




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁵ : H04M 9/08	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 94/08418 (43) International Publication Date: 14 April 1994 (14.04.94)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US93/09112 (22) International Filing Date: 24 September 1993 (24.09.93) (30) Priority data: 951,074 25 September 1992 (25.09.92) US (71) Applicant: QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [US/US]; 10555 Sorrento Valley Road, San Diego, CA 92121 (US). (72) Inventor: SIH, Gilbert, C. ; 10603 Dabney Drive, Apt. 8, San Diego, CA 92126 (US). (74) Agent: MILLER, Russell, B.; Qualcomm Incorporated, 10555 Sorrento Valley Road, San Diego, CA 92121 (US).		(81) Designated States: AT, AU, BB, BG, BR, CA, CH, CZ, DE, DK, ES, FI, GB, HU, JP, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LU, LV, MG, MN, MW, NL, NO, NZ, PL, RO, RU, SD, SE, SK, UA, VN, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). Published <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>

(54) Title: NETWORK ECHO CANCELLER**(57) Abstract**

An echo canceller and method for cancelling in a return channel signal an echoed receive channel signal where the echoed receive channel signal is combined by an echo channel (142) with an input return channel signal. A control unit (152) determines from the receive channel signal, the combined signal, and first and second echo residuals, one of a plurality of control states wherein a first control state is indicative of a receive channel signal above a first predetermined energy level, wherein when the control unit (152) is in the first control state it generates the first control signal and generates the second control signal when at least one of a first energy ratio of the first echo residual signal and the combined signal exceed a predetermined level.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	FR	France	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GB	United Kingdom	NE	Niger
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	NZ	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	IT	Italy	PT	Portugal
BY	Belarus	JP	Japan	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KZ	Kazakhstan	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	LI	Liechtenstein	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	SK	Slovak Republic
CM	Cameroon	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LV	Latvia	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	MC	Monaco	TG	Togo
CZ	Czech Republic	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
DE	Germany	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
DK	Denmark	MN	Mongolia	UZ	Uzbekistan
ES	Spain			VN	Viet Nam
FI	Finland				

NETWORK ECHO CANCELLER

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5 I. Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to communication systems. More particularly, the present invention relates to a novel and improved method and apparatus for cancelling echos in telephone systems.

10

II. Description of the Related Art

Every current land-based telephone is connected to a central office by a two-wire line (called the customer or subscriber loop) which supports
15 transmission in both directions. However, for calls longer than about 35 miles, the two directions of transmission must be segregated onto physically separate wires, resulting in a four-wire line. The device that interfaces the two-wire and four wire segments is called a hybrid. A typical long-distance telephone circuit can be described as being two-wire in the
20 subscriber loop to the local hybrid, four-wire over the long-haul network to the distant hybrid, and then two-wire to the distant speaker.

Although the use of hybrids facilitates long distance speech transmission, impedance mismatches at the hybrid may result in echos. The speech of the speaker A is reflected off the distant hybrid (the hybrid
25 closest to the speaker B) in the telephone network back toward the speaker A, causing the speaker A to hear an annoying echo of his/her own voice. Network echo cancellers are thus used in the land-based telephone network to eliminate echos caused by impedance mismatches at the hybrids and are typically located in the central office along with the hybrid.
30 The echo canceller located closest to speaker A or B is thus used to cancel the echo caused by the hybrid at the other end of the call.

Network echo cancellers, employed in the land-based telephone system, are typically digital devices so as to facilitate digital transmission of the signals. Since the analog speech signals need to be converted to digital
35 form, a codec located at the central office is typically employed. The analog signals provided from telephone A (speaker A) to central office A are passed through hybrid A and are converted to digital form by codec A.

The digital signals are then transmitted to central office B where they are provided to codec B for conversion to analog form. The analog signals are then coupled through hybrid B to the telephone B (speaker B). At the hybrid B, an echo of the speaker A's signal is created. This echo is encoded
5 by the codec B and transmitted back to the central office A. At central office A an echo canceller removes the return echo.

In the conventional analog cellular telephone system, echo cancellers are also employed and are typically located at the base station. These echo cancellers operate in a similar fashion to those in the land-
10 based system to remove unwanted echo.

In a digital cellular telephone system for a call between a mobile station and a land-based telephone, the mobile station speaker's speech is digitized using a codec and then compressed using a vocoder, which models the speech into a set of parameters. The vocoded speech is coded
15 and transmitted digitally over the airwaves. The base station receiver decodes the signal and passes it four-wire to the vocoder decoder, which synthesizes a digital speech signal from the transmitted speech parameters. This synthesized speech is passed to the telephone network over a T1 interface, a time-multiplexed group of 24 voice channels. At some point
20 in the network, usually at the central office, the signal is converted back to analog form and passed to the hybrid at the subscriber loop. At this hybrid the signal is converted to two-wire for transmission over the wire-pair toward the land-based subscriber phone.

For reference purposes, in a cellular call between a mobile station
25 and a land-based telephone, the speaker in the mobile station is the far-end talker and the speaker at the land-based telephone is the near-end talker. As in the land-based system, the speech of the far-end talker is reflected off the distant hybrid in the telephone network back towards the far-end talker. As a result the far-end talker, i.e. mobile station, hears an
30 annoying echo of his/her own voice.

Conventional network echo cancellers typically employ adaptive digital filtering techniques. However, the filter used normally cannot precisely replicate the channel, thus resulting in some residual echo. A center-clipping echo suppressor is then used to eliminate the residual
35 echo. The echo suppressor subjects the signal to a nonlinear function. Synthesized noise can be used to replace signal sections that were set to

zero by the center-clipping echo suppressor to prevent the channel from sounding "dead".

Although the just mentioned echo cancellation approach is satisfactory for analog signals, this type of residual echo processing causes a problem in digital telephony. As mentioned previously, in a digital system vocoders are used to compress speech for transmission. Since vocoders are especially sensitive to nonlinear effects, center-clipping causes a degradation in voice quality. Furthermore, the noise replacement techniques used causes a perceptible variation in normal noise characteristics.

It is therefore an object of the present invention to provide a new and improved echo canceller capable of providing high dynamic echo cancellation for improved voice quality.

It is another object of the present invention to provide an echo canceller particularly suited for echo cancellation in the coupling of a digital communication system with an analog communication system.

It is yet another object of the present invention to provide an echo canceller with improved echo cancellation performance for cases where both parties are simultaneously talking.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is a novel and improved network echo canceller for digital telephony applications. In accordance with the present invention, an echo canceller is employed wherein the impulse response of the unknown echo channel is identified, a replica of this echo is generated using adaptive filtering techniques, and the echo replica is subtracted from the signal heading toward the far-end talker to cancel the far-end talker echo.

In the present invention, two adaptive filters are used where the step size of each filter is specifically adjusted to optimize each filter for different purposes. One filter, the echo canceller filter, performs the echo cancellation and is optimized for high echo return loss enhancement (ERLE). The second filter, the state filter, is used for state determination and is optimized for fast adaptation.

The present invention differs markedly from conventional echo cancellers in its treatment of doubletalk, where both speakers are talking simultaneously. Conventional echo cancellers cannot detect doubletalk until the adaptive filter that tracks the echo channel has already been
5 slightly corrupted, necessitating the use of a nonlinear center-clipper to remove the residual echo.

The present invention also incorporates a variable adaptation threshold. This novel technique halts filter adaptation immediately at the exact onset of doubletalk, thus preserving the estimated echo channel
10 precisely and obviating the need for the center-clipping to remove the residual echo. As an added feature, the present invention incorporates an improved method of speech detection, which accurately detects speech even in environments containing large amounts of background noise. The present invention also utilizes novel techniques that automatically
15 compensate for flat-delays in the echo channel, and permit fast initial adaptation.

In accordance with the present invention an echo canceller and method for cancelling in a return channel signal an echoed receive channel signal where the echoed receive channel signal is combined by an
20 echo channel with an input return channel signal. The echo canceller has a first filter which generates first filter coefficients, generates a first echo estimate signal with the first filter coefficients, and updates the first filter coefficients in response to a first filter control signal. A first summer subtracts the first echo estimate signal from a combined return channel
25 and echo receive channel signal to generate a first echo residual signal. A second filter generates second filter coefficients, generates a second echo estimate signal with the second filter coefficients, and updates the second filter coefficients in response to a second filter control signal. A second summer subtracts the second echo estimate signal from the combined
30 signal to generate a second echo residual signal, and provides upon the return channel the second echo residual signal. A control unit determines from the receive channel signal, the combined signal, and the first and second echo residual signals, one of a plurality of control states wherein a first control state is indicative of a receive channel signal above a first
35 predetermined energy level, wherein when the control unit is in the first control state it generates the first control signal and generates the second

control signal when at least one of a first energy ratio of the first echo residual signal and the combined signal and a second energy ratio of the second echo residual signal and the combined signal exceed a predetermined level.

5

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The features, objects, and advantages of the present invention will become more apparent from the detailed description set forth below when
10 taken in conjunction with the drawings in which like reference characters identify correspondingly throughout and wherein:

Figure 1 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary architecture for a digital cellular telephone system and its interface with a land-based telephone system;

15 Figure 2 is a block diagram of a conventional echo canceller;

Figure 3 is a graph illustrating the regions in an echo channel impulse response;

Figure 4 is a block diagram of a transversal adaptive filter;

20 Figure 5 is a block diagram of the echo canceller of the present invention;

Figure 6 is a block diagram illustrating further details of the control unit of Figure 5;

Figure 7 is a flow diagram of the sample data processing for echo cancelling;

25 Figure 8 is a flow diagram of the steps involved in the parameter adjustment step of Figure 7; and

Figure 9 is a flow diagram of the steps involved in the periodic function computation step of Figure 7; and

30 Figure 10 is a diagram illustrating the circular-end sample buffer and initial filter tap position;

Figure 11 is a diagram illustrating the tap buffer and a copying of the initial filter taps into the state filter and the echo canceller filter;

35 Figure 12 is a diagram illustrating the tap buffer and a maximum shift of the filter tap positions of the state filter and echo canceller filter with respect to the samples;

Figure 13 is a state machine diagram illustrating the various states of the echo canceller; and

Figure 14 is a flow diagram of the steps involved in the state machine step of Figure 7.

5

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

10 In a cellular communication system, such as a cellular telephone system, which interfaces with a land-based telephone system, a network echo canceller located at the base station cancels echos returning to the mobile station. Referring now to Figure 1, an exemplary system architecture is provided for a digital cellular telephone system and its interface to a land-based telephone system. This system architecture is defined by operational elements of mobile station 10, cell or base station 30, mobile telephone switching office (MTSO) 40, central office 50, and telephone 60. It should be understood that other architectures may be employed for the system which include a cellular system with a mere change in location or position of the various operational elements. It should also be understood that the echo canceller of the present invention may also be used in replacement of conventional echo cancellers in conventional systems.

20 Mobile station 10 includes, among other elements not shown, handset 12, which includes microphone 13 and speaker 14; codec 16; vocoder 18; transceiver 20 and antenna 22. The mobile station user's voice is received by microphone 13 where it is coupled to codec 16 and converted to digital form. The digitized voice signal is then compressed by vocoder 18. The vocoded speech is modulated and transmitted digitally over the air by transceiver 20 and antenna 22.

30 Transceiver 20 may, for example, use digital modulation techniques such as time division multiple access (TDMA) or of the spread spectrum type such as frequency hopping (FH) or code division multiple access (CDMA). An example of CDMA modulation and transmission techniques is disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,103,459, entitled "SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR GENERATING SIGNAL WAVEFORMS IN A CDMA CELLULAR TELEPHONE", issued April 7, 1992, and assigned to the assignee of the

present invention, the disclosure of which is incorporated by reference. In such a CDMA system, vocoder 18 is preferably of a variable rate type such as disclosed in copending U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 07/713,661, entitled "VARIABLE RATE VOCODER", filed June 11, 1991, and also
5 assigned to the assignee of the present invention, the disclosure of which is also incorporated by reference.

Base station 30 includes among other elements not shown, antenna 32, transceiver system 34 and MTSO interface 36. Base station transceiver system 34 demodulates and decodes the received signals from
10 mobile station 10 and other mobile stations (not shown) and passes the them on to MTSO interface 36 for transfer to MTSO 40. The signals may be transferred from base station 40 to MTSO via many different methods such as by microwave, fiber optic, or wireline link.

MTSO 40 includes among other elements not shown, base station
15 interface 42, a plurality of vocoder selector cards 44A - 44N, and public switched telephone network (PSTN) interface 48. The signal from base station 30 is received at base station interface 42 and provided to one of vocoder selector cards 44A - 44N, for example vocoder selector card 44A.

Each of the vocoder selector cards 44A - 44N comprises a respective
20 vocoder 45A - 45N and a respective network echo canceller 46A - 46N. The vocoder decoder (not shown) contained within each of vocoders 45A - 45N synthesizes a digital speech signal from the respective mobile station transmitted speech parameters. These samples are then sent to the respective echo canceller 46A - 46N, which passes them on to
25 PSTN interface 48. In this example the signals are provided through vocoder 45A and echo canceller 46A. The synthesized speech samples for each call are then passed through PSTN interface 48 into the telephone network, typically via a wireline T1 interface, i.e., a time-multiplexed group of 24 voice channels, to central office 50.

30 Central office 50 includes among other elements not shown, MTSO interface 52, codec 54, hybrid 56. The digital signal received at central office 50 through MTSO interface 52 is coupled to codec 54 where it is converted back to analog form and passed on to hybrid 56. At hybrid 56 the analog four-wire signal is converted to two-wire for transmission
35 over the wire-pair toward land-based subscriber telephone 60.

The analog signal output from codec 54 is also reflected off hybrid 56 due to an impedance mismatch. This signal reflection takes the form of an echo signal heading back toward the mobile 10. The reflection or echo path at hybrid 56 is shown by dotted arrow line 58.

5 In the other direction, the two-wire analog speech signal from telephone 60 is provided to central office 50. At central office 50 the speech signal is converted to four-wire at hybrid 56 and is added to the echo signal traveling toward mobile 10. The combined speech and echo signal is digitized at codec 54 and passed on to MTSO 40 by MTSO interface 52.

10 At MTSO 40 the signal is received by PSTN interface 48 and sent to echo canceller 46A, which removes the echo before the signal is encoded by vocoder 45A. The vocoded speech signal is forwarded via base station interface 42 to base station 30 and any other appropriate additional base stations for transmission to mobile station 10. The signal transmitted
15 from base station interface 42 is received at base station 30 by MTSO interface 36. The signal is passed on to transceiver system 34 for transmission encoding and modulation, and transmitted upon antenna 32.

The transmitted signal is received upon antenna 22 at mobile
20 station 10 and provided to transceiver 20 for demodulating and decoding. The signal is then provided to the vocoder 18 where the synthesized speech samples are produced. These samples are provided to codec 16 for digital to analog conversion with the analog speech signal provided to speaker 14.

25 In order to fully understand the echo canceller of the present invention it is helpful to examine the traditional echo canceller and its deficiencies when operating in a digital cellular environment. A block diagram of a traditional network echo canceller (NEC) 100 is shown in Figure 2.

30 In Figure 2, the speech signal from the mobile station is labeled as the far-end speech $x(n)$, while the speech from the land side is labeled as near-end speech $v(n)$. The reflection of $x(n)$ off the hybrid is modeled as passing $x(n)$ through an unknown echo channel 102 to produce the echo signal $y(n)$, which is summed at summer 104 with the near-end speech
35 signal $v(n)$. Although summer 104 is not an included element in the echo canceller itself, the physical effect of such a device is a parasitic result of the

system. To remove low-frequency background noise, the sum of the echo signal $y(n)$ and the near-end speech signal $v(n)$ is high-pass filtered through filter 106 to produce signal $r(n)$. The signal $r(n)$ is provided as one input to summer 108 and to the near-end speech detection circuitry 110.

5 The other input of summer 108 (a subtract input) is coupled to the output of an adaptive transversal filter 112. Adaptive filter 112 receives the far-end speech signal $x(n)$ and a feedback of the echo residual signal $e(n)$ output from summer 108. In cancelling the echo, adaptive filter 112 continually tracks the impulse response of the echo path, and subtracts an
10 echo replica $\hat{y}(n)$ from the output of filter 106 in summer 108. Adaptive filter 112 also receives a control signal from circuitry 110 so as to freeze the filter adaptation process when near-end speech is detected.

 The echo residual signal $e(n)$ is also output to circuitry 110 and center-clipping echo supressor 114. The output of supressor 114 is
15 provided as the cancelled echo signal when echo cancellation is in operation.

 The echo path impulse response can be decomposed into two sections, the flat delay region and the echo dispersion, as is shown in the graph of Figure 3. The flat delay region, where the response is close to
20 zero, is caused by the round-trip delay for the far-end speech to reflect off the hybrid and return to the echo canceller. The echo dispersion region, where the response is significant, is the echo response caused by the reflection off the hybrid.

 If the echo channel estimate generated by adaptive filter exactly
25 matches the true echo channel, the echo is completely cancelled. However, the filter normally cannot precisely replicate the channel, causing some residual echo. Echo suppressor 114 eliminates the residual echo by passing the signal through a nonlinear function that sets to zero any signal portion that falls below a threshold A and passing unchanged
30 any signal segment that lies above the threshold A. Synthesized noise can be used to replace signal sections that were set to zero by the center-clipping to prevent the channel from sounding "dead".

 As mentioned previously, although this approach is satisfactory for analog signals, this residual echo processing causes a problem in digital
35 telephony, where vocoders are used to compress speech for transmission. Since vocoders are especially sensitive to nonlinear effects, center-clipping

causes a degradation in voice quality while the noise replacement causes a perceptible variation in noise characteristics.

Figure 4 illustrates in further detail the structure of adaptive filter 112 of Figure 2. The notations in Figure 4 are defined as follows:

- 5 N : The filter order;
- $x(n)$: The sample of far-end speech at time n ;
- $h_k(n)$: The k^{th} filter tap at time n ;
- $r(n)$: The echo sample at time n ;
- $\hat{y}(n)$: The estimated echo at time n ; and
- 10 $e(n)$: The echo residual at time n .

Adaptive filter 112 is comprised of a plurality of tapped delay elements 120₁ - 120_{N-1}, a plurality of multipliers 122₀ - 122_{N-1}, summer 124 and coefficient generator 126. An input far-end speech sample $x(n)$ is
 15 input to both of delay element 120₁ and multiplier 122₀. As the next samples come into filter 112 the older samples are shifted through delay elements 120₂ - 120_{N-1}, where they are also output to a respective one of multipliers 122₁ - 122_{N-1}.

Coefficient generator 126 receives the echo residual signal $e(n)$
 20 output from summer 108 (Figure 2) and generates a set of coefficients $h_0(n)$ - $h_{N-1}(n)$. These filter coefficient values $h_0(n)$ - $h_{N-1}(n)$ are respectively input to multipliers 122₀ - 122_{N-1}. The resultant output from each of multipliers 122₀ - 122_{N-1} is provided to summer 124 where they are summed to provide the estimated echo signal $\hat{y}(n)$. The estimated echo
 25 signal $\hat{y}(n)$ is then provided to summer 108 (Figure 2) where it is subtracted from the echo signal $r(n)$ to form the echo residual signal $e(n)$. In the traditional echo canceller of Figure 2, a control input is provided to generator 126 to enable coefficient updating when no near-end speech is detected by circuitry 110. When doubletalk or near-end speech only is
 30 detected by circuitry 110, the control input disables the updating of the filter coefficients.

The algorithm implemented in coefficient generator 126 for adapting the filter tap coefficients to track the echo path response is the normalized least-mean-square (NLMS) adaptation algorithm. Introducing

for this algorithm the vectors:

$$x(n) = [x(n) \ x(n-1) \ x(n-2) \ \dots \ x(n-N+1)] \quad (1)$$

$$h(n) = [h_0(n) \ h_1(n) \ h_2(n) \ \dots \ h_{N-1}(n)] \quad (2)$$

the vector inner product between $h(n)$ and $x(n)$ is defined as:

$$5 \quad \langle h(n) \ x(n) \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} h_i(n) x(n-i). \quad (3)$$

The adaptation algorithm is stated as:

$$h(n+1) = h(n) + \mu \frac{1}{(E_{xx}(n))} e(n) x(n) \quad (4)$$

- 10 where: $h(n)$ is the tap coefficient vector,
 $x(n)$ is the reference signal input vector,
 $e(n)$ is the echo residual signal;
 μ is the step size; and
 $E_{xx}(n)$ is an energy estimate computed as the sum of the squares
 15 of the N most recent samples where:

$$E_{xx}(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} [x(n-i)]^2 \quad (5)$$

20 The main advantages of this algorithm (4) are that it has smaller computation requirements than other adaptive algorithms, and its stability properties are well-understood. Convergence can be guaranteed by an appropriate choice of step size ($0 < \mu < 2$) with $\mu = 1$ providing the fastest convergence. Smaller step sizes provide a greater degree of cancellation in the steady-state at the expense of convergence speed.

25 It should be noted that the near-end talker speech signal $v(n)$ is not included in the echo residual signal $e(n)$ because adaptive filter 112 is disabled by near-end speech detection circuitry 110 when speech from the near-end talker is detected.

30 In addition to providing the enable signal to filter 112, circuitry 110 may also generate and provide the value of $E_{xx}(n)$ to filter 112 in the control input. Furthermore the value of μ is typically fixed in generator 126 or a fixed value provided from circuitry 110 in the control input.

The most difficult design problem in echo cancellation is the detection and handling of doubletalk, i.e., when both parties speak simultaneously. As opposed to a voice-activated switch (VOX) that allows only simplex communication, an echo canceller preserves duplex communication and must continue to cancel the far-end talker echo while the near-end speaker is talking. To prevent the filter coefficients from being corrupted by the near-end speech, the filter taps must be frozen to prevent divergence from the transfer characteristics of the actual echo channel.

Referring back to Figure 2, near-end speech detection circuitry 110 may use energy measurements of $x(n)$, $r(n)$, and $e(n)$ to determine when near-end speech is occurring. A classical doubletalk detection method compares short-term energy averages of $x(n)$ and $r(n)$ using the knowledge that the echo path loss across the hybrid is about 6 dB. If the hybrid loss drops below 6 dB, near-end speech is declared. However, experimental studies have revealed that this method lacks sensitivity. The large dynamic range of the near-end speech $v(n)$ causes this method to miss detection occasionally, causing the filter coefficients to be corrupted.

Another popular doubletalk detection method examines the short-term echo return loss enhancement (ERLE), which is defined as:

$$\text{ERLE (dB)} = 10 \log(\sigma_y^2 / \sigma_e^2), \quad (6)$$

where σ_y^2 is the variance of $y(n)$, σ_e^2 is the variance of $e(n)$, and these variances are approximated using the short-term energy averages:

$$\hat{\sigma}_y^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} [y(n-i)]^2; \text{ and} \quad (7)$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_e^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} [e(n-i)]^2 \quad (8)$$

30

The ERLE represents the amount of energy that is removed from the echo after it is passed through the echo canceller. This doubletalk detection method compares short-term energy estimates of $r(n)$ and $e(n)$, and declares doubletalk if the short-term ERLE drops below some predetermined threshold such as 6 dB. Although this method provides

35

greater sensitivity, it incurs a slight delay before detecting the onset of near-end speech, causing the echo channel estimate to be slightly corrupted before adaptation is frozen. This detriment necessitates the use of an additional technique to remove the residual echo. It is therefore
5 desirable to find an improved method of preserving the echo channel estimate in doubletalk such as the present invention provides.

In using either of these energy comparison methods to detect doubletalk, high levels of background noise, particularly in the cellular call environment, can create difficulties in accurate doubletalk detection.
10 It is therefore desirable to utilize an improved method for detecting doubletalk in high noise background level environments as the present invention provides.

Referring now to Figure 5, a block diagram of an exemplary embodiment of network echo canceller (NEC) 140 of the present invention
15 is illustrated. In an exemplary implementation, NEC 140 is configured in digital signal processor form, such as a model of the TMS 320C3X series digital signal processors manufactured by Texas Instruments of Dallas Texas. It should be understood that other digital signal processors may be programmed to function in accordance with the teachings herein.
20 Alternatively, other implementations of NEC 140 may be configured from discrete processors or in application specific integrated circuit (ASIC) form.

It should be understood that in the exemplary embodiment, NEC 140 is in essence a state machine that has defined functions for each of the different states of operation. The states in which NEC 140 operates is
25 silence, far-end speech, near-end speech, doubletalk, and hangover. Further details on the operation of NEC 140 is described later herein.

In Figure 5, as was for Figure 2, the speech signal from the mobile station is labeled as the far-end speech $x(n)$, while the speech from the land side is labeled as near-end speech $v(n)$. The reflection of $x(n)$ off the hybrid
30 is modeled as passing $x(n)$ through an unknown echo channel 142 to produce the echo signal $y(n)$, which is summed at summer 144 with the near-end speech signal $v(n)$. Although summer 144 is not an included element in the echo canceller itself, the physical effect of such a device is a parasitic result of the system. To remove low-frequency background noise,
35 the sum of the echo signal $y(n)$ and the near-end speech signal $v(n)$ is high-pass filtered through filter 146 to produce signal $r(n)$. The signal $r(n)$

is provided as one input to each of summers 148 and 150, and control unit 152.

The input far-end speech $x(n)$ is stored in buffer 154 for input to a set of transversal adaptive filters (initial filter 156, state filter 158 and echo canceller filter 160), and control unit 152. In the exemplary embodiment
5 initial filter 156 has 448 filter coefficients or taps while state filter 158 and echo canceller filter 160 each have 256 taps.

During the initial operation of NEC 140, the speech samples $x(n)$ are provided to initial filter 156 for initial echo cancellation and echo delay
10 adjustment under the control of control unit 152. During this period of initial operation, state filter 158 and echo canceller filter 160 are disabled by control unit 152. The initial echo cancellation output signal $\hat{y}_i(n)$ from initial filter 156 is provided through filter switch 162 to summer 148. At summer 148 the signal $\hat{y}_i(n)$ is subtracted from the signal $r(n)$ to produce
15 an initial estimate of the echo residual signal $e(n)$. Filter switch 162, under the control of control unit 152, selects between the output of initial filter 156 and echo canceller filter 160 for input to summer 148.

As mentioned previously, an echo delay adjustment process is undertaken during the period of initial operation of NEC 140. In this
20 process the filter tap coefficients or taps of initial filter 156 are provided to control unit 152 for a determination of the taps of largest value. This process is used to distinguish the flat delay region from the echo dispersion region of the signal.

Upon completion of the echo delay adjustment process, 256 taps
25 from initial filter 156 are copied into the taps of state filter 158 and echo canceller filter 160 as described later in further detail. The result of the echo delay adjustment process ensures that adaptive filtering occurs on the samples $x(n)$ which coincide with the echo dispersion region of the signal $r(n)$. After this initial operation, state filter 158 and echo canceller
30 filter 160 are enabled and initially use the taps provided by filter 156. All future adaptation is based upon generated taps.

During the period of normal operation of NEC 140, the signal $\hat{y}_i(n)$ is output from state filter 158 to one input of summer 150 where it is subtracted from the signal $r(n)$. The resultant output from summer 150 is
35 the signal $e_1(n)$ which is input to control unit 152. The output of echo canceller filter 160, the echo replica signal $\hat{y}(n)$, is provided through

filter switch 162 to one input of summer 148 where it is subtracted from the signal $r(n)$. The resultant echo residual signal $e(n)$ output from summer 148 is fed back as an input to control unit 152. The echo residual signal $e(n)$ as output from summer 148 may be provided directly as the
5 output of the NEC 140 or through additional processing elements. As discussed later in further detail, control unit 152 also provides control over the adaptation of state filter 158 and echo canceller filter 160.

In the present invention a noise analysis/synthesis feature may be provided in the output of NEC 140. This feature is supported by
10 output switch 164, noise analysis unit 166 and noise synthesis unit 168. Output switch 164 and noise analysis unit 166 both receive the output signal $e(n)$ from summer 148. Noise analysis unit 166, under the control of control unit 152, analyzes the signal $e(n)$ and provides an analysis output to noise synthesis unit 168. Noise synthesis unit 168 generates a
15 synthesized noise signal $s(n)$ based upon the analyzed characteristics of the signal $e(n)$. The output of noise synthesis unit 168 is then provided to output switch 164. Through output switch 164, which is under the control of control unit 152, the output of NEC 140 is provided either as the signal $e(n)$ directly from summer 148 or the synthesized noise signal $s(n)$ from
20 noise synthesis unit 168.

The majority of a typical phone conversation is spent in singletalk mode, when only one person is speaking at any time. When only the far-end speaker is talking, NEC 140 uses the noise analysis/synthesis feature to completely reject the echo by replacing the echo residual signal $e(n)$ with a
25 synthesized noise signal $s(n)$. To prevent the far-end speaker from detecting any change in signal characteristics, the noise is synthesized to match the power and spectral characteristics of the actual background noise during the most recent period of silence using linear predictive coding (LPC) techniques. This noise synthesis method, discussed in
30 further detail later herein, effectively eliminates singletalk as a design consideration so as permit the optimization of NEC 140 for doubletalk. Further details on the noise analysis/synthesis feature is described later.

As an additional feature of the present invention, a gain stage may also be provided as illustrated in the exemplary embodiment of Figure 5.
35 In implementing this feature, variable gain element 170 is provided at the input of far-end speech signal $x(n)$ to NEC 140. The input far-end speech

signal $x(n)$ is provided through variable gain stage 170 to buffer 154 and unknown echo channel 142. Control unit 152 provides in combination with variable gain stage 170 an automatic gain control feature to limit signals which would may otherwise affected in a nonlinear manner by unknown echo channel 142. Control unit 152 and variable gain stage 170 also serve to decrease the convergence time for the filter adaptation process. Again further details on this feature are described later.

As illustrated in the exemplary implementation of the present invention, two independently-adapting filters, filters 158 and 160, track the unknown echo channel. While filter 160 performs the actual echo cancellation, filter 158 is used by the control unit 152 to determine which of several states NEC 140 should be operating in. For this reason, filters 158 and 160 are respectively referred to as the state filter and the echo canceller filter. The advantage of this two-filter approach is that the filter coefficients of echo canceller filter 160, which model unknown echo channel 142, can be preserved more effectively without risk of degradation from near-end speech. By preserving the echo channel characteristics closely, the design of the present invention obviates the need for center-clipping.

The control algorithm embodied within control unit 152, which monitors the performance of both filters 158 and 160, is optimized to preserve the estimated echo channel characteristics in doubletalk. Control unit 152 switches on and off the adaptation of filters 158 and 160 at the proper times, adjusts the step sizes of both filters, and adjusts gain unit 170 on $x(n)$ to permit fast initial adaptation.

Figure 6 illustrates (in functional block diagram form) further details of control unit 152 of Figure 5. In Figure 6, control unit 152 is comprised of state machine and process control unit 180, energy computation unit 182, differential energy magnitude unit 184, variable adaptation threshold unit 186, automatic gain control unit 188 and flat delay computation unit 190.

State machine 180 performs the overall state machine function as illustrated with respect to Figure 14, and various overall process control such as illustrated with respect to Figure 7. State machine 180 provides control over initial filter 156 and flat delay computation unit 190 during the initial operation of NEC 140. State machine 180 provides control to

state filter 158 and echo canceller filter 160 with respect to initial settings, adaptation control, and step size control. State machine 180 also provides control over noise analysis unit 166 and switches 162 and 164. State machine 180 also enables variable adaptation threshold unit 186 for state machine adaptation control of echo canceller filter 160. State machine 180 also receives the signals $e(n)$ from summer 148 and $e_1(n)$ from summer 150 for respectively providing to echo canceller filter 160 and state filter 158. In the alternative the signals $e_1(n)$ and $e(n)$ may be provided directly to state filter 158 and echo canceller filter 160.

Energy computation unit 182 receives the sample values for $x(n)$ from circular buffer 154, $r(n)$ from HPF 146, $e(n)$ from summer 148, and $e_1(n)$ from summer 150; and computes various values as discussed later herein for providing to differential energy magnitude unit 184 and state machine 180. Differential energy magnitude unit 184 uses energy values computed in energy computation unit 182 for comparison with threshold levels so as to determine whether near-end speech and/or far-end speech is present. The result of this determination is provided to state machine 180.

Energy computation unit 182 computes energy estimates at each step for filters 158 and 160. These energy estimates are computed as the sum of squares of the most recent samples. The two energy measurements, $E_x(n)$ and $E_{xx}(n)$, on signal $x(n)$ at time n are computed respectively over 128 and 256 samples and can be expressed according to the following equations:

$$E_x(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{127} [x(n-i)]^2; \text{ and} \quad (9)$$

$$E_{xx}(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{255} [x(n-i)]^2. \quad (10)$$

Similarly, energy computation unit 182 computes the energy estimates $E_r(n)$, $E_e(n)$ and $E_{e_1}(n)$ at time n for the respective signals $r(n)$, $e(n)$ and $e_1(n)$ according to the following equations:

$$E_r(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{127} [r(n-i)]^2; \quad (11)$$

$$E_e(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{127} [e(n-i)]^2; \text{ and} \quad (12)$$

$$E_{e1}(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{127} [e1(n-i)]^2. \quad (13)$$

- 5 Energy computation unit 182 also computes the hybrid loss at time n , $H_{loss}(n)$, according to the following equation:

$$H_{loss}(n) \text{ (dB)} = 10 \log_{10} [E_x(n)/E_r(n)]. \quad (14)$$

- 10 The echo return loss enhancement (ERLE) of echo canceller filter 160 is computed by energy computation unit 182 according to the following equation:

$$15 \quad ERLE(n) \text{ (dB)} = 10 \log_{10} [E_r(n)/E_e(n)] \quad (15)$$

with the echo return loss enhancement of state filter 158 (ERLE1) also being computed by energy computation unit 182 according to the following equation:

$$20 \quad ERLE1(n) \text{ (dB)} = 10 \log_{10} [E_r(n)/E_{e1}(n)]. \quad (16)$$

- To avoid nonlinearities in the echo signal caused by the echo channel, it is desirable to limit the received value of sample $x(n)$ to a value less than a preset threshold near the maximum. Automatic gain control
 25 unit 188 in combination with variable gain stage 170 achieve this result. Automatic gain control unit 188, which receives the samples $x(n)$ from the circular buffer, provides a gain control signal to variable gain element 170 so as to limit the sample values when they are excessively large.

- Flat delay computation unit 190 under the control of state machine
 30 180 at the initial operation of NEC 140 computes the flat delay from the initial filter. Flat delay computation unit 190 then provides circular buffer offset information to state filter 158 and echo canceller 160 to account for the flat delay period for the call.

- In the exemplary embodiment of the network echo canceller of the
 35 present invention, a three-pronged approach is used to solve the doubletalk detection/handling problems. Accordingly the present invention uses (1) two independently-adapting filters with different step-

sizes; (2) a variable threshold to switch filter adaptation on and off; and (3) a differential energy algorithm for speech detection.

NEC 140 uses two independently-adapting NLMS adaptive filters. Unlike other two-filter approaches, the NEC 140 does not switch back and forth between using filters 158 and 160 for the echo cancellation, nor does it exchange tap information between the two filters in the steady state. Both of these previously known techniques cause transients that lead to undesired "pops" in the output of the echo canceller. In the present invention echo canceller filter 160 always performs the actual echo cancellation while state filter 158 is used by the control algorithm embedded within state machine 180 to distinguish different canceller states. This novel dual-filter approach permits the use of a conservative adaptation strategy for echo canceller filter 160. If the control algorithm is "unsure" of which state the canceller should be operating in, it turns off the adaptation of echo canceller filter 160 while state filter 158 continues to adapt. State machine 180 uses the statistics gleaned from state filter 158 to aid in state-determination. The step sizes of the adaptive filters are adjusted so that echo canceller filter 160 obtains a high ERLE in the steady state, while state filter 158 responds quickly to any changes in the echo channel response. By allowing the two filters 158 and 160 to simultaneously adapt in the manner just mentioned, overall performance of the echo canceller is enhanced.

State filter 158 and echo canceller filter 160, along with initial filter 156 are each constructed in a manner as was disclosed with reference to Figure 4. State filter 158 and echo canceller filter 160 each contain 256 taps to account for a 32 ms echo dispersion duration at an 8-kHz sampling rate. It should be understood that for state filter 158 and echo canceller filter 160, a greater or lesser number of taps may be used depending upon the echo dispersion duration and sampling rate. Sample buffer 154 contains 512 far-end speech samples to account for a 64 ms time period for the flat delay and echo dispersion for a call made across the continental United States. To handle the different values of flat delay encountered in individual phone calls, the network echo canceller of the present invention automatically determines the flat delay and shifts the filter taps to maximize the number of taps operating on the echo dispersion region. The echo canceller of the present invention therefore handles echo

responses ranging from 0 to 32 ms with no shift, up to 32 to 64 ms with the maximum delay shift. It should be understood that as is well known in art with respect to digital signal processors, and processing techniques associated therewith, that initial filter 156 may be used to form filters 158 and 160. Upon completion of the initial processing initial filter 156 may be "broken" into the two filters 158 and 160 with independent coefficient generators. Further details on the initial feature are discussed later herein.

To preserve the filter coefficients of echo canceller filter 160 at the onset of doubletalk, the NEC 140 uses a variable adaptation threshold (denoted VT) to switch on and off the adaptation of echo canceller filter 160. The variable adaptation threshold (VT) is computed by variable adaptation threshold unit 186 and provided to state machine 180. The control algorithm permits echo canceller filter 160 to adapt if either of state filter 158 or echo canceller filter 160 has an ERLE greater than VT. Referring back to Figure 4, the control input provided to generator 126 includes an enable signal from control unit 152 which permits coefficient vector generator 126 to update the filter coefficients for filter adaptation. In the event that the ERLE of both filters is less than VT, state machine 180 disables coefficient vector generator 126 from providing updated coefficients. In this case coefficient vector generator 126 outputs the existing coefficients until adaptation is enabled once again. The control input also provides other parameters to coefficient vector generator 126 such as the values of μ , $E_{xx}(n)$ and $e(n)$ of Equation (4).

In Figure 6, the ERLE for state filter 158 is computed in energy computation unit 182 according to Equation (6) using the values of $r(n)$ and $e_1(n)$. Similarly the computation is done in energy computation unit 182 for echo canceller filter 160 with the values of $r(n)$ and $e(n)$. In variable adaptation threshold unit 186, the VT is initialized by state machine 180 to an initial minimum threshold, which in the exemplary embodiment is 6 dB. The threshold processing in variable adaptation threshold unit 186 can be described by the following C-code:

```

    if (ERLE > VT + 6 dB) {
        VT = MAX [ VT, (ERLE - 6 dB) ];
    } else if (ERLE < MT - 3 dB) {
        VT = MT;
    }

```

As the ERLE rises past ($VT + 6$ dB), the adaptation threshold also rises, remaining 6 dB behind the peak ERLE. This 6 dB margin accounts for the variability of the ERLE. State machine 180 permits echo canceller filter 160 to continue to adapt if the ERLE of either of filters 158 and 160 is within 6 dB of the last ERLE peak. If the ERLE drops 3 dB below the minimum threshold, the adaptation threshold is reset to the minimum threshold. The advantage of this approach is that the adaptation of echo canceller filter 160 is immediately halted right at the onset of doubletalk. For example, suppose the far-end speaker is the only one talking and the last ERLE peak is at 34 dB. Once the near-end speaker starts to talk, the ERLE falls and the filter adaptation is stopped when the ERLE hits 28 dB. Classical near-end speech detectors will not suspend adaptation until the ERLE falls below about 6 dB, which permits the echo channel estimate to be slightly corrupted. Therefore, by preserving the echo channel characteristics more closely, the present invention achieves greater echo rejection in doubletalk while avoiding the voice-quality degradation associated with center-clippers used in traditional echo cancellers.

In the exemplary embodiment of the present invention it is preferred that the ERLE of both filters 158 and 160 drop below VT before adaptation of filter 160 is halted. This characteristic of the control algorithm helps distinguish the onset of doubletalk from the normal variability of either ERLE measurement, because the ERLE of both filters will drop immediately at the onset of doubletalk.

A further aspect of the present invention is that as filters 158 and 160 obtain convergence, the value of the minimum threshold for VT is increased from the initial setting. As the minimum threshold for VT increases, a higher ERLE is necessary before echo canceller filter 160 is adapted.

To prevent large background noise levels from interfering with state determination, the echo canceller of the present invention uses a differential energy algorithm on the signals $x(n)$ and $e(n)$. This algorithm, embedded within differential energy magnitude unit 184 and state machine 180, described in further detail later herein, continually monitors the background noise level and compares it with the signal energy to determine if the speaker is talking. Differential energy magnitude unit 184 in the exemplary embodiment computes three thresholds $T_1(B_i)$, $T_2(B_i)$,

and $T_3(B_i)$, which are functions of the background noise level B_i . If the signal energy of the signal $x(n)$ exceeds all three thresholds, the speaker is determined to be talking. If the signal energy exceeds T_1 and T_2 but not T_3 , the speaker is determined to be probably uttering an unvoiced sound,
 5 such as the "sp" sound in the word "speed." If the signal energy is smaller than all three thresholds, the speaker is determined to be not talking.

An exemplary overall flow diagram of sample data processing in the echo canceller of the present invention is shown below in Figure 7. The algorithm under the control of state machine 180 initially starts, block
 10 200, and then first obtains the μ -law samples of $x(n)$ and $v(n)$, block 202, which are then converted to their linear values, block 204. The $v(n)$ sample is then passed through the high-pass filter (HPF) to obtain sample $r(n)$, block 206. The HPF, filter 146 of Figure 5 which eliminates residual DC and low frequency noise, is a digital filter constructed using well
 15 known digital filter techniques. The HPF is typically configured as a third order elliptic filter with the characteristics of a stopband of a 120 Hz cutoff with 37 dB rejection, and a passband of a 250 Hz cutoff with .7 dB ripple. The HPF is typically implemented as a cascade of a first order and second order direct-form realizations with the coefficients indicated in Table I as
 20 follows:

TABLE I

A(1)	A(2)	B(0)	B(1)	B(2)
-.645941	0	.822970	-.822970	0
-1.885649	.924631	1.034521	-2.061873	1.034461

Next, the energy averages $E_x(n)$ and $E_{xx}(n)$ are updated for the signal
 25 sample $x(n)$, block 208. The energy average $E_r(n)$ is then updated for the signal sample $r(n)$ along with the computing of the energy loss $H_{loss}(n)$ on the hybrid, block 210.

The output of adaptive filter 158 (Figure 5), the value $y_1(n)$ is computed, block 212, with the echo residual $e_1(n)$ then being determined,
 30 block 214. The ERLE1 and energy average E_{e1} for filter 158 are then updated, block 216. Similarly the output of adaptive filter 160 (Figure 5), the value $y(n)$ is computed, block 218, with the echo residual $e(n)$ then being determined, block 220. The ERLE and energy average E_e for filter 160 are then updated, block 222. It should be understood that certain of the

steps set forth in blocks 208 - 222 may be performed in various other orders as dictated by the values required for further steps. Furthermore certain steps may be performed in parallel such as steps 212 - 216 and 218 - 222. Therefore the order discussed herein with reference to Figure 7 is merely
5 an exemplary order of processing steps.

Upon completion of the previous steps a parameter adjustment step is performed, block 224, with this step described in further detail with respect to Figure 8. Upon completion of the parameter adjustment step a periodic function step is performed, block 226, with this step described in
10 further detail with respect to Figure 9. Upon completion of the periodic function step a state machine operation step is performed, block 228, with this step described in further detail with respect to Figure 14. Upon completion of the state machine operation step the process repeats with a return to point A in the flow diagram.

15 The flow diagram in Figure 8 illustrates in further detail the parameter adjustment step of block 224 of Figure 7. In the parameter adjustment step the filter step-size and variable threshold parameters are updated during the echo canceller operation.

Both state filter 158 and echo canceller filter 160 (Figure 5) are
20 initialized by state machine 180 at the start of operation by providing in the control input to the filter coefficient generator a step size of 1 ($\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 1$). This initialization of the filters at this level permits a fast initial convergence. Upon reaching the parameter adjustment step an initial parameter adjustment algorithm is utilized. In this initial
25 algorithm a determination is made as to whether the control element set value of μ_2 for the echo canceller filter is greater than a fixed value of 0.5, block 250. If so, a determination is made as to whether the ERLE is greater than 14 dB, block 252. If the ERLE is not greater than 14 dB, such as at the beginning of obtaining convergence of the channel, a counter (Scount
30 counter) value is set equal to zero (Scount=0), block 254, and the parameter adjustment step is completed for this sample with the subroutine exited at point C.

Should the ERLE be determined to be greater than 14 dB, the counter is incremented, block 256. A determination is then made as to
35 whether the Scount value has been incremented to a count value of 400, block 258. If the Scount value is less than the count value of 400 the

parameter adjustment step is completed for this sample with the subroutine exited at point C.

However, should the determination in block 258 result in the Scount value being found to be equal to the count value of 400, which
5 corresponds to the ERLE being greater than 14 dB for 50 ms (consecutively), the step size (μ_1) of the state filter is shifted to 0.7 and the step size (μ_2) of the echo canceller filter is shifted to 0.4, block 260. Also in block 260 the Scount counter is reset to zero. The parameter adjustment step is then completed for this sample with the subroutine exited at
10 point C.

If in block 250 the control element set value of μ_2 for the echo canceller filter is determined to be not greater than a fixed value of 0.5, an intermediate algorithm is invoked. In this intermediate algorithm a determination is made as to whether the value for μ_2 is greater than 0.2,
15 block 262. If so, a determination is made as to whether the ERLE is greater than 20 dB, block 264. If the ERLE is not greater than 20 dB the Scount value is set equal to zero (Scount=0), block 266, and the parameter adjustment step is completed for this sample with the subroutine exited at point C.

Should the ERLE be determined to be greater than 20 dB, the counter is incremented, block 268. A determination is then made as to whether the counter value has been incremented to a count value of 400,
20 block 270. If the counter value is less than the count value of 400 the parameter adjustment step is completed for this sample with the subroutine exited at point C.
25

However, should the determination in block 270 result in the Scount counter value being found to be equal to the count value of 400, which corresponds to the ERLE being greater than 20 dB for 50 ms, the value μ_1 is shifted to 0.4, with the value μ_2 shifted to 0.1, block 272.
30 Further in block 272 the minimum threshold is increased from the initial minimum threshold value of 6 dB to 12 dB. The parameter adjustment step is then completed for this sample with the subroutine exited at point C.

It should be noted that "gearshifting" of the filters to smaller step
35 sizes permits higher ERLE levels to be used. However, in the preferred embodiment a relationship of $\mu_2 < \mu_1$ is maintained so that the echo

canceller filter attains a high steady-state ERLE, and the state filter responds quickly to changes in the echo channel response.

After the echo canceller filter value of μ_2 is set to equal 0.1, the variable adaptation threshold algorithm goes into effect to preserve the echo channel response more closely. The variable threshold algorithm implemented within variable adaptation threshold unit 186 is invoked when in block 262 the value of μ_2 is determined to be less than 0.2. If the ERLE is determined to be 6 dB greater than the variable threshold (VT), which is initially set to the initial minimum threshold of 6 dB, block 274, the value of VT is modified in block 276. In block 276 VT is set to the greater of the previous value of VT or the value of the ERLE minus 6 dB. Once VT is set, the parameter adjustment step is then completed for this sample with the subroutine exited at point C.

However, if in block 274 the ERLE is determined not to be greater than VT plus 6 dB, a determination is made if the ERLE is less than the minimum threshold minus 3 dB, block 278. In block 278 the value of the minimum threshold MT is 12 dB as set in the intermediate algorithm. Should the ERLE be greater than the minimum threshold minus 3 dB the parameter adjustment step is then completed for this sample with the subroutine exited at point C. However should in block 278 the ERLE be determined not greater than the minimum threshold minus 3 dB, VT is set to the value of MT which is 12 dB, block 280. The parameter adjustment step is then completed for this sample with the subroutine exited at point C.

It should be noted that by increasing the minimum threshold the process become more selective as to when the echo canceller filter is adapted: a higher ERLE from either filter is required. The use of a higher minimum threshold results in a higher ERLE required to enter the hangover state from the doubletalk state, as discussed later with respect to the state machine processing in Figure 14.

To promote a fast transition into the steady state, even in the presence of large near-end background noise, the echo canceller of the present invention initially adjusts the input gain on $x(n)$ to +3 dB (IGain = 3 dB) during far-end speech. As shown in Figure 5, state machine 180 provides control over variable gain stage 170. This initial 3 dB gain increases the size of the echo received at $r(n)$ relative to the near-end noise

(S/N ratio increases by 3 dB) which allows faster initial convergence. When the minimum threshold reaches 12 dB, block 272 of Figure 7, state machine 180 restores IGain to its nominal value of 0 dB in 1.5 dB steps every 100 ms. Experimental studies have revealed that 1.5 dB gain changes are imperceptible to listeners. This gain adjustment is normally phased out within the first 500 ms of far-end speech.

A second gain adjustment on variable gain stage 170, under the control of automatic gain control unit 188, is made to automatically avoid clipping. The μ -law samples of $x(n)$ that the echo canceller receives from the vocoder typically range between -8031 and +8031. When the samples $x(n)$ that are sent toward the hybrid are near the maximum value of +8031, or -8031, the samples returning from the hybrid are nonlinearly related to the reference signal $x(n)$. To solve this problem, the echo canceller of the present invention uses automatic gain control unit 188 to automatically control variable gain element 170 to attenuate the input samples by 1.5 dB (IGain = -1.5 dB) whenever the absolute value of sample $x(n)$ is greater than a preset value near the maximum, for example a value of 7900. IGain is restored to 0 dB as soon as the canceller enters the silence state. This gain change, which is imperceptible to the near-end listener, does not normally come into effect in a typical conversation, but greatly improves the echo canceller operation when the far-end talker is shouting.

Referring back to Figure 7, after the parameter adjustment step is completed the periodic function computation step is performed. Figure 9 illustrates the three computations that are periodically performed in the periodic function computation step: (1) the differential energy magnitudes of signals $x(n)$ and $e(n)$, (2) the autocorrelation and Durbin recursion for noise analysis, and (3) the tap-shifting algorithm to account for varying echo delays.

In Figure 9, the period function computation step starts in a function select step, block 300, which determines from the state of the state machine and a counter (Fcount) as to which computations need be performed. Regardless of state, every 128 samples the differential energy magnitudes of signals $x(n)$ and $e(n)$ is computed in differential energy magnitude unit 184 (Figure 6).

The differential energy magnitude of the signal x , denoted $DEM(x)$, is used to determine whether the far-end speaker is speaking. The $DEM(x)$

is in the preferred embodiment provided as an integer in the range [0, 3]. The DEM(x) value is determined by comparing the energy E_x of the signal $x(n)$, provided from energy computation unit 182 of Figure 6, with three computed threshold values which are a function of an estimate of the energy of the background noise level XB_i , block 302.

In this step the background noise estimate is computed every 128 samples, where the next update XB_{i+1} is computed as:

$$XB_{i+1} = \min (E_x, 160000, \max (1.00547XB_i, XB_{i+1})). \quad (17)$$

The three thresholds values are computed as a function of XB_i as follows:

$$T_1(XB_i) = -(3.160500 \times 10^{-5}) XB_i^2 + 10.35 XB_i + 704.44; \quad (18)$$

$$T_2(XB_i) = -(7.938816 \times 10^{-4}) XB_i^2 + 26.00 XB_i + 1769.48; \text{ and} \quad (19)$$

$$T_3(XB_i) = -(3.160500 \times 10^{-4}) XB_i^2 + 103.5 XB_i + 7044.44. \quad (20)$$

The energy E_x of the far-end signal is again compared with these three thresholds. If E_x is greater than all three thresholds, $DEM(x) = 3$, indicating that speech is present. If E_x is greater than T_1 and T_2 but not T_3 , then $DEM(x) = 2$, indicating that unvoiced speech is probably present. If E_x is greater than T_1 but not T_2 and T_3 , $DEM(x) = 1$. And finally if E_x is less than all three thresholds, $DEM(x) = 0$, indicating that no speech is present. The value of $DEM(x)$ is provided from differential energy magnitude unit 184 to state machine 180.

Similarly, the differential energy magnitude of signal e , $DEM(e)$, is computed and used to determine whether the near-end speaker is speaking. The $DEM(e)$ is in the preferred embodiment also provided as an integer value in the range of [0,3]. The $DEM(e)$ is determined by comparing the energy E_e of the signal $e(n)$, provided from energy computation unit 182 of Figure 6, with the following three computed thresholds in block 304:

$$T_1(EB_i) = -(6.930766 \times 10^{-6}) EB_i^2 + 4.047152 EB_i + 289.7034; \quad (21)$$

$$T_2(EB_i) = -(1.912166 \times 10^{-5}) EB_i^2 + 8.750045 EB_i + 908.971; \text{ and} \quad (22)$$

$$T_3(EB_i) = -(4.946311 \times 10^{-5}) EB_i^2 + 18.89962 EB_i + 2677.431 \quad (23)$$

where the background noise estimate of signal $e(n)$ is also updated every 128 samples as:

$$EB_{i+1} = \min (E_e, 160000, \max (1.00547EB_i, EB_{i+1})). \quad (24)$$

If E_e is greater than all three thresholds, $DEM(e) = 3$, indicating that near-end speech is present. If E_e is greater than T_1 and T_2 but not T_3 , then $DEM(e) = 2$, indicating that unvoiced near-end speech is probably present. If E_e is greater than T_1 but not T_2 and T_3 , $DEM(e) = 1$. And finally if E_e is less than all three thresholds, $DEM(e) = 0$, indicating that no speech is present. The value of $DEM(e)$ is also provided from differential energy magnitude unit 184 to state machine 180.

Once the values of $DEM(x)$ and $DEM(e)$ are computed, the values of XB_i and EB_i are updated per Equations (17) and (24) in block 306. It should be noted that both XB_i and EB_i are initialized to a value of 160000.

By using differential energy measurements that track the background noise level, an accurate determination of whether someone is speaking can be made even in high levels of background noise. This aids state machine 180 in Figure 6 in making correct state determinations.

As mentioned previously, a noise analysis computation is performed in the periodic function computation step. When the function select, block 300, detects that the state machine is of a state "0" for the current sample, a determination is made as to whether the last 256 samples, including the current sample, were all of a state machine state "0", block 308. If so a linear predictive coding (LPC) method, traditionally used for vocoding speech, is used to compute the spectral characteristics of the noise. However if all of these samples were not of state "0" the LPC method is skipped.

The LPC method models each sample as being produced by a linear combination of past samples plus an excitation. When neither speaker is talking, the error signal $e(n)$ is passed through a prediction error filter (noise analysis element 166 of Figure 5) to remove any short-term redundancies. The transfer function for this filter is given by the equation:

$$A(z) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^P a_i z^{-i} \quad (25)$$

where the order of the predictor in the exemplary embodiment is 5 ($P = 5$).

The LPC coefficients, a_i , are computed from a block of 128 samples using the autocorrelation method, block 310, with Durbin's recursion, block 312, as discussed in the text *Digital Processing of Speech Signals* by

Rabiner & Schafer, which is a well known efficient computational method. The first 6 autocorrelation coefficients $R(0)$ through $R(5)$ are computed as:

$$5 \quad R(k) = \sum_{m=0}^{127-k} e(m) e(m+k). \quad (26)$$

The LPC coefficients are then computed directly from the autocorrelation values using Durbin's recursion algorithm. The algorithm can be stated as follows:

$$10 \quad (1) \quad E^{(0)} = R(0), \quad i = 1 \quad (27)$$

$$(2) \quad k_i = \left\{ R(i) - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_j^{(i-1)} R(i-j) \right\} / E^{(i-1)} \quad (28)$$

$$15 \quad (3) \quad \alpha_i^{(i)} = k_i \quad (279)$$

$$(4) \quad \alpha_j^{(i)} = \alpha_j^{(i-1)} - k_i \alpha_{i-j}^{(i-1)} \quad 1 \leq j \leq i-1 \quad (30)$$

$$(5) \quad E^{(i)} = (1 - k_i^2) E^{(i-1)} \quad (31)$$

$$20 \quad (6) \quad \text{If } i < P \text{ then goto (2) with } i=i+1. \quad (32)$$

(7) The final solution for the LPC coefficients is given as

$$25 \quad a_j = \alpha_j^{(P)} \quad 1 \leq j \leq P \quad (33)$$

Once the LPC coefficients are obtained, synthesized noise samples can be generated with the same spectral characteristics by passing white noise through the noise synthesis filter (noise synthesis element 168 of Figure 5) given by:

$$30 \quad \frac{1}{A(z)} = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^P a_i z^{-i}} \quad (34)$$

which is just the inverse of the filter used for noise analysis.

35 It should be understood that in the exemplary embodiment, LPC coding techniques provide a excellent method for modeling the noise. However other techniques can be used for modeling the noise, or no noise modeling may be used at all.

As a further function of the periodic function computation step, a tap shifting algorithm is employed to account for varying echo delays. This computation is performed upon initial sample processing for a call, and optionally upon every 256 samples, provided that the ERLE is greater than 10 dB, block 314. Should the ERLE be greater than 10 dB, an indication that some cancellation is present, the largest tap, i.e., filter coefficient of the largest value, in the initial filter (filter 156 of Figure 5) is determined, block 316, in flat delay computation unit 190 of Figure 6. A shifting of the taps is then undertaken to process a greater number of the samples from the echo dispersion region and lesser from the flat delay region, block 318. The shifting of the taps is a determined placement of a greater number of echo dispersion region samples from the buffer to the state filter and echo canceller filter than would normally occur. A recomputation of the energy averages on these samples is undertaken, block 320. Once the tap shifting algorithm is completed or any of the other two computations of the periodic function computation step are completed the Fcount is incremented, block 322 and the subroutine exited.

With respect to the echo delay adjustment, since the distance between the echo canceller at the base station and the hybrid in the telephone network can vary widely between calls, the flat delay of the echo signal also has a wide range. We can quickly estimate the range of this delay by assuming that the U.S. is 3000 miles across and electrical signals propagate at 2/3 the speed of light. Since the round-trip distance is 6000 miles, the maximum flat delay is approximately:

$$\frac{[(6000 \text{ miles}) \times (1609.34 \text{ meters/mile})]}{[2 \times 10^5 \text{ meters/ms}]} = 48.3 \text{ ms.} \quad (35)$$

The network echo canceller of the present invention accounts for the different values of flat delay found in different calls so that more taps operate on the echo dispersion region instead of being "wasted" on the flat delay region. For example, in a traditional echo canceller with no tap-shifting mechanism, a flat delay of 16 ms would cause the first 128 taps of the echo canceller to be close to zero because the 128 most recent samples in the filter delay line are not correlated with the echo sample entering the canceller. The actual echo signal would therefore only be cancelled by the remaining 128 taps. In contrast, the NEC of the present invention automatically determines that the flat delay is 16 ms and shifts the taps to

operate on older samples. This strategy utilizes more taps on the echo dispersion region, which results in better cancellation.

The NEC of the present invention stores 512 samples of the far-end speech $x(n)$ in a circular buffer (buffer 154 of Figure 5), which corresponds to a delay of 64 ms. When the canceller starts up, it initially adapts, in initial filter 156 of Figure 5, 448 filter taps on the 448 most recent samples as shown in Figure 10.

After obtaining initial convergence with the taps in this position, the algorithm determines the flat delay within flat delay computation unit 190 by finding the largest tap value and its respective position in the tap buffer of the initial filter 156. The tap number of the largest tap (denoted T_{max}) corresponds to the flat delay because it is the time (in 8 kHz samples) for a far-end speech sample to be output from the echo canceller, reflect off the hybrid, and return to the input of the echo canceller. Instead of shifting the taps by T_{max} , the algorithm leaves a safety margin of 32 samples in case the echo channel response changes slightly. The actual tap shift value is given by:

$$T_{shift} = \text{MAX}[0, \text{MIN}(T_{max} - 32, 256)]. \quad (36)$$

Once T_{shift} is determined, the initial filter taps starting from T_{shift} are copied into both of the state filter and the echo canceller filter by flat delay computation unit 190 as illustrated in Figure 11. An offset by T_{shift} into the circular buffer is used so that the zeroth filter tap of both the control filter and the echo canceller filter lines up with the sample that arrived T_{shift} places before the most recent sample. Figure 12 illustrates the maximum shift so as to permit an echo coverage of 64 ms. After the taps have been shifted to operate on older samples, the energy measurements $E_x(n)$ and $E_{xx}(n)$ are correspondingly modified to measure the sum of squares of these older samples.

As described herein for purposes of illustration, three adaptive filters have been described. However, it should be understood that in the various implementations, particularly in a digital signal processor, that the initial filter may also function as the state filter and the echo canceller filter using the same physical memory.

Upon exiting of the periodic function computation step at point D, Figures 7 and 9, a state machine control algorithm is executed by state machine 180 (Figure 6). The state machine control algorithm can be

modeled as a state machine with five states, as shown in Figure 13. The state machine control algorithm as embodied in state machine 180 can result in a change in state with each new sample.

5 State 0, block 330, is the silence state, where neither speaker is talking. Neither the state filter or the echo canceller filter adapts in this state to prevent divergence from the echo channel. If the NEC remains in state 0 for 256 consecutive sample times, the control algorithm initiates the noise analysis routine in Figure 9, to code the frequency characteristics of the background noise using LPC analysis.

10 If the far-end speaker is the only one talking, the NEC enters state 1, block 332, in which the state filter always adapts. The echo canceller filter adapts if the ERLE of either filter is above the adaptation threshold VT. The noise synthesis routine generates noise (using the LPC coefficients obtained during the last interval of silence) to replace any residual echo.
15 In effect, the NEC has infinite ERLE in state 1 because no matter how loud the far-end speech $x(n)$ is, the echo residual will never be passed back to the mobile.

If the near-end speaker is the only person talking, the NEC enters state 2, block 334. Here, the state machine freezes adaptation of both filters
20 and outputs the signal $e(n)$. If the near-end speaker stops talking, the NEC transitions to state 4 (hangover), with a hangover of 50 ms in the exemplary embodiment, before transitioning to state 0 (silence). This hangover accounts for possible pauses in near-end speech. If the far-end speaker starts to talk, the NEC transitions to state 3 (doubletalk).

25 In state 3, block 336, which is the doubletalk state, the state machine freezes adaptation of the echo canceller filter and outputs $e(n)$. If the hybrid loss is above 3 dB, the state machine control algorithm permits the state filter to adapt to account for a possible change in the echo channel impulse response. For example, suppose both filters are converged, the
30 far-end speaker is the only one talking, and the echo channel changes abruptly. This situation might occur, for example, if someone picks up an extension phone so that the mobile station speaker is talking to two people on the land-telephone side simultaneously. In this case the ERLE of both filters would suddenly drop and the NEC would shift to the doubletalk state, mistaking the echo signal for near-end speech. Although both filters
35 would normally be frozen in doubletalk, in this case if both filters are not

allowed to adapt, the NEC will remain in this state until the call terminates. However the NEC uses the hybrid loss to determine whether the state filter is allowed to adapt. As the state filter adapts, its ERLE will rise as it reacquires the new echo channel, and the NEC will recover out of state 3 (doubletalk). As shown in the state diagram, the only way to exit state 3 (doubletalk) is through the state 4 (hangover), which is only entered if the hybrid loss is greater than 3 dB and the ERLE of either the state filter or the echo canceller filter is above the minimum threshold MT.

State 4, block 338, is a hangover state that accounts for pauses in near-end speech. If the far-end talker is speaking and near-end speech is not detected for 100 ms in the exemplary embodiment, the NEC transitions from state 4 (hangover) to state 1 (far-end speech). If the far-end talker is not speaking and near-end speech is not detected for 50 ms in the exemplary embodiment, the NEC transitions from state 4 (hangover) to state 0 (silence). If near-end speech is detected, the control algorithm returns the NEC to either to state 2 (near-end speech) or state 3 (doubletalk).

A detailed flow diagram of the NEC state machine control algorithm is shown below in Figure 14. In Figure 14 the algorithm is executed for each sample with a preliminary determination as to whether the current state is state 1 (far-end speech), block 340. If the current state is determined to be state 1 and the value of Hloss is determined to be less than 3 dB, block 342, then the control element permits an output of the value $e(n)$, block 344. This case is indicative of the condition where for the previous sample far-end speech was present, but for the current sample doubletalk is present. Similarly, should the current state be determined to be neither of states 1, 2, or 3, (far-end speech, near-end speech and doubletalk) respectively in blocks 340, 346, and 348, the value of $e(n)$ is permitted to be output, block 344, with output control provided by the state machine. A determination is then made as to the next state the NEC is to be in for processing the next sample, with the next state determination, starting at point E in the control state machine algorithm.

Returning to block 340, if the current state is determined to be state 1 (far-end speech), and Hloss is determined to be greater than 3 dB, block 342, the state filter is permitted to adapt, block 350. The ERLE and ERLE1 are then checked against VT and if either one is greater than VT,

blocks 352 and 354, then the echo canceller filter is permitted to adapt, block 356. However should in both blocks 352 and 354 the ERLE and ERLE1 not be greater than VT, the echo canceller filter is not adapted. In either case a synthesized noise sample is generated, block 358, by the
5 synthesized noise element under the control of the control element using the LPC coefficients obtained during the last interval of silence. The synthesized noise sample $s(n)$ is output, block 360, with output control provided by the control element. A determination is then made as to the next state the NEC is to be in for processing the next sample, with the next
10 state determination starting at point E.

At point E the program execution enters a next state subroutine. Should the value of $DEM(x)$ not be greater than or equal to the integer value of 2, block 362, a check is made to determine if $DEM(e)$ is less than or equal to 1, block 364. If $DEM(e)$ is not less than or equal to 1 then the state
15 machine transitions to a next state of 2 (near-end speech), block 366. However, should $DEM(e)$ be less than or equal to 1 then the state machine transitions to a next state of 0 (silence), block 368. Whether a transition is made to state 2 or 0, the routine proceeds to point F in the state machine control algorithm for hangover determination.

20 However, upon entering the next state subroutine at point E should the value of $DEM(x)$ be greater than or equal to 2, block 362, the value of $DEM(e)$ is determined if it is equal to 3, block 370. If not, the next state is determined to be 1 (far-end speech), block 372, and the routine proceeds to point F in the control state machine algorithm for hangover
25 determination. If in block 370 the value of $DEM(e)$ is determined to be equal to 3, then a check is made to determine if each of Hloss, ERLE, and ERLE1 is less than 3 dB, blocks 374, 376 and 378. If in blocks 374, 376 and 378, any one of the values is less than 3 dB the next state is determined to be state 3 (doubletalk), block 380. However, if in blocks 374, 376 and 378,
30 each value is greater than or equal to 3 dB, the next state is determined to be state 1 (far-end speech), block 372. From block 380 and block 372 as before the routine proceeds to point F in the control state machine algorithm for hangover determination.

Returning back to block 346, where entry is made to this block if the
35 current state is determined not to be state 1 (far-end speech) in block 340, the determination is made if the current state is state 2 (near-end

speech). If the current state is state 2 then the value of $e(n)$ is output, block 382. A determination is then made as to the next state by first determining if $DEM(x)$ is equal to 3, block 384, and if so the next state is set to state 3 (doubletalk), block 386. However if $DEM(x)$ is not equal to 3 a
5 determination is made if $DEM(e)$ is greater than or equal to 2, block 388.

If in block 388 $DEM(e)$ is determined to be greater than or equal to 2 the next state is set to remain as the current state, state 2 (near-end speech), block 390. However, if in block 388 $DEM(e)$ is determined not to be greater than or equal to 2 a determination is made whether $DEM(x)$ is less than or
10 equal to 1, block 392. If in block 392 $DEM(x)$ is determined not to be less than or equal to 1 then the next state is set to be state 3 (doubletalk), block 386. Should in block 392 $DEM(x)$ be determined to be less than or equal to 1 then the next state is set to be state 4 (hangover), block 394. Additionally in block 394 an internal counter, Hcounter (not
15 shown), in the control element is set to a Hcount value of 400. From blocks 386, 390 and 394 the routine proceeds to point F in the control state machine algorithm for hangover determination.

Returning back to block 346, if the result of the determination is that the current state is not state 2 (near-end speech) a determination is made
20 in block 348 if the current state is state 3 (doubletalk). If the current state is state 3 then the value of $e(n)$ is output, block 396. A determination is then made as to the next state by first determining if $DEM(x)$ is equal to 3, block 398, and if not the routine proceeds to block 388 for state determination as discussed above. However if $DEM(x)$ is equal to 3 a determination is made
25 if $Hloss$ is greater than 3 dB, block 400. If in block 400 $Hloss$ is not greater than 3 dB, the next state is set to state 3 (doubletalk), block 386. Should $Hloss$ be greater than 3 dB then the state filter is permitted to adapt, block 402.

Upon permitting the state filter to adapt, a determination is made
30 whether $ERLE$ is greater than MT , block 404, and if not then a determination is made whether $ERLE1$ is greater than MT , block 406. If either $ERLE$ or $ERLE1$ is greater than MT then the next state is set to state 4 (hangover), block 408. However if $ERLE1$ is not greater than MT then the

next state is set to state 3 (doubletalk), block 386. If the next state is set to state 4 in block 408 the Hcount is set to 800. From blocks 386 and 408 the routine proceeds to point F in the state machine control algorithm for hangover determination.

5 The hangover routine ensures that a delay occurs between the transition from a near-end speech state or a doubletalk state to a state of far-end speech or silence. Once the hangover determination routine is entered at point F, a determination is made as to whether the current state is state 4 (hangover), block 410. Should the current state not be state 4 the
10 state machine control algorithm routine is exited, with the routine returning to point A of Figure 7.

 Should in block 410 the current state be determined to be state 4, a determination is made if the next state has been set to a state less than state 2, i.e. state 1 (far-end speech) or state 0 (silence), block 412. If the next
15 state is determined in block 412 not to be state 0 or 1, the state machine control algorithm subroutine is exited, with the subroutine returning to point A of Figure 7. However, should the next state be determined to be state 0 or 1, the Hcount is decremented, block 414, with a determination then made if the Hcount is equal to 0, block 416. If the Hcount is
20 determined to be equal to 0 then the state machine control algorithm subroutine is exited, with the subroutine returning to point A of Figure 6. However if the Hcount is not equal to 0 then the next state is set to state 4, block 418, and the state machine control algorithm subroutine is exited, with the subroutine returning to point A of Figure 7.

25 It should be understood that many of the parameters as discussed with respect to the exemplary embodiment may be modified within the scope of the teachings of the present invention. For example, the hangover delay may be changed as may be other parameters, such as thresholds values, the number of threshold levels or filter step size
30 values.

 The previous description of the preferred embodiments is provided to enable any person skilled in the art to make or use the present invention. The various modifications to these embodiments will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art, and the generic principles

defined herein may be applied to other embodiments without the use of the inventive faculty. Thus, the present invention is not intended to be limited to the embodiments shown herein but is to be accorded the widest scope consistent with the principles and novel features disclosed herein.

5

I CLAIM:

CLAIMS

1. An echo canceller for cancelling in a return channel signal an
2 echoed receive channel signal where the echoed receive channel signal is
combined by an echo channel with an input return channel signal, the
4 echo canceller comprising:

first filter means for generating first filter coefficients, generating a
6 first echo estimate signal with the first filter coefficients, and updating the
first filter coefficients in response to a first filter control signal;

8 first summing means for subtracting the first echo estimate signal
from a combined return channel and echo receive channel signal to
10 generate a first echo residual signal;

second filter means for generating second filter coefficient,
12 generating a second echo estimate signal with the second filter coefficients,
and updating the second filter coefficients in response to a second filter
14 control signal;

second summing means for subtracting the second echo estimate
16 signal from the combined signal to generate a second echo residual signal,
and providing upon the return channel the second echo residual signal;
18 and

control means for determining from the receive channel signal, the
20 combined signal, and the first and second echo residual signals, one of a
plurality of control states wherein a first control state is indicative of a
22 receive channel signal above a first predetermined energy level, wherein
when the control means is in the first control state generating the first
24 control signal and generating the second control signal when at least one
of a first energy ratio of the first echo residual signal and the combined
26 signal and a second energy ratio of the second echo residual signal and the
combined signal exceed a first predetermined energy ratio level.

2. The echo canceller of Claim 1 wherein the control means
2 when in the first control state determines the first predetermined energy
ratio level by, determining if the second energy ratio is greater than a sum
4 of a first threshold value and a first predetermined fixed value, and if so
setting the first predetermined energy ratio level to the greater of the first
6 threshold value and a difference of the second energy ratio and the first

predetermined fixed value, and if the second energy ratio is less than the
8 sum of the first threshold value and the first predetermined fixed value,
and setting the first predetermined energy ratio level to a second
10 predetermined fixed value when the second energy ratio is less than the
difference between the second predetermined fixed value and a third
12 predetermined fixed value.

3. The echo canceller of Claim 1 wherein the control means
2 further determines a second control state in the plurality of control states,
the second control state indicative of the input return channel signal
4 above a second predetermined energy level, and when the control means
is in the second control state inhibiting the generation of both the first and
6 second control signals.

4. The echo canceller of Claim 1 wherein the control means
2 further determines a second control state in the plurality of control states,
the second control state indicative of the receive channel signal above the
4 first predetermined energy level and the input return channel signal is
above a second predetermined energy level, and when the control means
6 is in the second control state generating the first control signal.

5. The echo canceller of Claim 4 wherein the control means
2 when in the second control state generates the first control signal when a
ratio of the receive channel signal energy and combined signal is greater
4 than a third predetermined energy ratio level.

6. The echo canceller of Claim 1 further comprising output
2 means for generating a noise signal, providing the noise signal in
replacement of the second echo residual signal upon the return channel in
4 response to a noise select signal, wherein the control means when in the
first control state further generates the noise select signal.

7. The echo canceller of Claim 6 wherein the control means
2 when in the first control state generates the noise select signal when a
ratio of the receive channel signal energy and combined signal is greater
4 than a third predetermined energy ratio level.

8. The echo canceller of Claim 7 wherein the control means
2 further determines a second control state in said plurality of control states,
the second control state indicative of both the receive channel signal and
4 the input return channel signal respectively below second and third
predetermined energy levels, and when the control means is in the second
6 control state inhibiting the generation of the first and second control
signals and wherein the output means comprises:
- 8 noise analysis means for, when the control means is in the second
control state, performing a linear predictive coding analysis of the second
10 echo residual signal and providing an analysis output;
- noise synthesis means for receiving the analysis output and
12 synthesizing the noise signal representative of the second echo residual
signal; and
- 14 switch means for providing an output of the second echo residual
signal upon the return channel and responsive to the noise select signal
16 for providing the noise signal upon the return channel in replacement of
the second echo residual signal.







FIG. 1

2/11



3/11




FIG. 5

4/11




FIG. 6

5/11




FIG. 7




FIG. 8

7/11




FIG. 9




FIG. 13

8/11




FIG. 10




FIG. 11





FIG. 12

9/11



10/11




FIG. 14b

11/11




FIG. 14c

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US93/09112

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(5) : HO4M 9/08

US CL : 379/410, 411, 406, 407; 370/32.1

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 379/410, 411, 406, 407; 370/32.1, 379/409

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched


Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US, A, 4,782,525 (SYLVAIN et al.) 01 November 1988	1-8
A	US, A, 4,764,955 (Galand et al.) 16 August 1988	1-8

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. ☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	"T"	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance		
"E" earlier document published on or after the international filing date	"X"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"&"	document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search 05 NOVEMBER 1993	Date of mailing of the international search report 3 4 FEB 1994
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. NOT APPLICABLE	Authorized officer PAUL FOURNIER  Telephone No. (703) 308-6606