PCT WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 6 :		(11) International Publication Number:	WO 98/33288
H04J	A2	(43) International Publication Date:	30 July 1998 (30.07.98)

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US98/01058

(22) International Filing Date: 27 January 1998 (27.01.98)

(30) Priority Data:
790,497 29 January 1997 (29.01.97) US

(71) Applicant: QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [US/US]; 6455 Lusk Boulevard, San Diego, CA 92121 (US).

(72) Inventors: PADOVANI, Roberto; 13593 Penfield Point, San Diego, CA 92130 (US). QUICK, Roy, F.; 4502 Del Monte Avenue, San Diego, CA 92107 (US).

(74) Agents: OGROD, Gregory, D. et al.; Qualcomm Incorporated, 6455 Lusk Boulevard, San Diego, CA 92121 (US).

(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, GM, GW, HU, ID, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published
Without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report.

(54) Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PERFORMING SOFT HAND-OFF IN A WIRELESS COMMUNICATION SYSTEM



(57) Abstract

A method and apparatus for providing soft hand-off in a mobile communication system. In current systems, members of an active set of base stations (4, 4A, 4B, 4C) are determined by comparing measured pilot energy with fixed thresholds. The value of providing a redundant communication link to a mobile station (2) primarily depends on the energy of other signals being provided to the mobile station (2). In the present invention, the signal strength of each signal transmitted by other base stations (4, 4A, 4B, 4C) in communication with a mobile station (2) is considered when determining whether to add a base station to the set of base stations (4, 4A, 4B, 4C) in communication with the remote station. A base station is added only if the signal received from that base station provides sufficient added value to justify the impact on system capacity.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	**ES**	Spain	**LS**	Lesotho	**SI**	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	**FI**	Finland	**LT**	Lithuania	**SK**	Slovakia
AT	Austria	**FR**	France	**LU**	Luxembourg	**SN**	Senegal
AU	Australia	**GA**	Gabon	**LV**	Latvia	**SZ**	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	**GB**	United Kingdom	**MC**	Monaco	**TD**	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	**GE**	Georgia	**MD**	Republic of Moldova	**TG**	Togo
BB	Barbados	**GH**	Ghana	**MG**	Madagascar	**TJ**	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	**GN**	Guinea	**MK**	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	**TM**	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	**GR**	Greece			**TR**	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	**HU**	Hungary	**ML**	Mali	**TT**	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	**IE**	Ireland	**MN**	Mongolia	**UA**	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	**IL**	Israel	**MR**	Mauritania	**UG**	Uganda
BY	Belarus	**IS**	Iceland	**MW**	Malawi	**US**	United States of America
CA	Canada	**IT**	Italy	**MX**	Mexico	**UZ**	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	**JP**	Japan	**NE**	Niger	**VN**	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	**KE**	Kenya	**NL**	Netherlands	**YU**	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	**KG**	Kyrgyzstan	**NO**	Norway	**ZW**	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	**KP**	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	**NZ**	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon			**PL**	Poland		
CN	China	**KR**	Republic of Korea	**PT**	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	**KZ**	Kazakstan	**RO**	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	**LC**	Saint Lucia	**RU**	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	**LI**	Liechtenstein	**SD**	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	**LK**	Sri Lanka	**SE**	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	**LR**	Liberia	**SG**	Singapore		

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PERFORMING SOFT HAND-OFF IN A WIRELESS COMMUNICATION SYSTEM

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

I. Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to communication systems. More particularly, the present invention relates to a novel and improved method and system for performing hand-off in a wireless communication system.

II. Description of the Related Art

The use of code division multiple access (CDMA) modulation techniques is but one of several techniques for facilitating communications in which a large number of system users are present. Although other techniques, such as time division multiple access (TDMA), frequency division multiple access (FDMA) and AM modulation schemes such as amplitude companded single sideband (ACSSB) are known, CDMA has significant advantages over these other modulation techniques. The use of CDMA techniques in a multiple access communication system is disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 4,901,307, entitled "SPREAD SPECTRUM MULTIPLE ACCESS COMMUNICATION SYSTEM USING SATELLITE OR TERRESTRIAL REPEATERS" and U.S. Patent No. 5,103,459, entitled "SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR GENERATING SIGNAL WAVEFORMS IN A CDMA CELLULAR TELEPHONE SYSTEM", both of which are assigned to the assignee of the present invention and are incorporated by reference. The method for providing CDMA mobile communications was standardized by the Telecommunications Industry Association in TIA/EIA/IS-95-A entitled "Mobile Station-Base Station Compatibility Standard for Dual-Mode Wideband Spread Spectrum Cellular System".

In the just mentioned patents, a multiple access technique is disclosed in which a large number of mobile telephone users, each having a transceiver, communicate through satellite repeaters or terrestrial base stations (also known as cell base stations or cell-sites) using code division multiple access (CDMA) spread spectrum communication signals. In using CDMA communications, the frequency spectrum can be reused multiple times thus permitting an increase in system user capacity. The use of CDMA techniques results in much higher spectral efficiency than can be achieved using other multiple access techniques.

A method for simultaneously demodulating data that has traveled along different propagation paths from one base station and for simultaneously demodulating data redundantly provided from more than one base station is disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,109,390 (the '390 patent), entitled "DIVERSITY RECEIVER IN A CDMA CELLULAR COMMUNICATION SYSTEM", assigned to the assignee of the present invention and incorporated by reference herein. In the '390 patent, the separately demodulated signals are combined to provide an estimate of the transmitted data which has higher reliability than the data demodulated by any one path or from any one base station.

Handoffs can generally be divided into two categories- hard handoffs and soft handoffs. In a hard handoff, when a mobile station leaves and origination cell and enters a destination cell, the mobile station breaks its communication link with the origination cell and thereafter establishes a new communication link with the destination cell. In soft handoff, the mobile station completes a communication link with the destination cell prior to breaking its communication link with the origination cell. Thus, in soft handoff, the mobile station is redundantly in communication with both the origination cell and the destination cell for some period of time.

Soft handoffs are far less likely to drop calls than hard handoffs. In addition, when a mobile station travels near a cell boundary, it may make repeated handoff requests in response to small changes in the environment. This problem, referred to as ping-ponging, is also greatly lessened by soft handoff. The process for performing soft handoff is described in detail in U.S. Pat. No. 5,101,501, entitled "METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR PROVIDING A SOFT HANDOFF IN COMMUNICATIONS IN A CDMA CELLULAR TELEPHONE SYSTEM" assigned to the assignee of the present invention and incorporated by reference herein.

An improved soft handoff technique is disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 5,267,261, entitled "MOBILE STATION ASSISTED SOFT HANDOFF IN A CDMA CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM", which is assigned to the assignee of the present invention and incorporated by reference herein. In the system of the '261 patent, the soft handoff process is improved by measuring the strength of "pilot" signals transmitted by each base station within the system at the mobile station. These pilot strength measurements are of assistance in the soft handoff process by facilitating identification of viable base station handoff candidates.

The viable base station candidates can be divided into four sets. The first set, referred to as the Active Set, comprises base stations which are

currently in communication with the mobile station. The second set, referred to as the Candidate Set, comprises base stations which have been determined to be of sufficient strength to be of use to the mobile station. Base stations are added to the candidate set when their measured pilot energy exceeds a predetermined threshold T_{ADD}. The third set is the set of base stations which are in the vicinity of the mobile station (and which are not included in the Active Set or the Candidate Set). And the fourth set is the Remaining Set which consists of all other base stations.

In an IS-95-A communication system, the mobile station sends a Pilot Strength Measurement Message when it finds a pilot of sufficient strength that is not associated with any the of the Forward Traffic Channels currently being demodulated or when the strength of a pilot that is associated with one of the Forward Traffic Channels being demodulated drops below a threshold for a predetermined period of time. The mobile station sends a Pilot Strength Measurement Message following the detection of a change in the strength of a pilot under the following three conditions:

1. The strength of a Neighbor Set or Remaining Set pilot is found above the threshold T_{ADD}.
2. The strength of a Candidate Set pilot exceeds the strength of an Active Set pilot by more that a threshold (T_{COMP}).
3. The strength of a pilot in the Active Set of Candidate Set has fallen below a threshold (T_{DROP}) for greater than a predetermined time period.

The Pilot Strength Measurement Message identifies the base station and the measured pilot energy in decibels.

A negative aspect of soft handoff is that because it involves redundantly transmitting information it consumes the available communication resource. However, soft handoff can provide great improvement in the quality of communication. Therefore, there is a need felt in the art for a method of minimizing the number of base stations transmitting redundant data to a mobile station user which provides sufficient transmission quality.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is a novel and improved method and apparatus for providing soft handoff in a mobile communication system. It

should be noted at the outset, that one of the biggest problems with current systems is that the members of active set are determined in accordance with comparisons of measured pilot energy with fixed thresholds. However, the value of providing a redundant communication link to a mobile station depends strongly on the energy of other signals being provided to the mobile station. For example, the value of redundantly transmitting to a mobile station a signal with received energy of -15 dB will not be of much value, if the mobile station is already receiving a transmission with signal energy of -5dB. However, redundantly transmitting to a mobile station a signal of received energy of -15 dB may be of substantial value, if the mobile station is receiving transmissions with signal energy of only -13dB.

In a first embodiment of the present invention, the mobile station under the conditions discussed above transmits a Pilot Strength Measurement Message, which identifies each base station in the active and candidate sets and their corresponding measured pilot energy. The Pilot Strength Measurement Message is received by the base stations in communication with the mobile station. The base stations provide this information to a central control center, referred to as the base station controller.

At the base station controller, the active set is determined in accordance with the combined strength of other pilots in the active set. The base station controller sorts the pilots of the Pilot Strength Measurement Message according to their pilot strength measured at the mobile station. Thus, after sorting the list of base stations consists of P_1, P_2...P_N, where P_1 is the strongest pilot signal and P_N is the weakest. An iterative process is then undertaken to determine which of pilots P_1, P_2...P_N should be part of the revised active set.

Initially, the revised active set comprises only the strongest pilots P_1 and P_2. When determining whether a pilot P_i should be made part of the active set, a COMBINED_PILOT value is computed. The COMBINED_PILOT value consists of the sum of the energies of the pilots currently in the revised active set (P_1, P_2...P_{i-1}). A threshold is then generated in accordance with the COMBINED_PILOT. In the exemplary, embodiment the threshold is generated by performing a linear operation on the value of COMBINED_PILOT. If the pilot energy value, P_i, exceeds the threshold, it is added to the revised active set and the process is repeated for the next pilot P_{i+1}. If the pilot energy value, P_i, does not exceed the threshold, the revised active set comprises P_1, P_2...P_{i-1}. The revised active list is transmitted to the

mobile station and the base station controller then sets up communications with the mobile station in accordance with the revised active set.

In an alternative embodiment, the revised active set is generated in the mobile station. The mobile station continuously measures received pilot strengths of base stations. In determining whether to send a message indicating that a pilot from the candidate set should be moved to the active set, the measured pilot energy of a pilot in the candidate set is compared against a threshold generated in accordance with the COMBINED_PILOT as described above. If the strongest pilot in the candidate set satisfies the rule, then a message containing all active and candidate set pilots will be sent.

Following the iterative process performed on the members of the candidate set, a second iterative process is performed to determine whether a pilot should be deleted from the revised active set. In this operation, pilots are tested from the weakest member of revised active set to the strongest. A COMBINED_PILOT energy value is computed that is the sum of the energies of all pilots belonging to the active set. A threshold value is generated in accordance with the COMBINED_PILOT value as described above and the pilot signal being tested is compared with the threshold. If a pilot has been below the threshold value for a predetermined period of time, a message would be sent to the base station indicating that such a pilot should be dropped.

The revised active list is transmitted to the base station controller through the base stations with which the mobile station is in communication. The base station sets up the communication links with the base stations in the mobile generated revised active list and transmits an acknowledgment to the mobile station when the links are set up. The mobile station then conduct communications through the base stations of the revised active set.

In the preferred embodiment, the mobile station monitors the pilot signals and in response to the monitored pilot signals the mobile station compiles members of the candidate set. Moreover, mobile station determines whether a change to the current active set is desirable in view of the criteria discussed above. Upon detecting any change in the desired membership of the active set, the mobile station generates a pilot strength measurement message that as described above includes the identities of all pilots in the candidate and active sets corresponding measured energy values and a corresponding indication whether the pilot should remain in the sets or be dropped into the neighbor set (which is indicated by setting of the KEEP variable described earlier). In the exemplary embodiment, the base

station determines the members of the revised active set in accordance with the method described with respect to FIG. 5.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The features, objects, and advantages of the present invention will become more apparent from the detailed description set forth below when taken in conjunction with the drawings in which like reference characters identify correspondingly throughout and wherein:

FIG. 1 is an illustration of a cellular communication network;

FIG. 2 is an illustration of the cellular communication network of FIG. which includes the base station controller;

FIG. 3 is a block diagram of the mobile station of the present invention;

FIG. 4 is a block diagram of the base station of the present invention;

FIG. 5 is a flow diagram of the method for generating the revised active set in the base station controller;

FIG. 6 is a flow diagram of the method for generating the revised active set in the mobile station;

FIG. 7 is flow diagram illustrating the preferred method of generating the candidate set in the mobile station; and

FIG. 8 is a flow diagram illustrating the preferred method of the present invention wherein a change in the preferred members of active set is detected and a pilot strength measurement message is transmitted to the base station in response to the detected changed.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

FIG. 1 illustrates wireless communication network in which the geographical area has been divided up into coverage areas referred to as cells and illustrated by a set of adjacent hexagons. Each cell is served by a corresponding base station **4**. Each base station transmits a pilot signal which uniquely identifies that base station. In the exemplary embodiment, the base stations **4** are CDMA base stations. A detail description of soft hand-off in a wireless CDMA communication system is described in detail in the aforementioned U.S. Patent Nos. 5,101,501 and 5,267,261.

Mobile station **2** is located within the cell served by base station **4A**. Since mobile station **2** is located near the cell boundary, it will likely be in a

soft hand-off condition, in which it is simultaneously in communication with more than one base station. It may, for example be in communication with base stations **4A** and **4B**. Thus, base stations **4A** and **4B** are said to make up the active set. Moreover, it may be that mobile station **2** has determined other base stations in its vicinity to have a measured pilot energy above a predetermined threshold T_{ADD}, but that those base stations are not currently in communication with the mobile station. Those pilots are said to make up the candidate set. The candidate set could be made up of base stations **4C** and **4G**.

Referring to FIG. 2, a typical communication network is illustrated. data directed mobile station **2** is provided from a public switched telephone network or other wireless system (not shown) to base station controller **6**. Base station controller **6** provides the data to the base stations in mobile station **2**'s active list. In the example, base station controller **6** redundantly provides data to and receives data from base stations **4A** and **4B**

The present invention is equally applicable to conditions where each cell is divided into sectors. Communications to and from each sector can be separately received and demodulated by mobile station **2**. For simplicity, the discussion will be described wherein in each base of base station **4** are uniquely located base stations. However, it will be readily seen by one skilled in the art that the present invention is equally applicable to sectored cells, simply by considering the possibility that the base stations can be collocated and transmitting to separate sectors within a cell. The condition where a mobile station is in simultaneous communication with more than one sector of a cell is referred to as softer handoff. The method and apparatus for performing softer hand-off are described in detail in copending U.S. Patent Application No. 08/144,903, entitled "METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PERFORMING HANDOFF BETWEEN SECTORS OF A COMMON BASE STATION", filed October 30, 1993, which is assigned to the assignee of the present invention and incorporated by reference herein.

Within mobile station **2**, each copy of the data packet is separately received, demodulated and decoded. The decoded data is then combined to give a estimate of the data of greater reliability than any one of demodulated estimates of the data.

FIG. 3 illustrates mobile station **2** of the present invention. Mobile station **2** continuously or at intermittent intervals measures the strength of pilot signals of base stations **4**. Signals received by antenna **50** of mobile station **2** are provided through duplexer **52** to receiver (RCVR) **54** which

amplifies down converts and filters the received signal and provides it to pilot demodulator **58** of searcher subsystem **55**.

In addition, the received signal is provided to traffic demodulators **64A-64N**. Traffic demodulators **64A-64N**, or a subset thereof, separately demodulate signals received by to mobile station **2**. The demodulated signals from traffic demodulators **64A-64N** are provided to combiner **66** which combines the demodulated data, which in turn provides an improved estimate of the transmitted data.

Mobile station **2** measures the strength of pilot channels. Control processor **62** provides acquisition parameters to search processor **56**. In the exemplary embodiment of a CDMA communication system, control processor **62** provides a PN offset to search processor **56**. Search processor **56** generates a PN sequence which is used by pilot demodulator **58** to demodulate the received signal. The demodulated pilot signal is provided to energy accumulator **60** which measures the energy of the demodulated pilot signal, by accumulating the energy for predetermined lengths of time.

The measured pilot energy values are provided to control processor **62**. In the exemplary embodiment, control processor **62** compares the energy values to thresholds T_{ADD} and T_{DROP}. T_{ADD} is threshold above which the received signal is of sufficient strength to effectively provide communications with mobile station **2**. T_{DROP} is a threshold value below which the received signal energy is insufficient to effectively provide communications with mobile station **2**.

Mobile station **2** transmits a Pilot Strength Measurement Message which includes all pilots with energy greater than T_{ADD} and all members of the current active set who's measured pilot energy has not fallen below T_{DROP} for more than a predetermined time period. In the exemplary embodiment, mobile station **2** generates and transmits a Pilot Strength Measurement Message following the detection of a change in the strength of a pilot under the following three conditions:

1. The strength of a neighbor Set or Remaining Set pilot is found above the threshold T_{ADD}.
2. The strength of a Candidate Set pilot exceeds the strength of an Active Set pilot by more that a threshold (T_{COMP}).
3. The strength of a pilot in the Active Set has fallen below a threshold (T_{DROP}) for greater than a predetermined time period.

In the exemplary embodiment, the Pilot Strength Measurement Message identifies the pilot and provides a corresponding measured pilot energy. In the exemplary embodiment, the base stations in the Pilot Strength Measurement Message are identified by their pilot offsets and their corresponding measured pilot energy is provided in units of decibels.

Control processor **62** provides the identities of the pilots and their corresponding measured pilot energies to message generator **70**. Message generator **70** generates a Pilot Strength Measurement Message containing the information. The Pilot Strength Measurement Message is provided to transmitter (TMTR) **68**, which encodes, modulates, upconverts and amplifies the message. The message is then transmitted through duplexer **52** and antenna **50**.

Referring to FIG. 4, the Pilot Strength Measurement Message is received by antenna **30** of base station **4** and provided to receiver (RCVR) **28**, which amplifies, down converts, demodulates and decodes the received signal and provides the message to base station controller (BSC) interface **26.** Base station controller (BSC) interface **26** sends the message to base station controller (BSC) **6**. The message is provided to selector **22**, which may also receive the message redundantly from other base stations which are in communication with mobile station **2**. Selector **22** combines message estimates received from the base stations in communication with mobile station **2** to provide an improved packet estimates.

Selector **22** provides the power strength measurement message to hand-off control processor **20**. In the first exemplary embodiment, hand-off control processor **20** selects the base stations which will communicate with mobile station **2**, that is the members of the revised active set, in accordance with the method provided in FIG. 5.

In block **100**, hand-off control processor **20** sorts pilots in the Pilot Strength Measurement Message according to their strengths. So, for example, P_1 would be the strongest received pilot, P_2 would be the second strongest pilot and so on. In block **102**, the revised active set (ACTIVE_SET) is set to include P_1 and P_2. In block **104**, the variable COMBINED_PILOT is set to the sum of the energies of P_1 and P_2. In block **106**, the loop variable i is set to 3.

In block **108**, the energy of the pilot signal of the ith strongest received signal (P_i) is compared against a threshold value to determine whether it should be added to the revised active set. In the exemplary embodiment, the threshold (T) is determined in accordance with equation (1) below:

$$T=SOFT_SLOPE*COMBINED_PILOT+SOFT_INTERCEPT \quad (1)$$

In the exemplary embodiment, SOFT_SLOPE is set to 2.25 and SOFT_INTERCEPT is set to 3.0. The values of SOFT_SLOPE and SOFT_INTERCEPT can be parameters that are sent over the air to the mobile station or selected values could be programmed into the mobile station. The values of SOFT_SLOPE and SOFT_INTERCEPT can be determined in accordance with factors such as the amount of soft handoff which is acceptable to a network manager and empirical studies on the quality of transmission links. If the energy value P_i is less than the threshold value, then the flow proceeds to block **110** and the revised active set includes the signals corresponding to the pilots $\{P_1 \ldots P_{i-1}\}$.

If the energy value P_i is greater than the threshold value in block **108**, then the flow proceeds to block **112**. In block **112**, a new COMBINED_PILOT is computed by summing the value of the energy of the ith strongest signal in the pilot strength measurement message (P_i) with the current value of COMBINED_PILOT. Because in the exemplary embodiment, the energy of the pilot signals is provided in decibels, the energies must be converted to linear representations before being summed and put back into decibel form. In block **114**, P_i is added to the revised active set.

In block **116**, the loop variable (i) is incremented. In block **118**, hand off control processor **20** checks to determine whether all base stations in the pilot strength measurement message have been tested. If there are no remaining pilots to test, then the flow proceeds to block **120** and the revised active set comprises all the base stations in the pilot strength measurement message. If, in block **118**, there are base stations in the pilot strength measurement message which remain to be tested, the flow returns to block **108** and proceeds as described above.

After generating the revised active set, base station controller **6** determines whether the base stations in the revised active list can accommodate communications with mobile station **2**. If any of the base stations in the revised active set cannot accommodate communications with mobile station **2**, they are removed from the revised active set. After generating the revised active set, hand-off control processor **20** provides the information to selector **22** indicating the members in the revised active set. In response to the revised active set provided by hand-off control processor **20**, selector **22** allocates traffic channels for performing communications to the mobile station using the base stations in the revised active set.

Hand-off control processor **20** provides a message indicating the revised active set to message generator **24**. Message generator **24** generates a message for transmission to mobile station **2**, referred to as the handoff direction message. The handoff direction message indicates the base stations in the revised active set and corresponding channels those base stations will use to communicate with mobile station **2**. The message is provided through selector **22** and provided to the base stations which were in communication with mobile station **2** prior to the generation of the revised active set. The base stations in communication with mobile station **2** transmit the handoff direction message to mobile station **2**.

Referring back to FIG. 3, the handoff direction message is received by antenna **50** of mobile station **2**. It is provided to receiver **54**, which amplifies, downconverts, demodulates and decodes the message and provides it to control processor **62**. Control processor **62**, then, configures the traffic channel demodulators **64A-64N** to demodulate traffic channels in accordance with the revised active set specified in the handoff direction message.

In an alternative embodiment of the present invention, the revised active set is generated at mobile station **2**. This alternative embodiment, provides more timely generation of the revised active set. Because the Pilot Strength Measurement Message is only transmitted under the three conditions described above, update of the active set may be undesirably delayed. However, the alternative embodiment results in transmission of the pilot strength measurement message in a more timely fashion.

In the alternative embodiment, mobile station **2** measures received pilot energy as described above. The pilot energy values are provided to control process **62**. In response, control processor **62** generates a revised active set. If the revised active set differs from the current active set, mobile station **2** transmits a message indicating the members of the revised active set to base station controller **6** through base stations **4**. Base station controller **6** sets up communications with mobile station **2**. Mobile station **2** reconfigures traffic channel demodulators **64A-64N** to demodulate received signals in accordance with the mobile generated revised active set.

In the exemplary embodiment, control processor **62** in mobile station **2** generates the revised active set in accordance with the method shown in FIG. 6. In block **200**, pilots with measured energy in excess of threshold T_{ADD} are added to the candidate list and pilots who's measured energy has fallen below T_{DROP} for more that a predetermined time period are removed from the candidate list. In the exemplary embodiment, the time a pilot is below

T_{DROP} is tracked by a timer within control processor **62** referred to herein as the T_{DROP} timer.

In block **202**, the pilots in the candidate list are sorted from strongest to weakest. Thus, P_{C1} is stronger than P_{C2}, and so on. In block **204**, the variable COMBINED_PILOT is set equal to the energy of all pilots in the active set. Also, in block **204**, loop variable (i) is initialized to the value 1. In block **206**, the candidate set member P_{Ci} is tested to determine whether it should be made part of the revised active set. P_{Ci} is compared against a threshold generated in accordance with the current value of COMBINED_PILOT. In the exemplary embodiment, the threshold (T) is generated in accordance with equation (1) above.

If the pilot energy of P_{Ci} exceeds threshold T, then the flow moves to block **208**. In block **208**, pilot P_{Ci} is added to the revised active set. In block **210**, a new value of COMBINED_PILOT is computed which is equal to the old value of COMBINED_PILOT plus the energy of pilot P_{Ci}. In block **212**, the loop variable (i) is incremented.

In block **213**, it is determined whether all pilots in the candidate set have been tested. If all pilots in the candidate set have not been tested, then the flow moves to block **200** and proceeds as described above. If all pilots in the candidate set have been tested or if, back in block **206**, the pilot energy of P_{Ci} did not exceed threshold T, then the flow moves to block **214**. In block **214**, the revised active set is sorted from lowest energy to highest energy. Thus, P_{A1} has the minimum measured energy in the revised active set, P_{A2} has the second lowest and so on up to the last member of the revised active set P_{AN}.

In block **216**, it is determined whether P_{A1} is a member of the candidate set. If P_{A1} is a member of the candidate set then the flow moves to block **34** and the revision of the active set is complete. In block **218**, loop variable i is set to 1. In block **220**, COMBINED PILOT for testing P_{Ai} is computed. The value of COMBINED_PILOT is set equal to the sum of the measured energy of all pilots having energy greater than the pilot currently being tested. Thus, COMBINED_PILOT is determined by the equation:

$$\text{COMBINED_PILOT} = \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} P_{Aj} \qquad (2)$$

In block **222**, the current pilot being tested is compared against a threshold (T) determined in accordance with the computed value of COMBINED_PILOT. In the exemplary embodiment, threshold T is

determined in accordance with equation (1) above. If the measured pilot energy P_{Ai} exceeds threshold T, then the flow moves to block **224** and the drop timers for pilots P_{Ai} to P_{AN} are reset to zero and determination of the revised active set ends in block **234**.

If the measured pilot energy P_{Ai} does not exceed threshold T, then the flow moves to block **226**. In block **226**, it is determined whether the T_{DROP} timer for P_{Ai} has expired. If the T_{DROP} timer has expired, then, in block **228**, the pilot P_{Ai} is removed from the revised active set and put in the candidate set and the flow proceeds to block **230**. If in block **226**, it is determined that the T_{DROP} timer for P_{Ai} has not expired, then the flow proceeds directly to block **230**. In block **230**, the loop variable (i) is incremented. Then, in block **232**, it is determined whether all the pilots in the revised active set P_{Ai} have been tested. If all the pilots in the revised active set have been tested, then the flow proceeds to block **234** and generation of the revised active set is complete. If all the pilots in the revised active set have not been tested, then the flow proceeds to block **220** and proceeds as described above.

Referring now to FIGS. 7 and 8, a preferred method for implementing the present invention is illustrated. In the preferred embodiment, the mobile station monitors the pilot signals and in response to the monitored pilot signals the mobile station compiles members of the candidate set. Moreover, mobile station determines whether a change to the current active set is desirable in view of the criteria discussed above. Upon detecting any change in the desired membership of the active set, the mobile station generates a pilot strength measurement message that as described above includes the identities of all pilots in the candidate and active sets corresponding measured energy values and a corresponding indication whether the pilot should remain in the sets or be dropped into the neighbor set (which is indicated by setting of the KEEP variable described earlier). In the exemplary embodiment, the base station determines the members of the revised active set in accordance with the method described with respect to FIG. 5.

The preferred embodiment provides for timely modification to the members of the active set and provides for determination of the members of the revised active set at the base station, which reduces computations at the mobile station and allows for the selection process to include capacity constraints of the base stations. Capacity constraints of the base stations can be taken into account by the base station controller simply by removing or weighting pilot signals which are transmitted by base stations under high capacity load conditions.

FIG. 7 is a flowchart illustrating the method for updating the candidate set, which in the exemplary embodiment is performed within the mobile station. In block **300**, the loop variable (i) is initialized to the value 1. In block **302**, the pilots of the neighbor set (P_N) are sorted such that $P_{N1} > P_{N2} > P_{N3}$, and so on. In block **306**, the neighbor set pilot currently being tested (P_{Ni}) is compared with the threshold T_{ADD}. If the pilot signal energy (P_{Ni}) does not exceed the threshold, then, in block **310**, the flow proceeds directly to block **312**. If the pilot signal energy (P_{Ni}) exceeds the threshold then in block **310** the pilot signal is added to the candidate set and the flow proceeds to block **308**. If the pilot signal energy (P_{Ni}) does not exceed the threshold, then, in block **310**, the flow proceeds directly to block **312**.

In block **308**, the index number of the neighbor set pilot being tested is incremented. Then, in block **304**, it is determined whether all members of the neighbor set have been tested. If all members of the neighbor set have not been tested, then the flow moves to block **306** and proceeds as described before. If all members of the neighbor set have been tested, then the flow moves to block **312**.

In block **312**, the index variable (i) is reset to 1. Then, in block 314, the pilots in the candidate set (P_C) are sorted from weakest to strongest, such that $P_{C1} < P_{C2} < P_{C3}$, and so on. In block **318**, the energy of the candidate list being tested (P_{Ci}) is compared to the drop threshold T_{DROP}. If the energy is below the drop threshold, then the flow proceeds to block **324**. If the energy is above the drop threshold, then the flow proceeds to block **320**. Since the list of pilots is sorted, all the remaining members to be tested are necessarily greater than T_{DROP}. So, in block **320**, the T_{DROP} timers for P_{Ci} and all pilots stronger than (P_{Ci}) are reset and the update of the candidate set is complete.

As described above the T_{DROP} timer is a timer that keeps track of the time that a pilot has been below the drop threshold. The purpose of the T_{DROP} timer is to avoid mistakenly dropping a strong pilot which may have a weak measured energy due to short duration change in the propagation environment, such as a fast fade. In block **324**, the T_{DROP} timer is started if the timer for P_{Ci} is not already running or advanced if it is.

In block **326**, a test made to determined whether the T_{DROP} timer for the pilot (P_{Ci}) has expired. If the timer has expired, then the flow moves to block **328** and the pilot (P_{Ci}) is removed from the candidate set. Then the flow moves to block **322**. Also, if the timer had not expired in block **326**, the flow moves directly to block **322**. In block **322**, the candidate set index variable (i) is incremented. Then, in block, **316**, it is determined whether all pilots in the candidate set have been tested. If all members of the candidate

set have been tested the candidate set update is complete. If less than all members of the candidate set have been tested, the flow moves to block **314** and proceeds as described above.

In the preferred embodiment, the selection of the candidate set members is performed in the mobile station. This is because selection of the candidate set, typically, does not require knowledge of capacity constraints of the base stations in the network. However, in an alternative embodiment, the method for dropping candidate set members to the neighbor set may be performed in the base station controller. Moreover, addition of members to the candidate set could be performed in the base station controller provided the base station controller has knowledge of or is provided with knowledge of the members of the mobile station's neighbor set.

FIG. 8 illustrates the method for detecting the need to revise the active set, which in the preferred embodiment is performed in the mobile station. In block **400**, the strongest pilot in the candidate set (P'_{C1}) is selected. Note the prime is to differentiate the pilot from P_{C1} referred to in FIG. 7 which represented the weakest candidate set pilot. In block **402**, the energy of (P_{C1}) is compared to a threshold (T) which is based on the cumulative energy of the pilots in the active set, as shown in Equation 3 below.

$$T = f(\Sigma P_{Ai}) = SOFT_SLOPE^* \Sigma P_{Ai} + SOFT_ADD_INTERCEPT \quad (3)$$

If (P'_{C1}) exceeds the threshold (T), then the mobile station transmits the pilot strength measurement message to the base station, in block **404**.

If (P'_{C1}) does not exceed the threshold (T), then the flow proceeds to block **406**. In block **406**, the active set is sorted from weakest pilot to strongest pilot. In block **408**, the active set index variable (i) is set to 1. Then in block **410**, the active set pilot (P_{ai}), which is being tested to determine whether it should remain in the active set, is tested against a threshold (T) generated in accordance with a sum of energies of all stronger pilots as shown in equation (4) below:

$$T = f(\sum_{J>i} P_{Aj}) = SOFT_SLOPE^* \sum_{J>i} P_{Aj} + SOFT_DROP_INTERCEPT \quad (4)$$

If the pilot being tested (P_{Ai}) exceeds the threshold (T), then it and all pilots of strength greater than it should remain in the active set. Thus, in block **412** the T_{DROP} timers for all pilots with strength greater than P_{Ai} are reset and the current search for a revision of the active set is complete, with no need for

revision detected by the mobile station. In the preferred embodiment, the intercept value (SOFT_ADD_INTERCEPT) used to generate the add threshold is permitted to be of a value different from the intercept value SOFT_DROP_INTERCEPT used to generate the drop threshold. This provides for greater flexibility and allows the network to introduce additional hysterisis into the signal levels.

If the pilot (P_{Ai}) is less than the threshold (T), then the flow proceeds to block **422**. In block **422**, the T_{DROP} timer for pilot (P_{Ai}) is started if not running and advanced if already running. In block **424**, whether the T_{DROP} timer for pilot (P_{Ai}) has expired is tested. If the T_{DROP} timer has expired, then the mobile station transmits a pilot strength measurement message to the base station in block **430**. If the T_{DROP} timer has not expired, then the flow moves to block **426** where the active set pilot index (i) is advanced. Then, the flow moves to block **420**, where it is determined whether all active set members have been tested. If all active set members have been tested, then the search ceases with no need to revise the active set detected. If less than all of the members of the active set have been tested, the flow moves to block **410** and proceeds as described previously.

The previous description of the preferred embodiments is provided to enable any person skilled in the art to make or use the present invention. The various modifications to these embodiments will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art, and the generic principles defined herein may be applied to other embodiments without the use of the inventive faculty. Thus, the present invention is not intended to be limited to the embodiments shown herein but is to be accorded the widest scope consistent with the principles and novel features disclosed herein.

WE CLAIM:

CLAIMS

1. A method for selecting base stations to communicate with a remote station comprising:

computing a threshold value in accordance with a combination of signal energies from base stations capable of communicating with said remote station;

comparing a signal energy of a first base station with said threshold; and

selecting said first base station when said signal energy of said first base station exceeds said threshold.

2. The method of Claim 1 wherein said signal energy of said first base station is the energy of a first base station pilot signal measured at said remote station.

3. The method of Claim 1 wherein said combination of signal energies from base stations capable of communicating with said remote station comprises the sum of pilot energy values of pilot with greater received energy than said first base station.

4. The method of Claim 1 wherein said step of computing a threshold value comprises performing a linear operation upon said combination of signal energies from base stations capable of communicating with said remote station.

5. The method of Claim 3 wherein said step of computing a threshold value comprises performing a linear operation upon said combination of signal energies from base stations capable of communicating with said remote station.

6. The method of Claim 4 wherein said linear operation comprises:

multiplying said combination of signal energies from base stations capable of communicating with said remote station by a first variable; and

summing a second variable with the product of said multiplication.

7. The method of Claim 6 wherein said first variable has a value of 2.25.

8. The method of Claim 6 wherein said second variable has a value of 3.0.

9. The method of Claim 1 further comprising measuring at said remote station the strength of pilot signals transmitted by a predetermined set of base stations to provide said signal energies from base stations capable of communicating with said mobile station.

10. The method of Claim 9 further comprising the step of transmitting a message indicative of said measured pilot signals from said remote station.

11. The method of Claim 1 further comprising the step of removing said first base station form a set of base stations in communication with said remote station when said signal energy of said first base station is below said threshold.



FIG. 1


2
4A
BASE STATION
4B
BASE STATION
4C
BASE STATION
4F
BASE STATION
4G
BASE STATION
4S
BASE STATION
6
BASE STATION CONTROLLER
DATA TO/FROM PSTN

FIG. 2


2
50
52
D
54
RECEIVER
55
56
SEARCH PROCESSOR
58
PILOT DEMODULATOR
60
Σ
62
CONTROL PROCESSOR
64A
TRAFFIC CHANNEL DEMODULATOR
64B
TRAFFIC CHANNEL DEMODULATOR
64N
TRAFFIC CHANNEL DEMODULATOR
66
COMBINER
FORWARD LINK DATA TO USER
68
TRANSMITTER
70
MESSAGE GENERATOR

FIG. 3


6
4
20
HANDOFF CONTROL PROCESSOR
22
SELECTOR
26
BSC INTERFACE
28
RECEIVER
30
34
32
TRANSMITTER
24
MESSAGE GENERATOR
FORWARD LINK DATA
FORWARD LINK DATA TO OTHER BASE STATIONS
REVERSE LINK DATA
REVERSE LINK DATA FROM OTHER BASE STATIONS

FIG. 4


A
208
ACTIVE SET = ACTIVE SET + Pci
210
COMPUTE NEW COMBINED_PILOT
212
INCREMENT i
213
CANDIDATE SET EXHAUSTED ?
NO
B
YES
C

FIG. 6B


100
SORT PILOTS (P_1 ••• P_N)
102
ACTIVE_SET = {P_1, P_2}
104
COMBINED_PILOT = P_1 + P_2
106
INITIALIZE LOOP VARIABLE i = 3
108
P_i > SOFT_m * COMBINED_PILOT + SOFT_b ?
NO
110
ACTIVE_SET = {P_1 ••• P_{i-1}}
YES
112
COMBINED_PILOT = 10 X LOG_{10} ($B^{COMBINED_PILOT}$ + $10^{P_i/10}$)
114
ACTIVE_SET = ACTIVE_SET + P_i
116
INCREMENT i
118
ANY REMAINING PILOTS P_i?
YES
NO
120
ACTIVE_SET = {P_1 ••• P_{i-1}}

FIG. 5


200
ADD AND REMOVE PILOTS FROM CANDIDATE SET
202
SORT CANDIDATE SET {Pc1,Pc2•••PcN}
204
INITIALIZE COMBINED_PILOT AND i = 1
B
206
Pci > SOFT_SLOP * COMBINED_PILOT + SOFT_INTERCEPT ?
YES
A
C
NO
214
SORT ACTIVE SET {PA1;PA2•••PAN}
216
IS PAd < CANDIDATE SET ?
YES
NO
218
i = 1
220
COMPUTE COMBINED_PILOT
222
PAi > SOFT_SLOPE * COMBINED_PILOT + SOFT_INTERCEPT ?
YES
224
SET TDROP TIMERS TO 0
NO
228
PAi = CANADATE SET
226
TDROP TIMER EXPIRED ?
YES
NO
230
INCREMENT i
232
ALL PAi TESTED?
NO
YES
STOP
234

FIG. 6A


300
i = 1
302
SORT NEIGHBOR SET
PN1 > PN2 > •••
306
PNi > T_ADD
?
NO
YES
310
ADD PNi TO
CANDIDATE SET
308
INCREMENT i
304
NO
IS NEIGHBOR SET
EXHAUSTED
?
YES
CANDIDATE LIST
UPDATED
312
i = 1
YES
316
IS
CANDIDATE SET
EXHAUSTED
?
NO
314
SORT CANDIDATE
SET Pc1 < Pc2 < Pc3
318
Pci < T_DROP
?
NO
320
RESET TDROP TIMERS
FOR Pcj, j ≥ i
YES
310
START OR ADVANCE
T_DROP TIMER
CANDIDATE LIST
UPDATED
322
INCREMENT
i
326
NO
T_DROP TIMER
EXPIRED
?
328
REMOVE Pci FROM
CANDIDATE SET
YES

FIG. 7


400
SELECT STRONGEST CADIDATE PILOT Pci
402
Pc1> SOFT_SLOPE * Σ PA + SOFT_INTERCEPT ?
YES
404
SEND PSMM
NO
406
SORT ACTIVE SET PA1 < PA2 < PA3
408
i = 1
410
PAi < SOFT_SLOPE * Σ PAj + SOFT_INTERCEPT ?
j≥i
NO
412
RESET T_DROP TIMERS
SEARCH COMPLETE
YES
422
START OR ADVANCE T_DROP TIMER
424
T_DROP TIMER EXPIRED ?
NO
426
INCREMENT i
420
ACTIVE SET EXHAUSTED ?
NO
YES
SEARCH COMPLETE
YES
430
SEND PSMM WITH KEEP = 0 FOR PAi

FIG. 8