



US009444907B2

(12) **United States Patent**  
**Juan et al.**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,444,907 B2**  
(45) **Date of Patent:** **\*Sep. 13, 2016**

(54) **PREDICTION OF USER RESPONSE TO INVITATIONS IN A SOCIAL NETWORK SYSTEM BASED ON KEYWORDS IN THE USER'S PROFILE**

(75) Inventors: **Yun-Fang Juan**, San Jose, CA (US);  
**Kang-Xing Jin**, Palo Alto, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **Facebook, Inc.**, Menlo Park, CA (US)

(\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 1149 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **13/428,765**

(22) Filed: **Mar. 23, 2012**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2012/0179637 A1 Jul. 12, 2012

**Related U.S. Application Data**

(63) Continuation of application No. 13/214,211, filed on Aug. 21, 2011, now Pat. No. 8,145,584, which is a continuation of application No. 11/893,820, filed on Aug. 16, 2007, now Pat. No. 8,027,943.

(51) **Int. Cl.**  
**G06Q 10/10** (2012.01)  
**H04L 29/08** (2006.01)  
**G06Q 50/00** (2012.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**  
CPC ..... **H04L 67/306** (2013.01); **G06Q 10/10** (2013.01); **G06Q 50/01** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**  
CPC ..... G06F 17/30  
USPC ..... 706/45; 705/319; 709/218  
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,963,900 B2	11/2005	Boyd
7,069,308 B2	6/2006	Abrams
7,539,697 B1	5/2009	Akella et al.
7,606,168 B2	10/2009	Robinson et al.
7,613,769 B1	11/2009	Hess
7,797,345 B1	9/2010	Martino et al.
7,856,449 B1	12/2010	Martino et al.
7,860,889 B1	12/2010	Martino et al.
8,171,128 B2	5/2012	Zuckerberg et al.
8,832,132 B1	9/2014	Spertus et al.
2004/0006470 A1	1/2004	Kobayashi
2004/0148275 A1	7/2004	Achlioptas
2004/0204973 A1	10/2004	Witting et al.
2005/0120084 A1	6/2005	Hu et al.

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

WO WO 2007/070676 A2 6/2007

*Primary Examiner* — Stanley K Hill


*Assistant Examiner* — Ola Olude Afolabi

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Fenwick & West LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A social networking system selects a subset of keywords from a set of master keywords found in user profiles. The method includes selecting a first and second group of user profiles including one or more keywords and computing the number of occurrences of each of the master keywords in the first and second group of profiles. A value may be computed for each of the master keywords based on a comparison of the number of occurrences in the first group of profiles and the number of occurrences in the second group of profiles. The computed value may be used for selecting the subset of keywords from the master keywords and/or ranking the master keywords.

**28 Claims, 3 Drawing Sheets**



(56)

**References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2005/0198031	A1	9/2005	Pezaris et al.	2006/0251339	A1	11/2006	Gokturk et al.
2005/0209999	A1	9/2005	Jou	2007/0038594	A1	2/2007	Goodwin et al.
2006/0004892	A1	1/2006	Lunt et al.	2007/0043688	A1	2/2007	Kountz et al.
2006/0048059	A1	3/2006	Etkin	2008/0091723	A1	4/2008	Zuckerberg et al.
2006/0064431	A1	3/2006	Kishore et al.	2008/0281622	A1	11/2008	Hoal
2006/0143066	A1	6/2006	Calabria	2009/0049127	A1	2/2009	Juan et al.
2006/0143183	A1	6/2006	Goldberg et al.	2009/0055435	A1	2/2009	Kiviluoto et al.
2006/0173838	A1	8/2006	Garg et al.	2011/0185020	A1	7/2011	Ramamurthy et al.
				2012/0036127	A1	2/2012	Work et al.
				2013/0254192	A1	9/2013	Work et al.
				2013/0290448	A1	10/2013	Work et al.
				2013/0297589	A1	11/2013	Work et al.





FIG. 1



**FIG. 2**




FIG. 3

**PREDICTION OF USER RESPONSE TO  
INVITATIONS IN A SOCIAL NETWORK  
SYSTEM BASED ON KEYWORDS IN THE  
USER'S PROFILE**

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED  
APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/214,211, filed Aug. 21, 2011, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,145,584, which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/893,820, filed Aug. 16, 2007, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,027,943, each of which is incorporated by reference in their entirety.

This application also incorporates by reference the following: U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/639,655, filed on Dec. 14, 2006, now U.S. Pat. No. 9,183,599, entitled "Social Mapping Between Members in a Social Network," which in turn claims the benefit and priority of U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 60/750,844 filed on Dec. 14, 2005, entitled "Systems and Methods for Social Mapping"; U.S. Pat. No. 7,797,256, filed on Aug. 2, 2006, entitled "Dynamically Generating Segmented Community Flyers"; U.S. Pat. No. 7,669,123, filed on Aug. 11, 2006, entitled "Dynamically Providing a News Feed About a User of a Social Network"; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/580,210, filed on Oct. 11, 2006, now U.S. Pat. No. 7,945,653, entitled "Tagging Digital Media"; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/796,184, filed on Apr. 27, 2007, now U.S. Pat. No. 7,970,657, entitled "Giving Gifts and Displaying Assets in a Social Network Environment," which in turn claims the benefit and priority of U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 60/899,121 filed on Feb. 2, 2007, entitled "Systems and Methods for Automatically Giving Gifts and Displaying Assets in a Social Network Environment"; and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/893,797 filed on Aug. 16, 2007, entitled "System and Method for Invitation Targeting in a Web-Based Social Network."

BACKGROUND

This invention relates generally to social networks, and more particularly to invitations in a social network.

Social network environments present many types of invitations to users. Examples of an invitation include an advertisement, a request to join a group, a request for an information exchange, a survey, a request to write a blog entry, a request to verify a photo tag, and so forth.

It is often useful to be able to characterize major differences between two sets of users in a social network. A probability function may be useful for predicting the likelihood of a positive or negative response by a user to an invitation. In a co-pending application, the probability function may be determined from user information including keywords in selected user profiles and from the users' responses to a prior invitation. See U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/893,797 filed on Aug. 16, 2007, entitled "System and Method for Invitation Targeting in a Web-Based Social Network." However, those techniques do not allow for a determination of characteristics of the users who respond and/or do not respond to the invitation (e.g., what distinguishes the users who respond from the users who do not respond, how do the users who responded differ demographically from users in general and from users who do not respond, etc.). For example, the number of users who respond may not be sufficient to provide useful information or make statistically significant inferences. The raw infor-

mation about the keywords used in determining the probability function may be insufficient to provide useful details about a correlation between user information and the users. Moreover, data manipulation used in determining the probability function may reduce the user information including the keywords to a format that is not easily interpreted and make it difficult to extract insights directly from the keywords or the probability function results.

SUMMARY

In one embodiment, the present invention provides a method of using responses to an invitation for selecting keywords that are useful in determining characteristics of a user. The method includes selecting two groups of users and calculating a histogram of the keywords for each group. The histograms are compared and the keywords ranked from high to low based on the comparison. A predetermined number of the highest ranked keywords may be selected. Various criteria may be used for selecting either of the two groups. For example, the two groups may be selected from users who respond to an invitation and users who do not respond to the invitation, respectively. Alternatively, the two groups may be selected from users who are likely to respond to the invitation and a random selection of users, respectively. In some embodiments, the comparison of the histograms for the two groups may be based on an arithmetic difference between corresponding entries in the two groups for each keyword. Alternatively, the comparison may be based on a ratio difference, an information gain, an odds ratio, a relevancy score, and so forth.

In another embodiment, the invention provides a method for selecting a subset of keywords from a set of master keywords in user profiles of social network users. The method comprises computing a first histogram of each keyword in the set of master keywords based on the occurrence of the keywords in a first group of user profiles, computing a second histogram of each keyword in the set of master keywords based on the occurrence of the keywords in a second group of user profiles, computing a score based on a comparison of the first histogram and the second histogram for each keyword in the set of master keywords, ranking the master keywords based on the scores of the keywords, and selecting a subset of keywords from the master keywords based on the ranking.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 illustrates an exemplary web based social network environment that may be used with various embodiments of the invention.

FIG. 2 illustrates one embodiment of the architecture of the keyword extraction engine of FIG. 1.

FIG. 3 is a flow chart of an exemplary method for selecting target users of a web-based social network environment.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The present invention provides a method for keyword selection in a web-based social network. In one embodiment, a first group and second group of users are selected. The number of occurrences of each keyword in the profiles of the users in the first group may be calculated to produce a first histogram. Likewise, a second histogram may be calculated for the second group. The first histogram may be compared to the second histogram by calculating a score for

each keyword based on a difference between the number of occurrences of the keyword in the first group and the number of occurrences of the same keyword in the second group. The keywords may be ranked according to the difference calculated for each keyword, and a set of the highest ranked keywords selected. Alternatively, the comparison of the first histogram to the second histogram may be based on functions other than a difference.

FIG. 1 illustrates an exemplary web based social network environment 100 that may be used with various embodiments of the invention. One or more users 102 at user devices 110 are coupled to a social network provider 130 via a communications network 120. The users 102 may include various types of users, including a first group of users 102A who are selected to be members of the first group and a second group of users 102B who are selected to be members of a second group. In various embodiments, user devices 110 may include a computer terminal, a personal digital assistant (PDA), a wireless telephone, a digital camera, a mobile device, a mobile phone, a cell-phone, a smart-phone, a notebook computer, a laptop computer, a hand-held game console, and so forth. In various embodiments, the communications network 120 may include a local area network (LAN) such as an intranet, a wide area network (WAN) such as the Internet, a wireless network, etc.

The social network provider 130 is an entity or person that provides social networking services, communication services, dating services, company intranets, and/or online games, etc. The social network provider 130 may assemble and store profiles of the users 102 for use in providing the social networking services. In some embodiments, the social network environment 100 includes a segmented community, such as a separate, exclusive or semi-exclusive subset of the social network environment 100, wherein users 102 who are segmented community members may access and interact with other members of their respective segmented community. The social network environment 100 further includes a keyword extraction engine 140 coupled to the social network provider 130.

FIG. 2 illustrates one embodiment of the architecture of the keyword extraction engine 140 of FIG. 1. The keyword extraction engine 140 is configured to select keywords that are useful in characterizing the users 102. The keyword extraction engine 140 includes a profile database 200, a group selection module 210, a histogram module 220, a score module 230, an optional ranking module 240, and a keyword selection module 250.

The profile database 200 manages profile information that is provided by users 102 of the social network. The profile information may contain keywords. Keywords may be words or phrases relating to information about the users 102. Keywords include words relating to demographics, interests, usage, actions, or other information that may describe each of the users 102. Keywords may be entered by the user, may be entered about the user 102, or may be inferred from other information about the user 102. A user profile may include multiple occurrences of one or more keywords. The profile information for the users 102 may be found in more than one database available to the social network provider 130, for example, the social network provider 130 and/or the keyword extraction engine 140.

The profile database 200 may store values including numerical values, binary values, and/or categorical values to represent various types of keywords. A numerical value may represent an age, a phone number, or other data normally expressed in numbers. A binary number may represent occurrence or non-occurrence of a keyword in the profile of

a user 102. For example, if the keyword is football, a “1” means that the keyword “football” occurs at least once in the profile of the user 102 and a “0” means that “football” does not occur in the profile of the user 102. In some embodiments, a “1” may mean that a keyword (e.g., “football”) occurs more than a predetermined number of times. A categorical value may represent a selection from a list. For example, political views may be categorized as 1=liberal, 2=conservative, 3=independent, etc.

Demographic keywords may include information regarding age, gender, relationship status, home state, and school. Demographic keywords may be represented by numerical values, binary values, and/or categorical values. Keywords relating to interests include book titles, authors, movies, television programs, and music. In the illustrated embodiment, keywords relating to interests are represented by binary values. Examples of keywords relating to usage include information regarding friendships, blog posts, online gifts given and received via the social network provider 130, online purchases via the social network provider 130, photo uploads and downloads, photo tags, and photo tag confirmations, and may be represented by numerical values, binary values, and/or categorical values.

Table 1 illustrates various types of keywords that may be stored in the profile database 200. For example, the keyword “Birth Year” in the Keyword Names column of Table 1 is a Demographic keyword and may be represented by a numerical value. The keyword, “Political Views” is also a demographic keyword but may be represented by a categorical value (e.g., 1=liberal, 2=conservative, 3=independent, etc.). The entry “Top 5000 Favorite Movies” in the Keyword Names column represents 5000 different keywords each associated with a different one of 5000 of the most popular movie titles in the profile database, respectively. For example, the movie title “Gone With The Wind” may be a keyword. Each of the 5000 keywords is an Interest keyword and is represented by a binary value in the illustrated embodiment to indicate that the movie title occurs or does not occur in the profile of a user 102. While Demographic and Interest keyword types are illustrated in Table 1, other keyword types (e.g., contacts, skills, etc.) may also be included.

TABLE 1

Keywords		
Keyword Names	Keyword Type	Value
Gender	Demographic	Categorical
Birth Year	Demographic	Numerical
Political Views	Demographic	Categorical
Relationship Status	Demographic	Categorical
User Type	Demographic	Categorical
Top 5000 Favorite Movies	Interests	5000 Binary
Top 5000 Favorite Books	Interests	5000 Binary
Top 5000 Favorite Music	Interests	5000 Binary
Top 5000 Favorite Activities	Interests	5000 Binary
Top 5000 Favorite TV shows	Interests	5000 Binary

The group selection module 210 is configured to select a first group of users 102A and a second group of users 102B. The group selection module 210 may use various criteria for selecting users 102 for the first group and/or the second group. For example, an invitation may be sent to a number of users 102, and the group selection module 210 may select the users 102A from users 102 who respond positively to the invitation for the first group and the users 102B from users 102 who respond negatively to the invitation for the second

5

group. Alternatively, the first or second group may include a random selection of all users 102.

In some embodiments, the group selection module 210 is configured to use a probability function to select the first group of users 102A and/or the second group of users 102B. The probability function may be used to calculate a probability that a user 102 will respond to an invitation. The group selection module 210 may select the users 102A from users 102 for whom the calculated probability of a positive response is greater than (or less than) a threshold. The second group may include a random selection of all users 102. Alternatively, the second group may be selected from users 102 for whom the calculated probability of a positive response is less than (or greater than) the threshold for inclusion in the first group, or another threshold. The threshold may be selected to provide a desired number of users 102A and/or 102B.

The methods of selecting two groups of users are not limited to using the various combinations of probability calculations, random selection, and/or user responses discussed above. Other methods of selecting pairs of groups may be used. For example, selection of pairs of groups may be based on activities initiated by the users 102, activities directed at the users 102 by others, inferred characteristics of the users 102, capabilities of the users 102, skill levels of the users 102, etc. More information about group selection and keyword selection is contained in a paper entitled "Personalization for Online Social Networks" by Yun-Fang Juan, et al., presently unpublished and attached hereto as an appendix.

The histogram module 220 is configured to calculate a first histogram for the first group and a second histogram for the second group. For each of the keywords, the histogram module 220 determines the number of profiles in which the keyword occurs in the first group and number of profiles in which the keyword occurs in the second group. The histogram module 220 may store the number as a percentage of the total number of profiles in the first group and the second group, respectively.

Table 2 includes "Keyword," "First Group," "Second Group," and "Score" columns. Table 2 is sorted according to values in the "Score" column, which is discussed below. The "First Group" column in Table 2 represents the first histogram and the "Second Group" column represents the second histogram. Each of the keywords in the "Keyword" column is associated with a percentage in the "First Group" column and another percentage in the "Second Group" column. For example, the keyword "red hot chili peppers" is associated 6 percent in the "First Group" column and 0.9 percent in the "Second Group" column. Thus, the keyword "red hot chili peppers" occurs in 6 percent of the profiles of the users 102A in the first group and in 0.9 percent of the profiles of the users 102B in the second group.

Values other than percentages may be represented in the first and second histograms, for example, frequency of occurrences, total number of occurrences, probability of occurrence, etc. To avoid storing useless information, the histogram module 220 may omit a keyword, for example, when the keyword occurs in substantially all of the profiles or none of the profiles. While Table 2 illustrates 20 keywords, fewer or more keywords may be included in the first and the second histograms. Some of the keywords illustrated in Table 2 are demographic type keywords. For example, "politics (liberal)," "age range (20-25)," and "age range (50-55)." In some embodiments, a numerical value may be used to calculate a histogram entry. For example, an age entry for the first and the second histograms may be based

6

on an average age, maximum age, minimum age, mean age, etc. of the users 102A in the first group and users 102B in the second group, respectively.

TABLE 2

Keyword Histogram			
Keyword	First Group	Second Group	Score
24 (tv show)	15.0	0.2	14.8
sportscenter	12.0	1.0	11.0
wedding crashers	8.0	0.8	7.2
age range (20-25)	11.0	5.0	6.0
friday night lights	6.0	0.8	5.2
red hot chili peppers	6.0	0.9	5.1
seinfeld	6.0	2.0	4.0
remember titans	13.0	12.0	1.0
baseball	5.0	4.0	1.0
girls	2.0	1.0	1.0
lost	0.9	0.5	0.4
family guy	0.3	0.1	0.2
south park	0.3	0.1	0.2
basketball	0.6	0.4	0.2
politics (liberal)	0.1	0.0	0.1
gladiator	0.3	0.2	0.1
foo fighters	0.5	0.4	0.1
happy gilmore	0.1	0.1	0.0
sports	0.1	0.1	0.0
age range (50-55)	0.0	0.0	0.0

The score module 230 is configured to calculate a score for each keyword based on a function  $F(A_j, B_j)$  where,  $A_j$  is a value representing the  $j^{th}$  keyword in the "First Group" column (first histogram) and  $B_j$  is a value representing  $j^{th}$  keyword in the "Second Group" column (second histogram). An example of the function  $F(A_j, B_j)$  includes a difference between  $A_j$  and  $B_j$ . The Score column in Table 2 illustrates values representing an arithmetic difference ( $A_j - B_j$ ) for each keyword. For example, the score for the keyword "red hot chili peppers" is 5.1, which is equal to 6 percent in the "First Group" column minus 0.9 percent in the "Second Group" column. In various embodiments, the score function  $F(A_j, B_j)$  includes an arithmetic difference, a ratio difference, an information gain, an odds ratio, a relevancy score, and so forth, as illustrated in Table 3.

TABLE 3

Examples of Score Functions $F(A_j, B_j)$	
Score Function Type	Function $F(A_j, B_j)$
Arithmetic Difference	$(A_j - B_j)$
Ratio Difference	$(A_j/B_j)$
Information Gain	$H(A_j) - H(B_j)$
	$H(p)$ denotes entropy of $p$ where:
	$H(p) = p \log_2\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) + (1 - p) \log_2\left(\frac{1}{1 - p}\right)$ and
	$p = \left(\frac{\text{positive examples}}{\text{total number of examples}}\right)$
Odds Ratio	$(A_j(1 - B_j))/((1 - A_j)B_j)$
Relevancy Score	$((A_j + D)/(B_j + D))$
	$D$ is the Laplace succession parameter

The ranking module 240 is configured to arrange the histogram according to the scores of each keyword. The histogram may be ranked from highest score to lowest score, as illustrated in Table 2. For example, the keyword "red hot



chili peppers” is ranked fifth in the histogram illustrated in Table 2. Alternatively, the histogram may be ranked from lowest score to highest score

The keyword selection module 250 may select a predetermined number of keywords from the ranked histogram. For example, keywords having the highest six scores (i.e., “24,” “sportscenter,” “wedding crashers,” “old,” “friday night lights,” and “red hot chili peppers”) may be selected from the histogram in Table 2. Alternatively, the keyword selection module 250 may select keywords having a score greater than a threshold value, before or after the ranking module 240 arranges the histogram according to score. For example, the three keywords “24,” “sportscenter,” and “wedding crashers” may be selected based on having a score greater than 7.0. In various embodiments, the keyword selection module 250 may select keywords based on other criteria, for example, a predetermined number of the keywords having the lowest scores, keywords having scores lower than a threshold value, and so on.

Although the keyword extraction engine 140 is described as being comprised of various components (i.e., the profile database 200, the group selection module 210, the histogram module 220, the score module 230, the ranking module 240, and the keyword selection module 250), fewer or more components may comprise the keyword extraction engine 140 and still fall within the scope of various embodiments.

FIG. 3 is a flow chart of an exemplary method 300 for selecting a subset of keywords from a set of master keywords in a social network environment 100. In step 302, a first group of user profiles is selected and in step 304, a second group of user profiles is selected. In step 306, a first histogram is computed for each keyword in the master keywords based on a number of occurrences of the keyword in the first group of user profiles. In step 308, a second histogram is computed for each keyword in the master keywords based on a number of occurrences of the keyword in the second group of user profiles. The histograms computed in steps 306 and 308 may include the percentage or the number of occurrences of each of the keywords in the first and second groups, respectively. In step 310, a score is computed based on a comparison of the first histogram and the second histogram for each keyword in the master keywords. In optional step 312, the master keywords are ranked based on the scores, for example, by sorting the scores from highest to lowest. In step 314, a subset of keywords is selected based on the score for each of the master keywords. As above, the one hundred highest scored keywords may be selected from the master keywords based on the ranking. Alternatively, the keywords having a score greater than a predetermined number may be selected.

While the method 300 is described as being comprised of various steps, fewer or more steps may comprise the process and still fall within the scope of various embodiments. The order of the steps in the method 300 may be varied and still fall within the scope the various embodiments. For example, the step 306 of computing a first histogram may be performed after the step 308 of computing the second histogram. In some embodiments, the steps of method 300 may be performed by the keyword extraction engine.

The embodiments discussed herein are illustrative of the present invention. As these embodiments of the present invention are described with reference to illustrations, various modifications or adaptations of the methods and/or specific structures described may become apparent to those skilled in the art. All such modifications, adaptations, or variations that rely upon the teachings of the present invention, and through which these teachings have advanced the

art, are considered to be within the spirit and scope of the present invention. Hence, these descriptions and drawings should not be considered in a limiting sense, as it is understood that the present invention is in no way limited to only the embodiments illustrated.

What is claimed is:

1. A computer-implemented method comprising:

obtaining keywords from user profiles of a group of users of a social networking system who previously responded to an invitation;

extracting a set of keywords from a subject user profile of a subject user of the social networking system;

determining a first occurrence of keywords in the set of keywords in a first group of user profiles corresponding to a group of users of the social networking system who responded positively to the invitation;

determining a second occurrence of keywords in the set of keywords in a second group of user profiles corresponding to a group of users who responded negatively to the invitation;

computing a score for one or more of the keywords in the set of keywords based on a comparison of the first occurrence and the second occurrence; and

predicting a response to the invitation by the subject user based on the score for one or more of the keywords.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the computed score for the one or more keywords in the set of keywords is based on a function of the first occurrence of the keywords and the second occurrence of the keywords, wherein the function is selected from a group consisting of: an arithmetic difference, a ratio difference, an information gain, an odds ratio, and a relevancy score.

3. The method of claim 1, wherein the computed score for the one or more keywords in the set of keywords is based on a function that increases as a difference between the first occurrence of a keyword in the group of user profiles corresponding to the group of users who responded positively to the invitation versus the second occurrence of the keyword in the group of user profiles corresponding to the group of users who responded positively to the invitation increases.

4. The method of claim 1, wherein the invitation comprises an advertisement.

5. The method of claim 1, wherein the set of keywords includes words relating to demographic information about the user.

6. The method of claim 1, wherein the set of keywords includes words relating to declared interests of the user.

7. The method of claim 1, wherein the set of keywords includes words relating to actions performed by the user.

8. A computer-implemented method comprising:

obtaining keywords from first user profiles of a first group of users of a social networking system who previously responded positively to an invitation;

obtaining keywords from second user profiles of a second group of users of a social networking system who previously responded negatively to the invitation;

determining an occurrence of a set of keywords in the first user profiles corresponding to the first group of users;

determining an occurrence of the set of keywords in the second user profiles corresponding to the second group of users;

selecting one or more of the keywords from the set of keywords based on a comparison of the occurrence of the keywords in the first group of user profiles versus the occurrence of the keyword in the second group of user profiles; and

9

for a subject user of the social networking system not included in the first group of users or the second group of users, predicting a response to the invitation by the subject user based at least in part on whether the selected keywords occur in the user's user profile.

9. The method of claim 8, wherein the invitation comprises a request to perform an action, the action selected from a group consisting of: joining a group, exchanging information, responding to a survey, writing a blog entry and verifying a photo tag.

10. The method of claim 8, wherein the invitation comprises an advertisement.

11. The method of claim 8, wherein the keywords comprise words relate to demographic information about the users.

12. The method of claim 8, wherein the keywords comprise words relate to declared interests of the users.

13. The method of claim 8, wherein the keywords comprise words relate to actions performed by the users.

14. The method of claim 8, wherein the computed score for each keyword is based on a function of the occurrences of the keywords in the first and second groups of user profiles, wherein the function is selected from a group consisting of: an arithmetic difference, a ratio difference, an information gain, an odds ratio, and a relevancy score.

15. A computer-implemented method comprising:  
 obtaining keywords from user profiles of a group of users of a social networking system who previously responded to an invitation;  
 extracting a set of keywords from a subject user profile of a subject user of a social networking system;  
 comparing the set of keywords to the keywords from the user profiles of the group of users of the social networking system who previously responded to the invitation; and  
 predicting a response to the invitation by the subject user based on the comparison.

16. The method of claim 15, wherein comparing the set of keywords to the keywords from the user profiles of the group of users of the social networking system who previously responded to the invitation comprises:

determining a first occurrence, in a first group of user profiles corresponding to a group of other users who responded positively to the invitation, of keywords from the set of keywords;  
 determining a second occurrence, in a second group of user profiles corresponding to a group of other users

10

who responded negatively to the invitation, of keywords from the set of the keywords; and  
 computing a score for each of the keywords from the set of keywords based on a comparison of the first occurrence of the keywords and the second occurrence of the keywords.

17. The method of claim 16, wherein predicting how the user will respond to the invitation based on the comparison comprises:

predicting how the user will respond to the invitation based on the score for one or more keywords from the set of keywords.

18. The method of claim 16, wherein the computed score for each of the keywords is based on a function of the first occurrence of the keywords and the second occurrence of the keywords, wherein the function is selected from a group consisting of: an arithmetic difference, a ratio difference, an information gain, an odds ratio, and a relevancy score.

19. The method of claim 15, wherein the invitation comprises a request to perform an action, the action selected from a group consisting of: joining a group, exchanging information, responding to a survey, writing a blog entry and verifying a photo tag.

20. The method of claim 15, wherein the invitation comprises an advertisement.

21. The method of claim 15, wherein the set of keywords includes words relating to demographic information about the user.

22. The method of claim 15, wherein the set of keywords includes words relating to declared interests of the user.

23. The method of claim 15, wherein the set of keywords includes words relating to actions performed by the user.

24. The method of claim 15, wherein the set of keywords includes words relating to usage.

25. The method of claim 15, wherein the set of keywords includes keywords entered by the user.

26. The method of claim 15, wherein the set of keywords includes keywords entered about the user.

27. The method of claim 15, wherein the set of keywords includes keywords inferred from information about the user.

28. The method of claim 15, further comprising:  
 responsive to predicting the response to the invitation is a positive response, sending the invitation to the subject user.

\* \* \* \* \*