



(12) **DEMANDE DE BREVET CANADIEN**
CANADIAN PATENT APPLICATION
(13) **A1**

(86) Date de dépôt PCT/PCT Filing Date: 2017/01/09
(87) Date publication PCT/PCT Publication Date: 2017/07/13
(85) Entrée phase nationale/National Entry: 2018/07/09
(86) N° demande PCT/PCT Application No.: GB 2017/050037
(87) N° publication PCT/PCT Publication No.: 2017/118865
(30) Priorités/Priorities: 2016/01/08 (GB1600382.4);
2016/01/08 (GB1600381.6); 2016/01/08 (GB1600380.8)

(51) Cl.Int./Int.Cl. *C12N 7/00* (2006.01)
(71) Demandeur/Applicant:
REPLIMUNE LIMITED, GB
(72) Inventeur/Inventor:
COFFIN, ROBERT, GB
(74) Agent: NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT CANADA
LLP/S.E.N.C.R.L., S.R.L.

(54) Titre : SOUCHE DE VIRUS ONCOLYTIQUE
(54) Title: ONCOLYTIC VIRUS STRAIN

(57) **Abrégé/Abstract:**

The present invention relates to an oncolytic virus which is, or is derived from, a clinical isolate which has been selected by comparing the abilities of a panel of three or more clinical isolates of the same viral species to kill tumor cells of two or more tumor cell lines in vitro and selecting a clinical isolate which is capable of killing cells of two or more tumor cell lines more rapidly and/or at a lower dose in vitro than one or more of the other clinical isolates in the panel.

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
13 July 2017 (13.07.2017)

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2017/118865 A1

- (51) International Patent Classification:
C12N 7/00 (2006.01)
- (21) International Application Number:
PCT/GB2017/050037
- (22) International Filing Date:
9 January 2017 (09.01.2017)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:
1600380.8 8 January 2016 (08.01.2016) GB
1600381.6 8 January 2016 (08.01.2016) GB
1600382.4 8 January 2016 (08.01.2016) GB
- (71) Applicant: **REPLIMUNE LIMITED** [GB/GB]; 69 Innovation Centre, Milton Park, Oxford Oxfordshire OX14 4RQ (GB).
- (72) Inventor: **COFFIN, Robert**; c/o Replimune Limited, 69 Innovation Drive, Milton Park, Abingdon Oxfordshire OX14 4RQ (GB).
- (74) Agent: **TUXWORTH, Pamela Mary**; J A Kemp & Co, 14 South Square, Gray's Inn, London Greater London WC1R 5JJ (GB).
- (81) Designated States (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available*): AE, AG, AL, AM,

AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DJ, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KH, KN, KP, KR, KW, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available*): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

- Published:**
- *with international search report (Art. 21(3))*
 - *with (an) indication(s) in relation to deposited biological material furnished under Rule 13bis separately from the description (Rules 13bis.4(d)(i) and 48.2(a)(viii))*
 - *with sequence listing part of description (Rule 5.2(a))*

(54) Title: ONCOLYTIC VIRUS STRAIN

(57) Abstract: The present invention relates to an oncolytic virus which is, or is derived from, a clinical isolate which has been selected by comparing the abilities of a panel of three or more clinical isolates of the same viral species to kill tumor cells of two or more tumor cell lines *in vitro* and selecting a clinical isolate which is capable of killing cells of two or more tumor cell lines more rapidly and/or at a lower dose *in vitro* than one or more of the other clinical isolates in the panel.

WO 2017/118865 A1

ONCOLYTIC VIRUS STRAIN

Field of the Invention

The invention relates to an oncolytic immunotherapeutic agent and to the use of the
5 oncolytic immunotherapeutic agent in treating cancer.

Background to the Invention

Viruses have a unique ability to enter cells at high efficiency. After entry into cells,
viral genes are expressed and the virus replicates. This usually results in the death of the
10 infected cell and the release of the antigenic components of the cell as the cell ruptures as it
dies. As a result, virus mediated cell death tends to result in an immune response to these
cellular components, including both those derived from the host cell and those encoded by
or incorporated into the virus itself.

Viruses also engage with various mediators of the innate immune response as part
15 of the host response to the recognition of a viral infection through e.g. toll-like receptors
and cGAS/STING signalling resulting in the activation of interferon responses and
inflammation which are also immunogenic signals to the host. These immune responses
may result in the immunogenic benefit to cancer patients such that immune responses to
tumor antigens provide a systemic overall benefit resulting in the treatment of tumors
20 which have not been infected with the virus, including micro-metastatic disease, and
providing vaccination against relapse.

The combined direct ('oncolytic') effects of the virus, and immune responses
against tumor antigens (including non-self 'neo-antigens', i.e. derived from the particular
mutated genes in individual tumors) is termed 'oncolytic immunotherapy'.

25 Viruses may also be used as delivery vehicles ('vectors') to express heterologous
genes inserted into the viral genome in infected cells. These properties make viruses
useful for a variety of biotechnology and medical applications. For example, viruses
expressing heterologous therapeutic genes may be used for gene therapy. In the context of
oncolytic immunotherapy, delivered genes may include those encoding specific tumor
30 antigens, genes intended to increase the immunogenicity of antigens released following
virus replication and cell death, to increase the general immune activation status of the
tumor, or to increase the direct oncolytic properties (i.e. cytotoxic effects) of the virus.

It has been demonstrated that a number of viruses including herpes simplex virus (HSV) have utility in the oncolytic treatment of cancer. HSV for use in the oncolytic treatment of cancer must be disabled such that it is no longer pathogenic, but can still enter into and kill tumor cells. A number of disabling mutations to HSV, including disruption of the genes encoding ICP34.5, ICP6, and/or thymidine kinase, have been identified which do not prevent the virus from replicating in culture or in tumor tissue *in vivo*, but which prevent significant replication in normal tissue. HSVs in which only the ICP34.5 genes have been disrupted replicate in many tumor cell types *in vitro*, and replicate selectively in tumor tissue, but not in surrounding tissue, in mouse tumor models. Clinical trials of ICP34.5 deleted, or ICP34.5 and ICP6 deleted, HSV have also shown safety and selective replication in tumor tissue in man.

As discussed above, an oncolytic virus, including HSV, may also be used to deliver a therapeutic gene in the treatment of cancer. An ICP34.5 deleted virus of this type additionally deleted for ICP47 and encoding a heterologous gene for GM-CSF has also been tested in clinical trials, including a phase 3 trial in melanoma in which safety and efficacy in man was shown. The trial data demonstrated that tumor responses could be seen in injected tumors, and to a lesser extent in uninjected tumors. Responses tended to be highly durable (months-years), and a survival benefit appeared to be achieved in responding patients. Each of these indicated engagement of the immune system in the treatment of cancer in addition to the direct oncolytic effect. However, this and other data with oncolytic viruses generally showed that not all tumors respond to treatment and not all patients achieve a survival advantage. Thus, improvements to the art of oncolytic therapy and oncolytic immunotherapy are clearly needed. These may serve to increase the direct oncolytic effects of therapy, the anti-tumor immune stimulating effects of the therapy, or both of these effects together.

Recently it has been shown that oncolytic immunotherapy can result in additive or synergistic therapeutic effects in conjunction with immune checkpoint blockade (i.e. inhibition or ‘antagonism’ of immune checkpoint pathways), also referred to as immune co-inhibitory pathway blockade. Checkpoint (immune co-inhibitory pathway) blockade is intended to block host immune inhibitory mechanisms which usually serve to prevent the occurrence of auto-immunity. However, in cancer patients these mechanisms can also serve to inhibit or block the potentially beneficial effects of any immune responses induced

to tumors. Alternatively, immune responses may not be fully potentiated due to a lack of activation or lack of full activation of immune potentiating pathways. Therefore, drugs which alleviate these blocks or stimulate immune potentiating pathways (i.e. which activate, or are ‘agonists’ of these immune potentiating pathways) are attractive for testing
5 and developing cancer treatments. Targets for such approved or experimental drugs include CTLA-4, PD-1, PD-L1, LAG-3, TIM-3, VISTA, CSF1R, IDO, CEACAM1, GITR, 4-1-BB, KIR, SLAMF7, OX40, CD40, ICOS or CD47.

For these approaches to be successful, pre-existing immune responses to tumors are needed, i.e. so that a pre-existing immune response can be potentiated or a block to an anti-
10 tumor immune response can be relieved. The presence of an inflamed tumor micro-environment, which is indicative of such an ongoing response, is also needed. Pre-existing immune responses to tumor neo-antigens appear to be particularly important for the activity of immune co-inhibitory pathway blockade and related drugs. Only some patients may have an ongoing immune response to tumor antigens including neoantigens and/or an
15 inflamed tumor microenvironment, both of which are required for the activity of these drugs. Therefore, oncolytic agents which can induce immune responses to tumor antigens, including neoantigens, and/or which can induce an inflamed tumor microenvironment are attractive for use in combination with immune co-inhibitory pathway blockade and immune potentiating drugs. This likely also explains the promising combined anti-tumor
20 effects of oncolytic agents and immune co-inhibitory pathway blockade in mice and humans that have so far been observed.

The indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) pathway contributes to tumor-induced tolerance by creating a tolerogenic environment in the tumor and the tumor-draining lymph nodes, both by direct suppression of T cells and enhancement of local regulatory T cell
25 (Treg)-mediated immunosuppression. IDO catalyses the rate-limiting step of tryptophan degradation along the kynurenine pathway, and both the reduction in local tryptophan concentration and the production of immunomodulatory tryptophan metabolites contribute to the immunosuppressive effects of IDO. IDO is chronically activated in many cancer patients with IDO activation correlating with more extensive disease. It can also function
30 as an antagonist to other activators of antitumor immunity. Therefore, inhibitors of the IDO pathway are being developed as anticancer agents, particularly in combination with checkpoint blockade agents such as those which target CTLA-4, PD-1 or PDL-1. IDO

inhibitors may also be synergistic with oncolytic immunotherapy, including together with drugs targeting other immune checkpoint or immune co-stimulatory pathways.

Summary of the Invention

5 The invention provides improved oncolytic viruses. The improved oncolytic viruses have improved direct oncolytic effects. The improved direct oncolytic effects provided by the viruses of the invention will also lead to improved systemic anti-tumor immune effects. The improved direct oncolytic effects provided by the viruses of the invention will also lead to improved therapeutic effects in patients. Enhanced replication in and killing of
10 tumor cells will result in enhanced tumor antigen release and enhanced systemic immune responses to the released antigens. The expression levels of any genes inserted to augment the direct oncolytic effects and/or immune stimulation will also be increased.

 Virus species naturally exist in a range of variants (strains) within the natural population which may differ by a small or larger number of nucleotides while still
15 retaining the antigenic characteristics and sufficient sequence identity to still be recognized as the same virus species. These strains, due to their differing sequences, may exhibit a range of differing properties, including properties which have been selected for by natural selection in their natural host or hosts (for example the ability to infect or replicate in the target cell types of the virus in question, spread between these cells, or to evade the host
20 innate or adaptive immune system, or to spread between infected individuals of the host species) and properties which have not been specifically selected for (e.g. the ability to replicate in and kill or spread between cell types which are not the natural targets of the virus in question, including tumor or other non-target cell types or tissues). The inventors have recognised that sampling a range of viral strains of a particular viral species which are
25 present in the natural host population (in the case of viruses infecting humans, here termed ‘clinical isolates’) and comparing these to each other to select for the strain with the best properties for the intended purpose for which it is to be used (e.g. infection and killing of tumor cells) can be used to identify a virus (i.e. a virus strain) with optimal properties for that purpose. The optimal properties may be properties that offer the best starting point for
30 development to produce a virus that can be used as a therapeutic. A virus identified by this approach is likely to have more optimal properties for the intended purpose than a ‘prototype’ or ‘laboratory’ virus strain or a clinical strain which has not been selected for the required property or properties from a broad group of viral strains. This is because the

full biological complexity in the natural population, particularly with respect to the particular desirable property or properties, is unlikely to have been sampled through taking a narrow approach to screening for the desired property or properties, bearing in mind the degree of sequence variation present in natural virus populations. In particular, these may
5 vary in sequence within an infected host (as is often the case with RNA or retroviral populations where so-called quasi-species are often present), between individual infected hosts, or between different geographically separated viral populations.

Viruses of the invention have therefore been selected by sampling a range of viral strains present in the natural population of a particular viral species and testing these
10 against each other for the desired property or properties (e.g. the ability to infect and kill tumor cells). The virus strain or strains with the best properties for the intended purpose are used for further development.

Where the intended use is oncolytic viral therapy, taking such an approach provides an improved starting point for development of an oncolytic agent, which may require
15 further manipulation of the advantageous virus strains. Such manipulation includes the deletion of viral genes to provide, for example, tumor selectivity, and/or the insertion of exogenous genes to improve oncolytic or immune potentiating properties further.

The viruses of the invention therefore include novel clinical isolates of a viral species that have better anti-tumor effects than the other clinical isolates to which they
20 were compared and through which comparison they were identified. In particular, the clinical isolates of the invention kill tumor cell lines *in vitro* more quickly and/or at a lower dose than these reference clinical isolates of the same virus type. Typically, a clinical isolate of the invention will have been identified through comparison of >5 clinical isolates of a viral species for the required property or properties, preferably through comparison of
25 >10 clinical isolates of the viral species, and more preferably through comparison of >20 clinical isolates of the viral species. A clinical isolate of the invention typically shows better tumor cell killing activity than 3/5, 6/10 or 11/20ths, preferably better than 4/5, 8/10 or 17/20ths, more preferably better than 9/10 or 19/20ths of the viral strains tested.

Typically, a clinical isolate of the invention can kill two or more tumor cell lines *in*
30 *vitro* within 24 to 48 hours after infection at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.01 to 0.001 or less.

The clinical isolates of the invention may be modified to further enhance their anti-tumor effects. The genome of a clinical isolate of the invention may be modified to delete or alter expression of one or more viral genes, and/or the genome of the clinical isolate may be modified to express one or more heterologous genes, such as genes encoding a fusogenic protein and/or an immune stimulatory molecule or molecules.

Oncolytic viruses of the invention provide improved treatment of cancer through improved direct oncolytic effects, viral replication and spread through tumors, which (i) increases the amount of tumor antigens, including neoantigens, which are released for the induction of an anti-tumor immune response; and (ii) enhances the expression of the virus-encoded immune stimulatory molecule(s). Expression of immune stimulatory molecule(s) by the virus can further enhance and potentiate the anti-tumor immune effect. Expression of fusogenic protein(s) by the virus can further enhance viral spread through tumors. Expression of fusogenic protein(s) by the virus can further enhance tumor cell killing.

Anti-tumor efficacy of an oncolytic virus of the invention is achieved when the virus is used as a single agent and also when the virus is used in combination with other anti-cancer modalities, including chemotherapy, treatment with targeted agents, radiation, immune checkpoint blockade (i.e. administration of one or more antagonist of an immune co-inhibitory pathway) and/or immune potentiating drugs (e.g. one or more agonists of an immune co-stimulatory pathway). The improved direct oncolytic effects (i.e. virus replication in, spread between, and direct killing of tumor cells) and improved systemic anti-tumor immune effects of the viruses of the invention improve on the combined benefits of oncolytic therapy and immune co-inhibitory pathway blockade and/or immune co-stimulatory pathway activation.

Accordingly, the present invention provides an oncolytic virus which is, or is derived from, a clinical isolate which has been selected by comparing the abilities of a panel of three or more clinical isolates of the same viral species to kill tumor cells of two or more tumor cell lines *in vitro* and selecting a clinical isolate which is capable of killing cells of two or more tumor cell lines more rapidly and/or at a lower dose *in vitro* than one or more of the other clinical isolates in the panel. The clinical isolate may be modified. A modified clinical isolate may have mutations, such as deletions in the viral genome and/or may express one or more heterologous genes.

The virus may be a strain of any virus species which may be used for the oncolytic treatment of cancer, including strains of herpes virus, pox virus, adenovirus, retrovirus, rhabdovirus, paramyxovirus or reovirus. The virus is preferably a herpes simplex virus (HSV), such as HSV1. The HSV typically does not express functional ICP34.5 and/or
 5 functional ICP47 and/or expresses the US11 gene as an immediate early gene.

The virus may comprise (i) a fusogenic protein-encoding gene; and/or (ii) an immune stimulatory molecule or an immune stimulatory molecule-encoding gene. The virus may encode more than one fusogenic protein and/or more than one immune stimulatory molecule. The fusogenic protein is preferably the glycoprotein from gibbon
 10 ape leukemia virus (GALV) and has the R transmembrane peptide mutated or removed (GALV-R-). The immune stimulatory molecule is preferably GM-CSF and/or an agonist of an immune co-stimulatory pathway including GITRL, 4-1-BBL, OX40L, ICOSL or CD40L or a modified version in each case thereof, or a protein capable of blocking signaling through CTLA-4, for example an antibody or a fragment thereof which binds
 15 CTLA-4.

The invention also provides:

- a pharmaceutical composition comprising a virus of the invention and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier or diluent;
- the virus of the invention for use in a method of treating the human or animal body
 20 by therapy;
- the virus of the invention for use in a method of treating cancer, wherein the method optionally comprises administering a further anti-cancer agent;
- a product of manufacture comprising a virus of the invention in a sterile vial, ampoule or syringe;
- 25 - a method of treating cancer, which comprises administering a therapeutically effective amount of a virus or a pharmaceutical composition of the invention to a patient in need thereof, wherein the method optionally comprises administering a further anti-cancer agent;
- use of a virus of the invention in the manufacture of a medicament for use in a
 30 method of treating cancer, wherein the method optionally comprises administering a further anti-cancer agent, which is optionally an antagonist of an immune co-inhibitory pathway, or an agonist of an immune co-stimulatory pathway;

- a method of treating cancer, which comprises administering a therapeutically effective amount of an oncolytic virus, an inhibitor of the indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) pathway and a further antagonist of an immune co-inhibitory pathway, or agonist of an immune co-stimulatory pathway to a patient in need thereof; and
 - a method of selecting an oncolytic virus, the method comprising:
 - (i) comparing the abilities of a panel of three or more clinical isolates of the same viral strain to kill tumor cells of two or more tumor cell lines *in vitro*;
 - (ii) scoring the abilities of each of the panel of viruses to kill tumor cells;
 - (iii) selecting a virus which has one of the best scores;
 - (iv) optionally modifying the virus to inactivate one or more viral genes; and/or
 - (v) optionally modifying the virus to express one or more immune stimulatory molecule encoding genes and/or one or more fusogenic protein-encoding genes.
- The further anti-cancer agent may be an antagonist of an immune co-inhibitory pathway or an agonist of an immune co-stimulatory pathway

Brief Description of the Figures

Figure 1 depicts the structure of an exemplary virus of the invention that comprises a gene encoding GALV-R- and a gene encoding GM-CSF inserted into the ICP34.5 gene locus, and in which the ICP47 gene is deleted such that the US11 gene is under the control of the ICP47 immediate early promoter (top panel). Figure 1 also shows similar exemplary viruses of the invention expressing only a GALV-R-encoding gene (second panel), or only a GM-CSF-encoding gene (third panel) Also shown is an exemplary virus in which the ICP34.5 gene and the ICP47 gene are deleted.

Figure 2 depicts the structure of an exemplary virus of the invention that comprises a gene encoding GALV-R-, a gene encoding GM-CSF and a gene encoding CD40L.

Figure 3 shows the differential abilities of the eight top ranking HSV1 clinical isolate strains as assessed by crystal violet staining 24 hours or 48 hours after infection with a MOI of 0.1, 0.01 or 0.001 as indicated in the Figure to kill Fadu, SK-mel-28, A549, HT1080, MIA-PA-CA-2, HT29 and MDA-MB-231 human tumor cell lines. The virus strains ranked first and second on each cell line are indicated. The virus RH018A was

ranked first on each of the Fadu, HT1080, MIA-PA-CA-2 and HT29 cell lines and second on each of the SK-mel-28, A549 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines. RH004A was ranked joint first with RH018A and RH015A on the HT29 cell line, first on the SK-mel-28 and A549 cell lines and second on the Fadu cell line. RH023A was ranked first on the MDA-MB-231 cell line and second on the HT1080 cell line. RH031A was ranked second on each of the MIA-PA-CA-2 and HT29 cell lines. RH040A was ranked joint second on the HT29 cell line.

Figure 4 shows a comparison between strain RH018A, the strain ranked first of all the strains tested, with an 'average' strain from the screen (i.e. strain RH065A). Approximately 10 fold less of strain RH018A was needed to kill an equal proportion of cells than was needed of strain RH065A as shown by crystal violet staining 24 or 48 hours post infection with MOIs of 0.1, 0.01 and 0.001 in SK-mel-28, HT1080, MDA-MB-231, Fadu, MIA-PA-CA-2 and A549 cell lines.

Figure 5 depicts structures of HSV1 viruses modified by the deletion of ICP34.5 and ICP47 such that the US11 gene is under control of the ICP457 immediate early promoter and containing heterologous genes in the ICP34.5 locus. The viruses were constructed using the RH018A strain unless otherwise stated in the Figure.

Figure 6 shows the results of an ELISA to detect expression of human or mouse GM-CSF in supernatants from BHK cells infected with virus 16 (mGM-CSF and GALVR-), virus 17 (hGM-CSF and GALVR-) and virus 19 (mGM-CSF).

Figure 7 is a comparison between the cell-killing abilities of strain RH018A in which ICP34.5 is deleted and which expresses GALVR- and GFP (virus 10) with a virus that expresses only GFP (virus 12) as determined by crystal violet staining in three cell lines at low magnification.

Figure 8 is a comparison between the cell-killing abilities of strain RH018A in which ICP34.5 and ICP47 are deleted and which expresses GALVR- and GM-CSF (virus 17) with a prior art strain with the same modifications as determined by crystal violet staining in four cell lines.

Figure 9 shows the effectiveness of Virus 16 (ICP34.5 and ICP47 deleted expressing GALVR- and mGM-CSF) in treating mice harbouring A20 lymphoma tumors in both flanks. Tumors on the right flanks were injected with the virus or vehicle and the

effects on tumor size was observed for 30 days. The virus was effective against both injected tumors and non-injected tumors.

Figure 10 demonstrates the effects of Virus 15 (ICP34.5 and ICP47 deleted expressing GALVR- and GFP) and Virus 24 (ICP34.5 and ICP47 deleted expressing GFP) on rat 9L cells *in vitro* as assessed by crystal violet staining. The virus expressing GALV (Virus 15) showed enhanced killing of rat 9L cells *in vitro* as compared to a virus which does not express GALV (Virus 24).

Figure 11 shows the antitumor effects of Virus 16 in Balb/c mice harboring mouse CT26 tumors in the left and right flanks. Groups of 10 mice were then treated with:

Vehicle (3 injections into right flank tumors every other day); 5×10^6 pfu of Virus 16 (mRP1) injected in the right flank tumor every other day; anti-mouse PD1 alone (10mg/kg i.p. every three days, BioXCell clone RMP1-14); anti-mouse CTLA-4 (3mg/kg i.p every three days, BioXCell clone 9D9); anti-mouse PD1 together with Virus 16; anti-mouse CTLA4 together with Virus 16; 1-methyl tryptophan (I-MT; IDO inhibitor (5mg/ml in drinking water)); anti-mouse PD1 together with 1-methyl tryptophan; or anti-mouse PD1 together with 1-methyl tryptophan and Virus 16. Effects on tumor size were observed for a further 30 days. Greater tumor reduction was seen in animals treated with combinations of virus and checkpoint blockade than with the single treatment groups. Figure 11A shows that using Virus 16 and anti-PD1 in combination has a better anti-tumor effect than using either anti-PD1 or the virus alone. Figure 11B shows that the anti-tumor effect of Virus 16 in combination with anti-CTLA-4 was better than the anti-tumor effect of either Virus 16 or anti-CTLA-4 alone. Figure 11C shows that enhanced tumor reduction was observed using Virus 16 together with both anti-PD1 and IDO inhibition as compared to anti-PD1 and 1-MT inhibition in the absence of the virus.

Figure 12 shows the enhanced anti-tumor activity of Virus 16 in combination with immune checkpoint blockade in mouse A20 tumors in both flanks of Balb/c mice as compared to either virus alone or checkpoint blockade alone (anti-PD1).

Figure 13 shows the structure of ICP34.5 and ICP47 deleted viruses expressing GALVR-, GM-CSF and codon optimized anti-mouse or anti-human CTLA-4 antibody constructs (secreted scFv molecules linked to human or mouse IgG1 Fc regions). The scFvs contain the linked ($[G_4S]_3$) light and heavy variable chains from antibody 9D9

(US2011044953: mouse version) and from ipilimumab (US20150283234; human version). The resulting structure of the CTLA-4 inhibitor is also shown.

Figure 14 shows anti-tumor effects of Virus 16 and Virus 19 in a human xenograft model (A549). There were three injections of Virus 16, Virus 19 or of vehicle over one week at three different dose levels (N=10/group). The doses of the viruses used is indicated. The anti-tumor effects of Virus 16 which expresses GALV were better than those of Virus 19 which does not express GALV.

Figure 15 demonstrates the effects of viruses of the invention expressing GALV-R- on 9L cells in the flanks of Fischer 344 rats. The following treatments were administered to groups of rats (ten per group), into one flank of each rat only three times per week for three weeks: 50µl of vehicle; 50µl of 10^7 pfu/ml of Virus 19 (expresses mGM-CSF but not GALV R-); or 50µl of 10^7 pfu/ml of Virus 16 (expresses both mouse GM-CSF and GALV-R-). Effects on tumor growth were then observed for a further 30 days. Superior tumor control and shrinkage was observed with the virus expressing GM-CSF and GALV-R- as compared to the virus expressing GM-CSF alone.

Figure 16 shows the anti-tumor effects of viruses expressing anti-mCTLA-4 (virus 27), mCD40L (virus 32), mOX4OL (virus 35), m4-2BBL (virus 33), , each also with mGM-CSF and GALV-R- compared to virus 16 (expresses GALV and mGM-CSF).

20 **Brief Description of the Sequence Listing**

SEQ ID NO: 1 is the nucleotide sequence of mouse GM-CSF.

SEQ ID NO: 2 is the nucleotide sequence of a codon optimized version of mouse GM-CSF.

SEQ ID NO: 3 is the nucleotide sequence of human GM-CSF.

25 SEQ ID NO: 4 is the nucleotide sequence of a codon optimized version of human GM-CSF.

SEQ ID NO: 5 is the amino acid sequence of mouse GM-CSF.

SEQ ID NO: 6 is the amino acid sequence of human GM-CSF.

SEQ ID NO: 7 is the nucleotide sequence of GALV-R-.

30 SEQ ID NO: 8 is the nucleotide sequence of a codon optimized version of GALV-R- (the first three nucleotides are optional)

SEQ ID NO: 9 is the amino acid sequence of GALV-R-.

SEQ ID NO: 10 is the nucleotide sequence of a codon optimized version of a human membrane bound version of CD40L.

SEQ ID NO: 11 is the amino acid sequence of a human membrane bound version of CD40L.

5 SEQ ID NO: 12 is the nucleotide sequence of a codon optimized version of a multimeric secreted version of human CD40L.

SEQ ID NO: 13 is the amino acid sequence of a multimeric secreted version of human CD40L.

10 SEQ ID NO: 14 is the nucleotide sequence of a codon optimized version of a multimeric secreted version of mouse CD40L.

SEQ ID NO: 15 is the amino acid sequence of a multimeric secreted version of mouse CD40L.

SEQ ID NO: 16 is a codon optimized version of the nucleotide sequence of wild-type human CD40L.

15 SEQ ID NO: 17 is the amino acid sequence of wild-type human CD40L.

SEQ ID NO: 18 is a codon optimized version of the nucleotide sequence of wild-type mouse CD40L.

SEQ ID NO: 19 is the amino acid sequence of wild-type mouse CD40L.

20 SEQ ID NO: 20 is the nucleotide sequence of a codon optimized version of murine 4-1BBL.

SEQ ID NO: 21 is the nucleotide sequence of a codon optimized version of human 4-1BBL.

SEQ ID NO: 22 is the nucleotide sequence of a codon optimized version of secreted mouse 4-1BBL.

25 SEQ ID NO: 23 is the nucleotide sequence of a codon optimized version of human secreted 4-1BBL.

SEQ ID NO: 24 is the nucleotide sequence of a codon optimized version of murine GITRL.

30 SEQ ID NO: 25 is the nucleotide sequence of a codon optimized version of human GITRL.

SEQ ID NO: 26 is the nucleotide sequence of a codon optimized version of secreted murine GITRL.

SEQ ID NO: 27 is the nucleotide sequence of a codon optimized version of secreted human GITRL.

SEQ ID NO: 28 is the nucleotide sequence of a codon optimized version of murine OX40L.

5 SEQ ID NO: 29 is the nucleotide sequence of a codon optimized version of human OX40L.

SEQ ID NO: 30 is the nucleotide sequence of a codon optimized version of secreted murine OX40L.

10 SEQ ID NO: 31 is the nucleotide sequence of a codon optimized version of secreted human OX40L.

SEQ ID NO: 32 is the nucleotide sequence of a codon optimized version of murine ICOSL.

SEQ ID NO: 33 is the nucleotide sequence of a codon optimized version of human ICOSL.

15 SEQ ID NO: 34 is the nucleotide sequence of a murine scFv CTLA-4 antibody. The first six and last eight nucleotides are restriction sites added for cloning purposes.

SEQ ID NO: 35 is the nucleotide sequence of a murine scFv CTLA-4 antibody. The first six and last eight nucleotides are restriction sites added for cloning purposes.

SEQ ID NO: 36 is the nucleotide sequence of the CMV promoter.

20 SEQ ID NO: 37 is the nucleotide sequence of the RSV promoter.

SEQ ID NO: 38 is the nucleotide sequence of BGH polyA.

SEQ ID NO: 39 is the nucleotide sequence of SV40 late polyA.

SEQ ID NO: 40 is the nucleotide sequence of the SV40 enhancer promoter.

SEQ ID NO: 41 is the nucleotide sequence of rabbit beta-globulin (RBG) polyA.

25 SEQ ID NO: 42 is the nucleotide sequence of GFP.

SEQ ID NO: 43 is the nucleotide sequence of the MoMuLV LTR promoter.

SEQ ID NO: 44 is the nucleotide sequence of the EF1a promoter.

SEQ ID NO: 45 is the nucleotide sequence of HGH polyA.

30

Detailed Description of the Invention

Oncolytic Virus

The virus of the invention is oncolytic. An oncolytic virus is a virus that infects and replicates in tumor cells, such that the tumor cells are killed. Therefore, the virus of the invention is replication competent. Preferably, the virus is selectively replication competent in tumor tissue. A virus is selectively replication competent in tumor tissue if it replicates more effectively in tumor tissue than in non-tumor tissue. The ability of a virus to replicate in different tissue types can be determined using standard techniques in the art.

The virus of the invention may be any virus which has these properties, including a herpes virus, pox virus, adenovirus, retrovirus, rhabdovirus, paramyxovirus or reovirus, or any species or strain within these larger groups. Viruses of the invention may be wild type (i.e. unaltered from the parental virus species), or with gene disruptions or gene additions. Which of these is the case will depend on the virus species to be used. Preferably the virus is a species of herpes virus, more preferably a strain of HSV, including strains of HSV1 and HSV2, and is most preferably a strain of HSV1. The virus of the invention is based on a clinical isolate of the virus species to be used. The clinical isolate is selected on the basis of it having particular advantageous properties for the treatment of cancer. The virus of the invention has surprisingly good anti-tumor effects compared to other strains of the same virus isolated from other patients, wherein a patient is an individual harbouring the virus species to be tested. The virus strains used for comparison to identify viruses of the invention may be isolated from a patient or an otherwise healthy (i.e. other than harboring the virus species to be tested) volunteer, preferably an otherwise healthy volunteer. HSV1 strains used to identify a virus of the invention are typically isolated from cold sores of individuals harboring HSV1, typically by taking a swab using e.g. Virocult (Sigma) brand swab/container containing transport media followed by transport to the facility to be used for further testing.

After isolation of viruses to be compared from individuals, stocks of the viruses are typically prepared, for example by growing the isolated viruses on BHK or vero cells. Preferably, this is done following no more than 3 cycles of freeze thaw between taking the sample and it being grown on, for example, BHK or vero cells to prepare the virus stock for further use. More preferably the virus sample has undergone 2 or less than 2 cycles of freeze thaw prior to preparation of the stock for further use, more preferably one cycle of

freeze thaw, most preferably no cycles of freeze thaw. Lysates from the cell lines infected with the viruses prepared in this way after isolation are compared, typically by testing for the ability of the virus to kill tumor cell lines *in vitro*. Alternatively, the viral stocks may be stored under suitable conditions, for example by freezing, prior to testing. Viruses of the invention have surprisingly good anti-tumor effects compared to other strains of the same virus isolated from other individuals, preferably when compared to those isolated from >5 individuals, more preferably >10 other individuals, most preferably >20 other individuals.

The stocks of the clinical isolates identified as viruses of the invention (i.e. having surprisingly good properties for the killing of tumor cells as compared to other viral strains to which they were compared) may be stored under suitable conditions, before or after modification, and used to generate further stocks as appropriate.

A clinical isolate is a strain of a virus species which has been isolated from its natural host. The clinical isolate has preferably been isolated for the purposes of testing and comparing the clinical isolate with other clinical isolates of that virus species for a desired property, in the case of viruses of the invention that being the ability to kill human tumor cells. Clinical isolates which may be used for comparison also include those from clinical samples present in clinical repositories, i.e. previously collected for clinical diagnostic or other purposes. In either case the clinical isolates used for comparison and identification of viruses of the invention will preferably have undergone minimal culture *in vitro* prior to being tested for the desired property, preferably having only undergone sufficient culture to enable generation of sufficient stocks for comparative testing purposes. As such, the viruses used for comparison to identify viruses of the invention may also include deposited strains, wherein the deposited strain has been isolated from a patient, preferably an HSV1 strain isolated from the cold sore of a patient.

The virus of the invention is an oncolytic virus which is, or is derived from, a clinical isolate which has been selected by comparing the abilities of a panel of three or more clinical isolates of the same viral species to kill tumor cells of two or more tumor cell lines *in vitro* and selecting a clinical isolate which is capable of killing cells of two or more tumor cell lines more rapidly and/or at a lower dose *in vitro* than one or more of the other clinical isolates in the panel. Thus, the virus is a clinical isolate that kills two or more

tumor cell lines more rapidly and/or at a lower dose *in vitro* than one or more reference clinical isolates of the same species of virus.

Typically, the clinical isolate of the invention will kill two or more tumor cell lines within 72 hours, preferably within 48 hours, more preferably within 24 hours, of infection at multiplicities of infection (MOI) of less than or equal to 0.1, preferably less than or equal to an MOI of 0.01 more preferably less than or equal to an MOI of 0.001. Preferably the clinical isolate will kill a broad range of human tumor cell lines, such as 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 or all of the following cell lines: HT29 (colorectal), MDA-MB-231 (breast), SK-MEL-28 (melanoma), Fadu (squamous cell carcinoma), MCF7 (breast), A549 (lung), MIAPACA-2 (pancreas), HT1080 (fibrosarcoma). Thus, the virus of the invention may be capable of killing cells from two or more, such as 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 or more, different types of tumor such as two or more, such as 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 or more, solid tumors, including but not limited to colorectal tumor cells, prostate tumor cells, breast tumor cells, ovarian tumor cells, melanoma cells, squamous cell carcinoma cells, lung tumor cells, pancreatic tumor cells, sarcoma cells and/or fibrosarcoma cells.

Tumor cell line killing can be determined by any suitable method. Typically, a sample is first isolated from a patient, preferably, in the case of HSV1, from a cold sore, is used to infect BHK cells, or another suitable cell line such as vero cells. Positive samples are typically identified by the presence of a cytopathic effect (CPE) 24-72 hours post infection, such as 48 hours post infection, and confirmed to be the target viral species by, for example, immunohistochemistry or PCR. Viral stocks are then generated from the positive samples. A sample from the viral stock is typically tested and compared to other samples generated in the same way using swabs from different patients. Testing may be carried out by determining the level of CPE achieved at a range of multiplicity of infection (MOI) and at various times post infection.

For example, cell lines at 80% confluency may be infected with the viral sample at MOI of 1, 0.1, 0.01 and 0.001 and duplicate plates incubated for 24 and 48 hours at 37°C, 5% CO₂ prior to determination of the extent of viral cell killing. This may be determined by, for example, fixing the cells with glutaraldehyde and staining with crystal violet using standard methods. The level of cell lysis may then be assessed by standard methods such as gross observation, microscopy (cell counts) and photography. The method may be repeated with the cells being incubated for shorter time periods, such as 8, 12 or 16 hours,

or longer time periods, such as 72 hours, before cell killing is determined, or at additional MOIs such as 0.0001 or less.

Growth curve experiments may also be conducted to assess the abilities of different clinical isolates to replicate in tumor cell lines *in vitro*. For example, cell lines at 80%
 5 confluency may be infected with the viral sample at MOI of 1, 0.1, 0.01 and 0.001 are incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ and the cells lysed, typically by freeze/thawing, at 0, 8, 16, 24 and 48 hours post infection prior to determination of the extent of viral cell killing. This may be determined by, for example, assessing viral titres by a standard plaque assay.

A clinical isolate of the invention can kill infected tumor cell lines more rapidly
 10 and/or at a lower MOI than the other clinical isolates to which it is compared, preferably 2, 3, 4, 5 or 10 or more, other clinical isolates of the same virus species. The clinical isolates of the invention typically kills a 10%, 25% or 50% greater proportion of the tumor cells present at a particular MOI and time point than at least one, preferably 2, 3, 4, 5 or 10 or more, other clinical isolates of the same virus type at the same MOI and time point to
 15 which it was compared. The clinical isolate of the invention typically kills the same or a greater proportion of tumor cells at a MOI that is half or less than half that of the MOI at which one or more, preferably 2, 3, 4, 5, 10 or 15 or more, other clinical isolates of the same virus species used for the comparison at the same time point, typically at 12, 24 and/or 48 hours, kills the same proportion of tumor cells. Preferably, a clinical isolate of
 20 the invention typically kills the same or a greater proportion of tumor cells at a MOI that is 5 or 10 times lower than the MOI at which one or more, preferably 2, 3, 4, 5, 10 or 15 or more, other clinical isolates of the same virus used for the comparison at the same time point, typically at 12, 24 and/or 48 hours kills the same proportion of tumor cells. The improved tumor cell killing abilities of a virus of the invention are typically achieved
 25 compared to at least 50%, 75% or 90% of the other clinical isolates of the same viral species used for the comparison. The virus is preferably compared to at least 4 other virus strains, such as, for example, 7, 9, 19, 39 or 49 other virus strains of the same species.

The isolated strains may be tested in batches, for example of 4-8 viral strains at a time, on, for example, 4-8 of the tumor cell lines at a time. For each batch of experiments,
 30 the degree of killing achieved is ranked on each cell line for the best (i.e. least surviving cells at each time point/MOI) to the worst (i.e. most surviving cells for each time point/MOI) for the viruses being compared in that experiment. The virus strains from each

experiment which perform the best across the range of tumor cell line tested (i.e. that consistently ranked as one of the best at killing the cell lines) may then be compared head to head in further experiments using other clinical isolates and/or other tumor cell lines to identify the best virus strains in the total of, for example, >20 virus strains sampled. Those
5 ranked as the best overall are the viruses of the invention.

In a preferred embodiment, the virus of the invention is a strain selected from:
strain RH018A having the provisional accession number ECCAC 16121904;
strain RH004A having the provisional accession number ECCAC 16121902;
strain RH031A having the provisional accession number ECCAC 16121907;
10 strain RH040B having the provisional accession number ECCAC 16121908;
strain RH015A having the provisional accession number ECCAC 16121903;
strain RH021A having the provisional accession number ECCAC 16121905;
strain RH023A having the provisional accession number ECCAC 16121906; and
strain RH047A having the provisional accession number ECCAC 16121909.

15 More preferably, the virus of the invention is a strain selected from:
strain RH018A having the provisional accession number ECCAC 16121904;
strain RH004A having the provisional accession number ECCAC 16121902;
strain RH031A having the provisional accession number ECCAC 16121907;
strain RH040B having the provisional accession number ECCAC 16121908; and
20 strain RH015A having the provisional accession number ECCAC 16121903;
Most preferably, the virus of the invention is strain RH018A having the accession number EACC 16121904.

An HSV of the invention is capable of replicating selectively in tumors, such as human tumors. Typically, the HSV replicates efficiently in target tumors but does not
25 replicate efficiently in non-tumor tissue. This HSV comprises one or more mutations in one or more viral genes that inhibit replication in normal tissue but still allow replication in tumors. The mutation may, for example, be a mutation that prevents the expression of functional ICP34.5, ICP6 and/or thymidine kinase by the HSV.

In one preferred embodiment, the ICP34.5-encoding genes are mutated to confer
30 selective oncolytic activity on the HSV. Mutations of the ICP34.5-encoding genes that prevent the expression of functional ICP34.5 are described in Chou *et al.* (1990) Science 250:1262-1266, Maclean *et al.* (1991) J. Gen. Virol. 72:631-639 and Liu *et al.* (2003) Gene

Therapy 10:292-303, which are incorporated herein by reference. The ICP6-encoding gene and/or thymidine kinase-encoding gene may also be inactivated, as may other genes provided that such inactivation does not prevent the virus infecting or replicating in tumors.

5 The HSV may contain a further mutation or mutations which enhance replication of the HSV in tumors. The resulting enhancement of viral replication in tumors not only results in improved direct ‘oncolytic’ tumor cell killing by the virus, but also enhances the level of heterologous (i.e. a gene inserted into the virus, in the case of viruses of the invention genes encoding fusogenic protein(s) and an immune modulatory molecule(s))
 10 gene expression and increases the amount of tumor antigen released as tumor cells die, both of which may also improve the immunogenic properties of the therapy for the treatment of cancer. For example, in a preferred embodiment of the invention, deletion of the ICP47-encoding gene in a manner that places the US11 gene under the control of the immediate early promoter that normally controls expression of the ICP47 encoding gene
 15 leads to enhanced replication in tumors (see Liu *et al.*, 2003, which is incorporated herein by reference).

Other mutations that place the US11 coding sequence, which is an HSV late gene, under the control of a promoter that is not dependent on viral replication may also be introduced into a virus of the invention. Such mutations allow expression of US11 before
 20 HSV replication occurs and enhance viral replication in tumors. In particular, such mutations enhance replication of an HSV lacking functional ICP34.5-encoding genes.

Accordingly, in one embodiment the HSV of the invention comprises a US11 gene operably linked to a promoter, wherein the activity of the promoter is not dependent on viral replication. The promoter may be an immediate early (IE) promoter or a non-HSV
 25 promoter which is active in mammalian, preferably human, tumor cells. The promoter may, for example, be a eukaryotic promoter, such as a promoter derived from the genome of a mammal, preferably a human. The promoter may be a ubiquitous promoter (such as a promoter of β -actin or tubulin) or a cell-specific promoter, such as tumor-specific promoter. The promoter may be a viral promoter, such as the Moloney murine leukaemia
 30 virus long terminal repeat (MMLV LTR) promoter or the human or mouse cytomegalovirus (CMV) IE promoter. HSV immediate early (IE) promoters are well

known in the art. The HSV IE promoter may be the promoter driving expression of ICP0, ICP4, ICP22, ICP27 or ICP47.

5 The genes referred to above may be rendered functionally inactive by any suitable method, for example by deletion or substitution of all or part of the gene and/or control sequence of the gene or by insertion of one or more nucleic acids into or in place of the gene and/or the control sequence of the gene. For example, homologous recombination methods, which are standard in the art, may be used to generate the virus of the invention.

As used herein, the term “gene” is intended to mean the nucleotide sequence encoding a protein, i.e. the coding sequence of the gene. The various genes referred to
10 above may be rendered non-functional by mutating the gene itself or the control sequences flanking the gene, for example the promoter sequence. Deletions may remove one or more portions of the gene, the entire gene or the entire gene and all or some of the control sequences. For example, deletion of only one nucleotide within the gene may be made, resulting in a frame shift. However, a larger deletion may be made, for example at least
15 about 25%, more preferably at least about 50% of the total coding and/or non-coding sequence. In one preferred embodiment, the gene being rendered functionally inactive is deleted. For example, the entire gene and optionally some of the flanking sequences may be removed from the virus. Where two or more copies of the gene are present in the viral genome both copies of the gene are rendered functionally inactive.

20 A gene may be inactivated by substituting other sequences, for example by substituting all or part of the endogenous gene with a heterologous gene and optionally a promoter sequence. Where no promoter sequence is substituted, the heterologous gene may be inserted such that it is controlled by the promoter of the gene being rendered non-functional. In an HSV of the invention it is preferred that the ICP34.5 encoding-genes are
25 rendered non-functional by the insertion of a heterologous gene or genes and a promoter sequence or sequences operably linked thereto, and optionally other regulatory elements such as polyadenylation sequences, into each the ICP34.5-encoding gene loci.

A virus of the invention may be used to express a fusogenic protein and/or an immune stimulatory protein in tumors. This is typically achieved by inserting a
30 heterologous gene encoding the fusogenic protein and/or a heterologous gene encoding the immune stimulatory protein in the genome of a selectively replication competent virus wherein each gene is under the control of a promoter sequence. As replication of such a

virus will occur selectively in tumor tissue, expression of the fusogenic protein and/or immune stimulatory protein by the virus is also enhanced in tumor tissue as compared to non-tumor tissue in the body. Enhanced expression occurs where expression is greater in tumors as compared to other tissues of the body. Accordingly, the invention provides

5 benefits of expression of both a fusogenic protein and/or an immune stimulatory protein selectively in tumors combined with the anti-tumor effect provided by oncolytic virus replication.

Fusogenic protein

10 The virus of the invention may comprise a gene encoding a fusogenic protein. The fusogenic protein may be any heterologous protein capable of promoting fusion of a cell infected with the virus of the invention to another cell. A fusogenic protein, preferably a wild type or modified viral glycoprotein (i.e. modified to increase its fusogenic properties), is a protein which is capable in inducing the cell to cell fusion (syncytia formation) of cells

15 in which it is expressed. Examples of fusogenic glycoproteins include VSV-G, syncitin-1 (from human endogenous retrovirus-W (HERV-W)) or syncitin-2 (from HERVFRDE1), paramyxovirus SV5-F, measles virus-H, measles virus-F, RSV-F, the glycoprotein from a retrovirus or lentivirus, such as gibbon ape leukemia virus (GALV), murine leukemia virus (MLV), Mason-Pfizer monkey virus (MPMV) and equine infectious anemia virus (EIAV)

20 with the R transmembrane peptide removed (R- versions). In a preferred embodiment the fusogenic protein is from GALV and has the R- peptide removed (GALV-R-).

The virus of the invention may comprise multiple copies of the fusogenic protein-encoding gene, preferably 1 or 2 copies. The virus may comprise two or more different fusogenic proteins, including any of the fusogenic proteins listed above.

25 The fusogenic protein or proteins expressed by a virus of the invention may be identical to a naturally occurring protein, or may be a modified protein.

The fusogenic protein-encoding gene (fusogenic gene) may have a naturally occurring nucleic acid sequence or a modified sequence. The sequence of the fusogenic gene may, for example, be modified to increase the fusogenic properties of the encoded

30 protein, or to provide codon optimisation and therefore increase the efficiency of expression of the encoded protein.

Immune stimulatory molecule

The virus of the invention may comprise one or more immune stimulatory molecules and/or one or more genes encoding an immune stimulatory molecule. Immune stimulatory molecules include proteins which may aid in the induction of an immune response, proteins which may relieve inhibitory signals to the induction or effectiveness of an immune response and RNA molecules (e.g. shRNA, antisense RNA, RNAi or micro RNA) which inhibit the expression of immune inhibitory molecules.

Examples of immune stimulatory molecules include IL-2, IL12, IL-15, IL-18, IL-21, IL-24, CD40 ligand, GITR ligand, 4-1-BB ligand, OX40 ligand, ICOS ligand, flt3 ligand, type I interferons, including interferon alpha and interferon beta, interferon gamma, type III interferon (IL-28, IL-29), other cytokines such as TNF alpha or GM-CSF, TGF beta or immune checkpoint antagonists. Immune checkpoint antagonists include antibodies, single chain antibodies and RNAi/siRNA/microRNA/antisense RNA knockdown approaches. Agonists of immune potentiating/co-stimulatory pathways include mutant or wild type, soluble, secreted and/or membrane bound ligands, and agonistic antibodies including single chain antibodies. With regard to the targeting of immune co-inhibitory or immune co-stimulatory pathways, proteins or other molecules (agonistic or antagonistic depending on the case) targeting CTLA-4 (antagonist), PD-1 (antagonist), PD-L1 (antagonist), LAG-3 (antagonist), TIM-3 (antagonist), VISTA (antagonist), CSF1R (antagonist), IDO (antagonist), CEACAM1 (antagonist), GITR (agonist), 4-1-BB (agonist), KIR (antagonist), SLAMF7 (antagonist), OX40 (agonist), CD40 (agonist), ICOS (agonist) or CD47 (antagonist) are particularly preferred. Viruses of the invention therefore preferably encode one or more of these molecules. More preferably viruses of the invention encode GM-CSF and/or a wild type or modified version of CD40L, ICOSL, 4-1-BBL, GITRL or OX40L, most preferably GM-CSF.

The inhibitor of a co-inhibitory pathway may be a CTLA-4 inhibitor. The CTLA-4 inhibitor is typically a molecule such as a peptide or protein that binds to CTLA-4 and reduces or blocks signaling through CTLA-4, such as by reducing activation by B7. By reducing CTLA-4 signalling, the inhibitor reduces or removes the block of immune stimulatory pathways by CTLA-4.

The CTLA-4 inhibitor is preferably an antibody or an antigen binding fragment thereof. The term "antibody" as referred to herein includes whole antibodies and any

antigen binding fragment (*i.e.*, “antigen-binding portion”) or single chains thereof. An antibody refers to a glycoprotein comprising at least two heavy (H) chains and two light (kappa)(L) chains inter-connected by disulfide bonds, or an antigen binding portion thereof. Each heavy chain is comprised of a heavy chain variable region (abbreviated
5 herein as VH) and a heavy chain constant region. Each light chain is comprised of a light chain variable region (abbreviated herein as VL) and a light chain constant region. The variable regions of the heavy and light chains contain a binding domain that interacts with an antigen. The VH and VL regions can be further subdivided into regions of hypervariability, termed complementarity determining regions (CDR), interspersed with
10 regions that are more conserved, termed framework regions (FR). The constant regions of the antibodies may mediate the binding of the immunoglobulin to host tissues or factors, including various cells of the immune system (*e.g.*, effector cells) and the first component (C1q) of the classical complement system.

The antibody is typically a monoclonal antibody. The antibody may be a chimeric
15 antibody. The antibody is preferably a humanised antibody and is more preferably a human antibody.

The term “antigen-binding fragment” of an antibody refers to one or more fragments of an antibody that retain the ability to specifically bind to CTLA-4. The antigen-binding fragment also retains the ability to inhibit CTLA-4 and hence to reduce or
20 remove the CTLA-4 blockade of a stimulatory immune response. Examples of suitable fragments include a Fab fragment, a F(ab')₂ fragment, a Fab' fragment, a Fd fragment, a Fv fragment, a dAb fragment and an isolated complementarity determining region (CDR). Single chain antibodies such as scFv and heavy chain antibodies such as VHH and camel antibodies are also intended to be encompassed within the term “antigen-binding portion”
25 of an antibody. In a preferred embodiment, the antibody is an scFv. Examples of suitable scFv molecules are disclosed in, for example, WO2007/123737 and WO2014/066532, which are incorporated herein by reference. The scFv may be encoded by the nucleotide sequence shown in SEQ ID NO: 34 the nucleotide sequence shown in SEQ ID NO: 35.

Viruses of the invention may encode one or more immune stimulatory molecules,
30 preferably 1, 2, 3 or 4 immune stimulatory molecules, more preferably 1 or 2 immune stimulatory molecules.

The sequence of the gene encoding the immune stimulatory molecule may be codon optimized so as to increase expression levels of the respective proteins in target cells as compared to if the unaltered sequence is used.

5 *Modification of virus strains*

Modified viruses of the invention are modified versions of such clinical isolates identified as having advantageous properties for killing tumor cells as compared to other virus strains used for the comparison. Modified viruses of the invention are constructed using methods well known in the art. For example plasmids (for smaller viruses and single
10 and multiple genome component RNA viruses) or BACS (for larger DNA viruses including herpes viruses) encoding the viral genome to be packaged, including any genes encoding fusogenic and/or immune stimulating molecules under appropriate regulatory control, can be constructed by standard molecular biology techniques and transfected into permissive cells from which recombinant viruses can be recovered.

15 Alternatively, in a preferred embodiment plasmids containing DNA regions flanking the intended site of insertion can be constructed, and then co-transfected into permissive cells with viral genomic DNA such that homologous recombination between the target insertion site flanking regions in the plasmid and the same regions in the parental clinical isolate occur. Recombinant viruses can then be selected and purified through the
20 loss or addition of a function inserted or deleted by the plasmid used for modification, e.g. insertion or deletion of a marker gene such as GFP or lacZ from the parental virus at the intended insertion site. In a most preferred embodiment the insertion site is the ICP34.5 locus of HSV, and therefore the plasmid used for manipulation contains HSV sequences flanking this insertion site, between which are an expression cassette encoding a fusogenic
25 protein and an immune stimulatory molecule. In this case, the parental clinical isolate may contain a cassette encoding GFP in place of ICP34.5 and recombinant virus plaques are selected through the loss of expression of GFP. In a most preferred embodiment the US11 gene of HSV is also expressed as an IE gene. This may be accomplished through deletion of the ICP47-encoding region, or by other means.

30 Fusogenic protein encoding sequences and immune stimulatory molecule encoding sequences may be inserted into the viral genome under appropriate regulatory control. This may be under the regulatory control of natural promoters of the virus species of the

invention used, depending on the species and insertion site, or preferably under the control of heterologous promoters. Suitable heterologous promoters include mammalian promoters, such as the IEF2a promoter or the actin promoter. More preferred are strong viral promoters such as the CMV IE promoter, the RSV LTR, the MMLV LTR or
5 promoters derived from SV40. Preferably each exogenous gene (i.e. encoding the fusogenic protein and immune modulatory molecule) will be under separate promoter control, but may also be expressed from a single RNA transcript, for example through insertion of an internal ribosome entry sites (IRES) between protein coding sequences. RNA derived from each promoter is typically terminated using a polyadenylation sequence
10 (e.g. mammalian sequences such as the bovine growth hormone (BGH) poly A sequence, synthetic polyadenylation sequences, or viral sequences such as the SV40 early or late polyadenylation sequence).

The invention also provides a virus, such as a pox virus or a HSV, preferably HSV1, which expresses at least three heterologous genes, wherein each of the three
15 heterologous genes is driven by a different promoter selected from the CMV promoter, the RSV promoter, the EF1a promoter, the SV40 promoter and a retroviral LTR promoter. The virus may, for example, express four heterologous genes, wherein each of the four heterologous genes is driven by a different promoter selected from the CMV promoter, the RSV promoter, the EF1a promoter, the SV40 promoter and a retroviral LTR promoter.
20 The retroviral LTR is preferably from MMLV (SEQ ID NO:43), also known as MoMuLV. The heterologous genes may be terminated by poly adenylation sequences. The poly adenylation sequences may be the same or different. Preferably each heterologous gene is terminated by a different poly adenylation sequence, which is preferably selected from the BGH, SV40, HGH and RBG poly adenylation sequences.

25 The invention also provides a virus, such as a pox virus or a HSV, preferably HSV1, which expresses at least three heterologous genes, wherein each of the three heterologous genes is terminated by a different poly adenylation sequence selected from the BGH, SV40, HGH and RBG poly adenylation sequences. The virus may, for example, express four heterologous genes terminated by each of the BGH, SV40, HGH and RBG
30 poly adenylation sequences, respectively.

Pharmaceutical Compositions

The invention provides a pharmaceutical composition comprising a virus of the invention and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier or diluent. Suitable carriers and diluents include isotonic saline solutions, for example phosphate-buffered saline. The composition may further comprise other constituents such as sugars or proteins to improve properties such as stability of the product. Alternatively a lyophilized formulation may be used, which is reconstituted in a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier or diluent before use.

The choice of carrier, if required, is frequently a function of the route of delivery of the composition. Within this invention, compositions may be formulated for any suitable route and means of administration. Pharmaceutically acceptable carriers or diluents are those used in compositions suitable for intra-tumoral administration, intravenous/intraarterial administration, administration into the brain or administration into a body cavity (e.g. bladder, pleural cavity or by intraperitoneal administration). The composition may be administered in any suitable form, preferably as a liquid.

The present invention also provides a product of manufacture comprising a virus of the invention in a sterile vial, ampoule or syringe.

Medical Uses/Methods of Treatment

The invention provides the virus of the invention for use in the treatment of the human or animal body by therapy, particularly for use in a method of treating cancer. The cancer is typically in a mammal, preferably in a human. The virus kills infected tumour cells by virus mediated toxicity, including by lysis, necrosis or apoptosis, preferably by lysis or necrosis. The virus of the invention also elicits a systemic anti-tumor immune response, augmented through the expression of the immune stimulatory molecule, which also kills cancer cells.

The invention also provides a method of treating cancer, the method comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of the virus of the invention to an individual in need thereof.

The invention additionally provides the use of the virus of the invention in the manufacture of a medicament for treating cancer.

The virus of the invention is particularly useful in treating any solid tumor including any adenocarcinoma, carcinoma or sarcoma. For example, the virus of the

invention is useful in treating head and neck, prostate, breast, ovarian, lung, liver, endometrial, bladder, gall bladder, pancreas, colon, kidney, stomach/gastric, esophageal, or cervical cancers, mesothelioma, melanoma or other skin cancer, lymphoma, glioma or other cancer of the nervous system, or sarcomas such as soft tissue sarcoma.

5 The virus of the invention may be used to treat malignant tumors, including tumors that have metastasised from the site of the original tumor. In this embodiment, the virus may be administered to the primary tumor or to one or more secondary tumors.

 The virus of the invention may be administered in combination with other therapeutic agents, including chemotherapy, targeted therapy, immunotherapy (including
10 immune co-inhibitory pathway blockade or immune co-stimulatory pathway activation) and/or in combination with radiotherapy and/or in combination with any combination of these. The therapeutic agent is preferably an anti-cancer agent.

 The virus of the invention may be administered in combination with a second virus, such as a second oncolytic virus.

15 For example, the therapeutic agent may comprise an immunogen (including a recombinant or naturally occurring antigen, including such an antigen or combination of antigens delivered as DNA or RNA in which it/they are encoded), to further stimulate an immune response, such as a cellular or humoral immune response, to tumor cells, particularly tumor neoantigens. The therapeutic agent may be an agent intended to
20 increase or potentiate an immune response, such as a cytokine, an agent intended to inhibit an immune checkpoint pathway or stimulate an immune potentiating pathway or an agent which inhibits the activity of regulatory T cells (Tregs).

 The therapeutic agent may be an agent known for use in an existing cancer therapeutic treatment. The therapeutic agent may be radiotherapy or a chemotherapeutic
25 agent. The therapeutic agent may be selected from cyclophosphamide, alkylating-like agents such as cisplatin or melphalan, plant alkaloids and terpenoids such as vincristine or paclitaxel (Taxol), antimetabolites such as 5-fluorouracil, topoisomerase inhibitors type I or II such as camptothecin or doxorubicin, cytotoxic antibiotics such as actinomycin, anthracyclines such as epirubicin, glucocorticoids such as triamcinolone, inhibitors of
30 protein, DNA and/or RNA synthesis such as methotrexate and dacarbazine, histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors, or any other chemotherapy agent.

The therapeutic agent may be one, or a combination of: immunotherapeutics or immunomodulators, such as TLR agonists; agents that down-regulate T-regulatory cells such as cyclophosphamide; or agents designed to block immune checkpoints or stimulate immune potentiating pathways, including but not limited to monoclonal antibodies, such as

5 a CTLA-4 inhibitor, a PD-1 inhibitor, a PD-L1 inhibitor, a LAG-3 inhibitor, a TIM-3 inhibitor, a VISTA inhibitor, a CSF1R inhibitor, an IDO inhibitor, a CEACAM1 inhibitor, a GITR agonist, a 4-1-BB agonist, a KIR inhibitor, a SLAMF7 inhibitor, an OX40 agonist, a CD40 agonist, an ICOS agonist or a CD47 inhibitor. In a preferred embodiment, the therapeutic agent is a CTLA-4 inhibitor such as an anti-CTLA-4 antibody, a PD1 inhibitor,

10 such as an anti-PD-1 antibody or a PD-L1 inhibitor such as an anti-PD-L1 antibody. Such inhibitors, agonists and antibodies can be generated and tested by standard methods known in the art.

Immunotherapeutic agents may also include bi-specific antibodies, cell based-therapies based on dendritic cells, NK cells or engineered T cells such CAR-T cells or T

15 cells expressing engineered T cell receptors. Immunotherapeutic agents also include agents that target a specific genetic mutation which occurs in tumors, agents intended to induce immune responses to specific tumor antigens or combinations of tumor antigens, including neoantigens and/or agents intended to activate the STING/cGAS pathway, TLR or other innate immune response and/or inflammatory pathway, including intra-tumoral

20 agents.

For example, a virus of the invention may be used: in combination with dacarbazine, a BRAF inhibitor and or CTLA-4, PD1 or PD-L1 blockade to treat melanoma; in combination with taxol, doxorubicin, vinorelbine, cyclophosphamide and/or gemcitabine to treat breast cancer; in combination with 5-fluorouracil and optionally

25 leucovorin, irinotecan and/or oxaliplatin to treat colorectal cancer; in combination with taxol, carboplatin, vinorelbine and/or gemcitabine, PD-1 or PD-L1 blockade to treat lung cancer; in combination with cisplatin and/or radiotherapy to treat head and neck cancer.

The therapeutic agent may be an inhibitor of the idoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) pathway. Examples of IDO inhibitors include epacadostat (INCB024360), 1-methyl-

30 tryptophan, indoximod (1-methyl-D-tryptophan), GDC-0919 or F001287.

The mechanism of action of IDO in suppressing anti-tumor immune responses may also suppress immune responses generated following oncolytic virus therapy. IDO

expression is induced by toll like receptor (TLR) activation and interferon- γ both of which may result from oncolytic virus infection. One embodiment of the use of oncolytic virus therapy for cancer treatment includes combination of an oncolytic virus, including a virus expressing an immune stimulating protein or proteins and/or a fusogenic protein, with an inhibitor of the IDO pathway and optionally one or more further antagonist of an immune co-inhibitory pathway and/or one or more agonist of an immune co-stimulatory pathway, including those targeting CTLA-4, PD-1 and/or PD-L1.

The invention also provides a method of treating cancer, which comprises administering a therapeutically effective amount of an oncolytic virus, an inhibitor of the indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) pathway and a further antagonist of an immune co-inhibitory pathway, and/or an agonist of an immune co-stimulatory pathway to a patient in need thereof. The oncolytic virus is preferably a modified clinical isolate. The oncolytic virus is preferably a pox virus, more preferably a HSV, such as a HSV1 and/or a HSV rendered functionally inactive for ICP34.5 and/or ICP47. The oncolytic virus may express an immune stimulating molecule, such as GM-CSF, and/or a fusogenic protein, such as the GALV fusogenic glycoprotein with the R sequence mutated or deleted. The further antagonist of an immune co-inhibitory pathway is preferably an antagonist of CTLA-4, an antagonist of PD1 or an antagonist of PD-L1. For example, the further antagonist of an immune co-inhibitory pathway may be an inhibitor of the interaction between PD1 and PD-L1.

Where a therapeutic agent and/or radiotherapy is used in conjunction with a virus of the invention, administration of the virus and the therapeutic agent and/or radiotherapy may be contemporaneous or separated by time. The composition of the invention may be administered before, together with or after the therapeutic agent or radiotherapy. The method of treating cancer may comprise multiple administrations of the virus of the invention and/or of the therapeutic agent and/or radiotherapy. A skilled practitioner will readily be able to determine suitable courses of administration of the virus and the therapeutic agent.

In preferred embodiments, in the case of combination with one or more antagonist of an immune co-inhibitory pathway, one or more agonist of an immune co-stimulatory pathway and/or other immune potentiating agents, the virus of the invention is administered once or multiple times prior to the concurrent administration of the antagonist

of an immune co-inhibitory pathway, agonist of an immune co-stimulatory pathway and/or other immune potentiating agent or agents thereafter, or concurrent with the administration of the antagonist of an immune co-inhibitory pathway, agonist of an immune co-stimulatory pathway and/or other immune potentiating agent or agents without prior
5 administration of the virus of the invention.

The virus of the invention may be administered to a subject by any suitable route. Typically, a virus of the invention is administered by direct intra-tumoral injection, including through the use of imaging guidance to target the tumor or tumors. The virus may be administered into a body cavity, for example into the pleural cavity, bladder or by
10 intra-peritoneal administration. The virus may be injected into a blood vessel, preferably a blood vessel supplying a tumor.

Therapeutic agents which may be combined with a virus of the invention can be administered to a human or animal subject *in vivo* using a variety of known routes and techniques. For example, the composition may be provided as an injectable solution,
15 suspension or emulsion and administered via parenteral, subcutaneous, oral, epidermal, intradermal, intramuscular, interarterial, intraperitoneal, intravenous injection using a conventional needle and syringe, or using a liquid jet injection system. The composition may be administered topically to skin or mucosal tissue, such as nasally, intratracheally, intestinally, sublingually, rectally or vaginally, or provided as a finely divided spray
20 suitable for respiratory or pulmonary administration. In preferred embodiments, the compositions are administered by intravenous infusion, orally, or directly into a tumor.

The virus and/or therapeutic agent may be administered to a subject in an amount that is compatible with the dosage composition that will be therapeutically effective. The administration of the virus of the invention is for a “therapeutic” purpose. As used herein,
25 the term “therapeutic” or “treatment” includes any one or more of the following as its objective: the prevention of any metastasis or further metastasis occurring; the reduction or elimination of symptoms; the reduction or complete elimination of a tumor or cancer, an increase in the time to progression of the patient’s cancer; an increase in time to relapse following treatment; or an increase in survival time.

30 Therapeutic treatment may be given to Stage I, II, III, or IV cancers, preferably Stage II, III or IV, more preferably Stage III or IV, pre- or post-surgical intervention,

preferably before surgical intervention (either for resection of primary or recurrent/metastatic disease), i.e. while residual tumor remains.

Therapeutic treatment may be carried out following direct injection of the virus composition into target tissue which may be the tumor, into a body cavity, or a blood vessel. As a guide, the amount of virus administered is in the case of HSV in the range of from 10^4 to 10^{10} pfu, preferably from 10^5 to 10^9 pfu. In the case of HSV, an initial lower dose (e.g. 10^4 to 10^7 pfu) may be given to patients to seroconvert patients who are seronegative for HSV and boost immunity in those who are seropositive, followed by a higher dose then being given thereafter (e.g. 10^6 to 10^9 pfu). Typically up to 20ml of a pharmaceutical composition consisting essentially of the virus and a pharmaceutically acceptable suitable carrier or diluent may be used for direct injection into tumors, or up to 50ml for administration into a body cavity (which may be subject to further dilution into an appropriate diluent before administration) or into the bloodstream. However for some oncolytic therapy applications larger or smaller volumes may also be used, depending on the tumor and the administration route and site.

The routes of administration and dosages described are intended only as a guide since a skilled practitioner will be able to determine readily the optimum route of administration and dosage. The dosage may be determined according to various parameters, especially according to the location of the tumor, the size of the tumor, the age, weight and condition of the patient to be treated and the route of administration. Preferably the virus is administered by direct injection into the tumor. The virus may also be administered by injection into a blood vessel or into a body cavity. The optimum route of administration will depend on the location and size of the tumor. Multiple doses may be required to achieve an immunological or clinical effect, which, if required, will be typically administered between 2 days to 12 weeks apart, preferably 3-days to 3 weeks apart. Repeat doses up to 5 years or more may be given, preferably for up to one month to two years dependent on the speed of response of the tumor type being treated and the response of a particular patient, and any combination therapy which may also be being given.

The following Examples illustrate the invention.

Example 1. Clinical Isolates with improved anti-tumor effects

The virus species used to exemplify the invention is HSV, specifically HSV1. Cold sore swabs were taken from more than 20 otherwise healthy volunteers. A sample of each swab was used to infect BHK cells. Samples containing HSV1 were identified by the
 5 presence of a cytopathic effect (CPE) 24-72 hours post infection and by immunohistochemistry and viral stocks of the primary clinical isolates were generated from the positive samples.

The abilities of the primary clinical isolates of HSV1 to kill a panel of human tumor-derived cell lines is tested and the virus strain with the greatest ability to kill a broad
 10 range of these rapidly, and at low dose is chosen. Tumor cell lines used for this comparison are HT29 (colorectal), MDA-MB-231 (breast), SK-MEL-28 (melanoma), Fadu (squamous cell carcinoma), MCF7 (breast), A549 (lung), MIAPACA-2 (pancreas), CAPAN-1(pancreas), HT1080 (fibrosarcoma). The cell lines are used to test for the level of CPE achieved at a range of MOI and times post infection for each of the primary
 15 clinical isolates.

More specifically, the tumor cell lines are used to seed multi-well tissue culture plates so that they are about 80% confluent on the day of infection. Representative wells from each tumor cell line are trypsinised and the number of cells in the well determined. These cell counts are used to determine the volume of each clinical isolate required to give
 20 an MOI of 1, 0.1, 0.01 and 0.001. Separate wells of a tumor cell line are infected with the clinical isolate at these MOI and overlaid with growth media and carboxymethylcellulose. All infections are carried out in quadruplicate. Duplicate wells are incubated for 24 hours and duplicate wells are incubated for 48 hours, both at 37°C, 5% CO₂, prior to fixation of the cells with glutaraldehyde and staining with crystal violet. The level of cell lysis is then
 25 assessed by gross observation, microscopy (cell counts) and photography or using a metabolic assay such as an MTT assay.

Growth curve experiments are also conducted to assess the abilities of different clinical isolates to replicate in tumor cell lines *in vitro*. The tumor cell lines are used to seed multi-well tissue culture plates so that they are about 80% confluent on the day of
 30 infection. Cell counts are determined as above and used to determine the volume of virus to give MOIs of 1, 0.1, 0.01 and 0.001. The tumor cells are infected in duplicate for MOI and time point. The infected cells are incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ and the cells lysed by

freeze/thawing at 0, 8, 16, 24 and 48 hours post infection. Viral titres are assessed by a standard plaque assay.

Example 2. Modification of Clinical Isolates

5 In this example the clinical isolate selected in Example 1 (i.e. a virus of the invention) is modified by deletion of ICP47 from the viral genome using homologous recombination with a plasmid containing regions flanking HSV1 nucleotides 145300 to 145582 (HSV1 nucleotides 145300 to 145582 being the sequences to be deleted; HSV1 strain 17 sequence Genbank file NC_001806.2) between which are encoded GFP. GFP
10 expressing virus plaques are selected, and GFP then removed by homologous recombination with the empty flanking regions and plaques which do not express GFP are selected. This results in an ICP47 deleted virus in which US11 is expressed as an IE protein as it is now under the control of the ICP47 promoter. ICP34.5 is then deleted using homologous recombination with a plasmid containing regions flanking HSV1 nucleotides
15 124953 to 125727 (HSV1 nucleotides 124953 to 125727 being the sequences to be deleted; HSV1 strain 17 sequence Genbank file NC_001806.2) between which GFP is encoded. GFP expressing virus plaques are again selected, and GFP then removed by homologous recombination with the same flanking regions but between which are now an expression cassette comprising a codon optimized version of the mouse GM-CSF sequence and a
20 codon optimized version of the GALV R- sequence driven by the CMV IE promoter and RSV promoter respectively, in a back to back orientation and again selecting virus plaques which do not express GFP. This virus construction is performed using methods which are standard in the art.

The structure of the resulting virus is shown in Figure 1 (top panel). The mGM-
25 CSF and GALV-R- sequences are shown in SEQ ID NOs 2 and 8 respectively. The structure of the resulting virus is confirmed by restriction digestion and Southern blot, GM-CSF expression is confirmed by ELISA, and GALV-R- expression is confirmed by infection of human HT1080 tumor cells and the observation of syncitial plaques.

Viruses are also constructed using similar procedures which have no insertion into
30 ICP34.5, or which only have inserted the gene for mouse GM-CSF or GALV-R-. The structures of these viruses are also shown in Figure 1.

For human use, hGM-CSF is used, the sequence for a codon optimised version of which is shown in SEQ ID NO 4.

**Example 3. Expression of two immune stimulatory molecule from a virus expressing
5 a fusogenic protein**

A virus similar to the GALV-R- and mGM-CSF expressing virus described above is constructed, but additionally expressing versions of CD40L. Here, instead of using a plasmid containing ICP34.5 flanking regions and an expression cassette comprising GM-CSF and GALV-R- driven by a CMV and an RSV promoter, a plasmid containing ICP34.5
10 flanking regions and an expression cassette comprising GM-CSF, GALV and CD40L driven by a CMV, an RSV and an SV40 promoter is used for recombination with the virus containing GFP inserted into ICP34.5 and non-GFP expressing plaques again selected.

**Example 4. The effect of the combined expression of a fusogenic protein and an
15 immune stimulatory molecule from an oncolytic virus in mouse tumor models**

The GALV R- protein causes cell to cell fusion in human cells but not in mouse cells because the PiT-1 receptor required for cell fusion to occur has a sequence in mice which does not allow cell fusion to occur. As a result mouse tumor cells expressing human PiT-1 are first prepared using methods standard in the art. Human PiT-1 is cloned into a
20 lentiviral vector also comprising a selectable marker gene. The vector is transfected into target CT26 mouse colorectal cancer tumor cells and clones resistant to the selectable marker are selected to generate CT26/PiT-1 cells. PiT-1 expression is confirmed by western blotting in untransfected cells and in cells transfected with the PiT-1 expressing lentivirus and by transfection of a plasmid expressing GALV-R- and confirmation that cell
25 fusion occurs.

The utility of the invention is demonstrated by administering CT26/PiT-1 cells into both flanks of Balb/c mice and allowing the CT26/PiT-1 tumors to grow to approximately 0.5cm in diameter.

The following treatments are then administered to groups of mice (five per group),
30 into one flank of each mouse only 3 times per week for two weeks:

- 50µl of saline (1 group);

- 50 μ l of 10^5 pfu/ml, 10^6 pfu, or 10^7 pfu/ml of the HSV with no inserted gene (3 groups);
- 50 μ l of 10^5 pfu/ml, 10^6 pfu/ml, or 10^7 pfu/ml of the HSV with only mouse GM-CSF inserted (3 groups);
- 5 - 50 μ l of 10^5 pfu/ml, 10^6 pfu/ml, or 10^7 pfu/ml of the virus with only GALV-R- inserted (3 groups); or
- 50 μ l of 10^5 pfu/ml, 10^6 pfu/ml, or 10^7 pfu/ml of the virus with both mouse GM-CSF and GALV-R- inserted (3 groups).

Effects on tumor growth are then observed for up to one month. Superior tumor control and shrinkage in both injected and uninjected tumors with the virus expressing GM-CSF and GALV-R- as compared to the other groups is observed, including through an improved dose response curve.

Example 5. The effect of combined expression of a fusogenic protein and an immune stimulatory molecule from an oncolytic virus on the therapeutic effect of immune checkpoint blockade in mouse tumor models

The experiment in Example 3 above is repeated but mice are additionally dosed bi-weekly by the intra-peritoneal route with an antibody targeting mouse PD-1 (10mg/kg; Bioxcell RMP-1-14 on the same days as virus dosing) or an antibody targeting mouse CTLA-4 (10mg/kg; Bioxcell 9H10 on the same days as virus dosing). An additional group of mice is added which receive no antibody treatment. More specifically, groups of mice receive (1) saline, (2) HSV with no inserted gene, (3) HSV with both GM-CSF and GALV-R-inserted as in Example 3, (4) PD-1 antibody, (5) CTLA-4 antibody, (6) HSV with no inserted gene plus PD-1 antibody, (7) HSV with no inserted gene plus CTLA-4 antibody, (8) HSV with GM-CSF and GALV-R- and PD-1 antibody or (9) HSV with GM-CSF and GALV-R- and CTLA-4 antibody. Superior tumor control and shrinkage in both injected and uninjected tumors with the virus expressing GM-CSF and GALV-R- together with the anti-PD-1 antibody or the anti-CTLA-4 antibody as compared to the other groups is observed, including through an improved dose response curve.

30

Example 6. Collection of Clinical Isolates

The virus species used to exemplify the invention is HSV, specifically HSV1. To exemplify the invention, 181 volunteers were recruited who suffered from recurrent cold sores. These volunteers were given sample collection kits (including Sigma Virovult collection tubes), and used these to swab cold sores when they appeared following which these samples were shipped to Replimune, Oxford UK. From June 2015-February 2016, swabs were received from 72 volunteers. A sample of each swab was used to infect BHK cells. Of these 36 live virus samples were recovered following plating out and growth on BHK cells. These samples are detailed in Table 1.

10

Table 1: Details of Tested Swab Samples & Result

Sample Number	Virus retrieved
RH001A RH001B	No
RH002A	Yes
RH003A	No
RH004A RH004B	Yes
RH005A RH005B	No
RH006A RH006B	No
RH007A RH007B RH007C	Yes
RH008A RH008B RH008C	No
RH009A RH009B	No
RH010A	No
RH011A RH011B RH011C	No
RH012A	No
RH013A	No
RH014A RH014B	Yes
RH015A	Yes

Sample Number	Virus retrieved
RH016A RH016B	No
RH017A	Yes
RH018A RH018B RH018C	Yes
RH019A RH019B RH019C	No
RH020A RH020B RH020C	Yes- RH020A only
RH021A RH021B	Yes
RH022A RH022B	Yes
RH023A	Yes
RH024A	No
RH025A RH025B	Yes –RH025B only
RH026A	Yes
RH027A RH027B RH027C	No
RH028A RH028B RH028C	No
RH029A	No
RH030A	No
RH031A RH031B RH031C RH031D RH031E RH031F	Yes - RH031A to RH031D
RH032A	No
RH033A RH033B RH033C	No
RH034A RH034B RH034C	No
RH035A	No

Sample Number	Virus retrieved
RH036A	Yes
RH037A	Yes
RH038A	Yes
RH039A RH039B RH039C	No
RH040A RH040B RH040C	Yes
RH041A	Yes
RH042A	Yes
RH043A RH043B RH043C	No
RH044A	No
RH045A	No
RH046A	Yes
RH047A RH047B RH047C	Yes- RH047A and RH047C
RH048A	No
RH049A RH049B RH049C	No
RH050A	No
RH051A RH051B	Yes
RH052A RH052B	Yes – RH052A only
RH053A	No
RH054A	No
RH055A RH055B	No
RH056A	Yes
RH057A	No
RH058A RH058B	Yes
RH059A	No
RH060A	No
RH061A	Yes
RH062A	No
RH063A	No

Sample Number	Virus retrieved
RH064A	Yes
RH065A RH065B	Yes
RH066A	No
RH067A RH067B	No
RH068A	No - contaminated
RH069A RH069A	No
RH070A	Yes
RH071A	Yes
RH072A	No
RH073A RH073B	Yes
RH074A RH074B	No
RH075A	No
RH076A	No
RH078A RH078B	No
RH079B RH079B	Yes
RH080A	No
RH081A	Yes
RH082A RH082B	No
RH083A RH083B	Yes
RH084A RH084B RH084C	Yes
RH085A	No
RH086A	No
RH087A RH087B	Yes – RH078B only

Designations A, B, C etc. indicate multiple swabs from the same volunteer.

Example 7. Identification of Clinical Isolates with improved anti-tumor effects

5 The abilities of the primary clinical isolates of HSV1 to kill a panel of human tumor-derived cell lines was tested. The tumor cell lines used for this comparison were

HT29 (colorectal), MDA-MB-231 (breast), SK-MEL-28 (melanoma), Fadu (squamous cell carcinoma), MCF7 (breast), A549 (lung), MIAPACA-2 (pancreas) and HT1080 (fibrosarcoma). The cell lines were used to test for the level of CPE achieved at a range of MOI and times post infection for each of the primary clinical isolates.

5 Experiments were conducted in parallel using 5 to 8 of the new viruses strains at the same time. The virus strains were plated out in duplicate at a range of MOIs (0.001-1), and the extent of CPE following crystal violet staining was assessed at 24 and 48 hours following infection. The viral strains which were most effective at killing the tumor cell lines were scored, and the most effective two or three strains from each screen of 5-8
10 strains were identified and compared in parallel in a further experiment to identify the top strains for further development.

The initial screens demonstrated substantial variability in the ability of the different strains to kill the different tumor cell lines. Of an initial 29 strains tested, 8 strains of interest were identified in the initial screens for further comparison. These were strains
15 RH004A, RH015A, RH018A, RH021A, RH023A, RH31A, RH040A, and RH047A.

The 8 strains for further comparison were tested in parallel on the panel of tumor cell lines, and their relative ability to kill these tumor cell lines was assessed following crystal violet staining and observation for CPE. Figure 3 shows a representative time point and MOI for these viruses on each of the viruses on each of the cell lines demonstrating the
20 differential ability of the viruses to kill the target tumor cell lines observed.

There was substantial variation amongst the strains, and it was found that while a particular strain may be particularly effective at killing one cell line, it is not necessarily particularly effective at killing other cell lines too, further demonstrating the degree of variability in the ability of clinical strains of HSV to kill tumor cells of different types.

25 Figure 3 also indicates which of the virus strains was both best and second best at killing each of the cell lines, enabling the virus strains to be rank ordered as to their overall relative ability to kill the panel of cell lines as a whole. This analysis demonstrated that strains RH004A, RH015A, RH018A, RH031A and RH040A were relatively more effective than the other strains, and these five strains were chosen for potential further
30 development as oncolytic agents. Of these top five strains, the relative rank order based on their abilities to kill across the panel of cell lines was RH018A > RH004A > RH031A > RH040A > RH015A.

More specifically, in these experiments, the tumor cell lines were used to seed multi-well tissue culture plates so that they were about 80% confluent on the day of infection. Representative wells from each tumor cell line were trypsinised and the number of cells in the well determined. These cell counts are used to determine the volume of each clinical isolate required to give an MOI of 1, 0.1, 0.01 and 0.001. Separate wells of a tumor cell line were infected with the clinical isolate at these MOI. All infections are carried out in quadruplicate. Duplicate wells were incubated for 24 hours and duplicate wells were incubated for 48 hours, both at 37°C, 5% CO₂, prior to fixation of the cells with glutaraldehyde and staining with crystal violet. The level of cell lysis was then assessed by gross observation, microscopy (cell counts) and photography.

Strain RH018A, the strain ranked first of all the strains tested was compared to an 'average' strain from the screen (i.e. a strain which was not in the top 8, but was also not in the group of strains which were least effective and killing the panel of tumor cell lines). This comparison showed that Strain RH018A was approximately 10 fold more effective than this average strain (Strain RH065A) at killing the tumor cell lines (i.e. approximately 10 fold less of Strain RH018A was needed to kill an equal proportion of cells than was needed of Strain RH065A). This is shown in Figure 4.

Example 8. Modification of Clinical Isolates

In this Example the clinical isolates selected in Example 7 were modified by deletion of ICP34.5 from the viral genome using homologous recombination with a plasmid containing regions flanking the ICP34.5 encoding gene (nucleotides 143680–145300 and 145,582–147,083 ; HSV1 strain 17 sequence Genbank file NC_001806.2) between which are encoded GFP and the GALV-R-fusogenic glycoprotein. The structure of this virus, (Virus 10) is shown in Figure 5.

Additional viruses based on Strain RH018A were also constructed in which both ICP34.5 and ICP47 (using flanking regions containing nucleotides 123464–124953 and 125727-126781; HSV1 strain 17 sequence Genbank file NC_001806.2) were deleted (resulting in placement of US11 under the control of the ICP47 promoter). To construct these viruses, GFP expressing virus plaques, with GFP expressed in place of ICP47 were first selected. GFP was then removed by homologous recombination with the empty flanking regions, and plaques not expressing GFP were selected. This resulted in an ICP47

deleted virus in which US11 is expressed as an IE protein as it is now under the control of the ICP47 promoter. ICP34.5 was then deleted using homologous recombination with a plasmid containing regions flanking HSV1 nucleotides 143680--145300 and 145,582--147,083; HSV1 strain 17 sequence Genbank file NC_001806.2) between which GFP is encoded. GFP expressing virus plaques were again selected, and GFP then removed by homologous recombination with the same flanking regions but between which are now an expression cassette comprising the genes to be inserted. The viruses that were constructed are shown in Figures 1 and 5. These included a codon optimized version of the mouse GM-CSF sequence and a codon optimized version of the GALV R- sequence driven by the CMV IE promoter and RSV promoter respectively, in a back to back orientation and again selecting virus plaques which do not express GFP. This virus construction was performed using methods which are standard in the art.

The mGM-CSF and GALV-R- sequences are shown in SEQ ID NOs 2 and 8 respectively. The structure of the resulting virus was confirmed by PCR, GM-CSF expression was confirmed by ELISA, and GALV-R- expression was confirmed by infection of human HT1080 tumor cells and the observation of syncitial plaques.

For human use, hGM-CSF is used, the sequence for a codon optimised version of which is shown in SEQ ID NO 4. The structure of this virus is shown in Figure 5. Expression of mouse or human GM-CSF from viruses 16, 17 and 19 is shown in Figure 6.

20

Example 9. A virus of the invention modified for oncolytic use and expressing a fusogenic glycoprotein shows enhanced tumor cell killing *in vitro* as compared to a virus which does not express a fusogenic glycoprotein

Virus 10 (see Figure 5), based on clinical Strain RH018A in which ICP34.5 is deleted and which expresses GALVR- and GFP, was compared *in vitro* to a virus which expresses only GFP (Virus 12). Virus 10 showed enhanced killing on a panel of human tumor cell lines as compared to Virus 12, as shown in Figure 7.

Example 10. A virus of the invention modified for oncolytic use shows enhanced tumor cell killing as compared to a similarly modified virus which is not of the invention

30

Virus 17 (see Figure 5), based on clinical Strain RH018A in which ICP34.5 and ICP47 are deleted and which expresses GALVR- and GM-CSF, was compared *in vitro* to a known virus which was also deleted for ICP34.5 and ICP47 but which was not derived from a strain of the invention and which expresses only GM-CSF. Virus 17 showed enhanced killing on a panel of human tumor cell lines as compared to the previous virus, as shown in Figure 8.

Example 11. A virus of the invention modified for oncolytic use effectively treats mouse tumors *in vivo*

Virus 16 was tested in mice harboring A20 lymphoma tumors in the left and right flanks. One million tumor cells were first implanted in both flanks of Balb/c mice and tumors allowed to grow to 0.5-0.7cm in diameter. Tumors on the right flank were then injected 3 times (every other day) with either vehicle (10 mice) or 5×10^6 pfu of Virus 16 (10 mice), and effects on tumor size observed for a further 30 days. This demonstrated that both injected and uninjected tumors were effectively treated with Virus 16 (see Figure 9).

Example 12. The effect of the combined expression of a fusogenic protein and an immune stimulatory molecule from an oncolytic virus of the invention in a rat tumor model

The GALV R- protein causes cell to cell fusion in human cells but not in mouse cells. However, GALV R- does cause fusion in rat cells.

The utility of the invention was further demonstrated by administering 9L cells into the flanks of Fischer 344 rats and allowing the 9L tumors to grow to approximately 0.5cm in diameter.

The following treatments were then administered to groups of rats (ten per group), into one flank only of each rat three times per week for three weeks:

- 50µl of vehicle;
- 50µl of 10^7 pfu/ml of Virus 19 (expresses mGM-CSF but not GALV R-);
- 50µl of 10^7 pfu/ml of Virus 16 (expresses both mouse GM-CSF and GALV-R-).

Effects on tumor growth were then observed for a further ≈ 30 days. This demonstrated superior tumor control and shrinkage with the virus expressing GALV-R- in both injected and uninjected tumors, demonstrating improved systemic effects. This is shown in Figure 15. Figure 10 shows that a virus expressing GALV (Virus 15) also shows enhanced killing of rat 9l cells *in vitro* as compared to a virus which does not express GALV (Virus 24).

Example 13. A virus of the invention modified for oncolytic use is synergistic with immune checkpoint blockade in mouse tumor models

Virus 16 was tested in mice harboring CT26 tumors in the left and right flanks. One million tumor cells were first implanted in both flanks of Balb/c mice and tumors allowed to grow to 0.5-0.6cm in diameter.

Groups of 10 mice were then treated with:

- Vehicle (3 injections into right flank tumors every other day);
- 5×10^6 pfu of Virus 16 injected in the right flank tumor every other day;
- anti-mousePD1 alone (10mg/kg i.p. every three days, BioXCell clone RMP1-14);
- anti-mouseCTLA-4 (3mg/Kg i.p every three days, BioXCell clone 9D9);
- anti-mousePD1 together with Virus 16;
- anti-mouseCTLA4 together with Virus 16;
- 1-methyl tryptophan (IDO inhibitor (5mg/ml in drinking water));
- anti-mouse PD1 together with 1-methyl tryptophan;
- anti-mouse PD1 together with 1-methyl tryptophan and Virus 16;

Effects on tumor size were observed for a further 30 days. A greater tumor reduction in animals treated with combinations of virus and checkpoint blockade was demonstrated than in animals treated with the single treatment groups (see Figure 11). Enhanced tumor reduction with Virus 16 together with both anti-PD1 and IDO inhibition was also demonstrated as compared to Virus 16 together with only anti-PD1 (see Figure 11).

Enhanced activity of Virus 16 in combination with immune checkpoint blockade was also seen in A20 tumors (Figure 12).

Example 14. The effect of the expression of a fusogenic protein from an oncolytic virus of the invention in human xenograft models in immune deficient mice

The GALV R- protein causes cell to cell fusion in human cells but not in mouse cells. However, human xenograft tumors grown in immune deficient mice can be used to
5 assess the effects of GALV expression on anti-tumor efficacy.

The utility of the invention was therefore further demonstrated by administering A549 human lung cancer cells into the flanks of nude mice and allowing the tumors to grow to approximately 0.5cm in diameter.

The following treatments were then administered to groups of mice (ten per group),
10 into tumor containing flank of each mouse three times over one week:

- 50µl of vehicle;
- 50µl of 10^7 pfu/ml of Virus 16 (expresses both mouse GM-CSF and GALV-R-);
- 50µl of 10^6 pfu/ml of Virus 16;
- 15 - 50µl of 10^5 pfu/ml of Virus 16;
- 50µl of 10^7 pfu/ml of Virus 19 (expresses only mouse GM-CSF);
- 50µl of 10^6 pfu/ml of Virus 19;
- 50µl of 10^5 pfu/ml of Virus 19.

20 Effects on tumor growth were then observed for a further $\approx$ 30 days. This experiment demonstrated superior tumor control and shrinkage with the virus expressing GALV-R- in both tumor models (see Figure 14).

**Example 15. Expression of two immune stimulatory molecules from a virus
25 expressing a fusogenic protein**

Viruses similar to the GALV-R- and mGM-CSF expressing virus described above (Virus 16) were constructed, but additionally expressing mouse versions of CD40L (virus 32), ICOSL (virus 36), OX40L (virus 35), 4-1BBL (virus 33) and GITRL (virus 34). Here, instead of using a plasmid containing ICP34.5 flanking regions and an expression cassette
30 comprising GM-CSF and GALV-R- driven by a CMV and an RSV promoter, a plasmid containing ICP34.5 flanking regions and an expression cassette comprising GM-CSF, GALV and the additional proteins driven by a CMV, an RSV and an MMLV promoter respectively were used for recombination with a virus containing GM-CSF, GALV and

GFP inserted into ICP34.5. Non-GFP expressing plaques were again selected. Correct insertion was confirmed by PCR, and expression by western blotting and/or ELISA for the additional inserted gene. These viruses are shown in Figure 5. Similarly, viruses expressing anti-mouse and anti-human CTLA-4 in addition to GALV and mGM-CSF were also constructed (Viruses 27 and 31 in Figure 5 and see also Figure 13). Effects of viruses expressing anti-mouse CTLA-4 (virus 27), mCD40L (virus 32), m4-1BBL (virus 33) or mOX40L (virus 35) in addition to mGM-CSF and GALVR- *in vivo* is shown in Figure 16 which showed enhanced activity in A20 tumors as compared to virus 16 (expresses mGM-CSF and GALVR-). In these experiments tumors were induced in both flanks of mice, and virus or vehicle injected only into the right flank tumor. The dose of virus used was 5×10^4 pfu (50ul of 1×10^6 pfu/ml in each case), given three times over one week. This dose level of virus is subtherapeutic for uninjected tumors for virus 16, which allows the benefits of the delivery of the additional molecules encoded by viruses 27, 32, 33 and 35 to clearly be seen.

15

Deposit Information

The following HSV1 strains were deposited at the ECACC, Culture Collections, Public Health England, Porton Down, Salisbury, SP4 0JG, United Kingdom on 19 December 2016 by Replimune Limited and were allocated the indicated provisional accession numbers:

RH004A -- Provisional Accession Number 16121902
 RH015A -- Provisional Accession Number 16121903
 25 RH018A -- Provisional Accession Number 16121904
 RH021A -- Provisional Accession Number 16121905
 RH023A -- Provisional Accession Number 16121906
 RH031A -- Provisional Accession Number 16121907
 RH040B -- Provisional Accession Number 16121908
 30 RH047A -- Provisional Accession Number 16121909.

CLAIMS

1. An oncolytic virus which is, or is derived from, a clinical isolate which has been selected by comparing the abilities of a panel of three or more clinical isolates of the same viral species to kill tumor cells of two or more tumor cell lines *in vitro* and selecting a clinical isolate which is capable of killing cells of two or more tumor cell lines more rapidly and/or at a lower dose *in vitro* than one or more of the other clinical isolates in the panel.
2. The virus of claim 1, which is a modified clinical isolate.
3. The virus of claim 1 or 2, which is a herpes simplex virus (HSV).
4. The virus of claim 3 which is a HSV1.
5. The virus of claim 1 which is:
 - strain RH018A having the provisional accession number ECCAC 16121904;
 - strain RH004A having the provisional accession number ECCAC 16121902;
 - strain RH031A having the provisional accession number ECCAC 16121907;
 - strain RH040B having the provisional accession number ECCAC 16121908;
 - strain RH015A having the provisional accession number ECCAC 16121903;
 - strain RH021A having the provisional accession number ECCAC 16121905;
 - strain RH023A having the provisional accession number ECCAC 16121906; or
 - strain RH047A having the provisional accession number ECCAC 16121909.
6. The virus of claim 5 which is strain RH018A having the provisional accession number EACC 16121904.
7. The virus of any one of claims 3 to 6, wherein the virus:
 - (a) does not express functional ICP34.5;
 - (b) does not express functional ICP47; and/or
 - (c) expresses the US11 gene as an immediate early gene.

8. The virus of any one of the preceding claims, wherein the panel comprises 5 or more different clinical isolates.
9. The virus of any one of the preceding claims, wherein the panel comprises 10 or more different clinical isolates.
10. The virus of any one of the preceding claims, wherein the panel comprises 20 or more different clinical isolates.
11. The virus of any one of the preceding claims, wherein the tumor cell lines are human tumor cell lines selected from the group consisting of U87MG (glioma), HT29 (colorectal), LNCaP (prostate), MDA-MB-231 (breast), SK-MEL-28 (melanoma), Fadu (squamous cell carcinoma), MCF7 (breast), A549 (lung), MIAPACA-2 (pancreas), CAPAN-1(pancreas) and HT1080 (fibrosarcoma).
12. The virus of any one of the preceding claims, which comprises:
- (a) one or more immune stimulatory molecules or one or more immune stimulatory molecule encoding genes; and/or
 - (b) one or more fusogenic protein-encoding genes.
13. The virus of claim 12, wherein:
- (a) the fusogenic protein is selected from the group consisting of vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) G-protein, syncitin-1, syncitin-2, simian virus 5 (SV5) F-protein, measles virus (MV) H-protein, MV F-protein, respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) F-protein and a glycoprotein from gibbon ape leukemia virus (GALV), murine leukemia virus (MLV), Mason-Pfizer monkey virus (MPMV) or equine infectious anaemia virus (EIAV) from which the R peptide has been deleted; and/or
 - (b) the immune stimulatory molecule is GM-CSF, IL-2, IL-12, IL-15, IL-18, IL-21, IL-24, , a type I interferon, interferon gamma, a type III interferon, TNF alpha, an antagonist of TGF beta, an immune checkpoint antagonist or an agonist of an immune potentiating pathway including CD40 ligand (CD40L), ICOS ligand, GITR ligand, 4-1-BB ligand, OX40 ligand or flt3 ligand or a modified version of any of these.

14. The virus of any one of the preceding claims, wherein:

(a) the fusogenic protein is the glycoprotein from gibbon ape leukemia virus (GALV) and has the R transmembrane peptide mutated or removed (GALV-R-); and/or

5 (b) the immune stimulatory molecule is GM-CSF, GITRL, ICOSL, 4-1-BBL, OX40L or CD40L, or a modified version thereof, or the immune stimulatory molecules are GM-CSF and GITRL, OX40L, 4-1-BBL, ICOSL or CD40L, or a modified version thereof.

15. The virus of any one of claims 11 to 14, wherein:

10 (a) the fusogenic protein-encoding gene and/or the immune stimulatory molecule-encoding gene are inserted into the ICP34.5 encoding locus, either by insertion, or partial or complete deletion, each under separate regulatory control, optionally in a back to back orientation in relation to each other; and/or

(b) the sequence of the gene encoding the fusogenic protein and/or the
15 sequence of the gene encoding the immune stimulatory molecule is codon optimized so as to increase expression levels in target cells.

16. A virus which expresses three heterologous genes, wherein each of the three heterologous genes is driven by a different promoter selected from the CMV promoter, the
20 RSV promoter, the SV40 promoter and a retroviral LTR promoter.

17. A virus according to any one of the preceding claims, which expresses three heterologous genes, wherein each of the three heterologous genes is driven by a different promoter selected from the CMV promoter, the RSV promoter, the SV40 promoter and a
25 retroviral LTR promoter.

18. The virus of claim 16 or 17, which expresses four heterologous genes driven by each of the CMV promoter, the RSV promoter, the SV40 promoter and a retroviral LTR promoter, respectively.

30 19. The virus of any one of claims 16 to 18, where the retroviral LTR is from MMLV.

20. A virus which expresses three heterologous genes, wherein each of the three heterologous genes is terminated by a different poly adenylation sequence selected from the BGH, SV40, HGH and RBG poly adenylation sequences.
- 5 21. A virus according to any one of the preceding claims, which expresses three heterologous genes, wherein each of the three heterologous genes is terminated by a different poly adenylation sequence selected from the BGH, SV40, HGH and RBG poly adenylation sequences.
- 10 22. The virus of claim 20 or 21, which expresses four heterologous genes terminated by each of the BGH, SV40, HGH and RBG poly adenylation sequences, respectively.
23. The virus of any one of claims 16 to 22 which is
- 15 (a) a HSV;
- (b) a HSV1; or
- (c) a pox virus.
24. A pharmaceutical composition comprising a virus according to any one of claims 1 to 23 and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier or diluent.
- 20 25. The virus of any one of claims 1 to 23 for use in a method of treating the human or animal body by therapy.
26. The virus of any one of claims 1 to 23 for use in a method of treating cancer.
- 25 27. The virus for use according to claim 26, wherein the method comprises administering a further anti-cancer agent.
- 30 28. The virus for use according to claim 27, wherein the further anti-cancer agent is selected from an antagonist of an immune co-inhibitory pathway, an agonist of an immune co-stimulatory pathway, radiation and/or chemotherapy, an agent that targets a specific genetic mutation which occurs in tumors, an agent intended to induce an immune response to one or more tumor antigen(s) or neoantigen(s), a cellular product derived from T cells or NK cells, an agent intended to stimulate the STING, cGAS, TLR or other innate immune

response and/or inflammatory pathway, a second virus optionally an oncolytic virus, an inhibitor of the indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) pathway, and combinations thereof.

29. The virus for use according to claim 28, wherein the antagonist of an immune co-inhibitory pathway is a CTLA-4 inhibitor, a PD-1 inhibitor, a PD-L1 inhibitor, a LAG-3 inhibitor, a TIM-3 inhibitor, a VISTA inhibitor, a CSF1R inhibitor, an IDO inhibitor, a CD47 inhibitor, a KIR inhibitor, a SLAMF7 inhibitor or a CEACAM1 inhibitor, and/or the agonist of an immune co-stimulatory pathway is a GITR agonist, a 4-1-BB agonist, an OX40 agonist, a CD40 agonist or an ICOS agonist.

10

30. The virus for use according to any one of claims 26 to 29, wherein the further anti-cancer agent is an antibody.

31. The virus for use according to any one of claims 26 to 30, wherein the method comprises administering an inhibitor of the indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) pathway and a further antagonist of an immune co-inhibitory pathway, or an agonist of an immune co-stimulatory pathway.

32. The virus for use according to any one of claims 26 to 31, wherein the virus and the further anti-cancer agent(s) are administered separately.

33. The virus for use according to any one of claims 26 to 32, wherein the virus and the further anti-cancer agent(s) are administered concurrently.

34. The virus for use according to any one of claims 26 to 33, wherein the cancer is a solid tumor.

35. A product of manufacture comprising a virus according to any one of claims 1 to 23 in a sterile vial, ampoule or syringe.

30

36. A method of treating cancer, which comprises administering a therapeutically effective amount of the virus of any one of claims 1 to 23 or a pharmaceutical composition according to claim 24 to a patient in need thereof.

37. A method according to claim 36, which further comprises administering a therapeutically effective amount of a further anti-cancer agent to a patient in need thereof.
38. A method according to claim 37, wherein the further anti-cancer agent is selected from an antagonist of an immune co-inhibitory pathway, an agonist of an immune co-stimulatory pathway, radiation and/or chemotherapy, an agent that targets a specific genetic mutation which occurs in tumors, an agent intended to induce an immune response to one or more tumor antigen(s) or neoantigen(s), a cellular product derived from T cells or NK cells, an agent intended to stimulate the STING, cGAS, TLR or other innate immune response and/or inflammatory pathway, a second virus optionally an oncolytic virus, an inhibitor of the indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) pathway, and combinations thereof.
39. A method according to claim 38, wherein the antagonist of an immune co-inhibitory pathway is a CTLA-4 inhibitor, a PD-1 inhibitor, a PD-L1 inhibitor, a LAG-3 inhibitor, a TIM-3 inhibitor, a VISTA inhibitor, a CSF1R inhibitor, an IDO inhibitor, a CEACAM1 inhibitor, a KIR inhibitor, a SLAMF7 inhibitor or a CD47 inhibitor, and/or the agonist of an immune co-stimulatory pathway is a GITR agonist, a 4-1-BB agonist, an OX40 agonist, a CD40 agonist or an ICOS agonist.
40. A method according to claim 38 or 39, wherein the further anti-cancer agent comprises an antibody.
41. A method according to any one of claims 37 to 40, wherein the virus and the further anti-cancer agent(s) are administered separately.
42. A method according to any one of claims 37 to 40, wherein the virus and the further anti-cancer agent(s) are administered concurrently.
43. A method according to any one of claims 37 to 42, wherein the cancer is a solid tumor.
44. Use of the virus of any one of claims 1 to 23 in the manufacture of a medicament for use in a method of treating cancer.

45. Use according to claim 44, wherein the method comprises administering a further anti-cancer agent.
46. A method of selecting an oncolytic virus, the method comprising:
- 5 (i) comparing the abilities of a panel of three or more clinical isolates of the same viral strain to kill tumor cells of two or more tumor cell lines *in vitro*;
- (ii) scoring the abilities of each of the panel of viruses to kill tumor cells;
- (iii) selecting a virus which has one of the best scores;
- (iv) optionally modifying the virus to inactivate one or more viral genes; and/or
- 10 (v) optionally modifying the virus to express one or more immune stimulatory molecule encoding genes and/or one or more fusogenic protein-encoding genes.

Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5A








Figure 5B












Figure 5G







Figure 5H











Figure 6







Figure 7B



Cell death assessed by crystal violet staining; low magnification

Figure 8A




Figure 8B




Figure 9




Figure 10






Figure 1A




Virus: 5 injections of 5×10^6 pfu into the right flank tumor only
Anti-PD1: 10mg/kg i.p. Q3Dx9 (clone RMP1-14; BioXCell)

Figure 11B




Virus: 5 injections of 5×10^6 pfu into the right flank tumor only
Anti-CTLA-4: 3mg/kg i.p. Q3Dx9 (clone 9D9; BioXCell)




Virus: 5 injections of 5×10^6 pfu into the right flank tumor only
Anti-PD1: 10mg/kg i.p. Q3Dx9 (clone RMP1-14; BioXCell)
I-MT: 5mg/ml in drinking water (I-MT alone has no effect)

Figure 12A




Virus: 3 injections of 5×10^6 pfu into the right flank tumor only
Anti-PD1: 10mg/kg i.p. Q3Dx9 (clone RMP1-14; BioXCell)

Figure 12B




Virus: 3 injections of 5×10^5 pfu into the right flank tumor only
Anti-PD1: 10mg/kg i.p. Q3Dx9 (clone RMP1-14; BioXCell)

Figure 12C



Virus: 3 injections of 5×10^4 pfu into the right flank tumor only
Anti-PD1: 10mg/kg i.p. Q3Dx9 (clone RMP1-14; BioXCell)

Figure 12D



LEFT FLANK (NOT INJECTED WITH RP1) TUMORS BY GROUP
N=10/group

Figure 13






Figure 14




Human A549 lung cancer tumors in nude mice (no immune effect)
3 injections of Virus 16 or Virus 19 over 1wk of vehicle or the indicated dose of virus (N=10/group)

Figure 15



Rot 9L glioma tumors in immune competent rats
Virus: 5×10^5 pfu injected 3x/wk into the right tumor only for 3 wks

Figure 16



Mouse A20 tumors in immune competent mice (dual flank tumors)
Viruses: 5×10^4 pfu of the indicated virus injected 3x into the right tumor only