




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<p>(51) International Patent Classification ⁵ : G06F 5/00, 15/40</p>	<p>A1</p>	<p>(11) International Publication Number: WO 93/17381 (43) International Publication Date: 2 September 1993 (02.09.93)</p>
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/US93/01833 (22) International Filing Date: 26 February 1993 (26.02.93) (30) Priority data: 07/845,337 28 February 1992 (28.02.92) US (71) Applicant: INTERGRAPH CORPORATION [US/US]; One Madison Industrial Park, Huntsville, AL 35894 (US). (72) Inventors: GERULL, Douglas, B. ; 1008 Princeton Road, Madison, AL 35758 (US). GLENN, David, M. ; 291 Mercury Lane, Madison, AL 35758 (US). (74) Agents: SUNSTEIN, Bruce, D. et al.; Bromberg & Sunstein, 10 West Street, Boston, MA 02111 (US).</p>		<p>(81) Designated States: JP, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE). Published <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i></p>

(54) Title: SYSTEM FOR DYNAMIC SEGMENTATION USING MIXED DATA MODEL

(57) Abstract

A system performs dynamic segmentation analysis of attributes of a linear network, when the attributes are stored in a computer readable relational database. An embodiment of the system has an arrangement for converting data in the relational database to resulting computer readable data in object-oriented form. It also has an arrangement for analyzing the resulting data in object-oriented form and providing an output of the analysis. The Search Screen includes the class (111) of the linear features with distributed attributes (116) from which a selection may be made. The attribute conditions (112) and connection (113) and Attribute (117) are used to filter the linear feature data. The conditions (114) may be edited by the edit option buttons (115). The search may be saved (117) to a file.



FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	FR	France	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GB	United Kingdom	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	IT	Italy	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	JP	Japan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SK	Slovak Republic
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LJ	Liechtenstein	SN	Senegal
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SU	Soviet Union
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
DK	Denmark	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
ES	Spain	MN	Mongolia	VN	Viet Nam
FI	Finland				

SYSTEM FOR DYNAMIC SEGMENTATION USING MIXED DATA MODEL

5

Field of Invention

The present invention relates to geographic information systems (GIS), and in particular, systems for handling data descriptive of linear networks.

Background Art

10

Geographic information systems have traditionally relied on relational database technology. In some applications for geographic information systems, however, information is obtained for geographical features that change as a function of location. For example, with respect to such a feature as road, the number of lanes, the width of the roadbed, the quality of the pavement, the speed limit, the average traffic density are all attributes that change with respect to position on the road. Similarly with respect to other features, such as pipelines, railways, and utility lines, attribute values may vary with respect to position along the feature. Those attributes (such as lane width or pipe diameter) that are subject to change along the length of a feature (such as a road or pipe) are called herein "distributed attributes." To perform "dynamic segmentation" analysis of a linear network involves locating segments (of features) that satisfy user specified search criteria with respect to one or more distributed attributes. The processing of information pertaining to these linear networks to achieve dynamic segmentation poses formidable problems.

30

Traditional approaches to solving these problems rely on tracking of a relational database in complex ways. For example, one table for a road might include records showing positions on the road as a function of road length. Another table might show different speed limits as a function of each of the positions listed in the first table. Yet another table might contain information about traffic density at each of the positions. Another table might contain information about roadbed width at each position.

35

It can be seen that such an approach typically requires a first table, identifying positions on the road as a function of length, to have enough entries to permit the recording of meaningful detail of the attribute undergoing the most rapid change as a function of length. As a result, the attribute having the finest granularity typically requires the storage of data pertaining to all other attributes to have the same granularity, regardless of the inherent granularity of those attributes. Such a data structure imposes significant processing demands on a system that is asked, for example, to provide information about any particular attribute, over the length of the feature, since it is likely that the records for the attribute have more detail than necessary, and will not directly show those instances where the attribute changes in value. In addition, queries that involve combinations of attributes are difficult to process.

As an example, consider the following hypothetical database records:

column	1	2	3	4	5	6
US0123	0.0	9.4	2	12	1954	
US0123	9.4	12.4	2	12	1962	
US0123	12.4	17.3	2	12	1962	
US0123	17.3	21.5	2	12	1962	
US0123	21.5	36.4	4	11	1971	
US0123	36.4	45.3	4	11	1976	

- where column 1 = highway name
- column 2 = begin location for record
- column 3 = end location for record
- column 4 = number of lanes
- column 5 = lane width
- column 6 = year repaved

Note that although the number of lanes changes only once over the structure of highway covered by those records, additional records are required because the year of repavement, for example, changes more frequently over the length. In this example, a user may wish to inquire of the

- 3 -

database as to where the two lane sections of road are along the route. The answer is given in four segments:

- from 0.0 to 9.4,
- from 9.4 to 12.4,
- 5 from 12.4 to 17.3, and
- from 17.3 to 21.5.

The computer or user would have to determine that these four segments lie continuously between 0.0 and 21.5. These limitations have traditionally been accepted as inherent in
10 the nature of the data being processed.

Alternative structures have been used for processing geographic information. For example, a single user system is commercially available from Intergraph Corporation of Huntsville, Alabama 35894, under the trademark TIGRIS.
15 TIGRIS is a package of applications, using object-oriented structure, that perform various geographic collection and analysis functions but not dynamic segmentation. Documentation for the TIGRIS system is available from Intergraph Corporation. The TIGRIS system also includes a
20 utility for converting TIGRIS data to and from a relational database format for archival purposes.

Summary of the Invention

In a preferred embodiment, the invention provides a system for performing dynamic segmentation analysis of
25 attributes of a linear network, when the attributes are stored in a computer readable relational database. The invention in this embodiment has an arrangement for converting data in the relational database to resulting computer readable data in object-oriented form. It also has
30 an arrangement for analyzing the resulting data in object-oriented form and providing an output of the analysis. In some embodiments, the object-oriented form includes (i) a plurality of attribute value arrays of variable length, with each consecutive value in the array associated with a value
35 of an attribute at a consecutive region of a feature and (ii) a plurality of corresponding location arrays of variable lengths, with each location array associated with a

- 4 -

given attribute value array and providing region coordinates for each successive value in the corresponding value array. When each region of a feature is effectively one-dimensional (rather than two- or three-dimensional, and/or including
5 time and/or other dimensions), then each region is described by a (line) segment along the feature. The coordinates of the region or segment are normalized in a preferred embodiment. In further embodiments, the invention provides an arrangement for scanning the value arrays for candidate
10 values meeting specified search criteria and for selecting such values. It also provides an arrangement for finding the regions, specified in region coordinates, in each of the related location arrays corresponding to the values selected. It finally provides an arrangement for
15 determining the intersection of the found regions associated with the selected values from each value array, and thereby identifying regions of the feature in which the specified set of search criteria are satisfied.

Brief Description of the Drawings

20 The foregoing aspects of the invention will be more readily understood by reference to the following description taken with the accompanying drawings in which:

Fig. 1 is a diagram of the basic object data model used in a preferred embodiment of the invention;

25 Figs. 2-5 are diagrams illustrating the implementation of the Load Distributed Attributes command of the embodiment of Fig. 1;

Fig. 6 is a diagram illustrating the implementation of the Dynamic Segmentation command of the embodiment of Fig.
30 1; and

Figs. 7 and 8 illustrate the computer screen forms used in specifying a dynamic segmentation analysis in connection with the embodiment of Fig. 6.

Detailed Description of Specific Embodiments

35 A. General Principles.

The present invention, in a preferred embodiment, relies on attribute data stored in a relational database,

- 5 -

but achieves the segmentation analysis of this data by first converting the data into object-oriented form. Then the analysis is performed on the resulting data in object-oriented form.

5 Referring again to the example given above in discussion of prior art approaches, if the relational data is converted into object-oriented form, each attribute may be stored independently as part of the object as follows:

	number of lanes:	2 from 0.0 to 21.5
10		4 from 21.5 to 45.3
	lane width:	12 from 0.0 to 12.4
		11 from 12.4 to 45.3
	year repaved:	1954 from 0.0 to 9.4
		1962 from 9.4 to 21.4
15		1971 from 21.5 to 36.4
		1976 from 36.4 to 45.3

The redundancy in the data is substantially eliminated and substantially reduced storage achieved.

Technically, the input values and their beginning and
20 end locations are stored as arrays with the object. This takes advantage of the use of "abstract data types" available with the object model. Objects are not restricted to the standard data structures for values. Most relational databases allow "integer," "float," "character string,"
25 etc., but the object model allows for arrays of the above, complex "c" type structures, and even function pointers.

The distributed attribute data is stored with the values in one array of variable length, and the beginning and ending locations for those values are stored in another
30 array of exactly twice the length of value array. The locations are normalized, so that 0.0 represents the beginning of the feature as 1.0 represents the end.

In processing of queries, the object then can dynamically intersect these arrays of data with simple
35 mathematics and provide quick answers to network queries without requiring any preprocessing.

One immediate advantage to this approach is the ease

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

- 6 -

with which attributes on completely diverse distributions can be integrated. This means that data collected by one department on a distribution (level of detail) that makes sense to that department, can be immediately integrated in
5 the object with data from another department on a totally unrelated distribution. Another advantage is that answers can be given in their normalized form.

Let us return to example that began this section of the application and to the question of where the two lane
10 sections of road are along a route, posed in the Background Art section of this application. In object-oriented form, all contiguous two lane sections will be shown as single entities, not as a number of small adjacent segments. Thus instead of the four segments described above in the prior
15 art discussion, the object answer would simply be from 0.0 to 21.5. No artificial segmentation is retained. In addition, the locations along the object (route) obtained as an answer to a query be used in turn to define a new object, which can be given all attribute information from the
20 original route that pertains to these locations and further queries can be directed to this object. Hence, one can then dynamically segment the segments, since the new segment object retains the same intelligence of the parent route, only restricted to the location where it resides.

25 Although the invention has been described by way of example with respect to features that are one-dimensional (linear), the invention is equally applicable to multi-dimensional features. The object model permits storing not simply a simple geometry (beginning and ending locations)
30 for a linear feature, and store an attribute value associated with this segment, but can also store a complex geometry (for example, coordinates specifying a two-, three-, or n-dimensional region, with a dimension potentially being time or some other time-dependent
35 variable) associated with an attribute value. This means that one could store a list of geometries for an attribute, for example, representing the shape of a road or river at

- 7 -

increments of time. Any attribute that can be described as a function of the geometry of the feature can be stored with its functional definition, and dynamically interrogated. This opens the door for temporal analysis and even
5 predictive modeling. Since the object is a single point of integration for software and data, complex relationships between the geometry and attributes can not only be stored and interrogated, but managed as well, such that attribute values can be immediately recalculated upon any geometric
10 changes. A wide range of complex relationships can be defined and modeled in object-oriented form.

B. Nature of Preferred Embodiment.

The invention described herein can be implemented with any object-oriented environment that (i) will support
15 objects that can have variable length arrays as instance data and (ii) is capable of representing geographic point and linear features (i.e., coordinate data). The implementation of the invention described herein utilizes an Intergraph workstation running UNIX with at least 32 Mbytes
20 of RAM, and 34 Mbytes of hard disk space. The invention has been implemented as a new subsystem of an existing product of Intergraph Corporation called MGE Dynamic Analyst, an object-oriented application designed to provide analytic functions for performing GIS analysis. A copy of the
25 documentation (December, 1991) for this product prior to modification is enclosed herewith and incorporated herein by reference. In this implementation, input data is obtained from relational databases. The input data is extracted and modeled in the object-oriented format for the duration of
30 the analysis. Data can then be output to new MGE design files and relational database records.

1. Object Data Model Implemented and Conventions Used.

The basic object data model implemented in the embodiment has a hierarchical structure diagrammed in Fig.
35 1. Referring to Fig. 1, a "theme" 11 identifies the data structure as pertaining to a transportation system, a pipeline system, or the like. The system employs a

- 8 -

plurality of control points 12 and linear features 13.

"Control points" are digitized point features that give an association between a specified graphics location on the linear feature graphics element and the distance that point
5 is from the origin of the linear feature in real world units. They are modeled in this system as point features with an attribute for the identification of the linear feature that they are associated with, and an attribute for the distance from origin. (Another attribute may be present
10 if the linear ID is insufficient to determine uniqueness for the control point as in the case for example, of a county name.) Additionally, control points may be named with an ID. This type of control point is commonly called a reference marker, and distances can then be measured from
15 the reference marker by name. "Linear features" 13 in the context of the control network are distinguished by having a unique (for the dataset) ID (or unique combination of an ID and a secondary ID) and by being associated at load time with the generic linear feature abstract class. Direction
20 along the linear feature is determined by control point distance (if present), or by the rule: east-to-west, then south to north. A linear segment is an object that is created as the result of dynamic segmentation of a linear feature. The object contains all attribute information
25 pertinent to the defined segment of the linear feature.

Linear feature abstracts 131 and segment abstracts 141 are examples of an "abstract class". An abstract class results from a subclassing technique in this system where a generic alias may be assigned to a whole group of features,
30 and where that alias may also include attribute definitions. For example, one may have state highways, local highways and interstate highways. An abstract class named "road" will allow one to refer to all of the above as "road" both in querying and in manipulations. This system assumes that
35 insofar as distributed attributes go, they are not uniquely defined for each class but may be defined globally at the abstract class level. (Number of lanes is defined once for

all "roads," not individually for state highway and federal highway.)

For further explanation of this model, the following conventions are used:

5 Control Network: The control network is the set of linear features and control points that associate location and distance along the ground with graphic elements.

Location: The linear features are represented as linear graphic elements, where the graphic coordinates are
10 associated with ground coordinates in xy through the defined coordinate and projection system of the graphics file. The elevation information is not used in the current control network.

Distance: Distance along the linear feature must be
15 calculated in order to correctly assign distributed attribute values. Accuracy in this measurement is directly based upon the presence of control points. A control point is a "point type" feature which has at least two key attributes associated with it; the ID of the linear feature
20 is associated with, and a distance assumed to be 3d from the origin of the linear feature. There may optionally be a secondary key (such as county) to uniquely identify the linear feature and the control points along that linear feature. Begin and end locations for distributed attribute
25 values are found by interpolating between these known control points. Control points may be implicitly or explicitly referenced for distance computation. (See reference systems). The second location in the graphics file of the control point element is assumed accurate.
30 Therefore, the ability to locate a point on a linear feature where that point's location is given as a distance from the origin of the linear feature (or as a distance from a labeled control point on the linear feature) is directly dependent on the number of accurately measured and placed
35 control points for that feature.

Distributed Attributes: Distributed Attributes are those attributes of a feature whose value changes based on

location along the feature. For example, a road may be 2-lane from its origin, continuing for 4 miles, then change to 4 lane for 8 miles, then back to 2 lane for the remainder or the route. The distributed attribute for "number of lanes" must not only store the values "2", "4" and "2", but must also include (or point to) the start and end locations for these values. In a Relational Database table, columns are provided for the value and for the start and end locations of that attribute value. How start and end is specified is what differentiates one referencing system from another.

Reference System: The reference system is the technique used for locating the start and end locations of distributed attributes along a linear feature in the control network.

Some common techniques include:

- start and end referenced as distance from the origin of the linear feature;
- start and end referenced as offsets from labeled reference markers along the linear feature; and
- start referenced as a distance from origin and end as a delta from the start. The reference systems supported in this embodiment include the following:

Known Marker System (Reference Marker System):

- start and end of attribute value is given by giving a reference marker name and an offset +/- from the marker

example:

Route 271 is "2" lane from .3 miles past Marker A to .1 miles before Marker B

a typical database record might then look like:

000271| 2| A| 0.3 | B| -0.1 |

- this system assumes the existence of a point-type feature in the graphics file with attributes for linear feature ID (e.g., "271") and reference marker id (e.g., "A"). As with all control points an attribute containing the 3d distance from origin is also assumed to be present

Distance system (Milepost, postmile ...):

- 11 -

- start and end for attribute values are given as distance from the origins of the linear feature example:

Highway 237 has a road bed width of 29 feet from 10.3 miles to 15.2 miles

a typical database record might then look like:

```
00237| 29| 10.3| 15.2
```

- start and end may also have offsets

example:

Route 56 has pavement type 4 from .2 miles before milepost 12 to .1 miles past milepost 22.0

a typical database record might then look like:

```
00056| 4| 12.0| -.2| 22.0| .1
```

Here such interpolation must incorporate the offsets as well, such that 12.0 is found first then the interpolation factor applied to locate -.2 miles from 12.0 .

Distance-Length (Shortform Milepost):

- start location for the attribute is given as distance from the origin of the linear feature

- end location for attribute value is given as +/- distance from the start location

example:

Route 431 is under construction from milepost 12.1 for 2 miles

a typical database record might then look like:

```
00431|construct| 12.13| 2.0
```

Clearly there are many other ways to reference data along a linear network, including x, y, z location, and the invention is not dependent upon any specific reference system.

Dynamic Segmentation: Dynamic Segmentation is the technique by which the portion(s) of a linear feature or set of linear features which satisfy the conditions of a query are found. Essentially, the linear features are segmented at those locations which satisfy the query. The "segment" may be virtual (i.e., display only) or may be a new feature,

- 12 -

sharing geometry with the original linear feature. Dynamic Segmentation is a query capability, and is performed on demand based on the constraints of the query. It requires no "pre-segmentation" of the linear feature(s), but performs
5 all overlays and intersections of attribute data at request time.

2. Conversion of Relational Data to Object-Oriented Form.

Three basic commands are used in the implementation:
10 Load Control Network, Load Distributed Attributes, and Dynamic Segmenter. The implementation of the present invention described herein loads graphic data showing feature coordinates (the "Load Control Network" Command) and the relational database pertaining to the feature (the "Load
15 Distribution Attributes" Command) into variable length arrays, the structure of which is determined by the object-oriented data model.

(a) Loading the Control Network.

This section describes the "Load Control Network"
20 command. The control network is loaded from MGE design files and relational databases. An additional input is the name of the parameter file that describes the control network and distributed attribute information.

The control network consists of graphic data including
25 linear features with attributes and control points with attributes. The linear feature is represented as a linear object, with each feature consisting of one geometrically contiguous section. This union allows referencing of any linear feature listed in the parameter file by a single
30 alias, say "roads" for example. All attribute data in the MGE attribute table for the linear feature is defined at and loaded to the base feature, except for the linear feature identifier. The abstract class contains an "ID" attribute. (If a secondary ID is present, it is defined as SECONDARY ID
35 on the abstract class).

The control points are loaded as point features. The geometry of each control point will be carried over from the

- 13 -

design file. The attribute data will be carried over from the attribute table. Input geometry may be any one of the following element types: text node, text, point, or line (zero-length). The user may specify only one feature as
5 input for the control point class.

When loading the control network, two anomalies, concurrent routes and non-contiguous routes, are dealt with.

Concurrent Routes - The concurrent portion of two routes will be stored once topologically. A base
10 feature will be created for each unique linear features "ID" sharing the route.

Concurrent routes will be identified by the multiple attribute linkages attached to the linear feature in the design file. If a feature is to be considered as
15 shared by multiple routes, then the shared section must exist in the design file as a tagged graphic with its own mslink, and be multiply tagged with respect to attributes.

To optimize processing of concurrent route data a table of concurrent routes will be maintained which lists the
20 concurrent routes by object id pairs. The internal implementation of the table is a tuple set.

Non-contiguous routes - Non-contiguous routes will be modeled as a base feature for each contiguous section
25 of the linear feature.

In this implementation, the following conventions are observed:

A. Linear Features:

- 1) All Linear components are MGE features, tagged
30 with attributes stored in the MGE Project Database.
- 2) Every linear feature has a unique "id" attribute (e.g., "US065).
- 3) To model a section which is actually 2 routes,
35 (concurrent routes) the graphic section is multiply tagged, and is disjoint from non-concurrent sections.

- 14 -

- 4) Only point string type microstations elements are valid input; no arcs or conic sections (i.e., type 3 and 4)
- 5) A secondary key is used if the ID is insufficient to insure uniqueness.
- 5
- B. Control Points:
- 1) Every control point is a digitized MGE feature.
- 2) Every control point contains a "distance from origin" attribute. This distance is assumed to be
- 10 3d.
- 3) Every control point contains a "distance from origin" attribute. This distance is assumed to be
- 3d.
- 4) Every control point contains an attribute for the
- 15 "id" of the linear feature it is associated with. If uniqueness is indeterminate by linear id only then a secondary key (i.e., county) is included for all control points.
- 5) If control points are given then:
- 20 a) if more than 1 is given then direction is implicitly given by the distance values on the control points;
- b) if only one is given then direction is determined by the East-to-West, North to South rule;
- 25
- 6) It is preferred that a control point with distance of 0.0 be given for marking the beginning of the linear feature. This is particularly useful for linear features which cannot be uniquely
- 30 identified by id. (e.g., a route spans 2 countries then, measurements along the route need to be referenced from the county line or the route origin. A marker at both locations with a distance of 0.0, and a secondary key of "county"
- 35 would insure uniqueness in location).

(b) Loading Distributed Attributes.

This section describes the Load Distribute Attributes

- 15 -

command. Distributed attributes are those attributes that may change in value along the length of a linear feature. In contradistinction, a non-distributed attribute has a value that is true for the entire length of a linear
5 feature.

The tables may reside in any schema that is available through RIS (the generic Intergraph Relational database interface) and that is listed in the parameter file.

The tables should be in a form consistent with the
10 supported reference system types described above in connection with the Reference Systems.

Some highlights of the design

- read tables from any RIS schema (external or local)
- define attributes as needed to store distributed
15 attribute values
- fully support loading data onto anomalous control networks:

discontinuous roads, and concurrent routes.

- correctly determine begin and end of segmented data
20 based on reference system type and table data

Subsequent loading of distributed attributes that are already loaded will replace the values that currently exists. No history of attribute values is maintained.

In this implementation, the following conventions are
25 observed:

- A) Each table of distributed attributes conforms to one of the referencing systems supported by MGE Dynamic Analyst.
- B) Each table contains a column for linear feature
30 id. If location is not uniquely determined by this id then a secondary key must be given AND control points must exist which uniquely identify the portion of this linear feature referred to.
- C) Begin and end locations are available from the
35 table as necessary for the indicated referencing system used.
- D) Table may exist in any RIS database. Read access

- 16 -

will of course be required.

- E) Where used "lane ids" are consistent. For example, if "L" is used to indicate the left lane in one table, "L" is used for the left lane for all tables.

5

3. Dynamic Segmentation.

After the geographic and relational database data are loaded into object oriented form, the resulting data can be subject to analysis and, in particular, to dynamic
10 segmentation. As described previously, the resulting data is in the form of variable length arrays. For each attribute, a value array contains successive different values of the attribute along the feature, and a related location array of twice the length of the value array,
15 contains beginning and ending normalized locations for each value in the value array. Thus, returning to the example at the beginning of this section, the US0123 object would have a number of arrays associated with it. One array would be the values for the number of lanes attribute:

20

[2,4]

A related location array would contain the normalized beginning and ending location for each value of this attribute:

[0.0, 0.5, 0.5, 1]

25 A pair of similar arrays would exist for each of the other attributes, lane width and year repaved. Thus for values for the year repaved:

[1954, 1962, 1971, 1976]

and locations for these values:

30

[0.0, 0.2, 0.2, 0.5, 0.5, 0.8, 0.8, 1.0]

For the system to identify the segment of highway having two lanes and paved before 1970, it will first scan the value arrays for candidate values separately meeting the specified conditions (i.e., 2 lanes, < 1970) and then find those
35 locations in each of the related location arrays corresponding to those values selected. Next it will identify those locations having attribute values that

- 17 -

satisfy both conditions, by intersecting the locations associated with the selected candidates for each value array. In our example, the candidate value selected are number lanes: [2]

5 year repaired: [1954, 1962]

The locations associated with these selected values are:

number of lanes: [0.0, 0.5]

year repaved: [0.0, 0.2, 0.2, 0.5]

The intersection of these locations is

10 [0.0, 0.5],

and this segment, which satisfies the search condition, can itself be represented as an object (linear segment), and for this linear segment, additional queries can easily be made and answered, owing to the object-oriented structure. For
15 example, we could ask questions about the lane width on this new segment.

As implemented, dynamic segmentation is invoked by the Dynamic Segmentation command. This command enables the user to segment a linear feature or a group of linear features on
20 one or more boolean connected attribute conditions. Output is new features and optionally a result set of new features or display only. Previously created statements may be retrieved by name and edited and/or reexecuted. On output the user may specify what attributes from the original route
25 are to be populated on the segment feature. These segments may then be further segmented.

4. Software Structure.

The implementation of the Load Distributed Attributes command, which causes conversion of the GIS data in
30 relational database form into object-oriented form, is illustrated in the diagrams of Figs. 2-5. Figs. 2 and 3 show the general structure of the conversion. Under steps 21, the query to the relational database is formulated to extract the pertinent data. Under step 22, a corresponding
35 statement, using SQL format in the object-oriented data structure, is formulated for the purpose of loading this data into the object-oriented format. All the records for a

- 18 -

given feature are then selected in step 23 from the relational database, and the processing of these records initially involves (in step 25) finding the feature in object format to which the data from the records identified
5 in step 23 will be loaded. Next involved is getting the control points of this feature (step 26), determining the location of the control points (step 27) along the feature, and then processing of the attributes, by getting (step 27) the start and end locations of each attribute (step 30 by
10 interpolation between the control points, and then, after completion (determined in step 31) of attribute processing, normalizing (step 34) the object-oriented location records, loading (updating) (step 35) the feature object-oriented data with information relating to these attributes and
15 freeing memory (step 36) to permit processing of the next feature (back to step 23).

Fig. 4 illustrates the method by which the start and end locations of each attribute (step 27) are determined. First the offset of these locations is converted to graphic
20 units of resolution (UORs) in step 42. Next, in step 43, the ratio of control point distances obtained in step 26 to the UOR locations in step 43 is determined and the difference between the converted start and end locations after the conversion in step 42 is multiplied (step 44) by
25 this ratio. Finally a mathematical routine (BSiarcln) is used to compute the graphic location used to display the start and end of each attribute in object-oriented format.

Fig. 5 illustrates the selection of the appropriate control point measurement system 54, 55, or 56, depending on
30 whether the reference system in assembly of the relational data involves a reference marker system 51, a milepost system 52, or a milepoint system 53.

Fig. 6 illustrates the basic implementation of the Dynamic Segmentation command. Under step 61, there is
35 collected input data from the user (using data obtained from filling out the screen forms in Figs. 11 and 12) to define the desired search criteria and output format. Normally all

- 19 -

distributed attributes in the object oriented data format are hidden from the display by various processes, because the distributed attributes cannot meaningfully be displayed by these processes. However, In accordance with step 62, 5 the attributes indicated in step 61 are "unhidden" for the purpose of dynamic segmentation, where their display is meaningful and important. In step 63, there are selected all candidate features that satisfy at some location any of the search criteria collected in step 61. Next in step 64, 10 there are identified all segments of each feature that meet all of these search criteria. In step 65, there is created a new linear segment for each segment that has been identified in step 64 and each new segment created is displayed in accordance with the output format collected in 15 step 61. In step 66, following the display in accordance with step 65, all distributed attributes are again hidden from display.

In Fig. 7 is shown the main screen form used for specifying the search criteria. (The screen forms of Figs. 20 7 and 8 are covered by copyright held by Intergraph Corporation.) First there can be specified the class 111 of linear features for which to search (here called the "Statement Name"). These linear features have distributed attributes that are shown in box 116, from which a selection 25 may be made in specifying the search criteria. The attribute conditions to search for may be specified in conditional terms using the relational operator buttons 112 for =, ≠, <, >, ≤, ≥, and the attribute connection buttons 113 for "and" and "or", together with any desired attribute 30 values that are specified in box 117, to build a set of conditions in the box 114. These conditions can be edited using the condition line edit option buttons 115. The output options are specified either as display only or else in the screen form shown in Fig. 8 in accordance with 35 buttons 116. Region 117 permits saving of these search criteria as a named file. Box 121 of Fig. 8 permits the user to name the linear segment class to be provided as an

- 20 -

output; if the linear segment class has been previously
named it may be selected here. Box 122 permits the user to
specify which attributes of the "parent" feature (i.e., the
one subject to segmentation analysis) will be included in
5 the linear segment to be provided as an output. The bottom
area 123 permits identification of all of the segments
resulting from these search conditions at any desired later
time as a named result set. This last function is of
particular value when viewing the displayed features to show
10 in context the results in review of various previous
segmentation analyses.

What is claimed is:

1. A system for performing dynamic segmentation analysis of attributes of a linear network, such attributes stored in a computer-readable relational database, the
5 system comprising:

(a) conversion means for converting the data in the relational database to resulting computer-readable data in object-oriented form;

(b) processing means for analyzing the resulting data
10 in the object-oriented form; and

(c) output means for providing an output of the analysis performed by the processing means.

2. A system according to claim 1, wherein the conversion means includes means for converting data in the
15 relational database into a form including:

(a) a plurality of attribute value arrays of variable length implemented in storage in a digital computer, each consecutive value in the array associated with a value of an
attribute at a consecutive region of a feature; and

(b) a plurality of corresponding location arrays of
20 variable length, implemented in storage in a digital computer, each location array associated with a given attribute value array and providing region coordinates for each successive value in the corresponding value array.

3. A system according to claim 2, wherein the
25 processing means includes:

(c) scanning means for (i) scanning the value arrays for candidate values meeting a specified first set of search criteria and (ii) selecting such values;

(b) locating means for finding the regions, specified
30 in region coordinates, in each of the related location arrays corresponding to the values selected; and

(c) intersecting means for determining the intersection of the found regions associated with the
35 selected values from each value array, and thereby identifying regions of the feature in which the specified first set of criteria are satisfied.

- 22 -

4. A system according to claim 2, wherein each region is described by a segment along the feature and the coordinates identifying the segment are normalized.

5. A system according to claim 3, wherein each region is described by a segment along the feature and the coordinates identifying the segment are normalized, so that the intersecting means identifies segments of the feature in which the specified first set of criteria are satisfied.

6. A system according to claim 3, for performing dynamic segmentation analysis on an identified region of a feature, wherein the scanning means includes means for scanning a portion of the value arrays, corresponding to the identified region in which the first set of search criteria are satisfied, for candidate values meeting a second set of search criteria, and the locating means and intersecting means include means for acting interactively to permit identifying regions of the feature in which the specified first and second sets of search criteria are both satisfied.

7. A system for performing dynamic segmentation analysis of attributes of a linear network, the system including:

(a) a plurality of attribute value arrays of variable length implemented in storage in a digital computer, each consecutive value in the array associated with a value of an attribute at a consecutive region of a feature; and

(b) a plurality of corresponding location arrays of variable length, implemented in storage in a digital computer, each location array associated with a given attribute value array and providing region coordinates for each successive value in the corresponding value array.

8. A system according to claim 7, wherein the processing means includes:

(c) scanning means for (i) scanning the value arrays for candidate values meeting a specified first set of search criteria and (ii) selecting such values;

(b) locating means for finding the regions, specified in region coordinates, in each of the related location

- 23 -

arrays corresponding to the values selected; and

(c) intersecting means for determining the intersection of the found regions associated with the selected values from each value array, and thereby
5 identifying regions of the feature in which the specified first set of criteria are satisfied.

9. A system according to claim 7, wherein each region is described by a segment along the feature and the coordinates identifying the segment are normalized.

10 10. A system according to claim 8, wherein each region is described by a segment along the feature and the coordinates identifying the segment are normalized, so that the intersecting means identifies segments of the feature in which the specified first set of criteria are satisfied.

15 11. A system according to claim 8, for performing dynamic segmentation analysis on an identified region of a feature, wherein the scanning means includes means for scanning a portion of the value arrays, corresponding to the identified region in which the first set of search criteria
20 are satisfied, for candidate values meeting a second set of search criteria, and the locating means and intersecting means include means for acting interactively to permit identifying regions of the feature in which the specified first and second sets of search criteria are both satisfied.

25 12. A method for performing dynamic segmentation analysis of attributes of a linear network, such method comprising:

(a) converting the data in the relational database to resulting computer-readable data in object-oriented form;

30 (b) processing the resulting data in a digital computer to perform the analysis; and

(c) providing an output of the analysis in step (b).

13. A method according to claim 12, wherein step (a) includes:

35 (i) providing a plurality of attribute value arrays of variable length implemented in storage in a digital computer, each consecutive value in the array associated

- 24 -

with a value of an attribute at a consecutive region of a feature; and

(ii) providing a plurality of corresponding location arrays of variable length, implemented in storage in a digital computer, each location array associated with a given attributable value array and providing region coordinates for each successive value in the corresponding value array; and

(iii) filling the arrays based on data in the relational database.

14. A method according to claim 12, wherein step (b) includes:

(i) scanning the value arrays for candidate values meeting a specified first set of search criteria and selecting such values;

(ii) finding the regions, specified in region coordinates in each of the related location arrays corresponding to the values selected; and

(iii) determining the intersection of the found regions associated with the selected values from each value array, and thereby identifying regions of the feature in which the specified first set of criteria are satisfied.

15. A method according to claim 13, wherein step (b) includes:

(i) scanning the value arrays for candidate values meeting a specified first set of search criteria and selecting such values;

(ii) finding the regions, specified in region coordinates in each of the related location arrays corresponding to the values selected; and

(iii) determining the intersection of the found regions associated with the selected values from each value array, and thereby identifying regions of the feature in which the specified first set of criteria are satisfied.

16. A method according to claim 14, for performing dynamic segmentation analysis on an identified region of a feature, wherein step (b) includes the step of repeating

- 25 -

step (b)(i) by scanning a portion of the value arrays corresponding to the identified region in which the first set of search criteria are satisfied, for candidate values meeting a second set of search criteria, and repeating steps 5 (b)(ii) and (b)(iii) to permit identifying regions of the feature in which the specified first and second sets of search criteria are both satisfied.

17. A method according to claim 15, for performing dynamic segmentation analysis on an identified region of a 10 feature, wherein step (b) includes the step of repeating step (b)(i) by scanning a portion of the value arrays corresponding to the identified region in which the first set of search criteria are satisfied, for candidate values meeting a second set of search criteria, and repeating steps 15 (b)(ii) and (b)(iii) to permit identifying regions of the feature in which the specified first and second sets of search criteria are both satisfied.

18. A method according to claim 13, wherein each region is described by a segment along the feature and the 20 coordinates identifying the segment are normalized.

19. A method according to claim 14, wherein each region is described by a segment along the feature and the coordinates identifying the segment are normalized.

20. A method according to claim 15, wherein each 25 region is described by a segment along the feature and the coordinates identifying the segment are normalized.

21. A method for performing dynamic segmentation analysis of a linear network, the method comprising:

(a) providing a plurality of attribute value arrays of 30 variable length, implemented in storage in a digital computer, each consecutive value in the array associated with a value of an attribute at a consecutive region of a feature;

(b) providing a plurality of corresponding location 35 arrays of variable lengths, implemented in storage in a digital computer, each location array associated with a given attribute value array and providing region coordinates

- 26 -

for each successive value in the corresponding value array;
and

(c) filling the arrays with data.

22. A method according to claim 21, further
5 comprising:

(d) scanning the value arrays for candidate values
meeting a specified first set of search criteria and
selecting such values;

(e) finding the regions, specified in region
10 coordinates in each of the related location arrays
corresponding to the values selected;

(f) determining the intersection of the found regions
associated with the selected values from each value array,
and thereby identifying regions of the feature in which the
15 specified first set of criteria are satisfied; and

(g) providing an output of the determination in step
(f).

23. A method according to claim 22, for performing
dynamic segmentation analysis on an identified region of a
20 feature, further comprising:

(h) repeating step (d) by scanning a portion of the
value arrays corresponding to the identified region in which
the first set of search criteria are satisfied, for
candidate values meeting a second set of search criteria,
25 and repeating steps (e) and (f) to permit identifying
regions of the feature in which the specified first and
second sets of search criteria are both satisfied.

24. A method according to claim 23, wherein each
region is described by a segment along the feature and the
30 coordinates identifying the segment are normalized.




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6
SUBSTITUTE SHEET




FIG. 7




FIG. 8
SUBSTITUTE SHEET

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US93/01833

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC(5) :G06F 05/00, 15/40 US CL :345/600 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC		
B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S. : 395/425,325,575 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Personal Digest on object-oriented Databases. APS(Automated Patent System). Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Dialog:Dynamic Segmentation analysis geograph? or cartog? Relational Database? object (w) orient (F)Database? Versioning or multiversioning segmentating or interval.		
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US,A, 4,646,229 (Gerald C. Boyle) 24 February 1987 Fig. 1,10; Col. 2; Col. 3; Col. 9-10.	2,4,5,9, 10,13,18, 19,21,24
Y	Fishman et al. "IRIS: An Object-Oriented Database...",ACM, vol. 5 No. 1, Jan. 1987 pp. 48-69. Abstract, p. 50,55,56.	1-5,7-10, 14,15-17, 21-23
A	Stonebraker, Michael "Object Management In Postgres Using Procedures", 1986 Int'l Workshop On Oject Oriented Database Systems" IEEE Reprint# THO161-0/86/0000/0066. pp. 66-69	1-5, 7-10, 14-17,21-23
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <input type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.		
* Special categories of cited documents:		
"A"	document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"E"	earlier document published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"L"	document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"O"	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&" document member of the same patent family
"P"	document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	
Date of the actual completion of the international search		Date of mailing of the international search report
30 APRIL 1993		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231		Authorized officer <i>Rebbie Wilkin</i> PAUL R. LINTZ Telephone No. (703) 305-3832
Facsimile No. NOT APPLICABLE		

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US93/01833

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	Kim et al., "Integrating An Object-Oriented Programming System With a Database System", OOPSLA '88 Proceedings, Sept. 25-30, 1988. 142-143.	1-5,7-10,14-17,21-23
A	Andrews et al., "Combining Language and Database Advances..." OOPSLA '87 Proceeding, Oct. 4-8, 1987 p. 430.	1-5,7-10,14-17,21-23
A	Smith et al., "Intermedia: A Case Study of The Differences Between Relational and Object-Oriented Database Systems" OOPSLA '87 Proceedings", October 4-8, 1987 p. 452-453, 459-460.	1-5,7-10,14-17,21-23
A	Epstein et al., "A Graphical Query Language For Object-oriented Data Models", IEEE No.Th0330-1/90/0000/0036,1990 p. 36-37,41.	1-5,7-10,14-17,21-23
A	Korth and Silberschatz, <u>Database System Concepts</u> , McGraw-Hill, (New York, 1986) Chapter 2, Chapter 3.	1-5,7-10,14-17,21-23