




## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> :</b> <b>G06K 7/00, 7/10, 19/06, 19/07</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 98/26367</b> <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 18 June 1998 (18.06.98)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/US97/20628 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 12 November 1997 (12.11.97)  <b>(30) Priority Data:</b> 08/762,978                      9 December 1996 (09.12.96)                      US  <b>(71) Applicant:</b> DREXLER TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION [US/US]; 1077 Independence Avenue, Mountain View, CA 94043 (US).  <b>(72) Inventor:</b> DREXLER, Jerome; 25440 Becky Lane, Los Altos Hills, CA 94022 (US).  <b>(74) Agent:</b> SCHNECK, Thomas; Law Offices of Thomas Schneck, P.O. Box 2-E, San Jose, CA 95109-0005 (US).		<b>(81) Designated States:</b> CA, JP, KR, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i> <i>With amended claims and statement.</i>

**(54) Title:** ANTI-COUNTERFEIT VALIDATION METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR ELECTRONIC CASH CARDS

**(57) Abstract**

A method and system for inhibiting the counterfeiting of electronic cash smart cards (11) that use microchips for monetary stored value. Such cards have recently been counterfeited by the use of electronic techniques to break the security codes. Such counterfeiting can be inhibited by bonding (Fig. 3) an optical memory stripe (17; 41; 74) to the smart card with pre-recorded or post-recorded validation data (19) on the cards (Fig. 1). This optical validation data would be read (Fig. 2) with a photodetector array (65) and could be transmitted to the recipient during funds transfer and/or used locally to control dispensing of cash. Thus, successful counterfeiting of the modified electronic cash card would require meeting exacting electronic and optical requirements.



**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece			TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon			PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

## Description

ANTI-COUNTERFEIT VALIDATION METHOD AND SYSTEM  
FOR ELECTRONIC CASH CARDS

5

## TECHNICAL FIELD

The present invention relates to optical security methods and apparatus for smart cards.

## 10 BACKGROUND ART

Electronic commerce is a method of doing business transactions at a distance and making payments electronically. The Internet, interactive networks and cable and ATM machines are being utilized for electronic commerce. The smart card containing a microchip with semiconductor memory or microprocessor and memory was invented about 18 years ago. During the past few years there have been efforts to store monetary value on such cards which have been called electronic wallets or electronic purses. One of the better known cash card systems is known as the Mondex card or Mondex electronic cash smart cards which is owned by a group of banks and credit card issuers. The Mondex card system and other electronic cash smart cards were promoted as being tamperproof. This attracted the interest of Bell Communications Research (Bellcore) and the Weizmann Institute and the Technion Institute which undertook research to break the microchip security codes. They were successful and reported their results in November 1996 that a valid electronic cash smart card with its security codes can be duplicated, that is, counterfeited. The problem facing the financial institutions was how they could proceed with their plans to build an electronic commerce industry through the Internet and other networks when a principal tool, the electronic cash smart card, was subject to counterfeiting.

It is the object of the present invention to devise a method and apparatus to inhibit the counterfeiting of electronic cash smart cards.

5 DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION

The above objective has been met by a smart card having a pre-formatted optical memory stripe bonded to a plastic wallet-size substrate containing an electronic cash microchip. The data tracks on the  
10 optical memory stripe would be typically separated by 12 microns or 7.5 microns and would use recorded spots from one to three microns in diameter. The card validation data would comprise one thousand to one million of recorded spots.

15 The validation data could be recorded with a lower power laser apparatus by the bank or card issuer. The validation data could also be recorded by the card manufacturer onto the card using either a low power laser or photolithographic techniques. Under some security  
20 arrangement the validation could comprise recordings of both the card manufacturer and the card issuer.

Whereas counterfeiters have access to customer microchip manufacturing, there are only three optical memory card manufacturers in the world. Each of these  
25 three optical card manufacturers uses different and distinguishing optical storage media. It requires about \$20 million and a high degree of technical knowhow to build such a manufacturing plant. One such optical memory card manufacturing plant exists in the U.S.A. and  
30 two in Japan using security controls on the manufacturing and distribution of the optical memory portion of the card and on the laser writing apparatus such technology can be kept out of the hands of the counterfeiters.

The validation data must be read optically and  
35 utilized to thwart the counterfeiter. The method and apparatus for reading the data will involve either CCD arrays, other photodetector arrays or a single photodetector. The photodetector array could be of the linear

variety or a single photodetector could be used, in both cases the card would have to be in motion. In the case of a two-dimensional photodetector array the card would not require motion but instead the validation data images would be scanned electronically. The use of two dimensional CCD arrays to read data from an optical memory is described in U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,745,484 and 4,864,630. The use of a linear photodetector array to read optical memory is described in U.S. Pat. No. 4,634,850. The use of a single photodetector to read an optical memory is described in U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,500,777 and 4,544,835.

The validation data on the electronic cash smart card is read by a reading device containing photodetectors and electronically compared against reference data stored locally to determine the validity of the electronic cash card before funds are dispersed. These functions could be carried out in a public kiosk as part of an electronic commerce system. Just as a vending machine would reject counterfeit coins or currency the public kiosk would reject a card not containing key elements of the validation data.

Validation data would also be confirmed by the recipient of the money transfer. The validation data from the payor's kiosk would be transmitted to the payee's kiosk where the validation data would be compared against reference data to determine its validity before the money transfer would be accepted by the payee.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1 is a top plan view of a smart card in accord with the present invention.

Fig. 2 is an enlarged view of a thin optical recording strip on a card in accord with Fig. 1.

Fig. 3 is a plan view of optical apparatus for in-situ writing on the optical recording material of the card of Fig. 1.

## BEST MODE FOR CARRYING OUT THE INVENTION

With reference to Fig. 1, a smart card 11 is illustrated having a size common to most credit cards. The card's substrate material 13 is a dielectric, usually a plastic such as polyvinyl chloride or similar material carrying a chip inside, for example, as shown in U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,365,655 and 5,134,773. Polycarbonate is preferred. The surface finish of the base should have low specular reflectivity, preferably less than 10%.

Substrate 13 carries strip 17. The strip is typically 16 or 35 millimeters wide and extends the length of the card. Alternatively, the strip may have other sizes and orientations. The strip is relatively thin, typically 60-200 microns, although this is not critical. Strips of laser recording material may be applied to both sides of card 11. The strip may be applied to the card by any convenient method which achieves flatness.

The strip 17 is adhered to the card with an adhesive and is covered by a transparent laminating sheet 76 seen in Fig. 3 which serves to keep strip 17 flat, as well as protecting the strip from dust and scratches. Sheet 76 is a thin, transparent plastic sheet laminating material or a coating, such as a transparent lacquer. The material is preferably made of polycarbonate plastic.

The high resolution laser recording material which forms strip 17 may be any of the reflective recording material which have been developed for use as direct read-after-write (DRAW) optical disks, so long as the materials can be formed on thin substrates. An advantage of reflective materials over transmissive materials is that the read/write equipment is all on one side of the card, the data storage capacity is doubled and automatic focus is easier. For example, the high resolution material described in U.S. Pat. No. 4,230,939 issued to de Bont, et al. teaches a thin metallic recording layer of reflective metals such as Bi, Te, Ind, Sn, Cu, Al, Pt, Au, Rh, As, Sb, Ge, Se, Ga.

Materials which are preferred are those having high reflectivity and low melting point, particularly Cd, Sn, Tl, Ind, Bi and amalgams. Suspensions of reflective metal surfaces in organic colloids also form low melting temperature laser recording media. Silver is one such metal. Typical recording media are described in U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,314,260, 4,298,684, 4,278,758, 4,278,756 and 4,269,917, all assigned to the assignee of the present invention.

10           The laser recording material which is selected should be compatible with the laser which is used for writing on it. Some materials are more sensitive than others at certain wavelengths. Good sensitivity to infrared light is preferred because infrared is affected least by scratches and dirt on the transparent laminating sheet. The selected recording material should have a favorable signal-to-noise ratio and form high contrast data bits with the read/write system with which it is used.

20           The material should not lose data when subjected to temperatures of about 180°F (82°C) for long periods. The material should also be capable of recording at speeds of at least several thousand bits/sec. This generally precludes the use of materials that require long heating times or that rely on slow chemical reactions in the presence of heat, which may permit recording of only a few bits/sec. A large number of highly reflective laser recording materials have been used for optical data disk applications.

30           Data is recorded by forming spots in the surrounding field of the reflective layer itself, thereby altering the reflectivity in the data spot. Data is read by detecting the optical reflective contrast between the surrounding reflective field of unrecorded areas and the recorded spots. Spot reflectivity of less than half the reflectivity of the surrounding field produces a contrast ratio of at least two to one, which is sufficient contrast for reading. Greater contrast is preferred.

Reflectivity of the strip field of about 50% is preferred with reflectivity of a spot in the reflective field being less than 10%, thus creating a contrast ratio of greater than five to one. Alternatively, data may also be  
5 recorded by increasing the reflectivity of the strip. For example, the recording laser can melt a field of dull microscopic spikes on the strip to create flat shiny spots. This method is described in SPIE, Vol. 329, Optical Disk Technology (1982), p. 202. A spot  
10 reflectivity of more than twice the surrounding spiked field reflectivity produces a contrast ratio of at least two to one, which is sufficient contrast for reading.

Data strip 17 is intended to provide a data record and has digital information indicia. Digital  
15 machine readable data is written in individual tracks extending in a longitudinal direction, as indicated by the spot patterns 19 and these spot patterns are analogous to sound track on a film, except that the data tracks contain a much higher density of information and  
20 are usually read in reflection, rather than in transmission, typically by a CCD array. The information density is greater because each of the spots in the spot pattern is approximately 5-10 microns in diameter with a spacing of about 5-10 microns between spots. The spots are  
25 recorded by a laser in the usual way, for example as shown in U.S. Pat. No. 4,278,756 to Bouldin et al.

With reference to Fig. 2, a card substrate 70 carries an optional secondary substrate 72 which is a thin flexible material, only a few mils thick carrying a  
30 laser recording material 74. The secondary substrate 72 is adhered to the primary substrate 70 by means of an adhesive or sticky substance, similar to dry adhesives found on tape. The laser recording material may be any of the materials previously discussed. A protective  
35 coating 76 is applied over the laser recording material.

A laser writing apparatus is illustrated in Fig. 3 which illustrates the side view of the lengthwise dimension of the medium of Fig. 1 consisting of a data



strip having digital information in combination with microscopic visually readable information on a card. The data strip portion 41 of the medium is usually received in a movable holder 42 which brings the strip into the trajectory of a laser beam. A laser light source 43, preferably a pulsed semiconductor laser of infrared wavelength emits a beam 45 which passes through collimating and focusing optics 47. The beam is sampled by a beam splitter 49 which transmits a portion of the beam through a focusing lens 51 to a photodetector 53. The detector 53 confirms laser writing. The beam is then directed to a first servo controlled mirror 55 which is mounted for rotation along axis 57 in the direction indicated by arrows B. The purpose of the mirror 55 is to find the lateral edges of the data strip in a coarse mode of operation and then in a fine mode of operation identify data paths or character sites which exist predetermined distances from the edges.

From mirror 55, the beam is directed toward a mirror 61. This mirror is mounted for rotation at pivot 63. The purpose of mirror 55 is for fine control of motion of the beam along the length of the data strip. Coarse control of the lengthwise portion of the data strip relative to the beam is achieved by motion of the movable holder 42. The position of the holder may be established by a linear motor and used by a closed loop position servo system of the type used in magnetic disk drives. Reference position information may be prerecorded on the card so that position error signals may be generated and used as feedback in motor control. Upon reading one data path, the mirror 55 is slightly rotated. The motor moves holder 42 lengthwise so that the path can be read again, and so on.

For writing microscopic visually readable information, mirror 55 is used to identify character sites at predetermined distances from the edges. Mirror 57 moves the scanning beam lengthwise from character site to character site. Upon reading one row of character

sites, mirror 55 is slightly rotated. Within a character site, mirrors 55 and 57 cooperate to move the beam in either a zig-zag pattern or a raster-like pattern. Laser spots are written at designated locations within a character site to form a character. When one character is written mirrors 55 and 57 move the beam to the next character site.

As light is scattered and reflected from spots in the laser recording material, the percentage of reflected light from the incident beam changes relative to surrounding material where no spots exist. The incident laser beam should deliver sufficient laser energy to the surface of the recording material to create spots in the data writing mode, but should not cause disruption of the surface so as to cause difficulty in the lower beam power data reading mode. The wavelength of the laser should be compatible with the recording material to achieve this purpose. In the read mode, power is approximately 5% to 10% of the recording or writing power.

Differences in reflectivity between a spot and surrounding material are detected by a CCD array 65, either a linear array or area array. The number of detector elements per track would be approximately three elements to create a reading redundancy. The surface would be illuminated with low-cost light-emitting diodes generating power primarily in the near infrared to match the sensitivity spectrum of the photodetector array.

Once a card is prepared, with either prerecorded information on the optical strip or with laser written information, the extended information must be sufficiently complex to prevent easy replication. For example, a pattern of one million spots, being the digital representation of a random number, might be an authentication "password" or validation data. A group of numbers, representing a card serial number, date of card issuance, geographical location of issuer and types of purchases not permitted could be encoded with the spots.

When this password or verification data is matched with another password associated with an electronic reader, a verification signal is generated, indicating an authentic card. The reader may be linked electronically with a password server or the passwords may be stored in a memory local to the reader.

The passwords may be prerecorded by the card manufacturer, using a photolithography process or a laser process, as described above. Alternatively, the password may be written by a card-issuing agency or by both the card manufacturer and the card-issuing agency. Passwords or validation data could be limited in use to a group of issuers.

## Claims

1. A method of validating an electronic cash smart card comprising,

5                   bonding an optical memory stripe to the smart card,

                  recording optical validation data on a specific location on the optical memory stripe,

10                   recording reference validation data in the smart card reading device,

                  reading optical data on the optical stripe at the location specified for the validation data,

                  comparing the optical and reference validation in the reader device,

15                   accepting or rejecting the electronic cash smart card depending upon whether the optical validation data matches the reference validation data.

20

2. The method of claim 1 where said electronic cash smart card and said reference validation data are at the same geographical location during the comparing step.

25

3. The method of claim 1 where said electronic cash smart card and said reference validation data are at different geographical locations which are electronically  
30 linked during the comparing step.

4. The method of claim 1 where the validation data on  
35 said optical memory stripe includes the identification of a card-issuing institution.

-11-

5. The method of claim 4 where at least some of said validation data was pre-recorded prior to the cards being delivered to the card-issuing institution whose identification is on said cards.

5

6. The method of claim 1 where said recorded validation data on said optical memory stripe includes pre-recorded data by laser or photolithography.

10

7. The method of claim 1 where the reference validation data in the card reading system is limited to a group of specified card-issuing institutions.

15

8. The method of claim 1 where said validation data includes some of the following information: the card serial number, date of card issuance, geographical location of the issuer and types of purchases not permitted.

20

## AMENDED CLAIMS

[received by the International Bureau on 4 May 1998 (04.05.98);  
original claims 1-3 amended; other claims unchanged ( 2 pages)]

1. A method of validating an electronic cash card  
comprising,

5               bonding an optical memory stripe to the card,  
                recording optical validation data on a specific  
location on the optical memory stripe,  
                recording reference validation data in the  
card reading device,

10               reading optical data on the optical stripe at  
the location specified for the validation data,  
                comparing the optical and reference validation  
data in the reading device,

15               accepting or rejecting the electronic cash card  
depending upon whether the optical validation data  
matches the reference validation data.

20               2. The method of claim 1 where said electronic cash card  
and said reference validation data are at the same  
geographical location during the comparing step.

25               3. The method of claim 1 where said electronic cash card  
and said reference validation data are at different  
geographical locations which are electronically linked  
during the comparing step.

30               4. The method of claim 1 where the validation data on  
said optical memory stripe includes the identification of  
35               a card-issuing institution.

5. The method of claim 4 where at least some of said validation data was pre-recorded prior to the cards being delivered to the card-issuing institution whose identification is on said cards.

5

6. The method of claim 1 where said recorded validation data on said optical memory stripe includes pre-recorded data by laser or photolithography.

10

7. The method of claim 1 where the reference validation data in the card reading system is limited to a group of specified card-issuing institutions.

15




8. The method of claim 1 where said validation data includes some of the following information: the card serial number, date of card issuance, geographical location of the issuer and types of purchases not permitted.

20

**STATEMENT UNDER ARTICLE 19**

Applicant is amending claims 1, 2 and 3 to eliminate the word "smart" from the claims. The claims are now directed to an electronic cash card rather than to a smart card. Applicant asserts that the amended claims do not incorporate any new matter.



**FIG. 1****FIG. 2****FIG. 3**

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/US97/20628

**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**

IPC(6) : G06K 7/00, 7/10, 19/06, 19/07

US CL : 235/454, 457, 487, 488, 492; 369/44.26, 275.3, 279

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

**B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 235/454, 457, 487, 488, 492; 369/44.26, 275.3, 279

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

APS

search terms: optical card, smart card, IC card, magnetic card, authentication, verification, stripe,

**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5,291,006 A (NISHIGUMA ET AL.) 01 March 1994 (01/03/94), see entire document.	1-8
Y	US 5,514,856 A (KITAHARA ET AL.) 07 May 1996 (07/05/96), see entire document.	1-8
Y	US 5,151,582 A (FUJIOKA) 29 September 1992 (29/09/92), see entire document.	1-8
Y	US 5,070,490 A (ENDO) 03 December 1991 (03/12/91), see entire document.	1-8
Y	US 5,446,273 A (LESLIE) 29 August 1995 (29/08/95), see entire document.	1-8
Y	US 4,982,073 A (STENZEL) 01 January 1991 (01/01/91), see entire document.	1-8

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. ☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
*A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
*E* earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
*L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	* & * document member of the same patent family
*O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	
*P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search

20 FEBRUARY 1998

Date of mailing of the international search report

11 MAR 1998

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Box PCT  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

DOUGLAS X. RODRIGUEZ

Telephone No. (703) 308-4081

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/US97/20628

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 5,306,899 A (MAROM ET AL.) 26 April 1994 (26/04/91), see entire document.	1-8
Y, P	US 5,619,025 A (HICKMAN ET AL.) 08 April 1997 (08/04/97), see entire document.	1-8
Y	US 4,982,073 A (STENZEL) 01 January 1991 (01/01/91), see entire document.	1-8