

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau




(43) International Publication Date
4 October 2001 (04.10.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 01/73356 A1

- (51) International Patent Classification⁷: F24F 3/16, B01D 46/10
- (74) Agent: BALDWIN SHELSTON WATERS; 60 Margaret Street, Sydney, NSW 2000 (AU).
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/AU01/00339
- (22) International Filing Date: 27 March 2001 (27.03.2001)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:
PQ 6563 29 March 2000 (29.03.2000) AU
- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): NO-VAPHARM RESEARCH (AUSTRALIA) PTY LTD [AU/AU]; 3-11 Primrose Avenue, Rosebery, NSW 2018 (AU).
- (72) Inventor; and
- (75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): KRITZLER, Steven [AU/AU]; 9 Regdum Avenue, Cronulla, NSW 2230 (AU).
- (81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
- Published:
— with international search report
- For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: BIOSTATIC FILTER



(57) Abstract: The invention relates to an air filter including a composition which has a biostatic or biocidal agent adapted to migrate through particulates accumulating in use on the filter. The biocidal agent may have bacteriostatic and/or fungistatic properties and may optionally include a humectant, a surfactant or rheological additive. The invention also relates to compositions for treating filters and a method of reducing airborne contaminants in air.

WO 01/73356 A1

5

10

"BIOSTATIC FILTER"

15

TECHNICAL FIELD

This invention relates to air-conditioning systems and more particularly to a method of, and composition for, reduction in pathogens associated with the filters in such systems. The invention has been developed primarily for use in air-conditioning (including air cooling and air heating) systems, and will be described hereinafter with reference to this field of use. However, it will be appreciated that it is not limited to that particular use

BACKGROUND ART

25 Air conditioning systems such as are commonly provided in office, residential, health care and other buildings incorporate air filters. An example of such a filter employs non-woven polyester fibres as media to filter airborne particulates in excess of about 10 microns in size from the air, but filters are made from a wide variety of materials, in many different constructions and grades. The function of the filter is to trap
30 dust and particulate contaminants. This trapped matter (the "filtrate") provides a haven for the growth of pathogens such as fungi, bacteria, viruses, allergens, yeasts, and moulds. Conditions for the growth of such organisms are especially favourable during periods of high humidity such as may occur when the system is off, for example at night,

but also arise during normal operation. The presence of organisms is highly undesirable because they can cause illness or death in humans and animals, create odours and can damage or destroy a wide variety of materials.

Of particular concern in terms of human health and safety are endotoxins and
5 mycotoxins which are breakdown components of fungal and bacterial cell walls and
which are known human respiratory allergens. In some individuals they can trigger
asthma attacks, and in all cases have been shown to cause immune response. Over a
period of exposure this reduces the ability of the immune system to respond to
antagonists and leaves the subject more prone to infection by bacteria, viruses, etc. Also
10 of concern are fungal spores, bacterial spores and bacteria.

The prevention of spore germination and microbial survival in air filtration devices
would help reduce the risk of illness and hypersensitivity reactions. It would also
increase the useful life of the filtration devices. Microbial activity shortens the life of the
filter itself because the increase in biomass on and in the filter can clog pores, lessen air-
15 flow and increase back pressure in the system. In some cases, air filters are prepared
from or include natural materials such as cellulose and in that case are rapidly degraded
under moist conditions by certain fungi.

It is a major concern in health care facilities such as hospitals and nursing homes
that dangerous infectious diseases may be spread by a wide variety of micro-organisms.
20 The problem is exacerbated in such facilities because many of the patients are in a
weakened condition due to their primary health care problem. Micro-organisms that
would not be a major threat to a healthy person can be fatal to a patient with a diminished
capacity to defend themselves from infection.

Increasing attention is also being paid to other environments such as public
25 buildings, since if pathogenic microorganisms find their way via conditioned air or

ventilation shafts, into a building they can be rapidly circulated throughout the building thereby greatly increasing the likelihood of the spread of infection and disease.

One proposed solution to this problem has been to coat filter materials with a biocidal composition. The biocides have been bound onto or into the filter fibres for example by inclusion within polymers prior to extrusion as filter fibres. The present
5 inventor has discovered that such so-called "antimicrobial" filters are biostatically effective when the surface of an unused "antimicrobial" filter material is inoculated with bacteria and/or fungal contaminant in the laboratory, but that the filter becomes progressively ineffective with passage of time in actual use in an air-conditioning system.
10 Consequently the filter should be frequently removed for cleaning and retreatment or replaced. Removal is costly and inconvenient in terms of labour required and downtime, as well as being potentially hazardous, while retreatment or replacement is expensive. Typically the filters are only removed when the airflow resistance becomes unacceptable.

Any discussion of the prior art throughout the specification should in no way be
15 considered as an admission that such prior art is widely known or forms part of the common general knowledge in the field.

It is an object of the present invention to overcome or ameliorate at least one of the deficiencies of the prior art, or to provide a useful alternative.

Many regions of the world employ heated filtered air in buildings as a means of
20 central heating. It will be understood that the furnace and other filters of such systems contribute to the same hazards as described above for air conditioning filters and the invention is not limited to any particular kind of filter or airflow system. It will also be understood that the invention is equally applicable to filters of different kinds and grades, for example to hepafilters.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

According to a first aspect, the invention provides an air filter including a composition, said composition including a biostatic or biocidal agent wherein the agent is adapted to migrate through particulates accumulating in use on the filter.

5 For preference, the biostatic or biocidal agent is selected to have bacteriostatic and/or fungistatic properties. The particulates usually accumulate in layers and the biostatic or biocidal agent of the invention migrates through the layer to the outside surface (air/particulate interface) where organic matter would otherwise multiply.

In filters according to the invention the biocide is not bound to the filter surface but
10 is adapted to migrate through the accumulating dust and particulate matter on the filter. Particles in the layer become coated with biocide or biostat.

It will be understood that it is sufficient that the treatment is bacteriostatic or fungistatic. That is to say, it is sufficient that the treating agent stops colonisation on the filter rather than kills organisms in a colonised filter. However biocidal compositions
15 may be used.

According to a second aspect, the invention provides a composition for application to an air filter including:

- a water soluble biocidal or biostatic agent,
- -and a humectant

20 whereby the biocidal or biostatic agent is adapted to migrate through a filtrate accumulating, in use, on a surface of the air filter;

- It is highly preferred that the composition further includes a surfactant and desirably a fluorosurfactant.
- In highly preferred embodiments the composition includes one or more rheological
25 additives for example a thickening agent, a gelling agent, or a viscosity modifier.

According to a third aspect, the invention provides a method of treating a filtrate on a filter including the step of adding to the filter or to the filtrate a biocidal or biostatic agent adapted to migrate through the filtrate

According to a fourth aspect, the invention provides a method of reducing airborne
5 contaminants in air including the step of:
treating a filter with an agent according to the second aspect,
passing air through the filter whereby to accumulate contaminants as a filtrate on the filter , and
allowing the biocide to migrate into the filtrate.

10 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig 1 is a photomicrograph (x 100) of a new untreated air conditioning dust filter prior to use;

Fig 2 is a photomicrograph (x100) showing an untreated filter similar to that of Fig 1 after 11 months in use in a building air conditioning system;

15 Fig 3 is a photomicrograph (x100) showing a treated filter similar to that of Fig 1 after 11 months in use in a building air conditioning system; and

Fig 4 is a graph comparing the number of colony forming units ("cfu's") per gram of a filter treated in accordance with the invention with an untreated filter as a function of time in use over 11 months

20 BEST MODES OF PERFORMING THE INVENTION

Various embodiments of the invention will now be more particularly described by way of example only and with reference to the figures.

The present inventor has discovered that the application of biocides to filter fibres as in the past is relatively ineffective because; while this treatment can prevent fungal and
25 biocidal activity directly on the filter fibres themselves, as dust accumulate on the filter,

the outer surface of the dust becomes removed from the biocide bound to the filter fibres and pathogens then grow on the outside of this dusty residue (that is to say separated from the biocide treated fibres). Thus, as the filter clogs, the biocidal activity reduces. This explains why good results can be obtained in tests applying an inoculum to the

5 prior art filters in the laboratory but without good results being obtained in actual installed continuous use. In contrast, the present invention provides a biocide which remains effective over much longer periods, if not over the useful life of the filter by providing a biocidal preparation adapted to migrate through the layer or layers of accumulating filtrate on the filter towards the surface (air/particulate interface) where the

10 micro-organisms tend to colonise, Surprisingly this can be achieved despite the higher velocity of air at the surface of such residue.

Without wishing to be bound by theory, it is believed that compositions according to the invention are effective because the humectant draws in water which acts as a vehicle for the solution and transport of biostat or biocide (or of a combination of biostats

15 and/or of biocides). In preferred embodiments of the invention the surface tension of the vehicle is effectively lowered by the one or more surface active agents. As the filtrate accumulates on the filter, and the humectant draws in water, the biocidal composition is permitted to migrate to the outermost surface by the aqueous vehicle, maintaining its efficacy against pathogenic organisms, which otherwise would grow on the surface of the

20 particulate layer and in gaps in the particulate residue.

Preferably the biocide wets the exterior surface of individual particles as well as the exterior surface of the particulate layer.

Example 1

In a preferred embodiment of the invention, an air filter is coated with a solution

25 containing the dispersion or solution of biocide and humectant in a solvent. In the present

example an air conditioning filter according to Australian grade "F5" was treated . The filter was made from a needled non-woven polyester fibre fabric and had a total surface area of about 3.5 square metres. The filter thickness was 10-12 mm and its density was about 280-300 grams per square metre (gsm). A typical fibre diameter would be in the
 5 range of 6-15 denier. The filter was treated by spraying with a solution having a formulation as shown in example 2.

Example 2

A basic formulation of a treating solution is as follows:

	Calcium chloride (humectant)	5-25%
10	Kathon 886MW (biostat)	0.04%
	Fluorad FC129 (surfactant)	0.01%
	Water qs	100%

Note: Kathon 886MW is a preservative obtainable from Rohm & Haas Corp.

Fluorad FC 129 is a fluorosurfactant available from 3M corp.

15

Example 3

A preferred formulation for the treating solution is as follows:

	Calcium chloride (humectant)	14 -18%
	Kathon 886 MW (biostat)	0.04%
	Fluorad FC129 (surfactant)	0.01%
20	Vinyl ether/maleic anhydride copolymer* (viscosity modifier)	0.8%
	Water qs	100%

*Gantrez available from ISP Corp.

It is desirable to incorporate one or more rheological additives (e.g. viscosity
 25 modifiers, gelling agents, thixotropic agents or the like) into the treatment. These control

the viscosity of the vehicle to prevent fine droplets of dissolved treating agent from being blown from the filter by the vigorous passage of air, and to assist in retaining the solution on the filter. The type and quantity of rheological additive can be selected having regards to conditions of use.

5 The preferred treatment is very strongly hygroscopic, taking in moisture from the air passing through the filter and becoming a liquid. This liquid's penetration into an accumulating filtrate layer is further enhanced by the incorporation in the treatment of a surface active agent which ensures penetration against the air flow by virtue of low surface tension. The biocides in the formulation are water soluble or partly water soluble
10 and therefore migrate into and through the filtrate layer as part of the treatment.

Other active ingredients may be incorporated into the formulation for permeation through the filtrate, for example fire retardants, airflow promoters or viscosity reducing agents, deodorisers and so forth.

Example 4

15 A filter according to example 1 was treated by spraying with a solution according to example 3 to a level of 230 ml of treating solution per square meter. The treated filter was then dried using dry air. It will be understood that the filter could be coated by dipping or any other convenient method and dried using heat, a vacuum or by any other suitable means or combination of means. The dried filter was then placed into a sealed
20 container, such as a sealed plastic bag, until ready for use.

When the filter was to be used, it was removed from its sealed container, and placed in its operational position in an air-conditioning system. In use, the humectant in a filter prepared in accordance with the invention will begin to absorb water from the environment. This absorption continues through to a stage where a saturated solution of
25 the biocide forms in which the concentration depends on the relative humidity of the air.

During the liquefaction process, the biocidal components are partially or completely dissolved in the humectant solution along with the surfactants.

The resulting liquid treatment solution has an extremely low surface tension and high osmolality making it an ideal penetrant. As the filter progressively removes
5 airborne contaminants which accumulate as a filtrate layer, this treatment progressively penetrates and encapsulates the contaminant particles. The encapsulating penetrating treatment which contains an efficacious level of biocide not only kills micro-organisms carried on the airborne contaminant, but also ensures that no microbiological activity takes place in the layer of filtrate itself.

10 In humid air, the growth of bacteria and pathogens is naturally higher than in dry air. Thus, the present invention provides greater biocidal activity when it is most needed, i.e. during times of high humidity. Reduced biocidal activity may be a consequence of drier air, however it is anticipated that the number and growth of pathogens during such dry conditions would not be so high. These conditions result in an extension of the
15 biocidal life-time of the filter.

The present invention is not directed towards the prevention of clogging of the filter by preventing growth of the biomass, but rather is directed to controlling colonisation by organisms on the filter and in the accumulating residue and ultimately to produce air which is reduced in pathogens.

20 Example 5

Filters treated according to example 4 were placed in service. The treated filters were found to be effective in service for periods of six months or more. At the end of six months, the filter was removed, cleaned, and retreated with fresh composition according to example 1. In conducting these experiments it was noted that spore forming materials
25 if allowed to dry out on the filter tended easily to become airborne and redistributed in

the air stream and also tend to be more resistant to biocide when dry. Spore forming materials put a load on the human immune system of those breathing the air. Dead cells, if they become airborne, cause asthma in those susceptible. A further advantage of the present invention is that the humectant maintains a level of moisture at the filtrate
5 surface which reduces spore and cell refluidization.

Figures 1-3 are photomicrographs at x100 magnification showing the effect of treatment after 11 months in use (fig 3) compared to untreated filter material before use (fig 1) and after 11 months use (fig 2). A comparison of the used untreated sample of fig 2 with the unused sample of fig 1 shows that use results in significant growth of fungal
10 filaments (which appear as fine threads) about the larger diameter filter fibres. Entrapped dirt and dust particles are also visible after use. In contrast, the treated filter of fig 3 shows no significant growth of micro-organisms after 11 months exposure although entrapped dirt and dust particles are naturally clearly visible.

Example 6

15 A series of identical new filters were taken and 20% of them were treated as in example 4 with the composition of example 3. The remaining 80% of the series were left untreated. The treated and untreated filters were put into the same air handling system, such that the treated filters were alternated with untreated filters. On a monthly basis samples were taken from both a treated and an adjacent untreated filter and the number of
20 viable fungal and bacterial species were counted. The results (expressed as colony forming units ("cfu's")/gram of filter are shown in fig 4 as a function of time in months. The rate of colonisation of the treated filter was not significantly different from that of the untreated filter during the first month. However thereafter the cfu's/gram climbed to in excess of Log 6 organisms per gram of clean filter material, whilst the corresponding

figure for the treated filter substantially stabilised within two months at about Log 2 cfu's/ gram of clean filter material - a dramatically improved result.

Suitable biocides for use in the invention include, but are not limited to, 2-bromo-2-nitropropane-1,3-diol (Bronopol); Isothiazolines such as methyl, or chloromethyl
5 isothiazolinone (Kathon 886 MW); Methyl or propyl or butyl parahydroxybenzoates; sorbic acid, benzoic acid and salts of these acids, phenoxy ethanol; triclosan; diclosan; dichlorophen; chlorhexidine gluconate, orthophenylphenol; benzalkonium halides; and other quaternary biocides orthobenzylparachlorophenol, substituted diphenyl ethers.

A preferred humectant for use in the invention is calcium chloride. Examples of
10 other humectants are glycerol, sorbitol, ethylene glycol, PEG, propylene glycol, 1,3 butylene glycol, PCA (2-Pyrrolidone-5-carboxylic acid), sodium sulphate, sodium hydroxide, lactic acid and derivatives, sodium chloride and the like. Those skilled in the art will have no difficulty in selecting suitable humectants having regard to the construction materials in the system and the composition of the filter based on the
15 disclosure herein contained. Some humectants also act as surfactants. One example is sodium dioctylsulphosuccinate.

A preferred surfactant class for use in the invention is fluoro surfactants, such as Fluorad FC129. These are preferred because they have a profound ability to reduce surface tension. However other surfactants can be employed. By way of example only,
20 the surfactant may be non-ionic (e.g. ethoxylates, propoxylates and block co-polymers of these two), anionic surfactants (such as sodium dodecylbenzenesulphonate, sodium dioctylsulphosuccinate, sodium salts of sulphonated or sulphated organic ethoxylates or propoxylates), cationic surfactants (such as Cetrimonium Chloride or such as secondary, tertiary and quaternary organoamines) or even amphoteric surfactants (such
25 Cocamidopropylene Betaine) .

Examples of rheological agents which may be included are sodium carboxymethylcellulose; hydroxyethylcellulose; hydroxypropylcellulose; polyethylene glycols; polypropylene glycols; polyvinyl alcohol; polyvinyl acetate, polyvinylpyrrolidone and copolymers of these, hydroxypropyl guar, xanthan gum, chitosan, acrylated copolymers, polyacrylic polymers (carbopols) and the like. However many other water soluble polymers would be similarly advantageous.

Although the composition in the examples was applied to the filter from an aqueous solution or suspension, it may be possible and advantageous to apply the humectant and biostat to the filter as a solid or from a non aqueous solvent and such compositions are within the scope of the invention.

It will be understood that compositions according to the invention can be applied to filters of any material. Tests have been conducted with filters of polypropylene, viscose, rayon, cellulose, and glass fibre. However the principle of operation herein described is adaptable to filters of other materials and of other construction (such as for example woven, non-woven, spunbond, meltblown, laminates and the like).

It will be understood that the treating agent may employ one or more biocides and may be formulated based on the principles herein taught in a variety of formulations. Although it is preferred to pre-treat filters, a filter may be treated *in situ* by admitting a composition according to the invention as a spray downstream of the filter or by direct application (continuously or intermittently) of a biostat onto the filtrate layer of a filter in service, or prior to removal. The treatment may also be reapplied to a filter removed from service, with or without removal of filtrate.

Although the invention has been described with reference to specific examples, it will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that the invention may be embodied in other forms.

THE CLAIMS OF THE INVENTION ARE AS FOLLOWS

1. An air filter including a composition, said composition including a biostatic or biocidal agent wherein the agent is adapted to migrate through particulates accumulating in use on the filter.
- 5 2. An air filter according to claim 1 wherein the biostatic or biocidal agent is selected to have bacteriostatic and/or fungistatic properties.
3. An air filter according to claim 1 or 2 which after 3 months in normal use produces at least log 1 reduction in cfu's /gram of clean filter material in comparison with an untreated filter under the same conditions.
- 10 4. A composition for application to an air filter, said composition including:
 - a water soluble biocidal or biostatic agent,
 - and a humectantwhereby the biocidal or biostatic agent is adapted to migrate through a filtrate accumulating, in use, on a surface of the air filter.
- 15 5. A composition according to claim 4 further including a surfactant.
6. A composition according to claim 4 further including a fluorosurfactant.
7. A composition according to any one of claims 4 to 6 further including one or more rheological additives.
8. A composition according to claim 7 wherein a rheological additive is a thickening
20 agent, a gelling agent, or a viscosity modifier.
9. A composition according to any one of claims 4 to 8 wherein the biocidal or biostatic agent is selected from 2-bromo-2-nitropropane-1,3-diol, isothiazolines, methyl or propyl or butyl parahydroxybenzoates; sorbic acid, benzoic acid and salts of these acids, phenoxy ethanol; triclosan; diclosan; dichlorophen; chlorhexidine gluconate,

orthophenylphenol; quaternary biocides, orthobenzylparachlorophenol, and substituted diphenyl ethers.

10. A composition according to any one of claims 4 to 9 wherein the agent is 2-bromo-2-nitropropane-1,3-diol.
- 5 11. A composition according to any one of claims 4 to 10 wherein the humectant is selected from calcium chloride, glycerol, sorbitol, ethylene glycol, PEG, propylene glycol, 1,3 butylene glycol, PCA (2-Pyrrolidone-5-carboxylic acid), sodium sulphate, sodium hydroxide, lactic acid and derivatives thereof, sodium chloride and sodium dioctylsulphosuccinate.
- 10 12. A composition according to any one of claims 4 to 11 wherein the humectant is calcium chloride.
13. A composition according to claim 7 wherein a rheological additive is one or more compound selected from sodium carboxymethylcellulose; hydroxyethylcellulose; hydroxypropylcellulose; polyethylene glycols; polypropylene glycols; polyvinyl alcohol;
- 15 polyvinyl acetate, polyvinylpyrrolidone and copolymers of these, hydroxypropyl guar, xanthan gum, chitosan, acrylated copolymers, polyacrylic polymers (carbopols) and water soluble polymers
14. A composition according to any one of claims 4 to 13 wherein a rheological additive is vinyl ether/maleic anhydride copolymer.
- 20 15. A composition according to any one of claims 4 to 14 substantially as herein described.
16. A filter when treated with a composition according to any one of claims 4 to 15
17. A method of treating a filtrate on a filter including the step of adding to the filter or to the filtrate a biocidal or biostatic agent adapted to migrate through the filtrate.
- 25 18. A method of reducing airborne contaminants in air including the steps of:

treating a filter with a composition according to any one of claims 4 to 15;
passing air through the filter whereby to accumulate contaminants as a filtrate on
the filter; and
allowing the biocide to migrate into the filtrate.

- 5 19. A method of reducing airborne contaminants in air including the step of:
treating a filter with an biocidal or biostatic agent;
passing air through the filter whereby to accumulate contaminants as a filtrate on
the filter; and
allowing the biocide to migrate throughout the filtrate.
- 10 20. A method according to any one of claim 17s to 19 and substantially as herein
described with reference to any one of the examples.




FIG 1



FIG 2




FIG 3




FIG. 4

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU01/00339

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER																						
Int. Cl. ⁷ : F24F3/16,B01D46/10																						
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC																						
B. FIELDS SEARCHED																						
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) F24F3/16,B01D46/10																						
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched AU IPC AS ABOVE																						
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) WPAT; Humectant,and biocide + filter																						
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT																						
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.																				
X	GB 2202042 A (Sanyo Electric Co. Ltd.) 14 September 1988	1-20																				
X	WO 96/22825 A (Purafil Inc.) 1 August 1996	1-20																				
<input type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex																						
<p>* Special categories of cited documents:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>"A"</td> <td>document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</td> <td>"T"</td> <td>later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"E"</td> <td>earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date</td> <td>"X"</td> <td>document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"L"</td> <td>document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</td> <td>"Y"</td> <td>document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"O"</td> <td>document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</td> <td>"&"</td> <td>document member of the same patent family</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"P"</td> <td>document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>			"A"	document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T"	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention	"E"	earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"X"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone	"L"	document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art	"O"	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&"	document member of the same patent family	"P"	document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		
"A"	document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T"	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention																			
"E"	earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"X"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone																			
"L"	document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art																			
"O"	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&"	document member of the same patent family																			
"P"	document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed																					
Date of the actual completion of the international search 23 April 2001		Date of mailing of the international search report 11 - MAY 2001																				
Name and mailing address of the ISA/AU AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929		Authorized officer G.Carter Telephone No : (02) 6283																				

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
Information on patent family members

International application No.
PCT/AU01/00339

This Annex lists the known "A" publication level patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent Document Cited in Search Report		Patent Family Member			
GB	2202042	JP	63118543	US	4876070
WO	9622825	AU	48592/96	US	5942323

END OF ANNEX