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Group IV: Claims 15-20, directed to a fitting bike.

The inventions listed as Groups I - IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features because of the following reasons:

Group I requires the special technical feature of at least one of a handlebar actuator and a seat actuator are angled relative to perpendicular to a horizontal longitudinal axis of a frame, which technical features are not required by the claim limitations of Groups II - IV.

Group II requires the special technical features of a seat actuator including a seat support adapted to be attached to a seat; a lower guide attached to a housing; an upper guide spaced apart from the lower guide and attached to the housing; and two spaced apart rods extending between the guides and translatable relative to a frame, the seat support being attached proximate top ends of the rods, which technical features are not required by the claim limitations of Groups I, III or IV.

Group III requires the special technical features of a system for determining a motorcycle fit and configuration for a rider, including an adjustable stationary motorcycle, the adjustable stationary motorcycle having a seat and handlebars that are dynamically repositionable at the direction of a control system; and a plurality of sensors for measuring position characteristics of the adjustable stationary motorcycle when the rider is positioned on the adjustable stationary motorcycle, the plurality of sensors being operably connected to the control system, which technical features are not required by the claim limitations of Groups I, II or IV.

Group IV requires the special technical feature of a power tilting mechanism for tilting a frame relative to a base.

The only feature(s) shared by Groups I-IV that would otherwise unify the groups, are a stationary adjustable fitting bike (a two-wheeled vehicle) having adjustable handlebars and an adjustable seat.

However, these technical features do not represent a contribution over the prior art, because the shared technical features are anticipated by US 7,905,817 B2 to Giannascoli et al. (hereinafter "Giannascoli").

Giannascoli teaches a stationary adjustable fitting bike (10 in Fig. 1; col 2, In 60-62) having adjustable handlebars (18 in Fig. 1; col 3, In 32-33) and an adjustable seat (16 in Fig. 1; col 3, In 28-32).

As the common technical features were known in the art at the time of the invention, they cannot be considered a common technical feature that would otherwise unify Groups I-IV.

The only feature(s) shared by Groups I-II that would otherwise unify the groups, are a stationary adjustable fitting bike (a two-wheeled vehicle) having a frame, a foot support mounted on the frame, adjustable handlebars moved by a handlebar actuator, and an adjustable seat moved by a seat actuator.

However, these technical features do not represent a contribution over the prior art, because the shared technical features are anticipated by Giannascoli.

Giannascoli teaches a stationary adjustable fitting bike (10 in Fig. 1; col 2, In 60-62) having a frame (12 in Fig. 1; col 2, In 60-62), a foot support (crankset 14 in Fig. 1; col 2, In 60-62), adjustable handlebars (18 in Fig. 1; col 3, In 32-33) moved by handlebar actuators (31, 34 in Fig. 1; col 3, In 34-44) and an adjustable seat (16 in Fig. 1; col 3, In 28-32) moved by handlebar actuators (24, 27 in Fig. 1; col 3, In 23-24 and 30-32).

As the common technical features were known in the art at the time of the invention, they cannot be considered a common technical feature that would otherwise unify Groups I-II.

Therefore Groups I-IV lack unity under PCT Rule 13.