PCT WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 3 :		(11) International Publication Number:
D06B 19/00	A1	WO 84/ 01970
		(43) International Publication Date: 24 May 1984 (24.05.84)

(21) International Application Number: PCT/EP83/00292

(22) International Filing Date: 5 November 1983 (05.11.83)

(31) Priority Application Numbers: 8232393
8235346
8322168

(32) Priority Dates: 12 November 1982 (12.11.82)
10 December 1982 (10.12.82)
17 August 1983 (17.08.83)

(33) Priority Country: GB

(71) Applicant *(for all designated States except US):* ADNOVUM AG [CH/CH]; Seestrase 100, CH-9326 Horn (CH).

(72) Inventor; and
(75) Inventor/Applicant *(for US only) :* LAUCHENAUER, Alfred, Emil [CH/CH]; Bogenstrasse 5, CH-9326 Horn (CH).

(74) Agents: ROGERS, Jack et al.; F. J. Cleveland & Company, 40/43 Chancery Lane, London WC2A 1JQ (GB).

(81) Designated States: AT (European patent), AU, BE (European patent), BR, CH (European patent), DE (European patent), DK, FI, FR (European patent), GB (European patent), JP, LU (European patent), NL (European patent), NO, SE (European patent), US.

Published
With international search report.
With amended claims.

Date of publication of amended claims
21 June 1984 (21.06.84)

(54) Title: DEWATERING PROCESS, PROCEDURE AND DEVICE

(57) Abstract

Use of an application of foam to air permeable sheet material by a variety of mechanical or pressure applied means in order to cause or allow the foam to enter the interstices of the material. The foam contains as an essential integer an agent capable of lowering the surface tension of the foaming liquid thereby effecting a dewatering/drying action on the material greater than that than would otherwise be applied.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	**LI**	Liechtenstein
AU	Australia	**LK**	Sri Lanka
BE	Belgium	**LU**	Luxembourg
BR	Brazil	**MC**	Monaco
CF	Central African Republic	**MG**	Madagascar
CG	Congo	**MR**	Mauritania
CH	Switzerland	**MW**	Malawi
CM	Cameroon	**NL**	Netherlands
DE	Germany, Federal Republic of	**NO**	Norway
DK	Denmark	**RO**	Romania
FI	Finland	**SE**	Sweden
FR	France	**SN**	Senegal
GA	Gabon	**SU**	Soviet Union
GB	United Kingdom	**TD**	Chad
HU	Hungary	**TG**	Togo
JP	Japan	**US**	United States of America
KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea		

T I T L E

DEWATERING PROCESS, PROCEDURE AND DEVICE

DESCRIPTION

This invention relates to a foam treatment process for sheet materials and has particular reference to a process for reducing the water content of such sheet material.

Ways to reduce the water content of sheet material such as textile sheet material, are well known. The most widely used and oldest known method involves squeezing the sheet material between a pair of several pairs of mangle rollers. While certain constructions of mangles enable the water content to be reduced to low levels (e.g. 40 to 60% depending on the material to be treated), mangle-type equipment has several disadvantages. The higher the nip pressure the better are the mangling effects, but, of course, the deformation of the substrate by the nip pressure becomes more pronounced.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Another drawback of the mangle principle is the lack of a simple, easily predictable correlation between nip pressure and the extraction effect. Using water content measuring instrument feedback to control and predetermine water retention levels is thus very difficult.

Another method frequently used is the vacuum extraction of water from textile sheet material. While it is possible to remove a certain amount of the water present in the interstices of the material, the friction between the vacuum slot and the moving sheet presents problems, particularly at high speeds, since adequate sealing become very difficult. Energy input thus may be too high in relation to the effects obtained (this is particularly true for all high speed operations).

Another method recommended for the removal of water from air permeable substrates is the blowing of air at very high air speeds against the surface of the moving sheet, usually at an angle of about 90° to the plane of the sheet. Energy input again is very substantial, and results vary greatly with the construction of the

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

substrate (tightly woven/open weaves/nonwovens, etc.) while support of the sheet at a low level of friction may present serious problems, particularly in the case of webs having a low cohesive strength.

All these known treatments which precede the final drying step are aimed at reducing the level of residual water prior to drying to lower the energy input required to remove the water still present at a given dryer speed, and/or to increase the speed of the dryer and/or lower the drying temperature.

United States Patent Specification No. 4,062,721 describes and claims a method for removing water from a wet fibrous sheet comprising the steps of mixing an aqueous slurry comprising mineral and binder, depositing said aqueous slurry on a wire mesh to form a wet sheet, adding a surfactant foaming agent to the slurry, said step of adding said surfactant foaming agent being performed at substantially the time that said slurry is deposited on said wire mesh whereby essentially no internal foam is present in said wet sheet at the time of depositing, draining water from said wet sheet through said wire mesh, said drainage being aided by the force of gravity and draining

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

additional water from said wet sheet through said wire mesh, said additional drainage being aided by air pressure differential created across the wet sheet whereby foam is generated within the wet sheet due to the passage of air therethrough.

This specification is concerned the production of fire retardant felted mineral fibre panels and it is a feature of the invention that the generation of a foam should be confined to within the felted material itself. U.S. Specification No. 4,062,721 teaches with considerable emphasis, the importance of avoiding substantial foaming until the wet sheet is juxtaposed the air pressure differential created across the sheet.

We have found that if an air permeable sheet material is treated with a foam containing an agent capable of reducing the surface tension of the foamed liquid, then improved by the air/liquifying of the air permeable sheet material, can be effected.

According to the present invention, therefore, there is provided

a process for treating an air permeable sheet

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

material for dewatering and/or cleansing which process comprises:

applying foam containing an agent capable of lowering the surface tension of said foam liquid;

causing the foam to permeate the interstices of the sheet material;

removing the foam and/or constituent of the foam from said sheet material.

In one embodiment of the present invention, there is provided a process for reducing the water content of air-permeable sheet material including the steps of:

1. applying a foam to wet air-permeable sheet material immediately prior to the drying step, the foam containing an agent capable of reducing the surface tension of water
2. causing the foam to permeate the structure and interstices of the air-permeable sheet material; and
3. applying mechanical means such as mechanical pressure in the nip of at least two rollers and/or a pressure gradient between one face of the sheet material and the other, all of these steps or any one of them being repeated if desired.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

The residual water may be removed even more effectively by carrying out steps 1. and 2. of the sequence described above and preferably also step 3., then blowing heated air of such volume and speed against one face of the wet air-permeable sheet material that the stream of heated air penetrates to a substantial degree through the sheet matrial, i.e. exits thereform on the opposing face at a speed and in a volume per minute which is at least 10% of the speed and volume blown against the other face.

The process of the invention is also extremely suitable for the lowering of the water content of wet double layers of sheet material, e.g. of two layers of textile fabrics.

This is particularly important because with a multiple layer processing e.g. of textile fabrics the process of the present invention provides at many finishing stages a very substantial saving in processing costs. The problems inherent in conventional methods for the water level reduction prior to drying become more severe in the case multi-layer handling since, for example, the nip action of rollers becomes less efficient and more complex, linear pressure in the nip

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

due to the compressibility of two superimposed more or less open structures is smaller), and new problems arise, e.g. the formation of undesirable patterns (moire effects) and fibre entanglement between the two layers if the nip pressures are as high as they have to be to at least come near the effects obtainable with single layer processing. These advantages of the system become, of course, even more important if multilayer sheet material such as 10 to 20 layers of e.g. gauze fabrics, or multiple layers of sheet material with low physical integrity (such as non-wovens or paper) have to be processed.

The foam may be caused to permeate the interstices of the sheet material and may subsequently be removed therefrom by virtue of a pressure gradient applied across the material.

In a particular embodiment of the present invention, a vacuum may be applied one side of the sheet material which serves to "pull" the foam through the air permeable sheet material to be treated.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

The invention further includes, therefore, a process which comprises the following steps:-

1. Applying a foam to one side of the air permeable sheet material to be treated said foam containing an agent capable of reducing the surface tension of the liquid.

2. Causing the foam to permeate the structure and interstices of the air permeable sheet material by causing a pressure gradient to form between the two surfaces of the air permeable sheet material, whereby the pressure on the side to which the foam was applied is higher, to cause the foam to permeate said air permeable sheet material, providing a foam flow-constraining and equalizing substrate having in wet state a lower air permeability than the wet air permeable sheet material, in intimate contact with the surface of the air permeable sheet material not coated with foam, wherein the pressure gradient is of a magnitude sufficient to cause the foam to pass through both the air permeable sheet material and through the foam flow constraining substrate.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

The air permeable sheet materials which may be treated according to the present invention comprises woven, knitted and non-woven textile sheet material, paper at different levels of sheet formation (dewatering after the wet sheet has been formed, after dewatering treatments of other kinds), sheets of loose fibres (fibre stock in the form of webs, oriented or non-oriented sheets of loose fibres, i.e. in a layer having a thickness which is much smaller than the width, while the length is very large compared to the width, such as roving, sliver, webs produced by carding etc.). Textile fabrics may be present in single or multilayer configuration. As many as 16 layers have successfully been treated by the process of the present invention. Other airpermeable sheet material which may be dewatered by the process described may comprise a bed or layer of particulate matter, which is carried for instance on a porous conveyor belt (the foam flow-constraining substrate may serve as such, or it may travel on a porous endless belt).

The airpermeable sheet material may be thin, i.e. have a low thickness, or be three-dimensional in the sense

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

that it consists or more than one layer of a thinner material as for example a gauze.

The airpermeable sheet material may be structured, i.e. it may consist of or contain structural elements such as fibres or particles, clusters of fibres or particles with open spaces or voids between these elements, hereinafter referred to as "interstices". These structural elements may be bonded together by bonding agents, by hydrogen or other non-covalent bonds, by covalent bonds, by mechanical interlacing or entanglement, or they may not necessarily be held together, particularly in the case of sheets or layers of particulate matter.

The air permeable sheet material may comprise natural material and/or synthetic polymers. The sheet material may typically be less than 30mm thick in the wet state, but thicker sheets may be treated if the airpermeability is sufficient to allow the foam to permeate the structure at a reasonable rate and under the influence of the available pressure gradient.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

The foam applied to the airpermeable sheet material is preferably aqueous, but it may contain if desired non-aqueous liquids, e.g. in the form of an emulsion. The foam contains an agent capable of reducing the surface tension of the foam liquid and in the case of said liquid being water, said agent may be cationic, anionic, non-ionic, atphoteric surfactants (tensides), or simply a non-surfactant lowering the surface tension of water when added thereto, e.g. alcohols (mono or polyhydroxy compounds), amines and amides. In certain cases it is desirable to remove such agents after dewatering, e.g. during drying. A volatile agent may be used, i.e. an agent lowering the surface tension of water which has a boiling point lower or close to the boiling point of water, which is carried off by water vapour; alternatively an agent may be used which decomposes at temperatures in the range of 50 to 100°C (i.e. during drying) or at temperatures above 100°C, preferably not higher than 200°C, during a heat treatement carried out during or after the drying step. Mixtures of different types of agents lowering the surface tension may, of course, be employed.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Such volatile or heat-decomposable agents are usually used only for the last dewatering or washing step, since in intermediate steps it may be desirable to re-use the liquid or foam/liquid mixture drained from the airpermeable sheet material, e.g. in the form of a system where lightly soiled liquid is used in foam form for the dewatering or washing of sheet material containing a higher concentration of soiling or polluting agents, i.e. agents to be removed from the sheet material (counterflow washing concept). The presense of an agent reducing water surface tension in these cases is desirable because re-foaming (partial or complete, i.e. from a foam having a lower foaming ratio or from a largely air-free liquid) is necessary and should preferably be achieved without the addition of additional amounts of surfactants.

The foam may be produced in any convenience manner; e.g. static systems, which contain few, if any, moving parts, where foam essentially is produced by blowing into the liquid to be foamed through fine orifices to introduce tiny bubbles into water at predetermined air to liquid rates, or dynamic systems, where air is beaten into a liquid by various systems involving rotating parts, e.g. rotating discs (usually serrated

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

along the circumference) arranged on a shaft, one of these discs moving clockwise, the next counterclockwise and so on, or other devices capable of introducing air into a liquid to produce a defined structure for the cells of the foam.

The size of foam cells should preferably be fairly uniform, i.e. very large bubbles should not be preset in small cell-sized foam since such a heterogeneous foam may give non-uniform and inconsistent results. Generally speaking the largest cells present in the foam applied should not have a diameter larger than the thickness of the layer of foam to be applied to the airpermeable sheet material preferably it should be at most half the thickness of the layer. More uniform effects are obtained if the cell size is not larger than a quarter or preferably a tenth of the foam layer thickness deposited.

The concentration of agents capable of reducing the surface tension in the liquid before or during foaming obviously should be kept at the minimum necessary to obtain a foam of suitable foaming rate and foam stability.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

The foaming rate is the ratio between the volume of the liquid after foaming to the volume of the liquid to be turned into a foam. A foaming rate of 10:1 thus means that the volume of the foamed liquid is ten times the volume of the unfoamed liquid. Foaming rates between 200:1 and 5:1 may be used, but a range between about 150:1 and 10:1 or preferably between 100:1 and 15:1 have been found most advantageous. The foaming rate obviously will determine the volume of foam to be applied if a given amount of liquid is to be used in the form of foam to dewater airpermeable sheet material. Thicker layers, i.e. higher foaming rates are desirable if the thickness of the sheet material varies due to its structure or surface texture. All surface features of the sheet material to be dewatered or treated should be immersed in the layer of foam to achieve uniform dewatering effects, and thicker layers of foam may be applied if there is a considerable variation between the maximum and minimum thickness of the sheet material.

In one embodiment of the invention the foam applied to the sheet material to be treated is caused to permeate into and through the structure and interstices between structural elements by causing a pressure gradient to

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

form between the surface to which the foam was applied and the side remote therefrom, the pressure being higher on the foam-coated side. Pressure applied from the side of the sheet material carrying the foam, or vacuum applied to the reverse side, or both, will force the foam to travel at substantially a right angle to the plane of the sheet material.

The use of vacuum has certain advantages over the use of pressure. It is easier to apply in a well defined area on the side opposite the foam location, the vacuum applying means (e.g. a vacuum slot) may be in direct contact with the substrate with no loss of energy since essentially the vacuum acts only on the sheet material/substrate and the foam lying on the sheet material, with little or no air seepage from the outside.

Air pressure applied to the foam on the other hand is much more difficult to direct exclusively onto the foam and through the sheet material (some air will always be diverted due to the fact that the nozzle has to be above the surface of the foam layer). Foam is likely to be blown off in the surface of the sheet material instead of through it for the same reason.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Removal, collection and draining of the foam/liquid exiting after permeation is much more difficult with air pressure. Another important advantage of vacuum as a pressure gradient-producing medium is the fact that a vacuum slot will stabilise the movement of the sheet material by holding it rather than causing it to flutter as a strong stream of air does. For these and additional reasons such as foam breakdown or a strong decrease of the foaming rate which can be produced by vacuum, but not (at least not to the same degree) by air pressure, and simple recycling of drained liquid/foam, the use of vacuum applied to the side of the air permeable sheet material not carrying the foam is the preferred method for creating a pressure gradient and causing the foam to permeate into and through the sheet material.

The foam emerging from the downstream side of the sheet material is not identical to the foam as applied, since for instance, its foaming ratio is decreased by the water removed from the airpermeable sheet material. Depending on the properties of the foam it may also be lowered by the permeation process. It may be further decreased (which in many cases is desirable) by adjusting the stability of the foam to

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

the minimum level desirable from the point of view of foam collapse between foam formation, foam deposition on the sheet and the time permeation starts. Passage through porous substrates may also affect the size of foam cells and foam cell size distribution, i.e. the difference in the size of the smallest and the largest cells. Material and agents removed by the foam from the sheet material may also affect the characteristics of the liquid or foam or foam/liquid mixture exiting from the sheet material. Generally speaking, it is desirable to have a low foaming ratio or substantially no foam in the vacuum slot, at least if the liquid is to be discarded. But even if it is recycled, one may have better control over the process if the drained foam or foam/liquid mixture is re-foamed to a predeterminable foaming rate.

In other cases it may be desirable to drain liquid essentially in the form of foam, i.e. to incorporate water removed from the sheet material into the foam permeating through it. In such cases the stability of the foam applied and the foaming ratio (which is lowered by the liquid drained from the sheet) may be suitably adjusted, i.e. the foam stability is increased, the foaming rate preferably being kept at

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

such a level that the foam can be reapplied if desired even without refoaming. In many cases it may be desirable to reduce the foaming rate to virtually zero, i.e. to use conditions and equipment where liquid containing little or no air exits from the system. In this case one will reduce original foam stability.

In another embodiment of the present invention, a foam flow constraining substrate may be disposed in juxtaposition with the air permeable sheet material to support the same during the foam treatment. The foam flows constraining substrate is peferably juxtaposed the air permeable sheet material on the side remote from that to which the foam is applied. In an alternative embodiment, however, the foam flow constraining substrate may be juxtaposed the air permeable sheet material on the side thereof to which the foam is applied.

Whichever embodiment is employed where a foam flow constraining substrate is used, it is preferably a sheet material having velocity characteristics:-

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

1. Ensuring an essentially uniform permeation of air liquid and foam through interstices or pores in the sense that these pores are distributed evenly over the surface of the substrate and that the maximum diameter or cross section of the pores are predeterminable and no magnitude; if the size of the pores is not geometrically definable such as for instance in the case of a non-woven fabric then the air and foam permeabilities may be determined by a large number of small pores and not by a relatively small number of large pores.

2. Ensuring that the air permeability of the substrate material is at the most equal to that of the air permeable sheet material to be treated and preferably, at least 10% lower than the air permeability of the air permeable sheet material.

3. Ensuring that the maximum diameter of these pores is preferably at the most, 50 microns, and more preferably not greater than 30 microns.

The uniformity of the maximum pore size in the foam flow constraining substrate results not only in

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

constraint, but also in equalisation of the flow of foam through the sheet material and said substrate.

The substrate may be a woven fabric or a non-woven web. The construction of the fabric or web should be sufficiently stable to retain the pore characteristics in use.

This is usually easier to achieve in the case of more planar, i.e. less three-dimensional configurations as opposed for instance to knitted structures, which are not only more open, but tend to become distorted (with some pores becoming larger) if exposed to stress. Knitted fabrics for this reason were found to be less suitable, unless the configuration of interlacing yarns and fibres is sufficiently stabilised by blocking fibre-to-fibre and yarn-to-yarn movement (such blocking may also be useful or even necessary in the case of unstable woven fabrics or webs), and provided airpermeability and maximum pore diameters can be held at the levels specified above and below.

The pores or interstices through which the pressure gradient causes the foam to permeate through the airpermeable sheet material and the foam

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

flow-constraining substrate, may be essentially round or square as in the case of a filter fabric, where pore size and pore shape is determined by the open space lying between yarn intersections (the yarn being very compact), or they may have oblong shapes, i.e. they may be formed by single fibres arranged in relatively parallel configurations, such as fibres forming a yarn with a relatively small number of turns per inch. It has been found that woven fabrics consisting in at least one direction of a yarn with a very low twist factor (i.e. few if any turns per inch), where fibres (preferably filament fibres) due to the low number of turns are arranged in an essentially parallel configuration relative to each other and again due to the low twist factor rather form an essentially two-dimensional ribbon or band instead of a three-dimensional yarn with a more or less circular cross-section, are particularly suitable among woven fabrics. Filter fabrics, i.e. fabrics of very tightly woven structures with very compact yarns are suitable due to the very accurate maximum pore size and the wear resistance of such fabrics. While pore size in the case of filter fabrics is defined by the open space between yarn intersections i.e. by the yarn diameter, yarn construction and fabric

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

construction, it is determined by the spacing of the essentially parallel filaments of the ribbon-like low or no twist yarns in the case of the other type of weave mentioned.

In many cases, other woven fabrics, i.e. fabrics containing either low or no-twist yarns, or filter fabric yarns, may be used provided their airpermeability is at most equal, preferably at least 10% lower than that of the sheet material to be dewatered, and provided maximum pore sizes are less than 50, preferably less than 30 microns. Cellulosic, cellulosic blend or synthetic fabrics have under these conditions given adequate dewatering effects.

Filter fabrics made of synthetic filament yarns with a mesh aperture of at most 50, preferably at most 30 micron are suitable for achieving good dewatering effects. If stationary filter plates are used to constrain foam flow, best results are obtained if the maximum pore diameter is 40 microns, preferably 30 microns. Airpermeabilities of at most 4000, preferably at most 2500 litres/square meter/second give acceptable effects in the case of filter fabrics.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

In the case of woven fabrics consisting of yarns and fibres which do not give fabric structures with porosity features as well defined as filter fabrics, airpermeability has been found to be the best criterion. Woven fabrics should have an airpermeability (measured in wet state at least if water-swellable fibres are present) of at most 250, preferably at most 200 litres per square metre per second (determined at a pressure equal to the weight of a water column of 20 centimetres). Woven fabrics having an airpermeability of 100 l/sq.m./sec. or even 10 l/sq.m./sec. have given excellent results.

Nonwoven structures for use as the foam flow constraining substrate having a maximum airpermeability of at most 2000, preferably at most 1000 litres per square metre per second give acceptable dewatering effects. It is preferred that the fibres of the web should be suitably spaced, the pores (i.e. open space between fibres) should be distributed over the web in sufficient uniformity and the configuration of the interstices between fibres which define pore size should be sufficiently stable (i.e. if it does not change-affecting pore size and

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

uniformity-under the influence of the pressure gradient and/or actual use).

Uniformity of pore distribution over the area of the substrate and of maximum pore diameters is important because the foam flow-constraining substrate not only serves to constrain the flow of foam by causing the foam to flow through a large number of pores with a relatively uniform maximum pore diameter, but also to equalise the volume of foam forced through the sheet material over its entire surface and the substrate by the pressure gradient in the sense that the thickness of the foam layer is reduced uniformly over the surface of the airpermeable sheet material, i.e. that zero foam layer thickness is reached at virtually the same time all over the surfae of the sheet material. If in certain places foam would permeate substantially faster than in others, dewatering effects could become non-uniform because due to the different flow-through properties of foam and air, the areas where zero thickness of the foam layer is reached first would act as by-passes, i.e. the residual foam on the other areas would permeate more slowly or incompletely, thus affecting the removal of water from the sheet material in those

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

areas. The foam flow-constraining substrate thus serves both to channel uniformly the flow of foam and to ensure that the pressure gradient, the flow of foam through the sheet material and hence the dewatering effect is uniform over the surface of the airpermeable sheet material even if the latter due to its structure or configuration should have non-uniform air or foam flow-through properties.

The foam flow constraining substrate may be in close contact with the sheet material to be dewatered, i.e. there should be no open space or gap between the sheet material and the substrate except open space determined by the surface testure of the two sheets, hence the pressure gradient should be acting through both sheets without any appreciable amount of air entering between the edges of the two sheets in the case of vacuum, or air escaping between the sheets if air pressure causes the pressure gradient to form.

In the preferred mode of the invention the airpermeable sheet material, to which a layer of foam is applied travels in close contact with the foam flow-constraining substrate, which thus carries the sheet material, for instance over vacuum slots

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

producing the pressure grdient and which draws the foam lying on top of the airpermeable sheet material through the latter and through the substrate underneath.

This system not only has the advantage that an airpermeable sheet material having little or no mechanical integrity of its own may be treated easily, but that a delicate sheet material (i.e. material sensitive to damage by friction) is not caused or allowed to rub against stationary surfaces such as the edges of a vacuum slot. At the same time the system is very versatile in the sense that optimum dewatering effects on sheet material of a wide range of construction, configuration, airpermeability and bulk may be achieved simply by using a suitable foam flow-constraining substrate, by applying a suitable foam and adjusting if necessary the pressure gradient.

Foam flow-constraining substrates may comprise natural or synthetic fibres, blends or inorganic material such as glass or metal fibres or thin wires (wire mesh) provided it has an airpermeability lower than the sheet to be dewatered and preferably a maximum pore size (mesh aperture) of at most 100 micron, preferably

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

lower than 50 micron or even lower than 30 micron. Perforated metal, perforated plastic sheet material, or woven material gauzes may be used provided the specifications mentioned above apply.

Such substrates may be arranged in the form of endless belts, or of rotary screens. Stationary filter plates may also be used if they meet specifications as regards maximum pore size, but the friction created between the sheet material and the filter plate by the movement of the sheet material and enhanced by the pressure gradient may be disadvantageous. The permeability to air of the foam flow-constraining substrate should as mentioned above be lower than the permeability to air of the wet sheet material to be dewatered (in the case of substrates consisting of or containing water-swellable fibres, one should determine the airpermeability in wet state).

Substrates having a very much lower airpermeability than the sheet material to be dewatered may give very good dewatering effects; in fact in most cases, for a given type of substrate, dewatering effects increased (i.e. residual water content decreased) with

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

decreasing airpermeability of the substrate as is shown in Table 1.

It is of course not possible to correlate directly types of fabrics differing basically as regards their foam flow-constraining features, e.g. filter fabrics (where pores are defined by the yarm diameters and yarn spacing) to woven fabrics where the spacing of for instance low twist filamentous fibre material arranged in ribbon-like fashion determines air and foam flow properties, or to nonwoven structures where the orientation, spacing and configuration of fibres and fibre intersections determine pore size. Furthermore, not only the airpermeability, but to an even larger degree the pore size may influence the degree of water removal for a given sheet material.

In the case of filter fabrics (polyester, polyamide or other synthetic fibres), where air and foam flow characteristics as well as pore size are almost exclusively defined by the diameter of the yarns used and hence the mesh count, dewatering performance follows very closely the mesh aperture and to a slightly lesser degree airpermeability as is shown in Table 1.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Table 1

	Filter Fabric No.						
	31	32	46	39	44	37	41
Residual Water After Dewatering (% owf)	130	140	170	180	185	195	195
Mesh aperture	25	26	100	58	80	53	80
Mesh count	184.5	165.7	58.5	110.5	74.5	120	81.1
Yarn diameter/cm	0.030	0.035	0.070	0.033	0.054	0.030	0.043
Open Surface %	19	17 3/4	3.5	40	35.75	41	42.5
Air-Permeability $l/m^2/s$)	2100	1250	4400	4450	4400	5050	6000
Water Permeability ($l/m^2/s$)	485	265	780	---	770	850	950

The data set out in Table 1 above shows that among filter fabrics those with a mesh aperture higher than 30 removes substantially less water than fabrics with a mesh aperture below 30. The fabrics having the lowest mesh aperture also were those with the lowest air and water permeabilities, the highest mesh count and the lowest open surface.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Such correlation between dewatering effect, mesh aperture, air permeability and mesh count and open surface of filter fabrics and filter plate was found for widely different airpermeable sheet material ranging from tissue paper to nonwoven webs to cotton broadcloth and eight to sixteen layers of cotton gauze. In addition to a mesh aperture of at most 30 microns, a mesh count above 100, preferably above 150, an open surface below about 25, preferably below 20 and airpermeability of less than 3000 l/sq. m./sec. (litres per square metre per second) are factors ensuring a high rate of dewatering.

In certain cases one may, of course, have to compromise as regards the dewatering effect/airpermeability or open area ratio, e.g. if sheet material is moving extremely fast, if it contains very high amounts of water or if for any other reason high permeability of the foam flow-constraining substrate is desirable.

One may for instance prefer to use a more open structure of filter cloth at least in preliminary washing steps to achieve a high flow-through rate.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

In the case of woven fabrics with characteristics not as well defined as in filter fabrics, the pore size as mentioned earlier may be determined as much or more by fibre to fibre spacing as by yarn intersection spacing. But even among fabrics of widely different constructions, the structures with the lowest airpermeability give the best dewatering effects as is shown in Table 2.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Table 2

No.	Fabric Constr.	Fibre Material Remarks	Airperm. l/m /sec.	Resid. Water Content %
10	Ribs	Nylon, filling yarn with extremely low twist factor	10	95
3	Twill	Cotton	15	120
11	Plain Weave	Polyamide parachute cloth, filament yarns, very light weave	200	130
13	Plain Weave	Polyester, staple fibre yarn	250	150
18	Broad-Cloth	Cotton	280	175
14	Plain Weave similar to No.13	Polyester	300	195
5	Nonwoven	Polyester	1200	160

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

* Fabric dewatered: Nonwoven, air-tangled.

Since there are hardly any methods known for defining, let alone determining "pore aperture" for fabrics of widely different construction, yarn characteristics, and yarn configurations, the airpermeability (determined in wet state if water-swellable fibres are present) is the most meaningful and universally applicable rating criterion as regards dewatering effects obtainable.

Another method is the so-called bubble-point test used by producers of filter cloth to define "nominal pore size".

In the case of woven fabrics, for instance a nominal pore size (as determined by the bubble point test) of at most 30, preferably at most 20 gives the best dewatering effects if these fabrics are not filter type fabrics.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

It is also a useful method for evaluating the effect of mechanical or other treatments which may be applied to improve the dewatering properties of a given fabric (such as calendering, and shrinking).

Nonwoven fabrics have been used with average results for dewatering, provided the configuration of fibres and fibre intersections are well fixed by proper bonding to avoid distortions leading to uneven pore size distribution, and provided the web is uniform as regards pore size and pore distribution in the material. Such nonwovens which may be used to give average dewatering effects as shown in Table 2, since the average pore size may have much higher airpermeability than conventional woven fabrics (but usually lower than filter fabrics).

In preferred embodiments of the present invention, the characteristics of the foam should be selected such that:-

1. a foaming rate of the foam applied to the surface of the airpermeable sheet material of 300:1 to 5:1 may be used; better results may be obtained if this range is between 150:1 to 15:1, with about 80:1 to 20:1 being the optimum range for

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

most applications.

2. The volume of foam applied to the sheet material and caused to permeate through it should be such that the foaming rate calculated from the weight of liquid initially applied in foamed form, the foaming rate of this foam and the liquid removed from the airpermeable sheet material is 10% to 80%, preferably 30% to 60% lower than the foaming rate of the foam originally applied. It is, of course, desirable to use as little liquid for the dewatering as possible. Depending on the characteristics of the sheet material to be dewatered (evenness of the surface, thickness, openness, amount of water to be removed, time available for permeation, pressure gradient available), a high, medium or low foaming rate may be more advantageous.

3. In order to get good dewatering effects at low add-on and low foam volumes existing in the system, foam stability levels, foam volumes applied, foaming rates of the foam applied and pressure gradients used as well as the characteristics of the foam flow-constraining substrate should be selected in such a way that the actual foaming rate of the foam/liquid

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

mixture exiting from the foam flow-constraining substrate is less than 50%, preferably less than 20% of the foaming rate of the foam originally applied to the surface of the airpermeable sheet material.

While the change of the foaming rate specified in 2. may be calculated, the change specified in this paragraph is actual, i.e. to be determined by measuring the volume and the weight of the foam/liquid mixture before and after permeation.

This reduction of the actual foaming ratio may be increased by using a foam of low stability, a relatively low foaming rate and pressure gradients and foam flow-constraining conditions conductive to a relatively high degree of foam breakdown.

4. If an even lower foaming ratio or practically no foam is desirable at the exit end of the system, the foaming rate may be further reduced by carrying the foam/liquid mixture under the action of the pressure gradient, preferably vacuum, through a pipe or tube equipped with at least one

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

venture having at least one segment where the cross-section of the tube or pipe narrows suddenly by at least 5% preferably at least 25% of the cross-section. Virtually untapered narrowing sections, i.e. sections where the cross section narrows rather abruptly are more advantageous than long tapered sections.

5. Good dewatering effect are obtained while lowering foaming ratios, i.e. the volume of foam leaving the system, by adjusting the stability of the foam applied to the airpermeable sheet material to such a level that this stability expressed in terms of foam half-life is reduced by at least 25%, preferably at least 50% by the passage through the sheet material and the associated foam flow-constraining substrate and by the dilution produced by the liquid removed by the treatment from the sheet material. This particularly applies if vacuum is used to produce a pressure gradient.

"Half-life" as applied to foam in this specification means the time after which the volume of a foam put into a beaker at 20°C has

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

dropped to 50% of the original volume, half of the foam volume thus having collapsed.

Some of the reduction of foam stability may be produced by the passage through the porous sheet material and the substrate, while some foam stability loss is due to the dilution occuring inside the wet airpermeable sheet material. In most cases foam stability loss, irrespective of its cause, is a useful criterion for the selection of processing conditions, in particular of the stability of the foam originally applied. The stability is determined not only by the type and concentration of the agent reducing surface tension present in the foam, but also by the foaming rate and to some degree by the shape and size of foam cells, in particular by their maximum size. This gives a wide range of options as regards the formulation of the foam and the optimization of the formulation from the point of view of other criteria mentioned.

The magnitude of the pressure gradient depends on processing conditions and the sheet material to be treated (i.e. time available for permeation; volume of

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

foam applied per area, e.g. per square centimetre; structure, weight, density, thickness of the sheet material; and amount of liquid to be removed). Practically all the foam applied to the surface of the sheet material should be caused to permeate into, preferably all through the entire thickness of the sheet material.

The time of exposure of the airpermeable sheet material, to which foam had been applied, to the pressure gradient preferably is such that virtually all of the foam applied is caused to permeate through said sheet material. If, for some reason, a layer of foam is to be left, or if the action of the pressure gradient is to be terminated before all the foam has been removed from the surface to which it had been applied, the residual layer of foam may be removed, for instance, by scrapping or by suction.

Permeation of the foam through the sheet material under the action of the pressure gradient may proceed in one or several steps, with one or several applications of foam to the surface of the sheet material to be treated, with the same or a different type and the same or a different magnitude of the

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

pressure gradient causing permeation of the foam. As mentioned before, the preferred method for causing permeation consists in applying vacuum to the wet airpermeable sheet material through the foam flow-constraining substrate, which is in close contact with said sheet material and which by the action of the vacuum and the air-pore plugging action of the foam layer present on the surface of the airpermeable sheet material, is even more tightly contacted with said substrate.

Vacuum for instance may be applied to the system by passing the foam flow-constraining substrate and the superimposed airpermeable sheet material across one or several slots, such a "vacuum slot" comprising an enclosed area which is connected through a tube, pipe or duct to a vacuum-producing pump. Multiple vacuum slots may be arranged in a horizontal plane, a curve (preferably convex) or in a rotating drum, the sheet material and the underlying substrate preferably travelling horizontally or at most at an angle of 90°, preferably at most 60° to the horizontal plane. While the most advantageous configuration consists in applying the pressure gradient, in particular vacuum,

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

to the foam flow-constraining substrate having a lower and preferably a more even airpermeability than the airpermeable sheet material, and through this substrate to the airpermeable sheet material, one may if desired apply foam to the foam flow-constraining substrate, which travels (preferably with the same speed) in close contact on the wet airpermeable sheet material, and apply the pressure gradient, in particular vacuum in such a way that the foam is made to permeate through the substrate, then through the underlying sheet material to dewater the latter. This configuration as an alternative to the preferred one where the foam is applied to the airpermeable sheet material, may in certain cases also be used for the washing application described below, at least in some of a series of in-line dewatering steps. Dewatering effects are, however, inferior to those obtained by applying the foam to the air/permeable sheet.

The process according to this invention may also be used to remove agents from the air/permeable sheet material. Such agents may be chemical agents, particulate matter, liquids, solids or mixtures of such products including impurities of undefined composition. In these cases the foam applied to the

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

surface of the sheet material (or the substrate) acts as washing medium, which removes undesirable agents and at the same time dewaters the sheet material so that a second step under the same or different conditions will be more effective as regards the agent removal effect. The air/permeable sheet material may be dry when foam is made to permeate it for the first time to remove agents, or it may be wet as in the case of dewatering. The foam applied may contain surfactants particularly suitable for removing the undesirable agents present, and/or it may contain compounds capable of neutralising, emulsifying or dispersing the undesirable agents present in th sheet material.

As in the case of dewatering, multiple treatments according to the invention may be carried out in the same or in a different configuration, under the same or different conditions as regards the type, composition and properties of the foam used, the pressure gradient employed, etc. To obtain maximum cleaning effects, it is important to operate underconditions ensuring good dewatering effects. described for dewatering apply.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

A further aspect of of the present invention is the inclusions within the foam of agents which interact with the airpermeable sheet material or with material carried therein. "Interacting" meaning reacting chemically with said material or components thereof, forming covalent or non-convalent bonds (such as hydrogen or Van der Waals bonds) or just agents for deposited in the interstices of the said sheet material.

Such interaction treatments may be carried out independently or in combination with agent removal and dewatering treatments.

The foam may be applied to a dry air permeable sheet material, in particular foam may be forced into the dry airpermeable sheet material to form an inner interface under conditions (in particular as regards the absorbency of the substrate for the liquid forming the foam cells), which enable foam transit through the substrate. This is particularly beneficial in cases where

(i) foam collapse by water adsorption by the material of the airpermeable sheet material is to be prevented (i.e. if the water content of the

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

latter in the case of removal of undesirable agents or the application of agents is relatively low (dewatering thus being necessary only after agent removal or agent application);

(ii) if for other reasons a minimum amount of water is to remain in the airpermeable sheet material;

(iii) if interaction with the matrial of the airpermeable sheet is desired to take place within its structure, i.e. if interaction is to proceed at inner interstices (and if desired also at the surface interface), foam may be forced into the dry airpermeable sheet material to form an inner interface under conditions (in particular as regards the absorbency of the substrate for the liquid forming the foam cells), which enable foam transit through the substrate.

In these circumstances, the foam thus applied may contain agents capable of producing the interaction desired, or if such agents are applied subsequently, interaction will take place not only at the surface to which such agents are applied, but also internally at any inner interfaces which may be formed. Foam transition conditions are determined and achieved by causing a sheet of foam of uniform thickness to

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

permeate through the airpermeable sheet material under the action of a pressure gradient, the sheet material being exposed to the action of this pressure gradient only for such a period of time until the first foam cells appear on the opposite side of the sheet material.

The foam flow-constraining substrate may be cleaned in order to remove particulate or fibrous debris carried by permeating foam from the airpermeable sheet material into the substrate or already present in the foam when it was applied, by reversing the flow direction (using foam, water, spraying of water, air blown against the substrate) after the substrate has been separated from the airpermeable sheet material.

Water, foam or air is thus pressed through the substrate from the side which had not been in touch with the sheet material, i.e. where the pressure had been lower during the treatment according to the present invention. If water/soluble material has to be removed from time to time or after each cycle of foam permeation, washing may either proceed by reversing the flow direction or using the same direction as before. If soiling or clogging by debris

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

is very severe, one may use different foam flow-constraining substrates in-line, i.e. transfer the airpermeable sheet material from one substrate to another between treatments involving foam permeation.

Following is a description by way of example only of methods of carrying the invention into effect.

The following data demonstrates the strong beneficial effects of the process of the present invention.

In the examples, the following explanations and abbreviations will be used.

FFCS: Foam Flow constraining substrate

APSM: Air-permeable sheet material

MEF (APSM)

Blott-Paper (APSM)

Tissue (APSM)

Gauze (APSM) 8 layers of surg. gauze, bleached and scoured,....

Broadcloth (APSM)

Foam Formulations and Specifications ("Foam")

Blow ratio: volume of foamed liquid to volume of liquid before foaming

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Formulation: Agents present in liquid to be foamed

Formulation A: 2 grams/litre of nonionic surfactant (Sandozin NIT conc, Sandoz)

Formulation B: 1 gram/litre of same nonionic surfactant

Formulation C: 0.2 grams/litre of same surfactant

Foam Volume: Volume of foam (in ml) applied to surface of APSM before applying pressure gradient volume in ml per dm2.

Dewatering Effect:

Bath content of APSM after applying foam, creating a pressure gradient causing the foam to permeate through the APSM and the FFCS, and determining and comparing the weight of the APSM sample after this treatment to its weight before the treatment, expressed in %owf (% on the weight of the fabric).

Residual Water Content:

Water content of APSM after dewatering treatment (as opposed to "original water content", i.e. water content before dewatering treatment).

Example 1

Effect of Presence of Foam in Multi-Layer Substrates (Woven Fabrics)

Processing and handling of fabrics in the tests:

Two or more superimposed layers of the textile fabrics

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

mentioned were treated in wet state (pure water) as follows

(a) Hard squeeze in nip between rollers, double passage, i.e. mangling repeated

(b) same, light squeeze, one and two passages,

(c) same, but foam applied to the layers of fabric (between layers) before same squeeze as in (b), only one passage.

The effects obtained are expressed in grams of fabric plus residual water per 100^2cm .

The presence of agents lowering the surface tension of water per se has been found to increase the effect of known mechanical water removal systems such as squeezing in a nip etc., particularly if the water-removing treatment has to be mild from the point of view of mechanical action, e.g. mechanical pressure applied to the sheet material.

Applying such agents in a foam bath will, however, further reduce the residual water content to a very substantial degree as shown in the following Table 3.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Table 3

Non-woven, 2.15 oz/sq yard, 100% rayon

Sample 1 two layers of the non-woven padded in pure water, squeezed gently in mangle

Sample 2 padded in water containing agent capable of lowering surface tension of water, squeezed on same mangle in same way as Sample 1

Sample 3 same treatment as for Sample 2, but foamed bath (same composition as padded bath) fed between the two layers of non-woven before squeezing.

	Residual Water Content
Sample 1	200 %
Sample 2 (0.25 % surfactant)	130 %
Sample 2 (0.01 % surfactant)	180 %
Sample 3 (0.25 % surfactant)	110 %
Sample 3 (0.01 % surfactant)	160 %

Since in certain cases it is undesirable to have residual surfactants present on the sheet material after drying, it has been found that in such cases one may use surfactants decomposing under the influence of drying temperatures, or carried off by the evaporating

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

water, or surfactants which have an evaporation temperature not much higher than water.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Table 4

	100% cotton broad cloth (2 layers)	100% cotton voile (2 layers)	cotton gauze (16 layers)
(a) Hard squeeze 2 passages	4,12 g	2,32 g	9,3 g
(b) Light squeeze one passage	5,2 g	3,84 g	11,7 g
(b) Light squeeze two passages	5,12 g	3,85 g	11,62 g
(c) (b) treated with foam one passage of treatment (b)	4,5 g	3,09 g	9,9 g

The treatment (c) of a sample given the nip treatment (b) followed by the same nip treatment in presence of a bath of foam thus gave a residual water content

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

considerably lower than either treatment (b) alone or the repeating of treatment (b), i.e. the presence of the foam in the fabrics during the squeezing treatment improved the squeezing effect very substantially even though the treatment with foam had increased the water content beyond that of the wet material used for the test.

EXAMPLE 2

Influence of Air Pass-through Treatment:

Woven Multilayer Substrates

The same samples as in Table 3 were after squeezing treated for 10 seconds thereafter with a relatively slow stream of air blown against one face of the sandwiched fabrics.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Table 5

	Broadcloch (2 layers)	Voile (2 layers)	Gauze (16 layers)
(b) one passage through nip	5,2 g	3,88 g	11,72 g
(c) one passage	4,5 g	3,09 g	9,9 g
(b) after squeezing treated with air (room) temperature)	4,95 g	3,60 g	11,5 g
(b) after squeezing treated with air of 32°C	4,8 g	3,3 g	11,5 g
(c) after squeezing treated with air (room temperature)	4,38 g	2,84 g	10,05 g
(c) after squeezing treated with air (32°C)	4,28 g	2,42 g	9,94 g

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

These results show that the short treatment with air gives surprising results even if the air is at or only slightly above room temperature - irrespective of the number of layers present and even though rather low air speeds are used.

In some cases water levels are reached even under these very mild conditions, which are cmparable to these obtained by very hard squeezing. Higher air temperatures such as 60°to 80°C and somewhat higher air speeds (yet well below the very high speeds used in nozzles as recommended by certain equipment manufacturers) do of course give even better results even at shorter treating times. Air temperatures of 40 to 80°C are available at low cost from heat recovery systems of tenter frames, curing ovens or other thrmal treating equipment. Air or water at such temperatures was considered to be of little use hitherto.

EXAMPLE 3

Influence of Presence of Foam on Squeezing Effect: Multilayer Non-woven Substrates.

Procedure

Non-woven substrates (rayon, entangles) were wetted in

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

an aqueous bath containing small amounts (0.2 g/litre) of a non-ionic detergent. Control sample A was squeezed hard twice in sandwich form in the nip of a padding mangle. Control Sample A' was squeezed lightly in sandwich form in the nip of a mangle.

Sample B_1 was treated exactly as samples A, but after the squeezing in the nip the same bath in foamed form was sucked through the squeezed fabric by means of a vacuum slot.

Sample B_2 was again treated in sample A, but a foamed bath of the same composition was fed into the space between two layers of the squeezed non-wovens before the sandwich entered the same nip as for sample A, i.e. during the mechanical treatment (squeezing) additional liquid in foamed form was present in the wet non-wovens.

Sample B'_1 was treated exactly as sample A', but after the light squeezing the foamed bath was sucked through the two layers by means of a vacuum slot.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Sample B'_2 was treated exactly as sample A', but after the squeezing, the foamed bath was introduced between two layers of the squeezed non-wovens before passing the foam filled sandwich through the same nip as for sample A'.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Table 6

Air treatment: 5 seconds, air temperature 42°C

Sample	Foaming Rate	Treatment	% Water retained owf	% Water after Air Treatment
A	-	hard squeeze, 2 passages	120 %	-
B_1	30:1	same, then foamed bath sucked through	120 %	100 %
B_2	80:1	same squeeze sandwiched/foam inserted/squeeze as A	125 %	100 %
A'	-	light squeeze	230 %	-
B'_1	25:1	same, then foamed bath sucked through	135 %	110 %
	50:1	same	120 %	100 %
	70:1	same	110 %	70 %
B'_2	25:1	same squeeze sandwiched/foam	120 %	110 %
	50:1	inserted/squeezed as A'	110 %	100 %

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Table 6 shows that the sucking of the foamed bath through the wet material may reduce the water content by more than 50% (even though the foam actually adds water to the water already present) and the feeding of the foamed bath between two wet fabrics before squeezing also reduces the water content even though here again the foamed bath actually increases the total amount of water present. The table also shows that a very short treatment with low temperature air will further markedly reduce the water content.

A very important step of the procedure is to insert foamed liquid between layers of wet air permeable sheet material, and then causing the foam to penetrate the sheet structure and remove liquid by passing the layers with foamed liquid sandwiched between the layers theough the nip of pressure rollers, i.e. rollers running in contact under adjustable pressure.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

The application of the foam may be by known methods (knife, roller, kiss coating, from a trough or from perforated tubes to one or multilayered sheet material such as fabrics -woven, knitted, non-woven - paper, air permeable sheets of foam etc.).

The foam may be applied from one side, from both sides or between layers of the sheet material. The foamed liquid may be aqueous, containing small amounts of foaming agents, or it may contain agents such as foam stabilizers, agents destabilizing foams at elevated temperatures, finishing agents. It may be applied cold or have a temperature above room temperature. In certain cases non-aqueous liquids may be used.

Known systems capable of removing water from wet material may be used not only may the application of the foam be integrated into the permeation step, but the permeation process may be integrated into the liquid elimination process. One may for instance apply foam between layers of multilayered sheet material (e.g. two, four or up to twenty layers of fabrics, the foam usually being applied between middle layers), and then the material passed through the nip

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

of a mangle, forcing the foam into the structure and eliminating liquid in the same treatment.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

EXAMPLE 4

Influence of Presence of Foamed Bath on Water Removal (Non-Wovens)

Table 7

Samples	Foaming	% Water retained owf	Treatment
A	-	110%	hard squeeze
B_1	30 : 1	100%	same, then foam sucked through
B_2	80 : 1	110%	hard squeeze, foam fed into sandwich, same hard squeeze
A'	-	230%	light squeeze
B'_1	25 : 1	100%	same, foam
	50 : 1	90%	sucked through
	70 : 1	85%	
B'_2	25 : 1	110%	light squeeze, foam
	70 : 1	105%	fed into sandwich, same light squeeze

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

EXAMPLE 5

Water vs foam: Water sucked through APSM vs same volume of water in foamed form sucked through same APSM

Table 8a

Dewatering Effect in % owf

FPCS APSM	No. 10 Gauze (8)	No. 10 MEF	No. 10 Blott-P.	No. 10 Tissue
Formulation (1) Water sucked through	(11) 115% (27) 115%	(11) 120% (118) 110%	(11) 120% (104) 200% * (118) 120%	
same water (1) sucked through as foam (60:1)	(11) 90% (27) 90%	(11) 80% (118) 80%	(11) 95% (104) 150%* (118) 90%	(10) 78%
Strong Mangling	(11) 110% (27) 110%	(11) 120% (118) 120%	-	(10) 138%

(1) Formulation A

* 7 layers, other test with one layer

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

8b Influence on Surfactant in Water

FFCS APSM	No. 10 Blott P.	No. 1o MEF	No. 10 Gauze (8x)	No. 10 Fibre Stock (2 layers of surgical cotton)
Plain water sucked through	(11) 160%	(11) 280%	(11) 130%	(15) 280%
Water + Surf. (Form.A) sucked through	(11) 120%	(11) 110%	(11) 110%	(15) 340%
Form. A foamed (60:1) sucked through	(11) 90%	(11) 80%	(11) 90%	(15) 135%

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

EXAMPLE 6

Influence of Mesh Aperture of the FFCS

(Test 109)

The influence of the mesh aperture of different FFCS on dewatering effects obtained on different substrates was investigated.

FFCS: Filter plates in Buchner funnels as model for FFCS

APSM: Blotting paper (numbers trial No.)
Tissue
MEF

Residual Water Content

Foam Specs Blow ratio 60:1 Formulation A Foam Volume: 300 ml/ dm2	with filter plate I (mesh ap. 40-100 micron) as FFCS	with filter plate II (mesh ap. 16-40 micron) as FFCS	with filter plate III (mesh ap. 10-16 micron) as FFCS
MEF (109)	180 %	100 %	75 %
Blott P. (109)	115 %	95 %	85 %
Tissue (109)	135 %	98 %	68 %
Gauze (111)	120 %	90 %	79 %

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Same tests, FFCS No. 10 superimposed on filter plates I, II and III.

	Filter Plate I	Filter Plate II	Filter Plate III
MEF (109)	82 %	85 %	84 %
Blott.Paper (109)	98 %	95 %	100 %
Tissue (109)	80 %	85 %	85 %
Gauze (113)	90 %	86 %	86 %

The FFCS in direct contact with the APSM determines predominantly the dewatering effect.

	Water content prior to dewatering
MEF	150 - 160 %
Blott.Paper	140 %
Tissue	160 - 170 %
Gauze	130 - 150 %
	Water content after Strong Mangling (2 passages)
MEF	140 %
Blott.Paper	95 %
Tissue	135 %
Gauze	105 %

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Example 7

Influence of FFCS:

Dewatering Effect in % owf

APS	Fibre Stock[1]		M.E F		Blott.Pap.		Tissue		MEF	
Formulation A										
Foam.Rate 60 : 1										
FFCS	none	No.10	None	No.10	None	No.10	None	No.10	None	Wire-screen
Dewat. Eff.	190%	138%	195%	85%	115%	98%	135%	80%	24%	66%
(Trial)	(15)	(15)	(120)	(120)	(109)	(109)	(109)	(109)	x	x

[1] two layers of surgical gauze

[x] dynamic test (continuous treatment)

BAD ORIGINAL

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

EXAMPLE 8

Relation Air Permeability/Dewatering Effect of FFCS (Trial 33)

APSM: MEF

Formulation A

Blow Ratio 65 : 1

8a) Filter Fabrics ZF

FFCS No.	Air Permeability (l/m2/sec)	Dewatering Effect (% owf)
32	1250	139 %
31	2100	138 %
46	4100	175 %
44	4400	185 %
37	5000	190 %

8b) Nytal Filter Fabrics

56	50	73 %
55	300	96 %
54	850	110 %
53	1900	118 %
52	2050	130 %
51	2900	185 %

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Monofilament Filter Fabrics

FFCS No.	Air Permeability (l/m2/sec)	Dewatering Effect (% owf)
67	20	150 %
66	50	184 %
64	350	210 %
63	1100	225 %

Other Fabrics

No.			
10	Nylon	20	95 %
3	Cotton	22	120 %
11		188	128 %
13		220	150 %
18		280	177 %
14		300	195 %

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Example 9

Relation between Mesh Aperture/Open Surface/Air Permeability to Dewatering Effect:
APSM = MEF, Formulation A, Blow Ratio 60 : 1

FFCS No.	De-wat. (% res. water)	Mesh Apert. micron	Mesh Count/cm	Thread Diam. (mm)	Open Surface	Air Permeab.	Water Permeab.	Bubble Point "real mesh aperture"
31	132	25	184.5	0.030	19	2100	485	-
32	142	26	165.7	0.035	17 3/4	1250	265	-
46	172	100	58.5	0.070	35	4100	690	-
44	185	80	74.5	0.054	35 3/4	4400	770	-
37	196	53	120.2	0.030	41	5050	850	-
56	73	5	101.0	2x0.045	1	50	9	-
55	97	10	190.0	0.042	3 1/2	300	80	-
54	117	15	204.1	0.034	9 1/2	840	50	-
53	119	25	182.0	0.030	20 3/4	1910	450	-
52	133	30	153.8	0.035	20 3/4	2050	470	-
51	184	53	72/104	2x0.043	21	2835	585	-
67		18				12	8	395
66		19				40	10	361
65		32				85	25	223
64		57				350	75	125
63		72				1100	230	97

BUREAU INTERNATIONAL OMPI WIPO

EXAMPLE 10

Influence of Configuration on Dewatering Effect

(Air Permeability APSM/FFCS)

To investigate the influence of the ratio of APSM to FFCS air permeability three fabrics used as FFCS in other experiments were alternatively used as APSMs and FFCS in pairs, and dewatering trials with foam formulation A were carried out (volume of foam: 300 ml/dm2, blow ratio 60 : 1).

Fabrics used

FFCS No. 18, air permeability 28 ltr/m2/sec

FFCS No. 3, air permeability 4,4 ltr/m2/sec

FFCS No. 10, air permeability 2,7 ltr/m2/sec

"Ratio" = ratio $\frac{\text{air permeability APSM}}{\text{air permeability FFCS}}$

Test 10a: No. 18 as APSM

No. 3 as FFCS

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Test 10b: No. 3 as FFCS
No. 18 as APSM

Test 10c: No. 18 as APSM
No. 10 as FFCS

Test 10d: No. 10 as APSM
No. 18 as FFCS

Test 10f: No. 3 as FFCS
No. 10 as FFCS

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Results

	Ratio	Residual Water Content % owf					
		No. 18		No. 3		No. 10	
		as APSM	as FFCS	as APSM	as FFCS	as APSM	as FFCS
10a	6.4	54 %	-	-	77 %		
10b	0.16	-	62 %	76 %	-		
10c	10.0	54 %	-			-	23 %
10d	0.09	-	59,7%			24 %	-
10e	0.6			-	73 %	21.5%	-
10f	1.62			71 %	-	-	23,6 %

The results show that a ratio higher than 1 tends to give better results than a configuration where the APSM has an air permeability substantially lower than that of the FFCS

BAD ORIGINAL

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Example 11

Influence of
Blow Ratio on Dewatering Effect

11a: TECS : No. 10
APSN : MEF
Formulation A

Volume of foam constant, weight of liquid variable. Volume of foam 300 ml/dm2.

Blow Ratio	300	150	100	75	60	50	38	30
Dewat. Effect (a)	115 %	100 %	90 %	80 %	75 %	70 %	68 %	68 %
Dewat. Effect (b)	95 %	85 %	68 %	67 %	65 %	63 %	63 %	62 %

(a) low vacuum exposure time

(b) double vacuum exposure time of (a)

BAD ORIGINAL

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

11b: (12) FFCS: No. 10
APSM : MEF
Formulation A

Volume of foam varied, weight of liquid foamed constant (1 g/dm2)

Blow ratio	450	400	300	200	50
Dewat. Effect	98%	90%	80%	82%	73%

11c: (10) FFCS: Mesh Apert. 40 - 100 micron
APSM: Tissue, Blotting Papier, Formulation A and C

Volume of foam constant, weight of foamed liquid variable

Blow ratio	300	75	50	30
Blott.Paper				
Form.B	-	100%	102%	-
Form.C	102%	102%	92%	-
Tissue				
Form. B	75%	-	75%	-
Form. C	80%	-	-	78%

BAD ORIGINAL

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

11 d: FFCS, Mesh Aperture 40 - 100
MPSM: Gauze (8x)
Formulation A

Foam volume constant (200 ml), weight of foam liquid varied

Blow Ratio	200	165	120	60	40	20
weight of liquid	0,6g	1,2g	1,7g	3,4g	4,5g	9g
Dewat. effect	135%	132%	136%	125%	116%	110%

BAD ORIGINAL

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Example 12.

Influence of Volume of Foam

12(11) FFCS: No. lo
APSM: Blotting Paper
MEF
Gauze
Formulation A
Blow Ratio 60 : 1

Foam Volume (ml/dm2)	Dewatering Effect (Resid. water % owf)		
	Blott.Paper	MEF	Gauze
100	95 %	80 %	93 %
200	95 %	80 %	90 %
400	105 %	80 %	90 %
600	-	80 %	-
700	-	80 %	-

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

12b: (27) FFCS: No. 10
APSM: Gauze
Formulation A
Blow Ratio: 65 : 1

Foam Volume (ml/dm2)	Dewatering Effect (Resid. Water owf)
100	92 %
200	91 %
300	90 %
400	89 %
500	95 %
50 ml water (not foamed)	113 %

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

12 c: (118) FFCS : No. 10

APSM : MEF

Blotting Paper

Formulation A

Blow Ratio : 60 : 1

Foam Volume (ml/dm2)	100	200	400	600	700
Resid.Water (% owf)					
MEF	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%
Blott. Paper	93%	94%	105%		

Residual Water

Mangle-treated

MEF	110%
Blott. Paper	120%

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Example 13

Influence of Surfactant Concentration (Foam Stability)

13 a: (13) FFCS: No. 10
APSM: Tissue (handkerchief)
Blow Ratio: 50:1 - 70:1
Formulations A and C

Foam Volume (ml/dm2)	100 ml Form.A	Form.C	200 ml Form.A	Form.C
Resid. Water (% owf)	102%	96%	102%	96%

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

13 b: (10) FFCS: No. 10

APSM: Blotting Paper

Tissue

Blow Ratio: Varied

Formulations B and C

Blow ratio	300		75		50		45-40	
	Form.B	Form.C	Form.B	Form.C	Form.B	Form.C	Form.B	Form.C
Resid.Water (% owf)								
Blott.Paper	-	102%	102%	100%	-	-	105%	72%
Tissue	72%	78%	-	-	72%	-	-	78%

BAD ORIGINAL

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

13c: (123) Foam Collapse Time
(with and without vacuum)

Surfactant	Concentr. g/litre	Foam Collapse Time			
		under vacuum		room pressure	
		seconds	minutes	seconds	minutes
Sandozin	15	-	15	-	>60
NIT	2	-	7	-	55
(Sandoz)	1	-	9	-	52
	0,2	-	32	-	42
	0,1	45	-	-	30
Irgapodol FA (.....)	1	-	5	-	15
Irgapodol	1	105	-	-	40
FC	0,1	42	-	-	30
Gafac	1	-	7	-	40
RA600	0,1	160	-	-	30
Sandopan	2	73	-	-	40
DTC	1	62	-	-	50
	0,2	30	-	-	17
	0,1	32	-	-	25

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Example 14

Influence of Initial of Water Content of FFCS on Dewatering Effect on APSM

14 a: (103) FFCS: No. 10
APSM: Gauze
Formulation A
Blow Ratio: 60: 1

Water Content FFCS before Dewatering	0 %	23 %	40 %	55 %	75 %
Dewat.Eff.on APSM (Res.Wat.owf)	110%	105%	103%	102%	100%

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

14b: FFCS: No. 10
ASPM: MEF
Formulation A
Blow Ratio: 60:1

Water content FFCS before Dewat.	0%	25%	30%	40%	50%
Dewat.Eff. on APSM (res.water owf)	108%	110%	102%	105%	106%

Foam Content (% owf) on FFCS before Dewat.	20 %	45 %
Dewat.Eff. on APSM (res.wat. cont.owf)	100%	105%

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Example 15

Influence of Swelling on Air Permeability of Water-Swellable FFCS's

	Air Permeability	
FFCS No. 3 (Cotton)	dry 80-90*	wet 25-35*
Cotton broad cloth	dry 760*	wet 440*

* ltr/m2/sec

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Example 16

Influence of Vacuum Exposure Time

FFCS: No. 10

APSM: MEF

Formulation A

Blow Ratio: varied

Blow Ratio	150			60			25		
Vac.Exp.	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c
resid.wat. % owf	118	102	84	80	73	65	80	67	63

a : b : c = 1 : 2 : 4 vacuum exp. time

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

EXAMPLE 17

(18a) Removal of Water Containing Agents

FFCS: No. 56

APSM: Cotton Broadcloth, not mercerised

Foam Blow Ratio: 60 : 1

Formulation A

The fabric was padded in caustic solution of mercerising strength (266 g NaOH/litre), then it was dewatered with foam (sucked through the fabric, with FFCS No. 56 between vacuum and APSM) repeatedly. Foam volume 200 ml/dm2, formulation A, blow ration 65 : 1. No. rinsing liquid was applied to the fabric between foam dewatering treatments. The foam temperature was 20°C.

Results

The water content of the highly swollen cotton fabric dropped from 104 % owf to 81.9 % owf, the caustic content from 0.5288 g/dm2, i.e. 52,88 g/m2 (=100%) to 0.1040 g/dm2, i.e. 10.4 g/m2 (= 19.7 % of the original value), which corresponds to a concentration of 52.3 g NaOH/litre.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

In plant practice, a lowering of the caustic concentration from 266 g NaOH/litre to 56 g NaOH/lite by multiple cold and warm rinsing is considered satisfactory (at this concentration, a cotton fabric after mercerising may be released from width-retaining devices with risking substantial shrinkage). Five foam dewatering treatments (cold) have achieved better caustic removal.

17b

A mercerised cotton fabric (scoured, bleached broad cloth) was padded in caustic (266 g NaOH/litre), the add-on being 101 % owf.

The fabric was then treated in different ways to remove as much caustic as possible with a minimum of rinsing water.

Sample 1 as dewatered one to five times with foam (formulation A, 300 ml/dm2 each time, no intermediate adding of water, blow ration 65 : 1. FFCS No. 56 - same formulation, same weight of water).

All these treatments were carried out at room temperature.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Sample 2 was rinsed 5 times with 200 ml cold water/dm2, i.e. more than 30 times the weight used in foamed form.

Sample 3 was treated as Sample 2, but with 200 ml/dm2 of hot water (72°C).

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

17 c): (124c)

Same fabric, same caustic treatment as in Example 13b. Dewatering with foam under the same conditions as in Example 13b.

	residual caustic (% of caustic present before dewat.)	residual water cont. owf	total volume of rinsing water used (litre/kg fabric)
(a) one foam dewaterg. treatments	49,1 %	87,9 %	2.53 l/kg
(b) two foam dewatering treatm.	29.0 %	78.5 %	5.30 l/kg
(c) three foam dewatering treatm.	18.3 %	74.8 %	8.1 l/kg
(d) one treatment with unfoamed water sucked through (same weight as in (a))	49.0 %	97.0 %	2,94 l/kg
(e) three treatments with unfoamed water sucked through (same weight as in (c))	35.8 %	103 %	5.88 l/kg
Fabric before dewatering	100 %	100 %	-

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Example 18

Dewatering of fiberstock (cotton, scoured and bleached, surgical cotton grade) (15)

FFCS: No. 10
Formulation A
Blow ratio: 60:1
Foam volume: 300 ml/dm2

	Residual Water Content (% owf)	
	one layer of cotton	two layers of cotton
plain water sucked through	180 %	275 %
Formulation A (not foamed) sucked through	165 %	335 %
Formulation A foamed sucked through	135 %	135 %

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Example 19

Dewatering of Pile Fabric (125)

19a:

Dewatering of wet terry towel fabric (cotton, 521 g/square metre, scoured, bleached and dyed).

Formulation A, foam blow ration 60 : 1, 300 ml foam /dm2

FFCS No. 10: residual water content 125 %

FFCS No. 56: residual water content 117.5 %

19b:

Dewatering of wet corduroy (cotton, 347 g/sq.metre, scoured, bleached, dyed)

Formulation B, foam blow ratio 65 : 1, 300 ml foam/dm2

	Residual water content owf
Mangle	65 %
FFCS No. 56	58,5 %

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Example 20

Vacuum Data, Vacuum Effects

20a:

Foam Permeation Time Through Different APSM's

600 ml of foam (formulation A, blow ratio 65:1) were sucked through to different ASPM's. Permeation time and 6 different FFCS foam permeation time was determined (sec).

F F C S

APSM	No. 37	No. 11	No. 31	No. 3	No. 46	No. 10
Blott.Pap.	22	32	35	48	65	95
Tissue	23	24	23	29	28	108

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

EXAMPLE 21

Dewatering with Wire Screen Acting as Conveyor Belt

A nonwoven (MEF) containing about 220 % of water was (a) dewatered with vacuum by vacuum travelling on a wire screen (..... mesh) across a vacuum slot. To determine the influence of dewatering with foam (vs dewatering in a conventional way with vacuum) and the influence of the FFCS, the same trial was carried out (b) without foam and (c) with foam without an FFCS.

	Water Content
MEF before dewatering	250 %
MEF vacuum treated with-out foam	243 %
MEF vacuum treated with *) foam with FFCS	218 %
MEF vacuum treated with *) foam on FFCS	70 %

*) Blow ratio 35 : 1

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

EXAMPLE 22

Lowering of Foaming Rate During Dewatering

APSM: Gauze

FFCS: 40 - 100 micron mesh aperture

22a:

Formulation A

Blow ratio 40 : 1 before permeation through system

Blow ratio 21 : 1 after permeation

Pot life of foam before permeation: 60 minutes

after permeation: 25 minutes

Dewatering effect: 80 % owf

22b:

Formulation C

Blow ratio 40 : 1 before permeation through system.

Blow ratio virtually zero after permeation (foam practically completely converted into water).

Dewatering effect: 73 % owf

22c:

Formulation C

Blow ratio 65 : 1 before permeation,

Blow ratio practically nil after permeation

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Dewatering effect 106 %

22d:

Same trial, but without APSM (foam sucked through FFCS only).

Blow ratio before permeation through FFCS	Blow ratio after permeation
86 : 1	77 : 1
66 : 1	58 : 1
46 : 1	56 : 1
liquid	27 : 1

EXAMPLE 23

A MEF nonwoven (air permeability 1200 l/m2/sec) was dewatered by passing it in wet state (water content 180 - 220 % owf) across two vacuum slots. The web was riding on a bronze wire mesh (air permeability 5'500 l/m2/sec). Residual water content after the treatment was 65 % to 70 % owf within the batch of a dynamic test. These results show that even if properly selected, FFCS has an air permeability substantially higher than the APSM excellent results can be obtained.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

EXAMPLE 24

Comparison between water and foam sucked through APSM (with and without FFCS) and unfoamed water containing surfactant present in APSM producing foam under the action of vacuum with and without FFCS -test series 130-).

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Example 24

Test No.	Water content before treatment	Treatment	FFCS present	Water content after treatment
130.1a	210 %	300 ml/dm2 sucked through	no	184 %
130.1b	212 %	as 130.1 a	yes	73.5 %
130.2a	209 %	10 ml/dm2 sucked through (unfoamed formul. A)	no	220 %
130.2b	210 %	as 130.2a	yes	120 %
130.3a	196 %	10 ml/dm2 pure water, sucked through	no	220 %
130.3b	205 %	same as 130.3a	yes	128 %
130.4a	190 %	just vacuum applied to wet web	no	180 %
130.4b	209 %	same as 130.4a	yes	129 %
130.5a	210 %	web dipped in formulation A, unfoamed vacuum applied	no	212 %
130.5b	208 %	same as 130.5b	yes	115 %
-	210 %	strong mangle treatment	-	118 %

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

Remarks:

(1) Tests "a" compared to tests "b" show influence of FFCS.

(2) Test 130.1b shows the superior effects of the treatment according to the invention over the other variations.

(3) Tests 130.1a/1b compared to tests 130.2a - 130.3b show the superiority of foam over unfoamed formulations.

(4) Tests 130.4a/4b to 130.5a/5b shows that the process claimed in U.S. Patent 4062721 (Geyer) does not produce results substantially different from those obtained with conventional vacuum extraction or removal of water by mangling.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

CLAIMS

1. A process for treating an air permeable sheet material for dewatering and/or cleansing which process comprises:

applying foam containing an agent capable of lowering the surface tension of said foam liquid;

causing the foam to permeate the interstices of the sheet material;

removing the foam and/or constituent of the foam from said sheet material.

2. A process as claimed in claim 1 wherein the foam is caused to permeate the structure of the sheet material by mechanically forcing the foam therein.

3. A process as claimed in claim 1 wherein the foam is caused to permeate the interstices of the sheet material by the provision of a pressure gradient.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

4. A process as claimed in claim 3 wherein the pressure gradient is established by providing pressure to the side of the sheet material to which the foam is applied.

5. A process as claimed in claim 3 or claim 4 wherein the pressure gradient is established by the application of a vacuum to the side of the sheet material remote from that to which the foam is applied.

6. A process as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the foam is in the form of an aqueous foam.

7. A process as claimed in one of claims 1 to 5 wherein the foam is in the form of a non-aqueous foam.

8. A process as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the foam is in the form of an emulsion.

9. A process as claimed in claim 10 wherein the agent capable of lowering the surface tension is one which decomposes at a temperature within the range of

o o

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

50 C to 200 C whereby the agent is removed during any subsequent drying or heat treatment.

10. A process as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the size of the foam cells is preferably fairly uniform.

11. A process as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the maximum cell size of the foam is not more than 1/4 the thickness of the air permeable sheet material to which it is applied.

12. A process as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the foaming rate of the foam applied to the sheet material is within the range of 300:1 to 5:1.

13. A process as claimed in claim 12 wherein the volume of foam permeating the sheet material is such that the foaming rate of the foam removed from the air permeable sheet material after passage therethrough, is 10 to 80%, lower than the foaming rate of the foam originally applied.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

14. A process as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the operating conditions as regards foam stability, foam volume, foam rate, and foam pressure applied, are such that the foam emerging from the air permeable sheet is less than 50% of the foaming rate of the foam applied to the air permeable sheet material.

15. A process as claimed in any preceding claim wherein a foam flow constraining substrate is in juxtaposition with the air permeable sheet material to support the same during the foam treatment.

16. A process as claimed in claim 15 wherein the foam flow constraining substrate is juxtaposed the air permeable sheet material on the side remote from that to which the foam is applied.

17. A process as claimed in claim 15 wherein the foam flow constraining substrate is juxtaposed the air permeable sheet material on the side thereof to which the foam is applied.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

18. A process as claimed in any one of claims 15 to 17 wherein the foam flow constraining substrate is arranged to move with the air permeable sheet material.

19. A process as claimed in any one of claims 15 to 18 wherein the foam flow constraining substrate is a sheet material having porous characteristics ensuring a substantially uniform permeation of air, liquid and foam through the interstices thereof, said substrate having an air permeability at least equal to the air permeable sheet material to be treated.

20. A process as claimed in claim 19 wherein the dimension of pores or interstices of the foam flow constraining substrate is not more than 50 microns.

21. A process as claimed in any one of claims 15 to to 20 wherein the foam flow constraining substrate is a woven fabric, a non-woven web, or a mesh.

22. A process as claimed in any one of claims 15 to 21 wherein the foam flow constraining substrate is a woven fabric having an air permeability of not more than 250 litres per metre per square metre per second

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

or a non-woven structure or mesh having an air permeability of not more than 2000 litres per metre per square metre per second.

23. A process as claimed in any one of claims 15 to 22 wherein said substrate is maintained in close contact with said sheet material throughout the treatment with the foam.

24. A process as claimed in any one of claims 15 to 23 wherein the foam is caused to permeate the interstices of the sheet material by means of a pressure gradient, said pressure gradient being generated by means of a vacuum applied on the side of the air permeable sheet material remote from the side on which the foam is applied, said vacuum being applied by passing the air permeable material across one or more vacuum slots, each vacuum slot being defined by an open tube pipe or duct connected to a vacuum producing pump.

25. A process as claimed in claim 24 wherein multiple vacuum slots are arranged in a plane, a curve, or within a rotating drum.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

26. A process as claimed in claim 25 wherein a substrate is caused to travel at an angle of not more than 60° to the horizontal plane when traversing said vacuum slot.

27. A process as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the foam includes one or more treatment agents for the removal of deleterious matter from said air permeable sheet material.

28. A process as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the said permeable sheet material is dry when the foam is first applied.

29. A process as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 27 wherein the air permeable sheet material is wet when the foam is first applied.

30. A process as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the foam additionally contains agents suitable for removing deleterious agents present and further contains compounds capable of neutralising, emulsifying, and/or dispersing deleterious matter or agents present in said sheet material.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

31. A process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims wherein a further application of foam containing an agent capable of lowering the surface tension is applied to the air permeable sheet material, said foam being caused to permeate the interstices of the sheet material in the manner claimed in any preceding claim and thereafter removing the foam and/or constituents of the foam from the sheet material.

32. A process as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the foam liquid applied to the air permeable sheet material contains agents to be interacted with or deposited into said air permeable sheet material.

33. A process as claimed in any one of claims 30 to 32 wherein the amount of water present in the air permeable sheet material is within the ± 25% of the minimum foam transit water content as hereinbefore defined, of the air permeable sheet material when the foam is applied to it.

34. A process as claimed in claim 1 and substantially as described in any one of the specific examples herein before set forth.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

35. Textile products whenever treated by the method as claimed in any preceding claim.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

AMENDED CLAIMS
(received by the International Bureau on 04 June 1984 (04.06.84))

1. A process for treating an air permeable sheet material which process comprises:

applying to one side of an air permeable sheet material foam containing an agent capable of lowering the surface tension of said foam liquid;

causing the foam to permeate the interstices of the sheet material by the application of a pressure gradient thereacross;

removing foam from the other side of said sheet material.

2. A deliquifying process for an air permeable sheet material which process comprises

forming a foam containing an agent capable of lowering the surface of said foam liquid,

applying said foam to one side of an air permeable sheet material,

causing the foam to permeate the interstices of the sheet materials by the application of a pressure gradient,

and removing liquid and foam from the other side of said sheet material,

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

whereby the foam causes the liquid to be removed substantially from between the interstices of the sheet material.

3. A process for applying a reagent to an air permeable sheet material which process comprises:

forming a foam containing said reagent,

applying said foam to one side of an air permeable sheet material,

applying a pressure gradient across said sheet material to cause the foam to permeate the interstices of the sheet material,

and removing foam from the other side of the sheet material.

4. A process as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the pressure gradient is provided by mechanically forcing the foam therein.

5. A process as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 3 wherein the ressure gradient is provided by the application of different pressures on each side of the air permeable sheet material.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

6. A process as claimed in claim 5 wherein the pressure gradient is established by providing pressure to the side of the sheet material to which the foam is applied.

7. A process as claimed in claim 5 or claim 6 wherein the pressure gradient is established by the application of a vacuum to the side of the sheet material remote from that to which the foam is applied.

8. A process as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the foam is in the form of an aqueous foam.

9. A process as claimed in one of claims 1 to 7 wherein the foam is in the form of a non-aqueous foam.

10. A process as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the foam is in the form of an emulsion.

11. A process as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the agent capable of lowering the surface tension is one which decomposes at a temperature within the range of 50°C to 200°C whereby the agent is

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

removed during any subsequent drying or heat treatment.

12. A process as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the size of the foam cells is preferably fairly uniform.

13. A process as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the maximum cell size of the foam is not more than 1/4 the thickness of the air permeable sheet material to which it is applied.

14. A process as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the foaming rate of the foam applied to the sheet material is within the range of 300:1 to 5:1.

15. A process as claimed in claim 14 wherein the volume of foam permeating the sheet material is such that the foaming rate of the foam removed from the air permeable sheet material after passage therethrough, is 10 to 80%, lower than the foaming rate of the foam originally applied.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

16. A process as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the operating conditions as regards foam stability, foam volume, foam rate, and foam pressure applied, are such that the foam emerging from the air permeable sheet is less than 50% of the foaming rate of the foam applied to the air permeable sheet material.

17. A process as claimed in any preceding claim wherein a foam flow constraining substrate is in juxtaposition with the air permeable sheet material to support the same during the foam treatment.

18. A process as claimed in claim 17 wherein the foam flow constraining substrate is juxtaposed the air permeable sheet material on the side remote from that to which the foam is applied.

19. A process as claimed in claim 17 wherein the foam flow constraining substrate is juxtaposed the air permeable sheet material on the side thereof to which the foam is applied.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

20. A process as claimed in any one of claims 17 to 19 wherein the foam flow constraining substrate is arranged to move with the air permeable sheet material.

21. A process as claimed in any one of claims 17 to 20 wherein the foam flow constraining substrate is a sheet material having porous characteristics ensuring a substantially uniform permeation of air, liquid and foam through the interstices thereof, said substrate having an air permeability at least equal to the air permeable sheet material to be treated.

22. A process as claimed in claim 21 wherein the dimension of pores or interstices of the foam flow constraining substrate is not more than 50 microns.

23. A process as claimed in any one of claims 17 to to 22 wherein the foam flow constraining substrate is a woven fabric, a non-woven web, or a mesh.

24. A process as claimed in any one of claims 17 to 23 wherein the foam flow constraining substrate is a woven fabric having an air permeability of not more than 250 litres per metre per square metre per second

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

or a non-woven structure or mesh having an air permeability of not more than 2000 litres per metre per square metre per second.

25. A process as claimed in any one of claims 17 to 24 wherein said substrate is maintained in close contact with said sheet material throughout the treatment with the foam.

26. A process as claimed in any one of claims 17 to 25 wherein the foam is caused to permeate the interstices of the sheet material by means of a pressure gradient, said pressure gradient being generated by means of a vacuum applied on the side of the air permeable sheet material remote from the side on which the foam is applied, said vacuum being applied by passing the air permeable material across one or more vacuum slots, each vacuum slot being defined by an open tube pipe or duct connected to a vacuum producing pump.

27. A process as claimed in claim 26 wherein multiple vacuum slots are arranged in a plane, a curve, or within a rotating drum.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

28. A process as claimed in claim 27 wherein a substrate is caused to travel at an angle of not more than 60° to the horizontal plane when traversing said vacuum slot.

29. A process as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the foam includes one or more treatment agents for the removal of deleterious matter from said air permeable sheet material.

30. A process as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the said permeable sheet material is dry when the foam is first applied.

31. A process as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 29 wherein the air permeable sheet material is wet when the foam is first applied.

32. A process as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the foam additionally contains agents suitable for removing deleterious agents present and further contains compounds capable of neutralising, emulsifying, and/or dispersing deleterious matter or agents present in said sheet material.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

33. A process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims wherein a further application of foam containing an agent capable of lowering the surface tension is applied to the air permeable sheet material, said foam being caused to permeate the interstices of the sheet material in the manner claimed in any preceding claim and thereafter removing the foam and/or constituents of the foam from the sheet material.

34. A process as claimed in any preceding claim wherein the foam liquid applied to the air permeable sheet material contains agents to be interacted with or deposited into said air permeable sheet material.

35. A process as claimed in any one of claims 32 to 34 wherein the amount of water present in the air permeable sheet material is within the ± 25% of the minimum foam transit water content as hereinbefore defined, of the air permeable sheet material when the foam is applied to it.

BUREAU OMPI WIPO INTERNATIONAL

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/EP 83/00292

I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER (if several classification symbols apply, indicate all) [3]

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC

IPC[3]: D 06 B 19/00

II. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum Documentation Searched [4]

Classification System	Classification Symbols
IPC[3]	D 06 B

Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched [5]

III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT [14]

Category *	Citation of Document, [16] with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages [17]	Relevant to Claim No. [18]
A	US, A, 4062721 (CONWED) 13 December 1977 cited in the application	
A	FR, A, 1228064 (WITCO) 26 August 1960	
A	FR, A, 2318269 (AKU-GOODRICH) 11 February 1977	

* Special categories of cited documents: [15]

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

IV. CERTIFICATION

Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search [3]	Date of Mailing of this International Search Report [3]
5th March 1984	12 AVR. 1984
International Searching Authority [1]	**Signature of Authorized Officer** [20]
EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE	G.L.M. Kruydenberg

ANNEX TO THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT ON

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/EP 83/00292 (SA 6086)

This Annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on 30/03/84

The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US-A- 4062721	13/12/77	None		
FR-A- 1228064		DE-A-	1136662	
		US-A-	3042573	
FR-A- 2318269	11/02/77	NL-A-	7607692	18/01/77
		BE-A-	846227	31/12/76
		DE-A-	2631340	20/01/77
		US-A-	4081318	28/03/78
		GB-A-	1520514	09/08/78
		CA-A-	1055324	15/05/79
		SE-A-	7608032	16/01/77
		SE-B-	415491	06/10/80