




- (51) International Patent Classification:
G06T 3/40 (2006.01) *H04N 7/01* (2006.01)
H04N 1/387 (2006.01)
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/JP2012/056488
- (22) International Filing Date: 7 March 2012 (07.03.2012)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:
13/045,338 10 March 2011 (10.03.2011) US
- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **SHARP KABUSHIKI KAISHA** [JP/JP]; 22-22, Nagaike-cho, Abeno-ku, Osaka-shi, Osaka, 5458522 (JP).
- (72) Inventor; and
- (75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): **PAN, Hao.**
- (74) Agent: **HARAKENZO WORLD PATENT & TRADE-MARK**; Daiwa Minamimorimachi Building, 2-6, Tenjinbashi 2-chome Kita, Kita-ku, Osaka-shi, Osaka, 5300041 (JP).
- (81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK,

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: METHODS OF IMAGE UPSCALING BASED UPON DIRECTIONAL INTERPOLATION

FIG. 1



(57) Abstract: A method for interpolation includes receiving an input image having a plurality of pixels and estimating an edge direction proximate a first pixel of the input image using a first technique from a plurality of discrete potential directions. Interpolating pixels using a substantially arbitrary scaling factor proximate the first pixel based upon the estimated edge direction and interpolating pixels using a substantially arbitrary scaling factor proximate the first pixel based upon another technique not based upon the estimated edge direction. The interpolated pixels are determined for an output image having more pixels than the input image based upon the interpolated pixels of directional interpolation (185) and non-directional interpolation (240).



SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). **Published:**

— *with international search report (Art. 21(3))*

- 1 -

DESCRIPTION

TITLE OF INVENTION: METHODS OF IMAGE UPSCALING
BASED UPON DIRECTIONAL INTERPOLATION

5 TECHNICAL FIELD

The present invention relates to methods of image upscaling based upon directional interpolation.

BACKGROUND ART

10 Digital video is typically represented as a series of images or frames, each of which contains an array of pixels. Each pixel includes information, such as intensity and/or color information. In many cases, each pixel is represented as a set of three colors, each of which is defined by eight bit
15 color values.

Pixel information within a frame may be missing such as boundary conditions that may result when executing a video conversion process, such as the conversion between interlaced television field signals and progressive frame
20 signals. In other cases, a frame may be at a first resolution, such as standard definition, which is desirable to convert to a second resolution, such as high definition.

Standard techniques for pixel interpolation are based on the application of classical linear filters. However, such
25 techniques introduce various visual artifacts such as blurring

of sharp edge patterns and detailed texture patterns in the image, ringing along edge contours, as well jaggedness along edge contours. Such artifacts generally cannot be avoided when standard linear filtering techniques are used for interpolation. Therefore, there is a need for improved techniques for pixel interpolation, such as techniques that are adaptive to local image patterns.

An improved technique for pixel interpolation is generally referred to as an edge-directed interpolation which seeks to generate a value for a missing pixel by extending the patterns in selected directions, expressed as edges which exist in the surrounding pixels of an image frame. Unfortunately, it is difficult to determine the existence of an edge in an image and the direction of such an edge in an image. Erroneous estimates of the direction can lead to new visual artifacts in the image after interpolation. Therefore, there is a need for a robust technique for estimating the direction of a local edge pattern in an image. Furthermore, there is a need for an image upscaling technique with low computational complexity that can outperform standard linear filtering techniques in terms of visual quality.

The foregoing and other objectives, features, and advantages of the invention will be more readily understood upon consideration of the following detailed description of the invention, taken in conjunction with the accompanying

drawings.

SUMMARY OF INVENTION

According to one aspect of the invention, there is
5 provided a method for interpolation comprising:

(a) receiving an input image having a plurality of
pixels;

(b) estimating an edge direction proximate a first pixel
of said input image using a first technique from a plurality of
10 discrete potential directions;

(c) interpolating pixels using a substantially arbitrary
scaling factor proximate said first pixel based upon said
estimated edge direction;

(d) another interpolating pixels using substantially
15 arbitrary scaling factor proximate said first pixel based upon
another technique not based upon said estimated edge
direction;

(e) determining interpolated pixels for an output image
having more pixels than said input image based upon said
20 interpolated pixels of step (c) and step (d).

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a block diagram of an image upscaling
technique.

25 FIG. 2 illustrates a 7 by 7 window for the interpolation

of pixels. The 12 estimated angles defined in a 7 by 7 window.

FIG. 3 illustrates direction interpolation. An output pixel uses its nearest input pixel's edge orientation as its interpolation direction. All the output pixels in the shadow square share the same interpolation direction.

FIG. 4 illustrates an interpolation technique for -45 degrees.

FIG. 5 illustrates an interpolation technique for -26.6 degrees.

FIG. 6 illustrates an interpolation technique for -18.4 degrees.

FIG. 7 illustrates an interpolation technique for +0 degrees.

FIG. 8 illustrates an interpolation technique for +18.4 degrees.

FIG. 9 illustrates an interpolation technique for +26.6 degrees.

FIG. 10 illustrates an interpolation technique for +45 degrees.

FIG. 11 illustrates an interpolation technique for +63.4 degrees.

FIG. 12 illustrates an interpolation technique for +71.6 degrees.

FIG. 13 illustrates an interpolation technique for +90 degrees.

FIG. 14 illustrates an interpolation technique for +108.4 degrees.

FIG. 15 illustrates an interpolation technique for +116.6 degrees.

5 FIG. 16 illustrates an interpolation technique for finding four pixels of parallelograms. The four pixels that define the X- and Y-parallelograms are illustrated. The X-parallelogram is at the top and Y-parallelogram is at the bottom.

10 DESCRIPTION OF EMBODIMENTS

The estimating is based upon a gradient.

The estimating is based upon a 5 by 5 window.

The estimating is performed upon a majority of said plurality of pixels of said image.

15 The estimating is based upon the luminance of said image.

The method comprising determining a reliability measure that said edge direction is suitable.

The reliability measure is a weighted reliability measure.

20 A plurality of said estimations are stored in a buffer.

A selected one of said estimations closest to a desired output pixel for said interpolation is selected.

The interpolation includes no iterations.

25 The interpolation uses one of a plurality of pre-selected sets of pixels and weights.

- 6 -

The interpolation uses a closest one of said estimations.

The estimating of said edge direction is based on a plurality of pixels proximate said first pixel.

5 The interpolating is based upon the pixels in a 9 by 9 window centered around said first pixel.

The estimating and interpolating is based upon the pixels of different sized windows centered around said first pixel.

10 The determining of said interpolated pixels is based on said reliability measure.

Referring to FIG. 1, an exemplary image upscaling technique includes directional based interpolation. The technique includes a window of image pixels 100 such as a 5 by 5 set of pixels (25 total pixels). Preferably the image
15 upscaling technique is applied to each pixel of the image, with the 5 by 5 window of pixels being sequentially centered around each pixel of the image, such as in a raster scan fashion. The upscaling technique preferably estimates the edge orientation of the central pixel of the 5 by 5 window
20 based upon the luminance Y component of the color image, even if no edge exists in the window. For example, Y can be calculated from RGB using the definition by CCIR709 = $0.2126R + 0.7152G + 0.0722B$. The window of image pixels 100 is preferably moved around the image in a predefined
25 manner such that the input image containing MxN pixels

results in an output image greater than MxN pixels. The movement may be based on using a line buffer 105. It is noted that a larger or smaller window of pixels may alternatively be used. A preferred window is a square window of pixels. It is also noted that a window with other shapes may alternatively be used, such as a rectangular window, or a diamond shaped window, or octagonal shaped window, and so forth.

The 5 by 5 window may be used to estimate an orientation 110 (direction) of an edge at or near the central pixel. The orientation estimation 110 of the edges may use any suitable technique, such as using a gradient technique, to determine an orientation 125.

For example, the gradient estimation for a MxN block of pixels may be based upon:

$$\theta(M, N) = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{G_y(M, N)}{G_x(M, N)} \right)$$

where $G_x(M, N)$ and $G_y(M, N)$ are the x- and y-gradients at (M, N) .

One technique to calculate the gradients is based upon a Sobel operator, namely:

$$\begin{aligned} G_x(M, N) &= (p(M-1, N+1) + 2p(M, N+1) + p(M+1, N+1)) \\ &\quad - (p(M-1, N-1) + 2p(M, N-1) + p(M+1, N-1)) \\ G_y(M, N) &= (p(M+1, N-1) + 2p(M+1, N) + p(M+1, N+1)) \\ &\quad - (p(M-1, N-1) + 2p(M-1, N) + p(M-1, N+1)) \end{aligned}$$

- 8 -

where $p(M-1, N-1)$ is pixel's intensity at $(M-1, N-1)$, etc.

A reliability score 120 may be determined which estimates the likelihood that the orientation estimation 110 has determined an actual edge. The reliability score may be based on checking the agreement between an estimated angle and its 8 neighbors in a 3x3 window, i.e.,

$$reliability\_score = \frac{1}{8} \left(\sum_{m=-1}^1 \sum_{n=-1}^1 (\theta(x-m, y-n) == \theta(x, y)) - 1 \right)$$

The above equation illustrates that the reliability score may be a non-binary scaler between 0 and 1, with 8 quantization levels. Any other reliability measure may likewise be used.

Optionally, the output of the orientation estimation 110 may be refined by an orientation refinement technique. The orientation refinement may use a different technique from the orientation estimation 110 to select from among the best candidate(s) from the orientation estimation 110. The orientation refinement reduces the likelihood of the orientation estimation 110 being in error as a result of local minimums in the estimation process.

A set of orientations 125 for a group of pixels may be stored in a line buffer 130. In this manner, a plurality of orientations 125 will be available, as needed, for further image analysis without having to recalculate the orientations for a previously processed pixel. Likewise, a set of reliability

score 120 may be stored in a line buffer 140. In this manner, a plurality of reliability scores 120 will be available, as needed, for further image analysis without having to recalculate the orientations for a previously processed pixel.

5 A location determination process 150 may be used to determine, based upon the desired location of the output pixel position 160, the orientation 125 of which pixel 170 of the input image should be used to determine the value of the output pixel position 160. Preferably, the selected pixel 170
10 is the one closest to a pixel location in the input image. The location determination process 150 may further, based upon the desired location of the output pixel position 160, obtain a selected set of pixels 180 of the input image that may be used to determine the pixel value of the output pixel position 160.
15 By using a technique that includes a flexible set of locations to select an orientation and the appropriate set of input image pixel values, a near continuous set of output positions may be selected, such as those that are not merely a multiple of 2.

 A direction based interpolation technique 185 uses the
20 pixel data 190 from the line buffer 105 together with the orientation of the selected pixel 200 from the line buffer 130 in combination with the output pixel position 160. Based upon the input information to the directional interpolation 185, a set multiple input image pixels 210 are selected from
25 which to determine the value at the output pixel position 160.

- 10 -

The set of multiple input pixels 210 is preferably 4 forming an enclosed loop, preferably in the shape of a parallelogram. In addition to selecting the set of multiple input pixels, the process further selects appropriate weights for the set of multiple input pixels 210 suitable to provide a value at the output pixel position 160. By using a larger set of pixel data 190, such as a 9x9 set of pixel data, the angular interpolation can be performed on a finer basis. By using a technique that includes a flexible set of locations used to select the value for the desired output pixel position, further based upon the orientation, a near continuous set of output positions may be selected, such as those that are not merely a multiple of 2.

The directional based interpolation technique 185 uses the pixel locations and the corresponding weights 210 together with the input pixel data 190 to determine an appropriate weighted average 220 for the desired output pixel position 160. The result of the directional based interpolation technique 185 is a value 230 for the output pixel position 160.

In some cases, the directional interpolation 185 does not provide accurate results, such as in the case that there is no defined edge within the 5 by 5 window or the pixel is near the edge of an image. The upscaling technique may provide a fallback interpolation 240 that is not based to such a degree upon the determination of edges. For those are not on the

edge, non-directional interpolation approach is preferred. There are many such interpolation techniques, which may or may not be content adaptive. As one of the many embodiments, Lanczos linear filter may be used:

5
$$O_{NDI}(x,y) = \sum \sum (w_{i,j} P(\text{floor}(x)+i, \text{floor}(y)+j))$$

where P is the input pixel.

Thus every output pixel position 160 may be interpolated twice, namely, a directional technique 185 and a fallback technique 240. A blending mixes 250 together the two techniques based on the respective reliability measure 260:

$$O_{\text{final}}(x,y) = \text{reliability\_score} * O_{DI} + (1 - \text{reliability\_score}) * O_{NDI}$$

Using the reliability measure 260 as a basis for determining an appropriate output 270, the fallback interpolation 240 may be multiplied by 1 minus the reliability measure which is added to the directional interpolation multiplied by the reliability measure. In this manner, the output 270 is a combination of the directional interpolation 185 and the fallback interpolation 240. This process is repeated for all the desired output pixel positions. The result of the technique is the ability of selecting any desired output image resolution, and being capable of scaling substantially any input image resolution to that desired output image resolution.

25 The implementation of the system may use any suitable

technique. In one implementation, the rate at which the orientation estimation is performed may be at a slower rate than the interpolation technique which is performed at a higher rate. This difference in rates facilitates more efficient data throughput for the upscaling of the image.

Referring to FIG. 2, the orientation estimation 110 by may use gradients to estimate one or more pixels around the center pixel. The technique may quantize the edge orientation into a plurality of different directions to make a determination of where the edge may exist, if any. The angles are preferably not evenly distributed to facilitate the construction of interpolation parallelograms so that the 4 corners of the parallelograms snap to the input pixel grids. The quantization may include coefficients (most of them are preferably zero) for performing the interpolation along the edge orientation, not across the edge orientation.

The plurality of different directions may include, for example, 12 different directions defined as, -45 degrees (FIG. 4), -26.6 degrees (FIG. 5), -18.4 degrees (FIG. 6), 0 degrees (FIG. 7), +18.4 degrees (FIG. 8), +26.6 degrees (FIG. 9), +45 degrees (FIG. 10), +63.4 degrees (FIG. 11), +71.6 degrees (FIG. 12), +90 degrees (FIG. 13), +108.4 degrees (FIG. 14), and +116.6 degrees (FIG. 15). Each direction may be indexed with an index from 0 to 11, with direction with index 0 corresponding to -45 degrees, with index 1 corresponding to -

- 13 -

26.6 degrees, with index 2 corresponding to -18.4 degrees, with index 3 corresponding to 0 degrees, with index 4 corresponding to +18.4 degrees, with index 5 corresponding to +26.6 degrees, with index 6 corresponding to +45 degrees, with index 7 corresponding to +63.4 degrees, with index 8 corresponding to +71.6 degrees, with index 9 corresponding to +90 degrees, with index 10 corresponding to +108.4 degrees, and with index 11 corresponding to +116.6 degrees. Along a direction, multiple parallelograms may be formed so that the new pixels are either inside the parallelograms or on the boundaries. The new pixels are interpolated using these parallelograms by averaging of pixels at either 4 corner points of a parallelogram, or by averaging pixels at 2 points in the 5 by 5 window. Other pixel selection techniques and calculation techniques may likewise be used.

As previously described, the directional interpolation process 185 includes three steps to determine the intensity value of a desired output position:

- (1) determine the proper interpolation direction;
- (2) determine the proper pixels to form a parallelogram for interpolation; and
- (3) interpolating in the parallelogram along the interpolation direction.

With an arbitrary scaling factor previously described, the output pixels can be anywhere. As the first step, the

directional interpolation module should determine the interpolation direction for any given output position. This may be simplified by using the edge orientation of the nearest input pixel estimated in the last phase as the interpolation direction. More specifically, for the position of T at (x, y), the nearest input pixel is at (M, N). Using math terms,

$$M = \text{round}(x)$$

$$N = \text{round}(y)$$

All the output pixels in the ± 0.5 range of (M, N) share the same interpolation direction. FIG. 3 illustrates an edge orientation at (M, N) with an edge orientation represented by angle index 11, so the interpolation direction is 116.6° .

In the second step, the direction interpolation module determines its 4 nearest pixels along the interpolation direction for any given output position. These 4 nearest input pixels form a parallelogram skewing along the interpolation direction. Illustrated in Figure 3, output position T has an interpolation direction of 116.6° , so P1, P2, P3, and P4 are T's four nearest input pixels along this direction, and they form a parallelogram. Two sides of the parallelogram have the angle of 116.6° , the others are vertical. It is worthwhile to point out that although the input pixel at (M, N) determines T's interpolation direction, (M, N) might be outside of the interpolation parallelogram, as shown in FIG. 3.

FIGS. 4-15, as previously described, illustrate the

interpolation parallelograms of all the 12 interpolation directions for all the output pixels in the ± 0.5 range of (M, N).

The parallelograms may have the following characteristics:

(1) the same interpolation position may be in different parallelograms if its interpolation direction changes;

(2) The output positions in the ± 0.5 range of (M, N) share the same interpolation direction, but they may be interpolated in different parallelograms.

There are two sides of parallelograms:

(1) X-parallelograms are for angle indexes 0-5, whose top and bottom sides are parallel to the X-axis

(2) Y-parallelograms are for angle indexes 6-11, whose left and right sides are parallel to the Y-axis

Different interpolation directions have different preferable window size requirement, and the preferred maximal window requirement is a 9x9 window at the input resolution.

Determining the 4 pixels P1 P2 P3 P4 along an interpolation direction for a given arbitrary output position T at (x, y) is illustrated in FIG. 16, which is different for both X- and Y-parallelograms. This technique is equally applicable for all other selection of multiple pixel values, such as those illustrated in FIGS. 4-15. Initially one may construct a triangle ABD in x- and y-parallelograms, respectively. Then calculate AC, the distance between A and C.

- 16 -

It may be observed that $AC=AD*CT/BD$. In x-
parallelograms, AD is the same as the x-coordinate difference
between P1 and P3; in y-parallelograms, AD is the same as
the y-coordinate difference between P1 and P3. Specifically,

5

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
AD	1	2	3	0	-3	-2	-1	-2	-3	0	3	2

In X-parallelograms, $CT=y\text{-floor}(y)$; in Y-parallelograms,
 $CT=x\text{-floor}(x)$. In both x- and y-parallelograms, $BD=1$.
Therefore,

10

X-parallelogram: $AC=AD*(y\text{-floor}(y))$

Y-parallelogram: $AC=AD*(x\text{-floor}(x))$

Calculate the coordinates of A,

X-parallelogram: C has the coordinate as $(x, \text{floor}(y))$.

Then A has the coordinate as $(x+AC, \text{floor}(y))$

15

Y-parallelogram: C has the coordinate as $(\text{floor}(x), y)$.

Then A has the coordinate as $(\text{floor}(x), y+AC)$.

From coordinates of A to determine coordinates of P1, P2,
P3, P4

X-parallelogram: $P1=(\text{floor}(x+AC), \text{floor}(y))$

20

$P2=(\text{floor}(x+AC)+1, \text{floor}(y))$

$P3=(\text{floor}(x+AC)+AD, \text{floor}(y)+1)$

$P4=(\text{floor}(x+AC)+AD+1, \text{floor}(y)+1)$

Y-parallelogram: $P1=(\text{floor}(x), \text{floor}(y+AC))$

- 17 -

$$P2=(\text{floor}(x), \text{floor}(y+AC)+1)$$

$$P3=(\text{floor}(x)+1, \text{floor}(y+AC)+AD)$$

$$P4=(\text{floor}(x)+1, \text{floor}(y+AC)+AD+1)$$

5 In the third step, the directional interpolation technique
interpolates the intensity value of T from intensity values of
P1, P2, P3, and P4. To interpolate the pixel along the
direction, as illustrated in FIG. 3, the system first define lines
AB and EF that are parallel to P1P3 and P1P2 respectively.
The cross point of AB and EF is T. Then the system may
10 define the interpolation equation as:

$$O_{DI}(x,y) = w_1P_1 + w_2P_2 + w_3P_3 + w_4P_4$$

where w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4 are

$$w_1 = \text{area}_{TBFP4}$$

$$w_2 = \text{area}_{TBEP3}$$

$$15 \quad w_3 = \text{area}_{TAFP2}$$

$$w_4 = \text{area}_{TAEP1} = 1 - w_1 - w_2 - w_3$$

X- and Y-parallelograms are different in calculation of
these weights:

X-parallelogram:

$$20 \quad w_1 = (1 - x - AC + \text{floor}(x+AC)) * (1 - y + \text{floor}(y))$$

$$w_2 = (x + AC - \text{floor}(x+AC)) * (1 - y + \text{floor}(y))$$

$$w_3 = (1 - x - AC + \text{floor}(x+AC)) * (y - \text{floor}(y))$$

Y-parallelogram:

$$w_1 = (1 - x + \text{floor}(x)) * (1 - y - AC + \text{floor}(y+AC))$$

$$25 \quad w_2 = (1 - x + \text{floor}(x)) * (y + AC - \text{floor}(y+AC))$$

- 18 -

$$w_3 = (x - \text{floor}(x)) * (1 - y - AC + \text{floor}(y + AC))$$

The terms and expressions which have been employed in the foregoing specification are used therein as terms of description and not of limitation, and there is no intention, in the use of such terms and expressions, of excluding equivalents of the features shown and described or portions thereof, it being recognized that the scope of the invention is defined and limited only by the claims which follow.

The terms and expressions which have been employed in the foregoing specification are used therein as terms of description and not of limitation, and there is no intention, in the use of such terms and expressions, of excluding equivalents of the features shown and described or portions thereof, it being recognized that the scope of the invention is defined and limited only by the claims which follow.

CLAIMS

1. A method for interpolation comprising:

(a) receiving an input image having a plurality of
5 pixels;

(b) estimating an edge direction proximate a first pixel
of said input image using a first technique from a plurality of
discrete potential directions;

(c) interpolating pixels using a substantially arbitrary
10 scaling factor proximate said first pixel based upon said
estimated edge direction;

(d) another interpolating pixels using substantially
arbitrary scaling factor proximate said first pixel based upon
another technique not based upon said estimated edge
15 direction;

(e) determining interpolated pixels for an output image
having more pixels than said input image based upon said
interpolated pixels of step (c) and step (d).

20 2. The method of claim 1 wherein said estimating is
based upon a gradient.

3. The method of claim 1 wherein said estimating is
based upon a 5 by 5 window.

- 20 -

4. The method of claim 1 wherein said estimating is performed upon a majority of said plurality of pixels of said image.

5 5. The method of claim 1 wherein said estimating is based upon the luminance of said image.

6. The method of claim 1 further comprising determining a reliability measure that said edge direction is
10 suitable.

7. The method of claim 6 wherein said reliability measure is a weighted reliability measure.

15 8. The method of claim 1 wherein a plurality of said estimations are stored in a buffer.

9. The method of claim 8 wherein a selected one of said estimations closest to a desired output pixel for said
20 interpolation is selected.

10. The method of claim 1 wherein said interpolation includes no iterations.

25 11. The method of claim 1 wherein said interpolation

- 21 -

uses one of a plurality of pre-selected sets of pixels and weights.

12. The method of claim 11 wherein said interpolation
5 uses a closest one of said estimations.


13. The method of claim 1 wherein said estimating of
said edge direction is based on a plurality of pixels proximate
said first pixel.

10 14. The method of claim 1 wherein said interpolating is
based upon the pixels in a 9 by 9 window centered around
said first pixel.

15 15. The method of claim 1 wherein said estimating and
interpolating is based upon the pixels of different sized
windows centered around said first pixel.


20 16. The method of claim 6 wherein said determining of
said interpolated pixels is based on said reliability measure.

FIG. 1




2/16

FIG. 2




3/16

FIG. 3



4/16

FIG. 4



5/16

FIG. 5






FIG. 6



(2)

7/16


FIG. 7



(3)

8/16


FIG. 8



(4)

9/16


FIG. 9



(5)

10/16


FIG. 10



(6)

11/16


FIG. 11



(7)

12/16


FIG. 12



(8)

13/16


FIG. 13



(9)

14/16


FIG. 14



(10)

15/16


FIG. 15



(11)

16/16

FIG. 16



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP2012/056488

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

Int.Cl. G06T3/40 (2006.01) i, H04N1/387 (2006.01) i, H04N7/01 (2006.01) i

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

Int.Cl. G06T3/40, H04N1/387, H04N7/01

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Published examined utility model applications of Japan 1922-1996
 Published unexamined utility model applications of Japan 1971-2012
 Registered utility model specifications of Japan 1996-2012
 Published registered utility model applications of Japan 1994-2012

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	JP 2004-153668 A (Sony Corporation) 2004.05.27, [0043]-[0051] [0056]-[0061] [0117]-[0325], Fig.2-7,10,11,20-59 & US 2004/0160439 A1 & US 2005/0157950 A1 & US 2005/0157951 A1 & US 2005/0169557 A1	1-16
X	JP 2010-039672 A (Mitsubishi Electric Corporation) 2010.02.18, [0013]-[0191], Fig.1-48 (No Family)	1-16
X	JP 2005-332130 A (Sony Corporation) 2005.12.02, [0013]-[0082], Fig.1-9 & US 2008/0123998 A1 & EP 1748386 A1 & WO 2005/111933 A1 & KR 10-2007-0011223 A & CN 1806257 A & RU 2006101393 A	1-16

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.
 ☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

04.04.2012

Date of mailing of the international search report

17.04.2012

Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP

Japan Patent Office

3-4-3, Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8915, Japan

Authorized officer

SATA Hiroshi

Telephone No. +81-3-3581-1101 Ext. 3531

5H 4189

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP2012/056488

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	JP 2008-176795 A (Sharp Kabushiki Kaisha) 2008.07.31, [0073]-[0085], Fig.11 & US 2008/0291332 A1 & EP 1947603 A2	1-16
A	JP 5-233794 A (Hitachi, Ltd.) 1993.09.10, Full text; all drawings (No Family)	1-16
A	JP 2005-341337 A (Sharp Kabushiki Kaisha) 2005.12.08, Full text; all drawings (No Family)	1-16