

(19) **DANMARK**

(10) **DK/EP 3241201 T3**



(12) **Oversættelse af
europæisk patentskrift**

Patent- og
Varemærkestyrelsen

-
- (51) Int.Cl.: **G 08 B 25/14 (2006.01)** **G 06 Q 10/06 (2012.01)** **G 08 B 31/00 (2006.01)**
- (45) Oversættelsen bekendtgjort den: **2020-05-04**
- (80) Dato for Den Europæiske Patentmyndigheds bekendtgørelse om meddelelse af patentet: **2020-01-29**
- (86) Europæisk ansøgning nr.: **15820244.0**
- (86) Europæisk indleveringsdag: **2015-12-29**
- (87) Den europæiske ansøgnings publiceringsdag: **2017-11-08**
- (86) International ansøgning nr.: **GB2015054174**
- (87) Internationalt publikationsnr.: **WO2016108047**
- (30) Prioritet: **2014-12-29 GB 201423300**
- (84) Designerede stater: **AL AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR HR HU IE IS IT LI LT LU LV MC MK MT NL NO PL PT RO RS SE SI SK SM TR**
- (73) Patenthaver: **FireAngel Safety Technology Limited, Unit 10, Vanguard Centre, Sir William Lyons Road, Coventry CV4 7EZ, Storbritannien**
- (72) Opfinder: **RUTTER, Nicholas, Sprue Safety Products Ltd, Unit 10, Vanguard Centre, Sir William Lyons Road, Coventry CV4 7EZ, Storbritannien**
BOLGER, Chris, Sprue Safety Products Ltd, Unit 10, Vanguard Centre, Sir William Lyons Road, Coventry CV4 7EZ, Storbritannien
BRIGHAM, Peter, Sprue Safety Products Ltd., Unit 10, Vanguard Centre, Sir William Lyons Road, Coventry CV4 7EZ, Storbritannien
- (74) Fuldmægtig i Danmark: **Marks & Clerk (Luxembourg) LLP, 44 rue de la Vallée, B.P. 1775, L-1017 Luxembourg, Luxembourg**
- (54) Benævnelse: **FLERALARMFJERNMONITORERINGSSYSTEM**
- (56) Fremdragne publikationer:
EP-A1- 2 128 834
US-A1- 2011 001 812
US-A1- 2011 169 637
US-A1- 2011 254 681
US-A1- 2014 201 315

DESCRIPTION

Field of the Invention

[0001] This invention relates to a system for remotely monitoring safety alarms at an installation location, and determining and reporting an associated level of risk. The safety alarms may include heat detectors, carbon monoxide detectors, smoke detectors and other safety alarms. More specifically, the present invention relates to systems and methods using decision logic to manage the issuance of alert notifications based on a history of alarm event data, wherein the alarm event data comprise activation of an alarm, test procedures on the alarm or even a malfunction of the alarm.

Background

[0002] A conventional arrangement in, for example, a domestic residence, involves stand-alone safety alarms. When activated, consequent to the relevant catalyst or alarm test procedure, an audible and/or visual alarm functions; this is known as an alarm event. An alarm event alerts the inhabitants or nearby individuals to take action. It is also known to have alarms, in particular smoke alarms, connected to the nearest fire station via the telephone network. In this case, activation of the smoke alarm at the residence, school, office or factory will cause a specific associated alert function to be triggered at the fire station.

[0003] US 8,988,232 B1 discloses a method of determining an amount of time during which a hazardous condition is present, and generating an output in response to whether or not the amount of time has reached at least a threshold duration. US2011/0254681A1 relates to a method for alarm verification including the steps of collecting data representing a potential alarm event and applying the collected data to an alarm verification module.

[0004] The time and date of an alarm event and the location and type of alarm that was activated, are data that is unique to each alarm event. Such information has considerable value which has not been recognised or utilised in conventional alarm systems.

[0005] It is an aim of the present invention to provide a safety alarm monitoring system which utilises historical alarm event information to determine a risk factor for a particular location and to communicate this risk factor to a third party.

Summary of the Invention

[0006] According to a first aspect of the present invention, there is provided a remote alarm monitoring system as defined in claim 1.

[0007] The remote alarm monitoring system is configured for recording and processing alarm event data, the alarm system comprising: an alarm installation comprising one or more alarm devices in connection with an interface, wherein the interface is configured to receive alarm event data; a server in communication with the interface, wherein the server is configured to receive and process alarm event data from the interface; and one or more networked client devices in communication with the server, wherein the server is configured to transmit a message to the networked client device, said message based upon the processed alarm event data. The server is configured to elicit a different response for the same alarm event depending on a risk level assigned to the alarm installation.

[0008] In embodiments of the first aspect, the processed alarm event data is based on an analysis of the pattern and/or the frequency of alarm events and an assessment of a level of risk based on the analysis results.

[0009] It will be understood that the frequency of alarm events can be based on historical alarm event data, i.e., a history or record of past alarm events, of the one or more alarm devices.

[0010] In embodiments, the processed alarm event data is based on an analysis of the type of alarm events and an assessment of a level of risk based on the analysis results.

[0011] As specified below, alarm event data may include the date and time of the event, an identifier of the alarm device detecting the event and also the nature of the event, such as an activation of the alarm, a test procedure on the alarm or even a malfunction of the alarm. Alarm event data may include the date and time when an alarm is silenced (e.g., after a nuisance alert or test), or the time elapsed between an alarm event and the alarm being silenced.

[0012] The connection between the one or more alarm devices and the interface may be a wireless network connection.

[0013] Each of the one or more alarm devices may be configured to store alarm event data, the alarm event data including activation of an alarm, test procedures on the alarm or a malfunction of the alarm, for transmission to the server.

[0014] This allows alarm event data to be relayed once a reliable connection with the server has been established.

[0015] The one or more alarm devices may store alarm event data within each alarm device prior to transmission of the alarm event data to the interface.

[0016] The analysis of the pattern and/or the frequency of alarm events may take into account non-hazardous alarm events.

[0017] Non-hazardous alarm events include test functions, and the silencing of alarms in a non-hazardous situation. Non-hazardous alarm events may include time between an alarm event and the activation of the silencing function. Non-hazardous alarm events may include background sensor data, such as a pattern of sensor activity.

[0018] This increases the autonomy of an alarm device.

[0019] According to a second aspect of the present invention, there is further provided a server as defined in claim 6.

[0020] The server is configured for processing alarm event data, to receive alarm event data from an alarm installation via an interface; analyse the pattern, frequency, and/or type of alarm events; compare the analysed data with pre-determined criteria; and transmit a message to networked client device based on the comparison results. The server is configured to elicit a different response for the same alarm event depending on a risk level assigned to the alarm installation.

[0021] In embodiments of the second aspect, the step of comparing the analysed alarm event data with the pre-determined criteria results in a risk-level specific to the installation.

[0022] The pre-determined criteria may include stored historical data specific to the associated installation.

[0023] The step of comparing the analysed alarm event data with the pre-determined criteria may result in a risk-level specific to the installation.

[0024] The pre-determined criteria may include stored historical data specific to the associated installation.

[0025] The pre-determined criteria may include ongoing analysis of the pattern, frequency and/or type of the alarm event data of the associated installation.

[0026] The server may take into account the number of non-hazardous alarm events when analysing the pattern and/or the frequency of alarm events.

[0027] According to a third aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method for remotely monitoring alarms as defined in claim 9.

[0028] The method comprises the steps of: receiving alarm event data at an interface from one or more alarm devices comprised in an alarm installation; transferring alarm event data from the interface to a server; processing the alarm event data at the server; and transmitting a message to at least one networked client device based on the processed alarm event data. The method comprises the step of the server eliciting a different response for the same alarm

event depending on a risk level assigned to the alarm installation.

[0029] As set out in more detail below, an interface may be integral with an alarm device.

[0030] In embodiments of the third aspect, the step of processing the alarm event data may comprise analysing the pattern, frequency and/or type of alarm events, and assessing the level of risk based on the analysis results.

[0031] The method for remotely monitoring safety alarms may further comprise the step of storing alarm event data locally at the one or more alarm devices for transmission to the server.

[0032] The method for remotely monitoring safety alarms may further comprise the steps of: storing alarm event data locally at the one or more alarm devices; and transmitting alarm event data from the alarm devices to the interface.

[0033] In the method for remotely monitoring safety alarms, the step of analysing the pattern and/or the frequency of alarm events may take into account the number of non-hazardous alarm events.

[0034] In this way, remote automated monitoring of safety alarms is efficiently achieved on a continuous basis.

[0035] Features of the embodiments of the first, second and third aspects may be combined. For instance, method steps in accordance with embodiments of the third aspect may be carried out using configurations of embodiments of the first aspect.

Description of the Figures

[0036] Embodiments of the invention will now be described, by way of example, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

Figure 1 is a schematic illustration of an alarm monitoring system in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention; and

Figure 2 is a process diagram of the steps performed at the server by the algorithm of the present invention.

Description

[0037] Fig. 1 schematically illustrates the alarm monitoring system 10 of the present invention. One or more alarms 12 (in Fig. 1: four alarm devices 12) installed in one or more locations comprises an alarm network. Data from the alarm network is transmitted via an interface 14 to a mobile telecommunications network 16 such as GSM, UMTS or via TCP/IP. The interface may be wired and/or wireless. The data is subsequently received by a cloud-based server 18.

[0038] The data from the one or more alarms 12 is referred to as alarm event data. Alarm event data specifies the date and time of the event and also the nature of the event, such as an activation of the alarm, a test procedure on the alarm or even a malfunction of the alarm.

[0039] It will be understood that an alarm 12 may be embodied in the form of a detector capable of determining a change in an environmental condition. The environmental condition may be a temperature level (heat), carbon monoxide level, smoke level, or other condition. The detector may be capable of monitoring a plurality of environmental conditions. If the environmental condition is outside permissible boundaries, the alarm indicates this locally by a signal, which may be audible and/or visual, in the form of an above-mentioned alarm event.

[0040] A detector is capable of monitoring its status. The status may indicate that the detector is operating within pre-determined parameters, or that a fault has occurred. The status may include power status and/or sensor status. For instance, a detector may monitor whether or not its power supply is interrupted. A detector may monitor whether a battery level is too low. A detector may monitor whether a battery level is dropping at a faster rate than expected. A detector may monitor the performance of optical sensors. The status information may be included in the alarm event data. This may be used in the determination of a pattern in alarm event data.

[0041] The alarm is an autonomous device. By "autonomous", it is meant that the alarm can operate as a stand-alone device, independently of whether or not it is connected to a cloud based server 18. However, if a data connection between the alarm and the server 18 is established, alarm event data from the alarm device can be transmitted to the server 18.

[0042] The data is processed via an application running on the server 18 in which variables such as the number of alarm events over a period of time are analysed.

[0043] An analysis may take into account the type of the alarm. The alarm type may be determined by substance or condition monitored by the alarm. This may include smoke, heat, carbon monoxide, extreme temperatures, gas levels, pollution levels, or other.

[0044] An analysis may take into account the location of an alarm within the property. By location, the area monitored by the detector is meant. For instance, the location may be a floor level monitored by the alarm. The location may be classified by use, or intended use. A location may be a kitchen area. For instance, false alerts due to smoke from a toaster may be qualified differently in a kitchen area than in a non-kitchen area. A location may be a wet area. For instance, false alerts due to steam may be qualified differently in a bathroom.

[0045] An analysis may take into account the batch number of an alarm device or its condition.

[0046] Subject to the results of such analysis, a message 20 is automatically generated and transmitted via email, text message, or similar electronic means to a networked client device 22 of a predetermined recipient. The networked client device 22 may be a desktop computer, a laptop, a tablet or smartphone or similar. The networked client device 22 may be a device other than the alarm 12.

[0047] The application running on the server 18 is configured to analyse data from a single type of alarm 12 in one or more locations such as a residential building, school, office or factory to build a history of alarm events. Further, the application running on the server 18 is configured to also analyse data from multiple types of alarm 12 within a single location to build a history of alarm events.

[0048] The application is configured to analyse the history of alarm events to determine whether any patterns are evident. Patterns of repeated alarm events from a single location within a predetermined period of time may indicate a problem at that location which needs to be addressed. Specifically, a certain pattern is related to a certain degree of risk at a location, whilst a different pattern is related to a different degree of risk at a location. If the risk at a certain location is in excess of a pre-determined level, then a suitable message 20 is automatically sent to a predetermined recipient, i.e., to a third party, to be received on their mobile phone, landline, tablet, P.C. or other telecommunications means 22. The pre-determined level may vary installation by installation, dependent upon factors at each installation.

[0049] The predetermined recipient or responsible third party may be, by way of example, fire and rescue community care services or a relative of the fire alarm owner or an alarm maintenance service. The predetermined recipient or responsible third party may be a person or entity with an interest or under an obligation to monitor a number of buildings simultaneously, such as a private landlord, a social landlord, a property manager, a local authority or a housing association. The predetermined recipient or responsible third party may be a carer or relative.

[0050] The predetermined level may be embodied in the form of a risk threshold. A different risk threshold may be associated with different installations. For example, if one or more alarm devices 12 are installed in the kitchen of residential premises and if more than one smoke alarm events are recorded in the period of 10 days, then an alert will be sent to one or more communication devices 22 of the third party. For example, if the occupant is an elderly person living alone, then an appointed carer or relative will receive the alert. The alert can comprise various types and levels of information; the alert may specify the nature and times of the alarm events, or the alert may simply specify that a check of the premises is required by contacting or visiting the occupant.

[0051] Likewise, different risk thresholds may be associated for installations depending on the size, condition, and/or age of a property, the number of occupants, the occupation of occupants (e.g., student, retired), the age of occupants, health records, mental health, drug dependency, or any combination of these factors.

[0052] The interface 14 functions to transfer alarm event data from the alarm network to the internet or mobile telecommunications network 16. The interface 14 may be wireless or realised in hardware. For example, the interface 14 may comprise a broadband modem or home hub, or any other means of transferring data from the system alarms 12 to the internet or mobile telecommunications network 16.

[0053] A single location, such as a residence, school building office building or factory may have several safety alarms installed. These alarms 12 may have various positions within a building and various types of safety alarm may be installed. Each alarm 12 can be uniquely identified in the monitoring system of the present invention. A log of alarm events can be stored on a microchip within each alarm device 12 and then transmitted periodically to the interface 14. Alternatively, each alarm event which occurs at an alarm device 12 can be transmitted instantaneously to the interface 14.

[0054] It is known for safety alarms to have a device test function, comprising a button that may be depressed to establish that the device is correctly functioning. Therefore, an alarm event which may be monitored using the present invention, is activation of the test function of an alarm. For example, it may be helpful for the responsible third party to know that their elderly ward is repeatedly pressing the test button, i.e., with a higher frequency than would normally be expected, as this may indicate that the elderly person is concerned about the functioning of the alarm thereby causing them worry or stress.

[0055] When a safety alarm event occurs inappropriately, this may be referred to as a nuisance alarm. An example of such a nuisance alarm is the activation of a smoke alarm by regular cooking fumes. Consequently, the user would press the reset button on the alarm body in order to silence the alarm as there is no immediate danger. The present invention may record the time lapse between the safety alarm event and the activation of the reset function. The skilled person will understand that such behavioural data is valuable for analysing the risk factor at a location. For example, a nuisance alarm may be promptly noted and silenced by the user pressing the reset button, or a nuisance alarm may only be reset after a prolonged period, or not at all. In either example, the amount of time taken to reset the alarm in combination with other factors (such as the installation location) is used to determine a level of risk at a location.

[0056] Each safety alarm 12 installed in the system 10 of the present invention is provided with the facility to store a log of alarm events on a non-volatile memory within the alarm electronics located within the housing of the alarm. Further, each safety alarm 12 has the facility to transmit the alarm event data over a wireless network.

[0057] Figure 2 illustrates the steps of a method for processing the alarm event data. The first step 24 comprises receipt of alarm event data from the interface. The second step 26 comprises an analysis of the pattern, frequency and/or type of alarm events. In the third step 28, the analysis results are compared with predetermined risk criteria. The fourth step 30 comprises transmitting a message to a specific networked client device of a third party specifying a risk level at the installation and/or action to be taken by the third party.

[0058] The method steps recited in Figure 2 are realised by an algorithm of the present invention running on the cloud-based server 18.

[0059] It is envisaged that a single commercial entity may provide an entire service in respect of the present invention. Specifically, it is envisaged to provide and install the safety alarm or alarms at one or more premises and provide the network infrastructure to support the remote monitoring function. Further, embodiments of the invention allow to determine, and subsequently update, the predetermined risk criteria in respect of each alarm installation. This may be achieved through consultation with the responsible third party, who, in many cases, may also be the customer or manager of the commercial entity. Equally, different commercial entities may provide various parts of the alarm installation and remote monitoring service.

[0060] A risk profile may be assigned upon installation of an alarm device. The same risk profile may be assigned to a group of alarm devices based on similar classification criteria. For instance, the risk profile may be assigned on the basis of factors including whether or not alarm devices 12 belong to the same alarm system 10, the property type (e.g., residential or commercial), the location within a property, and any of the above-listed criteria, such as number, occupation, and/or number and age of occupants. The factors may be based on fire and rescue services policies, and/or on regional considerations. The risk profile may be set manually. It may be suitable to use one of three risk levels, such as "low", "medium", "high". Any number of risk levels may be set, as appropriate for a type of installation or as required by a third party.

[0061] As the application is configured to determine alarm event patterns from an analysis of historical alarm events, this allows the risk profile for a given installation to be adjusted based on an alarm event pattern. For instance, an installation with an initially low risk profile may be assigned a higher risk profile when a higher frequency of alarm events is observed.

[0062] Likewise, a change in an alarm event pattern may indicate an underlying problem that, in itself, is not sufficiently critical to raise a local hazard alert, but that merits a notification to a third party. For instance, an elderly person may customarily test an alarm device once per week as part of their routine (e.g., every Friday morning). A deviation from the routine (e.g., irregular test at different times of the day and unusually frequent tests throughout the week) may indicate an underlying health issue, such as incipient Alzheimer's disease. A deviation from the routine may be noticeable by a change of the alarm event pattern (and/or frequency). An algorithm can pick up such a change and issue a notification message to a third party.

[0063] Likewise, an algorithm may take into account a change in the duration required to silence a nuisance alarm, as described above. For instance, it may be expected that a nuisance alarm is silenced within a short period of time (e.g., within less than a minute). If the response time deviates from the expected range (e.g., it takes much longer than a minute to silence a nuisance alarm), this may indicate an underlying issue.

[0064] The algorithm may take into account a combination of two or more different triggers (e.g. both a change in test behaviour and a change in the response time to a nuisance alarm), or any number of types of alarm events.

[0065] The algorithm may provide as part of the alert notification an output suggesting a more appropriate risk level, e.g., a higher risk level (from low to medium, or from low to high, or from medium to high), for a third party to set manually.

[0066] To better illustrate this with an example, an installation may be assigned one of three risk levels, such as low risk, medium risk, or high risk. An alarm event will elicit a different response depending on the risk level of the installation. For instance, in a low risk installation, an alarm event may be recorded without a message 22 being sent to a third party. In a medium risk installation, the same alarm event may cause the alarm system to generate a notification message to a warden. In a high risk installation, the same alarm event may cause the alarm system to alert a fire and rescue service.

[0067] To provide an example for a low risk installation, a typical alarm event may be a smoke alert in a kitchen. A smoke alarm in a student accommodation may be classified as a low risk installation. If a single smoke alarm event (e.g., a nuisance alarm) is detected by a single alarm device, this will be recorded as an alarm event by the server, but no alarm message 20 is sent to a third party. If, however, two or more smoke alarm events are detected within a predetermined time window, or by two alarm devices in close proximity, this may indicate a problem and the server will issue an alarm message 20 to alert to a third party. For instance, a warden may be alerted of a repeat smoke alarm instance.

[0068] To provide an example of a high risk installation, a smoke alarm in a vulnerable person's home may be classified as a high risk installation. In that case, the single smoke alarm event by a single alarm device is relayed as an alert to a third party. For instance, an alert may be relayed directly to a fire and rescue service.

[0069] As set out above, alarm event data may include non-hazardous conditions, such as test procedures or status messages indicating a malfunction of an alarm device. The alarm device may be configured not to indicate a warning message of the non-hazardous condition if the alarm event data can be relayed to a server.

[0070] To provide another example, if an alarm device is silenced repeatedly, i.e., with a higher frequency than would normally be expected, the alarm system allows each silencing to be recorded as an alarm event. Depending on the risk profile, a pre-alert or alert may be sent in

the form of a message 20 to a third party if the number of silencing events occurs too frequently (e.g., more than 3 times a day).

[0071] Notably, it is not necessary for the alarm device 12 to sound an alert. For instance, a message 20 may be generated because a test button is pressed too frequently. In alarm systems with isolated alarm devices, it was hitherto not known to utilise such alarm event data in the determination of a risk factor. The alarm system of the present invention allows alarm event data of non-hazardous conditions to be included in the determination of whether or not a hazard is present.

[0072] Non-hazardous alarm events may include background sensor data, such as a pattern of sensor activity. For instance, a sensor of an alarm device may monitor a hazard level, and may routinely be configured to sound an alert if the hazard level exceeds a pre-determined threshold level ("trigger level"). The alarm event data may include background sensor data that was below the trigger level. For instance, the alarm event data may include the time, date, and other alarm device data for each time the hazard level exceeded 50%, or 2/3, of the trigger level. As such, the pattern of sensor activity may be elevated above background noise but not be high enough to trigger an alert locally. Thus, the hazard level will not be expected to cause an alarm device to sound an alert locally. However, the pattern may be analysed by the server as significant to merit notifying a third party. The server may, accordingly, send a notification to a third party. This provides an opportunity for the third party to investigate the issue.

[0073] For instance, an increasing number of non-hazardous alarm events may indicate a deterioration of an environment and may justify calling a service engineer or warden to inspect the situation at the premises.

[0074] In embodiments in which the batch number of the alarm device is taken into account in the analysis of the risk factor, this allows to determine whether or not a repeat number of false alarm events occurs in a particular batch of alarm devices.

[0075] This may indicate a degradation in detector performance. Likewise, patterns may be indicative of whether or not scheduled tests have been carried out in the required intervals, or whether a battery level is too low.

[0076] Thereby, fault conditions may be spotted that are not critical to the normal operation of the alarm device. This allows servicing to be initiated, or may encourage a targeted maintenance of detectors according to their classification (e.g., location, batch number, age of occupants, etc).

[0077] The decision logic may consider the alarm event history of the last 3, 4, 5, 6 days or of the last week. The decision logic may consider the alarm event history of the last two, three, four weeks or of the last month. The decision logic may take into account different days of the week or times of the year. For instance, student accommodation may be used for students during term time and as guest accommodation during a holiday season. The risk profile may

be set to one level during term time, when students are expected to occupy the accommodation, and to another level when guests are present during holiday season.

[0078] The skilled person will understand that numerous modifications and variations to the exemplary embodiments are possible within the scope of the present invention. For example, two or more features of the alarm system illustrated in Figure 1 may be combined in a single unit. This includes the combination of an alarm 12 including an interface 14 within the alarm housing. In other words, interface 14 may be constituted by the communication setup between an alarm device 12 and the server 18, as illustrated in Figure 1. The interface 14 may be integral with an alarm device 12, such that each alarm device 12 has, via an integral interface 14, a direct communication channel via network 16 with the server 18. The interface 14 of an alarm device 12 may serve as intermediary to relay data from one or more other alarm devices 12 of an alarm system. This allows data of alarm devices without direct connection to a server to be relayed via one or more alarm devices that are in direct communication with the server 18.

[0079] As such, the interface 14 may be configured to store alarm event data of a plurality of alarm devices 12.

[0080] Furthermore, the predetermined risk criteria can be reassessed on an ongoing basis, either automatically via the application running in the server or with the addition of manual input. Modifications and variations to the algorithms comprising the application are an integral aspect of the present invention.

[0081] It will be understood that when the present specification refers to decision logic in an embodiment, the decision logic may be embodied in the form of an algorithm. Embodiments of the invention may comprise a processor and software instructions implemented by the processor to apply the decision logic or algorithm.

REFERENCES CITED IN THE DESCRIPTION

This list of references cited by the applicant is for the reader's convenience only. It does not form part of the European patent document. Even though great care has been taken in compiling the references, errors or omissions cannot be excluded and the EPO disclaims all liability in this regard.

Patent documents cited in the description

- [US8988232B1 \[0003\]](#)
- [US20110254681A1 \[0003\]](#)

FLERALARMFJERNMONITORERINGSSYSTEM

PATENTKRAV

1. Fjernalarmmonitoreringssystem (10) til registrering og behandling af alarmhændelsesdata, hvilket alarmsystem (10) omfatter:
 - 5 en alarminstallation, der omfatter én eller flere alarmanordninger (12), der er forbundet med et interface (14), hvor interfacet (14) er konfigureret til at modtage alarmhændelsesdata;
en server (18) i forbindelse med interfacet (14), hvor serveren (18) er konfigureret til at modtage og behandle alarmhændelsesdata fra interfacet (14); og
én eller flere netopkoblede klientanordninger (22) i forbindelse med serveren (18), hvor serveren
10 (18) er konfigureret til at sende en meddelelse (20) til den netopkoblede klientanordning (22), hvilken meddelelse (20) er baseret på de behandlede alarmhændelsesdata;
kendetegnet ved, at serveren (18) er konfigureret til at frembringe et forskelligt respons for den samme alarmhændelse afhængigt af et risikoniveau, der er tildelt alarminstallationen.
 2. Alarmsystem (10) ifølge krav 1, hvor de behandlede alarmhændelsesdata er baseret på en analyse af
15 mønsteret og/eller frekvensen af alarmhændelser og/eller på en analyse af typen af alarmhændelser, og hvor alarmsystemet (10) er konfigureret til at justere risikoniveauet baseret på et alarmhændelsesmønster.
 3. Alarmsystem (10) ifølge krav 2, hvor der i analysen af mønsteret og/eller frekvensen af alarmhændelser tages højde for ikke-farlige alarmhændelser.
 4. Alarmsystem (10) ifølge et hvilket som helst af de foregående krav, hvor hver af den ene eller flere
20 alarmanordninger (12) er konfigureret til at lagre alarmhændelsesdata, hvilke alarmhændelsesdata indbefatter aktivering af en alarm, testprocedurer på alarmen eller en fejlfunktion for alarmen til transmission til serveren (18).
 5. Alarmsystem (10) ifølge et hvilket som helst af de foregående krav, hvor interfacet (14) er integreret i alarmanordningen (12).
 - 25 6. Server (18) til behandling af alarmhændelsesdata, hvilken server (18) er konfigureret til at:
modtage alarmhændelsesdata fra en alarminstallation via et interface (14);
analysere mønsteret, frekvensen og/eller typen af alarmhændelser;
sammenligne de analyserede data med forhåndsbestemte kriterier; og
sende en meddelelse (20) til den netopkoblede kundefordning (22) baseret på resultaterne af
30 sammenligningen,
hvor serveren (18) endvidere er konfigureret til at fremkalde et forskelligt respons for den samme alarmhændelse afhængigt af et risikoniveau, der er tildelt alarminstallationen.
 7. Server (18) ifølge krav 6, hvor trinnet med sammenligning af de analyserede alarmhændelsesdata med de forhåndsbestemte kriterier resulterer i et risikoniveau, der er specifikt for installationen, og hvor,
35 eventuelt, de forhåndsbestemte kriterier indbefatter lagrede historiske data, der er specifikke for den

- 2 -

tilhørende installation, og/eller hvor de forhåndsbestemte kriterier indbefatter igangværende analyse af mønsteret, frekvensen og/eller typen af den tilhørende installations alarmhændelsesdata.

8. Server (18) ifølge krav 6 eller 7, hvor ved analyse af mønsteret og/eller frekvensen af alarmhændelser serveren (18) tager højde for antallet og/eller typen af ikke-farlige alarmhændelser.
- 5 9. Fremgangsmåde til fjernmonitorering af alarmer, hvilken fremgangsmåde omfatter følgende trin:
 - modtagelse af alarmhændelsesdata ved et interface (14) fra én eller flere alarmanordninger (12), der indgår i en alarminstallation;
 - overførsel af alarmhændelsesdata fra interfacet (14) til en server (18);
 - behandling af alarmhændelsesdataene ved serveren (18); og
- 10 10. transmission af en meddelelse (20) til mindst én netopkoblet klientanordning (22) baseret på de behandlede alarmhændelsesdata,
 - hvor fremgangsmåden endvidere omfatter, at serveren (18) fremkalder et forskelligt respons for den samme alarmhændelse afhængigt af et risikoniveau tildelt alarminstallation (12).
- 15 10. Fremgangsmåde ifølge krav 9, hvor trinnet med behandling af alarmhændelsesdataene omfatter:
 - 15 analyse af mønsteret, frekvensen og/eller typen af alarmhændelser;
 - vurdering af risikoniveauet baseret på analyseresultaterne, og, eventuelt, der endvidere omfatter trinnet med:
 - lagring af alarmhændelsesdata lokalt ved den ene eller flere alarmanordninger (12) for transmission til serveren (18).
- 20 11. Fremgangsmåde ifølge krav 10, der omfatter justering af risikoniveauet baseret på et alarmhændelsesmønster.
12. Fremgangsmåde ifølge krav 10 eller 11, hvor trinnet med analyse af mønsteret og/eller frekvensen af alarmhændelser tager højde for antallet og/eller typen af ikke-farlige alarmhændelser.

DRAWINGS




Fig. 1




Fig. 2