



- (51) International Patent Classification:
G06Q 40/00 (2012.01)
- (21) International Application Number:
PCT/US2013/053233
- (22) International Filing Date:
1 August 2013 (01.08.2013)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:
13/564,886 2 August 2012 (02.08.2012) US
- (71) Applicant: **CHICAGO MERCANTILE EXCHANGE INC.** [US/US]; 20 South Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (US).
- (72) Inventors: **STUDNITZER, Ari**; Chicago Mercantile Exchange Inc., 20 South Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (US). **BALL, Nathan**; Chicago Mercantile Exchange Inc., 20 South Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois

60606 (US). **ARNER II, Wayne G.**; Chicago Mercantile Exchange Inc., 20 South Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (US). **SCIMECA, Giuseppe**; Chicago Mercantile Exchange Inc., 20 South Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (US). **SCHEERER, John**; Chicago Mercantile Exchange Inc., 20 South Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (US). **REITHEL, Christopher**; Chicago Mercantile Exchange Inc., 20 South Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (US). **DOORNEBOS, Jacob**; Chicago Mercantile Exchange Inc., 20 South Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (US). **WOLF, Brian**; Chicago Mercantile Exchange Inc., 20 South Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (US).

(74) Agents: **MILLER, Charles L.** et al.; Banner & Witcoff, LTD., 10 South Wacker Drive, Suite 3000, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (US).

(81) Designated States (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY,

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: MESSAGE PROCESSING




Figure 2

[Continued on next page]



BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK,

EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Declarations under Rule 4.17:

- *as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a patent (Rule 4.17(ii))*
- *as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii))*

Published:

- *with international search report (Art. 21(3))*

(57) Abstract: Systems and methods are provided for scoring the use of financial market messages. Uses of messages that are efficient and/or improve market liquidity receive positive scores. Traders are charge fees or receive rewards that are dependent upon message quality scores.

MESSAGE PROCESSING

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

- [01] This application claims priority to U.S. Patent Application No. 13/564,886, filed August 2, 2012, and entitled "Message Processing," and which is hereby incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

- [02] Aspects of the present invention relate to the processing of financial market messages. More specifically, aspects of the present invention provide systems and methods for determining characteristics relating to the use of messages.

BACKGROUND

- [03] Current financial instrument trading systems allow traders to transmit messages to submit new orders, cancel existing orders and modify existing orders. Typically trade engines process each individual message and create corresponding market data messages. Market data messages are transmitted to traders and other entities. Existing systems provide traders and other users with several options regarding the use of messages. For example, if a trader wishes to change the price of an existing order for a financial instrument, the user can submit a modify order message or submit a cancel order message and a new order message. Choices made by traders impact the number of messages required to implement trading strategies.
- [04] Message traffic can strain computer systems and networks that are used to transmit such messages. The processing of messages and associated overhead consumes bandwidth and processing time. Large numbers of messages also have corresponding large memory and storage requirements.
- [05] Therefore, there is a need in the art for improved systems and methods for monitoring messages and providing incentives for the efficient or optimized use of messages.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

- [06] Embodiments of the invention overcome at least some of the problems and limitations of the prior art by providing systems and methods for evaluating or scoring financial market messages. Messages that are efficient and/or improve liquidity are scored accordingly. An exchange or other trading entity may charge a fee or provide a reward that is dependent on the message score.
- [07] In various embodiments, aspects of the present invention can be partially or wholly implemented on a computer-readable medium, for example, by storing computer-executable instructions or modules, or by utilizing computer-readable data structures.
- [08] Of course, the methods and systems disclosed herein may also include other additional elements, steps, computer-executable instructions, or computer-readable data structures.
- [09] The details of these and other embodiments of the present invention are set forth in the accompanying drawings and the description below. Other features and advantages of the invention will be apparent from the description and drawings, and from the claims.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

- [10] The present invention may take physical form in certain parts and steps, embodiments of which will be described in detail in the following description and illustrated in the accompanying drawings that form a part hereof, wherein:
- [11] Figure 1 shows a computer network system that may be used to implement aspects of the present invention.
- [12] Figure 2 illustrates a system for processing financial market messages in accordance with an embodiment of the invention.
- [13] Figure 3 illustrates a method for processing financial market messages in accordance with an embodiment of the invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

- [14] Aspects of the present invention are preferably implemented with computer devices and computer networks that allow users to exchange trading information. An exemplary trading network environment for implementing trading systems and methods is shown in Figure 1. An exchange computer system 100 receives orders and transmits market data related to orders and trades to users. Exchange computer system 100 may be implemented with one or more mainframe, desktop or other computers. A user database 102 includes information identifying traders and other users of exchange computer system 100. Data may include user names and passwords. An account data module 104 may process account information that may be used during trades. A match engine module 106 is included to match bid and offer prices. Match engine module 106 may be implemented with software that executes one or more algorithms for matching bids and offers. A trade database 108 may be included to store information identifying trades and descriptions of trades. In particular, a trade database may store information identifying the time that a trade took place and the contract price. An order book module 110 may be included to compute or otherwise determine current bid and offer prices. A market data module 112 may be included to collect market data and prepare the data for transmission to users. A risk management module 134 may be included to compute and determine a user's risk utilization in relation to the user's defined risk thresholds. An order processing module 136 may be included to decompose delta based and bulk order types for processing by order book module 110 and match engine module 106.)
- [15] The trading network environment shown in figure 1 includes computer devices 114, 116, 118, 120 and 122. Each computer device includes a central processor that controls the overall operation of the computer and a system bus that connects the central processor to one or more conventional components, such as a network card or modem. Each computer device may also include a variety of interface units and drives for reading and writing data or files. Depending on the type of computer device, a user can interact with the computer with a keyboard, pointing device, microphone, pen device or other input device.

- [16] Computer device 114 is shown directly connected to exchange computer system 100. Exchange computer system 100 and computer device 114 may be connected via a T1 line, a common local area network (LAN) or other mechanism for connecting computer devices. Computer device 114 is shown connected to a radio 132. The user of radio 132 may be a trader or exchange employee. The radio user may transmit orders or other information to a user of computer device 114. The user of computer device 114 may then transmit the trade or other information to exchange computer system 100.
- [17] Computer devices 116 and 118 are coupled to a LAN 124. LAN 124 may have one or more of the well-known LAN topologies and may use a variety of different protocols, such as Ethernet. Computers 116 and 118 may communicate with each other and other computers and devices connected to LAN 124. Computers and other devices may be connected to LAN 124 via twisted pair wires, coaxial cable, fiber optics or other media. Alternatively, a wireless personal digital assistant device (PDA) 122 may communicate with LAN 124 or the Internet 126 via radio waves. PDA 122 may also communicate with exchange computer system 100 via a conventional wireless hub 128. As used herein, a PDA includes mobile telephones and other wireless devices that communicate with a network via radio waves.
- [18] Figure 1 also shows LAN 124 connected to the Internet 126. LAN 124 may include a router to connect LAN 124 to the Internet 126. Computer device 120 is shown connected directly to the Internet 126. The connection may be via a modem, DSL line, satellite dish or any other device for connecting a computer device to the Internet.
- [19] One or more market makers 130 may maintain a market by providing constant bid and offer prices for a derivative or security to exchange computer system 100. Exchange computer system 100 may also exchange information with other trade engines, such as trade engine 138. One skilled in the art will appreciate that numerous additional computers and systems may be coupled to exchange computer system 100. Such computers and systems may include clearing, regulatory and fee systems.

- [20] The operations of computer devices and systems shown in figure 1 may be controlled by computer-executable instructions stored on computer-readable medium. For example, computer device 116 may include computer-executable instructions for receiving order information from a user and transmitting that order information to exchange computer system 100. In another example, computer device 118 may include computer-executable instructions for receiving market data from exchange computer system 100 and displaying that information to a user.
- [21] Of course, numerous additional servers, computers, handheld devices, personal digital assistants, telephones and other devices may also be connected to exchange computer system 100. Moreover, one skilled in the art will appreciate that the topology shown in figure 1 is merely an example and that the components shown in figure 1 may be connected by numerous alternative topologies.
- [22] Figure 2 illustrates a system for processing financial market messages in accordance with an embodiment of the invention. A trader 202 exchanges messages 204 and 206 with a trading engine 208. Trader 202 may be an individual, firm or other entity that trades financial instruments. Message 204 may be a buy order message, cancel order message, modify order message, mass quote message or any other type of message typically sent from a trader to a trading engine. Trading engine 208 may reside at an exchange and may perform some or all of the functions performed by exchange computer system 100 (shown in Figure 1). Trading engine 208 may receive messages from traders, process those messages and transmit corresponding return messages. For example, trading engine 208 may receive a buy order message, process the message and transmit an acknowledgement message.
- [23] Trading engine 208 may include a message quality module 210. Message quality module 210 may be implemented with software and/or hardware to score or evaluate messages received from traders and to generate a message quality score. Message quality scores may be used to quantify how efficiently traders are using message types and/or the impact that a message has on market liquidity. In one embodiment message values are assigned according to message type. For example, a new order message may have a message value of 0, a cancel order may have a message value of 1 and a modify order message may have a message value of 0.3. The use of a modify

order message is often more efficient than the use of the combination of a cancel order message and a new order message. Accordingly, the message value of a modify order message may be less than the average of a cancel order message and a new order message. In various alternative embodiments message quality scores are also a function of message size. For example, a large order may add to liquidity and not increase a message quality score.

- [24] Various other algorithms and weighting methods may be used to generate message quality scores. Message quality scores may be functions of factors such as changes in price levels, size, time in the market, market and combinations. Weights may also be assigned to each factor and one or more weights may be a function of other factors. Message quality scores may be a weighted sum of factors or other combination of weighted or unweighted factors.
- [25] Trading engine 208 may include an aggregation module 212. Aggregation module 212 may be configured to aggregate message quality scores by individual traders, firms and/or other trading entities. Aggregation may also be performed for time periods, such as by trading session or month. Trading engine 208 may also be configured to distribute message quality scores. In some embodiments a message quality score 214 is included as part of return message 206. Message quality score 214 may be for an individual message or may be an aggregated score for a trading entity or may be used in a downstream system to gate available capacity for a user. Distributing message quality scores facilitates accurately tracking message scores by traders.
- [26] In some embodiments a gateway 218 may be included between trader 202 and trading engine 208. Gateway 218 may perform various functions, such as volume control checks, calculating message quality scores and transmitting message quality scores.

- [27] In one embodiment message quality scores are determined by the following equation:

$$\text{Message quality} = A * \Delta\text{Price level} + B * \text{Size} + C * \Delta\text{Time} \quad (\text{Equation 1})$$

Wherein

A, B and C = constants per product

$\Delta\text{Price level}$ = change in price level

Size = order size

ΔTime = time in the market

- [28] The change in price level factor may correspond to a change in dollar amounts. For example, modifying an order from a price level of \$15 per contract to a price level of \$17 per contract would have a change in price level of \$2 per contract. Change in price levels may also factor in order quantity. For example, if the order had a volume of 50 contracts, the change in price level may be \$2 per contract multiplied by the volume of 50 contracts or \$100. Alternatively, the change in price level factor may be determined in relation to a best bid or best offer. As the best bid and best offer move the change in price level represents the change in dollar amount or ticks from the best bid or best offer. For example, if an order is at one tick level below a best bid and the market moves, modifying the order to remain one tick level below the new best bid would be considered no change in price level.
- [29] The time in the market factor may be used to reward traders for leaving orders in a market for longer periods of time. Orders that remain in the market for longer time periods may add to market liquidity and may decrease the capacity load needed to process the order and subsequent market data.
- [30] In some embodiments messages that include order sizes that exceed a threshold do not add to message quality scores. Of course, a tiered approach or an adjustment favorable to a trader to the message quality score may also be used in other embodiments. Various message quality scoring algorithms may also factor in distances from a best bid or best offer. Orders that are further away from a best bid or best offer may only marginally add to liquidity.
- [31] Figure 3 illustrates a method for processing financial market messages in accordance with an embodiment of the invention. First, in step 302 a trading engine receives a financial market message. The message may be a buy order message, cancel order

message, modify order message or any other type of message typically sent from a trader to a trading engine. Next, in step 304, the trading engine determines a message quality score. The determination may use one or more of the algorithms discussed above. In alternative embodiments the determination is performed by a component other than the trading engine. After the determination, the trading engine transmits an outgoing message that includes the message quality score in step 306. Message quality scores may alternatively be transmitted to multiple devices or used by the trading engine or an exchange computer device.

- [32] The method shown in Figure 3 may be used in embodiments that aggregate messages by trading entities. In step 308, a plurality of message quality scores are aggregated into an aggregate message quality score for a trading entity. An exchange or other trading entity may charge a fee or provide a discount that is a function of a message quality score. An exchange may also base volume controls as a function of the message quality score adding or removing message capacity. In step 310 a computer device determines a fee corresponding to the aggregate message quality score. Information regarding the fee may be distributed as part of a message, such as message 206.
- [33] In some embodiments that utilize volume controls, an exchange or other trading entity may facilitate the trading of excess capacity. Trading entities may buy and sell volume subject to volume controls or message quality scores. Such embodiments may allow trading entities to profit from the efficient use of messaging, which encourages the efficient use of messaging.
- [34] The present invention has been described in terms of preferred and exemplary embodiments thereof. Numerous other embodiments, modifications and variations within the scope and spirit of the invention will occur to persons of ordinary skill in the art from a review of this disclosure. For example, aspects of the invention may be used to process and communicate data other than market data.

CLAIMS

We claim:

1. A method of processing financial market messages comprising:
 - (a) receiving at a trading engine a financial market message;
 - (b) determining at the trading engine a message quality score; and
 - (c) transmitting from a trading engine an outgoing message that includes the message quality score.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the financial market message comprises an order for a derivative product.
3. The method of claim 1, wherein (b) comprises assigning a message quality value corresponding to a message type.
4. The method of claim 3, wherein message types comprise new order messages, cancel messages and modify messages.
5. The method of claim 4, wherein a message value corresponding to a modify message type is less than the average of the message value corresponding to the new order message type and the message value corresponding to the cancel message type.
6. The method of claim 1, wherein the message quality score is a function of a change in price level from a best bid or a best offer.
7. The method of claim 6, wherein the message quality score increases as the price level moves away from a best bid or best offer.
8. The method of claim 6, wherein the message quality score is a function of an order size.
9. The method of claim 8, wherein the message quality score increases as the order size decreases.

10. The method of claim 8, wherein the message quality score is a function of a time that an order has been in the market.

11. The method of claim 10, wherein the message quality score decreases as an order has been in the market longer.

12. The method of claim 10, wherein the message quality score is a weighted sum of:
a change in price level from a best bid or a best offer
order size; and
a time that an order has been in the market.

13. The method of claim 12, wherein weights used in the weighted sum are a function of a financial market corresponding to the message received in (a).

14. The method of claim 13, wherein the weights used in the weighted sum are a function of market activity in the financial market corresponding to the message received in (a).

15. The method of claim 1, further including:

(d) aggregating a plurality of message quality scores into an aggregate message quality score for a trading entity.

16. The method of claim 15, further including:

(e) determining at a computer device a fee corresponding to the aggregate message quality score.

17. The method of claim 1, further including:

(d) determining a message volume control limit as a function of the message quality score determined in (b).

18. A computer-readable medium containing computer-executable instructions for performing the steps comprising:

- (a) receiving a financial market message;
- (b) determining a message quality score; and
- (c) transmitting an outgoing message that includes the message quality score.

19. The computer-readable medium of claim 18, wherein (b) comprises assigning a message value corresponding to a message type.

20. The computer-readable medium of claim 18, further including:

- (d) determining a message volume control limit as a function of the message quality score determined in (b).

21. A method of processing financial market messages comprising:

- (a) receiving at a computer device a financial market message;
- (b) determining at the computer device a message quality score; and
- (c) transmitting from a computer device an outgoing message that includes the message quality score.




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US13/53233

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(8) - G06Q 40/00 (2013.01)

USPC - 705/35, 37

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC(8): G06Q 40/00, 30/00, 10/00 (2013.01); USPC: 705/35, 37, 14.11, 7.41

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

MicroPatent (US-G, US-A, EP-A, EP-B, WO, JP-bib, DE-C,B, DE-A, DE-T, DE-U, GB-A, FR-A); DialogPro (Derwent, INSPEC, NTIS, PASCAL, Current Contents Search, Dissertation Abstracts Online, Inside Conferences); IP.com; Google Scholar; trade, engine, financial, market, quality, score, message, value

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 2010/0257117 A1 (SHVADRON, G et al.) October 7, 2010, paragraph [0124], paragraph [0074], paragraph [0047]	1-21
A	US 2008/0306860 A1 (MUSIER, R et al.) December 11, 2008, entire document	1
A	US 2011/0184844 A1 (PARSONS, S et al.) July 28, 2011, entire document	1

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.


* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

6 October 2013 (06.10.2013)

Date of mailing of the international search report

17 OCT 2013

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US, Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. 571-273-3201

Authorized officer:

Shane Thomas

PCT Helpdesk: 571-272-4300

PCT OSP: 571-272-7774