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every  
kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM,  
AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY,  
BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM,  
DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT,  
HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR,  
KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG,  
MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM,  
PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC,  
SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN,  
TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every  
kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH,  
GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ,  
TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU,  
TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE,  
DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU,  
LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK,  
SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ,  
GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

(54) Title: CERIUM (IV) OXIDE WITH EXCEPTIONAL BIOLOGICAL CONTAMINANT REMOVAL PROPERTIES




Fig. 6

(57) Abstract: This disclosure relates to cerium (IV) oxide composition for removing biological and other contaminants from aqueous streams. It is particularly concerned with cerium (IV) oxide compositions for removing biological contaminants from groundwater and drinking water. Typically, the biological contaminants are bacteria, fungi and algae.

## **CERIUM (IV) OXIDE WITH EXCEPTIONAL BIOLOGICAL CONTAMINANT REMOVAL PROPERTIES**

### **CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS**

5           The present application claims the benefits of U.S. Provisional Application Serial No. 61/949,810 with a filing date of March 7, 2014, entitled “Ceric Oxide with Exceptional Target Material Removal Properties”, which is incorporated in its entirety herein by this reference.

### **BACKGROUND**

10           Various technologies have been used to remove biological contaminants from aqueous systems. Examples of such techniques include adsorption on high surface area materials, such as alumina and the use of highly oxidative materials such as chlorine and bromine. The more successful techniques that have been used in large municipal water supplies are not practical for residential applications because of space requirements and the need to use dangerous chemicals. The two most common techniques for residential water treatment have been filtration and  
15   chlorination.

### **SUMMARY**

          This disclosure relates generally to cerium-containing compositions for removing biological and other target contaminants from aqueous streams. More specifically, this disclosure is particularly concerned with cerium-containing compositions for removing biological  
20   contaminants from groundwater and drinking water. Typically, the cerium-containing composition is cerium oxide. More typically, the cerium-containing composition can be cerium (IV) oxide. The biological contaminants can be present at high or very low concentrations. The cerium-containing composition can remove the biological contaminants from the aqueous streams when they are present at high or very low concentrations.

25           It has now been found that biological and other target contaminants can be efficiently and effectively removed from water and other aqueous liquid feed stocks by treating the aqueous stream containing one or more biological contaminants with a cerium-containing composition. The cerium-containing composition generally comprises a cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\text{CeO}_2$ ). The cerium (IV) oxide composition can be in a crystalline form. Moreover, the cerium (IV) oxide  
30   composition can have a high surface area. Surprisingly, it has further been found that using cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\text{CeO}_2$ ) with particular characteristics as described below enables the capture and removal of biological target contaminants with higher removal capacities compared to traditional removal media, including cerium oxide lacking one or more of these particular characteristics.

In accordance with some embodiment is method of contacting a cerium (IV) oxide composition with a biological contaminant-containing aqueous stream. The contacting of the cerium (IV) oxide composition with the biological contaminant-containing aqueous stream can remove some of the biological contaminant from the biological contaminant-containing aqueous stream. Moreover, in some embodiments of the method one or more of the following (i) through (vi) can be true:

(i) the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have a zeta potential at about pH 7 of no more than about 30 mV and of more than about 1 mV;

(ii) the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have a particle size  $D_{10}$  of more than about 0.5  $\mu\text{m}$  and no more than about 7  $\mu\text{m}$ ;

(iii) the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have a particle size  $D_{50}$  of more than about 2  $\mu\text{m}$  and no more than about 20  $\mu\text{m}$ ;

(iv) the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have a particle size  $D_{90}$  of more than about 12  $\mu\text{m}$  and no more than about 50  $\mu\text{m}$ ;

(v) the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have a crystallite size of more than about 1 nm and no more than about 22 nm; and

(vi) the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have an acidic site concentration of more than about 0.0001 acidic sites/kg and no more than about 0.020 acidic sites/kg.

In accordance with some embodiments is a device having an inlet to receive an aqueous stream having a first level of a biological contaminant; a contacting chamber, in fluid communication with the inlet and containing a cerium (IV) oxide composition to contact the aqueous stream; and an outlet in fluid communication with the contacting chamber to output the aqueous stream having second level of the biological contaminant. The aqueous stream can have the first level of biological contaminant prior to the of the aqueous stream contacting the cerium (IV) oxide composition and can have a second level of biological contaminant after the contacting of the aqueous stream with the cerium (IV) oxide. The first level of biological contaminant can be greater than the second level of the biological contaminant. In some embodiments of the device, one or more of the following (i) through (vi) can be true:

(i) the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have a zeta potential at about pH 7 of no more than about 30 mV and of more than about 1 mV;

(ii) the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have a particle size  $D_{10}$  of more than about 0.5  $\mu\text{m}$  and no more than about 7  $\mu\text{m}$ ;

(iii) the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have a particle size  $D_{50}$  of more than about 2  $\mu\text{m}$  and no more than about 20  $\mu\text{m}$ ;

(iv) the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have a particle size  $D_{90}$  of more than about 12  $\mu\text{m}$  and no more than about 50  $\mu\text{m}$ ;

(v) the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have a crystallite size of more than about 1 nm and no more than about 22 nm; and

(vi) the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have an acidic site concentration of more than about 0.0001 acidic sites/kg and no more than about 0.020 acidic sites/kg.

5 In accordance with some embodiment is a composition having a cerium (IV) oxide composition having a sorbed biological contaminant. In some embodiments of the composition one or more of the following can be true:

(i) the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have, prior to the biological contaminant being sorbed, a zeta potential at about pH 7 of no more than about 30 mV and of more than about 1 mV;

10 (ii) the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have a particle size  $D_{10}$  of more than about 0.5  $\mu\text{m}$  and no more than about 7  $\mu\text{m}$ ;

(iii) the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have a particle size  $D_{50}$  of more than about 2  $\mu\text{m}$  and no more than about 20  $\mu\text{m}$ ;

(iv) the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have a particle size  $D_{90}$  of more than about 12  $\mu\text{m}$  and no more than about 50  $\mu\text{m}$ ;

(v) the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have a crystallite size of more than about 1 nm and no more than about 22 nm; and

(vi) the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have, prior to the biological contaminant being sorbed, an acidic site concentration of more than about 0.0001 acidic sites/kg and no more than  
20 about 0.020 acidic sites/kg.

In some embodiments, one of (i) through (vi) can be true and the other five of (i) through (vi) can be false.

In some embodiments, two of (i) through (vi) can be true and the other four of (i) through (vi) can be false.

25 In some embodiments, three of (i) through (vi) can be true and the other three of (i) through (vi) can be false.

In some embodiments, four of (i) through (vi) can be true and the other two of (i) through (vi) can be false.

30 In some embodiments, five of (i) through (vi) can be true and the other one of (i) through (vi) can be false.

In some embodiments, all six of (i) through (vi) can be true.

In some embodiments, the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have a zeta potential from about 7.5 to about 12.5 mV at about pH 7. Moreover in some embodiments, the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have, prior to sorbing the biological contaminant, a zeta potential from about 7.5  
35 to about 12.5 mV at about pH 7.

In some embodiments, the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have a particle size  $D_{10}$  is from about 1 to about 3  $\mu\text{m}$ .

In some embodiments, the cerium (IV) oxide can have a particle size  $D_{50}$  from about 7.5 to about 10.5  $\mu\text{m}$ .

5 In some embodiments, the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have a particle size  $D_{90}$  from about 20 to about 30  $\mu\text{m}$ .

In some embodiments, the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have a crystallite size from about 7.5 to about 12.5 nm.

10 In some embodiments, the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have a number of acid sites from more than about 0.0001 to no more than about 0.020 acidic sites/kg of the cerium (IV) oxide composition. Moreover in some embodiments, the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have, prior to sorbing the biological contaminant, a number of acid sites from more than about 0.0001 to no more than about 0.020 acidic sites/kg of the cerium (IV) oxide composition.

15 In some embodiments, the biological contaminant can be selected from the group consisting of bacteria, yeasts, algae, and viruses. Moreover, in some embodiments the sorbed biological contaminant can be selected from the group consisting of bacteria, yeasts, algae, and viruses.

20 In some embodiments, the biological contaminant can be one selected from the group of *Klebsiella oxytoca*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Selenastum capriocornutum*, and MS2. Moreover, in some embodiments the sorbed biological contaminant can be selected from the group consisting of *Klebsiella oxytoca*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Selenastum capriocornutum*, and MS2.

In some embodiments, the cerium (IV) oxide composition removes more of the biological contaminant per gram of  $\text{CeO}_2$  than an oxide of cerium (IV).

25 In some embodiments one or more of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are false for the oxide of cerium (IV).

In some embodiments, (i) can be true and (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be false.

In some embodiments, (i) can be true and one of (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be false and the others of (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be true.

30 In some embodiments, (i) can be true and two of (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be false and the others of (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be true.

In some embodiments, (i) can be true and three of (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be false and the others of (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be true.

In some embodiments, (i) can be true and four of (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be false and the other of (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be true;

35 In some embodiments, (i) can be true and (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be true.

In some embodiments, (ii) can be true and (i), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be false.

In some embodiments, (ii) can be true and one of (i), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be false and the others of (i), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be true.

In some embodiments, (ii) can be true and two of (i), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be false and the others of (i), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be true.

5 In some embodiments, (ii) can be true and three of (i), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be false and the others of (i), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be true.

In some embodiments, (ii) can be true and four of (i), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be false and the other of (i), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be true.

In some embodiments, (iii) can be true and (i), (ii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be false.

10 In some embodiments, (iii) can be true and one of (i), (ii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be false and the others of (i), (ii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be true.

In some embodiments, (iii) can be true and two of (i), (ii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be false and the others of (i), (ii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be true.

In some embodiments, (iii) can be true and three of (i), (ii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be false and the others of (i), (ii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be true.

In some embodiments, (iii) can be true and four of (i), (ii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be false and the other of (i), (ii), (iv), (v) and (vi) can be true.

In some embodiments, (iv) can be true and (i), (ii), (iii), (v) and (vi) can be false.

20 In some embodiments, (iv) can be true and one of (i), (ii), (iii), (v) and (vi) can be false and the others of (i), (ii), (iii), (v) and (vi) can be true.

In some embodiments, (iv) can be true and two of (i), (ii), (iii), (v) and (vi) can be false and the others of (i), (ii), (iii), (v) and (vi) can be true.

In some embodiments, (iv) can be true and three of (i), (ii), (iii), (v) and (vi) can be false and the others of (i), (ii), (iii), (v) and (vi) can be true.

25 In some embodiments, (iv) can be true and four of (i), (ii), (iii), (v) and (vi) can be false and the others of (i), (ii), (iii), (v) and (vi) can be true.

In some embodiments, (v) can be true and (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi) can be false.

In some embodiments, (v) can be true and one of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi) can be false and the others of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi) can be true.

30 In some embodiments, (v) can be true and two of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi) can be false and the others of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi) can be true.

In some embodiments, (v) can be true and three of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi) can be false and the others of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi) can be true.

In some embodiments, (v) can be true and four of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi) can be false and the other of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi) can be true.

In some embodiments, (vi) can be true and (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) can be false.

In some embodiments, (vi) can be true and one of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) can be false and the others of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) can be true.

In some embodiments, (vi) can be true and two of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) can be false and the others of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) can be true.

5 In some embodiments, (vi) can be true and three of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) can be false and the others of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) can be true.

In some embodiments, (vi) can be true and four of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) can be false and the others of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) can be true.

The cerium (IV) oxide composition can be unsupported or supported. The supported  
10 cerium (IV) oxide composition can be deposited on a single support or deposited on multiple supports. The supports can be without limitation alumina, aluminosilicates, ion exchange resins, organic polymers, and clays. The cerium (IV) oxide composition can be deposited and/or mixed with a polymeric porous material. Moreover, it is believed that the cerium (IV) oxide composition surface exposure is enhanced when the cerium (IV) oxide composition is deposited and/or mixed  
15 with the polymeric porous material.

These and other advantages will be apparent from the disclosure of the aspects, embodiments, and configurations contained herein.

As used herein, "at least one", "one or more", and "and/or" are open-ended expressions that are both conjunctive and disjunctive in operation. For example, each of the expressions "at  
20 least one of A, B and C", "at least one of A, B, or C", "one or more of A, B, and C", "one or more of A, B, or C" and "A, B, and/or C" means A alone, B alone, C alone, A and B together, A and C together, B and C together, or A, B and C together. When each one of A, B, and C in the above expressions refers to an element, such as X, Y, and Z, or class of elements, such as  $X_1$ - $X_n$ ,  $Y_1$ - $Y_m$ , and  $Z_1$ - $Z_o$ , the phrase is intended to refer to a single element selected from X, Y, and Z, a  
25 combination of elements selected from the same class (e.g.,  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ ) as well as a combination of elements selected from two or more classes (e.g.,  $Y_1$  and  $Z_o$ ).

It is to be noted that the term "a" or "an" entity refers to one or more of that entity. As such, the terms "a" (or "an"), "one or more" and "at least one" can be used interchangeably herein. It is also to be noted that the terms "comprising", "including", and "having" can be used  
30 interchangeably.

The term "means" as used herein shall be given its broadest possible interpretation in accordance with 35 U.S.C., Section 112, Paragraph 6. Accordingly, a claim incorporating the term "means" shall cover all structures, materials, or acts set forth herein, and all of the equivalents thereof. Further, the structures, materials or acts and the equivalents thereof shall include all those  
35 described in the summary of the invention, brief description of the drawings, detailed description, abstract, and claims themselves.

Unless otherwise noted, all component or composition levels are in reference to the active portion of that component or composition and are exclusive of impurities, for example, residual solvents or by-products, which may be present in commercially available sources of such components or compositions.

5 All percentages and ratios are calculated by total composition weight, unless indicated otherwise.

It should be understood that every maximum numerical limitation given throughout this disclosure is deemed to include each and every lower numerical limitation as an alternative, as if such lower numerical limitations were expressly written herein. Every minimum numerical  
10 limitation given throughout this disclosure is deemed to include each and every higher numerical limitation as an alternative, as if such higher numerical limitations were expressly written herein. Every numerical range given throughout this disclosure is deemed to include each and every narrower numerical range that falls within such broader numerical range, as if such narrower numerical ranges were all expressly written herein. By way of example, the phrase from about 2  
15 to about 4 includes the whole number and/or integer ranges from about 2 to about 3, from about 3 to about 4 and each possible range based on real (e.g., irrational and/or rational) numbers, such as from about 2.1 to about 4.9, from about 2.1 to about 3.4, and so on.

The preceding is a simplified summary of the disclosure to provide an understanding of some aspects of the disclosure. This summary is neither an extensive nor exhaustive overview of  
20 the disclosure and its various aspects, embodiments, and configurations. It is intended neither to identify key or critical elements of the disclosure nor to delineate the scope of the disclosure but to present selected concepts of the disclosure in a simplified form as an introduction to the more detailed description presented below. As will be appreciated, other aspects, embodiments, and configurations of the disclosure are possible utilizing, alone or in combination, one or more of the  
25 features set forth above or described in detail below. Also, while the disclosure is presented in terms of exemplary embodiments, it should be appreciated that individual aspects of the disclosure can be separately claimed.



### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF FIGURES

The accompanying drawings, which are incorporated in and constitute a part of the specification, illustrate embodiments of the disclosure and together with the general description of the disclosure given above and the detailed description given below, serve to explain the principles of the disclosure.

Fig. 1 shows the *Klebsiella oxytoca* bacteria with respect to the incubation time for a control and for a cerium (IV) oxide composition according to some embodiments of the present disclosure;

Fig. 2 shows the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* yeast count with respect to incubation time for a control and for the cerium (IV) oxide composition according to some embodiments of the present disclosure;

Fig. 3 shows the *Selenastum Capriocornutum* count with respect to incubation time for a control and for the cerium (IV) oxide composition according to some embodiments of the present disclosure;

Fig. 4 shows the MS2 Bacteriophage concentration with respect to incubation time for a control and for the cerium (IV) oxide composition according to some embodiments of the present disclosure;

Fig. 5 shows the *Klebsiella oxytoca* count with respect to incubation time for a control, for an oxide of cerium (IV) of the prior art (Comparative Example 1), and for the cerium (IV) oxide composition of according to some embodiments of the present disclosure;

Fig. 6 shows the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* count with respect to incubation time for a control, for an oxide of cerium (IV) of the prior art (Comparative Example 1), and for the cerium (IV) oxide composition of according to some embodiments of the present disclosure;

Fig. 7 shows the *Selenastum Capriocornutum* count with respect to incubation time with respect to a control, for an oxide of cerium (IV) of the prior art (Comparative Example 1), and for the cerium (IV) oxide composition of according to some embodiments of the present disclosure;

Fig. 8 shows the MS2 Bacteriophage concentration with respect to incubation time for a control, for an oxide of cerium (IV) of the prior art (Comparative Example 1), and for the cerium (IV) oxide composition of according to some embodiments of the present disclosure;

Fig. 9 is a comparison plot of the zeta potential of both the cerium (IV) oxide composition of the Example and a prior art oxide of cerium (IV) against pH; and

Fig.10 is a comparison plot of the particle size distribution for both the cerium (IV) oxide composition of the Example and a prior art oxide of cerium (IV).

### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The process of the disclosure is primarily envisioned for removing biological contaminants from an aqueous stream using a cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\text{CeO}_2$ ) having particular properties. The aqueous stream can be one or more of a drinking water and groundwater source that contains undesirable amounts of biological and/or other contaminants. Furthermore, the aqueous stream can include without limitation well waters, surface waters (such as water from lakes, ponds and wetlands), agricultural waters, wastewater from industrial processes, and geothermal waters.

Generally, the cerium (IV) oxide composition can be used to treat any aqueous stream containing a biological contaminant. The cerium (IV) oxide composition of the present disclosure has a number of properties that are particularly advantageous for biological contaminant removal. Contacting of the cerium (IV) oxide composition with the aqueous stream containing the biological contaminant can effectively reduce biological contaminant level in the aqueous stream. Typically, the contacting of the cerium (IV) oxide composition with the aqueous stream can reduce the biological contaminant level in the aqueous stream by more than about 75%. More typically, the contacting of the cerium (IV) oxide composition with the aqueous stream can reduce the biological contaminant level in the aqueous stream by more than about 80%, more typically more than about 85%, more typically more than about 90%, more typically more than about 95%, more typically more than about 97.5%, and even more typically more than about 99.5%.

The cerium (IV) oxide composition can have a zeta-potential, at pH 7, of more than about 1 mV. While not wanting to be bound by any theory it is believed that the zeta of the cerium (IV) oxide composition can affect the removal of the biological contaminant from an aqueous stream. Typically, the cerium (IV) oxide composition has a zeta-potential, at pH 7, of more than about 5 mV. More typically, the zeta-potential, at pH 7, of the cerium (IV) oxide composition is more than about 10 mV. Generally, the cerium (IV) oxide composition has a zeta-potential of no more than about 30 mV. More generally, the zeta-potential of the cerium (IV) oxide composition is no more than about 20 mV or even more typically no more than about 15 mV. Commonly, at a pH of about 7, the cerium (IV) oxide composition has zeta-potential of no more than one of about 30 mV, about 20 mV and about 15 mV and a zeta-potential of more than one of about 1 mV, about 5 mV, and 10 mV. The zeta-potential of the cerium (IV) oxide composition at pH 7 usually ranges from about 7.5 to about 12.5 mV. It can be appreciated that the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have any one of the described zeta-potentials in combination with any one or more of the below isoelectric points, surface areas, average pore volumes, average pore sizes, particle sizes, crystalline sizes, and number of acidic sites.

Generally, the cerium (IV) oxide composition typically has an isoelectric point of more than about pH 7, more generally of more than about pH 8, and even more generally of more than

about pH 9 but generally no more than about pH 12, more generally no more than about pH 11, and even more generally no more than about pH 10. The isoelectric point typically ranges from about pH 8.5 to about pH 10. While not wanting to be bound by any theory it is believed that the isoelectric point of the cerium (IV) oxide composition can affect the removal of the biological  
5 contaminant from an aqueous stream. It can be appreciated that the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have any one of the described isoelectric points in combination with any one or more of: the above zeta-potentials; and the below surface areas, average pore volumes, average pore sizes, particle sizes, crystalline sizes and number of acidic sites.

The cerium (IV) oxide composition can commonly have a surface area from about 30 to  
10 about 200 m<sup>2</sup>/g, more commonly from about 60 to about 180 m<sup>2</sup>/g, or even more typically from about 100 to about 150 m<sup>2</sup>/g. Typically, the surface of the cerium (IV) oxide composition is from about 100 to about 150 m<sup>2</sup>/g, more typically from about 110 to about 150 m<sup>2</sup>/g. While not wanting to be bound by any theory it is believed that the surface area of the cerium (IV) oxide composition can affect the removal of the biological contaminant from an aqueous stream. It can be  
15 appreciated that the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have any one of the described surface areas in combination with any one or more of: the above zeta-potentials and isoelectric points; and the below average pore volumes, average pore sizes, particle sizes, crystalline sizes and number of acidic sites.

The cerium (IV) oxide composition typically has an average (mean, median, and mode)  
20 pore volume (as determined by N<sub>2</sub> adsorption) of more than about 0.01 cm<sup>3</sup>/g, more typically of more than about 0.1 cm<sup>3</sup>/g, and more typically of more than about 0.2 cm<sup>3</sup>/g but typically no more than about 0.85 cm<sup>3</sup>/g, more typically no more than about 0.8 cm<sup>3</sup>/g, more typically no more than about 0.75 cm<sup>3</sup>/g, more typically no more than about 0.65 cm<sup>3</sup>/g, more typically no more than about 0.6 cm<sup>3</sup>/g, more typically no more than about 0.55 cm<sup>3</sup>/g, more typically no more than about  
25 0.5 cm<sup>3</sup>/g, and even more typically no more than about 0.45 cm<sup>3</sup>/g. The pore volume can range from about 0.3 to about 0.4 cm<sup>3</sup>/g, from more than about 0.4 to about 0.5 cm<sup>3</sup>/g, or from more than about 0.5 to about 0.6 cm<sup>3</sup>/g. While not wanting to be bound by any theory it is believed that the average pore volume of the cerium (IV) oxide composition can affect the removal of the biological contaminant from an aqueous stream. It can be appreciated that the cerium (IV) oxide  
30 composition can have any one of the described average pore volumes in combination with any one or more of: the above zeta-potentials, isoelectric points, and surface areas; and the below average pore sizes, particle sizes, crystalline sizes and number of acidic sites.

The cerium (IV) oxide composition generally has an average (mean, median, and mode)  
pore size (as determined by the BJH method) of more than about 0.5 nm, more generally of more  
35 than about 1 nm, and more generally of more than about 6 nm but generally no more than about 20 nm, more generally no more than about 15 nm, and even more generally no more than about 12

nm. The average pore size can range from about 0.5 to about 6.5 nm, from more than about 6.5 to about 13 nm, or from more than about 13 to about 20 nm. While not wanting to be bound by any theory it is believed that the average pore size of the cerium (IV) oxide composition can affect the removal of the biological contaminant from an aqueous stream. It can be appreciated that the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have any one of the described average pore sizes in combination with any one or more of: the above zeta-potentials, isoelectric points, surface areas and average pore volumes; and the below particle sizes, crystalline sizes and number of acidic sites.

The cerium (IV) oxide composition is usually in particulate form. Typically, the particulate cerium (IV) oxide composition has one or more of a particle size  $D_{10}$ , particle size  $D_{50}$  and particle  $D_{90}$ . While not wanting to be bound by any theory it is believed that the one or more of a particle size  $D_{10}$ , particle size  $D_{50}$  and particle  $D_{90}$  surface area of the cerium (IV) oxide composition can affect the removal of the biological contaminant from an aqueous stream. It can be appreciated that the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have any one of the described particle sizes  $D_{10}$ ,  $D_{50}$  or  $D_{90}$  in combination with any one or more of: the above zeta-potentials, isoelectric points, surface areas, average pore volumes and average pore sizes; and the below crystalline sizes and number of acidic sites.

The particulate cerium (IV) oxide composition commonly has a particle size  $D_{10}$  from about 1 to about 3  $\mu\text{m}$ . More commonly, the cerium (IV) oxide composition typically has a particle size  $D_{10}$  of more than about 0.05  $\mu\text{m}$ , even more commonly of more than about 0.5  $\mu\text{m}$ , and yet even more commonly of more than about 1  $\mu\text{m}$  but more commonly no more than about 7  $\mu\text{m}$ , even more commonly no more than about 5  $\mu\text{m}$ , and yet even more commonly no more than about 3  $\mu\text{m}$ . The particle size  $D_{10}$  typically ranges from about 1 to about 3  $\mu\text{m}$ . While not wanting to be bound by any theory it is believed that the particle size  $D_{10}$  of the cerium (IV) oxide composition can affect the removal of the biological contaminant from an aqueous stream. It can be appreciated that the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have any one of the described  $D_{10}$  particle sizes in combination with any one or more of: the above zeta-potentials, isoelectric points, surface areas, average pore volumes and average pore sizes; and the below crystalline sizes and number of acidic sites.

Moreover, the cerium (IV) oxide composition generally has a particle size  $D_{50}$  of more than about 2  $\mu\text{m}$ , more generally of more than about 4  $\mu\text{m}$ , and more generally of at least about 5  $\mu\text{m}$  but generally no more than about 20  $\mu\text{m}$ , more generally no more than about 15  $\mu\text{m}$ , and even more generally no more than about 12  $\mu\text{m}$ . The particle size  $D_{50}$  usually ranges from about 7.5 to about 10.5  $\mu\text{m}$ . While not wanting to be bound by any theory it is believed that the particle size  $D_{50}$  of the cerium (IV) oxide composition can affect the removal of the biological contaminant from an aqueous stream. It can be appreciated that the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have

any one of the described  $D_{50}$  particle sizes in combination with any one or more of: the above zeta-potentials, isoelectric points, surface areas, average pore volumes and average pore sizes; and the below crystalline sizes and number of acidic sites.

The cerium (IV) oxide composition commonly has a particle size  $D_{90}$  of more than about 12  $\mu\text{m}$ , more commonly of more than about 15  $\mu\text{m}$ , and even more commonly of more than about 20  $\mu\text{m}$  but commonly no more than about 50  $\mu\text{m}$ , more commonly no more than about 40  $\mu\text{m}$ , and even more commonly no more than about 30  $\mu\text{m}$ . The particle size  $D_{90}$  generally ranges from about 20 to about 30  $\mu\text{m}$ . While not wanting to be bound by any theory it is believed that the particle size  $D_{90}$  of the cerium (IV) oxide composition can affect the removal of the biological contaminant from an aqueous stream. It can be appreciated that the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have any one of the described  $D_{90}$  particle sizes in combination with any one or more of: the above zeta-potentials, isoelectric points, surface areas, average pore volumes and average pore sizes; and the below crystalline sizes and number of acidic sites.

The cerium (IV) oxide composition typically has a crystallite size of more than about 1 nm, more typically of more than about 4 nm, and even more typically of more than about 7.5 nm but typically no more than about 22 nm, more typically no more than about 17 nm, and even more typically no more than about 12.5 nm. The crystallite size commonly ranges from about 7.5 to about 12.5 nm. While not wanting to be bound by any theory it is believed that the crystallite size of the cerium (IV) oxide composition can affect the removal of the biological contaminant from an aqueous stream. It can be appreciated that the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have any one of the described crystalline sizes in combination with any one or more of the above zeta-potentials, isoelectric points, surface areas, average pore volumes, average pore sizes and particle sizes, and the below number of acidic sites.

Generally, the cerium (IV) oxide has no more than about 0.020 acidic sites/kg as measured by a zeta-potential titration. More generally, the cerium (IV) oxide has no more than about 0.015 acidic sites/kg, even more generally no more than about 0.010 acidic sites/kg, yet even more generally no more than about 0.005 acid sites/kg, and even yet more generally no more than about 0.001 acid sites/kg as measured by a zeta-potential titration. Even yet more generally, the cerium (IV) oxide has about 0 to about 0.001 acid sites/kg as measured by a zeta-potential titration. While not wanting to be bound by any theory it is believed that the number of acid sites/kg of the cerium (IV) oxide composition can affect the removal of the biological contaminant from an aqueous stream. It can be appreciated that the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have any one of the described number of acid sites in combination with any one or more of the above zeta-potentials, isoelectric points, surface areas, average pore volumes, average pore sizes and particle sizes.

The level of cerium (IV) oxide,  $\text{Ce(IV)O}_2$  in the cerium (IV) oxide composition can vary. The cerium (IV) oxide composition typically comprises more than about 75 wt%  $\text{Ce(IV)O}_2$ , more

typically more than about 85 wt% Ce(IV)O<sub>2</sub>, even more typically more than about 90 wt% Ce(IV)O<sub>2</sub>, or yet even more typically more than about 99.5 wt% Ce(IV)O<sub>2</sub>.

The cerium (IV) oxide composition can contain rare earth oxides other than cerium (IV) oxide. Commonly, the rare earth oxides other than cerium (IV) oxide comprise no more than  
5 about 40 wt.%, more commonly no more than about 25 wt.%, and even more commonly no more than about 10 wt.% of the cerium (IV) oxide composition.

Usually, the cerium (IV) oxide composition can contain non-rare earth materials. Generally, the non-rare earth materials typically comprise no more than about 5 wt.%, more generally no more than about 2.5 wt.%, and even more generally no more than about 1 wt.% of the  
10 cerium (IV) oxide composition. In some embodiments, the cerium (IV) oxide composition can be free of any added non-rare materials. That is, the level of non-rare earth materials contained in the cerium (IV) oxide composition typically comprise naturally occurring "impurities" present in cerium oxide. Commonly, any one non-rare material contained in the cerium (IV) oxide composition is no more than about 4 wt%, more commonly no more than about 2.5 wt%, even  
15 more commonly no more than about 1 wt% and yet even more commonly no more than about 0.5 wt%.

It can be appreciated that the cerium (IV) oxide composition can have any one or more of the described wt% cerium(IV) oxide, wt% of rare earth oxides other than cerium (IV) oxide, and wt% of non-rare earth materials in combination with any one or more of the above zeta-potentials,  
20 isoelectric points, surface areas, average pore volumes, average pore sizes, particle sizes, crystalline sizes, and number of acid sites.

While not wishing to be bound by any theory, it is believed that the difference between one or more the zeta-potential, isoelectric point, surface area, an average (mean, median, and mode) pore volume (as determined by N<sub>2</sub> adsorption), an average (mean, median, and mode) pore  
25 size (as determined by the BJH method), D<sub>10</sub> particle size, D<sub>50</sub> particle size, D<sub>90</sub> particle size, crystallite size and number of acidic sites/kg of the cerium (IV) oxide of the present disclosure and oxides of cerium of the prior art. better enables biological contaminant to contact reaction sites in the cerium (IV) oxide composition and be removed from the biological-contaminant-containing aqueous stream by the cerium (IV) oxide composition.

30 In some embodiments, the biological contaminant-containing aqueous stream is passed through an inlet into a vessel at a temperature and pressure, usually at ambient temperature and pressure, such that the water in the biological contaminant-containing aqueous stream remains in the liquid state. In this vessel the biological contaminant-containing aqueous stream is contacted with the cerium (IV) oxide composition. The contacting of the cerium (IV) oxide with the the  
35 biological contaminant-containing aqueous stream leads to the biological contaminant one or more of sorbing and reacting with the cerium (IV) oxide composition. The one or more of sorbing and

reacting of the cerium (IV) oxide composition with the biological contaminant removes the biological contaminant from the biological contaminant-containing aqueous stream.

In some embodiments, the cerium (IV) oxide composition can be deposited on a support material. Furthermore, the cerium (IV) oxide can be deposited on one or more external and/or internal surfaces of the support material. It can be appreciated that persons of ordinary skill in the art generally refer to the internal surfaces of the support material as pores. The cerium (IV) oxide composition can be supported on the support material with or without a binder. In some embodiments, the cerium (IV) oxide composition can be applied to the support material using any conventional techniques such as slurry deposition.

In some embodiments, the cerium (IV) oxide composition is slurried with the biological contaminant-containing aqueous stream. It can be appreciated that the cerium (IV) oxide composition and the biological contaminant-containing aqueous stream are contacted when they are slurried. While not wanting to be bound by any theory, it is believed that some, if not most or all of the biological contaminant contained in the biological contaminant-containing aqueous stream is removed from the biological contaminant-containing aqueous stream by the slurring and/or contacting of the cerium (IV) oxide composition with the biological contaminant-containing aqueous stream. Following the slurring and/or contacting of the cerium (IV) oxide with the biological contaminant-containing aqueous stream, the slurry is filtered by any known solid liquid separation method. The term “some” refers to removing no more than about 50% of the biological contaminant contained in the aqueous stream. More generally, the term “some” refers to one or more of removing no more than about 10%, no more than about 20%, no more than about 30%, and no more than about 40% of the biological contaminant contained in the aqueous stream. The term “most” refers to removing more than about 50% but no more than about 100% of the biological contaminant contained in the aqueous stream. More commonly, the term “most” refers to one or more of removing more than about 60%, more than about 70%, more than about 90%, and more than about 90% but no more than 100% of the biological contaminant contained in the aqueous stream. The term “all” refers to removing about 100% of the biological contaminant contained in the aqueous stream. More generally, the term “all” refers to removing more than 98%, 99%, 99.5%, and 99.9% of the biological contaminant contained in the aqueous stream.

In some embodiments, the cerium (IV) oxide composition is in the form of a fixed bed. Moreover, the fixed bed of cerium (IV) oxide is normally comprises cerium (IV) oxide in the form of cerium (IV) oxide particles. The cerium (IV) oxide particles can have a shape and/or form that exposes a maximum cerium (IV) oxide particle surface area to the aqueous liquid fluid with minimal back-pressure and the flow of the aqueous liquid fluid through the fixed bed. However, if desired, the cerium (IV) oxide particles may be in the form of a shaped body such as beads, extrudates, porous polymeric structures or monoliths. In some embodiments, the cerium (IV) oxide

composition can be supported as a layer and/or coating on such beads, extrudates, porous polymeric structures or monolith supports.

The contacting of the cerium (IV) oxide composition with the biological contaminant-containing aqueous stream normally takes place at a temperature from about 4 to about 100 degrees Celsius, more normally from about 5 to about 40 degrees Celsius. Furthermore, the contacting of cerium (IV) oxide with the biological contaminant-containing stream commonly takes place at a pH from about pH 1 to about pH 11, more commonly from about pH 3 to about pH 9. The contacting of the cerium (IV) oxide composition with biological contaminant-containing aqueous stream generally occurs over a period of time of more than about 1 minute and no more than about 24 hours.

The nature and objects of the disclosure are further illustrated by the following example, which is provided for illustrative purposes only and not to limit the disclosure as defined by the claims.

The following examples are provided to illustrate certain aspects, embodiments, and configurations of the disclosure and are not to be construed as limitations on the disclosure, as set forth in the appended claims. All parts and percentages are by weight unless otherwise specified.

#### EXAMPLE

A cerium (IV) oxide composition was prepared by the following method. In a closed, stirred container a one liter of a 0.12 M cerium (IV) ammonium nitrate solution was prepared from cerium (IV) ammonium nitrate crystals dissolved in nitric acid and held at approximately 90°C for about 24 hours. In a separate container 200 ml of a 3M ammonium hydroxide solution was prepared and held at room temperature. Subsequently the two solutions were combined and stirred for approximately one hour. The resultant precipitate was filtered using Büchner funnel equipped with filter paper. The solids were then thoroughly washed in the Büchner using deionized water. Following the washing/filtering step, the wet hydrate was calcined in a muffle furnace at approximately 450°C for three hours to form the cerium (IV) oxide composition.

The cerium (IV) oxide composition material used had a zeta-potential of about 9.5 mV at a pH of about pH 7, an isoelectric point of about pH 9.1, about 0.001 acidic sites/kg as measured by zeta-potential titration, a surface area between about 110 and about 150 m<sup>2</sup>/g, a particle size D<sub>10</sub> of about 2 µm, a particle size D<sub>50</sub> of about 9 µm, a particle size D<sub>90</sub> of about 25 µm, and a crystallite size of about 10 nm. The crystallite size, that is the size of the individual crystals, was measured by XRD or TEM. The D<sub>xx</sub> particle sizes were measured by laser diffraction; they are the size of the particles that are made up of the individual crystallites.



Bacterial Removal Characteristics of the Cerium (IV) Oxide Composition

Autoclaved broth was made from about 30 g of tryptic soy broth (TSB) and about 1000 ml of deionized water. The autoclaved broth was inoculated with a pure colony of *Klebsiella oxytoca* and incubated for about 4 hours at a temperature from about 34 to about 38 degrees Celsius. After incubation, 1000 mg of the cerium (IV) oxide composition was charged into a flask containing about 100 ml of the inoculated broth solution, after which the flask was placed on an incubation shaker. Samples were taken after about 1, 4, 8, and 24 hours and, thereafter, diluted about 1,000,000 fold. About 100  $\mu$ l of each of the diluted sample was spread on agar plates and incubated at a temperature from about 34 to about 38 degrees Celsius for from about 18 to about 24 hours, after which the number of colonies were then counted. The control consisted of about 100 ml of the inoculated broth solution charged to a flask. The flask was placed on an incubation shaker, after which samples were taken after about 1, 4, 8 and 24 hours. The samples were diluted, spread on agar plates and incubated according to the same procedures as the cerium (IV) oxide composition treated samples. The results of these tests are set forth below in Table 1 and Fig. 1. Fig.1 shows the *Klebsiella oxytoca* bacteria with respect to the incubation time for a control and for the cerium (IV) oxide composition of the Example. Use of the cerium (IV) oxide composition leads to a lower bacteria count at 1, 4, 8, and 24 hour incubation times as compared to the control.

Table 1

Incubation Time (hr)	Control (10 <sup>6</sup> PFU/ml)	Cerium (IV) Oxide Composition Example (10 <sup>6</sup> PFU/ml)
1	86	34
4	124	93
8	219	159
24	304	237

Yeast Removal Characteristics of the Cerium (IV) Oxide Composition

Autoclaved broth was made from about 30 g of tryptic soy broth (TSB) and about 1000 ml of deionized water. The autoclaved broth was inoculated with a pure colony of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and incubated for about 4 hours at about 34 to about 38 degrees Celsius. After incubation, about 1000 mg of the cerium (IV) oxide composition was placed into a flask containing 100 ml of the inoculated broth solution, after which the flask was placed on an incubated shaker. Samples were taken after about 1, 4, 8, and 24 hours and, thereafter, diluted about 1,000,000 fold. About 100  $\mu$ l of each of the diluted sample was spread on agar plates and incubated at a temperature from about 34 to about 38 degrees Celsius for from about 18 to about 24 hours, after which the number of colonies were then counted. The control consisted of about 100 ml of the inoculated broth solution charged to a flask. The flask was placed on an incubation

shaker, after which samples were taken after about 1, 4, 8 and 24 hours. The samples were diluted, spread on agar plates and incubated according to the same procedures as the cerium (IV) oxide composition treated samples. The results of these tests are set forth below in Table 2 and Fig. 2. Fig. 2 shows the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* yeast count with respect to incubation time for a control and for the cerium (IV) oxide composition of the Example. While use of the cerium (IV) oxide composition leads to a slightly higher yeast count for an incubation time of 1 hour, it leads to lower yeast count for an incubation time of 4 hours, and a dramatically lower yeast count for an incubation time of 8 hours.

Table 2

Incubation Time (hr)	Control (10 <sup>6</sup> PFU/ml)	Cerium (IV) Oxide Composition Example (10 <sup>6</sup> PFU/ml)
1	26	29
4	44	42
8	76	47

#### Algal Removal Characteristics of the Cerium (IV) Oxide Composition

*Selenastum Capriocornutum* (UTEX) was cultured and about 100 ml of the culture was mixed with about 250 mg of the cerium (IV) oxide composition and about 50 ml of fresh Bristol Medium. The mixture was shaken at about 400 rpm and about 16 inches from incubation lights. A sample of about 100 µL was taken from the reactor at about 0.5, 4, 8, 24 and 48 hours. Each 100 µm sample was placed on a hemacytometer (HASSEUR Scientific) and observed under magnifications between about 300x and about 400x. Counts were taken for each visible cell within 0.015625 mm<sup>2</sup> grids, the depth of the sample in the hemacytometer is 0.1 mm. The control consisted of cultured medium incubated in the absence of the cerium (IV) oxide composition. The incubated control samples were taken and analyzed in the same manner as the samples incubated in the presence of the cerium (IV) oxide composition. The results of these tests are set forth below in Table 3 and Fig. 3. Fig. 3 shows the *Selenastum Capriocornutum* count with respect to incubation time for a control and for the cerium (IV) oxide composition of the Example. Use of the cerium (IV) oxide composition leads to a lower algae populations at 0.5, 1, 4, 8, 24 and 72 hour incubation times as compared to the control.

Table 3

Incubation Time (hr)	Control (10 <sup>6</sup> PFU/ml)	Cerium (IV) Oxide Composition Example (10 <sup>6</sup> PFU/ml)
0.5	3.3	3.1
4	3.9	3.1
8	4.0	3.1
24	4.7	4.2
72	6.3	5.2

#### Viral Removal Characteristics of the Cerium (IV) Oxide Composition

About 500 mL of a buffered demand free (BFD) water (about 500 mL deionized water, about 285 mg Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, and about 440 mg KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) was charged with about 1 ml of a MS2

5 bacteriophages stock solution; from which about 100 ml of the solution was taken and mixed with about 1000 mg of the cerium (IV) oxide composition. Thereafter, samples were taken at 0.25, 4, 8, and 12 hours, the each sample was diluted about 1,000,000 fold. *E. Coli* 15597 bacterial host was used to Assay the samples. About 100 µl of the *e. coli* solutions were spread on agar plates, after which the samples were incubated at a temperature from about 34 to about 38 degrees Celsius for

10 about 18 to 24 hours. The control consisted of same buffered demand free water charged with the same MS2 bacteriophages, but in the absence of the cerium (IV) oxide composition. The control samples were taken and analyzed by the same procedures as the samples having the cerium (IV) oxide composition. After the incubation period, the number of colonies was then counted for each of the samples. The results of these tests are set forth below in Table 4 and Fig. 4. Fig. 4 shows the

15 MS2 Bacteriophage concentration with respect to incubation time for a control and for the cerium (IV) oxide composition of the Example. While the cerium (IV) oxide composition of the Example and the Control show similar results for an incubation time of 0.25 hours, the cerium (IV) oxide composition of the Example dramatically reduces the population of the MS2 Bacteriophage as compared to the Control for 4, 8, and 12 hours.

Table 4

Incubation Time (hr)	Control (10 <sup>6</sup> PFU/ml)	Cerium (IV) Oxide Composition Example (10 <sup>6</sup> PFU/ml)
0.25	182	177
4	188	73
8	197	63
12	193	47

Arsenic and Fluoride Removal

In order to test the arsenic adsorption characteristics of the cerium (IV) oxide composition the following equilibrium isotherm study was done. Test solutions containing arsenic in the form of arsenate or arsenite were prepared according to guidelines for NSF 53 Arsenic Removal water as specified in section 7.4.1.1.3 of NSF/ANSI 53 drinking water treatment units-health effects standards document. 20 milligrams of the cerium (IV) oxide composition, were placed in a sealed 500 milliliter polyethylene container and slurried with about 500 milliliters of the test solution containing arsenic at concentrations as described in Table 6. The resultant slurries were agitated by tumbling the containers for several hours. After agitation, the tap water was separated from the solids by filtration through a 0.45 micron syringe filter and sealed in 125 milliliter plastic sample bottles. The bottles were then sent to a certified drinking water analysis laboratory where the amount of arsenic in each liquid sample was determined by ICP mass spectroscopy. The results of these tests are set forth below in Tables 5 and 6.

Table 5

Initial arsenic(V) concentration before treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Final arsenic(V) concentration after treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Arsenic removal capacity of cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\text{mg As/g CeO}_2$ )
20	2.6	0.88
75	19.3	2.83
140	52	4.46
290	156.7	6.76
470	310	7.92

Table 6

Initial arsenic(III) concentration before treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Final arsenic(III) concentration after treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Arsenic removal capacity of cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\text{mg As/g CeO}_2$ )
19	2	0.86
77	2	3.81
140	3.1	6.94
270	23	12.52
440	85	17.57

In order to test the arsenic adsorption characteristics of the cerium (IV) oxide composition at different pH points the following study was done. Test solutions containing arsenic in the form of arsenate or arsenite were prepared at varying pH points according to guidelines for NSF 53 Arsenic Removal water as specified in section 7.4.1.1.3 of NSF/ANSI 53 drinking water treatment units-health effects standards document. 10 to 20 milligrams of the cerium (IV) oxide composition

were placed in a sealed 500 milliliter polyethylene container and slurried with about 500 milliliters of the test solution at pH points as described in Tables 7 and 8. The resultant slurries were agitated by tumbling the containers for several hours. After agitation, the tap water was separated from the solids by filtration through a 0.2 micron syringe filter and sealed in 125 milliliter plastic sample bottles. The bottles were then sent to a certified drinking water analysis laboratory where the amount of arsenic in each liquid sample was determined by ICP mass spectroscopy. The results of these tests are set forth below in Tables 7 and 8.

Table 7

pH of water	Initial arsenic(V) concentration before treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Final arsenic(V) concentration after treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Arsenic removal capacity of cerium (IV) oxide composition (mg As/g $\text{CeO}_2$ )
2.45	140	7.5	3.27
4.50	150	11	6.91
6.50	140	8	7.10
8.52	140	16	6.18
9.54	140	84	2.80
10.56	33	22	0.54

Table 8

pH of water	Initial arsenic(III) concentration before treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Final arsenic(III) concentration after treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Arsenic removal capacity of cerium (IV) oxide composition (mg As/g $\text{CeO}_2$ )
2.43	130	45	4.27
4.42	130	8	6.02
6.43	130	7	6.21
8.38	130	8	6.17
9.54	130	9	6.06
10.71	69	11	2.92

In order to test the kinetics of arsenic adsorption of the said ceric oxide the following study was done. Test solutions containing arsenic (V) in the form of arsenate were prepared according to guidelines for NSF 53 Arsenic Removal water as specified in section 7.4.1.1.3 of NSF/ANSI 53 drinking water treatment units-health effects standards document. 10 milligrams of the ceric oxide, were placed in a sealed 500 milliliter polyethylene container and slurried with about 500 milliliters of the test solution at different pH points containing arsenic at concentrations as described in Tables 9 and 10. The resultant slurries were agitated by tumbling the containers for a set time given to each individual sample. After agitation, the tap water was separated from the solids by filtration through a 0.2 micron syringe filter and sealed in 125 milliliter plastic sample bottles. The

bottles were then sent to a certified drinking water analysis laboratory where the amount of arsenic in each liquid sample was determined by ICP mass spectroscopy. The results of these tests are set forth below in Tables 9 and 10.

Table 9

Equilibrium Time (min)	Initial arsenic(V) concentration before treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Final arsenic(V) concentration after treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Arsenic removal capacity of cerium (IV) oxide composition (mg As/g $\text{CeO}_2$ )	Inverse of the arsenic removal capacity of cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $1/(\text{mg As/g CeO}_2)$ )
18	100	38	3.13	26.32
34	100	27	3.76	37.04
77	100	18	4.18	55.56
139	100	11	4.54	90.91
228	100	6.9	4.66	144.93
475	100	4.1	4.99	243.90

5

Table 10

Equilibrium Time (min)	Initial arsenic(III) concentration before treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Final arsenic(III) concentration after treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Arsenic removal capacity of cerium (IV) oxide composition (mg As/g $\text{CeO}_2$ )	Inverse of the arsenic removal capacity of cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $1/(\text{mg As/g CeO}_2)$ )
19	87	50	1.86	20
36	87	38	2.36	26.32
122	87	8	3.87	125
496	87	2	4.31	400.00

10 Test solutions containing Fluoride were prepared according to guidelines for NSF 53 Arsenic Removal water as specified in section 7.4.1.1.3 of NSF/ANSI 53 drinking water treatment units-health effects standards document. 500 milligrams of the cerium (IV) oxide composition of the Example were placed in a sealed 125 milliliter polyethylene container and slurried with about 50 milliliters of test solution with Fluoride

15 concentrations as described in the Table. The resultant slurries were agitated by tumbling the containers for several hours. After agitation, the test solution was separated from the solids by filtration through a 0.45 micron syringe filter. The filtrate was sealed in 125 milliliter plastic sample bottles and sent to a certified drinking water analysis laboratory

where the amount of arsenic in each filtrate was determined by ICP mass spectroscopy.

The results of these tests are set forth below in Table 11.

Table 11

Initial Fluoride concentration before treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition (mg/L)	Final Fluoride concentration after treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition (mg/L)	Fluoride removal capacity of with cerium (IV) oxide composition (mg F/g CeO <sub>2</sub> )
1.14	0.107	0.10
5.1	0.263	0.48
10.7	0.713	1.00
20.4	0.2533	1.80
48	15.600	3.21

- 5 Test solutions containing Fluoride were prepared according to guidelines for NSF 53 Arsenic Removal water as specified in section 7.4.1.1.3 of NSF/ANSI 53 drinking water treatment units-health effects standards document. 500 milligrams of the cerium (IV) oxide composition of the Example were placed in a sealed 125 milliliter polyethylene container and slurried with about 50 milliliters of test solution at different pH points as described in the Table. The resultant slurries were agitated by tumbling the containers for several hours. After agitation, the test solution was separated from the solids by filtration through a 0.45 micron syringe filter. The filtrate was sealed in 125 milliliter plastic sample bottles and sent to a certified drinking water analysis laboratory where the amount of arsenic in each filtrate was determined by ICP mass spectroscopy. The results of these tests are set forth below in Table 12.

Table 12

pH of Water	Final Fluoride concentration after treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition (μg/L)	Fluoride removal capacity of cerium (IV) oxide composition (mg As/g CeO <sub>2</sub> )
2.53	0.167	6.82
4.53	1.300	6.45
6.47	2.227	5.10
8.63	3.133	4.22
9.46	9.200	6.06
10.5	6.050	0.95

#### COMPARATIVE EXAMPLES

- The comparative examples use an oxide of cerium (IV) prepared calcining Ce<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O in a muffle furnace for 2 hours. The oxide of cerium is represented by the chemical formula CeO<sub>2</sub> and the cerium has an oxidation state of +4. The oxide of cerium used in

the comparative examples has a Zeta potential of about 16 mV at pH 7, an iso-electric point of about pH 8.8, about 0.02 acidic sites/kg as measured by zeta-potential titration, a particle size  $D_{10}$  of about 4  $\mu\text{m}$ , particle size  $D_{50}$  of about 30  $\mu\text{m}$ , a particle size  $D_{90}$  of about 90  $\mu\text{m}$ , and a crystallite size of about 19 nm.

#### 5 Bacterial Removal Characteristics of an Oxide of Cerium (IV)

Autoclaved broth was made from about 30 g of tryptic soy broth (TSB) and about 1000 ml of deionized water. The autoclaved broth was inoculated with a pure colony of *Klebsiella oxytoca* and incubated for about 4 hours at a temperature from about 34 to about 38 degrees Celsius. After incubation, 1000 mg of the oxide of cerium (IV) was charged into a flask containing about 100 ml of the inoculated broth solution, after which the flask was placed on an incubation shaker. Samples were taken after about 1, 4, 8, and 24 hours and, thereafter, diluted about 1,000,000 fold. About 100  $\mu\text{l}$  of each of the diluted sample was spread on agar plates and incubated at a temperature from about 34 to about 38 degrees Celsius for from about 18 to about 24 hours, after which the number of colonies were then counted. The results of these tests are set forth below in Table 13 and Fig. 5. Fig. 5 shows the *Klebsiella oxytoca* count with respect to incubation time for a control, the cerium (IV) oxide composition of the Example, and for an oxide of cerium (IV) of the prior art (Comparative Example). Compared to the control and Comparative Example, the cerium (IV) oxide composition of Example leads to a lower bacteria count at every incubation time.

Table 13

Incubation Time (hr)	Control ( $10^6$ PFU/ml)	Cerium (IV) Oxide Composition Example ( $10^6$ PFU/ml)	Oxide of Cerium (IV) Comparative Example ( $10^6$ PFU/ml)
1	86	34	64
4	124	93	112
8	219	159	185
24	304	237	263

#### 20 Yeast Removal Characteristics of an Oxide of Cerium (IV)

Autoclaved broth was made from about 30 g of tryptic soy broth (TSB) and about 1000 ml of deionized water. The autoclaved broth was inoculated with a pure colony of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and incubated for about 4 hours at about 34 to about 38 degrees Celsius. After incubation, about 1000 mg of the oxide of cerium (IV) was placed into a flask containing 100 ml of the inoculated broth solution, after which the flask was placed on an incubated shaker. Samples were taken after about 1, 4, 8, and 24 hours and, thereafter, diluted about 1,000,000 fold. About 100  $\mu\text{l}$  of each of the diluted sample was spread on agar plates and incubated at a temperature from about 34 to about 38 degrees Celsius for from about 18 to about 24 hours, after which the number of colonies were then counted. The results of these tests are set forth below in Table 14 and Fig. 6.



Fig. 6 shows the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* count with respect to incubation time for a control, the cerium (IV) oxide composition of the Example, and the oxide of cerium (IV) of the Comparative Example. For an incubation time of 1 hour, the control leads to a lower yeast count compared to both the cerium (IV) oxide composition of Example and the oxide of cerium (IV) of the Comparative Example. However, for an incubation time of 4 hours, while the control still leads to a lower yeast count than Comparative Example, the cerium (IV) oxide composition of Example leads to a lower yeast count than both the control and Comparative Example. Lastly, for an incubation time of 8 hours, both the cerium (IV) oxide composition of the Example and oxide of cerium (IV) of the Comparative Example outperform the control, while the cerium (IV) oxide composition of Example leads to a lower yeast count than the Comparative Example.

Table 14

Incubation Time (hr)	Control (10 <sup>6</sup> PFU/ml)	Cerium (IV) Oxide Composition Example (10 <sup>6</sup> PFU/ml)	Oxide of Cerium (IV) Comparative Example (10 <sup>6</sup> PFU/ml)
1	26	29	39
4	44	42	58
8	76	47	56

#### Algal Removal Characteristics of an Oxide of Cerium (IV)

*Selenastum Capriocornutum* (UTEX) was cultured and about 100 ml of the culture was mixed with about 250 mg of an oxide of cerium (IV) and about 50 ml of fresh Bristol Medium.

The mixture was shaken at about 400 rpm and about 16 inches from incubation lights. A sample of about 100  $\mu$ L was taken from the reactor at about 0.5, 4, 8, 24 and 48 hours. Each 100  $\mu$ m sample was placed on a hemacytometer (HASSEUR Scientific) and observed under magnifications between about 300x and about 400x. Counts were taken for each visible cell within 0.015625 mm<sup>2</sup> grids, the depth of the sample in the hemacytometer is 0.1 mm. The results of these tests are set forth below in Table 15 and Fig. 7. Fig. 7 shows the *Selenastum Capriocornutum* count with respect to incubation time with respect to a control, the cerium (IV) oxide composition of the Example, and Comparative Example (an oxide of cerium (IV) of the prior art). For every incubation time, the use of the cerium (IV) oxide composition of the Example leads to a lower algae count compared to both the control and Comparative Example.

Table 15

Incubation Time (hr)	Control (10 <sup>6</sup> PFU/ml)	Cerium (IV) Oxide Composition Example (10 <sup>6</sup> PFU/ml)	Oxide of Cerium (IV) Comparative Example (10 <sup>6</sup> PFU/ml)
0.5	3.3	3.1	3.5
4	3.9	3.1	3.4
8	4.0	3.1	3.2
24	4.7	4.2	4.4
72	6.3	5.2	5.5

#### Viral Removal Characteristics of an Oxide of Cerium (IV)

About 500 mL of a buffered demand free (BFD) water (about 500 mL deionized water, about 285 mg Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, and about 440 mg KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) was charged with about 1 ml of a MS2 bacteriophages stock solution; from which about 100 ml of the solution was taken and mixed with about 1000 mg of the oxide of cerium (IV). Thereafter, samples were taken at 0.25, 4, 8, and 12 hours, the each sample was diluted about 1,000,000 fold. *E. Coli* 15597 bacterial host was used to Assay the samples. About 100 µl of the *e. coli* solutions were spread on agar plates, after which the samples were incubated at a temperature from about 34 to about 38 degrees Celsius for about 18 to 24 hours. After the incubation period, the number of colonies was then counted for each of the samples. The results of these tests are set forth below in Table 16 and Fig. 8. Fig. 8 shows the MS2 Bacteriophage concentration with respect to incubation time for a control, the cerium (IV) oxide composition of the Example, and the Comparative Example (an oxide of cerium (IV) of the prior art). While the cerium (IV) oxide composition of the Example outperforms the control in effectively lowering the virus count at every incubation time, and significantly lowers the viral count compared with the control at incubation times of 4, 8, and 12 hours, the cerium (IV) oxide composition of Example does not lower the count as effectively as the Comparative Example.

Table 16

Incubation Time (hr)	Control (10 <sup>6</sup> PFU/ml)	Cerium (IV) Oxide Composition Example (10 <sup>6</sup> PFU/ml)	Oxide of Cerium (IV) Comparative Example (10 <sup>6</sup> PFU/ml)
0.25	182	177	154
4	188	73	56
8	197	63	51
12	193	47	17

#### Zeta Potential and Particle Size Distribution

Fig. 9 shows the zeta potential for both the Example and the Comparative Example as a function of pH. The zeta potential of the cerium (IV) oxide composition of the Example is higher

from a pH of about 4 until a pH of about 8.5. For a pH of above about 8.5, the Comparative Example has a larger zeta potential.

Fig. 10 shows the particle size distribution for both the Example and the Comparative Example. The particle size distribution of the Example is much less uniform than that of the

5 Comparative Example, and the cerium (IV) oxide composition of the Example also has a smaller average particle size than the Comparative Example.

#### Arsenic and Fluoride Removal

Test solutions containing arsenic(V) were prepared according to guidelines for NSF 53 Arsenic Removal water as specified in section 7.4.1.1.3 of NSF/ANSI 53 drinking  
 10 water treatment units-health effects standards document. 20 milligrams of commercially available oxide of cerium (IV) ( $\text{CeO}_2$  prepared by calcining  $\text{Ce}_2(\text{CO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and having a Zeta potential of about 16 mV at pH 7, an iso-electric point of about pH 8.8, a particle size  $D_{10}$  of about 4  $\mu\text{m}$ , particle size  $D_{50}$  of about 30  $\mu\text{m}$ , a particle size  $D_{90}$  of about 90  $\mu\text{m}$ , and a crystallite size of about 19 nm. in a muffle furnace for 2 hours), were placed in a sealed  
 15 500 milliliter polyethylene container and slurried with about 500 milliliters of an arsenic test solution at concentrations as described in Tables 1-8. The resultant slurries were agitated by tumbling the containers for several hours. After agitation, the test solution was separated from the solids by filtration through a 0.45 micron syringe filter. The filtrate was sealed in 125 milliliter plastic sample bottles and sent to a certified drinking water  
 20 analysis laboratory where the amount of arsenic in each filtrate was determined by ICP mass spectroscopy. The results of these tests are set forth below in Tables 5-12.

Table 17

Initial Arsenic(V) concentration before treatment with ceric oxide ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Final Arsenic(V) concentration after treatment with ceric oxide ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Arsenic removal capacity of ceric oxide (mg As/g $\text{CeO}_2$ )
19	15	0.20
78	65	0.64
190	170	1.00
290	260	1.48
480	443	1.84

Table 18

Initial arsenic(III) concentration before treatment with an oxide of cerium (IV) of the prior art ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Final arsenic(III) concentration after treatment with oxide of cerium (IV) of the prior art ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Arsenic removal capacity of an oxide of cerium (III) of the prior art (mg As/g $\text{CeO}_2$ )
20	2.9	0.85
79	13	3.25
140	32	5.42
270	92	8.78
450	200	12.54

Table 19

pH of water	Initial arsenic(V) concentration before treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Final arsenic(V) concentration after treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Arsenic removal capacity of cerium (IV) oxide composition (mg As/g $\text{CeO}_2$ )
2.45	140	39	5.15
4.50	150	12	6.89
6.50	140	46	4.75
8.52	140	110	1.50
9.54	140	127	0.67
10.56	33	25	0.38

5

Table 20

pH of water	Initial arsenic(III) concentration before treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Final arsenic(III) concentration after treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Arsenic removal capacity of cerium (IV) oxide composition (mg As/g $\text{CeO}_2$ )
2.43	130	22	5.23
4.42	130	5	6.29
6.43	130	14	5.73
8.38	130	35	4.61
9.54	130	61	3.50
10.71	69	36	1.66

Table 21

Equilibrium Time (min)	Initial arsenic(V) concentration before treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Final arsenic(V) concentration after treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Arsenic removal capacity of cerium (IV) oxide composition (mg As/g $\text{CeO}_2$ )	Inverse of the arsenic removal capacity of cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $1/(\text{mg As/g CeO}_2)$ )
19	100	95	0.25	10.53
34	100	92	0.41	10.87
68	100	87	0.65	11.49
129	100	82	0.88	12.20
222	100	76	1.21	13.16
470	100	68	1.60	14.49

Table 22

Equilibrium Time (min)	Initial arsenic(III) concentration before treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Final arsenic(III) concentration after treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Arsenic removal capacity of cerium (IV) oxide composition (mg As/g $\text{CeO}_2$ )	Inverse of the arsenic removal capacity of cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $1/(\text{mg As/g CeO}_2)$ )
19	87	78	0.45	12.82
35	87	80	0.36	12.50
68	87	66	1.00	15.15
122	87	59	1.47	16.95
257	87	52	1.68	19.23
485	87	49	1.88	20.41

5

Table 23

Initial Fluoride concentration before treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Final Fluoride concentration after treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Fluoride removal capacity of cerium (IV) oxide composition (mg F/g $\text{CeO}_2$ )
1.14	0.107	0.10
5.1	0.263	0.48
10.7	0.713	1.00
20.4	0.2533	1.80
48	15.600	3.21

Table 24

pH of Water	Final Fluoride concentration after treatment with cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Fluoride removal capacity of cerium (IV) oxide composition ( $\text{mg F/g CeO}_2$ )
2.53	0.167	6.82
4.53	1.300	6.45
6.47	2.227	5.10
8.63	3.133	4.22
9.46	9.200	6.06
10.5	6.050	0.95

The arsenic (III) and arsenic (V) removal data as depicted in Tables 5-10 for the cerium (IV) oxide composition and Tables 17-22 for the oxide of cerium (IV) of the prior art clearly show that the cerium (IV) composition has expected properties towards arsenic (III) and arsenic (IV). In other words, a person of ordinary skill in the art of rare earths and/or water treatment chemistry would not expect the cerium (IV) oxide composition of the present disclosure to remove arsenic from an aqueous stream differently than the oxide of cerium (IV) of the prior art. Furthermore, the cerium (IV) oxide composition remove fluoride from an aqueous differently than the oxide of cerium (IV) of the prior art, as depicted in Tables 11, 12, 22 and 23. It has also been found that these surprising and unexpected properties are also applicable to biological contaminant removal as shown in Tables 1-4 and 13-16.

Not wishing to be bound by any theory, the aforementioned examples illustrate that the cerium (IV) oxide composition embodied in the present disclosure provides for much better biological contaminant removal performance owing to its unique material characteristics.

A number of variations and modifications of the disclosure can be used. It would be possible to provide for some features of the disclosure without providing others.

The present disclosure, in various aspects, embodiments, and configurations, includes components, methods, processes, systems and/or apparatus substantially as depicted and described herein, including various aspects, embodiments, configurations, sub-combinations, and subsets thereof. Those of skill in the art will understand how to make and use the various aspects, aspects, embodiments, and configurations, after understanding the present disclosure. The present disclosure, in various aspects, embodiments, and configurations, includes providing devices and processes in the absence of items not depicted and/or described herein or in various aspects, embodiments, and configurations hereof, including in the absence of such items as may have been used in previous devices or processes, e.g., for improving performance, achieving ease and/or reducing cost of implementation.

The foregoing discussion of the disclosure has been presented for purposes of illustration and description. The foregoing is not intended to limit the disclosure to the form or forms disclosed herein. In the foregoing Detailed Description for example, various features of the disclosure are grouped together in one or more, aspects, embodiments, and configurations for the purpose of streamlining the disclosure. The features of the aspects, embodiments, and configurations of the disclosure may be combined in alternate aspects, embodiments, and configurations other than those discussed above. This method of disclosure is not to be interpreted as reflecting an intention that the claimed disclosure requires more features than are expressly recited in each claim. Rather, as the following claims reflect, inventive aspects lie in less than all features of a single foregoing disclosed aspects, embodiments, and configurations. Thus, the following claims are hereby incorporated into this Detailed Description, with each claim standing on its own as a separate preferred embodiment of the disclosure.

Moreover, though the description of the disclosure has included description of one or more aspects, embodiments, or configurations and certain variations and modifications, other variations, combinations, and modifications are within the scope of the disclosure, e.g., as may be within the skill and knowledge of those in the art, after understanding the present disclosure. It is intended to obtain rights which include alternative aspects, embodiments, and configurations to the extent permitted, including alternate, interchangeable and/or equivalent structures, functions, ranges or steps to those claimed, whether or not such alternate, interchangeable and/or equivalent structures, functions, ranges or steps are disclosed herein, and without intending to publicly dedicate any patentable subject matter.

## CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A method, comprising:  
 contacting a cerium (IV) oxide composition with a biological contaminant-containing  
 5 aqueous stream, wherein one or more of the following (i)-(vi) is true:
  - (i) the cerium (IV) oxide composition has a zeta potential at about pH 7 of no  
 more than about 30 mV and of more than about 1 mV;
  - (ii) the cerium (IV) oxide composition has a particle size  $D_{10}$  of more than about  
 0.5  $\mu\text{m}$  and no more than about 7  $\mu\text{m}$ ;
  - 10 (iii) the cerium (IV) oxide composition has a particle size  $D_{50}$  of more than about 2  
 $\mu\text{m}$  and no more than about 20  $\mu\text{m}$ ;
  - (iv) the cerium (IV) oxide composition has a particle size  $D_{90}$  of more than about  
 12  $\mu\text{m}$  and no more than about 50  $\mu\text{m}$ ;
  - (v) the cerium (IV) oxide composition has a crystallite size of more than about 1  
 15 nm and no more than about 22 nm; and
  - (vi) the cerium (IV) oxide composition has an acidic site concentration of more  
 than about 0.0001 acidic sites/kg and no more than about 0.020 acidic sites/kg; and  
 wherein the contacting of the cerium (IV) oxide composition with the biological  
 contaminant-containing aqueous stream removes some of the biological contaminant from the  
 20 biological contaminant-containing aqueous stream.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the biological contaminant is selected from the  
 group consisting of bacteria, yeasts, algae, and viruses.
3. The method of claim 1, wherein the biological contaminant is one selected from  
 the group of *Klebsiella oxytoca*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Selenastum capriocornutum*, and MS2.
- 25 4. The method of claim 2, wherein the cerium (IV) oxide composition removes more  
 of the biological contaminant per gram of  $\text{CeO}_2$  than an oxide of cerium (IV) and wherein one or  
 more of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are false for the oxide of cerium (IV).
5. The method of claim 1, wherein (i) is true and wherein one of the following is  
 true:
  - 30 (I) wherein (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are false;
  - (II) wherein one of (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) is false and the others of (ii), (iii),  
 (iv), (v) and (vi) are true;
  - (III) wherein two of (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are false and the others of (ii), (iii),  
 (iv), (v) and (vi) are true;



(IV) wherein three of (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are false and the others of (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are true;

(V) wherein four of (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are false and the others of (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) is true; and

5 (VI) wherein five of (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are false and the other of (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) is true.

6. The method of claim 1, wherein (ii) is true and where in one of the following is true:

(I) wherein (i), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are false;

10 (II) wherein one of (i), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) is false and the others of (i), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are true;

(III) wherein two of (i), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are false and the others of (i), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are true;

15 (IV) wherein three of (i), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are false and the others of (i), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are true;

(V) wherein four of (i), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are false and the others of (i), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) is true; and

(VI) wherein five of (i), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are false and the other of (i), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) is true.

20 7. The method of claim 1, wherein (iii) is true and where in one of the following is true:

(I) wherein (i), (ii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are false;

(II) wherein one of (i), (ii), (iv), (v) and (vi) is false and the others of (i), (ii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are true;

25 (III) wherein two of (i), (ii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are false and the others of (i), (ii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are true;

(IV) wherein three of (i), (ii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are false and the others of (i), (ii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are true;

30 (V) wherein four of (i), (ii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are false and the others of (i), (ii), (iv), (v) and (vi) is true; and

(VI) wherein five of (i), (ii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are false and the other of (i), (ii), (iv), (v) and (vi) is true.

8. The method of claim 1, wherein (iv) is true and where in one of the following is true:

(I) wherein (i), (ii), (iii), (v) and (vi) are false;

(II) wherein one of (i), (ii), (iii), (v) and (vi) is false and the others of (i), (ii), (iii), (v) and (vi) are true;

(III) wherein two of (i), (ii), (iii), (v) and (vi) are false and the others of (i), (ii), (iii), (v) and (vi) are true;

(IV) wherein three of (i), (ii), (iii), (v) and (vi) are false and the others of (i), (ii), (iii), (v) and (vi) are true;

(V) wherein four of (i), (ii), (iii), (v) and (vi) are false and the others of (i), (ii), (iii), (v) and (vi) is true; and

(VI) wherein five of (i), (ii), (iii), (v) and (vi) are false and the other of (i), (ii), (iii), (v) and (vi) is true.

9. The method of claim 1, wherein (v) is true and where in one of the following is true:

(I) wherein (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi) are false;

(II) wherein one of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi) is false and the others of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi) are true;

(III) wherein two of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi) are false and the others of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi) are true;

(IV) wherein three of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi) are false and the others of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi) are true;

(V) wherein four of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi) are false and the others of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi) is true; and

(VI) wherein five of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi) are false and the other of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi) is true.

10. The method of claim 1, wherein (vi) is true and where in one of the following is true:

(I) wherein (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) are false;

(II) wherein one of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) is false and the others of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) are true;

(III) wherein two of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) are false and the others of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) are true;

(IV) wherein three of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) are false and the others of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) are true;

(V) wherein four of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) are false and the others of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) is true; and

(VI) wherein five of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) are false and the other of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) is true.

11. The method of claim 1, wherein one or more of the following is true:

- (a) the zeta potential at about pH 7 is from about 7.5 to about 12.5 mV;
- (b) the particle size  $D_{10}$  is from about 1 to about 3  $\mu\text{m}$ ;
- (c) the particle size  $D_{50}$  is from about 7.5 to about 10.5  $\mu\text{m}$ ;
- (d) the particle size  $D_{90}$  is from about 20 to about 30  $\mu\text{m}$ ;
- (e) the crystallite size is from about 7.5 to about 12.5 nm; and
- (f) wherein the number of acid sites is no more than about 0.02 acidic

10 sites/kg.

12. A device, comprising:

an inlet to receive

an aqueous stream having a first level of a biological contaminant;

a contacting chamber, in fluid communication with the inlet and containing a

15 cerium (IV) oxide composition to contact the aqueous stream, wherein one or more of the following (i)-(vi) is true:

(i) the cerium (IV) oxide composition has a zeta potential at about pH 7 of no more than about 30 mV and of more than about 1 mV;

20 (ii) the cerium (IV) oxide composition has a particle size  $D_{10}$  of more than about 0.5  $\mu\text{m}$  and no more than about 7  $\mu\text{m}$ ;

(iii) the cerium (IV) oxide composition has a particle size  $D_{50}$  of more than about 2  $\mu\text{m}$  and no more than about 20  $\mu\text{m}$ ;

(iv) the cerium (IV) oxide composition has a particle size  $D_{90}$  of more than about 12  $\mu\text{m}$  and no more than about 50  $\mu\text{m}$ ;

25 (v) the cerium (IV) oxide composition has a crystallite size of more than about 1 nm and no more than about 22 nm; and

(vi) the cerium (IV) oxide composition has an acidic site concentration of more than about 0.0001 acidic sites/kg and no more than about 0.020 acidic sites/kg; and

30 wherein the aqueous stream has the first level of biological contaminant prior to the of the aqueous stream contacting the cerium (IV) oxide composition and a second level of biological contaminant after the contacting of the aqueous stream with the cerium (IV) oxide, wherein the first level of biological contaminant is greater than the second level of the biological contaminant, and

an outlet in fluid communication with the contacting chamber to output the aqueous stream having second level of the biological contaminant.

13. The device of claim 12, wherein the biological contaminant is selected from the group consisting of bacteria, yeasts, algae, and viruses.

5 14. The device of claim 12, wherein the biological contaminant is one selected from the group of *Klebsiella oxytoca*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Selenastum capriocornutum*, and MS2.

15. The device of claim 12, wherein one of the following is true:

- 10 (I) wherein one of (i) through (vi) is true and the other five are false;  
(II) wherein two of (i) through (vi) are true and the other four are false;  
(III) wherein three of (i) through (vi) are true and the other three are false;  
(IV) wherein four of (i) through (vi) are true and the other two are false;  
(V) wherein five of (i) through (vi) are true and the other one is false; and  
(VI) wherein all six of (i) through (vi) are true.

16. The device of claim 12, wherein one or more of the following is true:

- 15 (a) the zeta potential at about pH 7 is from about 7.5 to about 12.5 mV;  
(b) the particle size  $D_{10}$  is from about 1 to about 3  $\mu\text{m}$ ;  
(c) the particle size  $D_{50}$  is from about 7.5 to about 10.5  $\mu\text{m}$ ;  
(d) the particle size  $D_{90}$  is from about 20 to about 30  $\mu\text{m}$ ;  
(e) the crystallite size is from about 7.5 to about 12.5 nm; and  
20 (f) the number of acid sites is wherein the number of acid sites is no more than about 0.02 acidic sites/kg.

17. The device of claim 12, wherein the cerium (IV) oxide composition removes more of the biological contaminant per gram of  $\text{CeO}_2$  than an oxide of cerium (IV) and wherein one or more of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are false for the oxide of cerium (IV).

25 18. A composition, comprising:

a cerium (IV) oxide composition having a sorbed biological contaminant, wherein one or more of the following (i)-(vi) is true:

(i) the cerium (IV) oxide composition has, prior to the biological contaminant being sorbed, a zeta potential at about pH 7 of no more than about 30 mV and of more than about 1 mV;

30 (ii) the cerium (IV) oxide composition has a particle size  $D_{10}$  of more than about 0.5  $\mu\text{m}$  and no more than about 7  $\mu\text{m}$ ;

(iii) the cerium (IV) oxide composition has a particle size  $D_{50}$  of more than about 2  $\mu\text{m}$  and no more than about 20  $\mu\text{m}$ ;

(iv) the cerium (IV) oxide composition has a particle size  $D_{90}$  of more than about 12  $\mu\text{m}$  and no more than about 50  $\mu\text{m}$ ;

5 (v) the cerium (IV) oxide composition has a crystallite size of more than about 1 nm and no more than about 22 nm; and

(vi) the cerium (IV) oxide composition has, prior to the biological contaminant being sorbed, an acidic site concentration of more than about 0.0001 acidic sites/kg and no more than about 0.020 acidic sites/kg.

10 19. The composition of claim 18, wherein the sorbed biological contaminant is selected from the group consisting of bacteria, yeasts, algae, and viruses and wherein one of the following is true:

(I) wherein one of (i) through (vi) is true and the other five are false;

(II) wherein two of (i) through (vi) are true and the other four are false;

15 (III) wherein three of (i) through (vi) are true and the other three are false;

(IV) wherein four of (i) through (vi) are true and the other two are false;

(V) wherein five of (i) through (vi) are true and the other one is false; and

(VI) wherein all six of (i) through (vi) are true.

20 20. The composition of claim 18, wherein the sorbed biological contaminant is one selected from the group of *Klebsiella oxytoca*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Selenastum capriocornutum*, and MS2 and , wherein one or more of the following is true:

(a) the zeta potential at about pH 7 is from about 7.5 to about 12.5 mV;

(b) the particle size  $D_{10}$  is from about 1 to about 3  $\mu\text{m}$ ;

(c) the particle size  $D_{50}$  is from about 7.5 to about 10.5  $\mu\text{m}$ ;

25 (d) the particle size  $D_{90}$  is from about 20 to about 30  $\mu\text{m}$ ;

(e) the crystallite size is from about 7.5 to about 12.5 nm; and

(f) the number of acid sites is wherein the number of acid sites is no more than about 0.02 acidic sites/kg.




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6






Fig. 7




Fig. 8




Fig. 9




Fig. 10

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US2015/019476

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(8) - C02F 1/28 (2015.01)

CPC - C02F 1/281 (2015.04)

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC(8) - B01D 15/04, 15/08, 27/02; C02F 1/28 (2015.01)

CPC - B01D 15/08, 27/02; C02F 1/28, 1/281 (2015.04) (keyword delimited)

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched  
USPC - 210/634, 638, 690, 691 (keyword delimited)

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

Orbit, Google Patents, Google Scholar, Public AppFT and PatFT.

Search terms used: cerium (IV) oxide D90 D10 loading capacity "acidic site"

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 2012/0031827 A1 (BURBA et al) 09 February 2012 (09.02.2012) entire document	1-4, 7, 8, 11-20
---		
Y		5, 6, 9
Y	US 2013/0212944 A1 (VALTION TEKNILLINEN TUTKIMUSKESKUS et al) 22 August 2013 (22.08.2013) entire document	5, 6, 9

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.


\* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&amp;" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

30 April 2015

Date of mailing of the international search report

01 JUN 2015

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US, Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. 571-273-8300

Authorized officer:

Blaine R. Copenheaver

PCT Helpdesk: 571-272-4300

PCT OSP: 571-272-7774