



- (51) International Patent Classification:
A61F 2/44 (2006.01)
- (21) International Application Number:
PCT/IB2012/053015
- (22) International Filing Date:
14 June 2012 (14.06.2012)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:
2011/07005 14 July 2011 (14.07.2011) TR
- (72) Inventor; and
(71) Applicant : **BAL, Kamil** [TR/TR]; Mustafa Kemal Mah
2141 Sok. No:32/1, Cankaya, 06520 Ankara (TR).
- (72) Inventor; and
(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): **BEKTAS, Ibrahim
Ozgun** [TR/TR]; Mustafa Kemal Mah 2141 Sok. No:32/1
CANKAYA, Cankaya, 06520 Ankara (TR).
- (74) Agent: **ERTEM, Berkem**; Tunali Hilmi Caddesi
No:50717, Kavaklidere, 06660 Ankara (TR).
- (81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every
kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM,

AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ,
CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO,
DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN,
HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR,
KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME,
MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ,
OM, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SC, SD,
SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR,
TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

- (84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every
kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH,
GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ,
UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ,
TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK,
EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV,
MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM,
TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW,
ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Declarations under Rule 4.17:

- as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a
patent (Rule 4.17(ii))

Published:

- with international search report (Art. 21(3))

(54) Title: FIXATION SYSTEM FOR SPINAL CAGES




FIGURE -1

(57) Abstract: The invention is a fixation system, which is developed for the treatment of diseases on cervical, thoracal and lumbar spine and especially to maintain the distance between two vertebrae on the spine and achieve fusion, comprises of a main cage (2), at least one dynamic shaft (3) fitted into the main cage (2) in parallel with cage axis, at least one sharp blade (5) fixed with a fixation pin (4) on to the shaft (3), and at least two imaging pins (6) located in two different axis- which helps to locate / identify the structure under X-Ray imaging during a surgery. The invention relates especially to the sharp blade (5) -one of the system elements - which is turned 90° in the main cage (2) with the help of shaft (3), and penetrated into the vertebrae with the help of sharp side surfaces (5.3), thus fixing the system within two vertebrae, to prevent the displacement of the system within the vertebrae and to prevent the usage of extra parts like plates which will disable migration or displacement of the system within the vertebrae and to increase the system productivity.



DESCRIPTION**FIXATION SYSTEM FOR SPINAL CAGES****Technical Field**

The invention relates to a medical operation kit-to a fixation system for spinal cages in particular- which is developed in the treatment of diseases on cervical, thoracic and lumbar spine and especially to maintain the distance between two vertebrae on the spine and achieve fusion.

State of Art

Human spine is formed by connection of different-sized bone structures called vertebra. Spine is along the back and supports the body. The spine protects the spinal cord which is one of the most important parts of neural system, thus prevents damages to it. Although, the spine has a strong bone structure, it has an elasticity at the same time which enables adjustment to the body movements.

The cause of this elasticity is the intervertebral disks between vertebrae. These disks are fibrous cartilage structures that are soft in the center and more solid in the outer parts. The main function of the disks is to give dynamic structure to the vertebra and to protect it against shocks.

One or couple of these disks can be damaged because of diseases, aging, accidents etc. In case of this, the movement capability of the vertebra can decrease. Additionally, the nerve roots passing through inside of the spine can be damaged or compressed. In such situation, at the organ that specific nerve is related to (i.e. arms or legs), a loss of strength and sensation or chronic back and/or lower back pains can be encountered. Many surgical techniques and methods were developed in the treatment of spinal vertebra diseases (fracture, kyphosis, degenerative disk disease, lordosis, spondylolisthesis).

Out of these techniques, the most commonly known is to remove the disk between vertebrae and implant intervertebral devices (ALIF, TLIF, ACIF, PLIF) in place of it to maintain the distance between vertebrae and speed up the fusion. The main purpose of these devices is to create the necessary medium for the ossification, to protect the distance between vertebrae, to minimize the pressure on the nerves and to ensure non-deformation of the normal spinal curve until the fusion is achieved.

Since early 1900s, filling the place between two vertebrae with another material technique is used in the treatment of intervertebral diseases. At the beginning, bone particles (autogenous-bone) taken from the patient's other bones (hip bone etc) were used for this purpose. Suitably-long bone part or parts taken from the patient himself/herself were placed between vertebrae to treat the disease.

At the beginning of 1980s, stainless steel cages were invented by George Bagby. These cages were tested on animals first (especially on horses). Since 1989, cages manufactured from titanium alloy were used in clinical studies on humans. Using titanium cages were approved by American Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 1996. Since then, several cages with different shapes (cylindrical, flat etc) and made of different materials (stainless steel, titanium alloy, PEEK-polyetheretherketone, ceramic, carbon alloy etc) were manufactured and in use for more than 15 years. The general characteristics of these cages are ; they should be in suitable size to fit the operated area, should

have load-bearing walls and should have voids to enable application of bone material to speed up the fusion process.

Applications US20080262623 and US20020169507 are examples to the spinal cages. In general, this kind of cages were implanted between two adjacent vertebra with the help of an instrument suitable for the structure of the cage.

One of the problems arise from the use of cages is, migration and/or displacement of the cage because of the spinal movements after surgery. Due to this, to prevent the displacement of cage implanted between the vertebrae, an extra plate and/or screw system can be used.

Application US6572619 is an example to plate systems used in spinal cages. These kind of plates can be used separately from the cage as it can be seen from Application US20090054987 or can be used combined with the cage as in Application US20080215093.

Apart from plates, another fixation method is to use additional screws suitable to the cage or blades combined with cages. As in the case of cages seen in Application US20020193880 and US 20100249935, the screws that passes through the cages or through the plates connected to the cages in crosswise and fixed to the upper and lower vertebrae are used. After inserting the cage into the space between two vertebrae, with the help of a suitable instrument, these screws were inserted into the bones and system is fixed. There are two circular blades, in the front part of the cage in Application US 6102949. After placing the cage into its place, with the help of a suitable instrument, these circular blades, are stucked to the upper and lower bones by turning the blades and system fixation is achieved.

Fixation systems where plates and/or screws are used, bring along both the problem of extra implant usage and thus increase in costs, and the excessive damage to the vertebrae. Because of the structure and the small sizes of the cervical vertebrae increase the risk in such cases. Hence, a need to use a fixation system that will ensure non-migration of the cage after the surgery, that will give minimum damage to the upper and lower vertebra and that will be eliminate the use of extra plate and/or screw systems is arisen.

Aim of the Invention

The aim of the invention starting from the current status of the technique is: to achieve fusion in spine surgery operations and to eliminate the existing defects with the help of improvements made in cage systems that maintains the distance between two vertebrae.

Another aim of this invention is to fix the intervertebral cages to the vertebrae – with the help of a blade (or blades) which is placed in to the cage in paralel with the cage on a dynamic shaft- and is to prevent migration or displacement of the cage after the surgery. In this way, a more solid structure compared to the cage systems without a fixation element is achieved and to eliminate the use of an extra product i.e. plates to keep the cages within the vertebrae and hence to minimize the damage to the vertebra tissue.

Another aim of the invention is the penetration of the blade into the vertebrae without giving much damage to them due to special design of the sharp blade and fixing the system. In this way, operation time is shortened, the surgeon's safe operation possibility is much increased. Advantageously, during

the operation the blade is positioned from horizontal position to vertical position and with the help of special tip design, it is stucked in to the vertebrae with minimum damage and keeps it to be fixed until fusion is completed after the surgery. In this way, when the sharp blade is in open (vertical) position, the resistance of the system to vertebral movements and horizontal/vertical loads is increased. Advantageously during a revision surgery, this blade can be turned in the counter direction and brought back to the original position in the cage and cage can be pulled out between the vertebrae.

Another aim of the invention is in cervical applications, the bottom of the main cage has a lordosis angled surface and due to this design, cage is solidly situated within the cervical spine. Advantageously, because of this characteristic, the natural form of the spine is maintained and less or over loading of lower and upper adjacent vertebrae is prevented.

Another aim of the invention is, in addition to the imaging pins, during surgery under X-ray, the position of the cage within the vertebrae can be identified easily as there is at least one metal dynamic shaft in parallel to the cage axis and at least one metal blade within the cage.

Another aim of the invention is that the part in the front of the cage is horizontally cut in the middle and thanks to the special design on the shaft, this horizontally cut cage structure can be expanded during fixation. Advantageously, after the system is implanted between the vertebrae, the height of the system can be adjusted such that system height is closest to the distance between the vertebrae with the help of expansion bumps on the tip of shaft. And the space between the vertebrae is fully filled with the system. In this way, bottom and lower teeth and sharp blade on the main cage are firmly penetrated to the vertebrae, hence the strength and function of the system is increased. Additionally, because of the special geometric structure of the shaft, blade fitting places on the cage, the number of blades regardless of the length and width of the cage, a very safe fixation is achieved.

Description of Figures and Reference Numbers

In order to explain the invention better, drawings – which is detailed below- are attached:

1. General overview of cage and fixation system
- 2a. Top view of cage and fixation system
- 2b. Top view of cage
3. Back view of cage and fixation system
4. Back view of cage and fixation system when the blade is in open position
5. General overview of shaft and sharp blade fitted on it
6. Side view of shaft
- 7a. Side view of sharp blade
- 7b. 3-D view of sharp blade
- 8a. Top view of the system applied to PLIF cage
- 8b. Side view of the system applied to PLIF cage
- 8c. Back view of the system applied to PLIF cage
9. Side cross-section of the system applied to Expandable Cage

Parts of the Figures are numbered as follows:

1. Fixation system
2. Main cage
 - 2.1 Instrument fixation hole
 - 2.2 Shaft hole
 - 2.3 Instrument locking hole
 - 2.4 Top and bottom teeth
 - 2.5 Bone voids
 - 2.6 Angled front surface
 - 2.7 Sharp blade space
 - 2.8 Top and bottom surface
 - 2.9 Blade saddle
 - 2.10 Back surface
 - 2.11 Horizontal cut
 - 2.12 Cut-out lips
3. Shaft
 - 3.1 Hexagon back end
 - 3.2 Round front end
 - 3.3 Hexagon middle rise
 - 3.4 Fixation pin hole
 - 3.5 Expansion bumps
4. Fixation pin
5. Sharp blade
 - 5.1 Hexagon middle hole/rest
 - 5.2 Top and bottom arms
 - 5.3 Sharp side surfaces
 - 5.4 Movement-restraining side wall
 - 5.5 Sharp blade fixation pin hole
6. Imaging pin

Detailed Description of the Invention

The fixation system for spinal cages comprises of in general terms; a main cage (2) placed between two vertebrae, a dynamic shaft (3) placed in to the cage (2) in parallel with the cage (2) axis, at least one sharp blade (5) fixed on the shaft(3) with the help of a fixation pin (4) and minimum two imaging pins (6) which helps to identify the position of the structure under X-Ray during a surgery.

The main cage (2) shown in detail in Figure 1-3 comprises of; an angled front surface (2.6) which helps the structure to be inserted between two vertebrae, top and bottom teeth (2.4), top and bottom surface (2.8) back surface (2.10) with instrument fixation hole (2.1), shaft hole (2.2) and instrument fixation hole (2.3), bone voids (2.5), sharp blade space (2.7), imaging pin (6) and blade saddle (2.9).

Main cage (2) is preferable made of PEEK (polyetheretherketone) material. However it can be made of from stainless steel , titanium, titanium alloy, carbon, ceramic or a material that is bio compatible and strong enough to prevent damaging of the structure.

As an advantage, there are top and bottom teeth (2.4) that are in parallel to the horizontal axis of the main cage (2) on the top and bottom surfaces (2.8) of the main cage(2). These top and bottom teeth (2.4), helps keeping the main cage (2) after it has been implanted between two vertebra in position and preventing it to move backwards especially.

Back surface (2.10) consists of; a shaft hole (2.2) which will allow the entry of the tip of the instrument that will enable turning the shaft (3) and sharp blade(5), a suitable instrument locking hole (2.3) which is suitable to the tip of the instrument that will define the position of the system within two vertebrae and will keep the system rigid and stable while it is being implanted between the two vertebrae and preferably instrument fixation hole (2.1). Advantageously, these holes were positioned in a manner that will enable to use a single instrument which will carry out all these procedures. Instrument locking hole (2.3), may have a grooved structure or may have cavities which will allow the tips of the instrument to grasp it.

As an advantage, sharp blade space (2.7) is positioned at the center of main cage (2). In this way, a maximum space is obtained for the placement of sharp blade (5) and fusion –increasing bone materials that have been applied to bone voids (2.5) which are not affected much from the movements of sharp blade (5) during a surgery.

In another application of the invention, more than one shaft (3) are used and shafts (3) were placed such that they are closer to the cage surfaces rather than being at the center and in this way, blades (5) are allowed to do locking by going out of the cage. As seen in Fig. 8a, 8b, there are blade saddles (2.9) for the placement of top and bottom arms (5.2) of sharp blade (5) on the top and bottom surface (2.8) of main cage (2). When the sharp blade (5) is in closed position, top and bottom arms (5.2) rest on blade saddles (2.9). In this way, with the help of long top and bottom arms (5.2) of the sharp blade, it is ensured that the blades reach to the bone.

The shaft (3) seen in Fig. 5.6 in detail comprises of; hexagon back end (3.1), round front end (3.2), hexagonal middle rise (3.3), fixation pin hole (3.4) and preferably expansion bumps (3.5).

The shaft (3) is preferably made of titanium alloy. However it can be made of from stainless steel, titanium, PEEK (polyetheretherketone), carbon, ceramic or a material that is bio compatible and strong enough to prevent damaging of the structure.

Advantageously, back end (3.1) of the shaft (3) has a hexagonal structure which enables a suitable instrument to grasp it and to turn the shaft (3) in the appropriate direction and angle. In this way, during a surgery the shaft (3) and the sharp blade (5) which is connected to it with a fixation pin (4), can be turned safely until the sharp blade (5) is penetrated in to the vertebrae in the appropriate position and fixation of the system is achieved. Round front end (3.2) of the shaft (3), has a round shape which will not prevent the movements of the shaft (3) within the main cage (2) and helps to define the position of the shaft (3) in the main cage (2).

As an advantage, the shaft (3) has a hexagonal middle rise (3.3) which helps the hexagonal middle hole (5.1) of the sharp blade (5) to rest on it. With the help of fixation pin hole (3.4) on the hexagonal middle rise (3.3), the sharp blade (5) is fixed on the shaft (3).

Advantageously, more than one shaft (3) can be fitted into the main cage (2) (Fig. 8a, 8b, 8c). In this case, the shafts (3) can be positioned side by side, on top of each other or diagonally in the main cage (2). In such a case, there will be more than one shaft hole (2.2) on the back surface (2.10) of main cage (2).

The sharp blade (5) seen in Fig. 7 in detail comprises of; hexagonal middle rest (5.1), top and bottom arms (5.2), sharp side surfaces (5.3), movement restraining side walls (5.4) and sharp blade fixation pin hole (5.5).

The sharp blade (5) is preferably made of titanium alloy. However it can be made of from stainless steel, titanium, PEEK (polyetheretherketone), carbon, ceramic or a material that is bio compatible and strong enough to prevent damaging of the structure.

The sharp blade (5) is placed into the sharp blade space (2.7) on the main cage (2). Advantageously, the sharp blade (5) is tightly fitted into the sharp blade space (2.7) with the help of movement-restraining side walls (5.4) that are on both sides of sharp blade (5) and in this way, free and uncontrolled movements of sharp blade (5) is prevented.

The sharp blade (5) is placed on the hexagonal middle rise (3.3) on the shaft (3) with the help of hexagonal middle hole/rest (5.1) and it is fixed to the shaft (3) with the help of fixation pin (4) that passes through sharp blade fixation pin hole (5.5). In this way, sharp blade (5) moves in parallel with the movements of the shaft (3).

The sharp side surfaces (5.3) that are on the top and bottom arms (5.2) of the sharp blade (5) has a concave triangular shape. In this way, the sharp side surfaces (5.3) easily penetrate to the bone when inserted into the vertebrae and they ensure the fixation of the system without much damaging the bones.

The sharp blade (5), at initial position within the main cage (2), is on horizontal axis and in parallel position to the main cage (2). In the final position, the sharp blade (5) – which is turned by a suitable instrument and thus turned by the shaft (3) and penetrated to the vertebrae - is in 90° vertical position to the main cage (2) in the horizontal axis.

As an advantage, there can be more than one sharp blade (5) within the fixation system (1). In such a case, either there are at least two sharp blades (5) in sequence on the same shaft (3) or there are at least two sharp blades (5) in sequence on at least two different shafts (3). These sharp blades (5) can have one top and one bottom arm (5.2) as well as they can have either top and/or bottom arm. In case the structure of main cage (2) is not suitable to fully accommodate the sharp blades (5), there can be blade saddles (2.9) on the top and bottom surface (2.8) of the main cage (2) into which the top and bottom arms (5.2) of the sharp blades (5) can rest (Fig. 8). One or couple of these possibilities can be utilized depending on the geometric structure of the main cage (2) and the area of usage.

Advantageously, there are at least two imaging pins (6) on the main cage (2) in horizontal and /or vertical position. These imaging pins (6) help to identify the position of the structure under X-ray imaging during the surgery. The surgeon can easily identify the correct position of the cage (2) as the pins (6) are located at the back side of the cage(2) and the blade (5) is seen under X-Ray.

As an advantage, fixation system (1) can be applied to the expandable cage systems. In such a case, the angled front surface (2.6) of the main cage (2) is cut into two by a horizontal cut (2.11) and there are two expansion bumps (3.5) on round front end (3.2) of the shaft (3). Depending on the movement of the shaft (3), these expansion bumps (3.5) opens the horizontal cut (2.11)by pushing the cut-out lips (2.12) on angled front surface (2.6) of the main cage (2) downwards and upwards and changes the height of the main cage (2) can be adjusted appropriately to the distance between the vertebrae.

In a sample application of the invention;

The distance between the vertebrae in the part to be operated is properly measured and appropriate size of the main cage (2) is decided. Proper main cage (2), with the help of instrument fixation hole (2.1) and instrument locking hole (2.3) on the back surface (2.10), is locked to the suitable instrument. Then, with the same instrument, it is inserted into the place between two vertebrae. During this process, one should pay attention to the possibility of placing the main cage (2) into too deep as to give damage to the spine and into the very front which may lead to the displacement of it after the surgery. After the main cage (2) is inserted into its place, another part of the same instrument is fixed to the hexagonal back end (3.1) of the shaft (3). By turning the shaft (3) and the sharp blade (5) that is fixed on it in the proper direction and angle, the top and bottom arms (5.2) of the sharp blade (5) is penetrated to the top and bottom vertebrae.

After controlling the position and angle of the sharp blade (5) preferably with an imaging method and making sure that position is correct, the instrument is pulled out from the main cage (2) and fixation system (1) is fitted into its place. Fig. 4 shows the final position of the system. Hence, depending on the movements of the spine, until the fusion is completed, it is ensured that the system stays fixed in its place and no need is arisen to use an extra screw and/or plate for fixation.

The invention is described by way of example above. Of course, the invention cannot be limited with the above described applications and the person skilled in the art can implement various variants of the invention without going beyond the ambit of the patent.

CLAIMS

1. The invention is a fixation system (1), which is developed for the treatment of diseases on cervical, thoracic and lumbar spine and especially to maintain the distance between two vertebrae on the spine and achieve fusion, comprises of a main cage (2), at least one dynamic shaft (3) fitted into the main cage (2), at least one sharp blade (5) fixed with a fixation pin (4) on to the shaft (3), at least one blade arm (5.2) and at least two imaging pins (6) located in two different axis- which helps to locate / identify the structure under X-Ray imaging during a surgery.
2. A sharp blade (5) according to Claim 1, characterized in that the sharp side surfaces (5.3) of the top and bottom arms (5.2) that penetrates into vertebrae has a triangular concave structure enabling an easy and less damaging penetration to the vertebrae.
3. A sharp blade (5) according to Claim 2, characterized in that it has a hexagonal middle rest (5.1) suitable for the hexagonal middle rise (3.3) of the shaft (3) which rests on the hexagonal middle rise (3.3) of the shaft (3).
4. A sharp blade (5) according to Claim 3, characterized in that it has movement-restraining side walls (5.4) to restrict the blade movements within the sharp blade space (2.7) which is on the main cage(2).
5. A shaft (3) according to Claim 1, characterized in that it is a single piece which has a hexagonal back end (3.1) part that is inserted into back surface (2.10) of the main cage (2), a round front end (3.2) part that is inserted into angled front surface (2.6) of the cage (2), a hexagonal middle rise (3.3) part on which the sharp blade (5) is mounted.
6. A sharp blade (5) according to Claim 2, characterized in that the length of the sharp blade (5) – with respect to the width of the main cage(2)- is such that it allows the sharp blade to make fixation to the vertebrae by going out of the main cage (2) and it will not cause protrusions from the main cage (2) while inserted to the vertebra.
7. A sharp blade (5) according to Claim 2, characterized in that it has preferably two sharp top and bottom arms (5.2)
8. A fixation system (1) according to Claim 4, characterized in that, it has at least two shafts (3) which are located in parallel to each other in horizontal axis and at least one sharp blade (3) connected to these shafts with at least one sharp arm (5.2).
9. A fixation system (1) according to Claim 4, characterized in that it has at least two shafts placed in parallel in vertical axis and at least one sharp blade (3) with at least one sharp arm (5.2) connected to the shafts (3).
10. A fixation system (1) according to Claim 4, characterized in that it has at least two shafts (3) which are placed crosswise to each other in vertical axis and at least one sharp blade (3) with at least one sharp arm (5.2) connected to the shafts (3).

11. A fixation system (1) according to Claim 9 and 10, characterized in that the sharp blades (5) connected to the shaft (3) have one sharp arm (5.2) each that moves in opposite directions and enables fixation to the top & bottom vertebra.
12. A fixation system (1) according to Claim 8,9,10,11 , characterized in that the shafts (3) are located close to the top and bottom surfaces (2.8) of the main cage (2) that touch the vertebra.
13. A fixation system (1) according to Claim 12, characterized in that it has blade saddles (2.9) so that blade's top and bottom arms (5.2) can rest on main cage's (2) top and bottom surfaces (2.8).
14. A fixation system (1) according to Claim 1, characterized in that the main cage (2) has top and bottom surfaces (2.8) with top and bottom teeth (2.4), angled front surface (2.6), back surface (2.10), at least one bone void (2.5) to fill with bone graft and at least one blade saddle (2.9).
15. A main cage (2) according to Claim 14, characterized in that it has at least one instrument fixation hole (2.1) on the back surface (2.10), at least one shaft hole (2.2) and at least one instrument locking hole (2.3).
16. A main cage according to Claim 13, characterized in that the interior wall of the instrument locking hole (2.3) has a threaded structure which enables the instrument to be locked.
17. A fixation system according to Claim 1, characterized in that , there is a horizontal cut (2.11) on the angled front surface (2.6) of the main cage (2) and due to this cut, the front part of the angled surface of the cage has two cut-out lips (2.12) and there should be at least one expansion bump (3.5) that gets thicker on the round front end (3.2)of the shaft in order to expand these lips downwards and upwards during fixation stage.
18. A fixation system (1) as per Claim 1, characterized in that, there are 2 pins (6) at the back corners of the cage in the same axis with the width of the cage.
19. The invention is a fixation system (1), which is developed for the treatment of diseases on cervical, thoracal and lumbar spine and especially to maintain the distance between two vertebrae on the spine and achieve fusion, comprises of ;
 - a main cage (2) with
 - a. Instrument fixation hole (2.1)
 - b. Shaft hole (2.2)
 - c. Instrument locking hole (2.3)
 - d. Top and bottom teeth (2.4)
 - e. Bone voids (2.5)
 - f. Angled front surface (2.6)
 - g. Sharp blade space (2.7)
 - h. Top and bottom surface (2.8)

- i. Blade saddle (2.9)
- j. Back surface (2.10)
- k. Horizontal cut (2.11)
- l. Cut-out lips (2.12)

at least one dynamic shaft (3) – placed in the main cage (2) – with

- a. Hexagon back end (3.1)
- b. Round front end (3.2)
- c. Hexagon middle rise (3.3)
- d. Fixation pin hole (3.4)
- e. Expansion bumps (3.5)

at least one sharp blade (5) – that is fixed on the shaft (3) with the help of a fixation pin (4) – with

- a. Hexagon middle hole/rest (5.1)
- b. Top and bottom arms (5.2)
- c. Sharp side surfaces (5.3)
- d. Movement-restraining side wall (5.4)
- e. Sharp blade fixation pin hole (5.5)

and imaging pin (6) that is found in two different axis in order to define the position of the structure under X-Ray during surgery.




FIGURE -1




FIGURE 2-A




FIGURE 2-B




FIGURE - 3




FIGURE - 4




FIGURE -5




FIGURE -6




FIGURE 7A




FIGURE 7B




FIGURE 8A




FIGURE 8B




FIGURE 8C




FIGURE 9

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No PCT/IB2012/053015
--

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER INV. A61F2/44 ADD.				
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC				
B. FIELDS SEARCHED				
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) A61F				
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched				
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) EPO-Internal				
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
Y	FR 2 930 426 A1 (RAZIAN HASSAN [FR]) 30 October 2009 (2009-10-30) page 5, line 25 - page 6, line 2; figure 1 page 7, line 11 - line 15 -----	1, 19		
Y	US 7 867 277 B1 (TOHMEH ANTOINE G [US]) 11 January 2011 (2011-01-11) column 6, line 5 - line 42 -----	1, 19		
Y	WO 2010/037926 A1 (RAZIAN HASSAN [FR]) 8 April 2010 (2010-04-08) page 7, line 14 - page 8, line 3 -----	19		
A	FR 2 846 876 A1 (RAZIAN HASSAN [FR]) 14 May 2004 (2004-05-14) figures 1-3 -----	1		
----- -/--				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.				
* Special categories of cited documents : <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; border: none; vertical-align: top;"> "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed </td> <td style="width: 50%; border: none; vertical-align: top;"> "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family </td> </tr> </table>			"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family			
Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report			
26 September 2012	05/10/2012			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer Korth, C			

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No PCT/IB2012/053015

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	FR 2 943 529 A1 (RAZIAN HASSAN [FR]) 1 October 2010 (2010-10-01) claim 1	17
A	FR 2 880 795 A1 (RAZIAN HASSAN [FR]) 21 July 2006 (2006-07-21) figures 3,4	1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No PCT/IB2012/053015

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
FR 2930426	A1	30-10-2009	NONE

US 7867277	B1	11-01-2011	US 7867277 B1 11-01-2011
			US 2011112642 A1 12-05-2011

WO 2010037926	A1	08-04-2010	FR 2936703 A1 09-04-2010
			WO 2010037926 A1 08-04-2010

FR 2846876	A1	14-05-2004	AT 386484 T 15-03-2008
			AU 2003285448 A1 03-06-2004
			DE 60319265 T2 12-02-2009
			EP 1567097 A1 31-08-2005
			ES 2301853 T3 01-07-2008
			FR 2846876 A1 14-05-2004
			US 2005283236 A1 22-12-2005
			WO 2004043306 A1 27-05-2004

FR 2943529	A1	01-10-2010	NONE

FR 2880795	A1	21-07-2006	NONE
