



- (51) **International Patent Classification:**
H02H 3/26 (2006.01) **H02H 7/28** (2006.01)
G01R 31/08 (2006.01)
- (21) **International Application Number:**
PCT/EP2016/054029
- (22) **International Filing Date:**
25 February 2016 (25.02.2016)
- (25) **Filing Language:** English
- (26) **Publication Language:** English
- (30) **Priority Data:**
15275054.3 27 February 2015 (27.02.2015) EP
- (71) **Applicant:** GENERAL ELECTRIC TECHNOLOGY GMBH [CH/CH]; Brown Boveri Strasse 7, CH-5400 Baden (CH).
- (72) **Inventors:** HA, Hengxu; 249 Weston Road, Stafford ST16 3SL (GB). SRI GOPALA KRISHNA MURTHI, Sankara Subramanian; 74 Ampleforth Drive, Stafford ST17 4TR (GB).
- (74) **Agent:** MITCHELL, Darren Andrew; Potter Clarkson LLP, The Belgrave Centre, Talbot Street, Nottingham NG1 5GG (GB).

- (81) **Designated States** (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (84) **Designated States** (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LI, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))


(54) **Title:** A PROTECTION APPARATUS

Figure 2

(57) **Abstract:** In the field of multi-terminal electrical power network protection, a protection apparatus (10) comprises a measurement apparatus (14) to measure the respective terminal current (I_L , I_{R1} , I_{R2} , I_{R3} , I_{R4} , I_{R5}) flowing at each terminal (L, R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , R_4 , R_5) in a multi-terminal network (12) that includes a plurality of electrically interconnected terminals (L, R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , R_4 , R_5). The protection apparatus (10) also includes a control unit (20) that is programmed to process the measured terminal currents (I_L , I_{R1} , I_{R2} , I_{R3} , I_{R4} , I_{R5}) to obtain first and second summed values ($I_{pos}(n)$, $I_{neg}(n)$). The first summed value ($I_{pos}(n)$) is the sum at a given time (n) of the or each terminal current (I_L , I_{R1} , I_{R2} , I_{R3} , I_{R4} , I_{R5}) flowing in a first direction (D_1) relative to a corresponding terminal (L, R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , R_4 , R_5) and the second summed value ($I_{neg}(n)$) is the sum at the same given time (n) of the or each terminal current (I_L , I_{R1} , I_{R2} , I_{R3} , I_{R4} , I_{R5}) flowing in a second direction (D_2) opposite the first direction (D_1) relative to the corresponding terminal (L, R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , R_4 , R_5). The control unit (20) is further programmed to compare the phase of the first summed value ($I_{pos}(n)$) with the phase of the second summed value ($I_{neg}(n)$) to determine whether a fault in the multi-terminal network (12) is internal or external to the multi-terminal network and thereafter to produce an internal fault output signal (24) upon the determination of an internal fault.

A PROTECTION APPARATUS

This invention relates to a protection apparatus for protecting a multi-terminal electrical power network including a plurality of electrically interconnected terminals.

5

Network protection devices, such as circuit breakers and relays, are activated in the event of a fault to protect electrical power networks.

10

The reliable activation, i.e. triggering, of such protection devices is highly desirable because a failure to activate in the event of such a fault can have extremely detrimental consequences such as human injuries, power system blackout and considerable damage to nearby power network equipment.

15

Similarly, incorrect activation of such a device causes unnecessary interruption to the supply of power within the network with likely financial penalties for the network operator.

According to an aspect of the invention there is provided a protection apparatus, for protecting a multi-terminal electrical power network, comprising:

20

a measurement apparatus to measure the respective terminal current flowing at each terminal in a multi-terminal network including a plurality of electrically interconnected terminals; and

25

a control unit programmed to process the measured terminal currents to obtain first and second summed values, the first summed value being the sum at a given time of the or each terminal current flowing in a first direction relative to a corresponding terminal, the second summed value being the sum at the same given time of the or each terminal current flowing in a second direction opposite the first direction relative to the corresponding terminal, and

30

the control unit being further programmed to compare the phase of the first summed value with the phase of the second summed value to determine whether a fault in the multi-terminal network is internal or external to the multi-terminal network and thereafter to produce an internal fault output signal upon the determination of an internal fault.

35

The production of an internal fault output signal permits the triggering of a network protection device, such as a circuit breaker or relay, to protect the power network in the event of a fault within the network, i.e. an internal fault, arising.

The inclusion of a control unit that is able to obtain first and second summed values means that the protection apparatus of the invention is able to protect an electrical power network with any number of terminals, i.e. there is no upper limit of terminals that a protection apparatus according to the invention can handle.

5

In addition, basing the first summed value on currents flowing in a first direction relative to each terminal and the second summed value on currents flowing in a second, opposite direction relative to each terminal provides values that are indicative of whether an internal or external fault has arisen, i.e. by virtue of the relative direction of current flow, but which are independent of the magnitude of current flow. Having indicative values that are independent of the magnitude of current flow provides the protection apparatus of the invention with a degree of immunity to terminal current measurement errors, e.g. such as those that might arise if one or more elements of the measurement apparatus becomes saturated.

15

As a consequence, the measurement apparatus needs only to ascertain the correct relative direction of current flow, rather than an accurate measurement of the magnitude of current flow in order for the control unit of the invention to be able to make the required determination of whether an internal or external fault has occurred. The measurement apparatus and control unit, and hence the protection apparatus of the invention, therefore exhibit a high degree of immunity to saturation of one or more measuring elements, such as current transformers, within the measurement apparatus.

20

The protection apparatus of the invention therefore avoids the need to check and establish the status of the measurement apparatus, e.g. whether one or more elements thereof have become saturated with the result that erroneous current measurements arise.

25

As a consequence of the foregoing the protection apparatus of the invention operates more reliably than, e.g. conventional protection apparatuses where accurate measurement of the magnitude of terminal current flow is required.

30

The need essentially for the measurement apparatus to only accurately establish the relative direction of current flow also means that the performance requirements of the measurement apparatus can be lower than in conventional protection apparatuses, and so the measurement apparatus (and in particular any individual elements thereof) can be smaller and less expensive.

35

In addition, the subsequent comparison of the respective phases of the first and second summed values with one another can be done so by way of relatively simple calculations, and so can be implemented in relatively simple and inexpensive integrated electronic devices such as programmable microcontrollers and the like.

In a preferred embodiment of the invention having the control unit programmed to compare the phase of the first summed value with the phase of the second summed value includes the control unit considering whether the difference between the phases is one of 180° or 90° .

Such consideration by the control unit is advantageous because a phase difference of 180° is indicative of an external fault having occurred and a phase difference of 90° is indicative of an internal fault having occurred.

Optionally considering whether the difference between the phases is one of 180° or 90° includes the control unit being programmed to carry out one of:

- (a) a correlation algorithm;
- (b) a Fourier based algorithm; and
- (c) a magnitude comparison algorithm

Having the control unit so programmed permits the ready assessment of the respective phases of the first and second summed values in a manner which can be straightforwardly implemented in an integrated electronic device, such as a programmable microcontroller.

Preferably the control unit being programmed to carry out a magnitude comparison algorithm includes the control unit comparing a sum of the first and second summed values with a difference between the first and second summed values.

Such a comparison is desirably indicative of whether a 180° or 90° phase difference exists between the phases of the first and second summed values, and hence is ultimately indicative of whether an internal or external fault has arisen.

The control unit being programmed to carry out a magnitude comparison algorithm may include the control unit comparing a norm of a sum of the first and second summed values with a norm of a difference between the first and second summed values.

A norm value is less susceptible to perturbations in individual first and second summed values because it is averaged over, e.g. an operating cycle at the fundamental frequency of the electrical power network that the protection apparatus of the invention is arranged to protect, and so helps to further improve the reliability of the protection apparatus of the invention.

In a further preferred embodiment of the invention the control unit being programmed to carry out a magnitude comparison algorithm includes the control unit being programmed to establishing a discriminative ratio based on the sum of the first and second summed values and the difference between the first and second summed values and to compare the discriminative ratio with a threshold value.

Establishing a discriminative ratio, and a subsequent comparison of that ratio with a threshold value, may be readily implemented, e.g. within an integrated electronic device, while continuing to reliably distinguish between internal and external faults.

The control unit may be programmed to normalize each of the first and second summed values prior to considering whether the difference between the phases is one of 180° or 90° .

Such normalization of the first and second summed values can help to improve the sensitivity to fault detection of the protection apparatus of the invention, e.g. in situations where one or more elements of the measurement apparatus has become saturated.

Preferably the measurement apparatus includes one or more filters to remove distortion from the measured terminal currents.

Such a filter or filters usefully removes distortion, e.g. such as may arise if one or more elements of the measurement apparatus becomes saturated.

Optionally the protection apparatus further includes a fault severity determination unit to determine the severity of a fault.

The inclusion of such a fault severity determination unit allows for a greater degree of control over when a network protection device is triggered. For example, if the fault severity determination unit determines that a fault is slight (perhaps because no

saturation of an element within the measurement apparatus has occurred), triggering of the network protection device by the control unit of the invention can be inhibited (regardless of whether the control unit of the invention has determined that an internal fault has occurred), such that triggering of the network protection device is only initiated
5 in the event that some other, e.g. secondary protection apparatus, determines that triggering is required.

Such functionality is desirable since it can be used to prevent operation of the network protection device on the instigation of the protection apparatus of the invention if the fault
10 is only slight, with activation of the network protection device instead being controlled in such slight fault conditions by, e.g. a more sensitive secondary protection apparatus.

The protection apparatus may further include a secondary protection apparatus input to receive a trip signal from a secondary protection apparatus, and the protection apparatus
15 may be configured to send a master trip signal to a network protection device in dependence of the secondary protection apparatus input receiving a trip signal.

Such an arrangement can, e.g. in severe fault conditions, reduce the sensitivity of the protection provided by an overall protection scheme by limiting the triggering of a
20 network protection device, i.e. in order to protect the power network, to circumstances when both the protection apparatus of the invention determines that an internal fault has occurred and some secondary protection apparatus, e.g. a differential protection apparatus, additionally considers that such protection is required.

25 There now follows a brief description of preferred embodiments of the invention, by way of non-limiting example, with reference being made to the following drawings in which:

Figure 1 shows a schematic view of a protection apparatus according to a first embodiment of the invention;

30 Figure 2 shows a schematic view of a multi-terminal network that the protection apparatus shown in Figure 1 is arranged to protect;

Figure 3(a) shows individual measured terminal currents in the event of an external fault;

Figure 3(b) shows respective first and second summed value waveforms in the
35 event of an external fault;

Figure 4(a) shows individual measured terminal currents in the event of an internal fault; and

Figure 4(b) shows respective first and second summed value waveforms in the event of an internal fault.

A protection apparatus according to a first embodiment of the invention is designated
5 generally by reference numeral 10, and is shown in schematic form in Figure 1.

The protection apparatus 10 shown protects a multi-terminal electrical power network 12
which includes a plurality of electrically interconnected terminals, and more particularly
includes a local terminal L and five remote terminals R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , R_4 , R_5 that are electrically
10 interconnected with one another, as shown in Figure 2.

Other embodiments of the protection apparatus may, however, protect a multi-terminal
electrical power network with any number of electrically interconnected terminals.

15 The protection apparatus 10 includes a measurement apparatus 14 which, in the
embodiment shown, includes six current transformers 16, each of which is operatively
associated with a corresponding terminal L, R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , R_4 , R_5 to measure the respective
terminal current I_L , I_{R1} , I_{R2} , I_{R3} , I_{R4} , I_{R5} flowing at each terminal L, R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , R_4 , R_5 .

20 Within the context of the invention, a terminal current I_L , I_{R1} , I_{R2} , I_{R3} , I_{R4} , I_{R5} is considered
positive if it is flowing, at a particular moment in time, in a first direction D_1 relative to the
corresponding terminal L, R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , R_4 , R_5 , and a terminal current I_L , I_{R1} , I_{R2} , I_{R3} , I_{R4} , I_{R5}
is considered negative if it is flowing, at the same particular moment in time in a second
direction D_2 , opposite the first direction, relative to the corresponding terminal L, R_1 , R_2 ,
25 R_3 , R_4 , R_5 .

In the embodiment shown the first direction D_1 relative to each terminal L, R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , R_4 ,
 R_5 is towards each of the other terminals L, R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , R_4 , R_5 and the second direction D_2
relative to each terminal L, R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , R_4 , R_5 is away from each of the other terminals L,
30 R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , R_4 , R_5 . In other embodiments of the invention, however, the relative
orientation of the first and second directions D_1 , D_2 may be reversed.

In other embodiments of the invention the measurement apparatus may include current
measurement devices which differ from the current transformers of the embodiment
35 shown.

Returning to the embodiment shown, the measurement apparatus 14 additionally includes an average low pass filter 18 through which each of the measured terminal currents I_L , I_{R1} , I_{R2} , I_{R3} , I_{R4} , I_{R5} passes to remove any distortion from these measurements that may have arisen if the corresponding current transformer 16 becomes saturated, i.e. the current being measured by a given current transformer 16 drives the flux within the transformer into a non-linear region of the transformer's response characteristic such that measurement errors may subsequently arise.

An example filtering effect that may be applied by the low pass filter 18 is:

$$y(n) = y(n-1) + 2 \left[x(n) - x \left(n - \frac{N_{smpDiff}}{2} \right) \right] / N_{smpDiff}$$

where,

$x(n)$ is the input signal, i.e. a respective measured terminal current I_L , I_{R1} , I_{R2} , I_{R3} , I_{R4} , I_{R5} ;

$y(n)$ is the output signal, i.e. the filtered terminal current measurement; and

$N_{smpDiff}$ is the number of samples per fundamental frequency cycle of the electrical power network 12 being protected.

Other filtering effects may, however, also be applied.

The protection apparatus 10 also includes a control unit 20 that is arranged in communication with each current transformer 16. In the embodiment shown the control unit 20 is a single centralised electronic device in the form of a programmable microcontroller, although other types of control unit are also possible.

In other embodiments of the invention (not shown) the control unit may be formed from a number of separate control unit portions, each of which is defined by a separate electronic device that is arranged at or adjacent to one or more terminals L , R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , R_4 , R_5 .

In any event, the control unit 20 is programmed to process the measured terminal currents I_L , I_{R1} , I_{R2} , I_{R3} , I_{R4} , I_{R5} received from each current transformer 16 to obtain first and second summed values $I_{POS}(n)$, $I_{NEG}(n)$.

More particularly, the control unit 20 includes a current merging unit 22 which obtains a first summed value $I_{POS}(n)$ that is the sum at a given moment in time n of the or each terminal current $I_L, I_{R1}, I_{R2}, I_{R3}, I_{R4}, I_{R5}$ flowing in the first direction D_1 relative to a corresponding terminal $L, R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4, R_5$, i.e. the sum of the or each positive terminal current $I_L, I_{R1}, I_{R2}, I_{R3}, I_{R4}, I_{R5}$ at the various terminals $L, R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4, R_5$ at a given moment in time n , i.e. as given by:

$$I_{Pos} = \sum_{k \in Pos} i_k$$

where,

10 k is the number of terminals $L, R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4, R_5$; and

Pos is the set of terminals $L, R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4, R_5$ which each has a positive terminal current flowing thereat, i.e. a terminal current flowing in the first direction D_1 relative thereto.

15 The current merging unit 22 also obtains a second summed value $I_{NEG}(n)$ that is the sum at the same given moment in time n of the or each terminal current $I_L, I_{R1}, I_{R2}, I_{R3}, I_{R4}, I_{R5}$ flowing in the second direction D_2 relative to a corresponding terminal $L, R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4, R_5$, i.e. the sum of the or each negative terminal current $I_L, I_{R1}, I_{R2}, I_{R3}, I_{R4}, I_{R5}$ at the various terminals $L, R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4, R_5$ at the same given moment in time n , i.e. as given by:

$$I_{Neg} = \sum_{k \in Neg} i_k$$

where,

25 k is the number of terminals $L, R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4, R_5$; and

Neg is the set of terminals $L, R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4, R_5$ which each has a negative terminal current flowing thereat, i.e. a terminal current flowing in the second direction D_2 relative thereto.

30 The control unit 20 is also programmed to compare the phase of the first summed value $I_{POS}(n)$ with the phase of the second summed value $I_{NEG}(n)$ to determine whether a fault in the multi-terminal network 12 is internal or external to the multi-terminal network 12, and to thereafter produce internal fault output signal 24 when an internal fault is determined.

The control unit 20 includes a phase comparison unit 26 which carries out the aforementioned phase comparison.

- 5 More particularly, the phase comparison unit 26 considers whether the difference between the phases is 180° or 90°.

The phase comparison unit 26 does this by considering whether the difference between the phases is greater than or less than a boundary angle, which may be 135° but could
10 be a higher or lower angle.

For example, if the phase difference is greater than 135° the phase comparison unit 26 makes a determination that the difference between the phases is 180°, which is indicative of an external fault, and if the phase difference is less than 135° the phase
15 comparison unit makes a determination that the difference between the phases is 90°, which is indicative of an internal fault.

More particularly, in the embodiment shown the phase comparison unit 26 achieves the foregoing by carrying out a magnitude comparison algorithm, although it may also be
20 achieved by carrying out some other form of algorithm such as a correlation algorithm or a Fourier based algorithm.

The phase comparison unit 26 carries out the said magnitude comparison algorithm by comparing a norm of a sum of the first and second summed values $I_{POS}(n)$, $I_{NEG}(n)$, e.g. a
25 root mean square of the sum as given by

$$\|I_{Pos}(n) + I_{Neg}(n)\|$$

with a norm of a difference between the first and second summed values $I_{POS}(n)$, $I_{NEG}(n)$,
30 e.g. a root mean square of the difference as given by

$$\|I_{Pos}(n) - I_{Neg}(n)\|$$

In other embodiments of the invention the phase comparison unit 26 may instead carry
35 out the magnitude comparison algorithm by comparing an absolute mean or other order norm of a sum of the first and second summed values $I_{POS}(n)$, $I_{NEG}(n)$ with an absolute

mean or another order norm of a difference between the first and second summed values $I_{POS}(n)$, $I_{NEG}(n)$.

In the embodiment described above, the phase comparison unit 26 establishes a
 5 discriminative ratio R_{INT} which is based on the sum of the first and second summed values $I_{POS}(n)$, $I_{NEG}(n)$ and the difference between the first and second summed values $I_{POS}(n)$, $I_{NEG}(n)$, and then compares the said discriminative ratio R_{INT} with a threshold value R_{THR} .

10 In the embodiment shown the phase comparison unit 26 establishes a discriminative ratio R_{INT} of the form:

$$R_{INT} = \frac{\|I_{Pos}(n) + I_{Neg}(n)\|}{\|I_{Pos}(n) - I_{Neg}(n)\|}$$

15 and compares this to a threshold value R_{THR} of 0.6.

If the discriminative ratio R_{INT} is greater than or equal to the threshold value R_{THR} the control unit 20 produces the internal fault output signal 24 that is indicative of an internal fault having occurred.

20

In other embodiments of the invention the threshold value R_{THR} may be selected from the range 0.4 to 0.9.

Prior to the phase comparison unit 26 carrying out the aforementioned magnitude
 25 comparison algorithm, each of the first and second summed values $I_{POS}(n)$, $I_{NEG}(n)$ is preferably normalized to increase the sensitivity of the protection apparatus 10 in dealing with faults.

An example manner in which the said first and second summed values $I_{POS}(n)$, $I_{NEG}(n)$
 30 may be normalized is by applying:

$$y(n) = x(n)/\|x(n)\|$$

where,

35 $x(n)$ is the input signal, i.e. a respective first or second summed value $I_{POS}(n)$, $I_{NEG}(n)$;

$y(n)$ is output signal, i.e. the normalized first or second summed value; and

$\|x(n)\|$ is either a Root Mean Square operator $RMS[x(n)]$ which is given by

$$5 \quad RMS[x(n)] = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N_{smpDiff}} \sum_{k=n-N_{smpDiff}+1}^n |x(k)|^2}$$

or is an Absolute Mean operator $AM[x(n)]$, which is given by

$$AM[x(n)] = \frac{1}{N_{smpDiff}} \sum_{k=n-N_{smpDiff}+1}^n |x(k)|$$

and where,

10 $N_{smpDiff}$ is the number of samples per fundamental frequency cycle of the electrical power network 12 being protected.

In addition to the foregoing the protection apparatus 10 includes a fault severity determination unit 28 to determine the severity of a fault.

15

The fault severity determination unit 28 carries out the said determination by comparing a bias current I_{bias} with a current threshold $I_{bias-threshold}$ and indicating, by way of an output signal of 1, that a fault is slight, i.e. as is the case when no saturation of a current transformer 16 within the measurement apparatus 14 has occurred, while ever the bias

20

current I_{bias} remains below the current threshold $I_{bias-threshold}$.

Conversely the fault severity determination unit 28 indicates, by way of an output signal of 0, that a fault is severe when the bias current I_{bias} exceeds the current threshold $I_{bias-threshold}$.

25

In this regard the bias current I_{bias} is given by:

$$I_{bias} = (\sum_{k=1}^M \|i_{Tk}\|)/2$$

where,

30

M is the number of terminals;

i_{Tk} is the samples of current at a k th terminal; and

" $\|$ $\|$ " is a Root Mean Square operator or Absolute Mean operator.

The protection apparatus shown in Figure 2 also further includes a secondary protection apparatus input 30 which is arranged to receive a trip signal 32 from a secondary protection apparatus, such as a differential protection apparatus (not shown).

- 5 Moreover, the protection apparatus 10 is configured to send a master trip signal 34 to a network protection device, the thereby cause activation of the network protection device, in dependence of the secondary protection apparatus input 30 receiving a trip signal 32.

In use the protection apparatus 10 described hereinabove operates as follows.

10

In the event of an external fault in, by way of example the power network extending beyond the fourth remote terminal R_4 , the terminal current I_{R4} at the fourth remote terminal R_4 flows in the opposite direction, i.e. in the opposite sense, to the terminal currents I_L , I_{R1} , I_{R2} , I_{R3} , I_{R5} at the other remaining terminals L , R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , R_5 , as shown in
15 Figure 3(a).

15

In other words, at a given moment in time when the terminal current I_{R4} at the fourth remote terminal R_4 is flowing in the first direction D_1 relative to the fourth remote terminal R_4 , each of the remaining terminal currents I_L , I_{R1} , I_{R2} , I_{R3} , I_{R5} will be flowing in the second
20 direction D_2 relative to the corresponding remaining terminals L , R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , R_5 .

20

The control unit 20, and more particularly the current merging unit 22 thereof, obtains, i.e. calculates, the first and second summed values $I_{POS}(n)$, $I_{NEG}(n)$ which are shown as respective time-varying waveforms in Figure 3(b).

25

As can be seen from Figure 3(b), the phases of the first and second summed value waveforms $I_{POS}(n)$, $I_{NEG}(n)$ are opposite one another, i.e. the phase difference between the said phases is 180° .

- 30 As a result the discriminative ratio R_{INT} obtained from

$$R_{INT} = \frac{\|I_{Pos}(n) + I_{Neg}(n)\|}{\|I_{Pos}(n) - I_{Neg}(n)\|}$$

lies below the threshold value R_{THR} of 0.6, and so the control unit 20 does not produce an
35 internal fault output signal 24.

35

Notwithstanding the above, in instances where the fault severity determination unit 28 continues to indicate that the fault is slight, that is to say, there is no possibility that saturation of one or more of the various current transformers 16 has occurred, i.e. by producing an output signal of 1, the protection apparatus 10 remains able to send a master trip signal 34 to a network protection device, e.g. a circuit breaker or relay, in the event of receiving a trip signal 32 (via its secondary protection apparatus input 30) from a secondary protection apparatus such as a differential protection apparatus. Such a differential protection apparatus is more sensitive to faults than the protection apparatus of the invention but typically is only able to provide a reliable indication of a fault, i.e. is only accurate, when there is no saturation of the current transformers 16 providing it with terminal current measurements.

In the event of an internal fault adjacent to, by way of example the local terminal L, all of the terminal currents I_L , I_{R1} , I_{R2} , I_{R3} , I_{R4} , I_{R5} continue to flow in the same direction, i.e. continue to have the same sense, as shown in Figure 4(a).

In other words, at a given moment in time all the terminal currents I_L , I_{R1} , I_{R2} , I_{R3} , I_{R4} , I_{R5} will be flowing in the same first or second direction D_1 , D_2 relative to each of the corresponding terminals L, R₁, R₂, R₃, R₄, R₅.

20

The control unit 20, and more particularly the current merging unit 22 thereof, again obtains, i.e. calculates, the first and second summed values $I_{POS}(n)$, $I_{NEG}(n)$ which are shown as respective time-varying waveforms in Figure 4(b).

As can be seen from Figure 4(b), the phases of the first and second summed value waveforms $I_{POS}(n)$, $I_{NEG}(n)$ are shifted by 90° relative to one another, i.e. the phase difference between the said phases is 90°.

As a result the discriminative ratio R_{INT} obtained from

30

$$R_{INT} = \frac{\|I_{Pos}(n) + I_{Neg}(n)\|}{\|I_{Pos}(n) - I_{Neg}(n)\|}$$

is greater than the threshold value R_{THR} of 0.6, and so the control unit 20 produces the internal fault output signal 24 and thereby indicates that an internal fault has occurred.

35

Thereafter, if the fault severity determination unit 28 indicates that the fault is severe (such that saturation of one or more of the various current transformers 16 is likely to have occurred), i.e. by producing an output signal of 0, the protection apparatus 10 is able to send a master trip signal 34 to a network protection device in the event also of
5 receiving a trip signal 32 (via its secondary protection apparatus input 30) from a secondary protection apparatus confirming that such protective action is required.

CLAIMS:

1. A protection apparatus, for protecting a multi-terminal electrical power network, comprising:
 - 5 a measurement apparatus to measure the respective terminal current flowing at each terminal in a multi-terminal network including a plurality of electrically interconnected terminals; and
 - a control unit programmed to process the measured terminal currents to obtain first and second summed values, the first summed value being the sum at a given time of the or each terminal current flowing in a first direction relative to a corresponding terminal,
 - 10 the second summed value being the sum at the same given time of the or each terminal current flowing in a second direction opposite the first direction relative to the corresponding terminal, and
 - the control unit being further programmed to compare the phase of the first
 - 15 summed value with the phase of the second summed value to determine whether a fault in the multi-terminal network is internal or external to the multi-terminal network and thereafter to produce an internal fault output signal upon the determination of an internal fault.
- 20 2. A protection apparatus according to Claim 1 wherein having the control unit programmed to compare the phase of the first summed value with the phase of the second summed value includes the control unit considering whether the difference between the phases is one of 180° or 90° .
- 25 3. A protection apparatus according to Claim 2 wherein considering whether the difference between the phases is one of 180° or 90° includes the control unit being programmed to carry out one of:
 - (a) a correlation algorithm;
 - (b) a Fourier based algorithm; and
 - 30 (c) a magnitude comparison algorithm
4. A protection apparatus according to Claim 3 wherein the control unit being programmed to carry out a magnitude comparison algorithm includes the control unit comparing a sum of the first and second summed values with a difference between the
- 35 first and second summed values.

5. A protection apparatus according to Claim 3 wherein the control unit being programmed to carry out a magnitude comparison algorithm includes the control unit comparing the norm of a sum of the first and second summed values with the norm of a difference between the first and second summed values.

5

6. A protection apparatus according to any of Claims 3 to 5 wherein the control unit being programmed to carry out a magnitude comparison algorithm includes the control unit being programmed to establishing a discriminative ratio based on the sum of the first and second summed values and the difference between the first and second summed values and to compare the discriminative ratio with a threshold value.

10

7. A protection apparatus according to any of Claims 3 to 6 wherein the control unit is programmed to normalize each of the first and second summed values prior to considering whether the difference between the phases is one of 180° or 90° .

15

8. A protection apparatus according to any preceding claim wherein the measurement apparatus includes one or more filters to remove distortion from the measured terminal currents.

9. A protection apparatus according to any preceding claim further including a fault severity determination unit to determine the severity of a fault.

20

10. A protection apparatus according to any preceding claim further including a secondary protection apparatus input to receive a trip signal from a secondary protection apparatus, and the protection apparatus being configured to send a master trip signal to a network protection device in dependence of the secondary protection apparatus input receiving a trip signal.

25




Figure 1




Figure 2

3/4




Figure 3(a)




Figure 3(b)

4/4




Figure 4(a)




Figure 4(b)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/EP2016/054029

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

INV. H02H3/26 G01R31/08 H02H7/28
ADD.

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

H02H G01R

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 1 940 002 A2 (GEN ELECTRIC [US]) 2 July 2008 (2008-07-02)	1-3,8-10
A	paragraph [0031] -----	4-7
A	EP 1 195 874 A2 (ABB SUBSTATION AUTOMATION OY [FI]) 10 April 2002 (2002-04-10) paragraphs [0058] - [0065] -----	1
A	US 5 809 045 A (ADAMIAK MARK GERARD [US] ET AL) 15 September 1998 (1998-09-15) column 4, lines 23-61 -----	1,9
A	US 2003/071633 A1 (FEDIRCHUK DAVID JAMES [CA] ET AL) 17 April 2003 (2003-04-17) abstract -----	1



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

21 April 2016

Date of mailing of the international search report

29/04/2016

Name and mailing address of the ISA/

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Trifonov, Antoniy

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No

PCT/EP2016/054029

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
EP 1940002	A2	02-07-2008	CN	101237144 A		06-08-2008
			EP	1940002 A2		02-07-2008
			US	2008158750 A1		03-07-2008

EP 1195874	A2	10-04-2002	NONE			

US 5809045	A	15-09-1998	AU	728194 B2		04-01-2001
			CN	1205126 A		13-01-1999
			CN	1378087 A		06-11-2002
			DE	69734818 T2		31-08-2006
			EP	0873583 A2		28-10-1998
			ES	2253785 T3		01-06-2006
			JP	3786372 B2		14-06-2006
			JP	2000501599 A		08-02-2000
			KR	100438468 B1		16-07-2004
			US	5809045 A		15-09-1998
			US	6311307 B1		30-10-2001
			US	6456947 B1		24-09-2002
			US	2001012984 A1		09-08-2001
			WO	9811641 A2		19-03-1998

US 2003071633	A1	17-04-2003	NONE			
