




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : B08B 3/12	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 96/15863
		(43) International Publication Date: 30 May 1996 (30.05.96)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US95/13401 (22) International Filing Date: 23 October 1995 (23.10.95) (30) Priority Data: 343,291 22 November 1994 (22.11.94) US (71) Applicant: UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION [US/US]; United Technologies Building, Hartford, CT 06101 (US). (72) Inventors: SHURMAN, Brian, J.; Apartment 114, 210 Pine Street, Manchester, CT 06040 (US). DRAGHI, Peter, J.; 33 Grimes Brook Place, Simsbury, CT 06070 (US). (74) Agent: CUNNINGHAM, Marina, F.; Pratt & Whitney, Patent Dept., MS 132-13, 400 Main Street, East Hartford, CT 06108 (US).		(81) Designated States: JP, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE). Published <i>With international search report.</i>

(54) Title: CLEANING METHOD FOR TURBINE AIRFOILS BY ULTRASONICS



(57) Abstract

A cleaning method for gas turbine engine (10) airfoils (30) includes a step of immersing an ultrasonic agitator, such as a welding horn (60), into a tank (52) with a cleaning solution (54) and a step of directing the ultrasonic agitator onto the portion of the airfoil (30) having the crust layer. A subsequent step of high pressure water jet spray removes the crust debris. The cleaning method of the present invention significantly increases the power density of the ultrasonic cleaning.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	GB	United Kingdom	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GE	Georgia	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BE	Belgium	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BY	Belarus	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DE	Germany	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
DK	Denmark	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	UZ	Uzbekistan
FR	France			VN	Viet Nam
GA	Gabon				

CLEANING METHOD FOR TURBINE AIRFOILS BY ULTRASONICS

Technical Field

5 This invention relates to gas turbine engines and, more particularly,
to the cleaning of airfoils therefor during overhaul and repair.

Background of the Invention

10 A typical gas turbine engine includes a compressor, a combustor,
and a turbine. Both the compressor and the turbine include alternating
rows of rotating and stationary airfoils. Air flows axially through the
engine. As is well known in the art, the compressed gases emerging from
the compressor are mixed with fuel in the combustor and burned therein.
The hot products of combustion, emerging from the combustor at high
pressure, enter the turbine where the hot gases produce thrust to propel
the engine and to drive the turbine which in turn drives the compressor.

15 The gas turbine engine operates in an extremely harsh environment
characterized by vibrations and very high temperatures. The airfoils in the
turbine are in jeopardy of burning because of the hot gases emerging from
the combustor. Various cooling schemes exist to provide adequate cooling
to these turbine airfoils. Many of these cooling schemes include intricate
20 internal passages, such as a serpentine passage, that vent cooling air
therethrough. The cooling schemes also include tiny cooling holes formed
within the wall structure of the airfoils to allow the cooling air to pass
therethrough.

25 The air that circulates through the airfoils, particularly during
operation on the ground, includes particles of sand, dust, and other
contaminants that have been ingested by the engine. The sand and dust,

aided by extremely high temperatures and pressures, adhere to the surface of the internal cavity of the airfoils forming a crust, which may reduce the size or entirely block the air holes and the internal passages within the airfoil, thereby reducing the efficiency of the cooling thereof. To ensure that internal cavities are passable for the cooling air, the airfoils must be cleaned periodically during their lifetime or replaced. Since the airfoils are manufactured from expensive materials to withstand high temperatures, vibrations and cycling, frequent replacement of all the airfoils would be very costly. Therefore, cleaning the airfoils is preferred. During the cleaning process even small amounts of crust deposits must be removed to avoid burning of that portion of the airfoil. Furthermore, each engine includes hundreds of airfoils. Any reduction in time to clean each airfoil can potentially result in tremendous time savings and subsequently lead to significant cost savings.

One known process for cleaning the internal cavities of the airfoils is an autoclave process. The autoclave process involves exposing the airfoils to high temperature and pressure fluid for a period of time. The process results in a loosening of the sand and dust layer. Following the autoclaving, a water blast at high pressure, directed at the internal cavity, removes the loosened layer of the sand and dust. Each airfoil may have to undergo multiple autoclave cycles to be effectively cleaned. Each cycle is time consuming and costly. Moreover, the autoclave process is effective in removing the crust only when the build-up is fine or the internal passage is not complicated. However, the method is not effective when the dust layer is thick or the passage is complicated.

Another known process for cleaning airfoils is ultrasonic cleaning. During the ultrasonic cleaning a batch of airfoils is submerged into a tank filled with a mild alkali solution and ultrasonically agitated to loosen a crust layer deposited within internal cavities. A subsequent water jet blast

removes the crust debris from the internal cavities. A typical transducer used to provide ultrasonic agitation yields power densities of 1-10 watts per square inch. The highest power ultrasonic cleaners commercially available have power densities of 100 watts per square inch. This greater
5 ultrasonic power is achieved by positioning multiple transducers in a predetermined pattern within the tank with the cleaning solution. Although the ultrasonic cleaning provides a good general cleaning for airfoils, it is ineffective for some portions of airfoils with intricate internal passages and tougher crust deposits. For better results the ultrasonic cleaning is often
10 used in multiple cycles with high pressure water blast following each cycle. However, even multiple cycling is not sufficient to loosen some tougher crust accumulations. The airfoils are typically inspected for remaining dirt blockage after each cleaning cycle by being X-rayed. If X-ray shows even a small portion of the airfoil having crust deposit remaining therein, the
15 entire airfoil undergoes another cycle of ultrasonic cleaning. Frequently, even additional cycles do not remove all crust deposits. An airfoil must be discarded even if only a minute amount of crust deposit remains within the internal passages.

The current technology of ultrasonic agitation has not evolved to
20 provide higher power density cleaning and thus becomes a limiting factor in the cleaning of airfoils. Power densities are limited by the physical characteristics of the transducers. The transducers tend to overheat and degrade when overdriven. Also, if too many ultrasonic transducers are introduced into the tank with the cleaning solution, ultrasonic waves cancel
25 each other out, thereby reducing the effectiveness of the ultrasonic cleaning. Additionally, multiple cycling is time consuming and costly. Furthermore, only a small portion of some airfoils requires additional cleaning rather than the entire airfoil. Thus, cleaning the entire airfoil becomes wasteful.

The aerospace industry, in general, and overhaul and repair facilities for the aerospace industry, in particular, are at loss as to how to effectively clean airfoils with intricate internal cooling passages. There is a potential for a great deal of cost savings on replacement airfoils if the cleaning process for the old airfoils is improved. As the airfoil structure has become very sophisticated, the entire industry is searching for an improved method of cleaning the airfoils.

Disclosure of the Invention

According to the present invention, a method for cleaning internal cavities of an airfoil of a gas turbine engine includes a step of immersing the airfoil in a cleaning solution and a step of focusing the intensified ultrasonic energy onto a portion of the airfoil having a crust layer by pointing an ultrasonic agitator submerged in the solution onto the portion of the airfoil having a crust layer. By focusing the ultrasonic energy on a specific portion of the airfoil, the level of ultrasonic agitation is intensified and concentrated on that specific effected area. The cleaning method of the present invention provides an increase of 400% over the prior art in power density applied to the portion of the airfoil with dirt blockage.

This method is particularly useful to remove dirt deposits from airfoils that have been previously subjected to general cleaning after which a specific area of the airfoil with remaining dirt deposits has been identified through an X-ray. By focusing on the specific portion of the airfoil allows only that specific portion to be cleaned, rather than subjecting the entire airfoil to the unnecessary cleaning process. This method provides an effective cleaning at a significant cost and time savings.

One advantage of the present invention is that this cleaning method is environmentally safe.

The foregoing and other advantages of the present invention become more apparent in light of the following detailed description of the exemplary embodiments thereof, as illustrated in the accompanying drawings.

5 Brief Description of the Drawings

FIG. 1 is a schematic, partially sectioned elevation of a gas turbine engine;

FIG. 2 is an enlarged, sectional elevation of an airfoil; and

10 FIG. 3 is a schematic representation of a system for cleaning of airfoils according to the present invention.

Best Mode for Carrying Out the Invention

Referring to FIG. 1, a gas turbine engine 10 includes a compressor 12, a combustor 14, and a turbine 16. Air 18 flows axially through the engine 10. As is well known in the art, air 18 is compressed in the
15 compressor 12. Subsequently, the compressor air is mixed with fuel and burned in the combustor 14. The hot products of combustion enter the turbine 16 wherein the hot gases expand to produce thrust to propel the engine 10 and to drive the turbine 16, which in turn drives the compressor 12.

20 Both the compressor 12 and the turbine 16 include alternating rows of rotating and stationary airfoils 30. Each airfoil 30, as shown in FIG. 2, includes an airfoil portion 32 and an inner diameter platform 36. The turbine airfoils 30 include elaborate internal passages 38 - 40 that channel cool air therethrough to cool airfoil walls 48. The airfoil walls 48 include a
25 plurality of film holes 50 that allow cool internal air to exit the internal passages 38 - 40 of the airfoil 30. As cooling air passes through the internal cooling passages 38 - 40 at high temperature and pressure, dust

and sand particles that are ingested by the engine 10 adhere to the internal walls 48 of the passages 38 - 40. The dust and sand particles form a layer of crust that reduces the size of the internal passages 38 - 40 and can block the film holes 50. The complete or even partial blockage of the passages 38 - 40 and the film holes 50 causes inefficiency in engine performance and can result in burning of the airfoil walls. The airfoils are periodically removed from the engine for cleaning purposes.

The airfoil 30 first undergoes a general cleaning by any conventional method. The airfoil is subsequently X-rayed to determine what portions of the airfoil still have dirt blockage therein. Once at least one portion of the airfoil is identified as having dirt blockage, the airfoil 30 is immersed in a tank 52 filled with a cleaning solution 54. The airfoil 30 is maneuvered in the tank 52 to ensure that the solution 54 fills the internal passages 38-40 of the airfoil 30. A power source 56 supplies electrical power to a transducer 58 by means of a power cable 59. The transducer 58 converts electrical energy supplied by the power source 56 into mechanical energy.

A welding horn 60 includes a first end 62 and a second end 64. The first end 62 of the horn 60 attaches onto the transducer 58. The second end 64 of the horn 60 is immersed into the tank 52 with the solution 54 and positioned above the portion of the airfoil 30 that includes crust deposit. The effected portion of the airfoil 30 is ultrasonically agitated for approximately one half of an hour by ultrasonic waves generated by the welding horn 60. The airfoil 30 is subsequently rinsed with a high power water blast to remove the crust debris from the internal passages. The airfoil can be X-rayed to determine if all of the crust deposit was removed. If the X-ray shows that some portion of the airfoil still includes a crust layer, that portion of the airfoil can be subjected to additional agitation by the horn 60.

The cleaning process of the present invention focuses the ultrasonic energy on a specific portion of the airfoil that includes a layer of crust and requires additional cleaning of that specific portion of the airfoil. By focusing on a specific portion of the airfoil, this cleaning method increases power density of ultrasonic energy directed onto the portion of the airfoil that requires cleaning. The increased power density of the ultrasonic energy is more effective in loosening the hardened crust layer from the effected portion of the airfoil. The welding horn yields power densities of up to 400 watts per square inch, thereby providing a 400% improvement over the prior art. The cleaning method of the present invention enables cleaning of airfoils that had to be previously discarded. The cleaning method of the present invention also increases efficiency, since only the portions of the airfoils that need cleaning are cleaned rather than the entire airfoil. The welding horn is also significantly less expensive than the conventional ultrasonic cleaning processes.

Additionally, the cleaning method of the present invention represents significant savings in time that translates directly into additional cost savings. The importance of such savings can be underscored by the fact that each gas turbine engine includes hundreds of airfoils. Reducing the time for cleaning each airfoil also means that the time for cleaning all airfoils in the engine is reduced. Furthermore, the cleaning method of the present invention is environmentally safe.

The cleaning solution 54 can be any type of a wetting agent solution or a mild alkali solution. For example, the solution 54 may include 2% - 25% of Blue Gold® mixed with water. Blue Gold® is manufactured by and is a registered trademark of Carroll Company of Garland, Texas. The welding horn 60 can be any type of an ultrasonic agitator having varying mass, shape or density, as long as the optimal frequency for cleaning applications of approximately 20,000 hertz is achieved.

We claim:

1. A method for cleaning internal cavities of an airfoil of a gas turbine engine, said method characterized by:
 - immersing said airfoil into a cleaning solution;
 - positioning an ultrasonic agitator directly above a portion of said
 - 5 airfoil having a crust layer therein;
 - immersing said ultrasonic agitator into said cleaning solution; and
 - focusing intensified ultrasonic energy generated by said ultrasonic agitator onto said portion of said airfoil having said crust layer therein.
2. The method for cleaning according to claim 1 further characterized by said cleaning solution being a mild alkali solution.
3. The method of cleaning according to claim 2 further characterized by said mild alkali solution containing 5-25% of Blue Gold® mixed with water.
4. The method of cleaning according to claim 1 further characterized by said cleaning solution being a wetting agent solution.
5. The method of cleaning according to claim 1 further characterized by said ultrasonic agitator being a welding horn.
6. The method of cleaning according to claim 5 further characterized by said welding horn attaining frequencies of 20,000 hertz.
7. The method of cleaning according to claim 1 further characterized by a preceding step of general cleaning of said airfoil.

8. The method of cleaning according to claim 1 further characterized by a preceding step of X-raying said airfoil to identify portions of said airfoil having a crust layer.

9. The method of cleaning according to claim 1 further characterized by a subsequent step of using high pressure water blast to remove debris from said internal cavities.

10. The method of cleaning according to claim 1 further characterized by a step of positioning said airfoil within said cleaning solution so that said cleaning solution fills said internal cavities of said airfoil.




fig.2





fig.3



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/US 95/13401

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 6 B08B3/12

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
IPC 6 B08B B23P

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP,A,0 205 355 (OMEGA-FORMATION) 17 December 1986	1
A	see page 2, line 15 - page 3, line 8 see page 4, line 11 - page 5, line 25 see page 9, line 1 - page 10, line 8 see page 19, line 3 - line 20 ---	2,3,5,7, 10
A	US,A,5 290 364 (J. STEIN ET AL) 1 March 1994 see column 2, line 34 - column 3, line 40 ---	2,4,7,9
P,A	DE,A,43 41 996 (ABB MANAGEMENT AG) 14 June 1995 see abstract; claim 1 --- -/--	1

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

4 March 1996

Date of mailing of the international search report

14.03.96

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Lilimpakis, E

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
 PCT/US 95/13401

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US,A,4 134 777 (M.P. BOROM) 16 January 1979 see column 2, line 11 - line 53 ---	1,2,4
A	US,A,5 275 052 (M.G. LUTTRELL ET AL) 4 January 1994 see column 3, line 10 - column 4, line 22 ---	1,8
A	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 16 no. 9 (P-1296) ,10 January 1992 & JP,A,03 229200 (TOSHIBA CORP.) 11 October 1991, see abstract ---	1,7,9,10
P,A	US,A,5 391 256 (K.L. HANSEN ET AL) 21 February 1995 see abstract see column 2, line 3 - line 33 ---	9
A	US,A,5 029 440 (D.J. GRABER ET AL) 9 July 1991 see claim 1 -----	9

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/US 95/13401

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP-A-205355	17-12-86	FR-A- 2580198 DE-A- 3681968	17-10-86 21-11-91
US-A-5290364	01-03-94	NONE	
DE-A-4341996	14-06-95	GB-A- 2290829 JP-A- 7189735	10-01-96 28-07-95
US-A-4134777	16-01-79	NONE	
US-A-5275052	04-01-94	NONE	
US-A-5391256	21-02-95	US-A- 5441574	15-08-95
US-A-5029440	09-07-91	NONE	