

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
30 March 2006 (30.03.2006)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2006/034081 A2

(51) International Patent Classification:
G01N 33/542 (2006.01) **G01N 33/569** (2006.01)
G01N 33/543 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/US2005/033261

(22) International Filing Date:
19 September 2005 (19.09.2005)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
60/610,743 17 September 2004 (17.09.2004) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY** [US/US]; 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **SWAGER, Timothy, M.** [US/US]; 18 Coplet Street, Newton, MA 02158 (US). **SEEBERGER, Peter, H.** [DE/CH]; Lerchenrain 19, CH-8046 Zuerich (CH). **ZHENG, Juan** [CA/US]; 108 Pearl Street, Apt. 3, Cambridge, MA 02139 (US). **DISNEY, Matthew, D.** [US/US]; 2903 Manns Avenue, Baltimore, MD 2134 (US).

(74) Agent: **OYER, Timothy, J.**; Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C, 600 Atlantic Avenue, Boston, MA 02210 (US).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, LY, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.


(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: POLYMERS FOR ANALYTE DETECTION



(57) Abstract: The present invention generally relates to organic polymers able to participate in an analyte-recognition process, where an analyte facilitates an energy transfer between an energy donor and an energy acceptor. Certain embodiments of the invention make use of fluorescent conjugated polymers, such as poly(phenylene ethynylene)s and other polymers comprising pi-conjugated backbones. For example, one aspect of the invention provides a fluorescent conjugated polymer and an indicator that can interact with each other in the presence of an analyte to produce an emissive signal. In some cases, the interaction may include energy exchange mechanisms, such as Dexter energy transfer or the strong coupling effect. The interaction of the conjugated polymer and the indicator, in some instances, may be facilitated through specific interactions, such as a protein/carbohydrate interaction, a ligand/receptor interaction, etc. Another aspect of the invention provides for the detection of biological entities, for example, pathogenic bacteria such as *E. coli*, or viruses such as influenza virus. In some cases, biological recognition elements may be used to determine the biological entity, for instance, carbohydrates that can be used to specifically interact with at least part of the biological entity, such as a protein in the cell membrane of a bacterium. Still other aspects of the invention involve articles, devices, and kits using any of the above-described systems.

WO 2006/034081 A2

- 1 -

POLYMERS FOR ANALYTE DETECTION**RELATED APPLICATIONS**

This application claims priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) to U.S. Provisional
5 Application Serial No. 60/610,743, entitled "POLYMERS FOR ANALYTE
DETECTION," filed on September 17, 2004, which is herein incorporated by reference
in its entirety.

FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH

Various aspects of the research leading to the present invention were sponsored
10 by NASA, Grant No. NAS2-02058. The U.S. Government may have certain rights in the
invention.

FIELD OF INVENTION

The present invention generally relates to organic polymers and, in particular, to
15 organic polymers able to participate in an analyte-recognition process.

BACKGROUND

Enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* contaminated foods are a major cause of
infection outbreaks with serious consequences. One of the largest outbreaks occurred in
20 Japan in 1996, where over 10,000 people were infected and 11 died. Current detection
methods for pathogenic bacteria such as *E. coli* rely on bacterial recognition using
fluorescently labeled antibodies, DNA probes, or bacteriophages. While fluorescent
conjugated polymers have found use in a variety of biological sensing applications, such
as recognition of proteins by electrostatic interactions and detection of pathogens by
25 DNA hybridization, the inventors know of no reported detection schemes for cells.

In many cases, pathogens bind to surface carbohydrates displayed on the cells
they infect. A series of carbohydrate-pathogen interactions has been described, for
example, *E. coli* binds to mannose, influenza virus binds to sialic acid, etc. The
interactions of pathogens with cell surface carbohydrates are often multivalent, which
30 results in higher binding affinity compared to monovalent binding.

- 2 -

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention generally relates to organic polymers able to participate in an analyte-recognition process. The subject matter of the present invention involves, in some cases, interrelated products, alternative solutions to a particular problem, and/or a plurality of different uses of one or more systems and/or articles.

In one aspect, the invention provides a method of determining an analyte. According to one set of embodiments, the method includes an act of exposing a sample suspected of containing an analyte to a polymer and an indicator. If the sample contains the analyte, then the analyte binds both the polymer and indicator in a manner bringing them into proximity with each other such that an emissive signal is produced at a threshold level. In some cases, the polymer and the indicator may be arranged with respect to each other, prior to the act of exposing, such that, in the absence of the analyte, the signal at the threshold level does not occur. In certain embodiments where the polymer is an energy donor and the indicator is an energy acceptor, each of the polymer and the indicator may have a maximum emission wavelength, where the maximum emission wavelength of the polymer and the maximum emission wavelength of the indicator are separated by at least about 100 nm. In some embodiments, the polymer and the indicator may be brought into proximity with each other via an interaction such as a ligand/receptor interaction. In particular embodiments, the polymer may be exposed to the indicator prior to or simultaneously with exposure of the polymer to the analyte. In some cases, the analyte is a biological entity capable of reproduction.

According to another set of embodiments, the method includes acts of exposing a sample suspected of containing a biological entity to a fluorescent conjugated polymer comprising a plurality of biological recognition elements, at least some of which are able to specifically interact with the biological entity, and determining fluorescence of the sample.

In yet another set of embodiments, the method is a method of determining a biological entity. The method includes steps of exposing a sample suspected of containing a biological entity to a fluorescent conjugated polymer capable of multivalent binding to a biological entity, and determining the biological entity by determining fluorescence of the sample. In still another set of embodiments, the method includes a step of specifically binding a fluorescent conjugated polymer to a biological entity.

- 3 -

Another aspect of the invention provides an article. The article, according to one set of embodiments, includes a fluorescent conjugated polymer comprising a plurality of biological recognition elements able to specifically interact with a biological entity. The article, according to another set of embodiments, includes a fluorescent conjugated polymer capable of multivalent binding to a biological entity. In yet another set of embodiments, the article includes a fluorescent conjugated polymer comprising a carbohydrate.

In another aspect, the present invention is directed to a method of making one or more of the embodiments described herein. In yet another aspect, the present invention is directed to a method of using one or more of the embodiments described herein. In still another aspect, the present invention is directed to a method of promoting one or more of the embodiments described herein.

Other advantages and novel features of the present invention will become apparent from the following detailed description of various non-limiting embodiments of the invention when considered in conjunction with the accompanying figures. In cases where the present specification and a document incorporated by reference include conflicting and/or inconsistent disclosure, the present specification shall control.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Non-limiting embodiments of the present invention will be described by way of example with reference to the accompanying figures, which are schematic and are not intended to be drawn to scale. In the figures, each identical or nearly identical component illustrated is typically represented by a single numeral. For the purposes of clarity, not every component is labeled in every figure, nor is every component of each embodiment of the invention shown where illustration is not necessary to allow those of ordinary skill in the art to understand the invention. In the figures:

Fig. 1 is a schematic diagram illustrating multivalency, in accordance with one embodiment of the invention;

Figs. 2A-2B illustrates certain polymers in accordance with various embodiments of the invention;

Figs. 3A-3I illustrate spectral data of various polymers, in accordance with one embodiment of the invention;

- 4 -

Figs. 4A-4B illustrate spectral data according to an embodiment of the invention involving polymers in thin films;

Figs. 5A-5E illustrate various reaction schemes useful for producing certain polymers of the invention;

5 Fig. 6 illustrates certain polymers in accordance with another embodiment of the invention;

Fig. 7 is a photomicrograph illustrating the fluorescence of certain bacteria, as determined using an embodiment of the invention; and

10 Figs. 8A-8D illustrate bacteria determined using another embodiment of the invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The present invention generally relates to organic polymers able to participate in an analyte-recognition process, where an analyte facilitates an energy transfer between an energy donor and an energy acceptor. Certain embodiments of the invention make use of fluorescent conjugated polymers, such as poly(phenylene ethynylene)s and other
15 polymers comprising pi-conjugated backbones. For example, one aspect of the invention provides a fluorescent conjugated polymer and an indicator that can interact with each other in the presence of an analyte to produce an emissive signal. In some cases, the interaction may include energy exchange mechanisms, such as Dexter energy transfer or
20 the strong coupling effect. The interaction of the conjugated polymer and the indicator, in some instances, may be facilitated through specific interactions, such as a protein/carbohydrate interaction, a ligand/receptor interaction, etc. Another aspect of the invention provides for the detection of biological entities, for example, pathogenic bacteria such as *E. coli*, or viruses such as influenza virus. In some cases, biological
25 recognition elements may be used to determine the biological entity, for instance, carbohydrates that can be used to specifically interact with at least part of the biological entity, such as a protein in the cell membrane of a bacterium. Still other aspects of the invention involve articles, devices, and kits using any of the above-described systems.

The following applications are incorporated herein by reference: U.S. Patent
30 Application Serial No. 10/324,064, filed December 18, 2002, entitled "Emissive Polymers and Devices Incorporating These Polymers," by Swager, *et al.*, published as 2003-0178607 on September 25, 2003; U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/935,060, filed August 21, 2001, entitled "Polymers with High Internal Free Volume," by Swager,

- 5 -

et al., published as 2002-0150697 on October 17, 2002; and U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/621,041, filed July 15, 2003, entitled "Emissive, High Charge Transport Polymers," by Swager, *et al.*, published as 2004-0116650 on June 17, 2004.

As used herein, the term "determining" generally refers to the analysis of a species or signal, for example, quantitatively or qualitatively, and/or the detection of the presence or absence of the species or signals. "Determining" may also refer to the analysis of an interaction between two or more species or signals, for example, quantitatively or qualitatively, and/or by detecting the presence or absence of the interaction.

Various aspects of the present invention include conjugated polymers that may be fluorescent. Polymers are generally extended molecular structures comprising backbones which optionally contain pendant side groups. As used herein, "backbone" is given its ordinary meaning as used in the art, e.g., a linear chain of atoms within the polymer molecule by which other chains may be regarded as being pendant. Typically, but not always, the backbone is the longest chain of atoms within the polymer.

A conjugated polymer is a polymer in which at least a portion of the polymer is conjugated, i.e. the polymer has at least one conjugated portion. "Conjugated," as used herein, refers to an interconnected chain of at least three atoms, each atom participating in delocalized pi-bonding. Electron density or electronic charge can be conducted along the conjugated portion of the polymer. Each p-orbital participating in conjugation may have sufficient overlap with adjacent conjugated p-orbitals. In one embodiment, the conjugated portion is at least about 3 nm in length. In another embodiment, the entire backbone may be conjugated. An example of a conjugated polymer is a polyacetylene chain. Other non-limiting examples include polyethylenes, poly(ethylene terephthalate)s, polyarylenes such as polyphenylenes, polythiophenes, polypyrroles, poly(arylene vinylene)s such as poly(phenylene vinylene)s, poly(arylene ethynylene)s such as poly(phenylene ethynylene)s, ladder polymers, etc., where "aryl" generally refers to an aromatic moiety, i.e., a moiety having at least one ring in which some electrons are delocalized in the ring. For instance, the aromatic moiety may include a benzene moiety, a naphthalenyl moiety, an anthracenyl moiety, a pyridinyl moiety, a furanyl moiety, etc. As used herein, a "ladder polymer" is a polymer having a backbone that cannot be severed without breaking at least two bonds. Co-polymers of these and/or other

- 6 -

polymers are also polymers that can be used in the invention, for example, block, alternating, or random co-polymers, etc.

The conjugated polymer may have, in some cases, a chromophore that can absorb or emit electromagnetic radiation, for example, in the ultraviolet and/or visible range.

5 For example, the chromophore may absorb energy, allowing the chromophore to achieve an excited state. The chromophore may also emit energy (e.g., as radiation) to achieve a lower energy state, and/or transmit energy through at least a portion of the conjugated polymer. Those of ordinary skill in the art will be able to identify the presence of a chromophore within a polymer. For instance, in one embodiment, the chromophore is a
10 conjugated group. In another embodiment, the emitted radiation is created through luminescence, in which "luminescence" is defined as including ultraviolet and/or visible radiation. Specific types of luminescence include "fluorescence" and "phosphorescence." A chromophore able to fluoresce is also referred to herein as a "fluorophore." In some cases, the chromophore may have a maximum emission
15 wavelength (i.e., the wavelength at the maximum intensity of the emission spectrum) greater than about 500 nm, greater than about 600 nm, greater than about 700 nm, or greater than about 800 nm. In some embodiments, the maximum emission wavelength may be between about 400 nm and about 700 nm, between about 300 nm and 700 nm, between about 400 nm and about 10 nm, etc. In some cases, the maximum emission
20 wavelength may be between about 350 nm and about 1000 nm, between about 300 nm and about 500 nm, between about 500 nm and about 1 nm, between about 400 nm and about 700 nm, between about 600 nm and about 1000 nm, between about 500 nm and about 50 nm, etc. Those of ordinary skill in the art will be able to determine the emission (e.g., fluorescence, phosphorescence, etc.) of a polymer, for example, using
25 known spectrofluorimetric techniques such as fluorometers, plate readers, fluorescence scanners, flow cytometers, fluorescence microscopes, etc.

A conjugated polymer may also allow energy transfer to occur along an "energy migration pathway" of the polymer, for example, a conjugated portion of the polymer. An energy migration pathway is a pathway which allows for the conduction of energy
30 (i.e., without emission) away from a chromophore which has absorbed energy. The energy may be transferred, e.g., to another chromophore (e.g., a fluorophore) within the same polymer, and/or a chromophore of a different polymer located proximate the chromophore and/or the polymer comprising the chromophore. In some cases, an energy

- 7 -

exchange mechanism, such as a Dexter energy transfer mechanism or the strong coupling effect, may facilitate energy transfer between a first chromophore on a first polymer and a second chromophore. The second chromophore may be, for example, present on the first polymer or present on a second polymer (which may be the same as or different than the first polymer), as further described below.

When a polymer includes conjugated portions, the polymer can, in some cases, undergo a phenomena known as "pi-stacking," which involves electron interactions between pi-orbitals of the conjugated portions of the same and/or different polymer molecules. If the polymer also includes a chromophore, a pi-stacking arrangement may also facilitate energy transfer between chromophores species.

In one set of embodiments, the conjugated polymer may interact with an indicator, i.e., a molecule or other moiety that is able to emit radiation upon interacting with the conjugated polymer. Typically, the indicator is or includes a chromophore or a fluorophore. For example, the indicator may be a commercially available indicator, for example, but not limited to, fluorescein, rhodamine B, Texas RedTM X, sulforhodamine, calcein, etc. In certain embodiments, the indicator itself may comprise a polymer. In some cases, interaction between the indicator and the polymer may be facilitated by the presence of an analyte, as further discussed below. In some cases, the interaction between the indicator and the conjugated polymer may also alter the emission of the conjugated polymer, e.g., if the polymer also comprises a chromophore or a fluorophore. In some cases, the conjugated polymer and the indicator may interact through an energy exchange mechanism, such as a Dexter energy transfer mechanism or the strong coupling effect.

In some cases, the indicator may be chosen such that the emission of the indicator does not have a high degree of spectral overlap with the emission of the conjugated polymer, as further discussed below. Thus, the indicator may be chosen to reduce stray light (background) emissions, which may lead to increased sensitivity and more sensitive sensors in various embodiments of the invention.

Various embodiments of the invention provide for the transfer of energy from a first chromophore (an energy donor) to a second chromophore (an energy acceptor). For example, energy may be transferred along a conjugated polymer between a first chromophore of the conjugated polymer and a second chromophore of the conjugated polymer, between a chromophore on a conjugated polymer and an indicator (which may

- 8 -

be attached to or separated from the conjugated polymer), between multiple indicators, etc. In some cases, energy within a specific range (i.e., an energy band) may be transferred between the first chromophore and the second chromophore.

In some embodiments, the first chromophore and the second chromophore may interact through an energy exchange mechanism, such as a Dexter energy transfer mechanism or the strong coupling effect. In certain embodiments of the invention, the energy exchange may occur without the substantial involvement of the well-known Förster mechanism. Such energy transfer mechanisms may be determined, for example, by exciting the chromophores at their maximum absorbance (e.g., at a wavelength or frequency where the polymer does not significantly absorb the exciting radiation), measuring quantum yield, and comparing the yield to predicted values. An example of such a technique is discussed in Example 1.

Energy transfer between the first chromophore and the second chromophore may occur due to the strong coupling effect in some cases, where the chromophores interact to give a common quantum mechanical state, i.e., where the molecules containing the chromophores are close enough to create substantial or non-negligible overlap between their respective molecular wave functions. As an example, an energy donor such as a conjugated polymer may be positioned in proximity with an energy acceptor such as an indicator, for instance, due to the presence of an analyte. Thus, in one set of embodiments, two or more chromophores (e.g., an energy donor and an energy acceptor) may be brought into proximity such that energy transfer may occur between the chromophores through such an energy exchange mechanism. For example, two or more chromophores may be brought to within about 10 nm of each other, and in some cases, such that the chromophores are within about 5 nm of each other, within about 3 nm of each other, within about 2 nm of each other, or within about 1 nm of each other or less. In some cases, as further discussed below, the two or more chromophores may be brought in proximity with each other using specific interactions, such as protein/carbohydrate, ligand/receptor (e.g., biotin/avidin or biotin/streptavidin), etc. In certain instances, the transfer of energy through the polymer is highly distance dependent. Thus, distances between chromophores may be determined, for example, by determining the intensity of light emission.

Certain embodiments of the invention provide for the transfer of energy from an energy donor (e.g., a conjugated polymer) to an energy acceptor (e.g., an indicator)

- 9 -

without the need for spectral overlap between the energy donor and the energy acceptor, i.e., such that the emission spectrum of the energy donor does not necessarily significantly overlap the spectrum absorption spectrum of the energy acceptor. As used herein, "spectral overlap" is given its ordinary meaning as used in the art, i.e., when two spectra are normalized and superimposed, an area exists that is simultaneously under both curves (i.e., as determined by integrals). In one embodiment of the invention, this spectral overlap is less than about 25% of the total combined area of both curves. Minimized spectral overlap is desired, but not required, and in some cases, the overlap between the two spectra may be less than about 20%, less than about 15%, less than about 10%, less than about 5%, less than about 3%, less than about 1%, less than about 0.3%, less than about 0.1%, less than about 0.03%, or less than about 0.01% or less. In certain cases, though, there may still be some overlap between both spectra, for example, an overlap of at least about 0.1%, at least about 0.3%, at least about 1%, at least about 5%, at least about 10%, at least about 15%, etc.

In another set of embodiments, the first chromophore may have a first emission lifetime and the second chromophore may have a second emission lifetime at least about 5 times greater than the first emission lifetime, and in some cases, at least about 10 times greater, at least about 15 times greater, at least about 20 times greater, at least about 25 times greater, at least about 35 times greater, at least about 50 times greater, at least about 75 times greater, at least about 100 times greater, at least about 125 times greater, at least about 150 times greater, at least about 200 times greater, at least about 250 times greater, at least about 350 times greater, at least about 500 times greater, etc.

In yet another set of embodiments, the second chromophore may enhance emission of the first chromophore, for example, by a factor of at least about 5-fold, at least about 10-fold, at least about 30-fold, at least about 100-fold, at least about 300-fold, at least about 1000-fold, at least about 3000-fold, or at least about 10,000-fold or more in some cases.

If the energy transfer includes Förster transfer, then the energy transfer may advantageously be enhanced by larger spectral overlap, according to another embodiment of the invention. That is, energy may be transferred from the first chromophore (e.g., an energy donor, such a fluorescent polymer) to the second chromophore (e.g., an energy acceptor, such as an indicator) through Förster transfer, a Dexter mechanism, or a combination of Förster transfer and a Dexter mechanism. In

- 10 -

cases where the energy transfer can occur by a Dexter mechanism, then the amount of energy transfer will be substantially independent of the spectral overlap between the first chromophore to the second chromophore, unlike situations in which Förster transfer is the only mechanism of energy transfer between the first chromophore and the second chromophore, where the degree of energy transfer varies with the amount of spectral overlap between the first and second chromophores. Thus, in one set of embodiments, the mechanism of energy transfer between a first chromophore and a second chromophore (e.g., Dexter vs. Förster) can be determined by determining the amount that energy transfer between the first and second chromophores is enhanced by the spectral overlap. Reduced spectral overlap may allow the energy transfer to give rise to new threshold emissions in the presence of the analyte, where the new threshold emissions have minimal overlap with emissions in the absence of analyte.

In one set of embodiments, the new threshold emission may have a peak maximum of at least about 100 nm higher in wavelength than that of the dominant non-threshold emission, i.e., the first chromophore (e.g., an energy donor) and the second chromophore (e.g., an energy acceptor) may have maximum emission wavelengths that differ by at least about 100 nm. In other cases, the new threshold emission may have a peak maximum of at least about 150 nm higher in wavelength than that of the dominant non-threshold emission. In yet other cases, the new threshold emission may have a peak maximum of at least about 200 nm, about 250 nm, about 300 nm, or more higher in wavelength than that of the dominant non-threshold emission.

Energy exchange mechanisms, in some embodiments, may also enhance spatial sensitivity, allowing the use of systems and methods that cannot be performed using only conventional techniques, for example, FRET techniques (fluorescence resonance energy transfer techniques). For example, enzymes or proteins may undergo conformational changes upon binding a biomolecule or other analyte. These conformational changes can result in small changes in the positions of respective functionality of the enzyme or protein. However, as small changes in conformation can significantly reduce orbital interactions and thereby reduce or alter energy transfer between an energy donor and an energy acceptor, the systems and methods of the present invention can allow for the determination of changes in conformation of the enzyme or protein. For example, a change in conformation of an enzyme or a protein may increase or decrease energy transfer between an energy donor (e.g., a fluorescent polymer) and an energy acceptor

- 11 -

(e.g., an indicator), which may be detected in some fashion, for example, by detecting an increase or decrease in emission from the indicator, detecting a change in the peak maximum of the emission of the indicator, etc. Thus, certain embodiments of the present invention provide for the determination of allosteric-type binding events, for example, to
5 determine the role of proteases, co-factors, small molecules, etc., or selective hybridization events involving DNA, RNA, etc.

In some aspects of the invention, two or more chromophores may be brought in proximity with each other using specific interactions. For example, an analyte may cause a polymer (e.g., a conjugated polymer) and an indicator to be brought into
10 proximity, such that a first chromophore on the polymer and a second chromophore on the indicator can participate in energy transfer, as described above. Thus, for instance, a polymer may comprise a ligand and the indicator may comprise a receptor to that ligand, the polymer may comprise biotin and the indicator may comprise avidin or streptavidin, the polymer may comprise an oligonucleotide (DNA and/or RNA) and the indicator may
15 comprise a substantially complementary oligonucleotide, etc.

As used herein, "binding" can involve any hydrophobic, non-specific, or specific interaction, and the term "biological binding" refers to the interaction between a corresponding pair of molecules that exhibit mutual affinity or binding capacity, typically specific or non-specific binding or interaction. Biological binding defines a
20 type of interaction that occurs between pairs of molecules including proteins, nucleic acids, glycoproteins, carbohydrates, hormones, and the like. Specific examples include protein/carbohydrate, antibody/antigen, antibody/hapten, biotin/streptavidin, biotin/avidin, enzyme/substrate, enzyme/inhibitor, enzyme/cofactor, protein/substrate, lectin/carbohydrate, receptor/hormone, receptor/effector, complementary strands of
25 nucleic acid (e.g., DNA and/or RNA), protein/nucleic acid, repressor/inducer, ligand/receptor, virus/ligand, etc. Further, the term "binding partner" refers to a molecule that can undergo binding with a particular molecule. "Specific interaction" is given its ordinary meaning as used in the art, i.e., an interaction between pairs of molecules where the molecules have a higher recognition or affinity for each other than
30 for other, dissimilar molecules. Biotin/avidin and biotin/streptavidin are examples of specific interactions. In some cases, the specific interaction involves uncharged molecules or neutral ligands.

- 12 -

In one set of embodiments, the presence of an analyte may facilitate bringing the chromophores on the polymer and the indicator into proximity. Thus, by analyzing emission of one or more of the chromophores, the presence of the analyte, and/or the concentration of the analyte, may be determined. As an example, the analyte may specifically bind to the first chromophore (e.g., a conjugated polymer) and to the second chromophore (e.g., an indicator), the analyte may catalyze a reaction that allows the two chromophores to interact (e.g., the analyte may include an enzyme, as discussed below), etc. The analyte, the first chromophore, and the second chromophore may interact in any order, so long as the chromophores are brought into proximity with each other. For example, the first chromophore (e.g., a conjugated polymer) and the analyte may first interact, then the second chromophore (e.g., an indicator) may interact with one or both of the first chromophore and the analyte; the first chromophore (e.g., a conjugated polymer) and the second chromophore (e.g., an indicator) may first interact, then one or both chromophores may interact with an analyte; the analyte, the first chromophore, and the second chromophore may all simultaneously interact; or the like. Interaction of the first and/or second chromophores with the analyte may give a emission having a threshold level that, in the absence of the analyte, the first and/or second chromophores do not produce an emission that is at or above the emission threshold level.

In one set of embodiments, the analyte may include an enzyme or other species that is able to affect the interaction between the chromophores, e.g., of the polymer and the indicator. For example, an enzyme or other species may be able to alter the emission and/or absorption of light from a chromophore (e.g., a fluorophore), for instance, when manipulated (e.g., activated, reacted, etc.) in some fashion. Thus, in some embodiments, an enzyme may produce a product that alters the emission and/or absorption of the chromophore. For example, an enzyme may produce a product that enhances or inhibits emission or absorption of the chromophore or fluorophore of a conjugated polymer. The enzymatic product may be a chromophore in some cases, e.g., that is able to transfer energy to and/or from the conjugated polymer through energy exchange mechanisms such as those described herein. As another example, the enzymatic product may be an agent able to interfere with an interaction between the chromophore and the analyte, and/or an agent able to interfere with an interaction between two or more chromophores. For instance, the enzymatic product may cause dissociation to occur, compete with the interaction (e.g., competitive binding, noncompetitive binding, etc.), or the like, which

- 13 -

may cause an alteration in the emission or absorption of at least one of the chromophores. As yet another example, the enzymatic product may be an agent able to at least partially quench emission from at least one of the chromophores. For instance, the enzymatic product may be a nitroaromatic moiety (i.e., an aromatic moiety comprising at least one nitro group) that alters emission of a chromophore or fluorophore.

One aspect of the invention provides systems and methods for determining a biological entity in a sample, for example, determining the presence, type, amount, etc. of the biological entity within a sample. A sample may be exposed to one or more of the polymers described herein, for example, including conjugated polymers comprising chromophores and/or biological recognition elements, conjugated polymers capable of multivalent binding, etc. If the conjugated polymer comprises a chromophore such as a fluorophore, the emission or absorbance (e.g., fluorescence, phosphorescence, etc.) of the conjugated polymer may then be determined to determine the biological entity. For instance, the biological entity may facilitate an interaction between the conjugated polymer comprising the chromophore and an indicator that can be determined to determine the biological entity. The sample may be taken from any suitable source where the presence of the biological entity is to be determined, for example, from food, water, plants, animals, bodily fluids (for example lymph, saliva, blood, urine, milk and breast secretions, etc.), tissue samples, environmental samples (for example, air, water, soil, plants, animals, etc.), or the like. In one embodiment, the biological entity is a pathogen.

As used herein, a "biological entity," is an entity deriving at least partially from a biological source. Non-limiting examples of biological entities include proteins, peptides, nucleic acids (e.g., oligonucleotides, which may include DNA and/or RNA), fatty acids, carbohydrates, sugars, hormones, enzymes, receptors, lipids, viruses, bacteria, cells, and the like. In some cases, the biological entity has the capability for reproduction, which can be self-reproduction, i.e., a biological entity is a cell (e.g., a bacterium) or a virus. In certain cases, the biological entity is a "pathogen," i.e., an entity capable of causing a disease when introduced into a subject, for example, a human, a dog, a cat, a horse, a cow, a pig, a sheep, a goat, a chicken, a primate, a rat, a mouse, etc.

- 14 -

In one set of embodiments, certain conjugated polymers of the invention include one or more biological recognition elements, for example, covalently attached to the polymer. If the conjugated polymer comprises a chromophore or a fluorophore, in some cases, the emission of the chromophore or fluorophore may change upon the interaction
5 of the biological recognition element with the biological entity. In other cases, however, the emission of the chromophore or fluorophore may be unchanged upon such interaction, i.e., the chromophore or fluorophore acts as a "label" of the biological entity.

As used herein, a "biological recognition element" is an entity able to interact with the biological entity and/or a species present on a biological entity, such as a
10 bacterium, a cell, a virus, etc, for example, by specifically binding to the species. In some cases, the interaction may be a specific interaction. For example, the entity may interact with the species such that the entity has an affinity to the species greater than the affinity of the entity to other species present on the biological entity, or present on similar biological entities. For instance, the biological recognition element may interact
15 with a protein expressed on the surface of a bacterium or a cell, e.g., by binding to the protein, while the biological recognition element does not interact (and/or interacts with less affinity) to other, similar proteins present on the bacterium or cell and/or other bacteria or cells.

In certain cases, the biological recognition element specifically interacts with the
20 biological entity, i.e., the biological recognition element interacts with a particular biological entity (or biological entity type), to a significantly greater degree than to other biological entity. For example, if the biological entity is a *Escherichia coli*, then the biological recognition element may specifically bind to *Escherichia coli* to a significantly greater degree than to other *Escherichia* species, to other bacteria, etc.

25 Non-limiting examples of species that may be present on a biological entity include proteins, for example, a cell surface receptor, an enzyme, a structural protein, etc. Other examples include certain receptors and lipids, for instance, phospholipids. An example of a biological recognition element are carbohydrates, for instance, which may specifically bind a protein on the surface of a bacterium or a cell. Examples of
30 carbohydrates include monosaccharides, oligosaccharides, and polysaccharides. Other, non-limiting examples of biological recognition elements include glycosaminoglycans, glycolipids, proteins, antibodies, glycoproteins, and lectins (i.e., glycoproteins able to bind carbohydrates, in some cases, resulting in cell agglomeration). Additional, non-

- 15 -

limiting examples of carbohydrates able to bind to biological entities include mannose (which is able to bind *Escherichia coli* or *Salmonella enterica*), fucose (which is able to bind *Psuedomonas aeruginosa*), sialic acid (which is able to bind the influenza virus), heparin (which is able to bind herpes simplex virus), or the Lewis group antigens (which are able to bind *Helicobacter pylori*). In many cases, these interactions are multivalent in nature. In some cases, the carbohydrate may be specifically chosen to bind to a certain biological entity. Non-limiting examples of such carbohydrates include those discussed in D.M. Ratner, *et al.*, "Probing Protein-Carbohydrate Interactions with Microarrays of Synthetic Oligosaccharides," *ChemBioChem*, 5:379-383, 2004, incorporated herein by reference.


As used herein, a "carbohydrate" (or, equivalently, a "sugar") is a saccharide (including monosaccharides, oligosaccharides and polysaccharides) and/or a molecule (including oligomers or polymers) derived from one or more monosaccharides, e.g., by reduction of carbonyl groups, by oxidation of one or more terminal groups to carboxylic acids, by replacement of one or more hydroxy group(s) by a hydrogen atom, an amino group, a thiol group or similar heteroatomic groups, etc. The term "carbohydrate" also includes derivatives of these compounds. Non-limiting examples of carbohydrates include allose ("All"), altrose ("Alt"), arabinose ("Ara"), erythrose, erythrulose, fructose ("Fru"), fucosamine ("FucN"), fucose ("Fuc"), galactosamine ("GalN"), galactose ("Gal"), glucosamine ("GlcN"), glucosaminitol ("GlcN-ol"), glucose ("Glc"), glyceraldehyde, 2,3-dihydroxypropanal, glycerol ("Gro"), propane-1,2,3-triol, glycerone ("1,3-dihydroxyacetone"), 1,3-dihydroxypropanone, gulose ("Gul"), idose ("Ido"), lyxose ("Lyx"), mannosamine ("ManN"), mannose ("Man"), psicose ("Psi"), quinovose ("Qui"), quinovosamine, rhamnitol ("Rha-ol"), rhamnosamine ("RhaN"), rhamnose ("Rha"), ribose ("Rib"), ribulose ("Rul"), sorbose ("Sor"), tagatose ("Tag"), talose ("Tal"), tartaric acid, erythrarc/threarc acid, threose, xylose ("Xyl"), or xylulose ("Xul"). In some cases, the carbohydrate may be a pentose (i.e., having 5 carbons) or a hexose (i.e., having 6 carbons); and in certain instances, the carbohydrate may be an oligosaccharide comprising pentose and/or hexose units, e.g., including those described above. A "monosaccharide," is a carbohydrate or carbohydrate derivative that includes one saccharide unit. Similarly, a "disaccharide," a "trisaccharide," a "tetrasaccharide," a "pentasaccharide," etc. respectively has 2, 3, 4, 5, etc. saccharide units. An "oligosaccharide," as used herein, has 1-20 saccharide units, and the saccharide units

- 16 -

may be joined in any suitable configuration, for example, through alpha or beta linkages, using any suitable hydroxy moiety, etc. The oligosaccharide may be linear, or branched in certain instances. A "polysaccharide," as used herein, typically has at least 20 saccharide units. For instance, the polysaccharide may have at least 25 saccharide units, at least 50 saccharide units, at least 75 saccharide units, at least 100 saccharide units, etc. In some cases, the carbohydrate is multimeric, i.e., comprising more than one saccharide chain.

In some cases, the conjugated polymers comprising biological recognition elements are durable, and can be stored for extended periods of time (weeks to months or years), and/or at room temperature (about 25 °C) and/or near room temperatures (i.e., between about 4 °C and about 25 °C), without denaturing (unlike proteins or antibodies) or decomposing. In some cases, even higher temperatures (i.e., greater than room temperature) may be used.

In one set of embodiments, the polymer may comprise a structure:



15


where n is at least 1, A and C are each aromatic moieties, and B and D are each independently selected from the group consisting of a carbon-carbon double bond ($\text{--}\text{C}=\text{C}\text{--}$) and a carbon-carbon triple bond ($\text{--}\text{C}\equiv\text{C}\text{--}$). In some cases, n is less than 10,000. In one embodiment, one or both of A and C is a benzene ring, a triptycene moiety, or a pentyptycene moiety. Each of A and C may optionally comprise one or more pendant groups, for example, ethylene glycol units (as discussed below), which may be terminated by moieties such as alkyls (e.g., --CH_3), $\text{--(CH}_2\text{)}_p\text{--CH}_3$ (p being a positive integer), --OH , $\text{--NH--CH}_2\text{--CH}_2\text{--OH}$, $\text{--CH}_2\text{--CH}_2\text{--COOH}$, $\text{--CH}_2\text{--CH}_2\text{--CO--NH--CH}_2\text{--CH}_2\text{--OH}$, $\text{--O--CH}_2\text{--CO--NH}_2$, $\text{--CH}_2\text{--CH}_2\text{--NH}_2$ etc. In the above structure, R is a biological recognition element, for example, a carbohydrate, biotin, a protein, etc. For

20

25


- 17 -

example, in one embodiment, R comprises a structure:



In another embodiment, R comprises one or more nucleic acids, e.g., DNA or RNA. For instance, R may comprise an oligonucleotide, for example, having at least 2 nucleotides, and in some cases, the polymer may have at least 5 nucleotides, at least 8 nucleotides, at least 10 nucleotides, at least 15 nucleotides, etc. Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that an “oligonucleotide” is not precisely defined in terms of the number of bases present within the nucleotide sequence. In some cases, the oligonucleotide may have about 20 bases or less.


Additionally, L is a moiety connecting A to R. L can comprise, for example, one or more ethylene glycol units, i.e.:



where m is at least 1. For example, m can be, inclusively, 1 to 15, 1 to 10, 1 to 7, 1 to 5, 1 to 3, 1 to 2, etc. In some cases, L may also comprise other linkages, for example, –CO–NH–, –CH₂–, –(CH₂)_p– (p being a positive integer), –NH–CH₂–CH₂–, –CH₂–CH₂–CO–, –CH₂–CH₂–CO–NH–CH₂–CH₂–, –O–CH₂–CO–NH–, –CH₂–CH₂–NH–, etc. Any number of linkages such as these may be present (including duplications), and the linkages may appear in order connecting A to R. Examples of such structures may be seen in Figs. 2A-2B and Fig. 6.

As used herein in reference to various chemical structures, the symbol “ ξ ” as is understood by those of ordinary skill in the art, indicates a point of attachment of a chemical structure to another chemical structure.


In one embodiment, the polymer comprises a structure:



- 18 -

where n is at least 1, A and C are each aromatic moieties, and B and D are each independently selected from the group consisting of a carbon-carbon double bond ($\xi-\text{C}=\text{C}-\xi$) and a carbon-carbon triple bond ($\xi-\text{C}\equiv\text{C}-\xi$). One or more of Z^1 , Z^2 , Z^3 , and Z^4 may comprise a biological recognition element, for example, a carbohydrate, biotin, a protein, etc. In some cases, one or more of Z^1 , Z^2 , Z^3 , and Z^4 each independently comprises one or more ethylene glycol units.

In another embodiment, the polymer comprises a structure:



where n is at least 1, A is an aromatic moiety, C is a pentyptycene moiety, and B and D are each independently selected from the group consisting of a carbon-carbon double bond ($\xi-\text{C}=\text{C}-\xi$) and a carbon-carbon triple bond ($\xi-\text{C}\equiv\text{C}-\xi$). One or both of Z^1 and Z^2 may comprise a biological recognition element, for example, a carbohydrate, biotin, a protein, etc. In some cases, one or both of Z^1 and Z^2 independently comprises one or more ethylene glycol units.

Another aspect of the invention generally relates to conjugated polymers capable of multivalent binding. As used herein, a polymer is “multivalent” with respect to a species if the polymer is capable of being bound, simultaneously, at more than one location within the polymer, to one or more species. For example, more than one carbohydrate moiety on a conjugated polymer may be able to simultaneously bind to multiple proteins on a biological entity, more than one biotin moiety on a conjugated polymer may be able to simultaneously bind to an avidin or a streptavidin, etc. In some cases, ligands capable of multivalent binding may be covalently bonded to a conjugated polymer.

In certain embodiments, multiple conjugated polymers, each comprising one or more carbohydrates, may each become bound to proteins present on a bacterium or a cell. As an example, a biological entity may be exposed to a first conjugated polymer that is fluorescent and/or comprises one or more biological recognition elements, and a second conjugated polymer that is also fluorescent and/or comprises one or more

- 19 -

biological recognition elements. The first and second conjugated polymers may have similar structures (for example, having the same backbone and/or biological recognition elements), or different structures (for example, different biological recognition elements). Typically, in reference to biological systems, multivalent binding is stronger and more selective relative to monovalent binding.

In some embodiments, multivalent binding of ligands may give rise to agglomerated structures. If polymers are associated with the ligands, the polymers may thus be brought proximate to each other, for instance, such that an energy exchange mechanism, such as a Dexter energy transfer mechanism or the strong coupling effect, may facilitate energy transfer between at least some of the agglomerated polymers, as described above.

An example of multivalent binding can be seen in Fig. 1, where a plurality of polymers 40 each containing a plurality of carbohydrates or other biological recognition elements 45 has been exposed to a series of cells 20 (for example, bacteria or other pathogens). The carbohydrates or other biological recognition elements 45 are able to recognize a species 25 on the cells (as indicated by arrow 47). Due to their multivalency, the polymers may bind to more than one cell, which may cause agglomeration of the cells to occur.

In still another aspect of the invention, kits are provided, containing one or more of the above-described compositions of the invention. A "kit," as used herein, typically defines a package or an assembly including one or more of the compositions of the invention, and/or other compositions associated with the invention, for example, as previously described. Each of the compositions of the kit may be provided in liquid form (e.g., in solution), or in solid form (e.g., a dried powder). In certain cases, some of the compositions may be constitutable or otherwise processable (e.g., to an active form), for example, by the addition of a suitable solvent or other species, which may or may not be provided with the kit. Examples of other compositions or components associated with the invention include, but are not limited to, solvents, surfactants, diluents, salts, buffers, emulsifiers, chelating agents, fillers, antioxidants, binding agents, bulking agents, preservatives, drying agents, antimicrobials, needles, syringes, packaging materials, tubes, bottles, flasks, beakers, dishes, frits, filters, rings, clamps, wraps, patches, containers, and the like, for example, for using, modifying, assembling, storing,

- 20 -

packaging, preparing, mixing, diluting, and/or preserving the compositions components for a particular use, for example, to a sample.

A kit of the invention may, in some cases, include instructions in any form that are provided in connection with the compositions of the invention in such a manner that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the instructions are to be associated with the compositions of the invention. For instance, the instructions may include instructions for the use, modification, mixing, diluting, preserving, assembly, storage, packaging, and/or preparation of the compositions and/or other compositions associated with the kit. In some cases, the instructions may also include instructions for the delivery of the compositions, for example, for a particular use, e.g., to a sample. The instructions may be provided in any form recognizable by one of ordinary skill in the art as a suitable vehicle for containing such instructions, for example, written or published, verbal, audible (e.g., telephonic), digital, optical, visual (e.g., videotape, DVD, etc.) or electronic communications (including Internet or web-based communications), provided in any manner.

Yet another aspect of the invention provides for the promotion of any of the above-described compositions, kits, or methods of the invention. As used herein, "promoted" includes all methods of doing business including, but not limited to, methods of selling, advertising, assigning, licensing, contracting, instructing, educating, researching, importing, exporting, negotiating, financing, loaning, trading, vending, reselling, distributing, repairing, replacing, insuring, or the like that are associated with the systems, methods, compositions, kits, etc. of the invention as discussed herein. Methods of promotion can be performed by any party including, but not limited to, personal parties, businesses (public or private), partnerships, corporations, trusts, contractual or sub-contractual agencies, educational institutions such as colleges and universities, research institutions, hospitals or other clinical institutions, governmental agencies, etc. Promotional activities may include communications of any form (e.g., written, oral, and/or electronic communications, such as, but not limited to, e-mail, telephonic, Internet, Web-based, etc.) that are clearly associated with the invention.

In one set of embodiments, the method of promotion may involve one or more instructions. As used herein, "instructions" can define a component of instructional utility (e.g., directions, guides, warnings, labels, notes, FAQs or "frequently asked questions," etc.), and typically involve written instructions on or associated with the

- 21 -

invention and/or with the packaging of the invention. Instructions can also include instructional communications in any form (e.g., oral, electronic, audible, digital, optical, visual, etc.), provided in any manner such that a user will clearly recognize that the instructions are to be associated with the invention, e.g., as discussed herein.

5 The following examples are intended to illustrate certain embodiments of the present invention, but do not exemplify the full scope of the invention.

EXAMPLE 1

10 In recent years, the fluorescence properties of conjugated polymers ("CPs") have been investigated in the design of chemical and biological sensors, the majority of which have been based upon the amplification of fluorescence quenching. In contrast to turn-off sensors, a turn-on sensor using fluorescence resonance energy transfer ("FRET") with CPs as light-harvesting donors has the advantage of being more sensitive and selective. Although FRET is widely used in biology to study biomolecular structure and dynamics, 15 its use with CPs as a method of transduction for sensing biological molecules is not common. This example illustrates studies between biotinylated poly(*p*-phenylene ethynylene) ("PPE") and fluorophore-labeled streptavidin. This example reveals that, unexpectedly, energy transfer in such a system is not governed by a typical Förster mechanism.

20 Streptavidin is a tetrameric protein that binds up to four molecules of *d*-biotin with a dissociation constant estimated to be about 4×10^{-14} M. Because of this relatively high affinity, the streptavidin-biotin recognition system can be used in biosensor design, in conjunction with conjugated polymers in affinity-chromic and/or agglutination assays. A water-soluble biotinylated PPE 1 and its non-biotinylated relative 2 were synthesized 25 for solution energy transfer ("ET") experiments via a Sonagashira-Hagihara cross-coupling reaction. These polymers are shown in Fig. 2A. Analogously, an organic solvent-soluble biotinylated PPE 3 and its non-biotinylated variation 4 for solid phase thin-film experiments were also synthesized. These are illustrated in Fig. 2B. A schematic view of the experiments is shown in Fig. 3D.

30 Polymer 1 was constructed from two diiodobenzene monomers at a loading ratio of 1:4 (biotinylated to non-biotinylated monomer) that were polymerized by a cross-coupling reaction with a diacetylene monomer. The mono-substituted biotinylated monomer used in the synthesis of polymer 1 was designed to provide binding

- 22 -

accessibility for streptavidin while minimizing the divalent binding of one streptavidin onto the same repeat unit, if it were symmetrically functionalized with biotin. Polymers 3 and 4 were designed with a pentyptycene in the backbone to promote greater spectroscopic stability and biotin accessibility. Details of the synthesis of each of polymers 1-4 are further discussed below.

As an initial assay, biotinylated polymer 1 and control polymer 2 were each incubated with fluorescein-labeled streptavidin at room temperature (about 25 °C), in 50 mM Tris buffer at pH 7.4 for about five minutes. Fluorescein was selected as the streptavidin label, since its absorbance maximum at 490 nm partially overlaps with the emission maximum of polymer 1 at 486 nm. This selection also favors Förster ET between the polymer donor and dye acceptor upon binding of labeled streptavidin to biotin. When 0.030 nmol of labeled streptavidin was added to 2.16 nmol of polymer 1, an increase in the emission of the fluorescein was observed. The overlapping fluorescence spectra were deconvoluted to separate the emission of fluorescein from the emission of the polymer. Although the degree of enhancement in the fluorescence emission was generally low, these results indicate that biological recognition may be necessary for ET from the polymer to the dye-labeled streptavidin.

To better visualize ET between the polymer donor and the dye-acceptor, a more red-shifted label, rhodamine B-labeled streptavidin ("RhB-strept") (Fig. 3C) was used in the solution phase ET assays with polymer 1. Surprisingly, better ET was observed even though RhB had a diminished spectral overlap with polymer 1 (the emission maximum of polymer 1 was 486 nm, the absorption maximum of RhB-strept was 574 nm, at about 4.6 dyes/protein). Polymer 1 was then screened with Texas RedTM X-labeled streptavidin ("T-red-strept"), as shown in Fig. 3E. The absorption maximum was 591 nm, at about 2.9 dyes/protein. Remarkable ET was observed. Furthermore, these results were contrary to the Förster theory that governs FRET, where decreased spectral overlap results in diminished ET. Instead, for both dyes, the emission due to ET was amplified, compared to the direct excitation of the dyes at their absorbance maximum (see Figs. 3A and 3B). In these figures, aliquots of 0.017 nmol of RhB-strept (Fig. 3A) and T-red-strept (Fig. 3B) were added to 1.51 nmol of polymer 1. ET was observed in both cases, with amplified emission of dyes due to the light-harvesting conjugated polymers. The direct excitation of the dyes at 575 nm and 585 nm corresponded to 0.100 nmol of streptavidin. These results are consistent with the light-harvesting properties of

- 23 -

conjugated polymers. These results also reveal that, in spite of their large differences in spectral overlap with polymer 1, both dyes appeared to give similar decreases in the polymer emissions. A control experiment with unlabeled streptavidin showed that this decrease was due to the presence of the dyes. Control experiments with polymer 2 showed no ET upon addition of both dye-labeled streptavidin derivatives. The addition of a biotin pre-saturated solution of T-red-strept to biotinylated polymer 1 was also performed. No decrease in fluorescence of the polymer, and no ET to the dye, were observed. Emission spectra for control experiments involving polymer 1 are illustrated in Figs. 3F-3I. In these figures, Fig. 3F illustrates polymer 1 incubated with rhodamine red-labeled streptavidin, while Fig. 3G illustrates polymer 1 incubated with Texas RedTM-X-labeled streptavidin, Fig. 3H illustrates polymer 1 incubated with biotin-saturated Texas RedTM-X-labeled streptavidin, and Fig. 3I illustrates polymer 1 incubated with streptavidin.

The quantum yields of the streptavidin-bound dyes varied upon binding of polymer 1, which may be due to aggregation and/or an environmental change within the vicinity of the dyes with the polymer. This effect was observed by directly exciting the dyes at their maximum absorbance (where the polymer does not significantly absorb), using the same polymer concentrations as those described above with reference to Figs. 3A and 3B. In the presence of polymer 1, the quantum yield of RhB-strept was diminished by about 38% while that of T-red decreased by about 63%. Nevertheless, greater emission intensity was observed for T-red-strept (Fig. 3B), despite the greater decrease in its quantum yield, as compared to RhB-strept. The strong emission response from T-red-strept therefore is probably not due to a simple improvement in its quantum efficiency.

Those results thus show that the ET from the polymer to the dyes may not be governed by Förster theory, and that an energy exchange mechanism, often referred to as Dexter Energy Transfer ("DET"), may be operative. This mechanism generally requires fairly close proximity between the donor and acceptor chromophores. To study these interactions, the Stern-Volmer (K_{SV}) quenching constants were determined from fluorescence emission and lifetime measurements in 50 mM Tris buffer at pH 7.4. Upon the addition of the streptavidin-free fluorescent dyes (fluorescein, RhB, and sulforhodamine 101, the Texas RedTM parent dye) to polymer 1, the apparent K_{SV} values were determined to be $26,300 \text{ M}^{-1}$, $91,800 \text{ M}^{-1}$ and $97,900 \text{ M}^{-1}$, respectively. The bi-

- 24 -

molecular quenching constant k_q was found to range from $1.25 \times 10^{14} \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ to $3.4 \times 10^{14} \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ for the three dyes, which exceeded the diffusion constant and may be indicative of static quenching. The dyes therefore may have an inherent affinity for the conjugated polymer backbone. A more planar conformation and greater hydrophobic character for Texas RedTM, compared to RhB and fluorescein, may permit better stacking and orbital interaction with the CP backbone, allowing for greater DET. In the case of dye-labeled streptavidin, biological recognition first may bring the dyes into closer proximity with the polymer. Conformational and hydrophobic characteristics of the dyes then may tailor the extent of orbital mixing with the polymer: the flatter Texas RedTM may interact more intimately with the planar conjugated polymer backbone than the other dyes. This may favor DET and can explain the better ET that was observed, even at decreased spectral overlap between the CP donor and dye acceptor.

Thin film experiments with polymers 3 and 4 were also conducted in this example. Incubation with the dye-labeled streptavidin was performed in the presence of Triton X-100, a non-ionic detergent, to diminish non-specific binding. It was observed that RhB-strept exhibited better ET than T-red-strept (Fig. 4A). The spectra was scaled to 454 nm. However, a small "shoulder," due to non-specific binding, was observed when polymer 4 was incubated with RhB-strept. This finding suggests that the smaller RhB exhibits better orbital overlap with the more sterically restrictive structures of polymers 3 and 4. To verify the affinity of the free dyes with the conjugated polymers, the incubation of polymers 3 and 4 was carried out with the free dyes (Fig. 3B). Indeed, the free RhB dye associated with both 3 and 4, while free sulforhodamine 101 (Texas RedTM) associated with neither (Fig. 3B). Thus, a more intimate interaction of the dyes with the polymer proved important for ET.

In summary, this example illustrates the design of a sensitive turn-on model biosensor based on ET between biotinylated polymer and a dye-labeled streptavidin. The mechanism is not solely a through space dipolar phenomenon typical of most FRET processes, but also may have a large electron exchange component.

In these experiments, polymers 1-4 were prepared as follows. In general, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra for the monomers and the polymers were recorded on a Varian (300 MHz) or on a Varian VXR-500 (500 MHz) instrument. The chemical shift data for each signal are given in units of delta (δ) (ppm) relative to tetramethylsilane (TMS) where delta (δ) (TMS) = 0, and referenced to the solvent residual. High-resolution mass spectra

- 25 -

were obtained on a Finnigan MAT 8200 system using sector double focus and an electron impact source with an ionizing voltage of 70 V, and with a Bruker DALTONICS APEX II, 3 Tesla, FT-ICR-MS with ESI source or EI/CI source. UV-visible absorption spectra were measured with a Cary 50 UV/visible spectrometer.

5 Fluorescence spectra were measured with a SPEX Fluorolog-2 fluorometer (model FL112, 450 W xenon lamp). The spectra in solution were obtained at room temperature using a quartz cuvette with a 1 cm path length. The polymer thin film spectra were recorded by front-face (22.5°) detection. Fluorescence quantum yields of polymers in Tris buffer (100 mM, pH 7.4) were determined relative to solutions of coumarin 6 (Φ_F) = 0.78 in ethanol) as a reference. The quantum yields for solid state thin films were
10 obtained relative to 0.01 mol% of 9,10-dipheynylanthracene in polymethylmethacrylate ("PMMA") (Φ_F) = 0.83) as a reference. The molecular weights of polymers were determined by using three PLgel 5 mm 10^5 , 10^4 , 10^3 (300 x 7.5 mm I.D) columns in series and a diode detector at 254 nm at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min in tetrahydrofuran ("THF") or in dimethylformamide ("DMF"). The molecular weights were reported
15 relative to polystyrene or poly(ethylene oxide) standards purchased from Agilent Inc. Polymer thin films on a cover glass (18 x 18 mm, pretreated with 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexamethyldisilazane) were spin cast on an EC101DT photoresist spinner (Headway Research Inc.) using a spin rate of 3000 rpm from a chloroform solution. Melting points
20 (m.p.) were determined using a Laboratory Devices MEL-TEMP instrument (open capillaries used) and were uncorrected.

All solvents were spectral grade unless otherwise noted. Morpholine and biotin were purchased from Alfa Aesar and used as received. Fluorescein conjugated streptavidin, rhodamine-conjugated streptavidin, Texas RedTM X conjugated streptavidin
25 and sulforhodamine 101 were purchased from Molecular Probes Inc. and used as received. All other chemicals were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Inc. and used as received. All air and water sensitive synthetic manipulations were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques.

Monomer 15 was prepared according to the scheme shown in Fig. 5A as follows.
30 To a 250 ml round bottom flask equipped with a reflux condenser containing 2,5-diiodo-1,4-dihydroxybenzene (10.00 g, 27.6 mmol) was added 125 ml anhydrous *N,N'*-dimethylformamide ("DMF") under nitrogen. The solution was cooled to 0 °C, and nitrogen was bubbled through the solution for 15 minutes. NaH as a 60% dispersion in

- 26 -

mineral oil (1.326 g, 33.2 mmol) was added and the resulting suspension was stirred for 20 min at 0 °C. Triethylene glycol monomethyl ether *p*-toluenesulfonate (9.94 g, 31.2 mmol) was then transferred to the solution via syringe. The reaction was heated at 65 °C for 14 h under nitrogen. A light clear brown solution was obtained. DMF was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting brown oil was extracted with ethyl acetate (500 ml total) against 200 ml H₂O. The organic layer was washed with 50 ml brine and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The product was purified by column chromatography with 6:4 hexane/ethyl acetate to afford a colorless oil which solidified to a white solid upon standing (3.98 g, 28%). m.p. 81-83 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.38 (1H, s), 7.09 (1H, s), 5.27 (1H, s), 4.08 (2H, t, J = 4.5Hz), 3.88 (2H, t, J = 4.5Hz), 3.79 (2H, t, J = 4.5Hz), 3.69 (2H, t, J = 4.5Hz), 3.67 (2H, t, J = 4.5Hz), 3.38 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): 152.6, 150.5, 125.0, 121.9, 87.8, 84.4, 72.1, 71.3, 71.0, 70.8, 70.5, 69.8, 59.3; HR-MS (EI) calcd. for C₁₃H₁₈I₂O₅ (M⁺): 507.9238, found: 507.9239.

In a 250 ml round bottom flask were combined molecule 11 (2.00 g, 3.94 mmol), K₂CO₃ (1.632 g, 11.81 mmol), ethyl bromoacetate (0.567 ml, 5.12 mmol) and 100 ml acetone. The flask was fitted with a reflux condenser and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 12 h. A pale yellow suspension resulted. This was cooled, filtered and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography with 6:4 hexane/ethyl acetate, and the product was isolated as a colorless oil which solidified upon standing to a white solid (2.02 g, 86%). m.p. 44-45 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.26 (1H, s), 7.17 (1H, s), 4.61 (2H, s), 4.30 (2H, q, J = 4.2), 4.13 (2H, t, J = 3Hz), 3.88 (2H, t, J = 3Hz), 3.80 (2H, t, J = 3Hz), 3.70 (2H, t, J = 3Hz), 3.68 (2H, t, J = 3Hz), 3.57 (2H, t, J = 3Hz), 3.39 (3H, s), 1.32 (3H, t, J = 4.2Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): 168.4, 153.9, 152.4, 123.9, 123.6, 86.7, 86.4, 72.2, 71.4, 71.0, 70.8, 70.4, 69.8, 67.7, 61.7, 59.3, 14.5. HR-MS (EI) calcd. for C₁₇H₂₄I₂O₇ (M⁺): 593.9606, found: 593.9625.

In a 250 ml round bottom flask were combined molecule 12 (2.00 g, 3.36 mmol) and KOH (0.944 g, 16.8 mmol) in 70 ml methanol. A reflux condenser was fitted and the reaction was heated to reflux for 14 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. 45 ml 10% HCl_(aq) was added. The product precipitated and was isolated by centrifugation followed by lyophilization. A white solid was obtained (1.79 g, 94%). m.p. 74-76 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO): 7.38 (1H, s), 7.24 (1H, s), 4.72 (2H, s), 4.10 (2H, t, J = 4.5Hz), 3.73 (2H, t, J = 4.5Hz), 3.62 (2H, t, J = 4.5Hz), 3.53 (2H, t, J =

- 27 -

4.5Hz), 3.52 (2H, t, J = 4.5Hz), 3.42 (2H, t, J = 4.5Hz), 3.23 (3H, s); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO): 169.8, 152.7, 151.7, 123.0, 122.2, 86.7, 86.5, 71.3, 70.2, 69.9, 69.7, 69.6, 69.0, 66.1, 58.0; HR-MS (ESI) calcd. For $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{20}\text{I}_2\text{O}_7$ (M+Na): 588.9191, found: 588.9182.

5 In a 50 ml round bottom flask equipped with a reflux condenser containing molecule 13 (0.500 g, 0.883 mmol) was added 5 ml SOCl_2 . This was refluxed for 10 h. The thionyl chloride was then removed under reduced pressure to afford the acid chloride as a pale yellow oil (0.521 g, 0.883 mmol). To this was then added 20 ml CH_2Cl_2 . Anhydrous NEt_3 was then added (0.185 ml, 1.32 mmol) and the mixture was
10 stirred for 5 min. Molecule 10 (0.329 g, 1.32 mmol) was added as a solution in 10 ml CH_2Cl_2 . The reaction mixture was refluxed for 12 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in 100 ml CHCl_3 and washed with 30 ml H_2O . The organic layer was washed with 15 ml brine, dried over MgSO_4 . The organic solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford a colorless oil which solidified
15 upon standing to a white solid (0.560 g, 80%). m.p. 81-83 °C. ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3): 7.28 (1H, br), 7.25 (1H, s), 7.17 (1H, s), 4.90 (1H, br), 4.13 (2H, t, J = 4.5Hz), 3.90 (2H, t, J = 4.5Hz), 3.80 (2H, t, J = 4.5Hz), 3.81-3.52 (16H, m), 3.39 (3H, s), 3.32 (2H, t, J = 5.1Hz), 1.45 (9H, s); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3): 206.1, 167.4, 156.2, 154.1, 151.4, 123.3, 86.8, 86.3, 79.5, 72.2, 71.4, 71.0, 70.8, 70.6, 70.6, 70.5, 70.0, 69.8,
20 69.1, 59.3, 40.5, 39.1, 28.7; HR-MS (ESI) calcd. for $\text{C}_{26}\text{H}_{42}\text{I}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_{10}$ (M+H): 797.1002, found: 797.1022.

A 50 ml round bottom flask containing molecule 14 (0.487 g, 0.611 mmol) was loaded with 2 ml trifluoroacetic acid ("TFA"). The clear yellow solution was stirred for 30 min. The TFA was removed, 2 ml H_2O was added and was also removed under
25 reduced pressure. The deprotected product was dried under high vacuum. To this was added 5 ml anhydrous DMF, NEt_3 (0.450 ml, 3.22 mmol). This was stirred for 15 min, then *N*-hydroxysuccinimidobiotin (0.212 g, 0.624 mmol) was added. The pale yellow solution quickly became a thick white slurry and was stirred at room temperature for 40 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure at 40 °C and the reaction mixture
30 was washed with 25 ml H_2O . The product was isolated by centrifugation and lyophilized to afford a white powder (0.525 g, 94%). m.p. 175-176 °C. ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3): 7.85 (2H, m), 7.39 (1H, s), 7.31 (1H, s), 6.43 (1H, s), 6.36 (1H, s), 4.52 (2H, s), 4.30 (1H, m), 4.11 (1H, m), 3.74 (2H, t, J = 5.0Hz), 3.62 (2H, t, J = 5.0Hz), 3.54-3.30 (16H,

- 28 -

m), 3.22 (3H, s), 3.18 (2H, m), 3.08 (2H, m), 2.80 (1H, dd, $J = 12.5, 5.0\text{Hz}$), 2.58 ($J = 12.5\text{Hz}$), 2.06 (2H, t, $J = 7.5\text{Hz}$), 1.62-1.57 (1H, m), 1.52-1.43 (3H, m), 1.32-1.26 (2H, m); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3): 172.1, 167.2, 162.7, 153.0, 151.7, 123.3, 122.7, 86.9, 86.8, 71.3, 70.2, 69.9, 69.7, 69.2, 69.0, 68.8, 61.3, 61.0, 59.2, 58.1, 55.5, 38.44, 30.37, 35.1, 28.2, 28.1, 25.3; HR-MS (ESI) calcd. for $\text{C}_{31}\text{H}_{48}\text{I}_2\text{N}_4\text{O}_{10}\text{S}$ ($\text{M}+\text{H}$): 923.1253, found: 923.1210.

Polymer 1 was prepared as is shown schematically in Fig. 5B. A 25 ml Schlenk flask was charged with molecules 15 (0.0205 g, 0.022 mmol), 16 (0.0606 g, 0.089 mmol) and 17 (0.050 g, 0.111 mmol), $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$ (6.41 mg, 0.0056 mmol) and CuI (1.06 mg, 0.0056 mmol) under N_2 . To this was added 1.5 ml freshly degassed morpholine under N_2 . The reaction vessel was sealed and heated at 60°C for 48 h. 3 ml H_2O was added and the reaction mixture was dialyzed (cellulose membrane, MWCO 10,000) against 1 L deionized water for 2 days (6 water changes). The polymer was then lyophilized to afford an orange polymer (97 mg, 95%). $M_n = 130,000$, $\text{PDI} = 1.48$ for DMF soluble fraction. ^1H NMR (500 MHz, DMF): 7.29 (20H, br), 6.39 (1H, s), 6.32 (1H, s), 4.78 (2H, s), 4.33 (38H, br), 3.94 (24H, br), 3.78-3.46 (160H, broad multiplet), 3.28 (33H, br), 1.60 (8H, br).

Polymer 2 was prepared as is shown schematically in Fig. 5C. A 25 ml Schlenk flask was charged with molecules 16 (0.0454 g, 0.066 mmol) and 17 (0.030 g, 0.066 mmol), $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$ (3.85 mg, 0.00333 mmol) and CuI (0.634 g, 0.00333 mmol) under N_2 . To this was added 1.0 ml freshly degassed morpholine under N_2 . the reaction vessel was then sealed and heated at 60°C for 48 h. 3 ml H_2O was added and the mixture was dialyzed against 1 L deionized water for 2 days (6 water changes). It was then lyophilized to afford an orange polymer (56 mg, 96%). $M_n = 128,000$, $\text{PDI} = 1.53$ for DMF soluble fraction. ^1H NMR (500 MHz, DMF): 7.30 (4H, s), 4.34 (8H, br), 3.95 (8H, br), 3.79-3.46 (32H, br), 3.29 (6H, s)

Polymer 3 was prepared as is shown schematically in Fig. 5D. A 25 ml Schlenk flask was charged with molecules 15 (0.00796 g, 0.00819 mmol), 18 (0.0214 g, 0.0328 mmol), and 19 (0.020 g, 0.418 mmol), $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$ (2.367 mg, 0.00205 mmol), and CuI (0.390 mg, 0.00205 mmol) under N_2 . 1.5 ml of a freshly degassed mixture of 4:1 toluene/diisopropylamine, and 0.5 ml freshly degassed DMF were added via syringe. The reaction vessel was sealed and heated at 60°C for 5 days. The polymer was isolated by precipitation into methanol followed by centrifugation. A yellow powder was

- 29 -

obtained (32 mg, 83%). $M_n = 7,700$, PDI = 2.04 for THF soluble fraction. ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3): 7.66-7.47 (60H, broad multiplet), 7.05 (40H, br), 6.42 (1H, s), 6.39 (1H, s), 6.10 (20H, br), 5.30 (2H, br), 4.68 (20H, br), 4.26 (22H, br), 3.83 (20H, br), 3.65 (20H, br), 3.55 (20H, br), 3.44 (20H, br), 3.31 (19H, br), 2.78 (2H), 1.40-1.25 (6H, broad multiplet).

Polymer 4 was prepared as is shown schematically in Fig. 5E. A 25 ml Schlenk flask was charged with molecules 18 (0.020 g, 0.0306 mmol) and 19 (0.0149 g, 0.0312 mmol), $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$ (1.766 mg, 0.00153 mmol) and CuI (0.291 mg, 0.00153 mmol) under N_2 . 1.5 ml of a freshly degassed mixture of 4:1 toluene/diisopropylamine was added via syringe. The reaction vessel was sealed and heated at 60 °C for 5 days. The polymer was isolated by precipitation into ethyl acetate followed by centrifugation. A yellow powder was obtained (21.3 mg, 80%). $M_n = 14,000$, PDI = 2.02 for THF soluble fraction. ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3): 7.53 (10H, broad multiplet), 7.05 (8H, br), 6.20 (4H, br), 4.68 (4H, br), 4.26 (4H, br), 3.82 (4H, br), 3.65 (4H, br), 3.55 (4H, br), 3.44 (4H, br), 3.31 (6H, br).

To perform energy transfer assays in the solution phase, the following general protocol was used. 7.5 microliters of a stock polymer solution (1 mg/ml in Tris buffer, 40 mM at pH 7.4) was diluted with the same Tris buffer to a total volume of 3 ml in a fluorescence cuvette. To this was added aliquots of dye-labeled streptavidin (1 microliter of a 1 mg/ml solution) and the fluorescence emission was taken at each addition. The excitation wavelength at 440 nm was chosen, and emission spectrum was taken from 455 nm-700 nm.

To perform energy transfer assays in solid phase, the following general protocol was used. The microscope coverslips were pretreated in 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexamethyldisilazane. The polymer solutions at 1 mg/ml in chloroform were spin-cast onto microscope coverslips at a spin rate of 3000 rpm for 1 minute. The coverslips were put under vacuum for 2 h, then were incubated in a solution of dye labeled streptavidin or dye for 1 h. The coverslips were then washed with deionized water, blotted dry and dried under vacuum for a minimum of 5 h. The excitation wavelength at 400 nm was chosen, and the emission spectrum was taken from 415 nm-700 nm.

- 30 -

EXAMPLE 2

This example illustrates a carbohydrate functionalized poly(*p*-phenylene ethynylene) ("PPE") that can be used for detection of *E. coli* by multivalent interactions. This polymer is functionalized after polymerization and provides a versatile scaffold for the rapid attachment of a variety of different carbohydrates (Fig. 6).

Coupling of the 2-aminoethyl mannoside and galactoside1 to the PPE was carried out in the presence of 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-carbodiimide ("EDAC") and *N,N'*-diisopropylethylamine for 16-24 h. This was followed by quenching of unreacted succinimide esters via addition of excess ethanolamine. Uncoupled reagents were removed by dialysis of the reaction mixture against water for 2 days. A phenol sulfuric acid test for carbohydrate loading showed that typically 25% of the reactive sites on the polymer were functionalized with glycosides.

To insure that the mannose moieties conjugated to the polymer retained their ability to interact with carbohydrate binding lectins, a fluorescence resonance energy transfer ("FRET") experiment was carried out between Alexa Fluor 594TM labeled concanavalin A ("Con A," a mannose binding protein) and each of the sugar-functionalized PPEs. Titration of labeled Con A into a solution of mannose coated polymer showed a concentration dependent decrease in fluorescence signal. In contrast, experiments with galactose coated polymer showed no fluorescence change, as expected. Thus, mannose binding lectins interacted with mannose displayed on the polymer and selectivity was retained. Furthermore, the polymer did not exhibit any non-specific binding to Con A.

Two bacterial strains that differed only in their mannose binding properties were used to assess whether the mannosylated PPE 22a could fluorescently stain *E. coli*. In addition to a strain that binds to mannose, a second strain that is mutated in its mannose binding protein (FimH) to abolish mannose binding was used. The non-functionalized polymer 21, the mannosylated polymer 22a, and 2'-fluorescein aminoethyl mannoside were individually incubated with these bacterial strains. After incubating a 1 ml bacterial suspension at an OD600 of 1.0 with the appropriate polymer or dye labeled mannose for 30 minutes, the suspensions were centrifuged to pellet the cells. The supernatant solution containing unbound polymer or dye labeled mannose was discarded and the cells were washed twice with phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.2). The bacteria were then resuspended in PBS. Neither polymer 21 nor the 2'-fluorescein aminoethyl

- 31 -

mannoside appeared to bind either *E. coli* strain. The mannosylated polymer 22a, however, imparted a strong fluorescent label to wild type *E. coli* (Fig. 7) that was not removed even upon separation and rinsing. The resuspended rinsed non-mannose binding *E. coli* gave no polymer fluorescence after incubation with polymer 22a.

Fig. 7 illustrates the visualization of mutant (left) and mannose binding (right) *E. coli* strains after incubation with mannosylated polymer 22a with a transilluminator. Approximately 10 micrograms of polymer was incubated with 1.0 OD₆₀₀ of cells. The cells were imaged under a transilluminator.

These results suggest that multivalent interactions may be critical for detection, since the mannosylated PPE allowed for fluorescent detection of *E. coli* while 2'-fluorescein aminoethyl mannoside did not. The multivalent binding nature of polymer 22a was demonstrated by testing this polymer for hemagglutination inhibition of sheep erythrocytes (Table 1). The inhibition values were found to be over 500-fold greater for the polymer compared to the monomeric mannose derivatives.

Table 1

COMPOUND	INHIBITING DOSE, M
Mannose	0.02
2'-Aminoethyl mannoside	0.01
2'-Fluorescein aminoethyl mannoside	0.01
Mannose conjugated polymer 22a	16×10^{-6}
Non-functionalized polymer 21	N.D.

Binding of mannosylated polymer to bacteria was imaged using laser scanning confocal microscopy and fluorescence microscopy. After incubation with polymer 22a, the mutant bacteria remained as individual cells that did not bind to polymer (Fig. 8A), while the wild type bacteria formed clusters with fluorescent centers where the polymer was bound to many cells (Fig. 8B). These brightly fluorescent clusters were formed by thirty to several thousand bacteria (Figs. 8B and 8C). The larger clusters had the strongest fluorescence signal while single cells in the culture exhibited little fluorescence. Such direct polymer-cell clustering has not been previously reported with

- 32 -

E. coli, nor has it been used for detection purposes. Additionally, the fluorescence emission spectrum of the polymer in the bacterial clusters exhibited a more red-shifted and aggregated behavior (increased emission at 550 nm) than spectra in PBS solution (Fig. 8D). This is consistent with increased pi-stacking interactions between the polymer strands as they are brought into closer proximity by the bacteria.

Figs. 8A-8B illustrate laser scanning confocal microscopy images. Fig. 8A illustrates mutant type *E. coli* that did not bind to polymer 22a. Individual cells were observed with no aggregation. Fig. 8B shows fluorescent bacterial aggregate due to multivalent interactions between the wild type bacterial pili and polymer 22a (superimposed fluorescence and transmitted light images). Figs. 8C shows a fluorescence microscopy image of a large fluorescent bacterial cluster. Fig. 8D is a conventional fluorescence spectra of polymer 22a in PBS (solid line) and normalized fluorescence spectra of a bacterial cluster obtained using confocal microscopy (dotted line).

In conclusion, a new method for fluorescent detection of bacteria based on water soluble fluorescent conjugated polymers has been illustrated in this example. Glycosides displayed on the surface of the polymers retained their ability to interact with known carbohydrate binding lectins. Incubation of the polymers with *E. coli* showed that the polymers were able to bind to bacteria and yield brightly fluorescent cell clusters. This aggregation may be due to multivalent interactions between the mannosylated polymer and mannose receptors located on the bacterial pili, which was supported by microscopy and hemagglutination experiments. This multivalency and resulting cell aggregation can be essential for detection. The preference of different bacteria to bind to specific carbohydrates may allow the potential sensing of a range of pathogens, such as cholera, in water and other sources.

While several embodiments of the present invention have been described and illustrated herein, those of ordinary skill in the art will readily envision a variety of other means and/or structures for performing the functions and/or obtaining the results and/or one or more of the advantages described herein, and each of such variations and/or modifications is deemed to be within the scope of the present invention. More generally, those skilled in the art will readily appreciate that all parameters, dimensions, materials, and configurations described herein are meant to be exemplary and that the actual

- 33 -

parameters, dimensions, materials, and/or configurations will depend upon the specific application or applications for which the teachings of the present invention is/are used. Those skilled in the art will recognize, or be able to ascertain using no more than routine experimentation, many equivalents to the specific embodiments of the invention described herein. It is, therefore, to be understood that the foregoing embodiments are presented by way of example only and that, within the scope of the appended claims and equivalents thereto, the invention may be practiced otherwise than as specifically described and claimed. The present invention is directed to each individual feature, system, article, material, kit, and/or method described herein. In addition, any combination of two or more such features, systems, articles, materials, kits, and/or methods, if such features, systems, articles, materials, kits, and/or methods are not mutually inconsistent, is included within the scope of the present invention.

All definitions, as defined and used herein, should be understood to control over dictionary definitions, definitions in documents incorporated by reference, and/or ordinary meanings of the defined terms.

The indefinite articles "a" and "an," as used herein in the specification and in the claims, unless clearly indicated to the contrary, should be understood to mean "at least one."

The phrase "and/or," as used herein in the specification and in the claims, should be understood to mean "either or both" of the elements so conjoined, i.e., elements that are conjunctively present in some cases and disjunctively present in other cases. Multiple elements listed with "and/or" should be construed in the same fashion, i.e., "one or more" of the elements so conjoined. Other elements may optionally be present other than the elements specifically identified by the "and/or" clause, whether related or unrelated to those elements specifically identified. Thus, as a non-limiting example, a reference to "A and/or B", when used in conjunction with open-ended language such as "comprising" can refer, in one embodiment, to A only (optionally including elements other than B); in another embodiment, to B only (optionally including elements other than A); in yet another embodiment, to both A and B (optionally including other elements); etc.

As used herein in the specification and in the claims, "or" should be understood to have the same meaning as "and/or" as defined above. For example, when separating items in a list, "or" or "and/or" shall be interpreted as being inclusive, i.e., the inclusion

- 34 -

of at least one, but also including more than one, of a number or list of elements, and, optionally, additional unlisted items. Only terms clearly indicated to the contrary, such as “only one of” or “exactly one of,” or, when used in the claims, “consisting of,” will refer to the inclusion of exactly one element of a number or list of elements. In general, the term “or” as used herein shall only be interpreted as indicating exclusive alternatives (i.e. “one or the other but not both”) when preceded by terms of exclusivity, such as “either,” “one of,” “only one of,” or “exactly one of.” “Consisting essentially of,” when used in the claims, shall have its ordinary meaning as used in the field of patent law.

As used herein in the specification and in the claims, the phrase “at least one,” in reference to a list of one or more elements, should be understood to mean at least one element selected from any one or more of the elements in the list of elements, but not necessarily including at least one of each and every element specifically listed within the list of elements and not excluding any combinations of elements in the list of elements. This definition also allows that elements may optionally be present other than the elements specifically identified within the list of elements to which the phrase “at least one” refers, whether related or unrelated to those elements specifically identified. Thus, as a non-limiting example, “at least one of A and B” (or, equivalently, “at least one of A or B,” or, equivalently “at least one of A and/or B”) can refer, in one embodiment, to at least one, optionally including more than one, A, with no B present (and optionally including elements other than B); in another embodiment, to at least one, optionally including more than one, B, with no A present (and optionally including elements other than A); in yet another embodiment, to at least one, optionally including more than one, A, and at least one, optionally including more than one, B (and optionally including other elements); etc.

It should also be understood that, unless clearly indicated to the contrary, in any methods claimed herein that include more than one step or act, the order of the steps or acts of the method is not necessarily limited to the order in which the steps or acts of the method are recited.

In the claims, as well as in the specification above, all transitional phrases such as “comprising,” “including,” “carrying,” “having,” “containing,” “involving,” “holding,” “composed of,” and the like are to be understood to be open-ended, i.e., to mean including but not limited to. Only the transitional phrases “consisting of” and “consisting essentially of” shall be closed or semi-closed transitional phrases,

- 35 -

respectively, as set forth in the United States Patent Office Manual of Patent Examining Procedures, Section 2111.03.

What is claimed is:

- 36 -

CLAIMS

1. A method of determining an analyte, comprising:

5 exposing a sample suspected of containing an analyte to a polymer and an indicator,

wherein, if the sample contains the analyte, the analyte binds both the polymer and indicator in a manner bringing them into proximity with each other such that an emissive signal is produced at a threshold level,

10 the polymer and the indicator being arranged with respect to each other, prior to the exposing, such that, in the absence of the analyte, the signal at the threshold level does not occur,

the polymer being an energy donor and the indicator being an energy acceptor, each of the polymer and the indicator having a maximum emission wavelength,

15 wherein the maximum emission wavelength of the polymer and the maximum emission wavelength of the indicator are separated by at least about 100 nm.

- 20 2. A method of determining an analyte, comprising:

exposing a sample suspected of containing an analyte to a polymer and an indicator,

25 wherein, if the sample contains the analyte, the analyte binds both the polymer and indicator in a manner bringing them into proximity with each other such that an emissive signal is produced at a threshold level,

the polymer and indicator being arranged with respect to each other, prior to the exposing, such that, in the absence of the analyte, the signal at the threshold level does not occur,

30 wherein the polymer and indicator are brought into proximity with each other via a ligand/receptor interaction.

3. A method of determining an analyte, comprising:

exposing a sample suspected of containing an analyte to a polymer and an

- 37 -

indicator,

wherein, if the sample contains the analyte, the analyte binds both the polymer and indicator in a manner bringing them into proximity with each other such that an emissive signal is produced at a threshold level,

5 the polymer and indicator being arranged with respect to each other, prior to the exposing, such that, in the absence of the analyte, the signal at the threshold level does not occur,

wherein the polymer is exposed to the indicator prior to or simultaneously with exposure of the polymer to the analyte.

10

4. A method of determining an analyte, comprising:

exposing a sample suspected of containing an analyte to a polymer and an indicator,

15

wherein, if the sample contains the analyte, the analyte binds both the polymer and indicator in a manner bringing them into proximity with each other such that an emissive signal is produced at a threshold level,

the polymer and indicator being arranged with respect to each other, prior to the exposing, such that, in the absence of the analyte, the signal at the threshold level does not occur,

20

wherein the analyte is a biological entity capable of reproduction.

5. A method of determining a biological entity, comprising:

25

exposing a sample suspected of containing a biological entity to a fluorescent conjugated polymer comprising a plurality of biological recognition elements, at least some of which are able to specifically interact with the biological entity; and

determining fluorescence of the sample.

30

6. The method of claims 1, 2, 3, or 4, wherein the polymer is a conjugated polymer.

7. The method of claims 1, 2, 3, or 4, wherein the polymer is fluorescent.

- 38 -

8. The method of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5, wherein the polymer comprises a phenylene ethynylene moiety.
9. The method of claims 1, 2, 3, or 4, wherein the polymer comprises a biological
5 recognition element.
10. The method of claims 5 or 9, wherein the biological recognition element or elements includes a carbohydrate.
- 10 11. The method of claims 5 or 9, wherein the biological recognition element or elements includes a saccharide.
12. The method of claim 11, wherein the biological recognition element or elements includes a monosaccharide.
15
13. The method of claim 11, wherein the biological recognition element or elements includes an oligosaccharide.
14. The method of claim 11, wherein the biological recognition element or elements includes a polysaccharide.
20
15. The method of claims 5 or 9, wherein the biological recognition element or elements includes a glycosaminoglycan.
- 25 16. The method of claims 5 or 9, wherein the biological recognition element or elements includes a glycolipid.
17. The method of claims 5 or 9, wherein the biological recognition element or elements includes a protein.
30
18. The method of claims 5 or 9, wherein the biological recognition element or elements includes an antibody.

- 39 -

19. The method of claims 5 or 9, wherein the biological recognition element or elements includes a lectin.
20. The method of claims 5 or 9, wherein the biological recognition element or
5 elements includes DNA.
21. The method of claims 5 or 9, wherein the biological recognition element or elements includes RNA.
- 10 22. The method of claims 5 or 9, wherein the biological recognition element or elements includes an oligonucleotide.
23. The method of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5, wherein the sample is taken from food.
- 15 24. The method of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5, wherein the sample is taken from water.
25. The method of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5, wherein the sample is taken from a bodily fluid.
- 20 26. The method of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5, wherein the sample is an environmental sample.
27. The method of claims 2, 3, or 4, wherein the polymer has a maximum emission wavelength, and the indicator has a maximum emission wavelength different than
25 the maximum emission wavelength of the polymer.
28. The method of claim 5, wherein the polymer has a maximum emission wavelength, further comprising exposing the sample to an indicator having a maximum emission wavelength different than the maximum emission
30 wavelength of the polymer.

- 40 -

29. The method of claims 27 or 28, wherein the maximum emission wavelength of the polymer and the maximum emission wavelength of the indicator are separated by at least about 100 nm.
- 5 30. The method of claims 1 or 29, wherein the maximum emission wavelength of the polymer and the maximum emission wavelength of the indicator are separated by at least about 150 nm.
- 10 31. The method of claim 30, wherein the maximum emission wavelength of the polymer and the maximum emission wavelength of the indicator are separated by at least about 200 nm.
- 15 32. The method of claim 31, wherein the maximum emission wavelength of the polymer and the maximum emission wavelength of the indicator are separated by at least about 250 nm.
- 20 33. The method of claim 32, wherein the maximum emission wavelength of the polymer and the maximum emission wavelength of the indicator are separated by at least about 300 nm.
34. The method of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, or 28, wherein the polymer and the indicator interact through a biotin-streptavidin interaction.
- 25 35. The method of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, or 28, wherein the polymer and the indicator interact through a biotin-avidin interaction.
- 30 36. The method of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, or 28, the polymer having a first emission spectrum and the indicator having a second emission spectrum, wherein the first emission spectrum and the second emission spectrum overlap by less than about 10%.
37. The method of claim 36, wherein the overlap is less than about 5%.

- 41 -

38. The method of claims 1, 2, or 3, the polymer having a first emission lifetime, the indicator having a second emission lifetime, wherein the first emission lifetime is at least about 100 times greater than the second emission lifetime.
- 5 39. The method of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5, wherein the polymer has a maximum emission wavelength less than about 700 nanometers.
40. The method of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, or 28, wherein the indicator has a maximum emission wavelength greater than about 700 nanometers.
- 10 41. The method of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, or 28, wherein the polymer and indicator are brought into proximity with each other via a ligand/receptor interaction.
42. The method of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, or 28, wherein the polymer is exposed to the
15 indicator prior to or simultaneously with exposure of the polymer to the analyte.
43. The method of claims 1, 2, 3, or 4, wherein the analyte is a biological entity.
44. The method of claims 5 or 43, wherein the biological entity is capable of
20 reproduction.

1/14




Fig. 1

2/14




Fig. 2A




Fig. 2B

3/14




Fig. 3A





Fig. 3B

4/14



RhB-strept

Fig. 3C




Fig. 3D


T-Red XTTM-strept

Fig. 3E

5/14




Fig. 3F




Fig. 3G

6/14




Fig. 3H




Fig. 3I

7/14




Fig. 4A




Fig. 4B

8/14




Fig. 5A

9/14





Fig. 5B






Fig. 5D

12/14




Fig. 5E

13/14




Fig. 6




Fig. 7

14/14




Fig. 8A




Fig. 8B




Fig. 8C




Fig. 8D