

(19)



(11) Publication number:

SG 190094 A1

(43) Publication date:

28.06.2013

(51) Int. Cl:

C02F 1/54, C02F 11/14;

(12)

Patent Application

(21) Application number: **2013033543**

(71) Applicant:

**OREGE SOCIÉTÉ ANONYME À
DIRECTOIRE ET CONSEIL DE
SURVEILLANCE, 1, RUE PIERRE
VAUDENAY, F-78350 JOUY EN JOSAS
FR**

(22) Date of filing: **28.10.2011**

(30) Priority: **FR 10/04285 29.10.2010**

(72) Inventor:

**CAPEAU, PATRICE 16, AVENUE SAINT
JÉROME F-13100 AIX EN PROVENCE
FR
LOPEZ, MICHEL 18, RUE DE
L'EGLANTINE F-11110 COURSAN FR
GENDROT, PASCAL 51, BIS RUE DE
LA MANUFACTURE F-78350 JOUY EN
JOSAS FR**

(54) Title:

WATER CLARIFICATION METHOD AND DEVICE

(57) Abstract:

The invention relates to a method and device for clarifying water by means treatment of the colloidal structures contained in a liquid and/or a sludge supplied in a continuous flow at a flow rate of $QEB = VEB/\text{hour}$. The flow is sprayed into a chamber (2) under overpressure conditions in relation to atmospheric pressure, said chamber (2) having a volume $v < VEB/20$, and air (10) being injected simultaneously therein at a flow rate d .



(51) Classification internationale des brevets :
C02F 1/54 (2006.01) **C02F 11/14** (2006.01)

l'Eglantine, F-11110 Coursan (FR). **GENDROT, Pascal**
[FR/FR]; 51, bis rue de la Manufacture, F-78350 Jouy en
Josas (FR).

(21) Numéro de la demande internationale :
PCT/FR2011/000583

(74) Mandataire : **BENECH, Frédéric**; Cabinet Frédéric
Benéch, 146-150 avenue des Champs-Élysées, F-75008
Paris (FR).

(22) Date de dépôt international :
28 octobre 2011 (28.10.2011)

(25) Langue de dépôt : français

(81) États désignés (sauf indication contraire, pour tout titre
de protection nationale disponible) : AE, AG, AL, AM,
AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ,
CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO,
DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT,
HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP,
KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD,
ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI,
NO, NZ, OM, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU,
RW, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ,
TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA,
ZM, ZW.

(26) Langue de publication : français

(30) Données relatives à la priorité :
10/04285 29 octobre 2010 (29.10.2010) FR

(71) Déposant (pour tous les États désignés sauf US) :
OREGE [FR/FR]; Société Anonyme à Directoire et
Conseil de Surveillance, 1, rue Pierre Vaudenay, F-78350
Jouy En Josas (FR).

(72) Inventeurs; et

(84) États désignés (sauf indication contraire, pour tout titre
de protection régionale disponible) : ARIPO (BW, GH,

(75) Inventeurs/Déposants (pour US seulement) : **CAPEAU,
Patrice** [FR/FR]; 16, avenue Saint Jérôme, F-13100 Aix
En Provence (FR). **LOPEZ, Michel** [FR/FR]; 18, rue de

[Suite sur la page suivante]

(54) Title : WATER CLARIFICATION METHOD AND DEVICE

(54) Titre : PROCEDE ET DISPOSITIF DE CLARIFICATION DES EAUX




FIG.1

(57) Abstract : The invention relates to a method and device for clarifying water by means treatment of the colloidal structures contained in a liquid and/or a sludge supplied in a continuous flow at a flow rate of $Q_{EB} = V_{EB}/\text{hour}$. The flow is sprayed into a chamber (2) under overpressure conditions in relation to atmospheric pressure, said chamber (2) having a volume $v < V_{EB}/20$, and air (10) being injected simultaneously therein at a flow rate q .

(57) Abrégé : La présente invention concerne un procédé et un dispositif de clarification des eaux par traitement des structures colloïdales contenues dans un liquide et/ou une boue alimenté en flux continu à un débit $Q_{EB} = V_{EB}/\text{heure}$. On projette le flux dans une enceinte (2) en surpression par rapport à la pression atmosphérique, ladite enceinte (2) étant de volume $v < V_{EB}/20$, en injectant simultanément de l'air (10) à un débit q .



WATER CLARIFICATION METHOD AND DEVICE

The present invention relates to a method for clarifying water by treating colloidal structures present in a liquid and/or a sludge fed in continuous flow at a specified rate.

It also relates to a device enabling such treatment.

10 The invention finds particularly significant, though not exclusive, application in the field of the clarification of thick water - for example, water containing dry matter (DM), and in the field of sludge dewatering.

15

The majority of waste water, polluted water, muddy water or sludgy water comprises colloids, which are present either in the solid parts in suspension, especially in their organic fraction, or in the water itself.

20

These colloids give the effluent as a whole a coloring and characteristics of opacity, a source of disadvantages.

25

By attacking these colloidal structures, the invention aims to produce an effluent which after treatment is particularly transparent.

30 There are already methods known for at least partly eliminating the presence of colloids.

These methods essentially involve adding, in the treatment process chain, one or more coagulants and then flocculants, in amounts sufficient to agglomerate and fix the colloids, which are subsequently removed, for example, by settling or centrifuging.

35



The prior-art methods, however, do not allow sufficient removal of the colloids from the liquid effluent, which often remains of high turbidity and/or
5 with a solid fraction of high water content.

Such treatments then give rise to substantial costs for transport, and, in general, for supplementary combustion.

10

With the invention it will be possible to reduce the amount of sludge while producing water of high purity, without addition of reagents in substantial amount.

15 Colloidal particles are known to have two essential characteristics.

They have a very small diameter (from 1 nm to 1 μ m) and carry an electronegative charge, giving rise to
20 intercolloidal repulsion forces.

These two characteristics give the colloids an extremely low sedimentation rate, which may even be considered to be zero in the context of water
25 treatment.

With the known coagulation/flocculation treatments, this problem is solved as follows.

30 In a first stage, coagulation, by addition of metal salts (generally iron salts or aluminum salts), suppresses the intercolloidal repulsion. The metal cations (Al^{3+} and Fe^{3+}) bind to the colloids and neutralize them. The colloidal particles are able
35 thereafter to collide.

In a second stage, flocculation addresses the problem

of the small diameter of the colloids. Indeed, their low mass does not allow a natural sedimentation that can be exploited in the context of a treatment.

- 5 Through the addition of flocculant, the agglomeration of the colloidal particles is brought about, and the agglomerate of colloids, referred to as a floc, has sufficient mass to be able to settle.
- 10 The flocculant added is generally a polymer, either organic or natural.

The invention starts from a concept which is radically different from the concepts of the prior art, for
15 removing the colloids.

To accomplish this, the invention aims to introduce a high energy ($> 10\ 000\text{ J}$) into the colloid-loaded effluent, which is both liquid and sludgy, all in a
20 confined and oxidizing (air) medium, in order, surprisingly, to bring about the loss of the negative characteristics of the colloids that prevent their removal.

25 Applied to the dewatering of sludge, the method facilitates liquid/solid separation and produces an excellent result, whether implemented alone or in combination with other separation techniques such as centrifuging or filtration.

30

In particular, this method produces excellent results on its own for highly mineralized sludges (i.e., those having a % of organic matter per 100% by weight of dry matter of less than from 5 to 15%).

35

With less mineralized sludges, it is possible to obtain an optimized yield when the method is combined

with a complementary separation tool downstream of the device (belt filter or centrifugation), that enhances solids increase by more than 10%, as for example by 25%.

5

Existing plants can therefore be easily enhanced by addition of one or more reactors that implement the invention, and this, subsequently and for example, will save on the costs of transport and final

10

incineration of the sludge.

The invention, furthermore, exhibits very low electrical consumption and does not use very much consumable material (compressed air, additive).

15

Moreover, the method employs a simple and very compact device which is easily transportable and which will therefore be able to be installed on sites without easy access.

20

Continuous operation is possible with the invention, with very relaxed constraints on exploitation.

The treatment according to the invention, furthermore, does not give rise to any pollution, while employing a technique which is itself much more economical than those known in the field of liquid/solid separation (centrifuge, press filter, belt filter, continuous oxygenated recirculation, etc.).

30

Lastly, the invention, surprisingly, produces a new type of porous dewatered cake that constitutes a useful residue.

35 For this purpose, the invention essentially provides a method for clarifying by treating the colloidal structures present in a liquid and/or sludge fed in

- continuous flow at a rate $Q_{EB} = V_{EB}/\text{hour}$, characterized in that the flow is sprayed into a chamber in overpressure in relation to the atmospheric pressure, said chamber being a continuous or semicontinuous flow
- 5 passage chamber having a volume $v < V_{EB}/20$, with simultaneous injection of air into the chamber at a rate d below the feeding of the flow, into said chamber.
- 10 In the definition above, the value V_{EB} is of course a volume value, expressed for example in m^3 .

By chamber is meant a tank or a reactor of specified closed volume, comprising an inlet for the flow and an

15 outlet for the flow after treatment, with a cross section more limited than that of the reactor.

The chamber is therefore a chamber for passage of the flow under pressure.

20 By a value $v < V/20$ or $v < 5\% V$ is meant a value less than or approximately less than, with a tolerance of the order of $\pm 10\%$ to 20% .

25 Advantageously $v \leq V/25$ or $\leq V/50$.

In one advantageous embodiment of the invention the excellent results are attained in particular by virtue of the combination of a plurality of functions in the

30 same small-sized chamber, by providing four functional zones:

A zone for introduction of slightly compressed air, this zone also hosting suspension, or prevention of

35 settling, of the heaviest particles, which are nevertheless capable of rising within the reactor and of emerging in the top part with the finest particles.

A hydraulic impact zone in which the liquid flows are introduced.

5 A rise zone of the bed, consisting, for an amount by weight of approximately 1 of gas, of 0.1 of water and of 0.01 of solid. Within this zone, very vigorous agitation is made possible by the provision of air of the recommended quality (rate and pressure).

10

A decompression zone, regulated for example by a slide valve situated at the top part of the reactor. In the example of this slide valve, the valve is required to maintain the reactor at a relative pressure of
15 approximately 0.5 to 2 bar.

With the invention it is therefore possible to transmit a high kinetic energy on the basis of the kinetic energy of pumping, which is converted to
20 impact energy inside a small volume.

The simultaneous introduction of an amount of air increases the energy level of the small-sized chamber, by further increasing the turbulence.

25

The hydraulic regime in the chamber is therefore arranged so as to be highly turbulent ($Re \gg$ to $3000 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$), and this, in combination with the oxidation associated with the injection of air, brings about the
30 removal or breaking of the colloidal structures.

The reason is that the collisions between the air, the water, and the sludge bring the air inside the solid, by physical replacement of part of the interstitial
35 water between colloids by the air, while at the same time providing the oxidation of the effluent.

For example, in an industrial sludge obtained from the petroleum industry, and with a high load of DM (> 20 g/l) containing 90% of organic matter, solids increase by filter or centrifuging has been observed
5 to give a residual water which is colored and turbid, a sign that it still contains colloids, whereas, when using the method according to the invention (prior to another means of solids increase or otherwise), the residual water obtained is transparent, with a COD of
10 less than 300 mg/l, in other words devoid of colloids as far as the skilled person is concerned.

Lastly, and unexpectedly, it has been observed that the method according to the invention allowed the
15 stripping of the molecules dissolved in the water, giving rise to substantial depollution and thus further aiding the desired clarification.

The flow is advantageously formed from at least two
20 partial flows, which are sprayed one onto another.

In advantageous embodiments, use is also made of one and/or another of the following provisions:

- the flow is injected into the chamber via two
25 identical opposite orifices situated in the lower half of said chamber, the air being injected below said orifices, and the air, water, and sludge escaping at the top part of the chamber;
- the air is injected at a rate $d > 1.5 Q_{EB}$, as for
30 example greater than 5 Q_{EB} , then 10 Q_{EB} , or of between 1.5 times and 15 times Q_{EB} ;
- the air is injected at average pressure. By average pressure is meant between 1.4 bar and 2.5 bar, advantageously between 1.6 bar and 1.9 bar. Such a
35 pressure generates larger bubbles, which will be able to penetrate the medium more effectively, by being distributed randomly within the chamber.

- $V_{EB} < V/50$;
- $v \leq V_{EB}/100$;
- at least one liquid reagent is added continuously at a rate q to the interior of the chamber;
- 5 - the rate Q_{EB} is greater than or equal to 15 m³/h, the rate d is greater than or equal to 25 m³/h, and the relative pressure in the chamber is greater than or equal to 0.8 bar;
- the rate Q_{EB} is greater than or equal to 20 m³/h, the
10 rate d is greater than or equal to 50 m³/h, and the relative pressure in the chamber is greater than 1.2 bar;
- the liquid reagent is a cationic organic flocculant.
- the effluents are degassed on emergence from the
15 chamber and the gases obtained are used to feed the injection of air at the bottom part.

The invention also provides a device for treating colloidal structures present in a liquid and/or sludge
20 fed in continuous flow at a rate $Q_{EB} = V_{EB}/\text{hour}$, characterized in that it comprises a closed chamber of volume $v < V_{EB}/20$ comprising at least two identical opposite orifices situated in the lower half of said chamber,

25 means for collecting the sludge and for feeding the flow of sludge thus collected into said chamber in at least two partial flows each, respectively, injected via one of said orifices,

means for feeding air to the chamber at a rate d below
30 said orifices, and

means for removing the flow, arranged so as to maintain the chamber at overpressure.

The flow is advantageously removed at the top part via
35 a pressure relief valve which releases above a specified threshold value.

Also advantageously the volume $v \leq V_{EB}/50$.

Also advantageously the volume $v \leq V_{EB}/100$.

- 5 In another advantageous embodiment, the device comprises means for feeding a liquid reagent at a specified rate directly into the chamber.

10 The invention will be better understood from a reading of the description that follows of embodiments which are given as nonlimitative examples. The description makes reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

- 15 - Figure 1 is a scheme illustrating the principle of the method of treatment according to one embodiment of the invention.

- 20 - Figure 2 is an operating scheme of one embodiment of a device according to the invention.

- Figure 3 is a schematic view illustrating the conversion of a sludge, using a device according to the invention.

25

Figure 1 shows the principles of the method for treating or breaking the colloidal structures present in an effluent, according to the embodiment of the invention more particularly described here.

30

In a reactor 1 formed by an oblong chamber 2 which extends about an axis 3, and has a small volume v of the order, for example, of 50 liters, the effluents (arrows 4) are injected via two opposite ports 5 and 6
35 which are symmetrical with respect to the axis 3 of the chamber.

The ports are situated at the bottom part of the chamber, as for example at a distance h from the base 7 of the chamber, between one fifth and one third of the height H of the chamber.

5

These two ports, situated opposite one another, allow the flow of water highly loaded with dry matter (DM) (for example τ of DM 10%/total weight) to be fed under pressure, giving rise to a substantial impact where
10 the two flows meet in the zone 8.

In other words, the pumping of the waters from the outside (not shown) that are introduced into the chamber of the small-sized reactor 1, via the opposing
15 ports, produces an impact between the flows in the zone 8, owing to the outlet pressure of the feed pump or pumps (not shown), which is dependent on the height of water in said feed pumps upstream of the ports, and on the head losses in the circuit.

20

Conventionally, using commercial industrial pumps and a circuit without excessive faults, a pressure of 2 bar at the outlet 9 of the ports into the chamber is readily attainable.

25

The kinetic energy of pumping is then converted into impact energy, which is maximized by increasing the velocity of introduction into the chamber for the outlet of the ports of regulator jets 9 of reduced
30 size, but compatible with the maximum particle size of the sludge.

Furthermore, and according to the embodiment of the invention more particularly described here, an amount
35 of pressurized air (arrow 10) is introduced below the zone 8.

By pressurized is meant a slight overpressure, which may be between 0.1 bar relative and 1 bar relative in relation to the atmospheric pressure, as for example 0.8 bar relative.

5

This air is introduced via an air distribution ramp 11, for example a ramp formed by a circular, coiled or rectilinear pipe, allowing bubbles of air to be introduced with distribution over the surface of the chamber, via orifices 12 which are spread along said pipe 13.

The air may also be brought via a port at the bottom part.

15

The ramp is situated below the meeting point of the effluents in zone 8, as for example between one tenth and one fifth of the height H of the chamber, and produces large bubbles B, with a bubble diameter for example of between 1 mm and 1 cm.

20

This introduction of air increases the energy level in the chamber, which is in overpressure in relation to its outlet 14 for removal of the effluents after treatment.

25

In the upper part 15 of the chamber, a functional zone 16 is obtained as well, in which extremely turbulent mixing, featuring Brownian motion (dashed line 17), is realized.

30

At the bottom part 18 of the reactor, conventionally, a purge 19 is provided for elements which are too dense, which do not escape via the top of the reactor, this purge being emptied sequentially.

35

Escaping at the outlet 14 of the reactor are air,

water, and the sludges to give, after decanting, transparent water which is physically separate from the solid material, with a very low solid matter content, of less, in particular, than 30 mg/l or even
5 than 10 mg/l, while initially the solid matter content could have approached more than 500 mg/l.

The decolloidized solid matter obtained at this point is more porous and, consequently, is readily
10 compactable. Depending on its initial level of organic matter, it may even be directly pelletizable on emergence from the reactor.

The air is introduced at an average pressure, for
15 example, of between 1.6 bar and 1.9 bar absolute to the pressure in the chamber itself, so that there may be large bubbles in the mixture, which will be able to penetrate the mixture and become distributed randomly within the reactor, to produce the expected mixing.

20 The air is introduced, moreover, at a high rate d , in other words of 1.5 times to 15 times (in Nm^3/h) the rate Q_{EB} of the incoming water (in m^3/h).

25 The gas extracted from the reactor emerges with the water and the sludge at the rate of the pressure booster, and can be recovered, treated, and, where appropriate, recycled for use again at the bottom part of the reactor.

30 It should be noted that the presence of coarse matter, of the sand, gravel, etc., type, increases the number of impacts and, consequently, enhances the process.

35 The pressure of the chamber, in turn, is arranged and/or regulated in such a way as to optimize the internal energy by generating an ascending flow

emerging from the top.

Such a pressure is therefore determined as a function of the functional features of the circuit (water level
5 of pumps), but also of the type of effluents and the desired treatment rates.

The size finally selected for the reactor will also be specified by the skilled person as a function of the
10 basic knowledge of an engineer in the field of chemical engineering, and the diagram of the flows.

The pressure and the outlet are ensured, for example, via a valve which releases the flow when the given
15 pressure is exceeded.

Since the method according to the invention employs stirring in three phases - solid, liquid, and gaseous - it is necessary at the outlet to carry out
20 separation that takes account of the degassing, of the denser-than-water solid phase, and of the removal of the water.

In one advantageous embodiment, furthermore, a
25 coagulant is added (e.g., lime, ferric chloride, etc.).

This complementary addition is made, for example, in the functional zone 16.

30

Accordingly, with a reactor having a diameter of 55 liters and injection nozzles into this reactor with a diameter of 40 mm, up to 20 m³/h of sludge can be treated.

35

Surprisingly it is observed, furthermore, with the method of the invention that when the pressure in the

reactor is greater in terms of relative pressure at 0.8 bar, the feed rate Q_{EB} of the sludgy water formed, for example, by water-spreading slurries with a DM (dry matter) load at 5%, said DM being obtained from the biodegradation of swamp grass, clay, sand, and various petroleum residues at trace levels ($< 1\%$), is greater than $15 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$, and when the air rate d is greater than $25 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$, exceptional separation is obtained, with a maximum decanting rate of a sludge which, after drying, has a new, porous, granular appearance.

With a 55-liter reactor and with 40 mm nozzles for injecting the effluent within, percussion rate values are obtained that are extremely rapid, and residence times in the reactor are obtained that are particularly short [cf. table I below].

TABLE I

20

m ³ /h										
Effluent flow	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	10	15	20
m/s										
Percussion speed, solid particles	0.111	0.221	0.332	0.442	0.553	0.774	1.105	1.658	2.210	
s										
Residence time, reactor	198.00	99.00	66.00	49.50	39.60	28.29	19.80	14.80	9.9	

By virtue of the invention it is therefore possible to obtain advanced dewatering much better than that obtained by virtue of the existing techniques, and within a few seconds.

25

In addition to this appreciable time gain in the treatment, very low consumption of electricity, of compressed air, and of flocculant is required.

5

The low bulk of the chamber, furthermore, makes it readily transportable, and allows it to be installed in sites where access is difficult, while ensuring continuous operation in great simplicity.

10

The treatment according to the invention does not give rise to any pollution, and achieves this with a much more economical installation as compared with the other treatment systems to which consideration may be
15 given for the task of liquid/solid separation alone, these being centrifuges, press filters, belt filters, etc.

By way of example, table II below reports the
20 improvement Δ in solids obtained with the method according to the invention for a sludge from the Fos sur Mer industrial treatment station, this sludge having a low mineral content (90% of organic matter) in the field of petrochemicals.

25

The comparison is between a simple treatment on a belt filter (with a filtering cloth on which the water and sludge are removed by pumping and conveyed between squeeze rolls), and the same belt filter after
30 pretreatment with the method according to the invention.

For a chamber volume $v = 55$ l, variations were made in the parameters of sludge rate Q_{EB} (m^3/h), gas rate d
35 (Nm^3/h), and relative pressure P inside the chamber (bar), for a specified DM load at the inlet of the chamber (in g/l).

The results are also given in dependence on the initial condition of the sludges - that is, fresh (without intermediate storage), not very fresh (after
5 storage for three days), or fermented (several days of storage in the absence of oxygen).

It is seen that a high gas rate (eight times the sludge rate) and a high pressure in the chamber
10 (1.3 bar) enhances solids by 48.8% (trial #10) for a fairly low initial load (DM of 8.2 g/l), which demonstrates the efficacy of effective decolloidization.

15 On average (see trials #13 to 16) a fresh sludge loaded at 32.4 g/l for a gas rate twenty times greater than that of the sludges, and a pressure of 1 bar relative in the chamber, the method according to the invention increases the solids (dry matter (DM)
20 content by weight relative to the total weight of the sludge, i.e.: DM + liquid) from 24 to 36.4%, or on average 30%.

TABLE II

Trials #	Industrial sludge type, Fos sur Mer	Rate		Chamber pressure	Inlet	Δ solids Outlet	
		Q ₂₅ d gas sludge		P	DM		
		m3/h	Nm3/h	bar	g/l	%	%
1	not very fresh	2.8	40	0.5	24		14.7
2	not very fresh	2	50	0.8	24		20
3	not very fresh	3	60	1.4	28		35.5
4	not very fresh	2	60	1	26		22.1
5	not very fresh	2	60	1	26		21.1
6	not very fresh	2	60	1	26		20.4
7	fresh	1.5	60	1.1	26		26.6
8	fresh	1.3	60	1	26		22.2
9	fresh	1.2	60	0.8	26		24.4
10	fermented	8	60	1.3	8.2		48.6
11	fermented	6.2	60	1.1	11		32
12	fermented	3	70	0.8	24		26.2
13	fresh	3	60	1	32.4		24
14	fresh	3	60	1	32.4		26
15	fresh	3	60	1	32.4		36.4
16	fresh	3	60	1	32.4		30.1
17	fresh	4.4	40	1.6	32.4		27.2
18	fresh	5.6	50	0.9	32.4		33
19	not very fresh	6.5	60	0.5	24		28.2

Shown subsequently in table III is an example of results obtained with a single device (without complementary treatment) on sediments (highly mineralized sludge) and with a complementary treatment (belt filter).

The treatment with the invention alone is to be compared with the belt filter alone, which does not exceed an improvement in solids of 15 to 18%.

Excellent results are obtained here even without complementary treatment with filter or centrifuge.

TABLE III

5

Trials #	Industrial sludge type, Fos sur Mer	Rate		Chamber pressure	Inlet	Δ solids Outlet	
		Q _{EB} sludge	d gas	P	DM		
		m ³ /h	Nm ³ /h	bar	g/l	%	%
20	sediments	1.3	60	1.1	130		61.6
21	sediments	1.2	60	1.1	84	56.7	69.5
22	sediments	1.3	70	1	84	43.2	67.1
						Alone	Alone + Filter

Shown in figure 2 is an operating scheme for a device 20 in accordance with the embodiment of the invention more particularly described here.

10

The device 20 allows separation between the liquid part and the dry matter of the sludge fed at 21 in continuous flow at a rate $Q_{EB} = V/h$, the feeding at 21 subsequently dividing in two to feed the ports 22.

15

More specifically, the device 20 comprises a closed, stainless steel chamber E with a volume v of less than 20 times V, for example of 55 liters for a rate $Q = V/h$ of 1.5 m³/h, comprising at least two identical opposite orifices or ports 22, situated in the lower half 23 of the chamber, at a distance for example which is equal to one third of the height of the chamber.

20

25

The chamber is composed for example of a cylindrical part 24 which is terminated at the top part and at the

bottom part by two identical conical zones 25, with angles at the vertex of the order of 120° , for example.

5 Each end is itself terminated by an upper tube 26 and lower tube 27. The lower tube 27 is connected to a pipeline 28, equipped with a sliding valve 29, for intermittent removal of the dry matter 30, which would have been decanted, in the base 27 of the chamber.

10

The device 20 further comprises means 31 for feeding air 32 to the chamber at a rate d below the orifices 22.

15 This feeding takes place, for example, by way of a rectilinear pipe or tube 33, with a small diameter, of 5 cm in diameter, for example, and with a length substantially equal to the diameter of the cylindrical chamber, comprising regularly spaced nozzles 34, for
20 exit of the compressed air into the chamber in a distributed way, creating substantial bubbles which will give rise to substantial agitation (swirls 35).

Means 36, known per se, for feeding a liquid reagent
25 37, a coagulant, for example, are provided. These means are formed, for example, by a storage tank 38, which feeds by means of a metering pump 39 and a remote-controlled slide valve 40, the interior of the chamber above the ports 22, in the turbulence zone.

30

The device 20 further comprises means 41 for removing continuously the liquid that has penetrated the chamber, by way of a slide valve or other valve 42, which opens above a specified pressure in the chamber,
35 of 1.3 bar, for example.

It is also possible not to provide a slide valve, with

the circuit downstream itself constituting the head loss required to maintain the chamber in relative overpressure.

- 5 The effluent 43 is then removed at the top part, ending up in a settling vat 44 which is known per se.

For example, this settling vat 44 is composed of a cylindrical tank 45 into which the removal pipe 46
10 opens below the operating level 47, in order to limit turbulences.

The vat 44 itself discharges via overflow at 48, through a nonturbulent side tank portion 49, which is
15 separated from the rest of the tank by an openwork wall by location.

The settled solid matter 50 is removed at the bottom part 51, and can be treated subsequently.

20

Figure 3, in a plan view, shows the device 20 of figure 2 which, from the sludge 52, produces the pancake 53, according to the invention.

- 25 In the remainder of the description, the same references will be used to denote the same elements.

Starting from the sludge or effluent 52 loaded with dry matter, which is pumped into an environment 54 by
30 means of a pump 55 having a height of water H_0 at a rate Q_{EB} , the chamber E is fed by way of the two ports 22 which are situated opposite, facing one another. At each port, therefore, the rate is divided by two $Q_{EB}/2$.

- 35 The feed of air 32 is made below the ports, as described above, via a port 56.

A reagent (coagulant such as ferric chloride, or lime), which is known per se and should be adapted by the skilled person depending on the effluents treated, is fed continuously into the chamber E from the vat 38
5 via the metering pump 39.

Following treatment in the chamber as described above, the effluents are removed at the top part, at 41, to give the defragmented, decolloidized effluent 57 as
10 shown schematically in figure 3.

This decolloidized and defragmented effluent is then fed into the settling vat 45. Following decanting, which takes place continuously within several seconds,
15 the water then observed at 58 is extremely clear, transmitting, for example, 99% of the light which passes through it, or even 99.5%.

At 59, following possible complementary compacting
20 treatment at 60, a particularly advantageous sludge cake is obtained, which is aerated and solidified and has an excellent porosity of between 5% and 15%.

A product of this kind obtained with the method
25 according to the invention is new and will form matter for subsequent uses, as top soil, as a raw material in construction, etc.

With reference to figure 3, a description will now be
30 given of the operation of a treatment regime in accordance with the embodiment of the invention more particularly described here.

From an environment, for example a stream 54 loaded
35 with sludge 52, this sludge is extracted by pumping (55).

In one application example, the level of sludge, i.e., the percentage of dry matter in terms of solid material, is for example between 3 and 10%.

- 5 This sludge feeds the chamber E, for example of volume $V = 100 \text{ l}$, at a rate for example of between 5 and $50 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$, for example $15 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$.

- 10 As described above, this effluent is injected into the reactor via the two opposite ports 22. Simultaneously, air is fed via the lower ramp 33 of the reactor, with a rate greater, for example, than $25 \text{ Nm}^3/\text{h}$.

- 15 The pressure within the reactor is between 0.3 and 1.5 bar relative, for example greater than 0.8 bar relative, depending on the water level of the pump and/or pumps which feed the effluents, and also on the head loss created by the chamber itself and by the removal slide valve 42 which is situated at the top
20 part of said chamber.

The pressure within the reactor may in particular be regulated by means of this upper slide valve or other valve.

25

The effluent, thus agitated and fed with air, remains in the reactor for a period corresponding to the relative ratio between the rates, the volume, and the pressure.

30

It is therefore retained, for example, for a residence time of several seconds, for example of less than 1 minute, before being removed.

- 35 This time may even be very much less, since with an effluent rate of greater than $20 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$, residence within the chamber may for example be for a time of less than

10 seconds.

The sludge feed rate itself has a direct action on the percussion velocity, in accordance with the table
5 produced above, given that the contact time and residence time in the reactor under pressure also affect the rate of formation of the flocs and their settling.

10 The rate of air and the effect of the pressure in the reactor are also elements which, with a view to the desired result, will be adapted, in a manner which is within the abilities of the skilled person.

15 When the sludges have been treated, they emerge from the reactor at a pressure corresponding to the flow pressure of the rate of the fluid in the pipe 43, to the settling vat 45, in which settling will take place in a manner known per se.

20

The water obtained as a supernatant is of a high purity and is itself removed continuously at 58.

The sludge obtained at the bottom part of the settling
25 vat is removed either continuously or discontinuously, according to specified periods - for example, once a day.

The action of removing this sludge again very quickly
30 increases its quality, particularly with regard to its good porosity.

The treatment carried out by virtue of the method and reactor according to the invention therefore yields a
35 porous dewatered cake, with the recovered sludge being empty, dry, and manipulable. A number of hours are sufficient, as against three months in the context of

the use of so-called conventional drying, to obtain a comparable result, and the features of the resulting sludge as well are much better with the invention, since the sludge is more readily recyclable.

5

As will be obvious, and as also results from the text above, the present invention is not limited to the embodiments that have been more particularly described. Instead, it encompasses all variants of
10 those embodiments, and especially those in which the effluent feeding ports are three, four or more in number, rather than two in number, and are distributed regularly and angularly around the chamber.

CLAIMS

1. A method for clarifying a liquid and/or a sludge by treating colloidal structures present in said liquid and/or said sludge fed in continuous flow at a rate $Q_{EB} = V_{EB}/\text{hour}$, characterized in that the flow is sprayed into a chamber (2, E) in overpressure in relation to the atmospheric pressure, said chamber being a continuous or semicontinuous flow passage chamber having a volume $v < V_{EB}/20$, with simultaneous injection of air (10) into the chamber at a rate d below the feed of the flow into said chamber.
2. The method as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that the flow is formed from at least two partial flows which are projected one over another.
3. The method as claimed in either of the preceding claims, characterized in that the air is injected with a rate $d > 1.5 Q_{EB}$.
4. The method as claimed in any of the preceding claims, characterized in that the air is injected at a pressure of between 1.4 bar and 2.5 bar.
5. The method as claimed in any of the preceding claims, characterized in that the flow is injected into the chamber (2, E) of volume $v < V_{EB}/20$ via two identical opposite orifices (22) situated in the lower half (33) of said chamber, the air being injected below said orifices, and the air, water, and sludge escaping in the top part of the chamber.
6. The method as claimed in any of the preceding

claims, characterized in that $v \leq V_{EB}/50$.

7. The method as claimed in claim 6, characterized in that $v \leq V_{EB}/100$.
- 5 8. The method as claimed in any of the preceding claims, characterized in that at least one liquid reagent (37) is added continuously at a rate q to the interior of the chamber (2, E).
- 10 9. The method as claimed in claim 8, characterized in that the reagent is a flocculant added to the turbulence zone of the chamber in proportions of between 0.05% and 0.1% of the dry matter content of the sludge.
- 15 10. The method as claimed in any of the preceding claims, characterized in that the rate Q_{EB} is greater than or equal to 15 m³/h, the rate d is greater than or equal to 25 m³/h, and in that the relative pressure in the chamber is greater than or equal to 0.8 bar.
- 20 11. The method as claimed in claim 10, characterized in that the rate Q_{EB} is greater than or equal to 20 m³/h, the rate d is greater than or equal to 50 m³/h, and the relative pressure in the chamber (2, E) is greater than 1.2 bar.
- 25 12. The method as claimed in either of claims 10 and 11, characterized in that the liquid reagent is a cationic organic flocculant.
- 30 13. The method as claimed in any of the preceding claims, characterized in that the effluents are degassed on emergence from the chamber and the gases obtained are used to feed the injection of
- 35

air in the bottom part.

14. A device (20) for treating colloidal structures present in a liquid and/or sludge fed in continuous flow at a rate $Q_{EB} = V_{EB}/\text{hour}$, characterized in that it comprises a closed, flow passage chamber (2, E) of volume $v < V_{EB}/20$, comprising at least two identical opposite orifices (22) situated in the lower half (23) of said chamber, means (55) for collecting the sludge and for feeding the sludge flow thus collected into said chamber in at least two partial flows each, respectively, injected via one of said orifices (22), means (31) for feeding the chamber with air (32) at a rate d below said orifices, and means (41, 42) for continuously or semicontinuously removing the total flow, arranged so as to maintain the chamber in overpressure.
15. The device as claimed in claim 14, characterized in that the flow is removed in the top part via a pressure relief valve (42) which releases above a specified threshold value.
16. The device as claimed in either of claims 14 and 15, characterized in that $v \leq V_{EB}/50$.
17. The device as claimed in claim 16, characterized in that $v \leq V_{EB}/100$.
18. The device as claimed in any of claims 14 to 17, characterized in that it comprises means (36) for feeding a liquid reagent (37) at a specified rate directly into the chamber (2, E).




FIG. 1



163163



G00002

