



(86) Date de dépôt PCT/PCT Filing Date: 2000/12/28

(87) Date publication PCT/PCT Publication Date: 2001/08/23

(85) Entrée phase nationale/National Entry: 2002/08/16

(86) N° demande PCT/PCT Application No.: US 2000/035397

(87) N° publication PCT/PCT Publication No.: 2001/061012

(30) Priorité/Priority: 2000/02/18 (60/183,333) US

(51) Cl.Int.⁷/Int.Cl.⁷ C12N 15/29, A01H 5/00, C12N 15/82,
C12Q 1/68, C07K 14/415, C12N 5/10

(71) Demandeurs/Applicants:
NICOLAIDES, NICHOLAS C., US;
GRASSO, LUIGI, US;
...

(72) Inventeurs/Inventors:
GRASSO, LUIGI, US;
SASS, PHILIP M., US;
KINZLER, KENNETH, US;
VOGELSTEIN, BERT, US

(74) Agent: TORYS LLP

(54) Titre : PROCEDE DE GENERATION DE PLANTES TRES SUJETTES AUX MUTATIONS

(54) Title: A METHOD FOR GENERATING HYPERMUTABLE PLANTS

(57) **Abrégé/Abstract:**

Blockade of mismatch repair in a plant can lead to hypermutation and a new genotype and/or phenotype. One approach used to generate hypermutable plants is through the expression of dominant negative alleles of mismatch repair genes in transgenic plants or derived cells. By introducing these genes into cells and transgenic plants, new cell lines and plant varieties with novel and useful properties can be prepared more efficiently than by relying on the natural rate of mutation. Moreover, methods to inhibit the expression and activity of endogenous plant MMR genes and their encoded products are also useful to generate hypermutable plants.



(71) Demandeurs(suite)/Applicants(continued): SASS, PHILIP M., US; KINZLER, KENNETH, US; VOGELSTEIN, BERT, US

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
23 August 2001 (23.08.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 01/61012 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **C12N 15/29**,
15/82, 5/10, C12Q 1/68, C07K 14/415, A01H 5/00

c/o John Hopkins University, School of Medicine, 111
Market Place, Suite 906, Baltimore, MD 21202 (US).

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US00/35397

(74) Agent: **KAGAN, Sarah, A.**; Banner & Witcoff, Ltd.,
Eleventh Floor, 1001 G Street, N.W., Washington, DC
20001-4597 (US).

(22) International Filing Date:
28 December 2000 (28.12.2000)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
60/183,333 18 February 2000 (18.02.2000) US

(63) Related by continuation (CON) or continuation-in-part
(CIP) to earlier application:
US 60/183,333 (CON)
Filed on 18 February 2000 (18.02.2000)

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU,
AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ,
DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR,
HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR,
LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ,
NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM,
TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM,
KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian
patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European
patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE,
IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF,
CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

(71) Applicants and
(72) Inventors: **NICOLAIDES, Nicholas, C.** [US/US]; 4
Cider Mill Court, Boothwyn, PA 19061 (US). **GRASSO,**
Luigi [US/US]; 834 Chestnut Street, Apartment #816,
Philadelphia, PA 19107 (US). **SASS, Philip, M.** [US/US];
1903 Blackhawk Circle, Audubon, PA 19403 (US). **KIN-**
ZLER, Kenneth [US/US]; c/o John Hopkins University,
School of Medicine, 111 Market Place, Suite 906, Balti-
more, MD 21202 (US). **VOGELSTEIN, Bert** [US/US];

Published:
— with international search report
— before the expiration of the time limit for amending the
claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of
amendments

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guid-
ance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the begin-
ning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: A METHOD FOR GENERATING HYPERMUTABLE PLANTS

(57) Abstract: Blockade of mismatch repair in a plant can lead to hypermutation and a new genotype and/or phenotype. One ap-
proach used to generate hypermutable plants is through the expression of dominant negative alleles of mismatch repair genes in
transgenic plants or derived cells. By introducing these genes into cells and transgenic plants, new cell lines and plant varieties with
novel and useful properties can be prepared more efficiently than by relying on the natural rate of mutation. Moreover, methods to
inhibit the expression and activity of endogenous plant MMR genes and their encoded products are also useful to generate hyper-
mutable plants.



WO 01/61012 A1

A METHOD FOR GENERATING HYPERMUTABLE PLANTS

This application claims the benefit of provisional application Serial No. 60/183,333, filed February 18, 2000.

TECHNICAL FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The invention is related to the area of mismatch repair genes. In particular it is related to the field of mutagenesis.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Within the past four years, the genetic cause of the Hereditary Nonpolyposis Colorectal Cancer Syndrome (HNPCC), also known as Lynch syndrome II, has been ascertained for the majority of kindreds affected with the disease (1). The molecular basis of HNPCC involves genetic instability resulting from defective mismatch repair (MMR). To date, six genes have been identified in humans that encode proteins which appear to participate in the MMR process, including the *mutS* homologs *GTBP*, *hMSH2*, and *hMSH3* and the *mutL* homologs *hMLH1*, *hPMS1*, and *hPMS2* (2-7). Germline mutations in four of these genes (*hMSH2*, *hMLH1*, *hPMS1*, and *hPMS2*) have been identified in HNPCC kindreds (2-7). Though the mutator defect that arises from the MMR deficiency can affect any DNA sequence, microsatellite sequences are particularly sensitive to MMR abnormalities (8,9). In addition to its occurrence in virtually all tumors arising in HNPCC patients, Microsatellite Instability (MI) is found in a small fraction of sporadic tumors with distinctive molecular and phenotypic properties (10).

HNPCC is inherited in an autosomal dominant fashion, so that the normal cells of affected family members contain one mutant allele of the relevant MMR gene (inherited from an affected parent) and one wild-type allele (inherited from the unaffected parent). During the early stages of tumor development, however, the wild-type allele is inactivated through a somatic mutation, leaving the cell with no functional MMR gene and resulting in a profound defect in MMR activity. Because a somatic

mutation in addition to a germ-line mutation is required to generate defective MMR in the tumor cells, this mechanism is generally referred to as one involving two hits, analogous to the biallelic inactivation of tumor suppressor genes that initiate other hereditary cancers. In line with this two-hit mechanism, the non-neoplastic cells of HNPCC patients generally retain near normal levels of MMR activity due to the presence of the wild-type allele (11-12).

While MMR is a conserved process found in bacteria, yeast, and mammalian cells (14-16), its activity has not been confirmed in plants. While sequences homologous to MMR genes have been identified in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, it is not known if they are functional in plants in the process of MMR (17-18). There is a need in the art for identification of the processes involved in genome stability in plants. There is a continuing need for methods and techniques for generating genetic diversity in agriculturally important crops.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an object of the invention to provide a method for making a hypermutable cell.

It is another object of the invention to provide a homogeneous composition of cultured, hypermutable, plant cells.

It is still another object of the invention to provide a hypermutable transgenic plant.

It is yet another object of the invention to provide a method for generating a mutation in a gene of interest in a plant cell.

It is still another object of the invention to provide a method for generating a mutation in a gene of interest in a plant.

It is an object of the invention to provide a method for generating a hypermutable plant.

It is another object of the invention to provide a vector for introducing a dominant negative MMR allele into a plant.

It is even another object of the invention to provide an

isolated and purified polynucleotide encoding a plant MutL homolog.

It is another object of the invention to provide an isolated and purified protein which is a plant MutL homolog.

It is an object of the invention to provide a method for determining the presence of a mismatch repair (MMR) defect in a plant or a plant cell.

These and other objects of the invention are provided by one or more of the following embodiments. In one embodiment of the invention a method for making a hypermutable cell is provided. A polynucleotide comprising a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene is introduced into a plant cell, whereby the cell becomes hypermutable.

In another aspect of the invention a homogeneous composition of cultured, hypermutable, plant cells is provided. The plant cells comprise a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene.

Another aspect of the invention is a hypermutable transgenic plant. At least 50% of the cells of the plant comprise a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene.

According to another aspect of the invention a method is provided for generating a mutation in a gene of interest in a plant cell. A hypermutable plant cell comprising the gene of interest and a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene is grown. The cell is tested to determine whether the gene of interest harbors a newly acquired mutation.

Another embodiment of the invention is a method for generating a mutation in a gene of interest in a plant. A plant comprising the gene of interest and a polynucleotide encoding a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene is grown. The

plant is tested to determine whether the gene of interest harbors a newly acquired mutation.

According to another aspect of the invention a method is provided for generating a hypermutable plant. Endogenous mismatch repair (MMR) activity of a plant is inhibited. The plant becomes hypermutable as a result of the inhibition.

Another aspect of the invention is a vector for introducing a dominant negative MMR allele into a plant. The vector comprises a dominant negative MMR allele under the transcriptional control of a promoter which is functional in a plant.

Still another aspect of the invention provides an isolated and purified polynucleotide encoding *Arabidopsis thaliana* PMS2 as shown in SEQ ID NO: 14.

Another aspect of the invention provides an isolated and purified polynucleotide encoding *Arabidopsis* PMS134 as shown in SEQ ID NO: 16.

According to another embodiment of the invention an isolated and purified protein which is *Arabidopsis* PMS2 is provided. It has the amino acid sequence as shown in SEQ ID NO: 14.

Another embodiment of the invention is an isolated and purified protein which is *Arabidopsis* PMS134. It has the amino acid sequence as shown in SEQ ID NO: 16.

Still another aspect of the invention provides a method for determining the presence of a mismatch repair (MMR) defect in a plant or a plant cell. At least two microsatellite markers in test cells or a test plant are compared to the at least two microsatellite markers in cells of a normal plant. The test plant or plant cells are identified as having a mismatch repair defect if at least two microsatellite markers are found to be rearranged relative to the cells of the normal plant.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1. Alignment of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* and human PMS2 cDNAs.

Fig. 2. Alignment of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* and human PMS2 proteins.

Fig. 3. Alignment of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* MLH1 homolog and the human PMS2 proteins.

Fig. 4. Alignment of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* PMS1 homolog and the human PMS2 proteins.

Fig. 5. Phylogenetic tree of *Arabidopsis thaliana* MutL homologs and the human PMS2 protein.

Fig. 6. Alignment of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* PMS134 and the human PMS134 cDNA.

Fig. 7. Alignment of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* PMS134 and the human PMS134 polypeptides.

Fig. 8. Western blot analysis of bacteria expressing the hPMS134 (Fig. 8A) or the *Arabidopsis thaliana* PMS134 (Fig. 8B) polypeptides.

Fig. 9. Expression of plant dominant negative MMR genes produces hypermutability in bacteria, demonstrating the functionality of plant MMR proteins.

Fig. 10. Schematic diagram of a plant dominant-negative MMR expression vector.

Fig. 11. Transgenic plants containing the PMS134-KAN vector express the dominant negative hPMS134 gene.

Fig. 12. Microsatellite instability in plants expressing dominant negative MMR hPMS134 gene.

Fig. 13. MMR defective plants produce new phenotypes. Plants with decreased MMR produce offspring with two shoot apical meristems (SAM) in contrast to control plants exhibiting a single SAM.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

It is a discovery of the present inventors that plant cells have functional mismatch repair (MMR) systems which function similarly to mammalian MMR. Moreover, dominant negative alleles can be made and used to generate variability in plants and plant cells, as in mammalian cells. Other means of interfering with normal MMR activity can also be used as described in detail below. Dominant negative alleles of mismatch repair genes, when introduced into cells or plants, increase the rate of spontaneous or induced mutations by reducing the effectiveness of DNA repair and thereby render the cells or whole organism hypermutable. Hypermutable plant cells or plants can be utilized to develop new mutations in a gene of interest.

The process of mismatch repair, also called mismatch proofreading, is carried out by protein complexes in cells ranging from bacteria to mammalian cells (9, 14-16). A mismatch repair (MMR) gene is a gene that encodes one of the proteins of a mismatch repair complex. Although not wanting to be bound by any particular theory or mechanism of action, a mismatch repair complex is believed to detect distortions of a DNA helix resulting from non-complementary pairing of nucleotide bases. The non-complementary base on the newer DNA strand is excised, and the excised base is replaced with the appropriate base, which is complementary to the older DNA strand. In this way, cells eliminate many mutations which occur as a result of mistakes in DNA replication.

For purposes of example, this application discloses use of dominant negative alleles of MMR genes as a method for blocking or inhibiting MMR activity in plants. (Blocking or inhibiting are used synonymously herein, and denote any significant level of inhibition. They do not connote complete inhibition, although the terms include that possibility within their ambit.) However, any molecular method known by those skilled in the art to block MMR gene expression and/or function can be used, including but not limited to gene knockout (19), antisense technology (20), double stranded RNA interference (21), and polypeptide inhibitors (22).

Dominant negative alleles cause a mismatch repair defective phenotype even in the presence of a wild-type allele in the same cell. An example of a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene is the human gene *hPMS2-134*, which carries a truncation mutation at codon 134 (13, U.S. Patent No. 6,146,894). The mutation causes the product of this gene to prematurely terminate at the position of the 134th amino acid, resulting in a shortened polypeptide containing the N-terminal 133 amino acids. Such a mutation causes an increase in the rate of mutations which accumulate in cells after DNA replication. Expression of a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene results in impairment of mismatch repair activity, even in the presence of the wild-type allele. Any allele which produces such effect can be used in this invention.

Dominant negative alleles of a mismatch repair gene can be obtained from the cells of humans, animals, yeast, bacteria, plants or other organisms as described by Nicolaides et. al. (23) and Hori et. al. (24). Alternatively such alleles can be made from wild-type alleles, typically by inserting a premature stop codon or other mutation which leads to a protein product which is able to complex with other members of the MMR complex but which is not functional. Such alleles can be identified by screening cells for defective mismatch repair activity. The cells may be mutagenized or not. Cells from plants exposed to chemical mutagens or radiation, e.g., can be screened for defective mismatch repair. Genomic

DNA, a plasmid containing cDNA, or mRNA from any cell encoding a mismatch repair protein can be analyzed for variations from the wild type sequence. Dominant negative alleles of a mismatch repair gene can also be created artificially, for example, by producing variants of the *hPMS2-134* allele or other mismatch repair genes (13, U.S. Patent No. 6,146,894). Other truncated alleles of *PMS2* or other MMR genes can be made. Such alleles are expected to behave similarly to *hPMS2-134*. An of various forms of site-directed mutagenesis can be used. The suitability of such alleles, whether natural or artificial, for use in generating hypermutable cells or plants can be evaluated by testing the mismatch repair activity caused by the allele in the presence of one or more wild-type alleles, to determine if the allele is dominant negative.

A cell or a plant into which a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene has been introduced will become hypermutable. This means that the mutation rate (spontaneous or induced) of such cells or plants is elevated compared to cells or plants without such alleles. The degree of elevation of the mutation rate can be at least 2-fold, 5-fold, 10-fold, 20-fold, 50-fold, 100-fold, 200-fold, 500-fold, or 1000-fold that of the normal cell or plant.

According to one aspect of the invention, a polynucleotide encoding a dominant negative form of a mismatch repair protein is introduced into a cell or a transgenic plant. The gene can be any dominant negative allele encoding a protein which is part of a mismatch repair complex, for example, *mutS* or *mutL* homologs of the bacterial, yeast, fungal, insect, plant, or mammalian genes. The dominant negative allele can be naturally occurring or made in the laboratory. The polynucleotide can be in the form of genomic DNA, cDNA, RNA, or a chemically synthesized polynucleotide. The polynucleotide can be introduced into the cell by transfection.

Transfection is any process whereby a polynucleotide is introduced into a cell. The process of transfection can be carried out in a living plant,

e.g., using a binary vector for gene transmission, or it can be carried out *in vitro*, *e.g.*, using a suspension of one or more isolated cells in culture. The cell can be any type of plant cell.

In general, transfection can be carried out using a suspension of cells, or a single cell, but other methods can also be used as long as a sufficient fraction of the treated cells incorporates the polynucleotide to allow transfected cells to be readily isolated. The protein product of the polynucleotide may be transiently or stably expressed in the cell.

Techniques for transfection are well known in the art of plant cell science. Available techniques for introducing polynucleotides include but are not limited to electroporation, transduction, *Agrobacterium*-mediated gene transfer, cell fusion, the use of calcium chloride, and packaging of the polynucleotide together with lipid for fusion with the cells of interest. Once a cell has been transfected with the mismatch repair gene, the cell can, *e.g.*, be grown and reproduced in culture. If the transfection is stable, such that the gene is expressed at a consistent level for many cell generations, then a cell line results. Alternatively, a dominant negative MMR protein can be directly introduced by microinjection into a cell in order to inhibit MMR activity of the cell.

Root explants are incubated in 0.5 ug/ml of 2-4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2-4D) plus N6-Benzyl-Adenine in growth medium. After 4 weeks, suspension cells are isolated and digested with hemicellulase for protoplast preparation and transfection. Such isolated cells are typically cultured in the absence of other types of cells. Cells selected for the introduction of a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene may be derived from a multicellular plant in the form of a primary cell culture or an immortalized cell line, or may be derived from suspensions of single-celled plants.

A polynucleotide encoding a dominant negative form of a mismatch repair protein can be introduced into the genome of a plant to form a transgenic plant. The plant can be any species for which suitable

techniques are available to produce transgenic plants. For example, transgenic plants can be prepared from domestic agricultural crops, *e.g.* corn, wheat, soybean, rice, sorghum, barley, etc.; from plants used for the production of recombinant proteins, *e.g.*, tobacco leaf; or experimental plants for research or product testing, *e.g.*, *Arabidopsis*, pea, *etc.* The introduced polynucleotide may encode a protein native to the species or native to another species, whether plant, animal, bacterial, or fungal, for example.

Any method for making transgenic plants known in the art can be used. According to one process of producing a transgenic plant, the polynucleotide is transfected into the plant seedling. The seed is germinated and develops into a mature plant in which the polynucleotide is incorporated and expressed. An alternative method for producing transgenic plants involves introducing the polynucleotide into the growing or mature plant by injection, electroporation, *Agrobacterium*-mediated transfer or transfection. With this method, if the polynucleotide is not incorporated into germline cells, the gene will not be passed on to the progeny. Therefore, a transgenic plant produced by this method will be useful to produce products from that individual plant.

To identify whether a gene was inserted into the germline, seedlings derived from such plants can be screened for the transgene. Genetic modification of a growing or mature plant is useful for evaluating the expression of hypermutable constructs and for evaluating effects on altering endogenous mismatch repair. Once transgenic plants are produced, they can be grown to produce and maintain a crop of transgenic plants.

Once a transfected cell line or a crop of transgenic plants has been produced, it can be used to generate new mutations in one or more gene(s) of interest. A gene of interest can be any gene naturally possessed by the cell line or transgenic plant or introduced into the cell line or transgenic plant. An advantage of using MMR-defective cells or plants to induce mutations is that the cell or plant need not be exposed to mutagenic

chemicals or radiation, which may have secondary harmful effects, both on the object of the exposure and on the workers.

Mutations can be detected by analyzing the genotype of the cells or plants, for example by examining the sequence of genomic DNA, cDNA, messenger RNA, or amino acids associated with the gene of interest. Mutations can also be detected by testing a phenotype caused by the gene. A mutant phenotype can be detected, *e.g.*, by identifying alterations in electrophoretic mobility, spectroscopic properties, or other physical or structural characteristics of a protein encoded by a mutant gene. One can also screen for altered function of the protein *in situ*, in isolated form, or in model systems. One can screen for alteration of any property of the cell or plant associated with the function of the gene of interest or its protein product. Finally, one can screen for macroscopic phenotypes such as but not limited to color, height, or the ability to grow in drought, high-salt, cold, hot, acidic, basic, pest-infested, or high ethylene environments.

The above disclosure generally describes the present invention. A more complete understanding can be obtained by reference to the following specific examples that will be provided herein for purposes of illustration only, and are not intended to limit the scope of the invention.

EXAMPLE 1: Isolation of Plant Mismatch Repair Genes.

The ability to increase the hypermutability of host genomes has many commercial and medical applications. The generation of hypermutable plants such as those used in agriculture for livestock feed and human consumption are just one example of many types of applications that can be generated by creating hypermutable organisms. For instance, the creation of crops that are less susceptible to pests or soil pH would greatly increase yield of certain agricultural crops. In addition to greater production of goods, improved crops could increase the ability to grow many generations of crops on the same fields (25-27). Moreover, the

ability to affect certain growth traits such as natural pest-resistance, drought-resistance, frost-resistance, increased production, or altered stalk size has many benefits for the production of agricultural products. Recently, it has been demonstrated that genes affecting the biologic activity of the plant growth hormone gibberellin results in crops with shorter stalk length that produce similar amounts of grain yields, however, the fact that the stalks are shorter makes these plants less susceptible to high winds and crop damage (28). The use of hypermutable crops could allow for the selection of shorter plants across many species such as corn, rice, etc, without having to first identify a gene to alter its activity. Another application of hypermutable agricultural products is the generation of crops with enhanced levels of vitamins and nutrients. One can select for enhanced vitamin production in seedlings of MMR defective plants. Recently, it has been demonstrated that altering a gene(s) within a vitamin biosynthetic pathway can result in the production of elevated levels of vitamin E (27,29).

Applications of hypermutable plants include use as crops for agricultural production, increased medicinal entities within plant extracts, chemicals and resins for industrial use, and their use as detoxifying organisms for environmental applications as described (25,26,29).

MutS and mutL homologs can be isolated from plant species libraries using degenerate RT-PCR, and standard Southern hybridization techniques as previously described (3,23,30). These may serve as reagents for producing MMR defective plant hosts. This process employs methods known by those skilled in the art of gene cloning.

One such approach is the use of degenerate PCR to clone MutS homologs following the methods used by Leach et. al. to clone the human MSH2 (3). Additional degenerate oligonucleotides can be generated and used against conserved domains of bacterial, yeast, and human MutS homologs. Various plant species cDNAs (obtainable from various commercial sources) can be amplified for MutS gene homologs by

polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Products are cloned into T-tailed vectors (In Vitrogen) and analyzed by restriction endonuclease digestion. Clones with expected DNA fragment inserts are sequenced using M13 forward and reverse primers located on the vector backbone flanking the cloning site. Fragments containing MMR gene homologs are then used as probes to screen commercially available cDNA libraries from the appropriate species. cDNA contigs are generated to create a cDNA containing the sequence information for the full length MMR gene and its encoded polypeptide. One such example of cloning a plant MMR gene is provided below.

In order to clone mutL homologs, degenerate primers were synthesized to the conserved domains of the mutL gene family by aligning *E. coli*, yeast, mouse, and human *mutL* genes. These primers are directed to the polynucleotide sequences centered at nt 150 to 350 of the published human PMS2 cDNA (SEQ ID NO: 3). Degenerate PCR was carried out using RNA from *Arabidopsis thaliana* (AT) that was isolated using the RNeasy kit following the manufacturer's protocol (Qiagen). RNAs were reverse transcribed (RT) using SuperscriptII (Life Technologies) following the manufacturer's protocol. After RT, cDNAs were PCR amplified using degenerate primers in buffers described by Nicolaides et. al. 1995 (23,30), and reactions were carried out at 95°C for 30 sec for 1 cycle followed by 94°C for 30 sec, 45°C for 60 sec, and 72°C for 60 sec for 20 cycles. PCR reactions were then diluted 1:10 in water and reamplified using the same primers and buffers. The secondary PCR reactions were carried out at 95°C for 30 sec for 1 cycle followed by 94°C for 30 sec, 52°C for 90 sec, and 72°C for 90 sec for 35 cycles. Reactions were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis. Products of the expected molecular weight were excised and cloned into T-tailed vectors (InVitrogen). Recombinant clones were sequenced and blasted against the public databases. The homolog was found to have homology to the mutL family of genes. Blast search analysis of GenBank found this gene to be part of a "putative" mismatch repair gene identified from the *Arabidopsis* genome project that has never been

reported to be transcribed or capable of producing a message. In order to clone the full length, an Arabidopsis cDNA library was screened by PCR as well as cDNA from AT plants using 5' primers corresponding to the initiation codon (SEQ ID NO: 1: 5'-atg caa gga gat tct tc-3') and the termination codon (SEQ ID NO: 2: 5'-tca tgc caa tga gat ggt tgc-3') using buffers and conditions listed above. Amplifications were carried out at 95°C for 30 sec for 1 cycle followed by 94°C for 30 sec, 58°C for 2 min, and 72°C for 3 min for 35 cycles. Products were analyzed by gel electrophoresis. Products of the expected molecular weights were subcloned into T-tail vectors and sequenced using primers from the cloning vector or using internal primers. Figure 1 shows the alignment of one Arabidopsis homolog, referred to as *ATPMS2* (SEQ ID NO: 4), to the human *PMS2* cDNA (SEQ ID NO:3) (Fig. 1) and the hPMS2 protein (Fig. 2; SEQ ID NO:13). This gene was found to be homologous (48% identity) to the human *PMS2* (SEQ ID NO:3) cDNA and its encoded polypeptide (31% identity) (Figure 2). Other homologs to the *ATPMS2* were also identified from blast searching sequence databases. One mutL homolog is closely related to the MLH1 mammalian homolog and is referred to as ATMLH1 (shown in Fig. 3) and another is closely related to the mammalian PMS1 polypeptide referred to as ATPMS1 (shown in Fig. 4). A phylogenetic tree is shown in Fig. 5 showing the homology of the mutL homologs to the human *PMS2* gene.

Degenerate primers can be used for isolating MMR genes from other plant species in a similar fashion.

EXAMPLE 2: Generation Of Dominant Negative Alleles Of Plant Mismatch Repair Genes

To demonstrate that putative plant MMR proteins are truly involved in MMR biochemical process, cDNAs are cloned into constitutive (31,32) or inducible (33) bacterial expression vectors for functional studies.

Various deletion mutants are generated to produce dominant negative MMR genes. Dominant negative alleles that are identified in the bacterial system are then useful for plant studies. Dominant negative MMR genes are prepared by over-expression of full-length MMR genes or by deletion analysis using standard protocols used by those skilled in the art of molecular biology. One such dominant MMR gene mutant was created by generating a construct with similar domains to that of the human dominant negative PMS2 gene (referred to as PMS134) (13, U.S. Patent No. 6,146,894). To generate this vector, the ATPMS2 (SEQ ID NO: 4) and hPMS2 cDNA (SEQ ID NO: 3) sequences were aligned and the conserved domain was isolated. Figure 6 shows a sequence alignment between the human and AT PMS134 cDNAs where a 52% identity is found between the two sequences. At the protein level these domains have a 51% identity (Figure 7). Dominant negative hPMS134 and ATPMS134 genes were made by PCR and subcloned into bacterial expression vectors. The ATPMS134 was generated by PCR from the cloned cDNA using a sense primer (SEQ ID NO:1) corresponding to the N-terminus and an antisense primer (SEQ ID NO:5) 5'gtcgacttatcacttgatcatcgctgccttgtagtcgagcgtagc-aactggctc-3' centered at nt 434 of the ATPMS2 cDNA (SEQ ID NO:4). This primer also contains a flag epitope that will allow protein detection followed by two termination codons. PCR products of the expected molecular weight were gel purified and cloned into T-tail vectors. Recombinant clones were sequenced to ensure authentic sequences. Inserts were then cloned into the inducible pTAC expression vector, which also contains the Ampicillin resistance gene as a selectable marker. The human PMS134 allele was also cloned into the pTAC expression vector as a positive control. Electrocompetent DH5alpha and DH10b bacterial cells (Life Technologies) were electroporated with empty vector, and the loaded vectors pTACATPMS134 and pTACHPMS134, using an electroporator (BioRAD) following the manufacturer's protocol. Bacterial cultures were then plated on to LB agar plates containing 100µg/ml ampicillin and grown

at 37°C for 14 hours. Ten recombinant clones were then isolated and grown in 5 mls of LB broth containing 50 µg/ml ampicillin plus 50µM IPTG for 18 hr at 37°C. One hundred microliters were then collected, spun down, and directly lysed in 2X SDS buffer for western blot analysis. For western analysis, equal number of cells were lysed directly in 2X SDS buffer (60 mM Tris, pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, 0.1 M 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.001% bromophenol blue) and boiled for 5 minutes. Lysate proteins are separated by electrophoresis on 4-12% NuPAGE gels (Novex). Gels are electroblotted onto Immobilon-P (Millipore) in 48 mM Tris base, 40 mM glycine, 0.0375% SDS, 20% methanol and blocked overnight at 4°C in Tris-buffered saline plus 0.05% Tween-20 and 5% condensed milk. Filters are probed with a polyclonal antibody generated against MMR polypeptide sequence or a fused tag (e.g. FLAG, HIS, etc.) and a horseradish peroxidase conjugated secondary antibody, using chemiluminescence for detection (Pierce). Figure 8 shows a western blot of a clone that expresses the human PMS134 protein (Figure 8A, lane 2) using a human PMS2-specific antibody (directed to residues 2-20) of the hPMS134 sequence (see Fig. 1, and SEQ ID NO:6) or the Arabidopsis PMS134 protein (Figure 8B, lane 2) using an anti-FLAG antibody directed to the fusion residues at the C-terminus of the protein. Cells expressing empty vector had no detectable expression.

Bacterial clones expressing the *hPMS134*, *ATPMS134* or the empty vector were grown in liquid culture for 24 hr at 37°C in the presence of 50 µg/ml ampicillin plus 50µM IPTG. The next day, cultures were diluted 1:10 in medium containing 50µM IPTG plus ampicillin or ampicillin plus 25 µg/ml kanamycin (AK) and cultures were grown for 18 hr at 37°C. The following day, a 0.1 µl aliquot (2 µl diluted in 1000 µl of LB medium and used 50 µl for plating) of cells grown in Amp medium were plated on LB-agar plates containing 40 µg/ml of 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-B-D-galactoside (X-gal) plus 100µg/ml ampicillin (AMP), while a 1 µl aliquot (1 µl diluted in 100 µl of LB medium and used 100 µl for plating) of cells

grown in AK medium were plated on LB-agar plates containing X-gal and 50µg/ml kanamycin (KAN). Plates were incubated for 18 hours at 37°C. The results from these studies show that cells expressing the hPMS134 or the ATPMS134 polypeptides displayed increased mutation rates in the genome of the DH10B bacterial strain which resulted in the production of KAN resistant clones (Figure 9). Following the mutagenesis protocol described above, bacterial cells expressing the plant ATPMS134 were found to have an increase in the number of KAN resistant cells (12 clones) in contrast to cells expressing the empty vector, which yielded no KAN resistant clone. These data demonstrate that dominant negative *ATPMS134* MMR genes are useful for creating hypermutable organisms that can generate phenotypically diverse offspring when put under selective conditions. Moreover, these data demonstrate that plants also use the conserved MMR process for genomic stability.

Dominant negative plant MMR gene mutants are also analyzed using mammalian cell systems. In this case, plant MMR gene cDNAs are cloned into eukaryotic expression vectors as described (13,34) and cells expressing dominant negative mutants are analyzed by measuring stability of endogenous microsatellite markers and biochemical activity of cell extracts from lines expressing dominant negative MMR gene alleles. Such methods are known by those skilled in the art and previously described (13).

EXAMPLE 3: Inhibition Of Plant MMR Activity By Dominant Negative MMR Alleles Produces Genetic Hypermutability And Microsatellite Instability.

Dominant negative alleles of human and AT MMR genes identified using bacterial and or mammalian systems can be used for plants. To test the hypothesis that dominant negative MMR gene

alleles produce global hypermutability in plants, the hPMS134 and ATPMS134 cDNAs were expressed in plants. These alleles have been found to work across species where the introduction of these genes into MMR proficient bacterial or mammalian cells renders the cells MMR deficient. Assays to carry out these studies are described below.

Engineering plant expression vectors to express the PMS134 dominant negative alleles.

A BamH I fragment containing the hPMS134 cDNA was obtained from the pSG5PMS134 plasmid (ref 13) and cloned into the corresponding sites of the pEF1/SP1-V5 vector (InVitrogen). The resulting vector (pEF-PMS134-sense) was then digested with Pme I to release a blunted DNA fragment containing the PMS134 cDNA. This fragment was then subcloned into the blunt Sma I and EcoICR I sites of the pGPTV-KAN binary plant expression vector (American Type Culture Collection). One clone, named pCMV-hPMS134-Kan (see figure 10), was sequenced to confirm that the vector contained authentic promoter and gene insert sequences. A schematic diagram of the pCMV-hPMS134-Kan vector is shown in Figure 10.

Generation of hPMS134-Expressing Arabidopsis thaliana transgenic plants.

Agrobacterium tumefaciens cells (agrobacteria) are used to shuttle genes into plants. To generate PMS134-expressing Arabidopsis thaliana (*A. thaliana*) plants, *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* cells (strain GV3101) were electroporated with pCMV-hPMS134-Kan or the pBI-121 (BRL) control binary vector. The pBI-121 control contains the CaMV promoter driving the expression of the β -glucuronidase cDNA (GUS) and serves as a control.

Both vectors carry the neomycin phosphotransferase (NPTII) gene that allows selection of agrobacteria and plants that contain the expression vector. One-month old *A. thaliana* (ecotype Columbia) plants were infected

by immersion in a solution containing 5% sucrose, 0.05% silwet, and binary vector-transformed agrobacteria cells for 10 seconds. These plants were then grown at 25°C under a 16 hour day and 8 hour dark photoperiod. After 4 weeks, seeds (referred to as T1) were harvested and dried for 5 days at 4°C. Thirty thousands seeds from ten CMV-hPMS134-Kan-transformed plants and five thousand seeds from two pBI-121-transformed plants were sown in solid Murashige and Skoog (MS) media plates in the presence of 50 µg/ml of kanamycin (KAN). Three hundred plants were found to be KAN resistant and represented PMS134 expressing plants. These plants along with 300 control plants were grown in MS media for two weeks and then transferred to soil. Plants were grown for an additional four weeks under standard conditions at which time T2 seeds were harvested.

To confirm the integration and stability of the PMS134 gene in the plant genome, gene segregation and PCR analyses were conducted. Commonly, three out of four T1 plants transformed by agrobacteria technology are expected to carry the vector inserted within a single locus and are therefore considered heterozygous for the integrated gene. Approximately 75% of the seeds (T2) generated from most of the T1 plants were found KAN-resistant and this in accordance with the expected 1:2:1 ratio of null (no hPMS134 containing plants), heterozygous, and homozygous plants, respectively, in self-pollinating conditions. To confirm that these plants contained the hPMS134 expression vector, genomic DNA was isolated from leaves of T1 plants using the DNAzol-mediated technique following the manufacturer's suggestion (BRL-Life Technologies). One nanogram of genomic DNA was analyzed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to confirm the presence of the hPMS134 gene. PCR was carried out for 25 cycles with the following parameters: 95°C for 30 seconds; 55°C for 1 minute; and 72°C for 2 minutes using hPMS134-specific sense (SEQ ID NO: 7: 5'-tct aga cat gga gcg agc tga gag ctc-3') and antisense (SEQ ID NO: 8: 5'-tct aga agt tcc aac ctt cgc cga tgc-3') primers. Positive reactions were observed in DNA from pCMV-

hPMS134-Kan-transformed plants and not from pBI-121-transformed plants, thus confirming the integration of this vector.

In order to assess the expression of hPMS134 in T1 plants, leaf tissue was collected from T1 plants, homogenized in liquid nitrogen using glass pestles, and suspended in RLT lysing buffer (Qiagen, RNeasy plant RNA extraction kit). Five micrograms of total RNA was purified according to the manufacturer's suggested protocol and then loaded onto a 1.2% agarose gel (1x MOPS buffer, 3% formaldehyde), size-fractionated by electrophoresis, and transferred onto N-Hybrid+ membrane (Amersham). Each membrane was incubated at 55°C in 10 ml of hybridization solution (North2South labeling kit, Pierce) containing 100 ng of PMS134, tubulin, or KAN cDNA probes, which were generated by PCR amplification, according to the manufacturer's directions. Membranes were washed three times in 2x SSC, 0.1% SDS at 55°C, and three times in 2x SSC at ambient temperature. Detection was carried out using enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL). Expression was also carried out by reverse transcriptase PCR as described above using polyA isolated mRNA that was isolated over a oligo dT column (Qiagen). A representative example of these studies are shown in figure 11. Here hPMS134 expression was detected in three out of ten analyzed pCMV-hPMS134-Kan transgenic lines, while no signal was found in the ten pBI-121 transformed plants analyzed. Immunoblot using whole lysates is used to confirm protein expression. Collectively these studies demonstrate the generation of hPMS134 expressing transgenic *A. thaliana* plants.

Molecular Characterization of PMS134-Expressing Plants.

MMR is a process that is involved in correcting point mutations and "slippage" mutations within repetitive mono-, di-, and tri-nucleotide (microsatellite) repeats that occur throughout the genome of an organism after cellular replication. This process is very similar to a computer spell

check function. The inactivation of MMR has been shown to result in global genomic hypermutation whereby cells with defective MMR experience over a one thousand-fold increase in point mutations and microsatellite instability (MI) (mutations within repetitive sequences) throughout their genomes per division. (35). MMR deficiency is the only known process capable of producing MI and has been used as a marker to detect cells with MMR dysfunction (36). Microsatellites serve as molecular tools to measure the inactivation of MMR that occurs by the defective MMR due to but not limited to expression of dominant negative MMR genes, double stranded RNA interference vectors, or inhibition by antisense nucleotides, or by gene knockout. In *A. thaliana*, a series of poly-A (A)_n, (CA)_n and (GA)_n sequences were identified from genome searches using EMBL and GenBank databases. To demonstrate that hPMS134 expression could produce MI in *A. thaliana*, we analyzed microsatellites in T1 plants by PCR analyses. Initially we monitored three microsatellites, ATHACS, Nga280, and Nga128 with published primers that have been previously used to map the Arabidopsis genome (37). Briefly, DNA was extracted from *A. thaliana* leaves as described above. 10 ngs of plant genomic DNA was used as template for PCR amplification using the following amplification conditions: 94⁰C for 15 sec, 55⁰C for 15 sec and 72⁰C for 30 seconds. PCR products were analyzed on 5% Metaphor agarose (BioWhittaker Molecular Applications) and ethidium bromide staining. In one transgenic pCMV-PMS134-Kan line, we detected a double product, likely representing a new allele of the polymorphic nga280 locus (Figure 12). These data demonstrate the ability to produce MMR deficiency and MI in plants expressing the hPMS134 dominant negative allele and provide a molecular tool for screening MMR-defective plants.

Biochemical assays for mismatch repair. MMR activity in nuclear extracts is performed as described, using 24 fmol of substrate as described (13). Complementation assays are done by adding ~ 100 ng of purified MutL or MutS components to 100 µg of nuclear extract, adjusting the final

KCl concentration to 100 mM. The substrates used in these experiments will contain a strand break 181 nucleotides 5' or 125 nucleotides 3' to the mismatch.

EXAMPLE 4: Inactivation Of MMR Leads To Plants With New Phenotypes.

We demonstrated the ability of the defective MMR to produce molecular changes within plants. The objective of this section is to demonstrate the ability to generate MMR defective plants with macroscopic output traits. One way to measure for plants with new phenotypes is to grow plants under toxic conditions, such as but not limited to high levels of toxic ions, pest-infection, drought conditions, or extreme temperatures to identify a minority of plants with new output traits, *i.e.*, resistance. Another way to score for plants with new phenotypes is through physical differences of MMR defective plants grown in standard conditions. An example of MMR-defective plants with new phenotypes include the generation of plants with double shoot apical meristems (Figure 13) as well as plants with altered chlorophyll production rendering plants albino (data not shown). In Figure 13, we show a typical wild type plant (left, labeled normal) and a plant produced from the MMR defective group (right, labeled MMR deficient). The double-meristem trait was not observed in greater than 500 normal plants. The double-meristem trait does not appear to be due to transgene integration since segregation analysis reveals the ability to generate double-meristem plants in the absence of transgene positive plants while MMR proficient control plants with other transgene vectors (pBI-121) did not produce this phenotype (data not shown). These data suggest that defective MMR produced a mutation or mutations within the plant genome that altered the normal biochemical function of the host to produce a new output trait.

These data demonstrate the ability to create plant subtypes with new

genetic and phenotypic traits by blocking the endogenous MMR process of the plant cell or whole organism.

EXAMPLE 5: Inhibition of Plant MMR Activity Using Molecular Approaches.

This application teaches of the use of inhibiting MMR activity in a plant to produce genetically altered offspring with new phenotypes.

The inhibition of MMR activity in a host organism can be achieved by introducing a dominant negative allele as shown in Figure 11 and 12. Other ways to suppress MMR activity of a host is by: knocking out alleles of a MMR protein through homologous recombination (38); blocking MMR protein dimerization with other subunits (which is required for activity) by the introduction of polypeptides into the host via transfection methods; knocking out the expression of a MMR gene using anti-sense oligonucleotides (20), and/or the use of double stranded RNA interference genes (21).

MMR gene knockouts.

Data shown in EXAMPLE 1 demonstrate that plants contain MMR gene homologs that can be genetically engineered to produce altered biochemical activities. Data presented in EXAMPLES 3 and 4 demonstrate that defective MMR in plants can produce hypermutable parental plants and offspring. Together, these data demonstrate that inhibiting endogenous MMR genes by targeting vectors of the particular MMR gene can lead to hypermutability of a plant host that generate offspring with altered genetic loci and/or new phenotypes as described in EXAMPLES 3, 4, and 5. Hypermutable seedlings can also be produced with “knocked out” MMR genes using methods known by those skilled in the art. These can be used to produce genetically diverse offspring for commercial and medical

applications (38). Cells will be confirmed to have lost the expression of the MMR gene using standard northern techniques and determined to be MMR defective using microsatellite analysis as described in EXAMPLE 3.

Blocking polypeptides.

MMR subunits (MutS and MutL proteins) interact to form active MMR complexes. Peptides are able to specifically inhibit the binding of two proteins by competitive inhibition. Isolation of plant MMR genes allows for the elucidation of primary amino acid structures as described in EXAMPLE 1. Peptides containing some but not all of the domains can be synthesized from domains of the particular MMR gene and introduced into host plants using methods known by those skilled in the art (22). Like truncated PMS134, such peptides will compete with functional full length proteins for binding and form enzymatically inactive MMR complexes. The data indicate that the domains which are C-terminal to the 134 position in human PMS2 are dispensible for binding and necessary for enzymatic activity. As shown herein, a similar domain structure is also found in plant PMS2. Seedlings exhibiting hypermutability will be useful to produce genetically diverse offspring for commercial and medical applications.

RNA blockade and Double Stranded Interference.

MMR subunits (MutS and MutL proteins) interact to form active MMR complexes. Peptides are able to specifically inhibit the binding of two proteins by competitive inhibition. Antisense oligonucleotides are synthesized against the cDNA sequence of plant MMR homologs identified in EXAMPLE 1 (20). Antisense molecules are then introduced into host plants using methods described in EXAMPLE 2 or through the bathing of seedlings or plantlets. Seedlings exhibiting hypermutability will be useful to produce genetically diverse offspring for commercial and medical applications.

Double stranded interference vectors are also useful for blocking expression/function of a plant MMR gene. The plant gene is expressed in both sense and antisense orientations from a transfection vector and the endogenous gene expression is suppressed by endogenous silencing processes (21).

Discussion

Plants contain MMR genes that code for MMR functional proteins. Expression of dominant negative plant MMR proteins results in an increase in microsatellite instability and hypermutability in plants. This activity is due to the inhibition of MMR biochemical activity in these hosts. The data provided within this application demonstrates the blockade of MMR in a plant to produce genetic changes that lead to the production of offspring or cells with new output traits. This method is applicable to generate crop plants with new output traits as well as plant cells exhibiting new biochemicals for commercial use.

References cited (each of which is expressly incorporated herein for all purposes)

1. Liu, B., Parsons, R., Papadopoulos, N., Nicolaides, N.C., Lynch, H.T., Watson, P., Jass, J.R., Dunlop, M., Wyllie, A., Peltomaki, P., de la Chapelle, A., Hamilton, S.R., Vogelstein, B., and Kinzler, K.W. 1996. Analysis of mismatch repair genes in hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer patients. *Nat. Med.* 2:169-174.
2. Bronner, C.E., Baker, S.M., Morrison, P.T., Warren, G., Smith, L.G., Lescoe, M.K., Kane, M., Earabino, C., Lipford, J., Lindblom, A., Tannergard, P., Bollag, R.J., Godwin, A., R., Ward, D.C., Nordenskjold, M., Fishel, R., Kolodner, R., and Liskay, R.M. 1994. Mutation in the DNA mismatch repair gene homologue *hMLH1* is associated with hereditary non-polyposis colon cancer. *Nature* 368:258-261.

3. Leach, F.S., Nicolaides, N.C., Papadopoulos, N., Liu, B., Jen, J., Parsons, R., Peltomaki, P., Sistonen, P., Aaltonen, L.A., Nystrom-Lahti, M., Guan, X.Y., Zhang, J., Meltzer, P.S., Yu, J.W., Kao, F.T., Chen, D.J., Cerosaletti, K.M., Fournier, R.E.K., Todd, S., Lewis, T., Leach R.J., Naylor, S.L., Weissenbach, J., Mecklin, J.P., Jarvinen, J.A., Petersen, G.M., Hamilton, S.R., Green, J., Jass, J., Watson, P., Lynch, H.T., Trent, J.M., de la Chapelle, A., Kinzler, K.W., and Vogelstein, B. 1993. Mutations of a *mutS* homolog in hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer. *Cell* 75:1215-1225.
4. Nicolaides, N.C., Papadopoulos, N., Liu, B., Wei, Y.F., Carter, K.C., Ruben, S.M., Rosen, C.A., Haseltine, W.A., Fleischmann, R.D., Fraser, C.M., Adams, M.D., Venter, C.J., Dunlop, M.G., Hamilton, S.R., Petersen, G.M., de la Chapelle, A., Vogelstein, B., and kinzler, K.W. 1994. Mutations of two PMS homologs in hereditary nonpolyposis colon cancer. *Nature* 371: 75-80.
5. Nicolaides, N.C., Palombo, F., Kinzler, K.W., Vogelstein, B., and Jiricny, J. 1996. Molecular cloning of the N-terminus of GTBP. *Genomics* 31:395-397.
6. Palombo, F., Gallinari, P., Iaccarino, I., Lettieri, T., Hughes, M.A., Truong, O., Hsuan, J.J., and Jiricny, J. 1995. GTBP, a 160-kilodalton protein essential for mismatch-binding activity in human cells. *Science* 268:1912-1914.
7. Papadopoulos, N., Nicolaides, N.C., Wei, Y.F., Carter, K.C., Ruben, S.M., Rosen, C.A., Haseltine, W.A., Fleischmann, R.D., Fraser, C.M., Adams, M.D., Venter, C.J., Dunlop, M.G., Hamilton, S.R., Petersen, G.M., de la Chapelle, A., Vogelstein, B., and kinzler,

- K.W. 1994. Mutation of a mutL homolog is associated with hereditary colon cancer. *Science* 263:1625-1629.
8. Perucho, M. 1996. Cancer of the microsatellite mutator phenotype. *Biol Chem.* 377:675-684.
9. Strand, M., Prolla, T.A., Liskay, R.M., and Petes, T.D. Destabilization of tracts of simple repetitive DNA in yeast by mutations affecting DNA mismatch repair. 1993. *Nature* 365:274-276.
10. Ma AH, Xia L, Littman SJ, Swinler S, Lader G, Polinkovsky A, Olechnowicz J, Kasturi L, Lutterbaugh J, Modrich P, Veigl ML, Markowitz SD, Sedwick WD. 2000. Somatic mutation of hPMS2 as a possible cause of sporadic human colon cancer with microsatellite instability. *Oncogene* 19:2249-2256.
11. Parsons, R., Li, G.M., Longley, M.J., Fang, W.H., Papadopolous, N., Jen, J., de la Chapelle, A., Kinzler, K.W., Vogelstein, B., and Modrich, P. 1993. Hypermutability and mismatch repair deficiency in RER⁺ tumor cells. *Cell* 75:1227-1236.
12. Li, G.-M., and Modrich, P. 1995. Restoration of mismatch repair to nuclear extracts of H6 colorectal tumor cells by a heterodimer of human mutL homologs. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 92:1950-1954.
13. Nicolaides N.C. et.al. 1998. A naturally occurring hPMS2 mutation can confer a dominant negative mutator phenotype. *Mol Cell Biol.* 18:1635-1641.

14. Modrich, P. 1994. Mismatch repair, genetic stability, and cancer. *Science* 266:1959-1960.
15. Pang, Q., Prolla, T.A., and Liskay, R.M. 1997. Functional domains of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Mlh1p and Pms1p DNA mismatch repair proteins and their relevance to human hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer-associated mutations. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 17:4465-4473.
16. Harfe, B.D., and Jinks-Robertson, S. 2000. DNA MISMATCH REPAIR AND GENETIC INSTABILITY. *Annu Rev Genet* 34:359-399.
17. Culligan, K.M, and Hays, J.B. 1997. DNA mismatch repair in plants. An *Arabidopsis thaliana* gene that predicts a protein belonging to the MSH2 subfamily of eukaryotic MutS homologs. *Plant Physiol.* 115:833-839.
18. Jean M, Pelletier J, Hilpert M, Belzile F, and Kunze R. 1999. mutl Plant Isolation and characterization of AtMLH1, a MutL homologue from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Mol Gen Genet.* 262:633-642.
19. de Wind N., Dekker, M., Berns, A., Radman, M., and Riele, H.T. 1995. Inactivation of the mouse *Msh2* gene results in mismatch repair deficiency, methylation tolerance, hyperrecombination, and predisposition to cancer. *Cell* 82:321-300.
20. Hackett RM, Ho CW, Lin Z, Foote HC, Fray RG, Grierson D. 2000. Antisense inhibition of the Nr gene restores normal ripening to the tomato never-ripe mutant, consistent with the ethylene receptor-inhibition model. *Plant Physiol* 124:1079-1086.

21. Chuang CF, Meyerowitz EM. 2000. Specific and heritable genetic interference by double-stranded RNA in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 97:4985-4990.
22. Takeuchi M, Ueda T, Sato K, Abe H, Nagata T, and Nakano A. 2000. A dominant negative mutant of sar1 GTPase inhibits protein transport from the endoplasmic reticulum to the golgi apparatus in tobacco and arabidopsis cultured cells. *Plant J.* 23:517-525.
23. Nicolaides, N.C., Carter, K.C., Shell, B.K., Papadopoulos, N., Vogelstein, B., and Kinzler, K.W. 1995. Genomic organization of the human PMS2 gene family. *Genomics* 30:195-206.
24. Horii, A., et.al. 1994. Cloning, characterization and chromosomal assignment of the human genes homologous to yeast PMS1, a member of mismatch repair genes. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 204:1257-1264.
25. Moffat, A.S., 1999. Engineering plants to cope with metals. *Science* 285:369-370.
26. Mazur, B., Krebbers, E., and Tingey, S. 1999. Gene discovery and product development for grain quality traits. *Science.* 285:372-375.
27. Shintani, D., and DellaPenna, D. 1998. Elevating the Vitamin E content of plants through metabolic engineering. *Science.* 282:2098-2100.

28. Peng, J., Richards, D.E., Hartley, N.M., Murphy, G.P., et.al. 1999. "Green revolution" genes encode for mutant gibberellin response modulators. *Nature*. 256-261.
29. DellaPenna, D. 1999. Nutritional genomics: Manipulating plant micronutrients to improve human health. *Science*. 285:375-379.
30. Nicolaides N.C., Kinzler, K.W., and Vogelstein, B. 1995. Analysis of the 5' region of PMS2 reveals heterogenous transcripts and a novel overlapping gene. *Genomics* 29:329-334.
31. Murai, N., Sutton, D.W., Murray, M.G., Slightom, J.L., Merlo, D.J., et.al. 1983. *Science*. 222:476-???
32. Koziel, M.G., Adams, T.L., Hazlet, M.A., et.al., 1984. *J. MOL. Appl. Genet.* 2:549-560.
33. Kaulen, H., Schell, J., and Kreuzaler, F. 1986. *EMBO J.* 5:1
34. Nicolaides, N.C., Correa, I., Casadevall, C., Travali, S., Soprano, K.J., and Calabretta, B. 1992. The Jun family members , c-JUN and JUND, transactivate the human *c-myb* promoter via an Apl like element. *J. Biol. Chem.* 267, 19665-19672.
35. Wheeler JM, Loukola A, Aaltonen LA, Mortensen NJ, and Bodmer WF. 2000. The role of hypermethylation of the hMLH1 promoter region in HNPCC versus MSI+ sporadic colorectal cancers. *J. Med. Genet.* 37:588-592.
36. Hoang JM, Cottu PH, Thuille B, Salmon RJ, Thomas G, and Hamelin R. 1997. BAT-26, an indicator of the replication error

phenotype in colorectal cancers and cell *lines*. Cancer Res 57:300-303.

37. Bell, C.J., et.al. Genomics, 19:137-144, 1994.

38. Gal, S., Pisan, B., Hohn, T., Grimsley, N., and Hohn, B. 1991.
Genomic homologous recombination in planta. EMBO J.
10:1571-1578.

SEQUENCE LISTING

<110> Nicolaides, Nicholas
 Grasso, Luigi
 Sass, Philip
 Kinzler, Kenneth
 Vogelstein, Bert

<120> A method for generating hypermutable plants

<130> 01107.00069

<150> 60/183,333
 <151> 2000-02-18

<160> 15

<170> FastSEQ for Windows Version 3.0

<210> 1
 <211> 17
 <212> DNA
 <213> Human sapiens

<400> 1
 atgcaaggag attcttc 17

<210> 2
 <211> 21
 <212> DNA
 <213> Human sapiens

<400> 2
 tcatgccaat gagatgggttg c 21

<210> 3
 <211> 2589
 <212> DNA
 <213> Human sapiens

<400> 3
 atggagcgcg ctgagagctc gagtacagaa cctgctaagg ccatcaaacc tattgatcgg 60
 aagtcagtcc atcagatttg ctctgggcag gtggtactga gtctaagcac tgcggtaaag 120
 gagttagtag aaaacagtct ggatgctggg gccactaata ttgatctaaa gcttaaggac 180
 tatggagtgg atcttattga agtttcagac aatggatgtg gggtagaaga agaaaacttc 240
 gaaggcttaa ctctgaaaca tcacacatct aagattcaag agtttgccga cctaactcag 300
 gttgaaactt ttggctttcg gggggaagct ctgagctcac tttgtgact gagcgatgtc 360
 accatttcta cctgccacgc atcggcgaag gttggaactc gactgatgtt tgatcacaat 420
 gggaaaatta tccagaaaac cccctacccc cgccccagag ggaccacagt cagcgtgcag 480
 cagttatttt ccacactacc tgtgcgccat aaggaatttc aaaggaatat taagaaggag 540
 tatgccaaaa tgggccaggt cttacatgca tactgtatca tttcagcagg catccgtgta 600
 agttgcacca atcagcttgg acaaggaaaa cgacagcctg tggatatcac aggtggaagc 660
 cccagcataa aggaaaatat cggctctgtg tttgggcaga agcagttgca aagcctcatt 720
 cctttttgttc agctgcccccc tagtgactcc gtgtgtgaag agtacggttt gagctgttcg 780
 gatgctctgc ataatctttt ttacatctca ggtttcattt cacaatgcac gcatggagtt 840
 ggaaggagtt caacagacag acagtttttc tttatcaacc ggcggccttg tgaccagca 900
 aaggtctgca gactcgtgaa tgaggtctac cacatgtata atcgacacca gtatccattt 960
 gttgttctta acatttctgt tgattcagaa tgcgttgata tcaatgttac tccagataaa 1020
 aggcaaattt tgctacaaga ggaaaagctt ttgttggcag ttttaaagac ctctttgata 1080

ggaatgtttg	atagtgatgt	caacaagcta	aatgtcagtc	agcagccact	gctggatggt	1140
gaaggtaact	taataaaaat	gcatgcagcg	gatttggaag	agcccatggt	agaaaagcag	1200
gatcaatccc	cttcattaag	gactggagaa	gaaaaaaaag	acgtgtccat	ttccagactg	1260
cgagaggcct	tttctcttcg	tcacacaaca	gagaacaagc	ctcacagccc	aaagactcca	1320
gaaccaagaa	ggagccctct	aggacagaaa	aggggtatgc	tgtcttctag	cacttcaggt	1380
gccatctctg	acaaaggcgt	cctgagacct	cagaaagagg	cagtgaagtc	cagtcacgga	1440
cccagtgacc	ctacggacag	agcggaggtg	gagaaggact	cggggcacgg	cagcacttcc	1500
gtggattctg	aggggttcag	catcccagac	acgggcagtc	actgcagcag	cgagtatgcg	1560
gccagctccc	caggggacag	gggctcgcag	gaacatgtgg	actctcagga	gaaagcgcct	1620
gaaactgacg	actctttttc	agatgtggac	tgccattcaa	accaggaaga	taccggatgt	1680
aaatttcgag	ttttgcctca	gccaactaat	ctcgcaaccc	caaacacaaa	gcgtttttaa	1740
aaagaagaaa	ttctttccag	ttctgacatt	tgtcaaaaagt	tagtaaatac	tcaggacatg	1800
tcagcctctc	aggttgatgt	agctgtgaaa	attaataaga	aagttgtgcc	cctggacttt	1860
tctatgagtt	cttttagctaa	acgaataaag	cagttacatc	atgaagcaca	gcaaagtga	1920
ggggaacaga	attacaggaa	gtttagggca	aagattttgtc	ctggagaaaa	tcaagcagcc	1980
gaagatgaac	taagaaaaga	gataagtaaa	acgatgtttg	cagaaatgga	aatcattggt	2040
cagtttaacc	tgggatttat	aataaccaaa	ctgaatgagg	atatcttcat	agtggaccag	2100
catgccacgg	acgagaagta	taacttcgag	atgctgcagc	agcacaccgt	gctccagggg	2160
cagaggctca	tagcacctca	gactctcaac	ttaactgctg	ttaatgaagc	tgttctgata	2220
gaaaatctgg	aaatatattag	aaagaatggc	tttgattttg	ttatcgatga	aaatgctcca	2280
gtcactgaaa	gggctaaact	gatttccttg	ccaactagta	aaaactggac	cttcggaccc	2340
caggacgtcg	atgaactgat	cttcatgctg	agcgacagcc	ctgggggtcat	gtgccggcct	2400
tcccagtgca	agcagatgtt	tgctccaga	gcctgccgga	agtcgggtgat	gattgggact	2460
gctcttaaca	caagcgagat	gaagaaactg	atcacccaca	tgggggagat	ggaccacccc	2520
tggaactgtc	cccatggaag	gccaaccatg	agacacatcg	ccaacctggg	tgtcatttct	2580
cagaactag						2589

<210> 4

<211> 2340

<212> DNA

<213> Arabidopsis thaliana

<400> 4

atgcaaggag	attcttctcc	gtctccgacg	actactagct	ctcctttgat	aagacctata	60
aacagaaacg	taattcacag	aatctgttcc	ggteaagtca	tcttagacct	ctcttcggcc	120
gtcaaggagc	ttgtcgagaa	tagtctcgac	gccggcgcca	ccagtataga	gattaacctc	180
cgagactacg	gcgaagacta	ttttcaggtc	attgacaatg	gttgtggcat	ttccccaacc	240
aattttcaagg	tttgtgtcca	aattctccga	agaacttttg	atgttcttgc	acttaagcat	300
catacttcta	aattagagga	tttcacagat	cttttgaatt	tgactactta	tggttttaga	360
ggagaagcct	tgagctctct	ctgtgcattg	ggaaatctca	ctgtggaaac	aagaacaaag	420
aatgagccag	ttgctacgct	cttgacgttt	gatcattctg	gtttgcttac	tgtgaaaag	480
aagactgctc	gccaaattgg	taccactgtc	actgttagga	agttgttctc	taatttacct	540
gtacgaagca	aagagtttaa	gcggaatata	cgcaaagaat	atgggaagct	tgtatcttta	600
ttgaacgcat	atgcgcttat	tgcgaaagga	gtgcggtttg	tctgctctaa	cacgactggg	660
aaaaacccaa	agtctgttgt	gctgaacaca	caaggagagg	gttcacttaa	agataatatc	720
ataacagttt	tccgcattag	tacctttaca	agtctacagc	ctggtactgg	acgcaattta	780
gcagatcgac	agtatttctt	tataaatggg	cggcctgtag	atatgccaaa	agtcagcaag	840
ttgggtgaatg	agttatataa	agatacaagt	tctcggaat	atccagttac	cattctggat	900
tttattgtgc	ctgggtggagc	atgtgatttg	aatgtcacgc	ccgataaaaag	aaagggtgtc	960
ttttctgacg	agacttctgt	tatcggttct	ttgaggggaag	gtctgaacga	gatataattcc	1020
tccagtaatg	cgtcttatat	tgtaaatagg	ttcgaggaga	attcggagca	accagataag	1080
gctggagttt	cgctgtttca	gaagaaatca	aatcttttgt	cagaagggat	agttctggat	1140
gtcagttcta	aaacaagact	aggggaagct	attgagaaag	aaaatccatc	cttaaggggag	1200
gttgaaattg	ataatagttc	gccaatggag	aagtttaagt	ttgagatcaa	ggcatgtggg	1260
acgaagaaag	gggaagggtc	tttatcagtc	catgatgtaa	ctcaccttga	caagacacct	1320
agcaaagggt	tgcttcagtt	aaatgtgact	gagaaagtta	ctgatgcaag	taaagacttg	1380
agcagccgct	ctagctttgc	ccagtcaact	ttgaataact	ttgttaccat	gggaaaaaga	1440
aaacatgaaa	acataagcac	catcctctct	gaaacacctg	tcctcagaaa	ccaaacttct	1500
agttatcgctg	tggagaaaag	caaatttgaa	gttcgtgcct	tagcttcaag	gtgtctcgtg	1560
gaaggcgatc	aacttgatga	tatggtcac	tcaaagggaag	atatgacacc	aagcgaaaga	1620
gattctgaac	taggcaatcg	gatttctcct	ggaacacaag	ctgataatgt	tgaaagacat	1680
gagagagtac	tccggcaatt	caatcttggg	ttcatcattg	caaattgga	gcgagatctg	1740
ttcattgtgg	atcagcatgc	agctgatgag	aaattcaact	tcgaacattt	agcaagggtca	1800

actgtcctga	accagcaacc	cttactccag	cctttgaact	tggaactctc	tccagaagaa	1860
gaagtaactg	tgттаатgca	catggatatt	atcagggaaa	atggctttct	tctagaggag	1920
aatccaagtg	ctcctcccgg	aaaacacttt	agactacgag	ccattcctta	tagcaagaat	1980
atcacctttg	gagtcgaaga	tcttaaagac	ctgatctcaa	ctctaggaga	taaccatggg	2040
gaatgttcgg	ttgctagtag	ctacaaaacc	agcaaaacag	attcgatttg	tccatcacga	2100
gtccgtgcaa	tgctagcatc	ccgagcatgc	agatcatctg	tgatgatcgg	agatccactc	2160
agaaaaaacg	aaatgcagaa	gatagtagaa	cacttggcag	atctcgaatc	tccttggaat	2220
tgccacacg	gacgaccaac	aatgcgtcat	cttgtggact	tgacaacttt	actcacatta	2280
cctgatgacg	acaatgtcaa	tgatgatgat	gatgatgatg	caaccatctc	attggcatga	2340

<210> 5

<211> 402

<212> DNA

<213> Human sapiens

<400> 5

atggagcgag	ctgagagctc	gagtacagaa	cctgctaagg	ccatcaaacc	tattgatcgg	60
aagtcagtcc	atcagatttg	ctctgggcag	gtgggtactga	gtctaagcac	tgcggtaaaag	120
gagttagtag	aaaacagtct	ggatgctggg	gccactaata	ttgatctaaa	gcttaaggac	180
tatggagtgg	atcttattga	agtttcagac	aatggatgtg	gggtagaaga	agaaaacttc	240
gaaggcttaa	ctctgaaaca	tcacacatct	aagattcaag	agtttgccga	cctaactcag	300
gttgaaactt	ttggctttcg	gggggaagct	ctgagctcac	tttgtgcact	gagcgatgtc	360
accatttcta	cctgccacgc	atcggcgaag	gttgaactt	ag		402

<210> 6

<211> 441

<212> DNA

<213> Arabidopsis thaliana

<400> 6

atgcaaggag	attctttctcc	gtctccgacg	actactagct	ctcctttgat	aagacctata	60
aacagaaacg	taattcacag	aatctgttcc	ggtcaagtca	tcttagacct	ctcttcggcc	120
gtcaaggagc	ttgtcgagaa	tagtctcgac	gccggcgcca	ccagtataga	gattaacctc	180
cgagactacg	gcgaagacta	ttttcaggtc	attgacaatg	gttgtggcat	ttcccccaacc	240
aatttcaagg	tttgtgtcca	aattctccga	agaacttttg	atgttcttgc	acttaagcat	300
catacttcta	aattagagga	tttcacagat	cctttgaatt	tgactactta	tggttttaga	360
ggagaagcct	tgagctctct	ctgtgcattg	ggaaatctca	ctgtggaaac	aagaacaaag	420
aatgagccag	ttgctacgct	c				441

<210> 7

<211> 27

<212> DNA

<213> Human sapiens

<400> 7

tctagacatg	gagcgagctg	agagctc	27
------------	------------	---------	----

<210> 8

<211> 27

<212> DNA

<213> Human sapiens

<400> 8

tctagaagtt	ccaaccttcg	ccgatgc	27
------------	------------	---------	----

<210> 9

<211> 737

<212> PRT

<213> Arabidopsis thaliana

<400> 9

Met	Ile	Asp	Asp	Ser	Ser	Leu	Thr	Ala	Glu	Met	Glu	Glu	Glu	Glu	Ser
1				5					10					15	

Pro	Ala	Thr	Thr	Ile	Val	Pro	Arg	Glu	Pro	Pro	Lys	Ile	Gln	Arg	Leu
			20					25					30		
Glu	Glu	Ser	Val	Val	Asn	Arg	Ile	Ala	Ala	Gly	Glu	Val	Ile	Gln	Arg
		35				40						45			
Pro	Val	Ser	Ala	Val	Lys	Glu	Leu	Val	Glu	Asn	Ser	Leu	Asp	Ala	Asp
	50					55				60					
Ser	Ser	Ser	Ile	Ser	Val	Val	Val	Lys	Asp	Gly	Gly	Leu	Lys	Leu	Ile
65					70					75					80
Gln	Val	Ser	Asp	Asp	Gly	His	Gly	Ile	Arg	Arg	Glu	Asp	Leu	Pro	Ile
				85					90					95	
Leu	Cys	Glu	Arg	His	Thr	Thr	Ser	Lys	Leu	Thr	Lys	Phe	Glu	Asp	Leu
			100					105					110		
Phe	Ser	Leu	Ser	Ser	Met	Gly	Phe	Arg	Gly	Glu	Ala	Leu	Ala	Ser	Met
		115				120						125			
Thr	Tyr	Val	Ala	His	Val	Thr	Val	Thr	Thr	Ile	Thr	Lys	Gly	Gln	Ile
	130					135						140			
His	Gly	Tyr	Arg	Val	Ser	Tyr	Arg	Asp	Gly	Val	Met	Glu	His	Glu	Pro
145					150					155					160
Lys	Ala	Cys	Ala	Ala	Val	Lys	Gly	Thr	Gln	Ile	Met	Val	Glu	Asn	Leu
				165					170					175	
Phe	Tyr	Asn	Met	Ile	Ala	Arg	Arg	Lys	Thr	Leu	Gln	Asn	Ser	Ala	Asp
		180						185					190		
Asp	Tyr	Gly	Lys	Ile	Val	Asp	Leu	Leu	Ser	Arg	Met	Ala	Ile	His	Tyr
		195				200						205			
Asn	Asn	Val	Ser	Phe	Ser	Cys	Arg	Lys	His	Gly	Ala	Val	Lys	Ala	Asp
	210					215					220				
Val	His	Ser	Val	Val	Ser	Pro	Ser	Arg	Leu	Asp	Ser	Ile	Arg	Ser	Val
225					230					235					240
Tyr	Gly	Val	Ser	Val	Ala	Lys	Asn	Leu	Met	Lys	Val	Glu	Val	Ser	Ser
				245					250					255	
Cys	Asp	Ser	Ser	Gly	Cys	Thr	Phe	Asp	Met	Glu	Gly	Phe	Ile	Ser	Asn
			260					265					270		
Ser	Asn	Tyr	Val	Ala	Lys	Lys	Thr	Ile	Leu	Val	Leu	Phe	Ile	Asn	Asp
		275					280					285			
Arg	Leu	Val	Glu	Cys	Ser	Ala	Leu	Lys	Arg	Ala	Ile	Glu	Ile	Val	Tyr
	290					295					300				
Ala	Ala	Thr	Leu	Pro	Lys	Ala	Ser	Lys	Pro	Phe	Val	Tyr	Met	Ser	Ile
305					310					315					320
Asn	Leu	Pro	Arg	Glu	His	Val	Asp	Ile	Asn	Ile	His	Pro	Thr	Lys	Lys
				325					330					335	
Glu	Val	Ser	Leu	Leu	Asn	Gln	Glu	Ile	Ile	Ile	Glu	Met	Ile	Gln	Ser
			340					345					350		
Glu	Val	Glu	Val	Lys	Leu	Arg	Asn	Ala	Asn	Asp	Thr	Arg	Thr	Phe	Gln
		355					360					365			
Glu	Gln	Lys	Val	Glu	Tyr	Ile	Gln	Ser	Thr	Leu	Thr	Ser	Gln	Lys	Ser
370					375						380				
Asp	Ser	Pro	Val	Ser	Gln	Lys	Pro	Ser	Gly	Gln	Lys	Thr	Gln	Lys	Val
385					390					395					400
Pro	Val	Asn	Lys	Met	Val	Arg	Thr	Asp	Ser	Ser	Asp	Pro	Ala	Gly	Arg
				405					410					415	
Leu	His	Ala	Phe	Leu	Gln	Pro	Lys	Pro	Gln	Ser	Leu	Pro	Asp	Lys	Val
			420					425					430		
Ser	Ser	Leu	Ser	Val	Val	Arg	Ser	Ser	Val	Arg	Gln	Arg	Arg	Asn	Pro
		435					440					445			
Lys	Glu	Thr	Ala	Asp	Leu	Ser	Ser	Val	Gln	Glu	Leu	Ile	Ala	Gly	Val
	450					455					460				
Asp	Ser	Cys	Cys	His	Pro	Gly	Met	Leu	Glu	Thr	Val	Arg	Asn	Cys	Thr
465					470					475					480
Tyr	Val	Gly	Met	Ala	Asp	Asp	Val	Phe	Ala	Leu	Val	Gln	Tyr	Asn	Thr
				485					490					495	
His	Leu	Tyr	Leu	Ala	Asn	Val	Val	Asn	Leu	Ser	Lys	Glu	Leu	Met	Tyr
			500					505					510		
Gln	Gln	Thr	Leu	Arg	Arg	Phe	Ala	His	Phe	Asn	Ala	Ile	Gln	Leu	Ser

		<400>	10													
Met	Lys	Thr	Ile	Lys	Pro	Leu	Pro	Glu	Gly	Val	Arg	His	Ser	Met	Arg	
1				5					10					15		
Ser	Gly	Ile	Ile	Met	Phe	Asp	Met	Ala	Arg	Val	Val	Glu	Glu	Leu	Val	
			20					25					30			
Phe	Asn	Ser	Leu	Asp	Ala	Gly	Ala	Thr	Lys	Val	Ser	Ile	Phe	Val	Gly	
		35				40						45				
Val	Val	Ser	Cys	Ser	Val	Lys	Val	Val	Asp	Asp	Gly	Ser	Gly	Val	Ser	
	50					55				60						
Arg	Asp	Asp	Leu	Val	Leu	Leu	Gly	Glu	Arg	Tyr	Ala	Thr	Ser	Lys	Phe	
65					70					75					80	
His	Asp	Phe	Thr	Asn	Val	Glu	Thr	Ala	Ser	Glu	Thr	Phe	Gly	Phe	Arg	
				85					90					95		
Gly	Glu	Ala	Leu	Ala	Ser	Ile	Ser	Asp	Ile	Ser	Leu	Leu	Glu	Val	Arg	
			100					105					110			
Thr	Lys	Ala	Ile	Gly	Arg	Pro	Asn	Gly	Tyr	Arg	Lys	Val	Met	Lys	Gly	
		115					120					125				
Ser	Lys	Cys	Leu	His	Leu	Gly	Ile	Asp	Asp	Asp	Arg	Lys	Asp	Ser	Gly	
	130					135				140						
Thr	Thr	Val	Thr	Val	Arg	Asp	Leu	Phe	Tyr	Ser	Gln	Pro	Val	Arg	Arg	
145					150					155					160	
Lys	Tyr	Met	Gln	Ser	Ser	Pro	Lys	Lys	Val	Leu	Glu	Ser	Ile	Lys	Lys	
			165						170					175		
Cys	Val	Phe	Arg	Ile	Ala	Leu	Val	His	Ser	Asn	Val	Ser	Phe	Ser	Val	
			180					185					190			
Leu	Asp	Ile	Glu	Ser	Asp	Glu	Glu	Leu	Phe	Gln	Thr	Asn	Pro	Ser	Ser	
		195				200						205				
Ser	Ala	Phe	Ser	Leu	Leu	Met	Arg	Asp	Ala	Gly	Thr	Glu	Ala	Val	Asn	

210	215	220
Ser Leu Cys Lys Val Asn Val Thr Asp Gly Met Leu Asn Val Ser Gly		
225	230	235
Phe Glu Cys Ala Asp Asp Trp Lys Pro Thr Asp Gly Gln Gln Thr Gly		
245	250	255
Arg Arg Asn Arg Leu Gln Ser Asn Pro Gly Tyr Ile Leu Cys Ile Ala		
260	265	270
Cys Pro Arg Arg Leu Tyr Glu Phe Ser Phe Glu Pro Ser Lys Thr His		
275	280	285
Val Glu Phe Lys Lys Trp Gly Pro Val Leu Ala Phe Ile Glu Arg Ile		
290	295	300
Thr Leu Ala Asn Trp Lys Lys Asp Arg Ile Leu Glu Leu Phe Asp Gly		
305	310	315
Gly Ala Asp Ile Leu Ala Lys Gly Asp Arg Gln Asp Leu Ile Asp Asp		
325	330	335
Lys Ile Arg Leu Gln Asn Gly Ser Leu Phe Ser Ile Leu His Phe Leu		
340	345	350
Asp Ala Asp Trp Pro Glu Ala Met Glu Pro Ala Lys Lys Lys Leu Lys		
355	360	365
Arg Ser Asn Asp His Ala Pro Cys Ser Ser Leu Leu Phe Pro Ser Ala		
370	375	380
Asp Phe Lys Gln Asp Gly Asp Tyr Phe Ser Pro Arg Lys Asp Val Trp		
385	390	395
Ser Pro Glu Cys Glu Val Glu Leu Lys Ile Gln Asn Pro Lys Glu Gln		
405	410	415
Gly Thr Val Ala Gly Phe Glu Ser Arg Thr Asp Ser Leu Leu Gln Ser		
420	425	430
Arg Asp Ile Glu Met Gln Thr Asn Glu Asp Phe Pro Gln Val Thr Asp		
435	440	445
Leu Leu Glu Thr Ser Leu Val Ala Asp Ser Lys Cys Arg Lys Gln Phe		
450	455	460
Leu Thr Arg Cys Gln Ile Thr Thr Pro Val Asn Ile Asn His Asp Phe		
465	470	475
Met Lys Asp Ser Asp Val Leu Asn Phe Gln Phe Gln Gly Leu Lys Asp		
485	490	495
Glu Leu Asp Val Ser Asn Cys Ile Gly Lys His Leu Leu Arg Gly Cys		
500	505	510
Ser Ser Arg Val Ser Leu Thr Phe His Glu Pro Lys Leu Ser His Val		
515	520	525
Glu Gly Tyr Glu Ser Val Val Pro Met Ile Pro Asn Glu Lys Gln Ser		
530	535	540
Ser Pro Arg Val Leu Glu Thr Arg Glu Gly Gly Ser Tyr Cys Asp Val		
545	550	555
Tyr Ser Asp Lys Thr Pro Asp Cys Ser Leu Gly Ser Ser Trp Gln Asp		
565	570	575
Thr Asp Trp Phe Thr Pro Gln Cys Ser Ser Asp Arg Gly Cys Val Gly		
580	585	590
Ile Gly Glu Asp Phe Asn Ile Thr Pro Ile Asp Thr Ala Glu Phe Asp		
595	600	605
Ser Tyr Asp Glu Lys Val Gly Ser Lys Lys Tyr Leu Ser Ser Val Asn		
610	615	620
Val Gly Ser Ser Val Thr Gly Ser Phe Cys Leu Ser Ser Glu Trp Ser		
625	630	635
Pro Met Tyr Ser Thr Pro Ser Ala Thr Lys Trp Glu Ser Glu Tyr Gln		
645	650	655
Lys Gly Cys Arg Ile Leu Glu Gln Ser Leu Arg Leu Gly Arg Met Pro		
660	665	670
Asp Pro Glu Phe Cys Phe Ser Ala Ala Asn Asn Ile Lys Phe Asp His		
675	680	685
Glu Val Ile Pro Glu Met Asp Cys Cys Glu Thr Gly Thr Asp Ser Phe		
690	695	700
Thr Ala Ile Gln Asn Cys Thr Gln Leu Ala Asp Lys Ile Cys Lys Ser		
705	710	715
		720

Ser Trp Gly His Ala Asp Asp Val Arg Ile Asp Gln Tyr Ser Ile Arg
 725 730 735
 Lys Glu Lys Phe Ser Tyr Met Asp Gly Thr Gln Asn Asn Ala Gly Lys
 740 745 750
 Gln Arg Ser Lys Arg Ser Arg Ser Ala Pro Pro Phe Tyr Arg Glu Lys
 755 760 765
 Lys Arg Phe Ile Ser Leu Ser Cys Lys Ser Asp Thr Lys Pro Lys Asn
 770 775 780
 Ser Asp Pro Ser Glu Pro Asp Asp Leu Glu Cys Leu Thr Gln Pro Cys
 785 790 795 800
 Asn Ala Ser Gln Met His Leu Lys Cys Ser Ile Leu Asp Asp Val Ser
 805 810 815
 Tyr Asp His Ile Gln Glu Thr Glu Lys Arg Leu Ser Ser Ala Ser Asp
 820 825 830
 Leu Lys Ala Ser Ala Gly Cys Arg Thr Val His Ser Glu Thr Gln Asp
 835 840 845
 Glu Asp Val His Glu Asp Phe Ser Ser Glu Glu Phe Leu Asp Pro Ile
 850 855 860
 Lys Ser Thr Thr Lys Trp Arg His Asn Cys Ala Val Ser Gln Val Pro
 865 870 875 880
 Lys Glu Ser His Glu Leu His Gly Gln Asp Gly Val Phe Asp Ile Ser
 885 890 895
 Ser Gly Leu Leu His Leu Arg Ser Asp Glu Ser Leu Val Pro Glu Ser
 900 905 910
 Ile Asn Arg His Ser Leu Glu Asp Ala Lys Val Leu Gln Gln Val Asp
 915 920 925
 Lys Lys Tyr Ile Pro Ile Val Ala Cys Gly Thr Val Ala Ile Val Asp
 930 935 940
 Gln His Ala Ala Asp Glu Arg Ile Arg Leu Glu Glu Leu Arg Thr Lys
 945 950 955 960
 Phe Ile Asn Asp Ala Leu Leu Ile Phe Val Leu Thr Leu Lys Val Leu
 965 970 975
 Pro Glu Met Gly Tyr Gln Leu Leu Gln Ser Tyr Ser Glu Gln Ile Arg
 980 985 990
 Asp Trp Gly Trp Ile Cys Asn Ile Thr Val Glu Gly Ser Thr Ser Phe
 995 1000 1005
 Lys Lys Asn Met Ser Ile Ile Gln Arg Lys Pro Thr Pro Ile Thr Leu
 1010 1015 1020
 Asn Ala Val Pro Cys Ile Leu Gly Val Asn Leu Ser Asp Val Asp Leu
 1025 1030 1035 1040
 Leu Glu Phe Leu Gln Gln Leu Ala Asp Thr Asp Gly Ser Ser Thr Ile
 1045 1050 1055
 Pro Pro Ser Val Leu Arg Val Leu Asn Ser Lys Ala Cys Arg Gly Ala
 1060 1065 1070
 Ile Met Phe Gly Asp Ser Leu Leu Pro Ser Glu Cys Ser Leu Ile Ile
 1075 1080 1085
 Asp Gly Leu Lys Gln Thr Ser Leu Cys Phe Gln Cys Ala His Gly Arg
 1090 1095 1100
 Pro Thr Thr Val Pro Leu Val Asp Leu Lys Ala Leu His Lys Gln Ile
 1105 1110 1115 1120
 Ala Lys Leu Ser Gly Arg Gln Val Trp His Gly Leu Gln Arg Arg Glu
 1125 1130 1135
 Ile Thr Leu Asp Arg Ala Lys Ser Arg Leu Asp Asn Ala Lys Ser
 1140 1145 1150

<210> 11

<211> 862

<212> PRT

<213> Homo sapiens

<400> 11

Met Glu Arg Ala Glu Ser Ser Ser Thr Glu Pro Ala Lys Ala Ile Lys
 1 5 10 15

8

```
<210> 12
<211> 779
<212> PRT
<213> Arabidopsis thaliana
```

<400> 12															
Met	Gln	Gly	Asp	Ser	Ser	Pro	Ser	Pro	Thr	Thr	Thr	Ser	Ser	Pro	Leu
1				5					10					15	
Ile	Arg	Pro	Ile	Asn	Arg	Asn	Val	Ile	His	Arg	Ile	Cys	Ser	Gly	Gln
			20					25					30		
Val	Ile	Leu	Asp	Leu	Ser	Ser	Ala	Val	Lys	Glu	Leu	Val	Glu	Asn	Ser
		35					40					45			
Leu	Asp	Ala	Gly	Ala	Thr	Ser	Ile	Glu	Ile	Asn	Leu	Arg	Asp	Tyr	Gly
	50					55					60				
Glu	Asp	Tyr	Phe	Gln	Val	Ile	Asp	Asn	Gly	Cys	Gly	Ile	Ser	Pro	Thr
65					70					75					80
Asn	Phe	Lys	Val	Cys	Val	Gln	Ile	Leu	Arg	Arg	Thr	Phe	Asp	Val	Leu
				85					90					95	
Ala	Leu	Lys	His	His	Thr	Ser	Lys	Leu	Glu	Asp	Phe	Thr	Asp	Leu	Leu

10

WO 01/61012

PCT/US00/35397

Leu Gln Pro Leu Asn Leu Glu Leu Ser Pro Glu Glu Glu Val Thr Val
 610 615 620
 Leu Met His Met Asp Ile Ile Arg Glu Asn Gly Phe Leu Leu Glu Glu
 625 630 635 640
 Asn Pro Ser Ala Pro Pro Gly Lys His Phe Arg Leu Arg Ala Ile Pro
 645 650 655
 Tyr Ser Lys Asn Ile Thr Phe Gly Val Glu Asp Leu Lys Asp Leu Ile
 660 665 670
 Ser Thr Leu Gly Asp Asn His Gly Glu Cys Ser Val Ala Ser Ser Tyr
 675 680 685
 Lys Thr Ser Lys Thr Asp Ser Ile Cys Pro Ser Arg Val Arg Ala Met
 690 695 700
 Leu Ala Ser Arg Ala Cys Arg Ser Ser Val Met Ile Gly Asp Pro Leu
 705 710 715 720
 Arg Lys Asn Glu Met Gln Lys Ile Val Glu His Leu Ala Asp Leu Glu
 725 730 735
 Ser Pro Trp Asn Cys Pro His Gly Arg Pro Thr Met Arg His Leu Val
 740 745 750
 Asp Leu Thr Thr Leu Leu Thr Leu Pro Asp Asp Asp Asn Val Asn Asp
 755 760 765
 Asp Asp Asp Asp Ala Thr Ile Ser Leu Ala
 770 775

<210> 13
 <211> 133
 <212> PRT
 <213> Homo sapiens

<400> 13
 Met Glu Arg Ala Glu Ser Ser Ser Thr Glu Pro Ala Lys Ala Ile Lys
 1 5 10 15
 Pro Ile Asp Arg Lys Ser Val His Gln Ile Cys Ser Gly Gln Val Val
 20 25 30
 Leu Ser Leu Ser Thr Ala Val Lys Glu Leu Val Glu Asn Ser Leu Asp
 35 40 45
 Ala Gly Ala Thr Asn Ile Asp Leu Lys Leu Lys Asp Tyr Gly Val Asp
 50 55 60
 Leu Ile Glu Val Ser Asp Asn Gly Cys Gly Val Glu Glu Glu Asn Phe
 65 70 75 80
 Glu Gly Leu Thr Leu Lys His His Thr Ser Lys Ile Gln Glu Phe Ala
 85 90 95
 Asp Leu Thr Gln Val Glu Thr Phe Gly Phe Arg Gly Glu Ala Leu Ser
 100 105 110
 Ser Leu Cys Ala Leu Ser Asp Val Thr Ile Ser Thr Cys His Ala Ser
 115 120 125
 Ala Lys Val Gly Thr
 130

<210> 14
 <211> 147
 <212> PRT
 <213> Arabidopsis thaliana

<400> 14
 Met Gln Gly Asp Ser Ser Pro Ser Pro Thr Thr Thr Ser Ser Pro Leu
 1 5 10 15
 Ile Arg Pro Ile Asn Arg Asn Val Ile His Arg Ile Cys Ser Gly Gln
 20 25 30
 Val Ile Leu Asp Leu Ser Ser Ala Val Lys Glu Leu Val Glu Asn Ser
 35 40 45
 Leu Asp Ala Gly Ala Thr Ser Ile Glu Ile Asn Leu Arg Asp Tyr Gly
 50 55 60
 Glu Asp Tyr Phe Gln Val Ile Asp Asn Gly Cys Gly Ile Ser Pro Thr

65					70				75					80	
Asn	Phe	Lys	Val	Cys	Val	Gln	Ile	Leu	Arg	Arg	Thr	Phe	Asp	Val	Leu
				85					90					95	
Ala	Leu	Lys	His	His	Thr	Ser	Lys	Leu	Glu	Asp	Phe	Thr	Asp	Leu	Leu
			100					105					110		
Asn	Leu	Thr	Thr	Tyr	Gly	Phe	Arg	Gly	Glu	Ala	Leu	Ser	Ser	Leu	Cys
		115					120					125			
Ala	Leu	Gly	Asn	Leu	Thr	Val	Glu	Thr	Arg	Thr	Lys	Asn	Glu	Pro	Val
	130					135					140				
Ala	Thr	Leu													
145															

WE CLAIM:

- 1 . A method for making a hypermutable cell, comprising the step of:
introducing into a plant cell a polynucleotide comprising a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene, whereby the cell becomes hypermutable.
- 2 . The method of claim 1 wherein the polynucleotide is introduced by transfection of a suspension of plant cells *in vitro*.
- 3 . The method of claim 1 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a plant *MutS* homolog.
4. The method of claim 1 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a plant *MutL* homolog.
5. The method of claim 1 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a mammalian *PMS2*.
6. The method of claim 1 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a mammalian *MLH1*.
7. The method of claim 1 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a mammalian *PMS1*.
8. The method of claim 1 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a mammalian *MSH2*.
9. The method of claim 1 wherein the mismatch repair gene is an eukaryotic *mutS*.
10. The method of claim 1 wherein the mismatch repair gene is an eukaryotic *mutL*.
11. The method of claim 1 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a prokaryotic *mutS*.
12. The method of claim 1 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a prokaryotic *mutL*.
13. The method of claim 3 wherein the allele comprises a truncation mutation.
14. The method of claim 4 where the allele comprises a truncation mutation.

15. The method of claim 5 where the allele comprises a truncation mutation.
16. The method of claim 15 wherein the allele comprises a truncation mutation at codon 134.
17. The method of claim 16 wherein the truncation mutation is a thymidine at nucleotide 424 of wild-type human *PMS2*.
18. The method of claim 1 wherein the polynucleotide is introduced into a plant cell in a plant to form a transgenic plant.
19. The method of claim 18 further comprising: growing the transgenic plant to form a mature transgenic plant.
20. The method of claim 19 wherein the mismatch repair gene is *PMS2*.
21. The method of claim 19 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a mammalian *PMS2*.
22. The method of claim 19 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a mammalian *MLH1*.
23. The method of claim 19 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a mammalian *PMS1*.
24. The method of claim 19 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a mammalian *MSH2*.
25. The method of claim 19 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a plant *MutS* homolog.
26. The method of claim 19 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a plant *MutL* homolog.
27. The method of claim 19 wherein the mismatch repair gene is an eukaryotic *MutS* homolog.
28. The method of claim 19 wherein the mismatch repair gene is an eukaryotic *MutL* homolog.
29. The method of claim 19 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a prokaryotic *MutS* homolog.
30. The method of claim 19 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a prokaryotic *MutL* homolog.

31. The method of claim 20 wherein the allele comprises a truncation mutation.
32. The method of claim 20 wherein the allele comprises a truncation mutation at codon 134.
33. The method of claim 20 wherein the truncation mutation is a thymidine at nucleotide 424 of wild-type *hPMS2*.
34. A homogeneous composition of cultured, hypermutable, plant cells which comprise a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene.
35. The homogeneous composition of claim 34 wherein the mismatch repair gene is *PMS2*.
36. The homogeneous composition of claim 34 wherein the mismatch repair gene is mammalian *PMS2*.
37. The homogeneous composition of claim 34 wherein the mismatch repair gene is mammalian *MLH1*.
38. The homogeneous composition of claim 34 wherein the mismatch repair gene is mammalian *PMS1*.
39. The homogeneous composition of claim 34 wherein the mismatch repair gene is mammalian *MSH2*.
40. The homogeneous composition of claim 34 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a plant MutS homolog.
41. The homogeneous composition of claim 34 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a plant MutL homolog.
42. The homogeneous composition of claim 34 wherein the mismatch repair gene is an eukaryotic MutS homolog.
43. The homogeneous composition of claim 34 wherein the mismatch repair gene is an eukaryotic MutL homolog.
44. The homogeneous composition of claim 34 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a prokaryotic MutS homolog.
45. The homogeneous composition of claim 34 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a prokaryotic MutL homolog.

46. The homogeneous composition of claim 34 wherein the cells express a protein consisting of the first 133 amino acids of hPMS2.
47. A hypermutable transgenic plant wherein at least 50% of the cells of the plant comprise a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene.
48. The hypermutable transgenic plant of claim 47 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a plant *MutS*.
49. The hypermutable transgenic plant of claim 47 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a plant *MutL*.
50. The hypermutable transgenic plant of claim 47 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a mammalian *MutS* homolog.
51. The hypermutable transgenic plant of claim 47 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a mammalian *MutL* homolog.
52. The hypermutable transgenic plant of claim 47 wherein the mismatch repair gene is an eukaryotic *MutS* homolog.
53. The hypermutable transgenic plant of claim 47 wherein the mismatch repair gene is an eukaryotic *MutL* homolog.
54. The hypermutable transgenic plant of claim 47 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a prokaryotic *MutS* homolog.
55. The hypermutable transgenic plant of claim 47 wherein the mismatch repair gene is a prokaryotic *MutL* homolog.
56. The hypermutable transgenic plant of claim 47 comprising a protein which consists of the first 133 amino acids of human *PMS2*.
57. A method for generating a mutation in a gene of interest in a plant cell, comprising the steps of:
- growing a hypermutable plant cell comprising the gene of interest and a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene;
 - testing the cell to determine whether the gene of interest harbors a mutation.
58. The method of claim 57 wherein the step of testing comprises analyzing a nucleotide sequence of the gene of interest.

59. The method of claim 57 wherein the step of testing comprises analyzing mRNA transcribed from the gene of interest.
60. The method of claim 57 wherein the step of testing comprises analyzing a protein encoded by the gene of interest.
61. The method of claim 57 wherein the step of testing comprises analyzing a phenotype caused by the gene of interest.
62. The method of claim 57 wherein the plant cell is made by the process of introducing a polynucleotide comprising a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene into a plant cell, whereby the cell becomes hypermutable.
63. The method of claim 62 wherein the step of testing comprises analyzing a nucleotide sequence of the gene of interest.
64. The method of claim 62 wherein the step of testing comprises analyzing mRNA transcribed from the gene of interest.
65. The method of claim 62 wherein the step of testing comprises analyzing a protein encoded by the gene of interest.
66. The method of claim 62 wherein the step of testing comprises analyzing a phenotype caused by the gene of interest.
67. A method for generating a mutation in a gene of interest in a plant, comprising the steps of:
- growing a plant comprising the gene of interest and a polynucleotide encoding a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene;
 - testing the plant to determine whether the gene of interest harbors a mutation.
68. The method of claim 67 wherein the step of testing comprises analyzing a nucleotide sequence of the gene of interest.
69. The method of claim 67 wherein the step of testing comprises analyzing mRNA transcribed from the gene of interest.
70. The method of claim 67 wherein the step of testing comprises analyzing a protein encoded by the gene of interest.

71. The method of claim 67 wherein the step of testing comprises analyzing a phenotype caused by the gene of interest.
72. The method of claim 67 wherein the plant is made by the process of introducing a polynucleotide comprising a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene into a plant, whereby the plant becomes hypermutable.
73. The method of claim 72 wherein the step of testing comprises analyzing a nucleotide sequence of the gene of interest.
74. The method of claim 72 wherein the step of testing comprises analyzing mRNA transcribed from the gene of interest.
75. The method of claim 72 wherein the step of testing comprises analyzing a protein encoded by the gene of interest.
76. The method of claim 72 wherein the step of testing comprises analyzing the phenotype of the gene of interest.
77. A hypermutable transgenic plant made by the method of claim 67.
78. The hypermutable transgenic plant of claim 77 wherein the mismatch repair gene is *PMS2*.
79. The hypermutable transgenic plant of claim 77 wherein the mismatch repair gene is human *PMS2*.
80. The hypermutable transgenic plant of claim 77 wherein the mismatch repair gene is human *MLH1*.
81. The hypermutable transgenic plant of claim 77 wherein the mismatch repair gene is human *PMS1*.
82. The hypermutable transgenic plant of claim 77 wherein the mismatch repair gene is human *MSH2*.
83. The hypermutable transgenic plant of claim 77 wherein the allele comprises a truncation mutation.
84. The hypermutable transgenic plant of claim 77 wherein the allele comprises a truncation mutation at codon 134.
85. The hypermutable transgenic plant of claim 83 wherein the truncation mutation is a thymidine at nucleotide 424 of wild-type *PMS2*.
86. A method for generating a hypermutable plant, comprising the steps of:

inhibiting endogenous mismatch repair (MMR) activity of a plant, whereby the plant becomes hypermutable.

87. The method of claim 86 wherein an endogenous plant MutS homolog is inhibited by mutagenizing an allele encoding the MutS homolog by introducing a mutation into said allele by homologous recombination.

88. The method of claim 86 wherein an endogenous plant MutL homolog is inhibited by mutagenizing an allele encoding the MutL homolog by introducing a mutation into said allele by homologous recombination.

89. The method of claim 86 wherein an endogenous plant MutL homolog is inhibited by introduction of a dominant negative allele of a plant MutL gene.

90. The method of claim 86 wherein an endogenous plant MutS homolog is inhibited by introduction of a dominant negative allele of a plant MutS gene.

91. The method of claim 86 wherein endogenous MMR activity is inhibited by introducing into said plant inhibitory peptides derived from plant MutS proteins.

92. The method of claim 86 wherein endogenous MMR activity is inhibited by introducing into said plant inhibitory peptides derived from plant MutL proteins.

93. The method of claim 86 wherein endogenous MMR activity is inhibited by introducing into said plant antisense *MutS* oligodeoxynucleotides.

94. The method of claim 86 wherein endogenous MMR activity is inhibited by introducing into said plant antisense *MutL* oligodeoxynucleotides.

95. The method of claim 86 wherein endogenous MMR activity is inhibited by introducing a polynucleotide encoding a MutS polypeptide from a lower organism into said plant and overexpressing in said plant the MutS polypeptide from the lower organism.

96. The method of claim 86 wherein wherein endogenous MMR activity is inhibited by introducing a polynucleotide encoding a MutL polypeptide from a lower organism into said plant and overexpressing in said plant the MutL polypeptide from the lower organism.

97. The method of claim 95 wherein the lower organism is a bacterium.

98. The method of claim 95 wherein the lower organism is a yeast.

99. The method of claim 95 wherein the lower organism is a unicellular organism.

100. The method of claim 96 wherein the lower organism is a bacterium.
101. The method of claim 96 wherein the lower organism is a yeast.
102. The method of claim 96 wherein the lower organism is a unicellular organism.
103. The method of claim 86 wherein endogenous MMR activity is inhibited by introducing a polynucleotide encoding a MutL polypeptide from a rodent into said plant and overexpressing in said plant the MutL polypeptide from the rodent.
104. The method of claim 86 wherein endogenous MMR activity is inhibited by introducing a polynucleotide encoding a MutS polypeptide from a rodent into said plant and overexpressing in said plant the MutS polypeptide from the rodent.
105. The method of claim 86 wherein endogenous MMR activity is inhibited by double stranded RNA interference of endogenous plant MMR.
106. A vector for introducing a dominant negative MMR allele into a plant, comprising: a dominant negative MMR allele under the transcriptional control of a promoter which is functional in a plant.
107. The vector of claim 106 wherein said vector further comprises an *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* T-DNA border repeat flanking the MMR allele.
108. The vector of claim 106 further comprising an origin of replication for independent replication in said plant.
109. The vector of claim 106 wherein the promoter is a Cauliflower Mosaic Virus promoter.
110. The vector of claim 106 wherein the promoter is a nopaline synthase promoter from *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*.
111. The vector of claim 106 further comprising a selectable marker.
112. The vector of claim 111 wherein the selectable marker is a neomycin phosphotransferase gene.
113. The vector of claim 106 wherein the MMR allele is PMS134.
114. The vector of claim 106 wherein the MMR allele is human PMS134.
115. The vector of claim 106 wherein the MMR allele is Arabidopsis PMS134.
116. An isolated and purified polynucleotide encoding Arabidopsis PMS2 as shown in SEQ ID NO: 14.

117. The isolated and purified polynucleotide of claim 116 comprising the sequence as shown in SEQ ID NO: 4.
118. An isolated and purified polynucleotide encoding Arabidopsis PMS134 as shown in SEQ ID NO: 16.
119. The isolated and purified polynucleotide of claim 118 comprising the sequence as shown in SEQ ID NO: 6.
120. An isolated and purified protein which is Arabidopsis PMS2 as shown in SEQ ID NO: 14.
121. An isolated and purified protein which is Arabidopsis PMS134 as shown in SEQ ID NO: 16.
122. A method for determining the presence of a mismatch repair (MMR) defect in a plant or a plant cell, comprising:
- comparing at least two microsatellite markers in test cells or a test plant to the at least two microsatellite markers in cells of a normal plant;
 - identifying the test cells or test plant as having a mismatch repair defect if at least two microsatellite markers are found to be rearranged relative to the cells of the normal plant.
123. The method of claim 122 wherein a test plant is identified if at least one quarter of the markers compared are found to be rearranged.
124. The method of claim 122 wherein a test plant is identified if at least one third of the markers compared are found to be rearranged.
125. The method of claim 122 wherein a test plant is identified if at least one half of the markers compared are found to be rearranged.




Figure 1. Alignment between human PMS2 (humPMS134) and Arabidopsis thaliana homologue of PMS2 (AtPMS2) DNA sequences. Similarity is 48.1%; identity is 48.1%. Black boxes show identical nucleotides.

Figure 2. Alignment between human PMS2 (humPMS134) and Arabidopsis thaliana homologue of PMS2 (AtPMS2) amino acid sequences. Similarity is 41.5%; identity is 31.1%. Black boxes show identical residues.

		1		70
humPMS2	(1)	-----HRA--SS-----EPAKA--KPIDRKS--HQ--CS--Q--VLSLST--VKELVENSIL--GATN--DL		
AtMLH1	(1)	MIDDSSLTAE--TEE--PA--TIVPREPPK--ORLEESV--NR--AA--E--IQRPVS--VKELVENSIL--DSSS--SV		
Consensus	(1)	ME ES AT I ID V I AG VI SAVKELVENSILDA AS I L		
		71		140
humPMS2	(57)	KL--Y--VD--E--N--C--VEE--NFEG--TLK--H--IQE--A--TQVETF--PKGA--S--LCALSD--IS--CH		
AtMLH1	(71)	VV--G--LK--Q--S--D--H--IRR--DLPI--CER--T--SLTK--E--FSLSSM--PKGA--A--MTYVAH--VT--IT		
Consensus	(71)	LKD GL LI VSD G GI E L KH TSKI F DL L S GFRGEALASL LA VTIST		
		141		210
humPMS2	(127)	ASAKV--T--LMFDHNGKIIQKTPYPRPR--TVS--QQ--STLPV--H--EF--R--IKKE--A--M--QV--HAYC--ISA		
AtMLH1	(141)	KGQIH--Y--VSYRDGVMEHEPKACA--AVK--QIM--EN--YNMIA--R--TL--S--ADD--G--I--DL--SRMA--HYN		
Consensus	(141)	G RL F KGT I V NLF L R K Q N DYAKIV LL I		
		211		280
humPMS2	(197)	GIRV--TNQLGQ--KRQPV--CTGG--IKEN--G--F--QKQLQS--IPFVQLPPSDSVCEEYGL--CS--ALHNL		
AtMLH1	(210)	NVSF--RKH--AVKAD--HSV--RLDS--R--Y--VSVAKN--M--KV--E--V--SC--SSGCT		
Consensus	(211)	I SC G V S SPS D I SVFG LI L LS DA		
		281		350
humPMS2	(267)	YIS--H--QCTHGVGRSSTDRQFF--H--R--PCDPAKVC--LVNE--HM--YNRHQY--E--VLN--SVDS--C--DIE		
AtMLH1	(264)	DME--H--IGNSNYVAKKTIL---VL--H--D--LVECSALK--A--EI--TAATLPKASK--E--YMS--NLPR--H--DIE		
Consensus	(281)	F I GFISN H KS FIN R D A L R I VY K PFV L I L E VDIN		
		351		420
humPMS2	(336)	VT--D--RQIL--QEEKLLAVLKTS--LIGMFDS--DVNKLNV--QQPLLDVEGNLI--MHA--DLEKPMV--KQDQSPS		
AtMLH1	(331)	IHT--KEVS--LNQEI--IEMIQ-----EVE-----V--LRN--NDTRTFQ--QKVEYIQ		
Consensus	(351)	I P KK I L III MI S IKL A K E		
		421		490
humPMS2	(406)	LRTGEE--K--VSI--RLREAFSLRHT--ENK--HSPKTPEPRRS--L--QKRG--SSSTSGAISKGV--RPQKEA--		
AtMLH1	(377)	STLTQ--S--SPV--QKPSG----QK--QKV--VNKMVRTDSSD--A--RLHAF--QPKPQSLPKVSS--SVVRSS--		
Consensus	(421)	K D IS A T P P G A L L K AV		
		491		560
humPMS2	(476)	SSSHG--SDPT--RAE--EKDSGHG--STSV--DSEGFS--IPDTGSHCSSEYAAS--PGDR--SQ--H--DSQEKAPET--S		
AtMLH1	(443)	RQRRN--KETA--LSS--QE-----LIA--G-----V--D--CCHP--ML--T--RNCTYVGMA--E--V		
Consensus	(491)	P D D A V I S G E V DD		
		561		630
humPMS2	(546)	SD--DCHSNQED--GCKFRVLPQPTNLATPNT--RFKKEEI--SSSDICQKLVTQDMSA--QVDVAVKINKKV		
AtMLH1	(489)	AL--QYN-----HLYLAN-----VVNLS--ELMYQQT--RRFAHFNAIQLSDPAPL--ELILLALKEEDL		
Consensus	(561)	FA V T SK L N I S S L L L		
		631		700
humPMS2	(616)	V--LDFSMS--AK--KQLHH--AQQSEG--QNYRK--RAK--CPGE--QAAEDELKEISK--FAEM--IIGQFN--		
AtMLH1	(547)	D--GNDTKDD--KE--AEMNT--LLKEKA--MLEEY--SVH--DSSA--LSRLPVILDQYTPD--DRV--FL--LC--		
Consensus	(631)	P S L RI L E AE F I N A I S M E I LG		
		701		770
humPMS2	(686)	FIITKLN--DIFIVDQH--TDEKYNFEM--LQOHTVLO--QRLIAPQTLNLTAVNEAV--IE--LEIFRKNGF--FVI		
AtMLH1	(615)	NDVEWED--KSCFQGV--AIGNFYAMHP--LLPNPS--DGIQFYSKRGESSQEKSD--EG--VDMEDNLDQ--LLS		
Consensus	(701)	I E A F G I SA A L NLDI D L		
		771		840
humPMS2	(756)	ENAPVTE--AKLISLPTSKN--TFGPQDVDELIFM--SDSPGVMCRPSR--QMFASRACRKSV--MIGTALNTS		
AtMLH1	(685)	AENAWAQ-----E--SIQHVLFP--SMRLF--KPPASMASNGTF--VASLEKLYKIFERC-----		
Consensus	(771)	D R WS L L M S VK K K		
		841		877
humPMS2	(826)	EMKKLITHMGEMDHPWNC--PHGRPTMRHIANLGVISQN		
AtMLH1	(738)	-----		
Consensus	(841)			

Figure 3. Alignment between human PMS2 (humPMS2) and Arabidopsis thaliana PMS2 homologue MLH1 (AtMLH1) amino acid sequences. Similarity is 30%; identity is 18.4%. Black boxes show identical residues.

		1		70															
humPMS2	(1)	MERAESSSTEPA	A	QIDRKSV	QIC	QVVLSTAK	K	E	AGAA	NIDLKLDYGV	DLIE	S							
AtPMS1	(1)	-----M	T	FLPEGVR	SMR	IIMFDMARV	E	I	F	DA	KVSIFVGVVSCS	VK	V						
Consensus	(1)		K	IKPI	H	I	SG	IM	LA	V	ELV	NSLDAGAT	I	I	L	I	V	D	
		71		140															
humPMS2	(71)	N	C	EEENFEG	TLKHH	QIQE	ADL	QV	FEGRGEAL	S	LCAL	DVTIS	CHASAKV	T	LMFDH				
AtPMS1	(59)	D	S	SRDDLVL	GERYA	TFHD	TNVE	AS	TFGRGEAL	A	ISDI	LLEVR	KAIGRP	N	Y	KVMKG			
Consensus	(71)	G	GV	D	L	KH	TSK	DF	L	T	ETFGRGEAL	ASI	IS	L	I	T	G	R	M
		141		210															
humPMS2	(140)	NGKIIQKTPYP	P	R	ITTS	QQ	ISTL	TFH	EF	RNI	EYAKMVQVLHAYCIISAGI	-----							
AtPMS1	(129)	SKCLHLGIDDD	KDS	TTT	T	RD	YSQ	V	RYM	SSP	EVLESIKKCVFRIALVHSNVFSVLDIESD								
Consensus	(141)	I	R	GTTVSV	LF	S	PVR	K	Q	KK	I	L	II	A	I				
		211		280															
humPMS2	(199)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
AtPMS1	(199)	EELFQTNPSSSAFSLMRDAGTEAVNSLCKVNVTDGMLN	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Consensus	(211)																		
		281		350															
humPMS2	(231)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
AtPMS1	(269)	LCIACPRRLYE	SFEP	SKTHVE	KKWG	VLA	FI	RIT	ANWKKDRI	ELFD	GADILAK	DRQDLI	DKI						
Consensus	(281)		F	S	I	F	P	A	E	LA	L	G	G	D					
		351		420															
humPMS2	(290)	FFI	R	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
AtPMS1	(339)	RLO	GS	LFSILHFLDADWPEAME	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Consensus	(351)	N																	
		421		490															
humPMS2	(346)	QEEKLLLA	VLKTS	LIGMF	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
AtPMS1	(409)	KIQNPKEQGT	VAGFESRT	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Consensus	(421)																		
		491		560															
humPMS2	(416)	SISRLREAFS	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
AtPMS1	(479)	DFMKDS	VDLNFQFQGLKDEL	DVSN	CIGKHL	RGCS	SRVSL	TFHEPK	S	VEGYES	VV	MI	NEKQ	SPRV					
Consensus	(491)	K	D																
		561		630															
humPMS2	(450)	KRGMLSS	TS	GAI	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
AtPMS1	(549)	LETREGG	YCDVY	TPDC	SLGSSWQD	TDFWT	QCSS	GC	GIGEDFNI	PI	TAE	DSY	EKVGSKK						
Consensus	(561)	S	SDK	A	S	P	SDRA	V	T	IDS	F	D							
		631		700															
humPMS2	(518)	EYAASSP	DRGSQEHVD	Q	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
AtPMS1	(619)	YLSSVNV	SSVTGS	FCIS	S	WSPMYST	SATKWE	EYQK	GCRILEQSLRLGRM	D	EFCFSAANNIKFDH								
Consensus	(631)	AA	G	S	S	E	P	SD	D	K	LP	P	S						
		701		770															
humPMS2	(583)	ILSSS	I	QKL	VNTQDMSASQVDV	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
AtPMS1	(689)	VIPEM	C	ETG	DSFTA	IQNCTQL	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Consensus	(701)	EII	D	C	S	LA	KI	K	L	SI	K	QN	G	Q	KK				
		771		840															
humPMS2	(649)	AKICPGENQ	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
AtPMS1	(759)	SAPPFYREKKRFISL	SCKSDTKPKNSDPSEPDDLECLTQPCNASQMHLKCSILDDVSYDHIQETEKRLS																
Consensus	(771)	RA																	
		841		910															
humPMS2	(659)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
AtPMS1	(829)	SASDLKASAGCRTVHSETQDE	DVHEDFSSEEFLDPIKSTTKWRHNCAVSQVPKESHELHGQDGVFDISSG																
Consensus	(841)																		
		911		980															
humPMS2	(659)	-----	AA	DEL	RKE	SKTM	FAEME	IIG	FN	LG	ITKL	NEDIF	VDQHA	T	E	KYNF	M	QQHTV	LQGQRL
AtPMS1	(899)	LLHL	RS	SL	PES	NRHS	LEDA	VLQ	VDK	Y	PIVAC	GTVA	VDQHA	A	IR	IRL	E	RTK	FINDALLI
Consensus	(911)		A	E	L	I	K	D	II	Q	FI	I	VDQHA	DEK	E	L	I	A	I
		981		1050															
humPMS2	(724)	IAPQTLN	TAVNEAV	IENL	IF	KN	FDFV	DENAPVTERAK	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
AtPMS1	(969)	FVLTLKV	PEMGYQL	QSYS	QI	DW	WICN	TVEG	STSF	KKNMSIIQRKPT	PIT	NAV	CILGVNLS	SDV					
Consensus	(981)		L	M	LL	E	R	GF	I	A	S	K	L	ALP					
		1051		1120															
humPMS2	(782)	VD	LIF	M	S	SP	VMCR	SR	KQMF	AR	YKSV	I	TA	NT	FMKKL	THMGEMDHPWN	PHGR	PMR	
AtPMS1	(1039)	LL	FLQ	A	TD	SSTI	PS	LRVLN	K	CGAI	F	DS	LP	CSLI	DGLKQTS	SLCFQ	AHGR	PTV	
Consensus	(1051)	DL	E	I	L	ADS	G	P	V	M	SKACR	AIM	G	AL	SE	II	L	FNC	HGRPT
		1121		1164															
humPMS2	(852)	HIAN	G	VISQ	N	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
AtPMS1	(1109)	PLVD	K	ALH	KQIA	KL	SGRQ	VWHGLQRREITL	DRAKSRL	DN	AKS	-----							
Consensus	(1121)	I	L	I	N														

Figure 4. Alignment between human PMS2 (humPMS2) and Arabidopsis thaliana PMS2 homologue PMS1 (AtPMS1) amino acid sequences. Similarity is 24.4%; identity is 15%. Black boxes show identical residues.




Figure 5. Phylogenetic tree of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* *PMS2* gene homologues.

```

humPMS134      1                               70
(1)  ATG GGC TAC C GAGAGCT TAC A AGAAC TGG TTAGG C----- TCC AACCTA TGT TC GAGT
AtPMS134      (1)  ATG CAG TAC A TCTTCTC TCC C GACGA TAA TGGCT TCCTTTG TAA GACCTA TAA CAA A TCG
Consensus      (1)  ATG A GAG T      CG T C      CT CTA C      AT A ACCTAT A G AA

71                               140
humPMS134      (65) C G C T CAG T T C T T G G G G G AC GAGT T AAGCA T T G A A GGA T A T A A A A
AtPMS134      (71) T A T T CAG A C T T T C T T A A C A C T A G A C T C T T G T C T C A G G A C T T C T G A A
Consensus      (71) A T CA      AT TG TC GG CA GT T T      CT      C GC GT AAGGAG T GT GA AA

141                               210
humPMS134      (135) C A T G G T T T T T C A T A A T T C A G G T AAG A C T T A T G T C T A G A T T
AtPMS134      (141) T A G T T C C C C C C C C C C G A T A A G A T T C C C G A A C T T C A A C T A T T C G T C
Consensus      (141) A G T C T G A G C G G G C C A C A T A T G A T A A C T      G A C T A G G G A T T T A G T

211                               280
humPMS134      (205) T C A A C A A T G A C T G G G ----- G A A G A ----- G A A T T A A A G T A A A
AtPMS134      (211) A T T A C A A T G T T G T G C A T T C C C A A C C A T T T C A G T T G T G T C C A T T T C G A A C A A T T T T G
Consensus      (211) G A C A A T G G T G T G G T      A      A A G      A A C T C G A A G C T T

281                               350
humPMS134      (251) ----- T T G A A A C A C A A T T A G A T C A A G T G C C C A A C C G G T T G A A T T T
AtPMS134      (281) A T G T T C T T G A T T G A T C A T T T C T A A T A G A G T T C A A A T T T T G A T T T G A C T A C T T
Consensus      (281) C C T A A C A T C A A C T C T A A T A G A T T C G A C T T A T T G A A C T T

351                               420
humPMS134      (311) T G C T T C G G G A A G T C A G C T A A T T G G G C A A C G A G A C A T T C T C T -- C C C
AtPMS134      (350) A G G T T T A A A A A A A G C T G A G C T T T C G T G C A T G A A A C C A C A T G G G A A C A A A A A
Consensus      (351) T G G T T T G G G A A G C T G A G C T C T T G T G C A T G G A T T C A C T A C G C A

421                               444
humPMS134      (379) C A C G G G A G T T T G A A T ---
AtPMS134      (420) A A G A C C C -- T T T C T A G C T C
Consensus      (421) G A T G C A G T T G A C

```

Figure 6. Alignment between human PMS134 (humPMS134) and Arabidopsis thaliana homologue of PMS134 (AtPMS134) DNA sequences. Similarity is 53.2%; identity is 53.2%. Black boxes show identical nucleotides.

Figure 7. Alignment between human PMS134 (humPMS134) and *Arabidopsis thaliana* homologue of PMS134 (AtPMS134) amino acid sequences. Similarity is 65.1%; identity is 50.7%. Black boxes show identical residues.




Figure 8: Western blot analysis of bacteria expressing the human PMS134 dominant negative gene (Panel A, lane 2) or the *Arabidopsis thaliana* dominant negative gene (Panel B, lane 2). Panel A, lysates from bacteria were loaded onto SDS-PAGE gels and probed with an antibody against the human PMS2 N-terminus. Panel B, lysates from bacteria were loaded onto SDS-PAGE gels and probed with an antibody against the flag epitope placed on the C-terminus of the *Arabidopsis* PMS134 gene. Lane 1 is bacteria containing empty vector as negative control.


Dominant Negative Effects of *Arabidopsis thaliana* PMS2 homolog

Figure 9. Expression of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* PMS134 gene produces hypermutability in bacteria leading to the generation of new phenotypes. Briefly, bacteria containing the empty vector or the TAC ATPMS134 expression vector were grown and plated on kanamycin containing Lbagar plates. The host bacteria are susceptible to KAN bactericidal activity. Bacterial cultures expressing the hPMS134 gene resulted in genetic alteration of the bacterial host and the generation of clones that are KAN resistant.




Figure 10. Schematic map of the pCMV-hPMS134-Kan binary plant expression vector. Ag7 T. and NOS T. = gene 7 and Nopaline Synthase poly(A) signals, respectively. NOS Prom and CaMV Prom = Nopaline Synthase and Cauliflower Mosaic Virus promoters, respectively. L and R = left and right T-DNA border repeats, respectively. Arrows indicate direction of transcription.




Figure 11. Expression of hPMS134 in *Arabidopsis Thailana*. Message analysis for T1 plants shows steady state expression of dominant negative MMR genes in PMS134-Kan plants (A) while none is observed in control plants (B). Tubulin was used as an internal control to monitor sample loading and integrity.




Figure 13. The plant on the left is a wild type *A. thaliana* and the one on the right is MMR defective. Seeds from the MMR defective plant have been obtained and offspring have the same “double-meristem” trait.

