



- (51) **International Patent Classification:**
A61K 31/137 (2006.01) *A61P 25/24* (2006.01)
A61P 25/22 (2006.01)
- (21) **International Application Number:** PCT/EP2012/001819
- (22) **International Filing Date:** 27 April 2012 (27.04.2012)
- (25) **Filing Language:** English
- (26) **Publication Language:** English
- (30) **Priority Data:**
11003508.6 29 April 2011 (29.04.2011) EP
- (71) **Applicant** (for all designated States except US): **GRÜN-ENTHAL GMBH** [DE/DE]; Zieglerstrasse 6, 52078 Aachen (DE).
- (72) **Inventors; and**
- (75) **Inventors/Applicants** (for US only): **STEIGERWALD, Ilona** [DE/DE]; Lintertstrasse 52, 52078 Aachen (DE). **JAHNEL, Ulrich** [DE/DE]; Am alten Flugplatz 68, 42855 Remscheid (DE). **TZSCHENTKE, Thomas** [DE/DE]; Königsberger Strasse 78, 52078 Aachen (DE).
- (74) **Agents:** **BÜLLE, Jan** et al.; Kutzenberger & Wolff, Theodor-Heuss-Ring 23, 50668 Cologne (DE).
- (81) **Designated States** (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (84) **Designated States** (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
- Published:**
— with international search report (Art. 21(3))



(54) **Title:** TAPENTADOL FOR PREVENTING AND TREATING DEPRESSION AND ANXIETY

(57) **Abstract:** The invention relates to tapentadol (i) for use in the treatment of pain in a subject suffering from depression and/or from anxiety, and/or (ii) for use in the treatment or the prevention of depression and/or of anxiety.

Tapentadol for preventing and treating depression and anxiety

The invention relates to tapentadol for use in the (i) treatment of pain, preferably of neuropathic pain, more preferably of neuropathic pain due to lumbar radiculopathy, in a subject suffering from depression and/or from anxiety, and/or in the (ii) treatment or the prevention of depression and/or of anxiety.

Tapentadol (CG5503), the chemical name for which is (-)-(1R,2R)-3-(3-dimethylamino-1-ethyl-2-methyl-propyl)-phenol, is a synthetic, centrally-acting analgesic that is effective for the treatment of moderate to moderately-severe acute or chronic pain. The compound can be employed as the free base or its physiologically acceptable salts and solvates. Preparation of the free base is known e.g. from EP-A 693 475.

Tapentadol is a centrally acting analgesic with a dual mode of action consisting of μ -opioid receptor (MOR) agonism and norepinephrine (NE) reuptake inhibition. The efficacy, safety, and pharmacokinetic profile of tapentadol indicate that the drug is useful in treating acute as well as chronic pain.

Subjects suffering from pain often fall into depression and anxiety, especially if the pain is chronic or neuropathic. In patients with chronic or neuropathic pain 8 to 50% have been reported to have current major depression (Smith G R, The epidemiology and treatment of depression when it co-exists with somatoform disorders, somatization or pain. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 1992, 14: 265-276). In another analysis of 1,016 patients, the prevalence of depression was 12% in individuals with 3 or more pain complaints (Dworkin S F et al., Multiple pains and psychiatric disturbance: an epidemiological investigation. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1990, 47: 239-244).

The most serious consequences of major depression are suicide and increased rates of suicidal ideation and suicide completion have been reported by patients suffering from chronic pain conditions (Magni et al., Suicidality in chronic abdominal pain; an analysis of the Hispanic Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (HHANES). *Pain* 1998, 76: 137-144). Oncology patients with concomitant pain and depression were significantly more likely to request assistance in committing suicide as well as actively taking steps to commit suicide. In contrast those with pain in the absence of depression were unlikely to request the intervention of euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide (Emmanuel et al., Euthanasia and

physician assisted suicide; attitudes and experiences of oncology patients, oncologists and the public. *Lancet* 1996, 347: 1810).

On the other hand, subjects suffering from depression and/or anxiety often have pain symptoms, which can be attributed to a somatoform disorder (psychogenic pain). The pain symptoms are physical symptoms, which typically do not have an identifiable physical origin. Instead, they are the result of internal psychological conflicts that are unconsciously expressed as physical signs.

It is evident that subjects suffering from depression and/or anxiety induced by pain or inducing pain symptoms are in need of medicaments for the treatment of both, the depression and/or anxiety as well as the pain.

US 2009/0215809 discloses pharmaceutical compositions comprising a crystalline prodrug of an antiepileptic drug, namely pregabalin ((S)-3-(Aminomethyl)-5-methylhexanoic acid) for the treatment of certain diseases and disorders, including, for example, neuropathic pain, generalized anxiety disorder, fibromyalgia, migraine, hot flashes, restless legs syndrome, and sleep disorders. The pharmaceutical composition may additionally comprise an opioid agonist selected from tramadol, tapentadol, and oxycodone as a second therapeutic agent.

Similarly, US 7,868,043 discloses pharmaceutical compositions comprising a mesophasic pregabalin prodrug and methods of use thereof.

US 2010/0297181 discloses methods for treating epilepsy, mental disorders and/or deficits in sensory organ by administering to patients therapeutically effective amounts of AMPA receptor antagonists, i.e. antiepileptic drugs, in combination with one or more other active ingredients useful for treating epilepsy, mental disorders and/or deficits in the sensory organ. Tapentadol is mentioned in a list of suitable active ingredients to be administered in combination with AMPA receptor antagonists. As mental disorders, mood disorders, such as depressions, are listed.

The use of antiepileptic drugs, however, can be detrimental because it has been found that it can be associated with a significantly increased risk for suicide-related events in patients with depression (Arana et al., *Suicide-related events in patients treated with antiepileptic drugs*. *N Engl J Med* 2010, 363: 542-551). Furthermore, a pharmaceutical composition comprising a combination of an antiepileptic and tapentadol can be disadvantageous because of adverse

events caused by each drug itself and due to interactions of the drugs with each other, respectively.

Accordingly, there is a demand for pharmaceutical compositions comprising a single pharmacologically active ingredient for use in the treatment of pain in a subject suffering from depression and/or anxiety, and/or for use in the treatment or the prevention of depression and/or anxiety, wherein the single pharmacologically active ingredient may simultaneously or sequentially act as an antidepressant and as an analgesic.

US 2009/0306050 discloses compounds, such as lofepramine, exhibiting an activity as a potent norepinephrine (NE) reuptake inhibitor, and an activity at the dopamine D2 receptor sites. It was found that these special compounds are effective in the treatment and prevention of various diseases and disorders associated with norepinephrine reuptake, such as pain predominant-type depression and depression secondary to chronic or neuropathic pain.

However, antidepressants such as lofepramine exhibit several nuisance side effects and several warnings have been reported.

M. Afilalo et al., Clin. Drug Investig. 2010, 30(8), 489-505 discloses information concerning the efficacy and safety of tapentadol extended release compared with oxycodone controlled release for the management of moderate to severe chronic pain related to osteoarthritis of the knee.

B. Kupferwasser et al., Osteoarthritis and Cartilage 2009, Vol. 17, Supplement 1, 179 discloses an evaluation of long-term treatment with tapentadol extended release and oxycodone controlled release in patients with chronic low back or osteoarthritis pain.

R. Lange et al., Osteoarthritis and Cartilage 2010, Vol. 18, Supplement 2, 147-148 discloses results from randomized, double-blind phase 3 studies of tapentadol prolonged release in patients with moderate to severe chronic nociceptive and neuropathic pain.

B. Kupferwasser et al., Osteoarthritis and Cartilage 2010, Vol. 18, Supplement 2, 149 discloses information concerning the health status of patients who received tapentadol prolonged release during an open-label extension study.

S. Schwartz et al., Current Medical Research & Opinion, 27(1), 2011, 151-162 discloses results of a randomized-withdrawal, placebo-controlled trial concerning safety and efficacy of tapentadol ER in patients with painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy.

Accordingly, there remains a need for improved pharmaceutical compositions comprising a single pharmacologically active ingredient useful for treating pain and simultaneously or sequentially treating depression and/or anxiety.

It is an object of the invention to provide medicaments for the treatment and prevention of depression and/or of anxiety that have advantages compared to the medicaments of the prior art.

The object has been achieved by the subject-matter of the patent claims.

While the analgesic efficacy of tapentadol is generally known, it has surprisingly been found that tapentadol is also effective in the treatment or prevention of depression and/or of anxiety, preferably of depression and/or of anxiety that is induced by pain in a subject suffering from pain, and of depression and/or of anxiety inducing psychogenic pain.

In particular, in clinical trials concerning the efficacy of tapentadol against low back pain, depression (measured with HADS) was observed as undesired side effect. While in general, the occurrence of this symptom was at the borderline with respect to its clinical relevance, in the patient sub-population suffering from neuropathic pain, i.e. suffering from low back pain with a neuropathic component, a significant occurrence of depression was observed and tapentadol unexpectedly provided significant improvement.

In a first aspect, the invention is directed to tapentadol for use in the

- (i) treatment of pain, preferably of neuropathic pain, more preferably of neuropathic pain due to lumbar radiculopathy, in a subject suffering from depression and/or from anxiety, and/or
- (ii) treatment or the prevention of depression and/or of anxiety,

with the proviso tapentadol is not administered in combination with an antiepileptic.

Thus, the invention relates to, *inter alia*, tapentadol for use in the

- a) treatment of pain, preferably of neuropathic pain, more preferably of neuropathic pain due to lumbar radiculopathy, in a subject suffering from depression and/or from anxiety;

- b) treatment of depression and/or of anxiety in a subject suffering from depression and/or from anxiety;
- c) treatment of depression and/or of anxiety in a subject suffering from pain, preferably from neuropathic pain, more preferably from neuropathic pain due to lumbar radiculopathy;
- d) prevention of depression and/or of anxiety;
- e) treatment of pain, preferably of neuropathic pain, more preferably of neuropathic pain due to lumbar radiculopathy, and the simultaneous treatment of depression and/or of anxiety; and/or
- f) treatment of pain, preferably of neuropathic pain, more preferably of neuropathic pain due to lumbar radiculopathy, and simultaneous prevention of depression and/or of anxiety.

A second aspect of the invention relates to a method for the

- (i) treatment of pain, preferably of neuropathic pain, more preferably of neuropathic pain due to lumbar radiculopathy, in a subject suffering from depression and/or from anxiety, and/or
- (ii) treatment or the prevention of depression and/or of anxiety,

comprising the administration of an effective amount of tapentadol,

with the proviso tapentadol is not administered in combination with an antiepileptic.

For the purpose of the specification, "tapentadol" is intended to include (-)-(1R,2R)-3-(3-dimethylamino-1-ethyl-2-methyl-propyl)-phenol, its physiologically acceptable salts and solvates thereof. Suitable physiologically acceptable salts include salts of inorganic acids, such as hydrochloric acid (tapentadol HCl), hydrobromic acid and sulfuric acid, and salts of organic acids, such as methane sulfonic acid, fumaric acid, maleic acid, acetic acid, oxalic acid, succinic acid, malic acid, tartaric acid, mandelic acid, lactic acid, citric acid, glutamic acid, acetylsalicylic acid, nicotinic acid, aminobenzoic acid, α -lipoic acid, hippuric acid and asparaginic acid. The preferred salt is the hydrochloride salt.

For the purpose of the specification, unless expressly stated otherwise, doses of tapentadol relate to the free base. Thus, when a physiologically acceptable salt of tapentadol is used instead, its dose has to be adapted to the equivalent dose of the free base. For example, a dose of "200 mg" means an amount of 200 mg of the free base or any equivalent amount of a physiologically acceptable salt or solvate corresponding to 200 mg of the free base (e.g.

about 233 mg of the hydrochloride). If not expressly stated otherwise, doses are "per administration", not "per day".

The term "depression" is known to the skilled person. Depression is a common mental disorder that presents with depressed mood, loss of interest or pleasure, feelings of guilt or low self-worth, disturbed sleep or appetite, low energy, and poor concentration. These problems can become chronic or recurrent and lead to substantial impairments in an individual's ability to take care of his or her everyday responsibilities. At its worst, depression can lead to suicide. Depression occurs in persons of all genders, ages, and backgrounds. In this regard it can further be referred e.g. to the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10), particularly to its Chapter V. As used in the specification, the term "depression" preferably covers hereditary depressions and depressions, which represent a reaction of stressors in the environment, or both. Preferably, pain is regarded as stressor in the environment. Furthermore, the term "depression" also covers depressive disorders, such as mood disorder, major depressive disorder, and dysthymic disorder. Preferably, the term "depression" also relates to depression induced by pain, i.e. depression that arises from pain in a subject suffering from pain. The depression is typically caused by the chronic nature of the pain.

As used herein, the "depression score" is a value determining the degree of the depression. The scale of depression scores covers the range from 0 to 21, wherein the degree of the depression is high in case of high values and low in case of low values. In a group of tested subjects, typically the mean or mediate values of depression scores are determined. The mean value is the sum of all depression scores divided by the number of subjects. The mediate value is the middle depression score in the set of determined scores. Preferably, the "depression score" is defined as in the experimental section.

The term "anxiety" is known to the skilled person. Anxiety is one of the feelings one experiences when under stress; physical, social, economic, psychological. Anxiety results in a feeling of impending doom, fear (which can be intense), dryness of mouth, sweating, restlessness, racing heart, butterflies in the stomach, itching and tingling all over the body, shortness of breath, having to visit the bathroom repeatedly, inability to concentrate, make decisions, carry out work, eat or sleep. In this regard it can further be referred e.g. to the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10), particularly to its Chapter V. As used herein, the term "anxiety" relates to an anxiety disorder. Preferably, the term "anxiety" also covers panic disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, and somatoform disorders. Preferably, the term "anxiety" also relates to anxiety induced by pain, i.e. anxiety that arises from pain in

a subject suffering from pain. The anxiety is typically caused by the chronic nature of the pain.

As used herein, the "anxiety score" is a value determining the degree of the depression. The scale of anxiety scores covers the range from 0 to 21, wherein the degree of the anxiety is high in case of high values and low in case of low values. In a group of tested subjects, typically the mean or mediate values of anxiety scores are determined and referred to. The mean value is the sum of all anxiety scores divided by the number of subjects. The mediate value is the middle anxiety score in the set of determined scores. Preferably, the "anxiety score" is defined as in the experimental section.

As used herein the term "antiepileptic" relates to drugs for use in the treatment of epilepsy. The term "antiepileptic" preferably also covers "anticonvulsants", which are used in the treatment of epileptic seizures. Thus, the terms "antiepileptic", "anticonvulsant" and "anti-seizure drug" are to be understood as synonyms. According to the ATC-index of the "WHO Collaborating Center for Drug Statistics Methodology" antiepileptics (N03A) cover barbiturates and derivatives, hydantoin derivatives, oxazolidine derivatives, succinimide derivatives, benzodiazepine derivatives, carboxamide derivatives, fatty acid derivatives and other antiepileptics such as pregabalin (N03AX16). According to US 2010/0297181 AMPA receptor antagonists such as 1,2-dihydropyridine compounds also exhibit an anti-seizure effect and are useful in the treatment of epilepsy. Thus, AMPA receptor antagonists also fall under the term "antiepileptic". Dihydropyridine derivatives are also known as selective calcium channel blockers with mainly vascular effects (C08CA) according to the ATC-index of the "WHO Collaborating Center for Drug Statistics Methodology". Thus, calcium channel blockers are also considered as being covered by the term "antiepileptic" in the specification.

As used herein, the term "psychogenic pain" preferably refers to painful symptoms, which are the result of internal psychological conflicts. These unconsciously expressed physical symptoms typically do not have an identifiable origin. Instead, the painful symptoms are the result of a somatoform disorder, also known as pain disorder. The "somatization" of pain belongs to painful symptoms of depressions and can be defined as the disease "pain predominant-type depression", wherein the depression manifests itself predominantly in the "somatization" of pain. Preferably, the pain disorder, i.e. the "somatization of pain", also includes hypersensitivity to pain. Furthermore, the pain disorder can be accompanied by hypochondriasis.

As used herein, the "pain score" is a value determining the degree of pain or a mixture of pains in a subject is suffering from pain. The scale of pain scores covers the range from 0 to 21, wherein the degree of pain is high in case of high values and low in case of low values. In a group of tested subjects, typically the mean or mediate values of pain scores are determined and referred to. The mean value is the sum of all pain scores divided by the number of subjects. The mediate value is the middle pain score in the set of determined scores. Accordingly, the "neuropathic pain score" is a value determining the degree of neuropathic pain, and the "nociceptive pain score" is a value determining the degree of nociceptive pain. Preferably, the "pain score" is defined as in the experimental section.

According to the invention, tapentadol is for use in the

- (i) treatment of pain in a subject suffering from depression and/or from anxiety, and/or
- (ii) treatment or the prevention of depression and/or of anxiety,

with the proviso tapentadol is not administered in combination with an antiepileptic.

Preferably, tapentadol is for use in the

- (i) treatment of neuropathic pain, more preferably of neuropathic pain due to lumbar radiculopathy, in a subject suffering from depression and/or from anxiety, and/or
- (ii) treatment or the prevention of depression and/or of anxiety in a subject suffering from neuropathic pain, more preferably from neuropathic pain due to lumbar radiculopathy,

preferably with the proviso that tapentadol is not administered in combination with an antiepileptic.

In a preferred embodiment, tapentadol is for use in the treatment of pain in a subject suffering from depression and/or from anxiety, wherein the pain is neuropathic pain, preferably polyneuropathic pain, more preferably diabetic polyneuropathic pain.

In another preferred embodiment, tapentadol is for use in the treatment of pain in a subject suffering from depression and/or from anxiety, wherein the pain is low back pain, preferably pain due to lumbar radiculopathy, having a neuropathic component, particularly a mononeuropathic component or a polyneuropathic component. The neuropathic component can be assessed e.g. by painDETECT positive (for further details, it is referred to the experimental section).

In a preferred embodiment, tapentadol is for use in the treatment of pain in a subject that can be considered opioid naïve. In a preferred embodiment, tapentadol is for use in the treatment of pain in a subject that has been pre-treated with opioids, preferably weak opioids.

In a preferred embodiment, tapentadol is for use in the treatment of pain in a subject suffering from depression and/or from anxiety, wherein the pain is causative for depression and/or for anxiety, i.e. the pain induces depression and/or anxiety. Preferably, the pain and depression and/or anxiety are simultaneously or sequentially treated. More preferably, the pain, which is causative for depression and/or for anxiety is a mixture of nociceptive and neuropathic pain, and is simultaneously or sequentially treated with depression and/or anxiety. Most preferably, the pain, which is causative for depression and/or anxiety is neuropathic pain, and is simultaneously or sequentially treated with depression and/or anxiety.

In another preferred embodiment, tapentadol is for use in the treatment of depression and/or of anxiety in a subject suffering from depression and/or from anxiety, which cannot be attributed to a pain. Preferably, the depression and/or anxiety can be attributed to a disability, to a chronic disease, to chemotherapy, to a hospital stay, to side effects of a medicament, and/or the like.

In another preferred embodiment, tapentadol is for use in the treatment of depression and/or of anxiety in a subject suffering from pain, wherein depression and/or anxiety is causative for the pain, i.e. depression and/or anxiety occurs with pain symptoms. The pain symptoms may be regarded as psychogenic pain. Preferably, the psychogenic pain and depression and/or anxiety are simultaneously or sequentially treated.

In another preferred embodiment, tapentadol is for use in the prevention of depression and/or of anxiety. Preferably, depression and/or anxiety are expected to develop due to a disability, to a chronic disease, to chemotherapy, to a hospital stay, to side effects of a medicament, and/or the like.

In another preferred embodiment, tapentadol is for use in the treatment of pain and the simultaneous treatment of depression and/or of anxiety. Preferably, the pain is chronic pain. More preferably, the pain is selected from the group consisting of neuropathic pain, nociceptive pain, psychogenic pain, phantom pain, and mixtures thereof. Still more preferably, the pain is a mixture of nociceptive and neuropathic pain. Most preferably, the pain is neuropathic pain.

In another preferred embodiment, tapentadol is for use in the treatment of pain and the simultaneous prevention of depression and/or of anxiety. Preferably, the pain is chronic pain. More preferably, the pain is selected from the group consisting of neuropathic pain, nociceptive pain, psychogenic pain, phantom pain, and mixtures thereof. Still more preferably, the pain is a mixture of nociceptive and neuropathic pain. Most preferably, the pain is neuropathic pain.

According to the invention, tapentadol is not administered in combination with an antiepileptic. This is advantageous because the use of antiepileptic drugs for the treatment of a subject suffering from depression and/or anxiety is undesired. It has been found that antiepileptic drugs can be associated with a significantly increased risk for suicide-related events in patients with depression. Preferably, tapentadol is not administered in combination with anticonvulsants and anti-seizure drugs. More preferably, tapentadol is not administered in combination with an antiepileptic selected from the group consisting of barbiturates and derivatives, hydantoin derivatives, oxazolidine derivatives, succinimide derivatives, benzodiazepine derivatives, carboxamide derivatives, fatty acid derivatives and other antiepileptics such as pregabalin. Most preferably, tapentadol is not administered in combination with pregabalin.

In another preferred embodiment, tapentadol is not administered in combination with AMPA receptor antagonists. AMPA receptor antagonists such as 1,2-dihydropyridines are classified as antiepileptics according to US 2010/0297181, which is incorporated by reference. Preferably, tapentadol is not administered in combination with any of the antiepileptic AMPA receptor antagonists mentioned in US 2010/0297181. More preferably, tapentadol is not administered in combination with 1,2-dihydropyridines or derivatives thereof. Dihydropyridines are also known as selective calcium channel blockers with mainly vascular effects (C08CA) according to the ATC-index of the "WHO Collaborating Center for Drug Statistics Methodology". Consequently, tapentadol is preferably also not administered in combination with selective calcium channel blockers with mainly vascular effects. Most preferably, tapentadol is administered neither with an antiepileptic nor a calcium channel blockers.

In a preferred embodiment, tapentadol is administered as the only pharmacologically active ingredient in a medicament (medication). Preferably, tapentadol is administered via a route selected from the group consisting of orally, buccally, sublingually, transmucosally, intralumbally, intraperitoneally, transdermally, intravenously, intramuscularly, intraglute-

ally, intracutaneously and subcutaneously. More preferably, tapentadol is administered orally.

In another preferred embodiment, tapentadol is administered once or twice daily and/or at a daily dose within the range of from 25 to 600 mg.

In another preferred embodiment, the dose of tapentadol to be administered once daily or twice daily in the course of each administration amounts to 50 mg ($\pm 75\%$), more preferably 50 mg ($\pm 50\%$), still more preferably 50 mg ($\pm 30\%$), yet more preferably 50 mg ($\pm 20\%$), most preferably 50 mg ($\pm 10\%$), and in particular 50 mg ($\pm 5\%$).

In another preferred embodiment, the dose of tapentadol to be administered once daily or twice daily in the course of each administration amounts to 100 mg ($\pm 75\%$), more preferably 100 mg ($\pm 50\%$), still more preferably 100 mg ($\pm 30\%$), yet more preferably 100 mg ($\pm 20\%$), most preferably 100 mg ($\pm 10\%$), and in particular 100 mg ($\pm 5\%$).

In still another preferred embodiment, the dose of tapentadol to be administered once daily or twice daily in the course of each administration amounts to 150 mg ($\pm 75\%$), more preferably 150 mg ($\pm 50\%$), still more preferably 150 mg ($\pm 30\%$), yet more preferably 150 mg ($\pm 20\%$), most preferably 150 mg ($\pm 10\%$), and in particular 150 mg ($\pm 5\%$).

In yet another preferred embodiment, the dose of tapentadol to be administered once daily or twice daily in the course of each administration amounts to 200 mg ($\pm 75\%$), more preferably 200 mg ($\pm 50\%$), still more preferably 200 mg ($\pm 30\%$), yet more preferably 200 mg ($\pm 20\%$), most preferably 200 mg ($\pm 10\%$), and in particular 200 mg ($\pm 5\%$).

In a preferred embodiment, the dose of tapentadol to be administered once daily or twice daily in the course of each administration amounts to 250 mg ($\pm 75\%$), more preferably 250 mg ($\pm 50\%$), still more preferably 250 mg ($\pm 30\%$), yet more preferably 250 mg ($\pm 20\%$), most preferably 250 mg ($\pm 10\%$), and in particular 250 mg ($\pm 5\%$).

In another preferred embodiment, tapentadol is for use in the treatment of pain in a subject suffering from depression, wherein the pain is selected from the group consisting of pain being or being associated with panic disorder [episodic paroxysmal anxiety] [F41.0]; dissociative [conversion] disorders [F44]; persistent somatoform pain disorder [F45.4]; pain disorders exclusively related to psychological factors [F45.41]; nonorganic dyspareunia [F52.6]; other enduring personality changes [F62.8]; sadomasochism [F65.5]; elaboration of

physical symptoms for psychological reasons [F68.0]; migraine [G43]; other headache syndromes [G44]; trigeminal neuralgia [G50.0]; atypical facial pain [G50.1]; phantom limb syndrome with pain [G54.6]; phantom limb syndrome without pain [G54.7]; acute and chronic pain, not elsewhere classified [G89]; ocular pain [H57.1]; otalgia [H92.0]; angina pectoris, unspecified [I20.9]; other specified disorders of nose and nasal sinuses [J34.8]; other diseases of pharynx [J39.2]; temporomandibular joint disorders [K07.6]; other specified disorders of teeth and supporting structures [K08.8]; other specified diseases of jaws [K10.8]; other and unspecified lesions of oral mucosa [K13.7]; glossodynia [K14.6]; other specified diseases of anus and rectum [K62.8]; pain in joint [M25.5]; shoulder pain [M25.51]; sacrococcygeal disorders, not elsewhere classified [M53.3]; spine pain [M54.]; radiculopathy [M54.1]; cervicalgia [M54.2]; sciatica [M54.3]; low back pain [M54.5]; pain in thoracic spine [M54.6]; other dorsalgia [M54.8]; dorsalgia, unspecified [M54.9]; other shoulder lesions [M75.8]; other soft tissue disorders, not elsewhere classified [M79]; myalgia [M79.1]; neuralgia and neuritis, unspecified [M79.2]; pain in limb [M79.6]; other specified disorders of bone [M89.8]; unspecified renal colic [N23]; other specified disorders of penis [N48.8]; other specified disorders of male genital organs [N50.8]; mastodynia [N64.4]; pain and other conditions associated with female genital organs and menstrual cycle [N94]; mittelschmerz [N94.0]; other specified conditions associated with female genital organs and menstrual cycle [N94.8]; pain in throat and chest [R07]; pain in throat [R07.0]; chest pain on breathing [R07.1]; precordial pain [R07.2]; other chest pain [R07.3]; chest pain, unspecified [R07.4]; abdominal and pelvic pain [R10]; acute abdomen [R10.0]; pain localized to upper abdomen [R10.1]; pelvic and perineal pain [R10.2]; pain localized to other parts of lower abdomen [R10.3]; other and unspecified abdominal pain [R10.4]; flatulence and related conditions [R14]; abdominal rigidity [R19.3]; other and unspecified disturbances of skin sensation [R20.8]; pain associated with micturition [R30]; other and unspecified symptoms and signs involving the urinary system [R39.8]; headache [R51]; pain, not elsewhere classified [R52]; acute pain [R52.0]; chronic intractable pain [R52.1]; other chronic pain [R52.2]; pain, unspecified [R52.9]; other complications of cardiac and vascular prosthetic devices, implants and grafts [T82.8]; other complications of genitourinary prosthetic devices, implants and grafts [T83.8]; other complications of internal orthopaedic prosthetic devices, implants and grafts [T84.8]; other complications of internal prosthetic devices, implants and grafts, not elsewhere classified [T85.8]; wherein the information in brackets refers to the classification according to ICD-10.

In a preferred embodiment, the invention relates to the prevention or treatment of pain selected from the aforementioned list of forms of pain according to ICD-10, irrespective of whether the subject simultaneously suffers from depression and/or anxiety or not.

In a particularly preferred embodiment, the invention relates to the prevention or treatment of pain, preferably neuropathic pain, more preferably neuropathic pain due to lumbar radiculopathy, either in a subject simultaneously suffering from depression and/or anxiety; or in a subject simultaneously suffering neither from depression nor from anxiety.

Lumbar radiculopathy is a nerve irritation caused by damage to the discs between the vertebrae. Damage to the disc occurs because of degeneration of the outer ring of the disc, traumatic injury, or both. As a result, the central softer portion of the disc can rupture (herniate) through the outer ring of the disc and abut the spinal cord or its nerves as they exit the bony spinal column. This rupture is what causes the commonly recognized pain of "sciatica" that shoots down the leg.

Preferably, the pain is moderate or severe. Preferably, the pain is severe.

In another preferred embodiment, the pain is paroxysmal or constant. Preferably, the pain is constantly present.

In another preferred embodiment, the pain is central or peripheral. Preferably, the pain is central.

In another preferred embodiment, the pain is chronic pain. Preferably, the subject has experienced the pain for at least a week, preferably at least a month, more preferably at least three months, still more preferably at least six months, and most preferably at least a year. More preferably, the pain has increased during the time the subject has experienced the pain. Most preferably, the pain has had an intermittent course during this time.

In a preferred embodiment, the chronic pain is selected from the group consisting of cancer pain, chemotherapy-induced pain, upper back pain, back pain, inflammatory pain including pain associated with rheumatic diseases, arthritic pain, ankylosing spondylitis pain, myofascial pain, pain associated with musculo-skeletal disorders, muscle pain, skeletal pain, joint pain, chronic pain associated with fibromyalgia, pain from strains/sprains, persistent post-operative pain, persistent posttraumatic pain, renal colic pain, irritable bowel syndrome-related pain, gastrointestinal pain, pelvic pain, abdominal pain, ischemic pain, angina pain, pain associated with claudication, pain accompanying myocardial infarction, vascular pain, pain associated with central nervous system trauma, facial pain, migraine-related pain, headache-related pain, orofacial pain, persistent pain deriving from damaged or inflamed

somatic tissue, and combinations thereof. Preferably, the chronic pain is back pain, more preferably low back pain. Preferably, the chronic low back pain is nociceptive pain, neuropathic pain, or a mixture thereof, more preferably, the chronic low back pain is nociceptive mixed with neuropathic low back pain.

In another preferred embodiment, the pain is selected from neuropathic pain, nociceptive pain, psychogenic pain, phantom pain and mixtures thereof. Nociceptive pain may be causative for depression and/or for anxiety, i.e. induce depression and/or anxiety, whereas neuropathic pain, and phantom pain may be either causative for or resulting from depression and/or anxiety. Psychogenic pain typically results from depression and/or from anxiety, i.e. psychogenic pain may be regarded as a symptom of depression and/or anxiety.

Nociceptive pain is caused by stimulation of peripheral nerve fibers that respond only to stimuli approaching or exceeding harmful intensity (nociceptors), and may be classified according to the mode of noxious stimulation; the most common categories being "thermal" (heat or cold), "mechanical" (crushing, tearing, etc.) and "chemical" (iodine in a cut, chili powder in the eyes). Nociceptive pain may also be divided into "visceral," "deep somatic" and "superficial somatic" pain. *Visceral* pain originates in the viscera (organs) and often is extremely difficult to locate, and nociception from some visceral regions produces "referred" pain, where the sensation is located in an area distant from the site of the stimulus. *Deep somatic* pain is initiated by stimulation of nociceptors in ligaments, tendons, bones, blood vessels, fasciae and muscles, and is dull, aching, poorly-localized pain. Examples include sprains and broken bones. *Superficial* pain is initiated by activation of nociceptors in the skin or superficial tissues, and is sharp, well-defined and clearly located. Examples of injuries that produce superficial somatic pain include minor wounds and minor (first degree) burns.

Neuropathic pain is believed to be caused by a primary lesion or dysfunction in the nervous system. Neuropathic pains have been categorized as peripheral neuropathic pain, due to lesion of the peripheral nervous system and central pain following lesions of the central nervous system. The prevalence of neuropathic pain is estimated to be about 1%. Neuropathic pain has been shown to be rather therapy resistant. Accordingly, neuropathic pain has a high potential of inducing depressions in a subject experiencing neuropathic pain.

In another preferred embodiment, the pain is neuropathic pain, nociceptive pain or a mixture thereof. More preferably, the pain is neuropathic pain.

In a preferred embodiment, the neuropathic pain is selected from the group consisting of diabetic neuralgia, monoradiculopathies, trigeminal neuralgia, post-herpetic neuralgia, persistent postoperative or posttraumatic pain, hyperalgia, allodynia, fibromyalgia, CRPS, pain associated with multiple sclerosis, AIDS-related neuropathy, thalamic pain, paraplegic pain caused by myelopathy, anesthesia dolorosa, low back pain, reflex sympathetic dystrophy / causalgia (nerve trauma), cancer pain, chemotherapy-induced pain, post-thoracotomy pain, entrapment neuropathy (e.g., carpal tunnel syndrome), and peripheral neuropathy (widespread nerve damage).

In a preferred embodiment, the neuropathic pain is polyneuropathic pain. Preferably, the pain is diabetic polyneuropathic pain.

In another preferred embodiment, the pain is a mixture of neuropathic and nociceptive pain, wherein the neuropathic pain score is higher than the nociceptive pain score. Preferred values for the neuropathic pain scores and nociceptive pain scores are summarized as preferred embodiments E1 to E6 below.

	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6
Neuropathic pain score	≥1	3 to 21	3 to 21	5 to 20	5 to 15	5 to 15
Nociceptive pain score	≥0	1 to 20	1 to 10	1 to 10	1 to 5	0

In another preferred embodiment, the pain is mainly neuropathic pain, wherein neuropathic pain score is preferably in the range of from 1 to 21, still more preferably from 3 to 21, yet more preferably from 5 to 20, most preferably from 5 to 15.

In another preferred embodiment, the depression and/or anxiety is induced by pain in a subject suffering from pain, wherein the pain is preferably as defined above.

In another preferred embodiment, the depression and/or anxiety induces psychogenic pain. Preferably, the psychogenic pain is back pain, chest pain, headache, muscle pain or a non-specific pain or ache, and can be regarded as a symptom for depression and/or anxiety.

In another preferred embodiment, the depression and/or anxiety induces one or more symptoms selected from the group consisting of depressed affect, anxiety, insomnia, suicidal thoughts, social inhibition, loss of energy, hopelessness, anhedonia, mood disturbances, irritability, disability, gastrointestinal disturbances, skin reactions, back pain, chest pain, headache, muscle pain and non-specific pains and aches.

The depression and/or anxiety a subject suffers from can be determined by the depression and/or the anxiety scores respectively. The initial depression and anxiety scores can be compared to the respective scores after the administration of certain amounts of tapentadol. Furthermore, the depression and anxiety scores can be assigned to certain pains. The mean and the mediate values for the depression and anxiety scores can be determined for a number of subjects. A reduction of these values indicates a decrease of depression and/or anxiety respectively.

In a preferred embodiment, the depression score is higher than the anxiety score. The values for the depression scores and anxiety scores are summarized as preferred embodiments E7 to E12 below.

	E7	E8	E9	E10	E11	E12
Depression score	≥1	3 to 21	3 to 15	5 to 10	5 to 12	5 to 10
Anxiety score	≥0	1 to 20	1 to 10	1 to 8	3 to 8	3 to 5

In a preferred embodiment, tapentadol is for use in the treatment of pain in a subject suffering from depression and/or from anxiety, wherein the depression and/or anxiety is simultaneously or sequentially treated, resulting in a reduction of the depression and anxiety scores and the pain scores. The values for the depression scores and anxiety scores as well as the pain scores, i.e. neuropathic and nociceptive pain scores in a subject are summarized as preferred embodiments E13 to E20 below.

	E13	E14	E15	E16	E17	E18	E19	E20
Depression score	≥1	3 to 21	3 to 15	5 to 10	5 to 12	5 to 10	5 to 10	5 to 10
Anxiety score	≥0	1 to 20	1 to 10	1 to 10	3 to 8	3 to 8	3 to 8	3 to 5
Neuropathic pain score	≥1	3 to 21	3 to 21	5 to 20	5 to 15	5 to 15	5 to 10	5 to 10
Nociceptive pain score	≥0	1 to 20	1 to 15	1 to 10	1 to 5	0	0	0

Preferably, the reduction of anxiety is dependent on the pain the anxiety is associated with. The values for the anxiety scores before (initial) and after (final) the administration of tapentadol to a subject suffering from anxiety are summarized as preferred embodiments E21 to E25 below.

	E21	E22	E23	E24	E25
Anxiety score associated with nociceptive pain	initial: 2 to 20 final: < 90% of initial value	initial: 2 to 20 final: < 80% of initial value	initial: 2 to 9 final: 1 to 8	initial: 4 to 7 final: 3 to 6	initial: 5.9 (±1) final: 4.5 (±1)
Anxiety score associated with neuropathic (presumed)	initial: 2 to 20 final: < 80% of initial value	initial: 2 to 20 final: < 65% of initial value	initial: 4 to 11 final: 1 to 8	initial: 6 to 9 final: 3 to 6	initial: 7.9 (±1) final: 4.8 (±1)

pain component)					
Anxiety score associated with neuropathic (defined)	initial: 2 to 20 final: < 80% of initial value	initial: 2 to 20 final: < 70% of initial value	initial: 5 to 12 final: 1 to 8	initial: 7 to 10 final: 3 to 6	initial: 8.3 (\pm 1) final: 4.5 (\pm 1)

Preferably, the time period between the determination of the initial and the final anxiety score is 6 months, more preferably 3 months, still more preferably 30 days, yet more preferably 20 days, most preferably 10 days. Furthermore, the daily dosage of tapentadol is preferably within the range of from 25 to 600 mg, preferably from 50 to 300 mg, more preferably from 50 to 150 mg.

Preferably, the reduction of depression is dependent on the pain the depression is associated with. The values for the depression scores before (initial) and after (final) the administration of tapentadol to a subject suffering from depression are summarized as preferred embodiments E21 to E25 below.

	E26	E27	E28	E29	E30
Depression score associated with nociceptive	initial: 2 to 20 final: < 95% of initial value	initial: 2 to 20 final: < 85% of initial value	initial: 3 to 10 final: 1 to 8	initial: 5 to 8 final: 3 to 6	initial: 6.1 (\pm 1) final: 4.9 (\pm 1)
Depression score associated with neuropathic (presumed pain component)	initial: 2 to 20 final: < 90% of initial value	initial: 2 to 20 final: < 75% of initial value	initial: 5 to 12 final: 3 to 10	initial: 7 to 10 final: 5 to 8	initial: 8.8 (\pm 1) final: 6.2 (\pm 1)
Depression score associated with neuropathic (defined)	initial: 2 to 20 final: < 90% of initial value	initial: 2 to 20 final: < 75% of initial value	initial: 5 to 12 final: 2 to 9	initial: 7 to 10 final: 4 to 7	initial: 8.3 (\pm 1) final: 5.8 (\pm 1)

Preferably, the time period between the determination of the initial and the final depression score is 6 months, more preferably 3 months, still more preferably 30 days, yet more preferably 20 days, most preferably 10 days. Furthermore, the daily dosage of tapentadol is preferably within the range of from 25 to 600 mg, more preferably from 50 to 300 mg, most preferably from 50 to 150 mg.

In another preferred embodiment, tapentadol is for use in the treatment of depression and/or anxiety, wherein the depression and anxiety scores are reduced. The reduction of the mean values for the anxiety scores due to the administration of tapentadol over the time periods of 10, 20 and 30 days are summarized as preferred embodiments E31 to E35 below. Each %-

value indicates the remaining mean anxiety score after the defined time period relative to the initial mean anxiety score.

Time	E31	E32	E33	E34	E35
10 days	< 99%	< 95%	< 90%	< 80%	< 75%
20 days	< 95%	< 90%	< 85%	< 60%	< 50%
30 days	< 92%	< 85%	< 80%	< 50%	< 20%

Preferably, the daily dosage of tapentadol is within the range of from 25 to 600 mg, preferably from 50 to 300 mg, more preferably from 50 to 150 mg.

The reduction of the mean values for the depression scores due to the administration of tapentadol over the time periods of 10, 20 and 30 days are summarized as preferred embodiments E36 to E30 below. Each %-value indicates the remaining mean depression score after the defined time period relative to the initial mean depression score.

Time	E36	E37	E38	E39	E40
10 days	< 99%	< 95%	< 90%	< 80%	< 75%
20 days	< 95%	< 90%	< 85%	< 60%	< 50%
30 days	< 92%	< 85%	< 80%	< 50%	< 20%

Preferably, the daily dosage of tapentadol is within the range of from 25 to 600 mg, preferably from 50 to 300 mg, more preferably from 50 to 150 mg.

Preferably, tapentadol is administered in form of a pharmaceutical composition containing besides tapentadol, additives and/or auxiliary substances.

Suitable additives and/or auxiliary substances in the context of this invention are all the substances known to the expert from the prior art for achieving galenical formulations. The choice of these auxiliary substances and the amounts thereof to be employed depend on whether the administration unit/dosage form is to be administered orally, intravenously, intraperitoneally, intradermally, intramuscularly, intranasally, buccally or locally. Formulations in the form of tablets, chewable tablets, coated tablets, capsules, granules, drops, juices or syrups are suitable for oral administration, and solutions, suspensions, easily reconstitutable dry formulations and sprays are suitable for parenteral, topical and inhalatory administration. Suppositories for use in the rectum are a further possibility. The use in a depot in dissolved form, a carrier film or a patch, optionally with the addition of agents which promote penetration through the skin, are examples of suitable forms for percutaneous administration.

Examples of auxiliary substances and additives for the oral administration units/ dosage forms are disintegrating agents, lubricants, binders, fillers, mold release agents, optionally

solvents, flavorings, sugars, in particular carrier agents, diluents, dyestuffs, antioxidants etc. For suppositories, inter alia, waxes and fatty acid esters can be used, and for compositions for parental administration carrier substances, preservatives, suspension auxiliaries etc. can be used.

The dosage forms comprise preferably 0.05 wt.-% to 99.5 wt.-% of tapentadol, more preferably 0.1 to 90 wt.-%, still more preferably 0.5 to 80 wt.-%, most preferably 1.0 to 50 wt.-% and in particular 5.0 to 20 wt.-%.

Auxiliary substances can be, for example: water, ethanol, 2-propanol, glycerol, ethylene glycol, propylene glycol, polyethylene glycol, polypropylene glycol, glucose, fructose, lactose, sucrose, dextrose, molasses, starch, modified starch, gelatine, sorbitol, inositol, mannitol, microcrystalline cellulose, methylcellulose, carboxymethyl cellulose, cellulose acetate, shellac, cetyl alcohol, polyvinylpyrrolidone, paraffins, waxes, naturally occurring and synthetic gums, gum acacia, alginates, dextran, saturated and unsaturated fatty acids, stearic acid, magnesium stearate, zinc stearate, glyceryl stearate, sodium lauryl sulfate, edible oils, sesame oil, coconut oil, groundnut oil, soya bean oil, lecithin, sodium lactate, polyoxyethylene and polypropylene fatty acid esters, sorbitan fatty acid esters, sorbic acid, benzoic acid, citric acid, ascorbic acid, tannic acid, sodium chloride, potassium chloride, magnesium chloride, calcium chloride, magnesium oxide, zinc oxide, silicon dioxide, titanium oxide, titanium dioxide, magnesium sulfate, zinc sulfate, calcium sulfate, potash, calcium phosphate, dicalcium phosphate, potassium bromide, potassium iodide, talc, kaolin, pectin, crospovidone, agar and bentonite.

The administration units/dosage forms according to the invention may be controlled release, delayed release, prolonged release/extended release, sustained release, repeat-action release, etc. Prolonged release administration units/dosage forms are preferred.

The administration units/dosage forms according to the invention are prepared with the aid of means, devices, methods and processes which are well-known in the prior art of pharmaceutical formulation, such as are described, for example, in "Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences", ed. A. R. Gennaro, 17th ed., Mack Publishing Company, Easton, Pa. (1985), in particular in part 8, chapter 76 to 93.

Thus, e.g., for a solid formulation, such as a tablet, tapentadol can be granulated with a pharmaceutical carrier, e.g. conventional tablet constituents, such as maize starch, lactose, sucrose, sorbitol, talc, magnesium stearate, dicalcium phosphate or physiologically

acceptable gums, and pharmaceutical diluents, such as e.g. water, in order to form a solid composition which comprises tapentadol in homogeneous distribution. Homogeneous distribution is understood here as meaning that tapentadol is uniformly distributed over the entire composition, so that this can easily be divided into unit dose forms, such as tablets, pills or capsules, having the same activity. The solid composition is then divided into unit dose forms. The administration units according to the invention can also be coated or compounded in another manner in order to provide a dose form with delayed release. Suitable coating compositions are, inter alia, polymeric acids and mixtures of polymeric acids with materials such as e.g. shellac, cetyl alcohol and/or cellulose acetate.

Tapentadol can be released in a delayed or prolonged or sustained manner from administration units/dosage forms which can be used orally, rectally or percutaneously. Corresponding formulations, in particular in the form of a "twice daily (*bid*)" preparation which has to be taken only twice a day (*bid*), are particularly preferred for the indication according to the invention (cf. US-A-2005-58706).

Delayed or prolonged or sustained release of tapentadol may be achieved by administration units/dosage forms which contain tapentadol in a matrix, which contains e.g. 1 to 80% by weight, in particular 5 to 80 by weight, of one or more hydrophilic or hydrophobic polymers as physiologically acceptable matrix forming agents and which comprise cellulose ethers and/or cellulose esters having a viscosity (determined using a Pharm. Eu. capillary viscosimeter) of 3,000 to 150,000 mPa s in a 2% by weight aqueous solution at 20°C as physiologically acceptable matrix forming agents. Preferred physiologically acceptable matrix forming agents include polyethylene oxide having a molecular mass of more than 0.5 mio g/mol, cellulose ethers and/or cellulose esters having a viscosity between 10,000, in particular 50,000 mPa s, and 150,000 mPa s in a 2% by weight aqueous solution at 20°C. Particularly suitable physiologically acceptable matrix forming agents may be selected from the group consisting of hydroxypropylmethyl celluloses (HPMC), hydroxyethyl celluloses, hydroxypropyl celluloses (HPC), methyl celluloses, ethyl celluloses and carboxymethyl celluloses and are selected, in particular, from the group consisting of HPMCs, hydroxyethyl celluloses and HPCs. HPMCs having a viscosity of approximately 100,000 mPa s, measured in a 2% by weight aqueous solution at 20°C are most preferred.

The administration units/dosage forms according to the invention can exist both as a simple tablet and as a coated tablet, for example as a film tablet or dragee. The tablets are typically round and biconvex, but oblong tablet shapes which allow the tablet to be divided are also possible. Granules, spheroids, pellets or microcapsules which are poured into sachets or

capsules or may be compressed to disintegrating tablets are also possible within the scope of the invention.

Instead of a slow release matrix, it is also possible to use a normal release matrix with a coating which retards release of the pharmacologically active ingredient. For example, tapentadol can be contained in a conventional matrix of microcrystalline cellulose and optionally further pharmaceutical auxiliaries such as binders, fillers, glidants, lubricants and flow regulators, which are covered or coated with a material controlling the slow release of tapentadol in an aqueous medium. Suitable coating agents include, for example, water-insoluble waxes and polymers such as polymethacrylates (Eudragit or the like) or water-insoluble celluloses, in particular ethyl cellulose. The coating material can optionally also contain water-soluble polymers such as polyvinyl pyrrolidone, water-soluble celluloses such as hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose or hydroxypropyl cellulose, other water-soluble agents such as Polysorbate 80 or hydrophilic pore-forming agents such as polyethylene glycol, lactose or mannitol.

As an alternative or a supplement to the possibilities of a slow release matrix in the delayed release or prolonged release or sustained release dosage forms or of a normal release matrix with a coating which retards the release of tapentadol, an osmotically driven release system can also be used to achieve a slow release. Embodiments and examples of the actual production of osmotically driven release systems can be found in US 4,765,989, US 4,783,337, and US 4,612,008.

The following examples further illustrate the invention but are not to be construed as limiting its scope.

Example:

Aim and Design

The effectiveness of tapentadol hydrochloride PR and tapentadol hydrochloride IR in the treatment of pain in a subject suffering from depression and/or from anxiety, and in the treatment of depression and/or of anxiety has been demonstrated in a clinical study in subjects with severe and chronic nociceptive, mixed with neuropathic low back pain. A subgroup suffering from neuropathic pain due to lumbar radiculopathy was also investigated.

This was a multicenter, multinational, open-label, effectiveness phase IIIb trial to evaluate effectiveness and safety of tapentadol prolonged release treatment in patients with inadequately managed, severe chronic low back pain with or without a neuropathic pain component. Patients taking WHO Step II analgesics discontinued those analgesics before starting study medication; patients taking Step I analgesics and/or centrally acting co-analgesics continued on the same dose. Patients who enrolled in the study and were taking WHO Step I or II analgesics had an average pain intensity score of >5 on an 11-point NRS-3 (average pain over 3 days prior to assessment) at screening; patients who were taking no regular analgesic treatment had an average pain intensity score (NRS-3) of >6. All patients received tapentadol prolonged release (50-250 mg bid) for up to 12 weeks (5-week titration period and a 7-week maintenance period). Tapentadol immediate release (IR) 50 mg (≤twice a day, ≥4 hours apart) was permitted (combined total daily dose of tapentadol prolonged release and tapentadol IR, ≤500 mg/day). The primary efficacy endpoint was the change from Baseline to Week 6 (when tapentadol prolonged release doses were stable) in average pain intensity on the 11-point NRS-3 using the last observation carried forward (LOCF) for imputing missing scores. The painDETECT questionnaire was used to evaluate the likelihood of a neuropathic pain component of low back pain; patients were classified as painDETECT “negative,” “unclear,” or “positive.” The population of patients classified as painDETECT negative was limited to approximately 30% of the total population.

In the painDETECT positive trial population the “diagnosis of lumbar radiculopathy” was evaluated which was defined per study protocol according following criteria:

- typical dermatomal pain (radiating beyond the knee towards the foot, pain evoked by stretching within distribution of the ischiadic/femoral nerve)
- signs of root dysfunction:
- such as sensory impairment, motor symptoms from compression of lumbar nerve root (L4, L5, S1).
- and/or absent or diminished quadriceps femoris or triceps surae reflexes.
- and/or quantitative sensory testing (QST) deficit and pain distribution present in the same dermatomal area.

In subjects with neuropathic pain further characterization of the symptoms was performed. These additional assessments referred explicitly to the neuropathic component of the LBP (Neuropathic Pain Symptom Inventory (NPSI), Short Form McGill Pain Questionnaire (SF-MPQ).NRS-3 for pain radiating towards or into the leg)

All subjects completed Short Form-36 (SF-36) and EuroQol-5 Dimension (EQ-5D) questionnaires and the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS). The Patient Global Impression of Change (PGIC) and Clinical global impression of change (CGIC) were also used as secondary endpoints. For both tests, patients completed the statement, "since I began trial treatment, I would rate my overall condition as," using 7 possible responses ("very much worse" to "very much improved"). Adverse events were recorded throughout the trial. Furthermore, 51.8 % of the painDETECT positive trial population had a "diagnosis of lumbar radiculopathy" as defined per study protocol.

Results

Short Form 36[®] Health Survey (SF-36[®]) scores: SF-36 physical functioning, bodily pain, general health, role-emotional and physical component summary scores improved from Baseline to Week 12 for patients who were painDETECT negative or unclear/positive (all $P < 0.05$); for those who were unclear/positive, role-physical, vitality, social functioning, mental health, reported health transition and mental component summary scores also improved (all $P < 0.05$).

EuroQol-5 Dimension (EQ-5D) scores: the mean (SD) change from Baseline to Week 12 in the EQ-5D health status index score was 0.18 (0.25; $P = 0.0022$) for patients who were painDETECT negative and 0.36 (0.32); $P < 0.0001$) for those positive.

Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS): Baseline mean (SD) HADS depression (6.5[3.96] vs. 8.5[4.27]) and anxiety (6.2[4.10] vs. 8.4[4.26]) scores were lower for patients with a painDETECT negative vs. an unclear/positive result, respectively. HADS depression and anxiety scores improved steadily from Baseline to Week 12 for patients who were painDETECT unclear/positive ($P < 0.0001$ at Visit 12 for depression and anxiety), but not significantly for those with a negative result. HADS depression and anxiety scores improved steadily from Baseline to Week 12 for patients with positive diagnosis of lumbar radiculopathy - neuropathic pain subset (0.0023 at Visit 12 for depression, $p = 0.0105$ at Visit 12 for anxiety).

Patient Global Impression of Change: The percentage of patients reporting a rating of "very much improved" or "much improved" on the PGIC increased from 15.1 % (26/172) at Week 1 to 48.6 % (67/138) at Week 6 and 67.1 % (61/91) at Week 12.

Clinical global impression of change (CGIC): The percentage of patients reporting a rating of

“very much improved” or “much improved” on the CGIC increased from 15.1 % (26/172) at Week 1 to 50.7 % (70/138) at Week 6 and 68.2 % (62/91) at Week 12.

Subject satisfaction with previous/new treatment: The percentage of patients reporting a rating of “excellent ”or “very good” increased from 0.0 % (0/175) at Baseline to 29.7 % (41/138) at Week 6 and 52.8% (48/91) at Week 12.

In the following

- (i) N is the number of subjects of the specified diagnosis group,
- (ii) n is the number of subjects with data available,
- (iii) “Mean” is the mean score of the subjects, i.e. the sum of all scores is divided by the number of subjects,
- (iv) SD is the standard deviation,
- (v) “Median” is the median score of the subjects, i.e. the middle score in the set of scores,
- (vi) “Range” is the range of scores of the subjects, i.e. the range defined by the lowest and the highest score of the set of scores.

Firstly, the depression scores are presented.

Total number of subjects in the clinical study: N = 175

Depression score	n	Mean (SD)	Median	Range
Screening	174	7.9 (4.37)	8.0	1-20
Baseline	173	7.9 (4.27)	8.0	0-21
Visit 1	164	7.8 (4.47)	7.0	0-20
Visit 5	141	6.9 (4.26)	6.0	0-18
Visit 6	130	6.6 (4.41)	6.0	0-19
Visit 7	127	6.1 (4.40)	6.0	0-18
Visit 8	119	6.2 (4.16)	6.0	0-17
Visit 9	87	6.1 (4.48)	6.0	0-17
Visit 10	85	6.2 (4.66)	6.0	0-21
Visit 11	85	5.9 (4.59)	5.0	0-17
Visit 12	89	5.7 (4.35)	5.0	0-18

Total number of subjects with nociceptive pain: N = 49

Depression score	n	Mean (SD)	Median	Range
Screening	48	6.8 (4.17)	6.0	1-16
Baseline	49	6.5 (3.96)	6.0	0-15
Visit 1	43	6.1 (3.90)	5.0	0-15
Visit 5	37	5.6 (3.68)	5.0	0-13
Visit 6	34	5.3 (4.03)	4.0	1-15
Visit 7	35	5.0 (3.63)	4.0	1-14
Visit 8	32	5.5 (3.90)	4.5	0-16
Visit 9	25	5.4 (3.49)	5.0	1-12

Visit 10	24	5.5 (3.34)	5.5	1-12
Visit 11	23	5.6 (4.04)	5.0	0-14
Visit 12	23	4.9 (3.13)	4.0	0-11

Total number of subjects with presumed neuropathic pain component: N = 41

Depression score	n	Mean (SD)	Median	Range
Screening	41	7.4 (3.69)	7.0	1-17
Baseline	40	7.9 (3.84)	7.5	1-17
Visit 1	38	8.8 (4.59)	8.5	1-18
Visit 5	33	7.5 (4.59)	7.0	0-18
Visit 6	30	7.5 (4.60)	7.5	0-17
Visit 7	32	7.0 (4.71)	6.5	0-18
Visit 8	28	6.4 (4.24)	6.0	1-14
Visit 9	19	6.4 (4.32)	6.0	0-15
Visit 10	19	6.4 (5.34)	5.0	0-21
Visit 11	20	6.4 (5.11)	5.0	0-17
Visit 12	21	6.2 (4.66)	5.0	0-17

Total number of subjects with defined neuropathic pain: N = 85

Depression score	n	Mean (SD)	Median	Range
Screening	85	8.9 (4.63)	9.0	1-20
Baseline	84	8.7 (4.46)	8.5	0-21
Visit 1	83	8.3 (4.51)	8.0	1-20
Visit 5	71	7.3 (4.29)	7.0	1-17
Visit 6	66	6.8 (4.41)	6.0	0-19
Visit 7	60	6.2 (4.56)	6.0	0-16
Visit 8	59	6.4 (4.28)	6.0	1-17
Visit 9	43	6.5 (5.06)	6.0	0-17
Visit 10	42	6.5 (5.04)	6.0	0-19
Visit 11	42	5.9 (4.70)	5.5	0-15
Visit 12	45	5.8 (4.76)	5.0	0-18

Total number of subjects with presumed or defined neuropathic pain component: N = 126

Depression score	n	Mean (SD)	Median	Range
Screening	126	8.4 (4.38)	8.0	1-20
Baseline	124	8.5 (4.27)	8.0	0-21
Visit 1	121	8.5 (4.52)	8.0	1-20
Visit 5	104	7.4 (4.37)	7.0	0-18
Visit 6	96	7.1 (4.46)	7.0	0-19
Visit 7	92	6.5 (4.61)	6.0	0-18
Visit 8	87	6.4 (4.24)	6.0	1-17
Visit 9	62	6.5 (4.81)	6.0	0-17
Visit 10	61	6.5 (5.09)	6.0	0-21
Visit 11	62	6.0 (4.80)	5.0	0-17
Visit 12	66	5.9 (4.70)	5.0	0-18

Total number of subjects with positive diagnosis of lumbar radiculopathy - neuropathic pain subset: N = 44

Depression score	n	Mean (SD)	Median	Range
Screening	44	8.5 (4.70)	8.5	2-20
Baseline	44	8.2 (4.09)	8.0	0-17
Visit 1	44	7.5 (3.69)	7.5	1-15
Visit 5	40	6.7 (3.77)	6.0	1-14
Visit 6	38	6.3 (3.67)	6.0	1-13
Visit 7	34	5.4 (3.85)	5.0	0-14
Visit 8	35	5.8 (3.71)	6.0	1-14
Visit 9	24	6.2 (4.63)	7.5	1-14
Visit 10	25	6.2 (4.50)	7.0	0-13
Visit 11	26	6.0 (4.69)	6.5	0-14
Visit 12	27	5.3 (4.09)	5.0	0-12

Secondly, the anxiety scores are presented.

Total number of subjects: N = 175

Anxiety score	n	Mean (SD)	Median	Range
Screening	174	8.1 (3.99)	8.0	1-19
Baseline	173	7.8 (4.32)	7.0	0-18
Visit 1	164	7.6 (4.28)	7.0	0-18
Visit 5	141	6.8 (3.94)	7.0	0-17
Visit 6	130	6.6 (4.02)	6.0	0-18
Visit 7	127	5.9 (3.99)	6.0	0-18
Visit 8	119	5.6 (3.95)	5.0	0-18
Visit 9	87	5.7 (4.10)	4.0	0-19
Visit 10	85	5.6 (4.16)	5.0	0-19
Visit 11	85	5.4 (3.91)	5.0	0-14
Visit 12	89	5.2 (3.73)	4.0	0-13

Total number of subjects with nociceptive pain: N = 49

Anxiety score	n	Mean (SD)	Median	Range
Screening	48	6.7 (3.80)	6.5	1-15
Baseline	49	6.2 (4.10)	5.0	0-16
Visit 1	43	5.9 (4.19)	6.0	0-18
Visit 5	37	6.1 (3.80)	5.0	0-14
Visit 6	34	5.8 (4.68)	5.0	0-18
Visit 7	35	5.6 (4.15)	5.0	0-18
Visit 8	32	5.4 (4.40)	4.0	0-18
Visit 9	25	4.6 (3.34)	4.0	0-13
Visit 10	24	4.7 (3.21)	4.0	0-11
Visit 11	23	4.8 (3.77)	4.0	0-14
Visit 12	23	4.5 (3.65)	3.0	0-13

Total number of subjects with presumed neuropathic pain component: N = 41

Anxiety score	n	Mean (SD)	Median	Range
Screening	41	8.3 (3.68)	8.0	1-17
Baseline	40	8.3 (4.15)	7.0	2-17
Visit 1	38	7.9 (4.52)	7.0	2-17

Visit 5	33	6.7 (4.22)	7.0	0-15
Visit 6	30	6.9 (3.99)	6.0	1-16
Visit 7	32	5.9 (4.08)	5.5	0-13
Visit 8	28	4.9 (4.04)	4.0	0-14
Visit 9	19	6.1 (5.10)	6.0	0-19
Visit 10	19	5.5 (5.46)	4.0	0-19
Visit 11	20	5.1 (4.25)	4.0	0-13
Visit 12	21	4.8 (3.67)	4.0	0-12

Total number of subjects with defined neuropathic pain: N = 85

Anxiety score	n	Mean (SD)	Median	Range
Screening	85	8.9 (4.07)	9.0	1-19
Baseline	84	8.5 (4.33)	8.5	0-18
Visit 1	83	8.3 (4.01)	8.0	0-18
Visit 5	71	7.2 (3.89)	8.0	0-17
Visit 6	66	6.9 (3.66)	6.0	0-16
Visit 7	60	6.1 (3.91)	6.0	0-16
Visit 8	59	6.0 (3.66)	5.0	0-14
Visit 9	43	6.1 (3.99)	5.0	1-16
Visit 10	42	6.1 (3.98)	6.0	0-16
Visit 11	42	5.9 (3.84)	5.5	0-13
Visit 12	45	5.7 (3.80)	5.0	0-13

Total number of subjects with presumed or defined neuropathic pain component: N = 126

Anxiety score	n	Mean (SD)	Median	Range
Screening	126	8.7 (3.94)	9.0	1-19
Baseline	124	8.4 (4.26)	8.0	0-18
Visit 1	121	8.2 (4.16)	8.0	0-18
Visit 5	104	7.0 (3.98)	7.0	0-17
Visit 6	96	6.9 (3.75)	6.0	0-16
Visit 7	92	6.0 (3.95)	6.0	0-16
Visit 8	87	5.7 (3.80)	5.0	0-14
Visit 9	62	6.1 (4.32)	5.0	0-19
Visit 10	61	5.9 (4.46)	5.0	0-19
Visit 11	62	5.6 (3.96)	5.0	0-13
Visit 12	66	5.4 (3.76)	5.0	0-13

Total number of subjects with positive diagnosis of lumbar radiculopathy - neuropathic pain subset: N = 44

Anxiety score	n	Mean (SD)	Median	Range
Screening	44	8.9 (4.06)	9.0	1-17
Baseline	44	8.6 (4.23)	9.0	0-18
Visit 1	44	8.7 (3.64)	9.0	1-18
Visit 5	40	6.8 (3.39)	7.5	1-15
Visit 6	38	7.1 (3.46)	7.5	1-13
Visit 7	34	6.1 (3.85)	6.0	0-15
Visit 8	35	5.9 (3.74)	5.0	0-13
Visit 9	24	6.2 (4.01)	5.0	1-13
Visit 10	25	6.1 (3.85)	6.0	0-13

Visit 11	26	6.3 (4.25)	6.5	0-13
Visit 12	27	5.7 (3.59)	6.0	0-11

The above clinical data clearly demonstrate that tapentadol exhibits a significant efficacy against depression and anxiety.

The changes from baseline at visits 6, 8 and 12 are summarized in the tables here below:

Depression:

	nociceptive	presumed neuropathic	defined neuropathic	lumbar radiculopathy	presumed or defined neuropathic	total
Visit 6						
n	34	29	65	38	94	128
Mean (SD)	-1.0 (3.15)	-0.4 (3.55)	-1.7 (3.43)	-1.7 (3.23)	-1.3 (3.50)	-1.2 (3.40)
Median	-1.0	-0.0	-2.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0
Min, Max	-11, 5	-12, 4	-10, 8	-9, 4	-12, 8	-12, 8
95% CI	[-2.1;0.1]	[-1.8;0.9]	[-2.6;-0.9]	[-2.7;-0.6]	[-2.0;-0.6]	[-1.8;-0.6]
p-value#	0.0813	0.5354	0.0002	0.0027	<0.0005	<0.0001
Visit 8						
n	32	27	58	35	85	117
Mean (SD)	-0.8 (2.31)	-1.0 (3.13)	-2.3 (3.33)	-2.1 (3.54)	-1.9 (3.30)	-1.6 (3.09)
Median	-1.0	-1.0	-1.5	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0
Min, Max	-8, 4	-12, 3	-12, 3	-10, 3	-12, 3	-12, 4
95% CI	[-1.6;0.0]	[-2.2;0.2]	[-3.2;-1.4]	[-3.3;-0.9]	[-2.6;-1.2]	[-2.1;-1.0]
p-value#	0.0551	<0.1084	<0.0001	0.0014	<0.0001	<0.0001
Visit 12						
n	23	21	45	27	66	89
Mean (SD)	-0.5 (2.86)	-1.5 (3.30)	-2.2 (3.31)	-2.1 (3.30)	-2.0 (3.30)	-1.6 (3.24)
Median	0.0	-2.0	-2.0	-1.0	-2.0	-2.0
Min, Max	-5, 4	-10, 6	-9, 6	-9, 3	-10, 6	-10, 6
95% CI	[-1.7;0.8]	[-3.0;0.0]	[-3.2;-1.2]	[-3.5;-0.8]	[-2.8;-1.2]	[-2.3;-0.9]
p-value#	0.4309	0.0534	0.0001	0.0023	<0.0001	<0.0001

Anxiety:

	nociceptive	presumed neuropathic	defined neuropathic	lumbar radiculopathy	presumed or defined neuropathic	total
Visit 6						
n	34	29	65	38	94	128
Mean (SD)	-0.3 (2.93)	-1.6 (3.58)	-1.4 (3.44)	-1.4 (3.88)	-1.5 (3.47)	-1.2 (3.36)
Median	0.0	-1.0	-1.0	-0.5	-1.0	-1.0
Min, Max	-7, 5	-9, 9	-10, 5	-10, 5	-10, 9	-10, 9
95% CI	[-1.3;0.7]	[-2.9;-0.2]	[-2.3;-0.6]	[-2.6;-0.1]	[-2.2;-0.7]	[-1.7;-0.6]
p-value#	0.5242	0.0271	0.0015	0.0361	<0.0001	0.0002
Visit 8						
n	32	27	58	35	85	117
Mean (SD)	-0.7 (3.88)	-2.9 (3.11)	-2.2 (3.77)	-2.4 (4.23)	-2.4 (3.57)	-1.9 (3.72)
Median	-1.0	-2.0	-2.0	-1.0	-2.0	-2.0
Min, Max	-7, 14	-11, 2	-13, 5	-13, 5	-13, 5	-13, 14
95% CI	[-2.1;0.7]	[-4.1;-1.7]	[-3.2;-1.2]	[-3.9;-1.0]	[-3.2;-1.6]	[-2.6;-1.3]
p-value#	0.3027	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0018	<0.0001	<0.0001
Visit 12						
n	23	21	45	27	66	89
Mean (SD)	-0.8 (2.82)	-3.1 (3.16)	-2.3 (4.14)	-2.2 (4.11)	-2.5 (3.85)	-2.1 (3.67)
Median	-1.0	-3.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0
Min, Max	-9, 4	-13, 0	-11, 6	-10, 4	-13, 6	-13, 6
95% CI	[-2.0;0.4]	[-4.5;-1.7]	[-3.5;-1.0]	[-3.8;-0.6]	[-3.5;-1.6]	[-2.9;-1.3]
p-value#	0.1744	0.0002	0.0006	0.0105	<0.0001	<0.0001

Patent claims:

1. Tapentadol for use in the
 - (i) treatment of pain in a subject suffering from depression and/or from anxiety, and/or
 - (ii) treatment or the prevention of depression and/or of anxiety,with the proviso that tapentadol is not administered in combination with an antiepileptic.
2. Tapentadol for use according to claim 1, with the proviso that tapentadol is not administered in combination with an AMPA receptor antagonist.
3. Tapentadol for use according to claim 1 or 2, wherein tapentadol is administered as the only pharmacologically active ingredient.
4. Tapentadol for use according to any of the preceding claims, wherein tapentadol is administered orally.
5. Tapentadol for use according to any of the preceding claims, wherein tapentadol is administered
 - once daily or twice daily, and/or
 - at a daily dose within the range of from 25 to 600 mg.
6. Tapentadol for use according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the pain is moderate or severe.
7. Tapentadol for use according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the pain is chronic.
8. Tapentadol for use according to claim 7, wherein the chronic pain is selected from the group consisting of cancer pain, chemotherapy-induced pain, upper back pain, low back pain, inflammatory pain including pain associated with rheumatic diseases, arthritic pain, ankylosing spondylitis, myofascial pain, pain associated with musculo-skeletal disorders, muscle pain, skeletal pain, joint pain, chronic pain associated with fibromyalgia, pain from strains/sprains, persistent post-operative pain, persistent posttraumatic pain, renal colic, irritable bowel syndrome-related pain, gastrointestinal pain, pelvic pain, abdominal pain, ischemic pain, angina pain, pain associated with claudication, pain accompanying myocardial infarction, vascular pain, central nervous system trauma, facial pain,

migraine-related pain, headache-related pain, orofacial pain, persistent pain deriving from damaged or inflamed somatic tissue, and combinations thereof.

9. Tapentadol for use according to any of claims 1 to 7, wherein the pain is selected from neuropathic pain, nociceptive pain, psychogenic pain, phantom pain and mixtures thereof.
10. Tapentadol for use according to claim 9, wherein the pain is neuropathic.
11. Tapentadol for use according to claim 9 or 10, wherein the neuropathic pain is selected from the group consisting of diabetic neuralgia, monoradiculopathies, trigeminal neuralgia, post-herpetic neuralgia, persistent postoperative or posttraumatic pain, hyperalagia, allodynia, fibromyalgia, CRPS, pain associated with multiple sclerosis, AIDS-related neuropathy, thalamic pain, paraplegic pain caused by myelopathy, anesthesia dolorosa, low back pain, reflex sympathetic dystrophy / causalgia, cancer pain, chemotherapy-induced pain, post-thoracotomy pain, entrapment neuropathy, and peripheral neuropathy.
12. Tapentadol for use according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the depression and/or anxiety is induced by pain in a subject suffering from pain.
13. Tapentadol for use according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the depression and/or anxiety induces psychogenic pain.
14. Tapentadol for use according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the depression and/or anxiety induces one or more symptoms selected from the group consisting of depressed affect, generalized anxiety, panic attacks, insomnia, suicidal thoughts, social inhibition, loss of energy, hopelessness, anhedonia, mood disturbances, irritability, disability, gastrointestinal disturbances, skin reactions, back pain, chest pain, headache, muscle pain and non-specific pains and aches.
15. Tapentadol for use according to any of the preceding claims, which is for use in the
 - a) treatment of pain in a subject suffering from depression and/or from anxiety;
 - b) treatment of depression and/or of anxiety in a subject suffering from depression and/or from anxiety;
 - c) treatment of depression and/or of anxiety in a subject suffering from pain;

- d) prevention of depression and/or of anxiety;
- e) treatment of pain and the simultaneous treatment of depression and/or of anxiety;
and/or
- f) treatment of pain and simultaneous prevention of depression and/or of anxiety.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/EP2012/001819

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
INV. A61K31/137 A61P25/22 A61P25/24
ADD.

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
A61K A61P

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, BIOSIS, EMBASE, WPI Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	<p>AFILALO MARC ET AL: "Efficacy and Safety of Tapentadol Extended Release Compared with Oxycodone Controlled Release for the Management of Moderate to Severe Chronic Pain Related to Osteoarthritis of the Knee: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo- and Active-Controlled Phase III Study", CLINICAL DRUG INVESTIGATION, ADIS INTERNATIONAL, AUCKLAND, NZ, vol. 30, no. 8, 1 August 2010 (2010-08-01), pages 489-505, XP009148168, ISSN: 1173-2563, DOI: DOI:10.2165/11533440-000000000-00000 page 491, left-hand column, paragraph 3 - right-hand column, paragraph 2 page 492, left-hand column, paragraph 3 - right-hand column, paragraph 2 page 498; table III</p> <p style="text-align: center;">----- -/--</p>	1-15

Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

<p>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</p> <p>"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date</p> <p>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</p> <p>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</p> <p>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</p>	<p>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</p> <p>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</p> <p>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</p> <p>"&" document member of the same patent family</p>
---	---

Date of the actual completion of the international search 24 July 2012	Date of mailing of the international search report 03/08/2012
---	--

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer Young, Astrid
--	---

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No

PCT/EP2012/001819

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	<p>KUPERWASSER B ET AL: "337 EVALUATION OF LONG-TERM TREATMENT WITH TAPENTADOL EXTENDED RELEASE AND OXYCODONE CONTROLLED RELEASE IN PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC LOW BACK OR OSTEOARTHRITIS PAIN: RESULTS FROM PATIENT AND PHYSICIAN GLOBAL ASSESSMENTS AND THE EUROQOL 5 DIMENSION QUESTIONNAIRE", OSTEOARTHRITIS AND CARTILAGE, BAILLIERE TINDALL, LONDON, GB, vol. 17, 1 September 2009 (2009-09-01), page S179, XP026582221, ISSN: 1063-4584, DOI: DOI:10.1016/S1063-4584(09)60359-9 [retrieved on 2009-09-01] the whole document</p>	1-15
X	<p>LANGE R ET AL: "333 SHORT FORM-36 (SF-36) AND EUROQOL-5 DIMENSION (EQ-5D) RESULTS FROM RANDOMIZED, DOUBLE-BLIND PHASE 3 STUDIES OF TAPENTADOL PROLONGED RELEASE (PR) IN PATIENTS WITH MODERATE TO SEVERE CHRONIC NOCICEPTIVE AND NEUROPATHIC PAIN", OSTEOARTHRITIS AND CARTILAGE, BAILLIERE TINDALL, LONDON, GB, vol. 18, 1 October 2010 (2010-10-01), pages S147-S148, XP027316889, ISSN: 1063-4584 [retrieved on 2010-09-29] the whole document</p>	1-15
X	<p>KUPERWASSER B ET AL: "337 HEALTH STATUS OF PATIENTS WHO RECEIVED TAPENTADOL PROLONGED RELEASE DURING AN OPEN-LABEL EXTENSION STUDY", OSTEOARTHRITIS AND CARTILAGE, BAILLIERE TINDALL, LONDON, GB, vol. 18, 1 October 2010 (2010-10-01), page S149, XP027316893, ISSN: 1063-4584 [retrieved on 2010-09-29] the whole document</p>	1-15
X	<p>SCHWARTZ SHERWYN ET AL: "Safety and efficacy of tapentadol ER in patients with painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy: results of a randomized-withdrawal, placebo-controlled trial.", CURRENT MEDICAL RESEARCH AND OPINION JAN 2011 LNKD- PUBMED:21162697, vol. 27, no. 1, January 2011 (2011-01), pages 151-162, XP009150479, ISSN: 1473-4877 page 152, left-hand column, paragraph 4 - right-hand column, paragraph 1 page 158; table 2</p>	1-15