



- (51) **International Patent Classification:**
H04R 25/00 (2006.01) *H03G 3/20* (2006.01)
- (21) **International Application Number:**
PCT/EP2014/073788
- (22) **International Filing Date:**
5 November 2014 (05.11.2014)
- (25) **Filing Language:** English
- (26) **Publication Language:** English
- (30) **Priority Data:**
PA 2013 70656 7 November 2013 (07.11.2013) DK
13191993.8 7 November 2013 (07.11.2013) EP
- (71) **Applicant:** GN RESOUND A/S [DK/DK]; Lautrupbjerg 7, DK-2750 Ballerup (DK).
- (72) **Inventors:** DE VRIES, Aalbert; Lichtstraat 135, NL-5611 XD Eindhoven (NL). FARMANI, Mojtaba; Staerevej 66, 4-404, DK-2400 Copenhagen NV (DK).
- (74) **Agent:** JAKOBSEN, Gert, Høy; Guardian IP Consulting I/S, Diplomvej, Building 381, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby (DK).


(81) **Designated States** (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) **Designated States** (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

(54) **Title:** A HEARING AID WITH PROBABILISTIC HEARING LOSS COMPENSATION

**Fig. 7**

(57) **Abstract:** A hearing aid includes: an input transducer for provision of an audio signal in response to sound; a hearing loss model for calculation of a hearing loss as a function of a signal level of the audio signal; and a probabilistic hearing loss compensator that is configured to process the audio signal into a hearing loss compensated audio signal in such a way that the hearing loss is restored to normal hearing in accordance with the hearing loss model.

A HEARING AID WITH PROBABILISTIC HEARING LOSS COMPENSATION

FIELD

An embodiment described herein relates to a new hearing aid that is configured to perform probabilistic hearing loss compensation in accordance with a predetermined
5 hearing loss model.

BACKGROUND

There are three main types of hearing loss:

- Conductive hearing loss is present when the outer ear canal to the eardrum and the tiny bones (ossicles) of the middle ear cannot conduct sounds efficiently so
10 that sound is not reaching the inner ear, the cochlea.
- Sensorineural hearing loss is present when the inner ear (cochlea) or the nerve that transmits the impulses from the cochlea to the hearing centre in the brain or in the brain is damaged. The most common reason for sensorineural hearing loss is damage to the hair cells in the cochlea.
- 15 ▪ Mixed hearing loss is a combination of the two types of hearing loss discussed above.

Typically, a hearing impaired human suffering from sensorineural hearing loss experiences a loss of hearing sensitivity that is 1) frequency dependent and 2) dependent upon the loudness of sound at an ear.

- 20 Thus, a hearing impaired human may be able to hear certain frequencies, e.g., low frequencies, as well as a human with normal hearing, while other frequencies are not heard as well. Typically, hearing impaired humans experience loss of hearing sensitivity at high frequencies.

- 25 At frequencies with reduced sensitivity, the hearing impaired human is often able to hear loud sounds as well as the human with normal hearing, but unable to hear soft sounds with the same sensitivity as the human with normal hearing. Thus, the hearing impaired human suffers from a loss of dynamic range.

SUMMARY

- 30 A dynamic range compressor may be used in a hearing aid to compress the dynamic range of sound arriving at an ear of the hearing impaired human to match the residual

dynamic range of the human in question. The degree of dynamic hearing loss of the hearing impaired human may be different in different frequency bands.

The slope of the input-output compressor transfer function is referred to as the compression ratio. The compression ratio required by a human may not be constant
5 over the entire input power range, i.e. typically the compressor characteristic has one or more knee-points.

Thus, dynamic range compressors may be configured to perform differently in different frequency bands, thereby accounting for the frequency dependence of the hearing loss of the human in question. Such a multiband or multiband compressor divides an input
10 signal into two or more frequency bands or frequency channels and then compresses each frequency band or channel separately. The parameters of the compressor, such as compression ratio, positions of knee-points, attack time constant, release time constant, etc. may be different for each frequency band.

Dynamic range compressors are fitted to the hearing loss of the human by adjustment
15 of compressor parameters in accordance with accepted fitting rules and based on hearing thresholds determined for the human.

The fitting rules may not be motivated by a mathematical or algorithmic description of the hearing loss that the dynamic range compressor is intended to compensate for, so for example, time constants have to be set in accordance with empirical rules.

In absence of a proper description of the actual hearing loss, performance evaluation of the compressor's hearing loss compensation may be difficult. Indeed comparative
20 evaluation of dynamic range compressor algorithms for hearing loss compensation is almost entirely based on subjective testing.

There is a need for a new method of hearing loss compensation that is based on
25 proper mathematical descriptions of hearing loss. Such methods can be compared to each other and other methods of hearing loss compensation based on objective measures facilitating progress in hearing loss compensation methods.

It is an object of the new method of hearing loss compensation to perform probabilistic hearing loss compensation in accordance with a selected hearing loss model.

According to the new method, an acoustic signal arriving at an ear canal of a hearing
30 impaired human is processed in such a way that the human's auditory system according to the hearing loss model obtains the same listening result as an auditory system of a human with normal hearing.

Thus, a new method of hearing loss compensation is provided, comprising the steps of providing an audio signal in response to sound,

providing a hearing loss model for calculation of hearing loss as a function of a signal level of the audio signal,

- 5 probabilistically processing the audio signal into a hearing loss compensated audio signal in such a way that hearing loss is compensated to normal hearing in accordance with the hearing loss model.

Further, a new hearing aid is provided, comprising

an input transducer for provision of an audio signal in response to sound,

- 10 a hearing loss model for calculation of a hearing loss as a function of a signal level of the audio signal,

a probabilistic hearing loss compensator that is configured to process the audio signal into a hearing loss compensated audio signal in such a way that the hearing loss is restored to normal hearing in accordance with the hearing loss model, and

- 15 preferably

an output transducer for conversion of the hearing loss compensated audio signal to an auditory output signal, such as an acoustic output signal, an implanted transducer signal, etc, that can be received by the human auditory system.

- For example, the hearing aid may aim to restore loudness, such that the loudness of
20 the received signal as it is perceived by a normal listener will match the perceived loudness of the processed signal for the hearing impaired listener.

- Likewise, the hearing loss model may model spectral power patterns, speech intelligibility of the hearing impaired human, or quality of music of the hearing impaired human, or any combination of features of the hearing loss of the hearing impaired
25 human in question.

- The hearing loss model may be a Zurek Model as disclosed in: Patrick M. Zurek and Joseph G. Desloge: "Hearing loss and prosthesis simulation in audiology" The Hearing Journal, 60(7), 2007, Brian Moore and Brian Glasberg: "Simulation of the effects of loudness recruitment and threshold elevation of the intelligibility of speech in quiet and
30 in background of speech", J. Acoust. Soc. Am, 94(4), 2050 – 2062, J. Chalupper and H. Fastl: "Simulation of hearing impairment based on the Fourier time transformation", Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, ICASSP '00 Proceedings, 2000, etc.

The term “probabilistic” refers to the well-defined mathematical framework termed “probability theory”, see, e.g., E. T. Jaynes, Probability Theory: The Logic of Science. Cambridge, UK ; New York, NY: Cambridge University Press, 2003. See also: R. T. Cox, Algebra of Probable Inference. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 2001.

- 5 The probabilistic approach to the design of hearing loss compensation algorithms is different from the well-known non-probabilistic approach, i.e. the deterministic approach.

The probabilistic approach is also termed the Bayesian approach.

- 10 The probabilistic approach to the design of hearing loss compensation algorithms or compensators according to the present disclosure is an inverse design method incorporating a model of the hearing loss to be compensated by the hearing loss compensation algorithm or compensator. Bayesian techniques, i.e. use of the rules of probability theory, allow automatic calculation of the desired hearing loss compensation. Thus, Bayesian inversion, which is an automatic mathematical
15 procedure, leads to an inverse hearing loss model constituting the hearing loss compensation algorithm or compensator: The process of inferring the inverse algorithm or circuit is called ‘probabilistic inference’ or shortly ‘inference’ within probability theory.

According to the present disclosure, Bayesian inference is utilized in a hearing aid for the first time to perform hearing loss compensation in real time.

- 20 Thus, the probabilistic compensator may include an algorithm based on Bayesian inference.

- The probabilistic compensator may be a Kalman filter, an Extended Kalman filter, an online variational Bayesian Kalman filter, a particle filter or an Unscented Kalman filter, etc. Non-linear Kalman filters are e.g. disclosed in: J. V. Candy, Bayesian Signal
25 Processing: Classical, Modern and Particle Filtering Methods. New York, NY, USA: Wiley-Interscience, 2009.

- Advantageously, the probabilistic approach, or Bayesian approach, takes into account uncertainties caused by system noise and observation noise. In accordance with the probabilistic approach, variables or parameters may be defined by probability
30 distributions, e.g. caused by system noise and/or observation noise.

The signal level of the audio signal may be calculated as an average value of the audio signal, such as an rms-value, a mean amplitude value, a peak value, an envelope value, etc.

Often, the hearing loss of a human varies as a function of frequency. Thus, signal processing according to the new method, e.g. in the new hearing aid, may be performed differently for different frequencies, thereby accounting for the frequency dependence of the hearing loss of the human in question.

- 5 In the new hearing aid, the audio signal may be divided (e.g., using circuitry) into two or more frequency bands or frequency channels, and each frequency band or frequency channel may be processed individually.

The new hearing aid may form part of a new binaural hearing aid system comprising two hearing aids, one of which is intended for compensation of hearing loss of the left
10 ear of the human and the other of which is intended for compensation of hearing loss of the right ear of the human. Both hearing aids may operate according to the new method.

The new probabilistic method of hearing loss compensation has the following advantages:

- 15
- The predetermined hearing loss model forms part of the signal processing algorithm,
 - Automatic inference of the hearing loss compensation gain for any given hearing loss model,
 - Proper description of time constants, namely as inverse variances of probability
20 distributions,
 - Allows for probabilistic descriptions of the hearing loss model. In principle, hierarchical hearing loss models that relate individual models to 'average group' models are facilitated,
 - Learning hearing loss compensation is facilitated, and
- 25
- A proper evaluation framework is provided for hearing loss compensation algorithms.

A transducer is a device that converts a signal in one form of energy to a corresponding signal in another form of energy.

The input transducer may comprise a microphone that converts an acoustic signal
30 arriving at the microphone into a corresponding analogue audio signal in which the instantaneous voltage of the audio signal varies continuously with the sound pressure of the acoustic signal. Preferably, the input transducer comprises a microphone.

The input transducer may also comprise a telecoil that converts a magnetic field at the telecoil into a corresponding analogue audio signal in which the instantaneous voltage of the audio signal varies continuously with the magnetic field strength at the telecoil.

Telecoils may be used to increase the signal to noise ratio of speech from a speaker addressing a number of people in a public place, e.g. in a church, an auditorium, a theatre, a cinema, etc., or through a public address systems, such as in a railway station, an airport, a shopping mall, etc. Speech from the speaker is converted to a magnetic field with an induction loop system (also called "hearing loop"), and the telecoil is used to magnetically pick up the magnetically transmitted speech signal.

- 10 The input transducer may further comprise at least two spaced apart microphones, and a beamformer configured for combining microphone output signals of the at least two spaced apart microphones into a directional microphone signal.

The input transducer may comprise one or more microphones and a telecoil and a switch, e.g. for selection of an omni-directional microphone signal, or a directional microphone signal, or a telecoil signal, either alone or in any combination, as the audio signal.

Typically, the analogue audio signal is made suitable for digital signal processing by conversion into a corresponding digital audio signal in an analogue-to-digital converter whereby the amplitude of the analogue audio signal is represented by a binary number.

- 20 In this way, a discrete-time and discrete-amplitude digital audio signal in the form of a sequence of digital values represents the continuous-time and continuous-amplitude analogue audio signal.

Throughout the present disclosure, the "audio signal" may be used to identify any analogue or digital signal forming part of the signal path from the output of the input transducer to an input of the processor.

Throughout the present disclosure, the "hearing loss compensated audio signal" may be used to identify any analogue or digital signal forming part of the signal path from the output of the signal processor to an input of the output transducer.

- Signal processing in the new hearing aid may be performed by dedicated hardware or may be performed in one or more signal processors, or performed in a combination of dedicated hardware and one or more signal processors.

As used herein, the terms "processor", "signal processor", "controller", "system", etc., are intended to refer to CPU-related entities, either hardware, a combination of hardware and software, software, or software in execution.

For example, a "processor", "signal processor", "controller", "system", etc., may be, but
5 is not limited to being, a process running on a processor, a processor, an object, an executable file, a thread of execution, and/or a program.

By way of illustration, the terms "processor", "signal processor", "controller", "system", etc., designate both an application running on a processor and a hardware processor. One or more "processors", "signal processors", "controllers", "systems" and the like, or
10 any combination hereof, may reside within a process and/or thread of execution, and one or more "processors", "signal processors", "controllers", "systems", etc., or any combination hereof, may be localized on one hardware processor, possibly in combination with other hardware circuitry, and/or distributed between two or more hardware processors, possibly in combination with other hardware circuitry.

Also, a processor (or similar terms) may be any component or any combination of
15 components that is capable of performing signal processing. For examples, the signal processor may be an ASIC processor, a FPGA processor, a general purpose processor, a microprocessor, a circuit component, or an integrated circuit.

A hearing aid includes: an input transducer for provision of an audio signal in response
20 to sound; a hearing loss model for calculation of a hearing loss as a function of a signal level of the audio signal; and a probabilistic hearing loss compensator that is configured to process the audio signal into a hearing loss compensated audio signal in such a way that the hearing loss is restored to normal hearing in accordance with the hearing loss model.

25 Optionally, the hearing loss relates to at least one of spectral power, loudness, speech reception threshold, and quality of music.

Optionally, the probabilistic hearing loss compensator is configured to operate based on Bayesian inference.

Optionally, the probabilistic hearing loss compensator comprises a Kalman filter, an
30 Extended Kalman filter, an online variational Bayesian Kalman filter, an Unscented Kalman filter, or a particle filter.

Optionally, the hearing loss model is based on a Zurek model.

Optionally, the signal level of the audio signal comprises an average value of the audio signal, a rms-value of the audio signal, a mean amplitude value of the audio signal, a peak value of the audio signal, or an envelope value of the audio signal.

5 Optionally, the probabilistic hearing loss compensator is configured to determine a gain using a recursive technique.

Optionally, the probabilistic hearing loss compensator is configured to determine the gain based on the hearing loss model.

Optionally, the probabilistic hearing loss compensator is configured to determine the gain using Kalman filtering principle that comprises the recursive technique.

10 A method of hearing loss compensation includes: providing an audio signal in response to sound; providing a hearing loss model for calculation of hearing loss as a function of a signal level of the audio signal; and probabilistically processing the audio signal into a hearing loss compensated audio signal in such a way that the hearing loss is restored to normal hearing in accordance with the hearing loss model.

15 Optionally, the hearing loss relates to at least one of spectral power, loudness, speech reception threshold, and quality of music.

Optionally, the probabilistically processing is performed based on Bayesian inference.

Optionally, the probabilistically processing is performed using a probabilistic compensator, the probabilistic compensator being a Kalman filter, an Extended Kalman
20 filter, an online variational Bayesian Kalman filter, an Unscented Kalman filter, or a particle filter.

Optionally, the probabilistically processing comprises determining a gain using a recursive technique.

25 Optionally, the probabilistically processing comprises determining the gain using Kalman filtering principle that comprises the recursive technique.

Other and further aspects and features will be evident from reading the following detailed description.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

30 The drawings illustrate the design and utility of embodiments, in which similar elements are referred to by common reference numerals. These drawings may or may not be drawn to scale. In order to better appreciate how the above-recited and other

advantages and objects are obtained, a more particular description of the embodiments will be rendered, which are illustrated in the accompanying drawings. These drawings depict only exemplary embodiments and are not therefore to be considered limiting in the scope of the claims.

5 In the following, the new method and hearing aid is explained in more detail with reference to the drawings, wherein

Fig. 1 shows a plot of human normal hearing auditory threshold levels as a function of frequency,

10 Fig. 2 shows an exemplary plot of human hearing loss thresholds and recruitment threshold as a function of frequency,

Fig. 3 shows a plot of input-output transfer function of a Zurek hearing loss model,

Fig. 4 shows a block diagram of a probabilistic prediction model,

Fig. 5 shows a plot of gain calculations based on the Zurek hearing loss model,

15 Fig. 6 shows a plot of gain calculations based on a modified Zurek hearing loss model,

Fig. 7 shows a block diagram of a probabilistic hearing loss compensator,

Fig. 8 shows a block diagram of a hearing aid operating according to the new method, and

20 Fig. 9 shows a block diagram of a hearing aid with a probabilistic hearing loss compensator.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Various embodiments are described hereinafter with reference to the figures. It should also be noted that the figures are only intended to facilitate the description of the embodiments. They are not intended as an exhaustive description of the invention or
25 as a limitation on the scope of the invention. In addition, an illustrated embodiment needs not have all the aspects or advantages shown. An aspect or an advantage described in conjunction with a particular embodiment is not necessarily limited to that embodiment and can be practiced in any other embodiments even if not so illustrated.

In the following, various examples of the new method and hearing aid are illustrated.

30 The new method and hearing aid according to the appended claims may, however, be

embodied in different forms and should not be construed as limited to the examples set forth herein.

The Hearing Loss Model

Fig. 1 shows a plot 10 of human normal hearing auditory threshold levels as a function of frequency. Humans are able to hear sounds in the frequency range from about 20 Hz to about 20 kHz.

The lower curve 20 shows the hearing threshold, i.e. the lowest sound pressure levels the human auditory system can detect. Sound pressure levels below the lower curve cannot be heard by a human with normal hearing.

The upper curve 30 shows the upper comfort level or pain threshold, i.e. the highest sound pressure levels the human can listen to without feeling pain or discomfort.

The range between the hearing threshold 20 and the pain threshold 30 is the dynamic range of normal hearing 40 that varies as a function of frequency.

Different hearing loss models may be provided for the respective types of hearing loss.

For ease of understanding, in the following, the new method of hearing loss compensation is disclosed with relation to a particular hearing loss model, namely the hearing loss model proposed by Patrick M Zurek and Joseph G Desloge: "Hearing loss and prosthesis simulation in audiology", The Hearing Journal, 60(7), 2007, in the following denoted the Zurek model. However, obviously other models of hearing loss may substitute the Zurek model in the new method.

Fig. 2 illustrates a hearing loss modelled by the Zurek model. Fig. 2 shows a plot 50 of four hearing auditory threshold levels as a function of frequency. The thresholds of normal hearing shown in Fig. 1 are plotted as dashed curves 20, 30 in Fig. 2. The second lower most curve 60 is the hearing threshold of a hearing impaired human. The hearing impaired human cannot hear sounds below the second lower most curve 60. The range between the lower most and the second lower most curves 20, 60 represents the lost dynamic range 70 of the hearing impaired human.

The second upper most curve 80 is the recruitment threshold. The hearing impaired human hears sounds above the recruitment threshold 80 as with normal hearing.

Fig. 3 shows a plot 100 of input-output transfer function of a corresponding Zurek hearing loss model in a specific frequency band with a hearing threshold 110 of $L = 70$ dB HL and a recruitment threshold 120 of $R = 90$ dB HL.

According to this hearing loss model, the hearing sensation level f , i.e. the sound level in dB relative to the hearing threshold, is given by:

$$f_{Zurek}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < L \\ \frac{R}{R-L}(x-L) & L \leq x \leq R \\ x & x > R \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

wherein x is the input sound level in dB HL.

5 Probabilistic hearing loss method

In the following, the new method of hearing loss compensation is disclosed with relation to loudness restoration; however, obviously hearing loss may be characterized otherwise, e.g. by speech reception threshold, combinations of loudness and speech reception threshold, etc, to be restored to normal hearing, whereby the human's auditory system according to the selected hearing loss model obtains the same listening result as an auditory system of a human with normal hearing.

For loudness restoration with any model of hearing loss, in a frequency band ω , it is desired to apply a gain g_ω to the input signal so that loudness as perceived by the hearing impaired human is restored to the loudness as perceived by a normal hearing human:

$$f_{HL}(y_\omega + g_\omega) \sim y_\omega \quad (2)$$

where

y_ω is the audio signal level in dB in the frequency band ω ,

g_ω is the estimated gain in dB in the frequency band ω , and

$f_{HL}(\cdot)$ is the hearing loss model.

For simplicity, the frequency band suffix ω is omitted in the equations below.

Fig. 4 illustrates a block diagram of a prediction model 400 formed in accordance with the new method. The illustrated prediction model 400 has a state transition part 410 and an observation part 420, and it calculates the gain based on the audio signal level y_t 430 and a human's hearing model f_{HL} 440.

Fig. 4 illustrates processing in a single frequency band or channel. The illustrated single frequency band may constitute the entire frequency band of a single band probabilistic hearing loss compensator; or, the illustrated single frequency band may constitute one individual frequency band of a plurality of frequency bands of a multiband probabilistic hearing loss compensator.

Rapidly changing gain has unfavourable effects on the sound quality and is therefore not desired.

The prediction model is defined accordingly:

$$g_t = g_{t-1} + w_t \quad (3)$$

- 5 where t is the discrete time index, g is the provided gain in dB, and w_t is the process noise which is modelled by a white Gaussian noise - $w_t \sim N(0, S_t)$, where S_t is the (possibly time-varying) variance of the process noise.

The estimated gain g_t is updated based on an observation model. As mentioned above with relation to equation (1), the hearing-impaired human is desired to perceive

- 10 loudness similar to a normal-hearing human.

Therefore, the difference between $f_{HL}(y_t + g_t)$ and y_t is observed as a zero-mean noise process:

$$y_t = f_{HL}(y_t + g_t) + v_t \quad (4)$$

where v_t is the observation noise which is modelled by a white Gaussian noise - $v_t \sim$

- 15 $N(0, Q_t)$, where Q_t is the (possibly time-varying) variance of the observation noise modelling uncertainties relating to the hearing loss model.

As an example, we compute the steady state gain as a function of the input power for Zurek's hearing loss model. Equation (2) is applied to the Zurek hearing loss model: (5)

$$f_{Zurek}(y + g) = y \rightarrow$$

- 20
$$g = f_{Zurek}^{-1}(y) - y \quad (6)$$

In the Zurek model, see Fig. 3, in order to restore loudness to that of normal hearing, sounds with sound levels between the normal hearing threshold and the recruitment threshold have to be compressed into sound levels between the impaired hearing threshold and the recruitment threshold.

- 25 When $x \geq L$, the Zurek's model $f_{Zurek}(x)$ is a one-to-one function, and $f_{Zurek}(x) \geq 0$.

Thus, for $x \geq L$:

$$f_{Zurek}^{-1}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{R-L}{R}x + L & 0 \leq x \leq R \\ x & x > R \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

And

$$g_{steady_state} = \begin{cases} \frac{R-L}{R}x + L - x & 0 \leq x \leq R \\ 0 & x > R \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where x is the sound level in dB HL; L is the human's hearing threshold, and R is the human's recruitment threshold in dB HL.

5 Fig. 5 is a plot 450 of the gain vs. input curve of the steady-state gain based on the Zurek's hearing loss model. It is shown that the gain 460 required for restoring normal loudness perception, decreases linearly as a function of the hearing level (dB HL) from L dB at 0 dB hearing level to 0 dB at recruitment threshold R . The compression threshold is 0 dB HL and the compression ratio is $R/(R-L)$.

10 According to Zurek's hearing loss model, the human can hear almost like a normal-hearing human when the input sound hearing level is larger than the recruitment threshold R and thus, the provided gain above the recruitment threshold should be 0 dB.

15 The gain-input curve 460 shown in Fig. 5 restores loudness to normal in accordance with Zurek's hearing loss model, but in practise, the large gain at low signals is likely to cause feedback and also amplifies noise that the human does not want to hear. Also large gain variations are likely to be experienced at low signal levels that undesirably distort the signal waveform.

20 Therefore, it is preferred to modify the gain-input curve 460 of Fig. 5 into the gain-input curve 200 shown in Fig. 6 wherein the gain is kept constant at αL dB below a selected compression threshold C .

The compression threshold C may be determined during fitting of the hearing aid to the human.

The gain-input curve 470 shown in Fig. 6 is given by:

$$25 \quad g = \begin{cases} \alpha L & x < C \\ \frac{-\alpha L}{R-C}(x - R) & C \leq x \leq R \\ 0 & x > R \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

wherein x is the input signal level in dB HL.

Equation (9) is inserted into equation (2), whereby

$$f_L(x) = \begin{cases} x - \alpha L & x < C + \alpha L \\ \frac{R-C}{R-(C+\alpha L)}(x-R) + R & C + \alpha L \leq x \leq R \\ x & x > R \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where x is the input sound level in dB HL. L and R represent the hearing threshold and the recruitment threshold of the human in dB HL, respectively. $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, and C is the compression threshold in dB HL, and $\frac{R-C}{R-(C+\alpha L)}$ is the compression ratio of the model.

5 Probabilistic hearing loss compensator

Fig. 7 shows a block diagram of a probabilistic hearing loss compensator 500 that operates in accordance with the new method.

Fig. 7 illustrates processing in a single frequency band or channel ω . The illustrated single frequency band ω may constitute the entire frequency band of a single band probabilistic hearing loss compensator; or, the illustrated single frequency band ω may constitute one individual frequency band of a plurality of frequency bands of a multiband probabilistic hearing loss compensator.

In a multiband probabilistic hearing loss compensator, the frequency bands ω may have the same bandwidth, or, some or all of the frequency bands may have different bandwidths. Varying bandwidths may for example result from frequency warping.

The illustrated probabilistic hearing loss compensator has a hearing loss model f_{hl} 510 and an Extended Kalman filter K_t 520 for determination of the gain applied in the respective frequency band ω .

In the following, the system is assumed to constitute a dynamic system perturbed by Gaussian noise; however it should be noted that any type of Kalman filter may be used as K_t 520 in Fig. 7, such as a Kalman filter, an Extended Kalman filter, an online variational Bayesian Kalman filter, an Unscented Kalman filter or a particle filter, etc, reference is made to the extensive literature on Kalman filters.

Likewise, the Zurek model is used in the illustrated example; however it should be noted that any hearing loss model may be used as the hearing loss model f_{hl} 510 in Fig. 7.

In order to infer the time-varying gain g_t in the selected frequency band ω , we first describe the problem by a generative probabilistic model:

$$g_t = g_{t-1} + w_t,$$

$$y_t = f_{hl}(y_t + g_t) + v_t,$$

$$w_t \sim N(0, S_t),$$

$$v_t \sim N(0, Q_t)$$

Wherein g_t is the gain compensating the hearing loss in accordance with the hearing loss model f_{hl} , y_t is the input signal level in dB SPL, w_t is the system noise, and v_t is the observation noise.

The generative model can be inverted through Bayesian reasoning. In the case that
5 model f_{hl} is a nonlinear model, Bayesian inference by an Extended Kalman filter leads to the following equations:

$$F_t = \frac{\partial f_{hl}}{\partial g} \bigg|_{\hat{g}_t^-, y_t}$$

$$\hat{g}_t^- = \hat{g}_{t-1}$$

$$P_t^- = P_{t-1} + Q_t$$

$$\hat{y}_t = y_t - f_{hl}(\hat{g}_t^- + y_t)$$

$$K_t = P_t^- F_t^T (F_t P_t^- F_t^T + S_t)^{-1}$$

$$\hat{g}_t = \hat{g}_t^- + K_t \hat{y}_t$$

$$P_t = (I - K_t F_t) P_t^-.$$

In another example and under the assumption that the system is a linear dynamic system perturbed with Gaussian noise, a regular Kalman filter may update the gain. In
10 that case, F_t would refer to the linear transfer function of the hearing loss model.

Fig. 8 is a simplified block diagram of a new digital hearing aid 800 operating according to the new method of hearing loss compensation. The hearing aid 800 comprises an input transducer 810, preferably a microphone, for provision of an audio signal 820 input to an analogue-to-digital (A/D) converter 830 for provision of a digital audio signal
15 840 in response to sound signals received at the input transducer 810, a signal processor 850, e.g. a digital signal processor or DSP, that is configured to process the digital audio signal 840 in accordance with the new method of hearing loss compensation into a hearing loss compensated output signal 860, a digital-to-analogue (D/A) converter 870 for conversion of the digital signal 860 into a corresponding

analogue output signal 880, and an output transducer 890, preferably a receiver 890, for conversion of the analogue output signal 880 into an acoustic output signal for transmission towards an eardrum of the human.

Fig. 9 shows parts of the signal processor 850 in more detail, namely an exemplary
 5 multiband probabilistic hearing loss compensator 850. In the illustrated example, the multiband probabilistic hearing loss compensator 850 has $K+1$ frequency bands, and K may be any integer larger than or equal to 1.

The illustrated multiband probabilistic hearing loss compensator 850 has a digital input
 10 for receiving a digital input signal 910 from the A/D converter 830, and a multiband amplifier 920 that performs compensation for frequency dependent hearing loss. The multiband amplifier 920 applies appropriate gains $G_0, G_1, \dots, G_K$ to the respective signals $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_K$ in each of its frequency bands $0, 1, \dots, K$ for compensation of frequency dependent hearing loss. The amplified signals $G_0X_0, G_1X_1, \dots, G_KX_K$ of each frequency band are added together in adder 930 to form the output signal 940.

15 In general, the probabilistic hearing loss compensation may take place individually in different frequency bands. Various probabilistic hearing loss compensators may have different number of frequency bands and/or frequency bands with different bandwidths and/or crossover frequencies.

The multiband probabilistic hearing loss compensator 850 illustrated in Fig. 9 is a
 20 warped multiband probabilistic hearing loss compensator 850 that divides the digital input signal into warped frequency bands $0, 1, 2, \dots, K$.

A non-warped FFT 950 operates on a tapped delay line 960 with first order all-pass filters providing frequency warping enabling adjustment of crossover frequencies, which are adjusted to provide the desired response in accordance with the humans
 25 hearing impairment.

The multiband probabilistic hearing loss compensator 850 further comprises a multiband signal level detector 970 for individual determination of the signal level $S_0, S_1, \dots, S_K$ of each respective frequency band signal $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_K$. The outputs $S_0, S_1, \dots, S_K$ of the signal level detectors 970 are provided to the respective Kalman filters
 30 580 of the probabilistic hearing loss compensator 850 as shown in more detail in Figs. 6 and 8 for determination of probabilistic hearing loss compensating band gains $G_0, G_1, \dots, G_K$ to be applied by the multiband amplifier 920 to the signals $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_K$ of the respective frequency bands.

The multiband signal level detector 970 calculates an average value of the audio signal in each warped frequency band, such as an rms-value, a mean amplitude value, a peak value, an envelope value, e.g. as determined by a peak detector, etc.

5 The multiband signal level detector 970 may calculate running average values of the audio signal; or operate on block of samples. Preferably, the multiband signal level detector operates on blocks of samples whereby required processor power is lowered.

The probabilistic hearing loss compensator gain outputs $G_0, G_1, \dots, G_K$ are calculated and applied batch-wise for a block of samples whereby required processor power is diminished. When the probabilistic hearing loss compensator operates on blocks of
10 signal samples, the probabilistic hearing loss compensator gain control unit 980 operates at a lower sample frequency than other parts of the system. This means that the probabilistic hearing loss compensator gains only change every N 'th sample where N is the number of samples in the block. Probable artefacts caused by fast changing gain values are suppressed by low-pass filters 990 at the gain outputs of the
15 probabilistic hearing loss compensator gain control unit 980 for smoothing gain changes at block boundaries.

Although particular embodiments have been shown and described, it will be understood that they are not intended to limit the claimed inventions, and it will be obvious to those skilled in the art that various changes and modifications may be made
20 without departure from the spirit and scope of the claimed inventions. The specification and drawings are, accordingly, to be regarded in an illustrative rather than restrictive sense. The claimed inventions are intended to cover alternatives, modifications, and equivalents.

CLAIMS

1. A hearing aid comprising:
 - an input transducer for provision of an audio signal in response to sound;
 - 5 a hearing loss model for calculation of a hearing loss as a function of a signal level of the audio signal; and
 - a probabilistic hearing loss compensator that is configured to process the audio signal into a hearing loss compensated audio signal in such a way that the hearing loss is restored to normal hearing in accordance with the hearing loss model.
- 10 2. The hearing aid according to claim 1, wherein the hearing loss relates to at least one of spectral power, loudness, speech reception threshold, and quality of music.
- 15 3. The hearing aid according to claim 1, wherein the probabilistic hearing loss compensator is configured to operate based on Bayesian inference.
4. The hearing aid according to claim 1, wherein the probabilistic hearing loss compensator comprises a Kalman filter, an Extended Kalman filter, an online
20 variational Bayesian Kalman filter, an Unscented Kalman filter, or a particle filter.
5. The hearing aid according to claim 1, wherein the hearing loss model is based on a Zurek model.
- 25 6. The hearing aid according to claim 1, wherein the signal level of the audio signal comprises an average value of the audio signal, a rms-value of the audio signal, a mean amplitude value of the audio signal, a peak value of the audio signal, or an envelope value of the audio signal.
- 30 7. The hearing aid according to claim 1, wherein the probabilistic hearing loss compensator is configured to determine a gain using a recursive technique.
8. The hearing aid according to claim 7, wherein the probabilistic hearing loss compensator is configured to determine the gain based on the hearing loss model.

9. The hearing aid according to claim 7, wherein the probabilistic hearing loss compensator is configured to determine the gain using Kalman filtering principle that comprises the recursive technique.

5

10. A method of hearing loss compensation comprising:
providing an audio signal in response to sound;
providing a hearing loss model for calculation of hearing loss as a function of a signal level of the audio signal; and

10 probabilistically processing the audio signal into a hearing loss compensated audio signal in such a way that the hearing loss is restored to normal hearing in accordance with the hearing loss model.

11. The method according to claim 10, wherein the hearing loss relates to at least one of spectral power, loudness, speech reception threshold, and quality of music.

15

12. The method according to claim 10, wherein the probabilistically processing is performed based on Bayesian inference.

20 13. The method according to claim 10, wherein the probabilistically processing is performed using a probabilistic compensator, the probabilistic compensator being a Kalman filter, an Extended Kalman filter, an online variational Bayesian Kalman filter, an Unscented Kalman filter, or a particle filter.

25 14. The method according to claim 10, wherein the probabilistically processing comprises determining a gain using a recursive technique.

15. The method according to claim 14, wherein the probabilistically processing comprises determining the gain using Kalman filtering principle that comprises the recursive technique.

30

1/9




Fig. 1

2/9




Fig. 2

3/9




Fig. 3

4/9




Fig. 4

5/9

450
{




Fig. 5

6/9




Fig. 6

7/9

500
~




Fig. 7

8/9




Fig. 8

9/9




Fig. 9

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/EP2014/073788A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
INV. H04R25/00
ADD. H03G3/20

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
H04R H03G

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	ZHE CHEN ET AL: "A Novel Model-Based Hearing Compensation Design Using a Gradient-Free Optimization Method", NEURAL COMPUTATION, vol. 17, no. 12, 1 December 2005 (2005-12-01), pages 1-24, XP055110117, DOI: 10.1162/089976605774320575 page 4; figure 2 section 2; page 4 - page 7 equations 2.4 and 2.5; page 9 - page 10 section 3.2; page 14 - page 15 section 5; page 17 page 19 ----- -/--	1-15



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

6 February 2015

Date of mailing of the international search report

17/02/2015

Name and mailing address of the ISA/

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Carrière, Olivier

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No

PCT/EP2014/073788

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
T	Y. C. HO ET AL: "A Bayesian Approach to Problems in Stochastic Estimation and Control", IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON AUTOMATIC CONTROL, vol. 9, no. 4, 1 October 1964 (1964-10-01) , pages 333-339, XP055110386, DOI: 10.1109/TAC.1964.1105763 abstract -----	
X	WO 2004/111994 A2 (DOLBY LAB LICENSING CORP [US]; SEEFELDT ALAN JEFFREY [US]; SMITHERS MI) 23 December 2004 (2004-12-23) page 5, line 10 - line 15 page 22, line 9 - page 23, line 7 equation 23; page 22 page 9, line 20 - line 26 -----	1,2, 6-11,14, 15
X	WO 2013/091703 A1 (WIDEX AS [DK]; ANDERSEN KRISTIAN TIMM [DK]; JENSEN MORTEN HOLM [DK]) 27 June 2013 (2013-06-27) page 1, line 3; figure 1 page 5 - page 7; figure 2 page 3, line 1 - line 5 -----	1,2, 5-11,14, 15
A	PATRICK M. ZUREK; JOSEPH G. DESLOGE: "Hearing loss and prosthesis simulation in audiology", THE HEARING JOURNAL, vol. 60, no. 7, 1 July 2007 (2007-07-01), pages 32-38, XP002722399, cited in the application page 36, column 1, paragraph 3 - paragraph 5; figure 2 -----	1,5
A	BONDY J ET AL: "A novel signal-processing strategy for hearing-aid design: neurocompensation", SIGNAL PROCESSING, ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHERS B.V. AMSTERDAM, NL, vol. 84, no. 7, 1 July 2004 (2004-07-01), pages 1239-1253, XP004513243, ISSN: 0165-1684, DOI: 10.1016/J.SIGPRO.2004.04.006 page 1242, column 2, paragraph 2 ----- -/--	1,10

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/EP2014/073788

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	BYRNE D ET AL: "NAL-NL1 procedure for fitting nonlinear hearing aids: characteristics and comparisons with other procedures", JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF AUDIOLOGY, THE ACADEMY, BURLINGTON, CA, vol. 12, no. 1, 1 January 2001 (2001-01-01), pages 37-51, XP009167647, ISSN: 1050-0545 page 42, column 2, paragraph 2 - paragraph 3 page 45, column 2, paragraph 2 - page 46, column 1, paragraph 1; figures 6,7 -----	1,10
A	BONDY J ET AL: "Modeling intelligibility of hearing-aid compression circuits", CONFERENCE RECORD OF THE 37TH. ASILOMAR CONFERENCE ON SIGNALS, SYSTEMS, & COMPUTERS. PACIFIC GROOVE, CA, NOV. 9 - 12, 2003; [ASILOMAR CONFERENCE ON SIGNALS, SYSTEMS AND COMPUTERS], NEW YORK, NY : IEEE, US, vol. 1, 9 November 2003 (2003-11-09), pages 720-724, XP010702285, DOI: 10.1109/ACSSC.2003.1292008 ISBN: 978-0-7803-8104-9 page 721, column 1, paragraph 4 -----	1,5,10
A	ZHE CHEN ET AL: "Theory of Monte Carlo sampling-based alopex algorithms for neural networks", ACOUSTICS, SPEECH, AND SIGNAL PROCESSING, 2004. PROCEEDINGS. (ICASSP ' 04). IEEE INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON MONTREAL, QUEBEC, CANADA 17-21 MAY 2004, PISCATAWAY, NJ, USA,IEEE, PISCATAWAY, NJ, USA, vol. 5, 17 May 2004 (2004-05-17), pages 501-504, XP010718975, ISBN: 978-0-7803-8484-2 page 501, column 1, paragraph 2 -----	4,13

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No

PCT/EP2014/073788

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 2004111994	A2	23-12-2004	AT 371246 T 15-09-2007
		AU 2004248544 A1 23-12-2004	
		BR PI0410740 A 27-06-2006	
		CA 2525942 A1 23-12-2004	
		CN 1795490 A 28-06-2006	
		CN 101819771 A 01-09-2010	
		DE 602004008455 T2 21-05-2008	
		DK 1629463 T3 10-12-2007	
		EP 1629463 A2 01-03-2006	
		ES 2290764 T3 16-02-2008	
		HK 1083918 A1 26-10-2007	
		HK 1105711 A1 28-03-2014	
		IL 172108 A 30-11-2010	
		JP 4486646 B2 23-06-2010	
		JP 2007503796 A 22-02-2007	
		KR 20060013400 A 09-02-2006	
		MX PA05012785 A 22-02-2006	
		SG 185134 A1 29-11-2012	
		US 2007092089 A1 26-04-2007	
		WO 2004111994 A2 23-12-2004	
WO 2013091703	A1	27-06-2013	EP 2820863 A1 07-01-2015
			US 2014247956 A1 04-09-2014
			WO 2013091703 A1 27-06-2013