



(51) International Patent Classification:

C12N 15/82 (2006.01) A01H 5/00 (2006.01)
C07K 14/415 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/US2010/042231

(22) International Filing Date:

16 July 2010 (16.07.2010)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

61/228,195 24 July 2009 (24.07.2009) US
61/286,061 14 December 2009 (14.12.2009) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **PIONEER HI-BRED INTERNATIONAL, INC.** [US/US];
7100 N.W. 62nd Avenue, Johnston, Iowa 50131-1014 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **LAWIT, Shai, J.** [US/US]; 2406 133rd Street, Urbandale, Iowa 50323 (US). **TOMES, Dwight, T.** [US/US]; 7883 103rd Lane, Grimes, Iowa 50111 (US).

(74) Agent: **DEAVER, Janice, L.**; Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc., 7250 N.W. 62nd Avenue, Johnston, Iowa 50131-0552 (US).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RS, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Declarations under Rule 4.17:

— as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a patent (Rule 4.17(ii))

Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

— with sequence listing part of description (Rule 5.2(a))

(54) Title: THE USE OF DIMERIZATION DOMAIN COMPONENT STACKS TO MODULATE PLANT ARCHITECTURE




FIGURE 4

(57) Abstract: This invention provides means for altering the harvest index of crop plants by modulating the expression of transgenic genes using dimerization domain and component stacks, thereby modulating plant architecture. The transgene/dimerization domain stacks are provided in a single transformation vector unit and are used to modulate plant growth, yield, and harvest index in plants.

THE USE OF DIMERIZATION DOMAIN COMPONENT STACKS TO MODULATE PLANT ARCHITECTURE

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5 The invention relates generally to the field of molecular biology.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Harvest index, ratio of grain to total above ground biomass, has remained nearly constant around 50% in maize over the past 100 years (Sinclair, (1998) *Crop Science* 38:638-643; Tollenaar and Wu, (1999) "*Crop Science* 39:1597-1604). Thus, the quadrupling of grain yield over the last 50-60 years has resulted from an increase in total biomass production per unit land area, which has been accomplished by increased planting density (Duvick and Cassman, (1999) *Crop Science* 39:1622-1630). Selection for higher grain yield under increasing planting densities has led to a significant architectural change in plant structure that of relatively erect and narrow leaves to minimize shading. An undesirable consequence of higher density planting (or higher plant populations) has been the increased frequency of stalk and root lodging. The relationship between planting density and biomass production deviates significantly from linearity as the optimal density is approached for maximal biomass yield per unit land area. This is reflected in a proportionately greater reduction in the individual plant biomass, which manifests in the form of weaker stalks and hence increased lodging. In addition, approximately 20% of total biomass at maturity stays in the form of roots in the soil, contributing to its organic matter content (Amos and Walters, 2006). Since both stalk and root lodging are agronomic characteristics affecting harvest index, dwarf type plants could have potential advantages in yield stability.

Dwarf plants have had a major impact on agriculture. Dwarf varieties of wheat (and other small grain cereals) are widely used in North America due to both reduced potential for lodging and response to more intensive management and yield stability and potentially higher yields. There are other benefits that may be realized from the higher harvest index of dwarf crop plants including reductions in the amounts of pesticides and fertilizers required, higher planting densities and reduced labor costs. Dwarf plants provide ease in harvesting, simplified management of crops and potential reductions in water and nutrient use.

In view of the current trends of both increasing human population and the decreasing land area suitable for agriculture, increasing agricultural productivity is, and will continue to be, a challenge of paramount importance. Dwarf crop plants are important

components of our agricultural production system. Increased usage of dwarf crop plants may help to meet the agricultural production demands of the future.

Genes that increase stalk strength, i.e., Cellulose Synthase, are responsible for cellulose production in crop plants, can be modified to increase size and strength of various plant tissues. Cellulose in a unit length of the maize stalk was found to be the best indicator of mechanical strength (Appenzeller, *et al.*, (2004) *Cellulose* 11:287-299; Ching, *et al.*, (2006)). Increasing cellulose concentration in the stalk dry matter could lead to improving stalk mechanical strength and increasing biomass which in turn increases yield and potentially harvest index. Improvements in plant strength (biomass) and growth of specific plant tissues (organs) provides plants with greater biomass and increased harvest index.

Flowering time determines maturity, an important agronomic trait. Genes that control the transition from vegetative to reproductive growth are essential for manipulation of flowering time. In maize, flowering genes provide opportunities for enhanced crop yield, adaptation of germplasm to different climatic zones and synchronous flowering for hybrid seed production. The development of inbred lines having modified flowering facilitates the movement of elite germplasm across maturity zones. In addition, additional opportunities exist to increase the rate of grain fill and/or grain dry down to complement changes in the onset of flowering.

The combined controlled expression of plant architecture genes, flowering time genes and dwarfing gene components within transformed plants would not only increase the yield potential and harvest index of crop plants but would also improve the agronomic characteristics that simplify management practices and increase the adaptation of crop species into new geographic areas.

This invention provides means for altering the harvest index of crop plants by modulating the expression of transgenes using multiple stacked plant genes and dwarf gene components, thereby modulating plant architecture. A component of Dwarf gene D8, the dimerization domain (DD), a leucine-zipper dimerization domain (SEQ ID NO: 9) is overexpressed as a dominant negative transgene. The transgene/dimerization domain component stacks are provided in a single transformation vector unit and are used to modulate specific plant organs of a plant that can increase growth, yield and harvest index in plants. The expression in specific plant tissues, such as roots, ears or tassels can lead to elongation of the specific plant organs.

These stacked units could be used to enhance crop plant performance and value in several areas including: 1) plant standability (composed of stalk and root lodging), harvest index and yield potential; 2) modification of specific plant organ size; 3) plant dry matter as a feedstock for ethanol or for other renewable bioproducts and 4) silage.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Compositions and methods for controlling plant growth and dimerization domain component stack formation for increasing yield in a plant are provided. The compositions
5 include dimerization domain component stacks from maize. Compositions of the invention comprise amino acid sequences and nucleotide sequences selected from SEQ ID NOS: 1-22 as well as variants and fragments thereof.

Polynucleotides encoding the dimerization domain component stacks are provided in DNA constructs for expression in a plant of interest. Expression cassettes, plants, plant
10 cells, plant parts and seeds comprising the sequences of the invention are further provided. In specific embodiments, the polynucleotide is operably linked to a constitutive promoter.

Methods for modulating the level of a dimerization domain component stack sequence in a plant or plant part are provided. The methods comprise introducing into a
15 plant or plant part a heterologous polynucleotide comprising a dimerization domain component stack sequence of the invention. The level of a dimerization domain component stack polypeptide can be increased or decreased. Such method can be used to increase the yield in plants; in one embodiment, the method is used to increase grain yield in cereals.

The plant hormone GA is active in various growth processes, specifically the elongation of stem and root during plant growth. The D8 (and D9) genes of maize encode for transcriptional regulators that act as inhibitors of the gibberellic acid signal transduction pathway, and consist of a DELLA and GRAS domain. The GA receptor interacts with DELLA proteins in the presence of GA, which leads to poly-ubiquitination of the DELLA
25 protein. Poly-ubiquitination signals for protein degradation by the 26S proteasome. The degradation of the DELLA proteins removes their inhibition of the GA growth response. In general, the rate of degradation of the D8/D9 proteins appears to correlate with plant size (i.e. slower degradation results in less response to GA, less elongation and a greater height reduction). Deletions and specific mutations in the DELLA domain of D8 are responsible for the dwarfing phenotype because of the altered degradation kinetics of
30 these proteins.

The D8 (and D9) proteins are thought to function in-vivo as a dimer, whose catabolism regulates plant elongation. Dimers of strong dwarf genes such as D8 are less sensitive to degradation while moderate dwarf genes such as D8MPL are relatively more
35 sensitive to degradation. The native wild type gene d8 is sensitive to degradation and a tall or normal height is observed. A specific leucine-zipper domain of the D8 protein, ZM-D8 243-331, is involved in the formation of the dimers. An altered dimerization domain

protein is formed by over expression of the ZM-D8 243-331 protein. These truncated protein fragments compete for binding to the leucine-zipper domain of full length D8 and D9. This competitive binding leads to the formation of defective dimers having a full-length protein::truncated protein. The resultant non-functional dimer lacks the capacity to inhibit the GA response, and when present in a plant or plant organ increases elongation. Further, tissue specific expression using promoters for specific plant organs such as roots, ears or tassels are expected to have increased size (length) compared to dwarf plants. Specifically, a dwarf plant type could have roots that are similar in size to wild type or normal statured plants.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1: Root Growth as measured in Mini Rhizitrons in Johnston IA in 2006 with Hybrid 33A14, PHP24843, PHP26998 and PHP26998

Figure 2: D8-MPL Stack Average Harvest Index by construct, based on late season plant dry weight.

Figure 3: D8-MPL Stack Yield Comparison at 24K

Figure 4: Diagram describing selective architecture modification of Zm-D8 243-331, a dominant negative transgene, overexpression of DD, leading to non-functional dimers. Non-functional Dimers (DN) increase elongation when expressed in tissues such as roots, ears or tassels.

Figure 5: Alignment of DD domains across various species, Glycine max (SEQ ID NOS: 24, 26, 28 30, Arabidopsis thaliana (SEQ ID NOS: 32, 34, 36, 38 and 40), Zea mays (SEQ ID NO: 19), showing conserved regions and consensus sequence (SEQ ID NO: 41).

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Unless defined otherwise, all technical and scientific terms used herein have the same meaning as commonly understood by one of ordinary skill in the art to which this invention belongs. Unless mentioned otherwise, the techniques employed or contemplated herein are standard methodologies well known to one of ordinary skill in the art. The materials, methods and examples are illustrative only and not limiting. The following is presented by way of illustration and is not intended to limit the scope of the invention.

The present inventions now will be described more fully hereinafter with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which some, but not all embodiments of the invention are shown. Indeed, these inventions may be embodied in many different forms and should not be construed as limited to the embodiments set forth herein; rather, these

embodiments are provided so that this disclosure will satisfy applicable legal requirements. Like numbers refer to like elements throughout.

Many modifications and other embodiments of the inventions set forth herein will come to mind to one skilled in the art to which these inventions pertain having the benefit of the teachings presented in the foregoing descriptions and the associated drawings. Therefore, it is to be understood that the inventions are not to be limited to the specific embodiments disclosed and that modifications and other embodiments are intended to be included within the scope of the appended claims. Although specific terms are employed herein, they are used in a generic and descriptive sense only and not for purposes of limitation.

The practice of the present invention will employ, unless otherwise indicated, conventional techniques of botany, microbiology, tissue culture, molecular biology, chemistry, biochemistry and recombinant DNA technology, which are within the skill of the art. Such techniques are explained fully in the literature. See, e.g., Langenheim and Thimann, BOTANY: PLANT BIOLOGY AND ITS RELATION TO HUMAN AFFAIRS, John Wiley (1982); CELL CULTURE AND SOMATIC CELL GENETICS OF PLANTS, vol. 1, Vasil, ed. (1984); Stanier, *et al.*, THE MICROBIAL WORLD, 5th ed., Prentice-Hall (1986); Dhringra and Sinclair, BASIC PLANT PATHOLOGY METHODS, CRC Press (1985); Maniatis, *et al.*, MOLECULAR CLONING: A LABORATORY MANUAL (1982); DNA CLONING, vols. I and II, Glover, ed. (1985); OLIGONUCLEOTIDE SYNTHESIS, Gait, ed. (1984); NUCLEIC ACID HYBRIDIZATION, Hames and Higgins, eds. (1984) and the series METHODS IN ENZYMOLOGY, Colowick and Kaplan, eds, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA.

Units, prefixes and symbols may be denoted in their SI accepted form. Unless otherwise indicated, nucleic acids are written left to right in 5' to 3' orientation; amino acid sequences are written left to right in amino to carboxy orientation, respectively. Numeric ranges are inclusive of the numbers defining the range. Amino acids may be referred to herein by either their commonly known three letter symbols or by the one-letter symbols recommended by the IUPAC-IUB Biochemical Nomenclature Commission. Nucleotides, likewise, may be referred to by their commonly accepted single-letter codes. The terms defined below are more fully defined by reference to the specification as a whole.

In describing the present invention, the following terms will be employed, and are intended to be defined as indicated below.

By "microbe" is meant any microorganism (including both eukaryotic and prokaryotic microorganisms), such as fungi, yeast, bacteria, actinomycetes, algae and protozoa, as well as other unicellular structures.

By “amplified” is meant the construction of multiple copies of a nucleic acid sequence or multiple copies complementary to the nucleic acid sequence using at least one of the nucleic acid sequences as a template. Amplification systems include the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) system, ligase chain reaction (LCR) system, nucleic acid sequence based amplification (NASBA, Cangene, Mississauga, Ontario), Q-Beta Replicase systems, transcription-based amplification system (TAS), and strand displacement amplification (SDA). See, e.g., DIAGNOSTIC MOLECULAR MICROBIOLOGY: PRINCIPLES AND APPLICATIONS, Persing, *et al.*, eds., American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC (1993). The product of amplification is termed an amplicon.

The term “conservatively modified variants” applies to both amino acid and nucleic acid sequences. With respect to particular nucleic acid sequences, conservatively modified variants refer to those nucleic acids that encode identical or conservatively modified variants of the amino acid sequences. Because of the degeneracy of the genetic code, a large number of functionally identical nucleic acids encode any given protein. For instance, the codons GCA, GCC, GCG and GCU all encode the amino acid alanine. Thus, at every position where an alanine is specified by a codon, the codon can be altered to any of the corresponding codons described without altering the encoded polypeptide. Such nucleic acid variations are “silent variations” and represent one species of conservatively modified variation. Every nucleic acid sequence herein that encodes a polypeptide also describes every possible silent variation of the nucleic acid. One of ordinary skill will recognize that each codon in a nucleic acid (except AUG, which is ordinarily the only codon for methionine; one exception is *Micrococcus rubens*, for which GTG is the methionine codon (Ishizuka, *et al.*, (1993) *J. Gen. Microbiol.* 139:425-32) can be modified to yield a functionally identical molecule. Accordingly, each silent variation of a nucleic acid, which encodes a polypeptide of the present invention, is implicit in each described polypeptide sequence and incorporated herein by reference.

As to amino acid sequences, one of skill will recognize that individual substitutions, deletions or additions to a nucleic acid, peptide, polypeptide or protein sequence which alters, adds or deletes a single amino acid or a small percentage of amino acids in the encoded sequence is a “conservatively modified variant” when the alteration results in the substitution of an amino acid with a chemically similar amino acid. Thus, any number of amino acid residues selected from the group of integers consisting of from 1 to 15 can be so altered. Thus, for example, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 or 10 alterations can be made. Conservatively modified variants typically provide similar biological activity as the unmodified polypeptide sequence from which they are derived. For example, substrate specificity, enzyme activity, or ligand/receptor binding is generally at least 30%, 40%,

50%, 60%, 70%, 80% or 90%, preferably 60-90% of the native protein for its native substrate. Conservative substitution tables providing functionally similar amino acids are well known in the art.

The following six groups each contain amino acids that are conservative substitutions for one another:

- 1) Alanine (A), Serine (S), Threonine (T);
- 2) Aspartic acid (D), Glutamic acid (E);
- 3) Asparagine (N), Glutamine (Q);
- 4) Arginine (R), Lysine (K);
- 5) Isoleucine (I), Leucine (L), Methionine (M), Valine (V) and
- 6) Phenylalanine (F), Tyrosine (Y), Tryptophan (W).

See also, Creighton, *PROTEINS*, W.H. Freeman and Co. (1984).

As used herein in the context of nucleic acids in general, "consisting essentially of" means the inclusion of additional sequences to an object polynucleotide where the additional sequences do not selectively hybridize, under stringent hybridization conditions, to the same cDNA as the polynucleotide and where the hybridization conditions include a wash step in 0.1X SSC and 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate at 65°C.

The term "consisting essentially of" or "consists essentially of" in the context of a nucleic acid sequence encoding a dimerization domain or the amino acid sequence of the dimerization domain, generally refers to a recombinant dimerization domain sequence and any other sequence that does not materially alter the basic binding property of the dimerization domain fragment, for example, to form a defective dimer with the target protein. For example, the ZM-D8 243-331 is a portion of the D8 protein that corresponds to a dimerization domain region. In an embodiment, this domain fragment may contain other sequences both to the amino and/or carboxy-terminus as long as the additional sequences do not materially alter the basic binding characteristics of the dimerization domain fragment with the target protein that results in reduced inhibition by gibberellic acid (GA) hormone. For example, a full-length D8 amino acid sequence is not suitable as it will result in the formation of a functional dimer that blocks GA response.

By "encoding" or "encoded," with respect to a specified nucleic acid, is meant comprising the information for translation into the specified protein. A nucleic acid encoding a protein may comprise non-translated sequences (e.g., introns) within translated regions of the nucleic acid, or may lack such intervening non-translated sequences (e.g., as in cDNA). The information by which a protein is encoded is specified by the use of codons. Typically, the amino acid sequence is encoded by the nucleic acid using the "universal" genetic code. However, variants of the universal code, such as is present in some plant, animal and fungal mitochondria, the bacterium *Mycoplasma*

capricolum (Yamao, *et al.*, (1985) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 82:2306-2309) or the ciliate *Macronucleus*, may be used when the nucleic acid is expressed using these organisms.

When the nucleic acid is prepared or altered synthetically, advantage can be taken of known codon preferences of the intended host where the nucleic acid is to be expressed. For example, although nucleic acid sequences of the present invention may be expressed in both monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plant species, sequences can be modified to account for the specific codon preferences and GC content preferences of monocotyledonous plants or dicotyledonous plants as these preferences have been shown to differ (Murray, *et al.*, (1989) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 17:477-98 and herein incorporated by reference). Thus, the maize preferred codon for a particular amino acid might be derived from known gene sequences from maize. Maize codon usage for 28 genes from maize plants is listed in Table 4 of Murray, *et al.*, *supra*.

As used herein, "heterologous" in reference to a nucleic acid is a nucleic acid that originates from a foreign species, or, if from the same species, is substantially modified from its native form in composition and/or genomic locus by deliberate human intervention. For example, a promoter operably linked to a heterologous structural gene is from a species different from that from which the structural gene was derived or, if from the same species, one or both are substantially modified from their original form. A heterologous protein may originate from a foreign species or, if from the same species, is substantially modified from its original form by deliberate human intervention.

By "host cell" is meant a cell, which contains a vector and supports the replication and/or expression of the expression vector. Host cells may be prokaryotic cells such as *E. coli*, or eukaryotic cells such as yeast, insect, plant, amphibian or mammalian cells. Preferably, host cells are monocotyledonous or dicotyledonous plant cells, including but not limited to maize, sorghum, sunflower, soybean, wheat, alfalfa, rice, cotton, canola, barley, millet and tomato. A particularly preferred monocotyledonous host cell is a maize host cell.

The term "hybridization complex" includes reference to a duplex nucleic acid structure formed by two single-stranded nucleic acid sequences selectively hybridized with each other.

The term "introduced" in the context of inserting a nucleic acid into a cell, means "transfection" or "transformation" or "transduction" and includes reference to the incorporation of a nucleic acid into a eukaryotic or prokaryotic cell where the nucleic acid may be incorporated into the genome of the cell (e.g., chromosome, plasmid, plastid or mitochondrial DNA), converted into an autonomous replicon, or transiently expressed (e.g., transfected mRNA).

The terms "isolated" refers to material, such as a nucleic acid or a protein, which is substantially or essentially free from components which normally accompany or interact with it as found in its naturally occurring environment. The isolated material optionally comprises material not found with the material in its natural environment. Nucleic acids, which are "isolated", as defined herein, are also referred to as "heterologous" nucleic acids. Unless otherwise stated, the term "dimerization domain component stack nucleic acid" means a nucleic acid comprising a polynucleotide ("dimerization domain component stack polynucleotide") encoding a dimerization domain component stack polypeptide.

As used herein, "nucleic acid" includes reference to a deoxyribonucleotide or ribonucleotide polymer in either single- or double-stranded form, and unless otherwise limited, encompasses known analogues having the essential nature of natural nucleotides in that they hybridize to single-stranded nucleic acids in a manner similar to naturally occurring nucleotides (e.g., peptide nucleic acids).

By "nucleic acid library" is meant a collection of isolated DNA or RNA molecules, which comprise and substantially represent the entire transcribed fraction of a genome of a specified organism. Construction of exemplary nucleic acid libraries, such as genomic and cDNA libraries, is taught in standard molecular biology references such as Berger and Kimmel, GUIDE TO MOLECULAR CLONING TECHNIQUES, from the series METHODS IN ENZYMOLOGY, vol. 152, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA (1987); Sambrook, *et al.*, MOLECULAR CLONING: A LABORATORY MANUAL, 2nd ed., vols. 1-3 (1989) and CURRENT PROTOCOLS IN MOLECULAR BIOLOGY, Ausubel, *et al.*, eds, Current Protocols, a joint venture between Greene Publishing Associates, Inc. and John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (1994 Supplement).

As used herein "operably linked" includes reference to a functional linkage between a first sequence, such as a promoter and a second sequence, wherein the promoter sequence initiates and mediates transcription of the DNA sequence corresponding to the second sequence. Generally, operably linked means that the nucleic acid sequences being linked are contiguous and, where necessary to join two protein coding regions, contiguous and in the same reading frame.

As used herein, the term "plant" includes reference to whole plants, plant organs (e.g., leaves, stems, roots, etc.), seeds and plant cells and progeny of same. Plant cell, as used herein includes, without limitation, seeds suspension cultures, embryos, meristematic regions, callus tissue, leaves, roots, shoots, gametophytes, sporophytes, pollen and microspores. The class of plants, which can be used in the methods of the invention, is generally as broad as the class of higher plants amenable to transformation techniques, including both monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants including species from the genera: *Cucurbita*, *Rosa*, *Vitis*, *Juglans*, *Fragaria*, *Lotus*, *Medicago*,

Onobrychis, *Trifolium*, *Trigonella*, *Vigna*, *Citrus*, *Linum*, *Geranium*, *Manihot*, *Daucus*, *Arabidopsis*, *Brassica*, *Raphanus*, *Sinapis*, *Atropa*, *Capsicum*, *Datura*, *Hyoscyamus*, *Lycopersicon*, *Nicotiana*, *Solanum*, *Petunia*, *Digitalis*, *Majorana*, *Ciahorium*, *Helianthus*, *Lactuca*, *Bromus*, *Asparagus*, *Antirrhinum*, *Heterocallis*, *Nemesis*, *Pelargonium*, *Panieum*,
5 *Pennisetum*, *Ranunculus*, *Senecio*, *Salpiglossis*, *Cucumis*, *Browaalia*, *Glycine*, *Pisum*, *Phaseolus*, *Lolium*, *Oryza*, *Avena*, *Hordeum*, *Secale*, *Allium* and *Triticum*. Also included are grass plants from the *Poaceae* family including but not limited to the genera: *Poa*, *Agrostis*, *Lolium*, *Festuca*, *Zoysia*, *Cynodon*, *Stenotaphrum*, *Paspalum*, *Eremochloa*, *Axonopus*, *Buchloe*, *Bouteloua*, including Bluegrass, Bentgrass, Ryegrasses, Fescues,
10 *Zoysiagrass*, *Bermudagrass*, *St. Augustine grass*, *Bahiagrass*, *Centipedegrass*, *Carpetgrass*, *Buffalograss* and *Gramagrass*. A particularly preferred plant is *Zea mays*.

As used herein, "yield" includes reference to bushels per acre of a grain crop at harvest, as adjusted for grain moisture (15% typically). Grain moisture is measured in the grain at harvest. The adjusted test weight of grain is determined to be the weight in
15 pounds per bushel, adjusted for grain moisture level at harvest.

As used herein, "polynucleotide" includes reference to a deoxyribopolynucleotide, ribopolynucleotide or analogs thereof that have the essential nature of a natural ribonucleotide in that they hybridize, under stringent hybridization conditions, to substantially the same nucleotide sequence as naturally occurring nucleotides and/or
20 allow translation into the same amino acid(s) as the naturally occurring nucleotide(s). A polynucleotide can be full-length or a subsequence of a native or heterologous structural or regulatory gene. Unless otherwise indicated, the term includes reference to the specified sequence as well as the complementary sequence thereof. Thus, DNAs or RNAs with backbones modified for stability or for other reasons are "polynucleotides" as that
25 term is intended herein. Moreover, DNAs or RNAs comprising unusual bases, such as inosine, or modified bases, such as tritylated bases, to name just two examples, are polynucleotides as the term is used herein. It will be appreciated that a great variety of modifications have been made to DNA and RNA that serve many useful purposes known to those of skill in the art. The term polynucleotide as it is employed herein embraces such
30 chemically, enzymatically or metabolically modified forms of polynucleotides, as well as the chemical forms of DNA and RNA characteristic of viruses and cells, including *inter alia*, simple and complex cells.

The terms "polypeptide," "peptide" and "protein" are used interchangeably herein to refer to a polymer of amino acid residues. The terms apply to amino acid polymers in
35 which one or more amino acid residue is an artificial chemical analogue of a corresponding naturally occurring amino acid, as well as to naturally occurring amino acid polymers.

As used herein “promoter” includes reference to a region of DNA upstream from the start of transcription and involved in recognition and binding of RNA polymerase and other proteins to initiate transcription. A “plant promoter” is a promoter capable of initiating transcription in plant cells. Exemplary plant promoters include, but are not limited to, those that are obtained from plants, plant viruses and bacteria which comprise genes expressed in plant cells such *Agrobacterium* or *Rhizobium*. Examples are promoters that preferentially initiate transcription in certain tissues, such as leaves, roots, seeds, fibres, xylem vessels, tracheids or sclerenchyma. Such promoters are referred to as “tissue preferred.” A “cell type” specific promoter primarily drives expression in certain cell types in one or more organs, for example, vascular cells in roots or leaves. An “inducible” or “regulatable” promoter is a promoter, which is under environmental control. Examples of environmental conditions that may effect transcription by inducible promoters include anaerobic conditions or the presence of light. Another type of promoter is a developmentally regulated promoter, for example, a promoter that drives expression during pollen development. Tissue preferred, cell type specific, developmentally regulated and inducible promoters constitute the class of “non-constitutive” promoters. A “constitutive” promoter is a promoter, which is active under most environmental conditions.

The term “dimerization domain component stack polypeptide” refers to one or more amino acid sequences that include the dimerization domain region of interest and another polypeptide sequence that is not the same parent sequence from which the dimerization domain sequence was derived. The term is also inclusive of fragments, variants, homologs, alleles or precursors (e.g., preproteins or proproteins) thereof. A “dimerization domain component stack protein” comprises a dimerization domain component stack polypeptide. Unless otherwise stated, the term “dimerization domain component stack nucleic acid” means a nucleic acid comprising a polynucleotide (“dimerization domain component stack polynucleotide”) encoding a dimerization domain component stack polypeptide.

As used herein “recombinant” includes reference to a cell or vector, that has been modified by the introduction of a heterologous nucleic acid or that the cell is derived from a cell so modified. Thus, for example, recombinant cells express genes that are not found in identical form within the native (non-recombinant) form of the cell or express native genes that are otherwise abnormally expressed, under expressed or not expressed at all as a result of deliberate human intervention. The term “recombinant” as used herein does not encompass the alteration of the cell or vector by naturally occurring events (e.g., spontaneous mutation, natural transformation/transduction/transposition) such as those occurring without deliberate human intervention. The term “recombinant polypeptide” or

“recombinant nucleic acid” refers to the peptide and nucleic acid sequences that have been modified such that they do not exist in nature in their present form.

As used herein, a “recombinant expression cassette” is a nucleic acid construct, generated recombinantly or synthetically, with a series of specified nucleic acid elements, which permit transcription of a particular nucleic acid in a target cell. The recombinant expression cassette can be incorporated into a plasmid, chromosome, mitochondrial DNA, plastid DNA, virus or nucleic acid fragment. Typically, the recombinant expression cassette portion of an expression vector includes, among other sequences, a nucleic acid to be transcribed and a promoter.

The term “residue” or “amino acid residue” or “amino acid” are used interchangeably herein to refer to an amino acid that is incorporated into a protein, polypeptide or peptide (collectively “protein”). The amino acid may be a naturally occurring amino acid and, unless otherwise limited, may encompass known analogs of natural amino acids that can function in a similar manner as naturally occurring amino acids.

The term “selectively hybridizes” includes reference to hybridization, under stringent hybridization conditions, of a nucleic acid sequence to a specified nucleic acid target sequence to a detectably greater degree (e.g., at least 2-fold over background) than its hybridization to non-target nucleic acid sequences and to the substantial exclusion of non-target nucleic acids. Selectively hybridizing sequences typically have about at least 40% sequence identity, preferably 60-90% sequence identity and most preferably 100% sequence identity (i.e., complementary) with each other.

The terms “stringent conditions” or “stringent hybridization conditions” include reference to conditions under which a probe will hybridize to its target sequence, to a detectably greater degree than other sequences (e.g., at least 2-fold over background). Stringent conditions are sequence-dependent and will be different in different circumstances. By controlling the stringency of the hybridization and/or washing conditions, target sequences can be identified which can be up to 100% complementary to the probe (homologous probing). Alternatively, stringency conditions can be adjusted to allow some mismatching in sequences so that lower degrees of similarity are detected (heterologous probing). Optimally, the probe is approximately 500 nucleotides in length, but can vary greatly in length from less than 500 nucleotides to equal to the entire length of the target sequence.

Typically, stringent conditions will be those in which the salt concentration is less than about 1.5 M Na ion, typically about 0.01 to 1.0 M Na ion concentration (or other salts) at pH 7.0 to 8.3 and the temperature is at least about 30°C for short probes (e.g., 10 to 50 nucleotides) and at least about 60°C for long probes (e.g., greater than 50 nucleotides).

Stringent conditions may also be achieved with the addition of destabilizing agents such as formamide or Denhardt's. Exemplary low stringency conditions include hybridization with a buffer solution of 30 to 35% formamide, 1 M NaCl, 1% SDS (sodium dodecyl sulphate) at 37°C, and a wash in 1X to 2X SSC (20X SSC = 3.0 M NaCl/0.3 M trisodium citrate) at 50 to 55°C. Exemplary moderate stringency conditions include hybridization in 40 to 45% formamide, 1 M NaCl, 1% SDS at 37°C and a wash in 0.5X to 1X SSC at 55 to 60°C. Exemplary high stringency conditions include hybridization in 50% formamide, 1 M NaCl, 1% SDS at 37°C and a wash in 0.1X SSC at 60 to 65°C. Specificity is typically the function of post-hybridization washes, the critical factors being the ionic strength and temperature of the final wash solution. For DNA-DNA hybrids, the T_m can be approximated from the equation of Meinkoth and Wahl, (1984) *Anal. Biochem.* 138:267-84: $T_m = 81.5^\circ\text{C} + 16.6 (\log M) + 0.41 (\%GC) - 0.61 (\% \text{ form}) - 500/L$; where M is the molarity of monovalent cations, %GC is the percentage of guanosine and cytosine nucleotides in the DNA, % form is the percentage of formamide in the hybridization solution and L is the length of the hybrid in base pairs. The T_m is the temperature (under defined ionic strength and pH) at which 50% of a complementary target sequence hybridizes to a perfectly matched probe. T_m is reduced by about 1°C for each 1% of mismatching; thus, T_m , hybridization and/or wash conditions can be adjusted to hybridize to sequences of the desired identity. For example, if sequences with $\geq 90\%$ identity are sought, the T_m can be decreased 10°C. Generally, stringent conditions are selected to be about 5°C lower than the thermal melting point (T_m) for the specific sequence and its complement at a defined ionic strength and pH. However, severely stringent conditions can utilize a hybridization and/or wash at 1, 2, 3 or 4°C lower than the thermal melting point (T_m); moderately stringent conditions can utilize a hybridization and/or wash at 6, 7, 8, 9 or 10°C lower than the thermal melting point (T_m); low stringency conditions can utilize a hybridization and/or wash at 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 or 20°C lower than the thermal melting point (T_m). Using the equation, hybridization and wash compositions, and desired T_m , those of ordinary skill will understand that variations in the stringency of hybridization and/or wash solutions are inherently described. If the desired degree of mismatching results in a T_m of less than 45°C (aqueous solution) or 32°C (formamide solution) it is preferred to increase the SSC concentration so that a higher temperature can be used. An extensive guide to the hybridization of nucleic acids is found in Tijssen, LABORATORY TECHNIQUES IN BIOCHEMISTRY AND MOLECULAR BIOLOGY--HYBRIDIZATION WITH NUCLEIC ACID PROBES, part I, chapter 2, "Overview of principles of hybridization and the strategy of nucleic acid probe assays," Elsevier, New York (1993) and CURRENT PROTOCOLS IN MOLECULAR BIOLOGY, chapter 2, Ausubel, *et al.*, eds, Greene Publishing and Wiley-Interscience, New York (1995). Unless

otherwise stated, in the present application high stringency is defined as hybridization in 4X SSC, 5X Denhardt's (5 g Ficoll, 5 g polyvinylpyrrolidone, 5 g bovine serum albumin in 500ml of water), 0.1 mg/ml boiled salmon sperm DNA, and 25 mM Na phosphate at 65°C and a wash in 0.1X SSC, 0.1% SDS at 65°C.

5 As used herein, "transgenic plant" includes reference to a plant, which comprises within its genome a heterologous polynucleotide. Generally, the heterologous polynucleotide is stably integrated within the genome such that the polynucleotide is passed on to successive generations. The heterologous polynucleotide may be integrated into the genome alone or as part of a recombinant expression cassette.

10 "Transgenic" is used herein to include any cell, cell line, callus, tissue, plant part or plant, the genotype of which has been altered by the presence of heterologous nucleic acid including those transgenics initially so altered as well as those created by sexual crosses or asexual propagation from the initial transgenic. The term "transgenic" as used herein does not encompass the alteration of the genome (chromosomal or extra-chromosomal)
15 by conventional plant breeding methods or by naturally occurring events such as random cross-fertilization, non-recombinant viral infection, non-recombinant bacterial transformation, non-recombinant transposition or spontaneous mutation.

As used herein, "vector" includes reference to a nucleic acid used in transfection of a host cell and into which can be inserted a polynucleotide. Vectors are often replicons.

20 Expression vectors permit transcription of a nucleic acid inserted therein.

The following terms are used to describe the sequence relationships between two or more nucleic acids or polynucleotides or polypeptides: (a) "reference sequence," (b) "comparison window," (c) "sequence identity," (d) "percentage of sequence identity" and (e) "substantial identity."

25 As used herein, "reference sequence" is a defined sequence used as a basis for sequence comparison. A reference sequence may be a subset or the entirety of a specified sequence; for example, as a segment of a full-length cDNA or gene sequence or the complete cDNA or gene sequence.

As used herein, "comparison window" means includes reference to a contiguous
30 and specified segment of a polynucleotide sequence, wherein the polynucleotide sequence may be compared to a reference sequence and wherein the portion of the polynucleotide sequence in the comparison window may comprise additions or deletions (i.e., gaps) compared to the reference sequence (which does not comprise additions or deletions) for optimal alignment of the two sequences. Generally, the comparison window
35 is at least 20 contiguous nucleotides in length, and optionally can be 30, 40, 50, 100 or longer. Those of skill in the art understand that to avoid a high similarity to a reference

sequence due to inclusion of gaps in the polynucleotide sequence a gap penalty is typically introduced and is subtracted from the number of matches.

Methods of alignment of nucleotide and amino acid sequences for comparison are well known in the art. The local homology algorithm (BESTFIT) of Smith and Waterman, (1981) *Adv. Appl. Math* 2:482, may conduct optimal alignment of sequences for comparison; by the homology alignment algorithm (GAP) of Needleman and Wunsch, (1970) *J. Mol. Biol.* 48:443-53; by the search for similarity method (Tfasta and Fasta) of Pearson and Lipman, (1988) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 85:2444; by computerized implementations of these algorithms, including, but not limited to: CLUSTAL in the PC/Gene program by Intelligenetics, Mountain View, California, GAP, BESTFIT, BLAST, FASTA, and TFASTA in the Wisconsin Genetics Software Package®, Version 8 (available from Genetics Computer Group (GCG® programs (Accelrys, Inc., San Diego, CA)). The CLUSTAL program is well described by Higgins and Sharp, (1988) *Gene* 73:237-44; Higgins and Sharp, (1989) *CABIOS* 5:151-3; Corpet, *et al.*, (1988) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 16:10881-90; Huang, *et al.*, (1992) *Computer Applications in the Biosciences* 8:155-65 and Pearson, *et al.*, (1994) *Meth. Mol. Biol.* 24:307-31. The preferred program to use for optimal global alignment of multiple sequences is PileUp (Feng and Doolittle, (1987) *J. Mol. Evol.*, 25:351-60 which is similar to the method described by Higgins and Sharp, (1989) *CABIOS* 5:151-53 and hereby incorporated by reference). The BLAST family of programs which can be used for database similarity searches includes: BLASTN for nucleotide query sequences against nucleotide database sequences; BLASTX for nucleotide query sequences against protein database sequences; BLASTP for protein query sequences against protein database sequences; TBLASTN for protein query sequences against nucleotide database sequences and TBLASTX for nucleotide query sequences against nucleotide database sequences. See, CURRENT PROTOCOLS IN MOLECULAR BIOLOGY, Chapter 19, Ausubel, *et al.*, eds., Greene Publishing and Wiley-Interscience, New York (1995).

GAP uses the algorithm of Needleman and Wunsch, *supra*, to find the alignment of two complete sequences that maximizes the number of matches and minimizes the number of gaps. GAP considers all possible alignments and gap positions and creates the alignment with the largest number of matched bases and the fewest gaps. It allows for the provision of a gap creation penalty and a gap extension penalty in units of matched bases. GAP must make a profit of gap creation penalty number of matches for each gap it inserts. If a gap extension penalty greater than zero is chosen, GAP must, in addition, make a profit for each gap inserted of the length of the gap times the gap extension penalty. Default gap creation penalty values and gap extension penalty values in Version 10 of the Wisconsin Genetics Software Package® are 8 and 2, respectively. The gap

creation and gap extension penalties can be expressed as an integer selected from the group of integers consisting of from 0 to 100. Thus, for example, the gap creation and gap extension penalties can be 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50 or greater.

GAP presents one member of the family of best alignments. There may be many members of this family, but no other member has a better quality. GAP displays four figures of merit for alignments: Quality, Ratio, Identity and Similarity. The Quality is the metric maximized in order to align the sequences. Ratio is the quality divided by the number of bases in the shorter segment. Percent Identity is the percent of the symbols that actually match. Percent Similarity is the percent of the symbols that are similar. Symbols that are across from gaps are ignored. A similarity is scored when the scoring matrix value for a pair of symbols is greater than or equal to 0.50, the similarity threshold. The scoring matrix used in Version 10 of the Wisconsin Genetics Software Package® is BLOSUM62 (see, Henikoff and Henikoff, (1989) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 89:10915).

Unless otherwise stated, sequence identity/similarity values provided herein refer to the value obtained using the BLAST 2.0 suite of programs using default parameters (Altschul, *et al.*, (1997) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 25:3389-402).

As those of ordinary skill in the art will understand, BLAST searches assume that proteins can be modeled as random sequences. However, many real proteins comprise regions of nonrandom sequences, which may be homopolymeric tracts, short-period repeats or regions enriched in one or more amino acids. Such low-complexity regions may be aligned between unrelated proteins even though other regions of the protein are entirely dissimilar. A number of low-complexity filter programs can be employed to reduce such low-complexity alignments. For example, the SEG (Wooten and Federhen, (1993) *Comput. Chem.* 17:149-63) and XNU (Claverie and States, (1993) *Comput. Chem.* 17:191-201) low-complexity filters can be employed alone or in combination.

As used herein, "sequence identity" or "identity" in the context of two nucleic acid or polypeptide sequences includes reference to the residues in the two sequences, which are the same when aligned for maximum correspondence over a specified comparison window. When percentage of sequence identity is used in reference to proteins it is recognized that residue positions which are not identical often differ by conservative amino acid substitutions, where amino acid residues are substituted for other amino acid residues with similar chemical properties (e.g., charge or hydrophobicity) and therefore do not change the functional properties of the molecule. Where sequences differ in conservative substitutions, the percent sequence identity may be adjusted upwards to correct for the conservative nature of the substitution. Sequences, which differ by such conservative substitutions, are said to have "sequence similarity" or "similarity." Means for making this adjustment are well known to those of skill in the art. Typically this involves

scoring a conservative substitution as a partial rather than a full mismatch, thereby increasing the percentage sequence identity. Thus, for example, where an identical amino acid is given a score of 1 and a non-conservative substitution is given a score of zero, a conservative substitution is given a score between zero and 1. The scoring of conservative substitutions is calculated, e.g., according to the algorithm of Meyers and Miller, (1988) *Computer Applic. Biol. Sci.* 4:11-17, e.g., as implemented in the program PC/GENE (Intelligenetics, Mountain View, California, USA).

As used herein, "percentage of sequence identity" means the value determined by comparing two optimally aligned sequences over a comparison window, wherein the portion of the polynucleotide sequence in the comparison window may comprise additions or deletions (i.e., gaps) as compared to the reference sequence (which does not comprise additions or deletions) for optimal alignment of the two sequences. The percentage is calculated by determining the number of positions at which the identical nucleic acid base or amino acid residue occurs in both sequences to yield the number of matched positions, dividing the number of matched positions by the total number of positions in the window of comparison and multiplying the result by 100 to yield the percentage of sequence identity.

The term "substantial identity" of polynucleotide sequences means that a polynucleotide comprises a sequence that has between 50-100% sequence identity, preferably at least 50% sequence identity, preferably at least 60% sequence identity, preferably at least 70%, more preferably at least 80%, more preferably at least 90% and most preferably at least 95%, compared to a reference sequence using one of the alignment programs described using standard parameters. One of skill will recognize that these values can be appropriately adjusted to determine corresponding identity of proteins encoded by two nucleotide sequences by taking into account codon degeneracy, amino acid similarity, reading frame positioning and the like. Substantial identity of amino acid sequences for these purposes normally means sequence identity of between 55-100%, preferably at least 55%, preferably at least 60%, more preferably at least 70%, 80%, 90% and most preferably at least 95%.

Another indication that nucleotide sequences are substantially identical is if two molecules hybridize to each other under stringent conditions. The degeneracy of the genetic code allows for many amino acids substitutions that lead to variety in the nucleotide sequence that code for the same amino acid, hence it is possible that the DNA sequence could code for the same polypeptide but not hybridize to each other under stringent conditions. This may occur, e.g., when a copy of a nucleic acid is created using the maximum codon degeneracy permitted by the genetic code. One indication that two nucleic acid sequences are substantially identical is that the polypeptide, which the first

nucleic acid encodes, is immunologically cross reactive with the polypeptide encoded by the second nucleic acid.

The terms "substantial identity" in the context of a peptide indicates that a peptide comprises a sequence with between 55-100% sequence identity to a reference sequence preferably at least 55% sequence identity, preferably 60% preferably 70%, more preferably 80%, most preferably at least 90% or 95% sequence identity to the reference sequence over a specified comparison window. Preferably, optimal alignment is conducted using the homology alignment algorithm of Needleman and Wunsch, *supra*. An indication that two peptide sequences are substantially identical is that one peptide is immunologically reactive with antibodies raised against the second peptide. Thus, a peptide is substantially identical to a second peptide, for example, where the two peptides differ only by a conservative substitution. In addition, a peptide can be substantially identical to a second peptide when they differ by a non-conservative change if the epitope that the antibody recognizes is substantially identical. Peptides, which are "substantially similar" share sequences as, noted above except that residue positions, which are not identical, may differ by conservative amino acid changes.

The invention discloses dimerization domain polynucleotides and polypeptides. The novel nucleotides and proteins of the invention have an expression pattern which indicates that they alter cell wall formation and thus play an important role in plant development. The polynucleotides are expressed in various plant tissues. The polynucleotides and polypeptides thus provide an opportunity to manipulate plant development to alter seed and vegetative tissue development, timing or composition. This may be used to create a sterile plant, a seedless plant or a plant with altered endosperm composition.

Nucleic Acids

The present invention provides, *inter alia*, isolated nucleic acids of RNA, DNA and analogs and/or chimeras thereof, comprising a dimerization domain polynucleotide.

The present invention also includes polynucleotides optimized for expression in different organisms. For example, for expression of the polynucleotide in a maize plant, the sequence can be altered to account for specific codon preferences and to alter GC content as according to Murray, *et al*, *supra*. Maize codon usage for 28 genes from maize plants is listed in Table 4 of Murray, *et al.*, *supra*.

The dimerization domain nucleic acids include isolated dimerization domain polynucleotides which are inclusive of:

- (a) a polynucleotide encoding a dimerization domain polypeptide and conservatively modified and polymorphic variants thereof;

- (b) a polynucleotide having at least 70% sequence identity with polynucleotides of (a) or (b);
- (c) complementary sequences of polynucleotides of (a) or (b).

The following table, Table 1, lists the specific identities of the sequences disclosed herein.

TABLE 1

SEQ ID NO:	Identity
SEQ ID NO: 1	MS-S2A promoter
SEQ ID NO: 2	ZmCesA10 polynucleotide
SEQ ID NO: 3	Pin II terminator
SEQ ID NO: 4	F3.7 promoter
SEQ ID NO: 5	ZmCesA4 polynucleotide
SEQ ID NO: 6	ZmD8 polynucleotide
SEQ ID NO: 7	ZmNAS2 promoter
SEQ ID NO: 8	ZmNAS2 5'UTR
SEQ ID NO: 9	ZmD8 Dimerization Domain polynucleotide (start and stop codons are artificial appendages to the 243-331 coding sequence)
SEQ ID NO: 10	NOS terminator
SEQ ID NO: 11	ZmFTM1 polynucleotide
SEQ ID NO: 12	GmGAI1 polynucleotide
SEQ ID NO: 13	ZRP2.47 promoter
SEQ ID NO: 14	ADH1 intron
SEQ ID NO: 15	ZmRootMet2 promoter
SEQ ID NO: 16	ZmCesA10 polypeptide
SEQ ID NO: 17	ZmCesA4 polypeptide
SEQ ID NO: 18	ZmD8 polypeptide
SEQ ID NO: 19	ZmD8 243-331 Dimerization Domain polypeptide (ATG start codon is artificial and leads to an N-terminal methionine added to the 243-331 amino acids).
SEQ ID NO: 20	ZmFTM1 polypeptide
SEQ ID NO: 21	GmGAI1 Dimerization Domain polypeptide
SEQ ID NO: 22	GmGAI1 polypeptide
SEQ ID NO: 23	Gm 05g27190.1
SEQ ID NO: 24	Gm 05g27190.1 Dimerization Domain
SEQ ID NO: 25	Gm 08g10140.1
SEQ ID NO: 26	Gm 08g10140.1 Dimerization Domain
SEQ ID NO: 27	Gm 11g33720.1
SEQ ID NO: 28	Gm 11g33720.1 Dimerization Domain
SEQ ID NO: 29	Gm 18g04500.1
SEQ ID NO: 30	Gm 18g04500.1 Dimerization Domain
SEQ ID NO: 31	At GAI
SEQ ID NO: 32	At GAI Dimerization Domain
SEQ ID NO: 33	At RGA
SEQ ID NO: 34	At RGA Dimerization Domain
SEQ ID NO: 35	At RGL1
SEQ ID NO: 36	At RGL1 Dimerization Domain
SEQ ID NO: 37	At RGL2
SEQ ID NO: 38	At RGL2 Dimerization Domain
SEQ ID NO: 39	At RGL3
SEQ ID NO: 40	At RGL3 Dimerization Domain
SEQ ID NO: 41	Consensus Dimerization Domain
SEQ ID NO: 42	Primer
SEQ ID NO: 43	Primer

Construction of Nucleic Acids

The isolated nucleic acids of the present invention can be made using (a) standard recombinant methods, (b) synthetic techniques, or combinations thereof. In some embodiments, the polynucleotides of the present invention will be cloned, amplified or otherwise constructed from a fungus or bacteria.

The nucleic acids may conveniently comprise sequences in addition to a polynucleotide of the present invention. For example, a multi-cloning site comprising one or more endonuclease restriction sites may be inserted into the nucleic acid to aid in isolation of the polynucleotide. Also, translatable sequences may be inserted to aid in the isolation of the translated polynucleotide of the present invention. For example, a hexahistidine marker sequence provides a convenient means to purify the proteins of the present invention. The nucleic acid of the present invention - excluding the polynucleotide sequence - is optionally a vector, adapter or linker for cloning and/or expression of a polynucleotide of the present invention. Additional sequences may be added to such cloning and/or expression sequences to optimize their function in cloning and/or expression, to aid in isolation of the polynucleotide or to improve the introduction of the polynucleotide into a cell. Typically, the length of a nucleic acid of the present invention less the length of its polynucleotide of the present invention is less than 20 kilobase pairs, often less than 15 kb and frequently less than 10 kb. Use of cloning vectors, expression vectors, adapters, and linkers is well known in the art. Exemplary nucleic acids include such vectors as: M13, lambda ZAP Express, lambda ZAP II, lambda gt10, lambda gt11, pBK-CMV, pBK-RSV, pBluescript II, lambda DASH II, lambda EMBL 3, lambda EMBL 4, pWE15, SuperCos 1, SurfZap, Uni-ZAP, pBC, pBS+/-, pSG5, pBK, pCR-Script, pET, pSPUTK, p3'SS, pGEM, pSK+/-, pGEX, pSPORTI and II, pOPRSVI CAT, pOPI3 CAT, pXT1, pSG5, pPbac, pMbac, pMC1neo, pOG44, pOG45, pFRT β GAL, pNEO β GAL, pRS403, pRS404, pRS405, pRS406, pRS413, pRS414, pRS415, pRS416, lambda MOSSlox and lambda MOSElox. Optional vectors for the present invention, include but are not limited to, lambda ZAP II and pGEX. For a description of various nucleic acids see, e.g., Stratagene Cloning Systems, Catalogs 1995, 1996, 1997 (La Jolla, CA); and, Amersham Life Sciences, Inc, Catalog '97 (Arlington Heights, IL).

Synthetic Methods for Constructing Nucleic Acids

The isolated nucleic acids of the present invention can also be prepared by direct chemical synthesis by methods such as the phosphotriester method of Narang, *et al.*, (1979) *Meth. Enzymol.* 68:90-9; the phosphodiester method of Brown, *et al.*, (1979) *Meth. Enzymol.* 68:109-51; the diethylphosphoramidite method of Beaucage, *et al.*, (1981) *Tetra. Letts.* 22(20):1859-62; the solid phase phosphoramidite triester method described

by Beaucage, *et al.*, *supra*, e.g., using an automated synthesizer, e.g., as described in Needham-VanDevanter, *et al.*, (1984) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 12:6159-68 and the solid support method of US Patent Number 4,458,066. Chemical synthesis generally produces a single stranded oligonucleotide. This may be converted into double stranded DNA by hybridization with a complementary sequence or by polymerization with a DNA polymerase using the single strand as a template. One of skill will recognize that while chemical synthesis of DNA is limited to sequences of about 100 bases, longer sequences may be obtained by the ligation of shorter sequences.

10 UTRs and Codon Preference

In general, translational efficiency has been found to be regulated by specific sequence elements in the 5' non-coding or untranslated region (5' UTR) of the RNA. Positive sequence motifs include translational initiation consensus sequences (Kozak, (1987) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 15:8125) and the 5' <G> 7 methyl GpppG RNA cap structure (Drummond, *et al.*, (1985) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 13:7375). Negative elements include stable intramolecular 5' UTR stem-loop structures (Muesing, *et al.*, (1987) *Cell* 48:691) and AUG sequences or short open reading frames preceded by an appropriate AUG in the 5' UTR (Kozak, *supra*, Rao, *et al.*, (1988) *Mol. and Cell. Biol.* 8:284). Accordingly, the present invention provides 5' and/or 3' UTR regions for modulation of translation of heterologous coding sequences.

Further, the polypeptide-encoding segments of the polynucleotides of the present invention can be modified to alter codon usage. Altered codon usage can be employed to alter translational efficiency and/or to optimize the coding sequence for expression in a desired host or to optimize the codon usage in a heterologous sequence for expression in maize. Codon usage in the coding regions of the polynucleotides of the present invention can be analyzed statistically using commercially available software packages such as "Codon Preference" available from the University of Wisconsin Genetics Computer Group. See, Devereaux, *et al.*, (1984) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 12:387-395; or MacVector 4.1 (Eastman Kodak Co., New Haven, Conn.). Thus, the present invention provides a codon usage frequency characteristic of the coding region of at least one of the polynucleotides of the present invention. The number of polynucleotides (3 nucleotides per amino acid) that can be used to determine a codon usage frequency can be any integer from 3 to the number of polynucleotides of the present invention as provided herein. Optionally, the polynucleotides will be full-length sequences. An exemplary number of sequences for statistical analysis can be at least 1, 5, 10, 20, 50 or 100.

Sequence Shuffling

The present invention provides methods for sequence shuffling using polynucleotides of the present invention, and compositions resulting therefrom. Sequence shuffling is described in PCT publication number 96/19256. See also, Zhang, *et al.*, (1997) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 94:4504-9 and Zhao, *et al.*, (1998) *Nature Biotech* 16:258-61. Generally, sequence shuffling provides a means for generating libraries of polynucleotides having a desired characteristic, which can be selected or screened for. Libraries of recombinant polynucleotides are generated from a population of related sequence polynucleotides, which comprise sequence regions, which have substantial sequence identity and can be homologously recombined in vitro or in vivo. The population of sequence-recombined polynucleotides comprises a subpopulation of polynucleotides which possess desired or advantageous characteristics and which can be selected by a suitable selection or screening method. The characteristics can be any property or attribute capable of being selected for or detected in a screening system, and may include properties of: an encoded protein, a transcriptional element, a sequence controlling transcription, RNA processing, RNA stability, chromatin conformation, translation or other expression property of a gene or transgene, a replicative element, a protein-binding element, or the like, such as any feature which confers a selectable or detectable property. In some embodiments, the selected characteristic will be an altered K_m and/or K_{cat} over the wild-type protein as provided herein. In other embodiments, a protein or polynucleotide generated from sequence shuffling will have a ligand binding affinity greater than the non-shuffled wild-type polynucleotide. In yet other embodiments, a protein or polynucleotide generated from sequence shuffling will have an altered pH optimum as compared to the non-shuffled wild-type polynucleotide. The increase in such properties can be at least 110%, 120%, 130%, 140% or greater than 150% of the wild-type value.

Recombinant Expression Cassettes

The present invention further provides recombinant expression cassettes comprising a nucleic acid of the present invention. A nucleic acid sequence coding for the desired polynucleotide of the present invention, for example a cDNA or a genomic sequence encoding a polypeptide long enough to code for an active protein of the present invention, can be used to construct a recombinant expression cassette which can be introduced into the desired host cell. A recombinant expression cassette will typically comprise a polynucleotide of the present invention operably linked to transcriptional initiation regulatory sequences which will direct the transcription of the polynucleotide in the intended host cell, such as tissues of a transformed plant.

For example, plant expression vectors may include (1) a cloned plant gene under the transcriptional control of 5' and 3' regulatory sequences and (2) a dominant selectable marker. Such plant expression vectors may also contain, if desired, a promoter regulatory region (e.g., one conferring inducible or constitutive, environmentally- or developmentally-regulated or cell- or tissue-specific/selective expression), a transcription initiation start site, a ribosome binding site, an RNA processing signal, a transcription termination site and/or a polyadenylation signal.

A plant promoter fragment can be employed which will direct expression of a polynucleotide of the present invention in all tissues of a regenerated plant. Such promoters are referred to herein as "constitutive" promoters and are active under most environmental conditions and states of development or cell differentiation. Examples of constitutive promoters include the 1'- or 2'- promoter derived from T-DNA of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, the Smas promoter, the cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase promoter (US Patent Number 5,683,439), the Nos promoter, the rubisco promoter, the GRP1-8 promoter, the 35S promoter from cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV), as described in Odell, *et al.*, (1985) *Nature* 313:810-2; rice actin (McElroy, *et al.*, (1990) *Plant Cell* 163-171); ubiquitin (Christensen, *et al.*, (1992) *Plant Mol. Biol.* 12:619-632 and Christensen, *et al.*, (1992) *Plant Mol. Biol.* 18:675-89); pEMU (Last, *et al.*, (1991) *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 81:581-8); MAS (Velten, *et al.*, (1984) *EMBO J.* 3:2723-30) and maize H3 histone (Lepetit, *et al.*, (1992) *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 231:276-85 and Atanassova, *et al.*, (1992) *Plant Journal* 2(3):291-300); ALS promoter, as described in PCT Application Number WO 96/30530; GOS2 (US Patent Number 6,504,083) and other transcription initiation regions from various plant genes known to those of skill. For the present invention ubiquitin is the preferred promoter for expression in monocot plants.

Alternatively, the plant promoter can direct expression of a polynucleotide of the present invention in a specific tissue or may be otherwise under more precise environmental or developmental control. Such promoters are referred to here as "inducible" promoters (Rab17, RAD29). Environmental conditions that may effect transcription by inducible promoters include pathogen attack, anaerobic conditions or the presence of light. Examples of inducible promoters are the Adh1 promoter, which is inducible by hypoxia or cold stress, the Hsp70 promoter, which is inducible by heat stress and the PPDK promoter, which is inducible by light.

Examples of promoters under developmental control include promoters that initiate transcription only, or preferentially, in certain tissues, such as leaves, roots, fruit, seeds or flowers. The operation of a promoter may also vary depending on its location in the genome. Thus, an inducible promoter may become fully or partially constitutive in certain locations.

If polypeptide expression is desired, it is generally desirable to include a polyadenylation region at the 3'-end of a polynucleotide coding region. The polyadenylation region can be derived from a variety of plant genes, or from T-DNA. The 3' end sequence to be added can be derived from, for example, the nopaline synthase or octopine synthase genes, or alternatively from another plant gene, or less preferably from any other eukaryotic gene. Examples of such regulatory elements include, but are not limited to, 3' termination and/or polyadenylation regions such as those of the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* nopaline synthase (nos) gene (Bevan, *et al.*, (1983) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 12:369-85); the potato proteinase inhibitor II (PINII) gene (Keil, *et al.*, (1986) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 14:5641-50 and An, *et al.*, (1989) *Plant Cell* 1:115-22) and the CaMV 19S gene (Mogen, *et al.*, (1990) *Plant Cell* 2:1261-72).

An intron sequence can be added to the 5' untranslated region or the coding sequence of the partial coding sequence to increase the amount of the mature message that accumulates in the cytosol. Inclusion of a spliceable intron in the transcription unit in both plant and animal expression constructs has been shown to increase gene expression at both the mRNA and protein levels up to 1000-fold (Buchman and Berg, (1988) *Mol. Cell Biol.* 8:4395-4405; Callis, *et al.*, (1987) *Genes Dev.* 1:1183-200). Such intron enhancement of gene expression is typically greatest when placed near the 5' end of the transcription unit. Use of maize introns Adh1-S intron 1, 2 and 6, the Bronze-1 intron are known in the art. See generally, THE MAIZE HANDBOOK, Chapter 116, Freeling and Walbot, eds., Springer, New York (1994).

Plant signal sequences, including, but not limited to, signal-peptide encoding DNA/RNA sequences which target proteins to the extracellular matrix of the plant cell (Dratewka-Kos, *et al.*, (1989) *J. Biol. Chem.* 264:4896-900), such as the *Nicotiana glauca* extension gene (DeLoose, *et al.*, (1991) *Gene* 99:95-100); signal peptides which target proteins to the vacuole, such as the sweet potato sporamin gene (Matsuka, *et al.*, (1991) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 88:834) and the barley lectin gene (Wilkins, *et al.*, (1990) *Plant Cell*, 2:301-13); signal peptides which cause proteins to be secreted, such as that of PR1b (Lind, *et al.*, (1992) *Plant Mol. Biol.* 18:47-53) or the barley alpha amylase (BAA) (Rahmatullah, *et al.*, (1989) *Plant Mol. Biol.* 12:119, and hereby incorporated by reference) or signal peptides which target proteins to the plastids such as that of rapeseed enoyl-Acp reductase (Verwaert, *et al.*, (1994) *Plant Mol. Biol.* 26:189-202) are useful in the invention. The barley alpha amylase signal sequence fused to the dimerization domain component stack polynucleotide is the preferred construct for expression in maize for the present invention.

The vector comprising the sequences from a polynucleotide of the present disclosure will typically comprise a marker gene, which confers a selectable phenotype on

plant cells. Usually, the selectable marker gene will encode antibiotic resistance, with suitable genes including genes coding for resistance to the antibiotic spectinomycin (e.g., the *aada* gene), the streptomycin phosphotransferase (SPT) gene coding for streptomycin resistance, the neomycin phosphotransferase (NPTII) gene encoding kanamycin or geneticin resistance, the hygromycin phosphotransferase (HPT) gene coding for hygromycin resistance, genes coding for resistance to herbicides which act to inhibit the action of acetolactate synthase (ALS), in particular the sulfonylurea-type herbicides (e.g., the acetolactate synthase (ALS) gene containing mutations leading to such resistance in particular the S4 and/or Hra mutations), genes coding for resistance to herbicides which act to inhibit action of glutamine synthase, such as phosphinothricin or basta (e.g., the *bar* gene) or other such genes known in the art. The *bar* gene encodes resistance to the herbicide basta and the ALS gene encodes resistance to the herbicide chlorsulfuron.

Typical vectors useful for expression of genes in higher plants are well known in the art and include vectors derived from the tumor-inducing (Ti) plasmid of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* described by Rogers, *et al.*, (1987) *Meth. Enzymol.* 153:253-77. These vectors are plant integrating vectors in that on transformation, the vectors integrate a portion of vector DNA into the genome of the host plant. Exemplary *A. tumefaciens* vectors useful herein are plasmids pKYLX6 and pKYLX7 of Schardl, *et al.*, (1987) *Gene* 61:1-11 and Berger, *et al.*, (1989) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 86:8402-6. Another useful vector herein is plasmid pBI101.2 that is available from CLONTECH Laboratories, Inc. (Palo Alto, CA).

Expression of Proteins in Host Cells

Using the nucleic acids of the present invention, one may express a protein of the present invention in a recombinantly engineered cell such as bacteria, yeast, insect, mammalian or preferably plant cells. The cells produce the protein in a non-natural condition (e.g., in quantity, composition, location and/or time), because they have been genetically altered through human intervention to do so.

It is expected that those of skill in the art are knowledgeable in the numerous expression systems available for expression of a nucleic acid encoding a protein of the present invention. No attempt to describe in detail the various methods known for the expression of proteins in prokaryotes or eukaryotes will be made.

In brief summary, the expression of isolated nucleic acids encoding a protein of the present invention will typically be achieved by operably linking, for example, the DNA or cDNA to a promoter (which is either constitutive or inducible), followed by incorporation into an expression vector. The vectors can be suitable for replication and integration in either prokaryotes or eukaryotes. Typical expression vectors contain transcription and

translation terminators, initiation sequences and promoters useful for regulation of the expression of the DNA encoding a protein of the present invention. To obtain high level expression of a cloned gene, it is desirable to construct expression vectors which contain, at the minimum, a strong promoter, such as ubiquitin, to direct transcription, a ribosome binding site for translational initiation and a transcription/translation terminator. Constitutive promoters are classified as providing for a range of constitutive expression. Thus, some are weak constitutive promoters and others are strong constitutive promoters. Generally, by "weak promoter" is intended a promoter that drives expression of a coding sequence at a low level. By "low level" is intended at levels of about 1/10,000 transcripts to about 1/100,000 transcripts to about 1/500,000 transcripts. Conversely, a "strong promoter" drives expression of a coding sequence at a "high level" or about 1/10 transcripts to about 1/100 transcripts to about 1/1,000 transcripts.

One of skill would recognize that modifications could be made to a protein of the present invention without diminishing its biological activity. Some modifications may be made to facilitate the cloning, expression or incorporation of the targeting molecule into a fusion protein. Such modifications are well known to those of skill in the art and include, for example, a methionine added at the amino terminus to provide an initiation site or additional amino acids (e.g., poly His) placed on either terminus to create conveniently located restriction sites or termination codons or purification sequences.

Expression in Prokaryotes

Prokaryotic cells may be used as hosts for expression. Prokaryotes most frequently are represented by various strains of *E. coli*; however, other microbial strains may also be used. Commonly used prokaryotic control sequences which are defined herein to include promoters for transcription initiation, optionally with an operator, along with ribosome binding site sequences, include such commonly used promoters as the beta lactamase (penicillinase) and lactose (lac) promoter systems (Chang, *et al.*, (1977) *Nature* 198:1056), the tryptophan (trp) promoter system (Goeddel, *et al.*, (1980) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 8:4057) and the lambda derived P L promoter and N-gene ribosome binding site (Shimatake, *et al.*, (1981) *Nature* 292:128). The inclusion of selection markers in DNA vectors transfected in *E. coli* is also useful. Examples of such markers include genes specifying resistance to ampicillin, tetracycline or chloramphenicol.

The vector is selected to allow introduction of the gene of interest into the appropriate host cell. Bacterial vectors are typically of plasmid or phage origin. Appropriate bacterial cells are infected with phage vector particles or transfected with naked phage vector DNA. If a plasmid vector is used, the bacterial cells are transfected with the plasmid vector DNA. Expression systems for expressing a protein of the present

invention are available using *Bacillus sp.* and *Salmonella* (Palva, *et al.*, (1983) *Gene* 22:229-35; Mosbach, *et al.*, (1983) *Nature* 302:543-5). The pGEX-4T-1 plasmid vector from Pharmacia is the preferred *E. coli* expression vector for the present invention.

5 Expression in Eukaryotes

A variety of eukaryotic expression systems such as yeast, insect cell lines, plant and mammalian cells, are known to those of skill in the art. As explained briefly below, the present invention can be expressed in these eukaryotic systems. In some embodiments, transformed/transfected plant cells, as discussed *infra*, are employed as
10 expression systems for production of the proteins of the instant invention.

Synthesis of heterologous proteins in yeast is well known. Sherman, *et al.*, (1982) METHODS IN YEAST GENETICS, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory is a well recognized work describing the various methods available to produce the protein in yeast. Two widely utilized yeasts for production of eukaryotic proteins are *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*
15 and *Pichia pastoris*. Vectors, strains and protocols for expression in *Saccharomyces* and *Pichia* are known in the art and available from commercial suppliers (e.g., Invitrogen). Suitable vectors usually have expression control sequences, such as promoters, including 3-phosphoglycerate kinase or alcohol oxidase, and an origin of replication, termination sequences and the like as desired.

20 A protein of the present invention, once expressed, can be isolated from yeast by lysing the cells and applying standard protein isolation techniques to the lysates or the pellets. The monitoring of the purification process can be accomplished by using Western blot techniques or radioimmunoassay of other standard immunoassay techniques.

The sequences encoding proteins of the present invention can also be ligated to
25 various expression vectors for use in transfecting cell cultures of, for instance, mammalian, insect or plant origin. Mammalian cell systems often will be in the form of monolayers of cells although mammalian cell suspensions may also be used. A number of suitable host cell lines capable of expressing intact proteins have been developed in the art, and include the HEK293, BHK21 and CHO cell lines. Expression vectors for
30 these cells can include expression control sequences, such as an origin of replication, a promoter (e.g., the CMV promoter, a HSV *tk* promoter or *pgk* (phosphoglycerate kinase) promoter), an enhancer (Queen, *et al.*, (1986) *Immunol. Rev.* 89:49) and necessary processing information sites, such as ribosome binding sites, RNA splice sites, polyadenylation sites (e.g., an SV40 large T Ag poly A addition site) and transcriptional
35 terminator sequences. Other animal cells useful for production of proteins of the present invention are available, for instance, from the American Type Culture Collection Catalogue of Cell Lines and Hybridomas (7th ed., 1992).

Appropriate vectors for expressing proteins of the present invention in insect cells are usually derived from the SF9 baculovirus. Suitable insect cell lines include mosquito larvae, silkworm, armyworm, moth and *Drosophila* cell lines such as a Schneider cell line (see, e.g., Schneider, (1987) *J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol.* 27:353-65).

5 As with yeast, when higher animal or plant host cells are employed, polyadenylation or transcription terminator sequences are typically incorporated into the vector. An example of a terminator sequence is the polyadenylation sequence from the bovine growth hormone gene. Sequences for accurate splicing of the transcript may also be included. An example of a splicing sequence is the VP1 intron from SV40 (Sprague, *et al.*, (1983) *J. Virol.* 45:773-81). Additionally, gene sequences to control replication in the
10 host cell may be incorporated into the vector such as those found in bovine papilloma virus type-vectors (Saveria-Campo, "Bovine Papilloma Virus DNA a Eukaryotic Cloning Vector," in DNA CLONING: A PRACTICAL APPROACH, vol. II, Glover, ed., IRL Press, Arlington, VA, pp. 213-38 (1985)).

15 In addition, the gene for dimerization domain placed in the appropriate plant expression vector can be used to transform plant cells. The polypeptide can then be isolated from plant callus or the transformed cells can be used to regenerate transgenic plants. Such transgenic plants can be harvested and the appropriate tissues (seed or leaves, for example) can be subjected to large scale protein extraction and purification
20 techniques.

Plant Transformation Methods

Numerous methods for introducing foreign genes into plants are known and can be used to insert a dimerization domain polynucleotide into a plant host, including
25 biological and physical plant transformation protocols. See, e.g., Miki, *et al.*, "Procedure for Introducing Foreign DNA into Plants," in METHODS IN PLANT MOLECULAR BIOLOGY AND BIOTECHNOLOGY, Glick and Thompson, eds., CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, pp. 67-88 (1993). The methods chosen vary with the host plant, and include chemical transfection methods such as calcium phosphate, microorganism-mediated
30 gene transfer such as *Agrobacterium* (Horsch, *et al.*, (1985) *Science* 227:1229-31), electroporation, micro-injection and biolistic bombardment.

Expression cassettes and vectors and *in vitro* culture methods for plant cell or tissue transformation and regeneration of plants are known and available. See, e.g., Gruber, *et al.*, "Vectors for Plant Transformation," in METHODS IN PLANT MOLECULAR
35 BIOLOGY AND BIOTECHNOLOGY, *supra*, pp. 89-119.

The isolated polynucleotides or polypeptides may be introduced into the plant by one or more techniques typically used for direct delivery into cells. Such protocols may

vary depending on the type of organism, cell, plant or plant cell, i.e., monocot or dicot, targeted for gene modification. Suitable methods of transforming plant cells include microinjection (Crossway, *et al.*, (1986) *Biotechniques* 4:320-334; and US Patent Number 6,300,543), electroporation (Riggs, *et al.*, (1986) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 83:5602-5606), direct gene transfer (Paszkowski, *et al.*, (1984) *EMBO J.* 3:2717-2722) and ballistic particle acceleration (see, for example, Sanford, *et al.*, US Patent Number 4,945,050; WO 91/10725 and McCabe, *et al.*, (1988) *Biotechnology* 6:923-926). Also see, Tomes, *et al.*, Direct DNA Transfer into Intact Plant Cells Via Microprojectile Bombardment pp.197-213 in *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture, Fundamental Methods* eds. Gamborg and Phillips, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1995; US Patent Number 5,736,369 (meristem); Weissinger, *et al.*, (1988) *Ann. Rev. Genet.* 22:421-477; Sanford, *et al.*, (1987) *Particulate Science and Technology* 5:27-37 (onion); Christou, *et al.*, (1988) *Plant Physiol.* 87:671-674 (soybean); Datta, *et al.*, (1990) *Biotechnology* 8:736-740 (rice); Klein, *et al.*, (1988) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 85:4305-4309 (maize); Klein, *et al.*, (1988) *Biotechnology* 6:559-563 (maize); WO 91/10725 (maize); Klein, *et al.*, (1988) *Plant Physiol.* 91:440-444 (maize); Fromm, *et al.*, (1990) *Biotechnology* 8:833-839 and Gordon-Kamm, *et al.*, (1990) *Plant Cell* 2:603-618 (maize); Hooydaas-Van Slogteren and Hooykaas, (1984) *Nature* (London) 311:763-764; Bytebier, *et al.*, (1987) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 84:5345-5349 (Liliaceae); De Wet, *et al.*, (1985) In *The Experimental Manipulation of Ovule Tissues*, ed. G.P. Chapman, *et al.*, pp. 197-209; Longman, NY (pollen); Kaeppler, *et al.*, (1990) *Plant Cell Reports* 9:415-418 and Kaeppler, *et al.*, (1992) *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 84:560-566 (whisker-mediated transformation); US Patent Number 5,693,512 (sonication); D'Halluin, *et al.*, (1992) *Plant Cell* 4:1495-1505 (electroporation); Li, *et al.*, (1993) *Plant Cell Reports* 12:250-255 and Christou and Ford, (1995) *Annals of Botany* 75:407-413 (rice); Osjoda, *et al.*, (1996) *Nature Biotech.* 14:745-750; Agrobacterium mediated maize transformation (US Patent Number 5,981,840); silicon carbide whisker methods (Frame, *et al.*, (1994) *Plant J.* 6:941-948); laser methods (Guo, *et al.*, (1995) *Physiologia Plantarum* 93:19-24); sonication methods (Bao, *et al.*, (1997) *Ultrasound in Medicine & Biology* 23:953-959; Finer and Finer, (2000) *Lett Appl Microbiol.* 30:406-10; Amoah, *et al.*, (2001) *J Exp Bot* 52:1135-42); polyethylene glycol methods (Krens, *et al.*, (1982) *Nature* 296:72-77); protoplasts of monocot and dicot cells can be transformed using electroporation (Fromm, *et al.*, (1985) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 82:5824-5828) and microinjection (Crossway, *et al.*, (1986) *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 202:179-185), all of which are herein incorporated by reference.

Agrobacterium-mediated Transformation

The most widely utilized method for introducing an expression vector into plants is based on the natural transformation system of *Agrobacterium*. *A. tumefaciens* and *A. rhizogenes* are plant pathogenic soil bacteria, which genetically transform plant cells. The Ti and Ri plasmids of *A. tumefaciens* and *A. rhizogenes*, respectively, carry genes responsible for genetic transformation of plants. See, e.g., Kado, (1991) *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* 10:1. Descriptions of the *Agrobacterium* vector systems and methods for *Agrobacterium*-mediated gene transfer are provided in Gruber, *et al.*, *supra*; Miki, *et al.*, *supra* and Moloney, *et al.*, (1989) *Plant Cell Reports* 8:238.

Similarly, the gene can be inserted into the T-DNA region of a Ti or Ri plasmid derived from *A. tumefaciens* or *A. rhizogenes*, respectively. Thus, expression cassettes can be constructed as above, using these plasmids. Many control sequences are known which when coupled to a heterologous coding sequence and transformed into a host organism show fidelity in gene expression with respect to tissue/organ specificity of the original coding sequence. See, e.g., Benfey and Chua, (1989) *Science* 244:174-81. Particularly suitable control sequences for use in these plasmids are promoters for constitutive leaf-specific expression of the gene in the various target plants. Other useful control sequences include a promoter and terminator from the nopaline synthase gene (NOS). The NOS promoter and terminator are present in the plasmid pARC2, available from the American Type Culture Collection and designated ATCC 67238. If such a system is used, the virulence (*vir*) gene from either the Ti or Ri plasmid must also be present, either along with the T-DNA portion or via a binary system where the *vir* gene is present on a separate vector. Such systems, vectors for use therein, and methods of transforming plant cells are described in US Patent Number 4,658,082; US Patent Application Serial Number 913,914, filed October 1, 1986, as referenced in US Patent Number 5,262,306, issued November 16, 1993 and Simpson, *et al.*, (1986) *Plant Mol. Biol.* 6:403-15 (also referenced in the '306 patent), all incorporated by reference in their entirety.

Once constructed, these plasmids can be placed into *A. rhizogenes* or *A. tumefaciens* and these vectors used to transform cells of plant species, which are ordinarily susceptible to *Fusarium* or *Alternaria* infection. Several other transgenic plants are also contemplated by the present invention including but not limited to soybean, corn, sorghum, alfalfa, rice, clover, cabbage, banana, coffee, celery, tobacco, cowpea, cotton, melon and pepper. The selection of either *A. tumefaciens* or *A. rhizogenes* will depend on the plant being transformed thereby. In general *A. tumefaciens* is the preferred organism for transformation. Most dicotyledonous plants, some gymnosperms and a few monocotyledonous plants (e.g., certain members of the *Liliales* and *Arales*) are

susceptible to infection with *A. tumefaciens*. *A. rhizogenes* also has a wide host range, embracing most dicots and some gymnosperms, which includes members of the *Leguminosae*, *Compositae* and *Chenopodiaceae*. Monocot plants can now be transformed with some success. EP Application Number 604 662 A1 discloses a method for transforming monocots using *Agrobacterium*. EP Application Number 672 752 A1 discloses a method for transforming monocots with *Agrobacterium* using the scutellum of immature embryos. Ishida, *et al.*, discuss a method for transforming maize by exposing immature embryos to *A. tumefaciens* (*Nature Biotechnology* 14:745-50 (1996)).

Once transformed, these cells can be used to regenerate transgenic plants. For example, whole plants can be infected with these vectors by wounding the plant and then introducing the vector into the wound site. Any part of the plant can be wounded, including leaves, stems and roots. Alternatively, plant tissue, in the form of an explant, such as cotyledonary tissue or leaf disks, can be inoculated with these vectors, and cultured under conditions, which promote plant regeneration. Roots or shoots transformed by inoculation of plant tissue with *A. rhizogenes* or *A. tumefaciens*, containing the gene coding for the fumonisin degradation enzyme, can be used as a source of plant tissue to regenerate fumonisin-resistant transgenic plants, either via somatic embryogenesis or organogenesis. Examples of such methods for regenerating plant tissue are disclosed in Shahin, (1985) *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 69:235-40; US Patent Number 4,658,082; Simpson, *et al.*, *supra* and US Patent Application Serial Numbers 913,913 and 913,914, both filed October 1, 1986, as referenced in US Patent Number 5,262,306, issued November 16, 1993, the entire disclosures therein incorporated herein by reference.

Direct Gene Transfer

Despite the fact that the host range for *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation is broad, some major cereal crop species and gymnosperms have generally been recalcitrant to this mode of gene transfer, even though some success has recently been achieved in rice (Hiei, *et al.*, (1994) *The Plant Journal* 6:271-82). Several methods of plant transformation, collectively referred to as direct gene transfer, have been developed as an alternative to *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation.

A generally applicable method of plant transformation is microprojectile-mediated transformation, where DNA is carried on the surface of microprojectiles measuring about 1 to 4 μm . The expression vector is introduced into plant tissues with a biolistic device that accelerates the microprojectiles to speeds of 300 to 600 m/s which is sufficient to penetrate the plant cell walls and membranes (Sanford, *et al.*, (1987) *Part. Sci. Technol.*

5:27; Sanford, (1988) *Trends Biotech* 6:299; Sanford, (1990) *Physiol. Plant* 79:206 and Klein, *et al.*, (1992) *Biotechnology* 10:268).

Another method for physical delivery of DNA to plants is sonication of target cells as described in Zang, *et al.*, (1991) *BioTechnology* 9:996. Alternatively, liposome or spheroplast fusions have been used to introduce expression vectors into plants. See, e.g., Deshayes, *et al.*, (1985) *EMBO J.* 4:2731 and Christou, *et al.*, (1987) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 84:3962. Direct uptake of DNA into protoplasts using CaCl_2 precipitation, polyvinyl alcohol, or poly-L-ornithine has also been reported. See, e.g., Hain, *et al.*, (1985) *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 199:161 and Draper, *et al.*, (1982) *Plant Cell Physiol.* 23:451.

Electroporation of protoplasts and whole cells and tissues has also been described. See, e.g., Donn, *et al.*, (1990) in *Abstracts of the VIIth Int'l. Congress on Plant Cell and Tissue Culture IAPTC*, A2-38, p. 53; D'Halluin, *et al.*, (1992) *Plant Cell* 4:1495-505 and Spencer, *et al.*, (1994) *Plant Mol. Biol.* 24:51-61.

Increasing the Activity and/or Level of a dimerization domain Polypeptide

Methods are provided to increase the activity and/or level of the dimerization domain polypeptide. An increase in the level and/or activity of the dimerization domain polypeptide can be achieved by providing to the plant a dimerization domain polypeptide. The dimerization domain polypeptide can be provided by introducing the amino acid sequence encoding the dimerization domain polypeptide into the plant, introducing into the plant a nucleotide sequence encoding a dimerization domain polypeptide or alternatively by selecting for different variants of the genomic locus encoding the dimerization domain polypeptide of the invention.

As discussed elsewhere herein, many methods are known in the art for providing a polypeptide to a plant including, but not limited to, direct introduction of the polypeptide into the plant, introducing into the plant (transiently or stably) a polynucleotide construct encoding a polypeptide having dimerization domain component stack which directs plant development activity. It is also recognized that the methods of the invention may employ a polynucleotide that is not capable of directing, in the transformed plant, the expression of a protein or an RNA. Thus, the level and/or activity of a dimerization domain polypeptide may be increased by altering the gene encoding the dimerization domain polypeptide or its promoter. See, e.g., Kmiec, US Patent Number 5,565,350; Zarling, *et al.*, PCT/US93/03868. Therefore mutagenized plants that carry mutations in dimerization domain genes, where the mutations increase expression of the dimerization domain gene or increase the plant growth and/or dimerization domain activity of the encoded dimerization domain polypeptide are provided.

Reducing the Activity and/or Level of a dimerization domain Polypeptide

Methods are provided to reduce or eliminate the activity of a dimerization domain polypeptide of the invention by transforming a plant cell with an expression cassette that expresses a polynucleotide that inhibits the expression of the dimerization domain polypeptide. The polynucleotide may inhibit the expression of the dimerization domain polypeptide directly, by preventing translation of the dimerization domain messenger RNA, or indirectly, by encoding a polypeptide that inhibits the transcription or translation of a dimerization domain gene encoding a dimerization domain polypeptide. Methods for inhibiting or eliminating the expression of a gene in a plant are well known in the art, and any such method may be used in the present invention to inhibit the expression of a dimerization domain polypeptide.

The expression of a target polypeptide is inhibited if the protein level of the polypeptide is less than 70% of the protein level of the polypeptide in a plant that has not been genetically modified or mutagenized to inhibit the expression of that dimerization domain polypeptide. In particular embodiments of the invention, the protein level of the dimerization domain polypeptide in a modified plant according to the invention is less than 60%, less than 50%, less than 40%, less than 30%, less than 20%, less than 10%, less than 5% or less than 2% of the protein level of the same dimerization domain polypeptide in a plant that is not a mutant or that has not been genetically modified to inhibit the expression of that dimerization domain polypeptide. The expression level of the dimerization domain polypeptide may be measured directly, for example, by assaying for the level of dimerization domain polypeptide expressed in the plant cell or plant, or indirectly, for example, by measuring the plant growth and/or dimerization domain activity of the dimerization domain polypeptide in the plant cell or plant, or by measuring the biomass in the plant. Methods for performing such assays are described elsewhere herein.

In other embodiments of the invention, the activity of the dimerization domain polypeptides is reduced or eliminated by transforming a plant cell with an expression cassette comprising a polynucleotide encoding a polypeptide that inhibits the activity of a dimerization domain polypeptide. The plant growth and/or dimerization domain activity of a dimerization domain component stack polypeptide is inhibited according to the present invention if the plant growth and/or dimerization domain activity of the dimerization domain component stack polypeptide is less than 70% of the plant growth and/or dimerization domain activity of the same dimerization domain polypeptide in a plant that has not been modified to inhibit the plant growth and/or dimerization domain activity of that dimerization domain component stack polypeptide. In particular embodiments of the invention, the plant growth and/or dimerization domain activity of the dimerization domain polypeptide in

a modified plant according to the invention is less than 60%, less than 50%, less than 40%, less than 30%, less than 20%, less than 10% or less than 5% of the plant growth and/or dimerization domain activity of the same dimerization domain polypeptide in a plant that has not been modified to inhibit the expression of that dimerization domain polypeptide. The plant growth and/or dimerization domain activity of a dimerization domain polypeptide is "eliminated" according to the invention when it is not detectable by the assay methods described elsewhere herein. Methods of determining the plant growth and/or dimerization domain activity of a dimerization domain polypeptide are described elsewhere herein.

In other embodiments, the activity of a dimerization domain component polypeptide may be reduced or eliminated by disrupting the gene encoding the dimerization domain polypeptide. The invention encompasses mutagenized plants that carry mutations in dimerization domain genes, where the mutations reduce expression of the dimerization domain gene or inhibit the plant growth and/or dimerization domain activity of the encoded dimerization domain polypeptide.

Thus, many methods may be used to reduce or eliminate the activity of a dimerization domain polypeptide. In addition, more than one method may be used to reduce the activity of a single dimerization domain polypeptide. Non-limiting examples of methods of reducing or eliminating the expression of dimerization domain polypeptides are given below.

1. Polynucleotide-Based Methods:

In some embodiments of the present invention, a plant is transformed with an expression cassette that is capable of expressing a polynucleotide that inhibits the expression of a dimerization domain polypeptide of the invention. The term "expression" as used herein refers to the biosynthesis of a gene product, including the transcription and/or translation of said gene product. For example, for the purposes of the present invention, an expression cassette capable of expressing a polynucleotide that inhibits the expression of at least one dimerization domain polypeptide is an expression cassette capable of producing an RNA molecule that inhibits the transcription and/or translation of at least one dimerization domain polypeptide of the invention. The "expression" or "production" of a protein or polypeptide from a DNA molecule refers to the transcription and translation of the coding sequence to produce the protein or polypeptide, while the "expression" or "production" of a protein or polypeptide from an RNA molecule refers to the translation of the RNA coding sequence to produce the protein or polypeptide.

Examples of polynucleotides that inhibit the expression of a dimerization domain polypeptide are given below.

i. Sense Suppression/Cosuppression

In some embodiments of the invention, inhibition of the expression of a dimerization domain polypeptide may be obtained by sense suppression or cosuppression. For cosuppression, an expression cassette is designed to express an RNA molecule corresponding to all or part of a messenger RNA encoding a dimerization domain polypeptide in the "sense" orientation. Over expression of the RNA molecule can result in reduced expression of the native gene. Accordingly, multiple plant lines transformed with the cosuppression expression cassette are screened to identify those that show the greatest inhibition of dimerization domain polypeptide expression.

The polynucleotide used for cosuppression may correspond to all or part of the sequence encoding the dimerization domain polypeptide, all or part of the 5' and/or 3' untranslated region of a dimerization domain polypeptide transcript or all or part of both the coding sequence and the untranslated regions of a transcript encoding a dimerization domain polypeptide. In some embodiments where the polynucleotide comprises all or part of the coding region for the dimerization domain polypeptide, the expression cassette is designed to eliminate the start codon of the polynucleotide so that no protein product will be translated.

Cosuppression may be used to inhibit the expression of plant genes to produce plants having undetectable protein levels for the proteins encoded by these genes. See, for example, Broin, *et al.*, (2002) *Plant Cell* 14:1417-1432. Cosuppression may also be used to inhibit the expression of multiple proteins in the same plant. See, for example, US Patent Number 5,942,657. Methods for using cosuppression to inhibit the expression of endogenous genes in plants are described in Flavell, *et al.*, (1994) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 91:3490-3496; Jorgensen, *et al.*, (1996) *Plant Mol. Biol.* 31:957-973; Johansen and Carrington, (2001) *Plant Physiol.* 126:930-938; Broin, *et al.*, (2002) *Plant Cell* 14:1417-1432; Stoutjesdijk, *et al.*, (2002) *Plant Physiol.* 129:1723-1731; Yu, *et al.*, (2003) *Phytochemistry* 63:753-763 and US Patent Numbers 5,034,323, 5,283,184 and 5,942,657, each of which is herein incorporated by reference. The efficiency of cosuppression may be increased by including a poly-dT region in the expression cassette at a position 3' to the sense sequence and 5' of the polyadenylation signal. See, US Patent Application Publication Number 2002/0048814, herein incorporated by reference. Typically, such a nucleotide sequence has substantial sequence identity to the sequence of the transcript of the endogenous gene, optimally greater than about 65% sequence identity, more optimally greater than about 85% sequence identity, most optimally greater than about 95% sequence identity. See, US Patent Numbers 5,283,184 and 5,034,323, herein incorporated by reference.

ii. *Antisense Suppression*

In some embodiments of the invention, inhibition of the expression of the dimerization domain polypeptide may be obtained by antisense suppression. For
5 antisense suppression, the expression cassette is designed to express an RNA molecule complementary to all or part of a messenger RNA encoding the dimerization domain polypeptide. Over expression of the antisense RNA molecule can result in reduced expression of the native gene. Accordingly, multiple plant lines transformed with the antisense suppression expression cassette are screened to identify those that show the
10 greatest inhibition of dimerization domain polypeptide expression.

The polynucleotide for use in antisense suppression may correspond to all or part of the complement of the sequence encoding the dimerization domain polypeptide, all or part of the complement of the 5' and/or 3' untranslated region of the dimerization domain transcript or all or part of the complement of both the coding sequence and the
15 untranslated regions of a transcript encoding the dimerization domain polypeptide. In addition, the antisense polynucleotide may be fully complementary (i.e., 100% identical to the complement of the target sequence) or partially complementary (i.e., less than 100% identical to the complement of the target sequence) to the target sequence. Antisense suppression may be used to inhibit the expression of multiple proteins in the same plant.
20 See, for example, US Patent Number 5,942,657. Furthermore, portions of the antisense nucleotides may be used to disrupt the expression of the target gene. Generally, sequences of at least 50 nucleotides, 100 nucleotides, 200 nucleotides, 300, 400, 450, 500, 550 or greater may be used. Methods for using antisense suppression to inhibit the expression of endogenous genes in plants are described, for example, in Liu, *et al.*,
25 (2002) *Plant Physiol.* 129:1732-1743 and US Patent Numbers 5,759,829 and 5,942,657, each of which is herein incorporated by reference. Efficiency of antisense suppression may be increased by including a poly-dT region in the expression cassette at a position 3' to the antisense sequence and 5' of the polyadenylation signal. See, US Patent Application Publication Number 2002/0048814, herein incorporated by reference.

iii. *Double-Stranded RNA Interference*

In some embodiments of the invention, inhibition of the expression of a dimerization domain polypeptide may be obtained by double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) interference. For dsRNA interference, a sense RNA molecule like that described above
35 for cosuppression and an antisense RNA molecule that is fully or partially complementary to the sense RNA molecule are expressed in the same cell, resulting in inhibition of the expression of the corresponding endogenous messenger RNA.

Expression of the sense and antisense molecules can be accomplished by designing the expression cassette to comprise both a sense sequence and an antisense sequence. Alternatively, separate expression cassettes may be used for the sense and antisense sequences. Multiple plant lines transformed with the dsRNA interference expression cassette or expression cassettes are then screened to identify plant lines that show the greatest inhibition of dimerization domain polypeptide expression. Methods for using dsRNA interference to inhibit the expression of endogenous plant genes are described in Waterhouse, *et al.*, (1998) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 95:13959-13964, Liu, *et al.*, (2002) *Plant Physiol.* 129:1732-1743 and WO 99/49029, WO 99/53050, WO 99/61631 and WO 00/49035, each of which is herein incorporated by reference.

iv. Hairpin RNA Interference and Intron-Containing Hairpin RNA Interference

In some embodiments of the invention, inhibition of the expression of one or a dimerization domain polypeptide may be obtained by hairpin RNA (hpRNA) interference or intron-containing hairpin RNA (ihpRNA) interference. These methods are highly efficient at inhibiting the expression of endogenous genes. See, Waterhouse and Helliwell, (2003) *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 4:29-38 and the references cited therein.

For hpRNA interference, the expression cassette is designed to express an RNA molecule that hybridizes with itself to form a hairpin structure that comprises a single-stranded loop region and a base-paired stem. The base-paired stem region comprises a sense sequence corresponding to all or part of the endogenous messenger RNA encoding the gene whose expression is to be inhibited and an antisense sequence that is fully or partially complementary to the sense sequence. Thus, the base-paired stem region of the molecule generally determines the specificity of the RNA interference. hpRNA molecules are highly efficient at inhibiting the expression of endogenous genes and the RNA interference they induce is inherited by subsequent generations of plants. See, for example, Chuang and Meyerowitz, (2000) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 97:4985-4990; Stoutjesdijk, *et al.*, (2002) *Plant Physiol.* 129:1723-1731 and Waterhouse and Helliwell, (2003) *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 4:29-38. Methods for using hpRNA interference to inhibit or silence the expression of genes are described, for example, in Chuang and Meyerowitz, (2000) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 97:4985-4990; Stoutjesdijk, *et al.*, (2002) *Plant Physiol.* 129:1723-1731; Waterhouse and Helliwell, (2003) *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 4:29-38; Pandolfini, *et al.*, *BMC Biotechnology* 3:7 and US Patent Application Publication Number 2003/0175965, each of which is herein incorporated by reference. A transient assay for the efficiency of hpRNA constructs to silence gene expression *in vivo* has been

described by Panstruga, *et al.*, (2003) *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 30:135-140, herein incorporated by reference.

For ihpRNA, the interfering molecules have the same general structure as for hpRNA, but the RNA molecule additionally comprises an intron that is capable of being spliced in the cell in which the ihpRNA is expressed. The use of an intron minimizes the size of the loop in the hairpin RNA molecule following splicing, and this increases the efficiency of interference. See, for example, Smith, *et al.*, (2000) *Nature* 407:319-320. In fact, Smith, *et al.*, show 100% suppression of endogenous gene expression using ihpRNA-mediated interference. Methods for using ihpRNA interference to inhibit the expression of endogenous plant genes are described, for example, in Smith, *et al.*, (2000) *Nature* 407:319-320; Wesley, *et al.*, (2001) *Plant J.* 27:581-590; Wang and Waterhouse, (2001) *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 5:146-150; Waterhouse and Helliwell, (2003) *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 4:29-38; Helliwell and Waterhouse, (2003) *Methods* 30:289-295 and US Patent Application Publication Number 2003/0180945, each of which is herein incorporated by reference.

The expression cassette for hpRNA interference may also be designed such that the sense sequence and the antisense sequence do not correspond to an endogenous RNA. In this embodiment, the sense and antisense sequence flank a loop sequence that comprises a nucleotide sequence corresponding to all or part of the endogenous messenger RNA of the target gene. Thus, it is the loop region that determines the specificity of the RNA interference. See, for example, WO 02/00904, herein incorporated by reference.

v. Amplicon-Mediated Interference

Amplicon expression cassettes comprise a plant virus-derived sequence that contains all or part of the target gene but generally not all of the genes of the native virus. The viral sequences present in the transcription product of the expression cassette allow the transcription product to direct its own replication. The transcripts produced by the amplicon may be either sense or antisense relative to the target sequence (i.e., the messenger RNA for the dimerization domain polypeptide). Methods of using amplicons to inhibit the expression of endogenous plant genes are described, for example, in Angell and Baulcombe, (1997) *EMBO J.* 16:3675-3684, Angell and Baulcombe, (1999) *Plant J.* 20:357-362 and US Patent Number 6,646,805, each of which is herein incorporated by reference.

vi. *Ribozymes*

In some embodiments, the polynucleotide expressed by the expression cassette of the invention is catalytic RNA or has ribozyme activity specific for the messenger RNA of the dimerization domain polypeptide. Thus, the polynucleotide causes the degradation of the endogenous messenger RNA, resulting in reduced expression of the dimerization domain polypeptide. This method is described, for example, in US Patent Number 4,987,071, herein incorporated by reference.

vii. *Small Interfering RNA or Micro RNA*

In some embodiments of the invention, inhibition of the expression of a dimerization domain polypeptide may be obtained by RNA interference by expression of a gene encoding a micro RNA (miRNA). miRNAs are regulatory agents consisting of about 22 ribonucleotides. miRNA are highly efficient at inhibiting the expression of endogenous genes. See, for example, Javier, *et al.*, (2003) *Nature* 425:257-263, herein incorporated by reference.

For miRNA interference, the expression cassette is designed to express an RNA molecule that is modeled on an endogenous miRNA gene. The miRNA gene encodes an RNA that forms a hairpin structure containing a 22-nucleotide sequence that is complementary to another endogenous gene (target sequence). For suppression of dimerization domain expression, the 22-nucleotide sequence is selected from a dimerization domain transcript sequence and contains 22 nucleotide of said dimerization domain sequence in sense orientation and 21 nucleotides of a corresponding antisense sequence that is complementary to the sense sequence. miRNA molecules are highly efficient at inhibiting the expression of endogenous genes, and the RNA interference they induce is inherited by subsequent generations of plants.

2. *Polypeptide-Based Inhibition of Gene Expression*

In one embodiment, the polynucleotide encodes a zinc finger protein that binds to a gene encoding a dimerization domain polypeptide, resulting in reduced expression of the gene. In particular embodiments, the zinc finger protein binds to a regulatory region of a dimerization domain gene. In other embodiments, the zinc finger protein binds to a messenger RNA encoding a dimerization domain polypeptide and prevents its translation. Methods of selecting sites for targeting by zinc finger proteins have been described, for example, in US Patent Number 6,453,242 and methods for using zinc finger proteins to inhibit the expression of genes in plants are described, for example, in US Patent Application Publication Number 2003/0037355, each of which is herein incorporated by reference.

3. *Polypeptide-Based Inhibition of Protein Activity*

In some embodiments of the invention, the polynucleotide encodes an antibody that binds to at least one dimerization domain polypeptide and reduces the dimerization domain activity of the dimerization domain polypeptide. In another embodiment, the binding of the antibody results in increased turnover of the antibody-dimerization domain complex by cellular quality control mechanisms. The expression of antibodies in plant cells and the inhibition of molecular pathways by expression and binding of antibodies to proteins in plant cells are well known in the art. See, for example, Conrad and Sonnewald, (2003) *Nature Biotech.* 21:35-36, incorporated herein by reference.

4. *Gene Disruption*

In some embodiments of the present invention, the activity of a dimerization domain polypeptide is reduced or eliminated by disrupting the gene encoding the dimerization domain polypeptide. The gene encoding the dimerization domain polypeptide may be disrupted by any method known in the art. For example, in one embodiment, the gene is disrupted by transposon tagging. In another embodiment, the gene is disrupted by mutagenizing plants using random or targeted mutagenesis and selecting for plants that have reduced dimerization domain activity.

i. *Transposon Tagging*

In one embodiment of the invention, transposon tagging is used to reduce or eliminate the dimerization domain activity of one or more dimerization domain polypeptide. Transposon tagging comprises inserting a transposon within an endogenous dimerization domain gene to reduce or eliminate expression of the dimerization domain polypeptide. "dimerization domain gene" is intended to mean the gene that encodes a dimerization domain polypeptide according to the invention.

In this embodiment, the expression of one or more dimerization domain polypeptide is reduced or eliminated by inserting a transposon within a regulatory region or coding region of the gene encoding the dimerization domain polypeptide. A transposon that is within an exon, intron, 5' or 3' untranslated sequence, a promoter or any other regulatory sequence of a dimerization domain gene may be used to reduce or eliminate the expression and/or activity of the encoded dimerization domain polypeptide.

Methods for the transposon tagging of specific genes in plants are well known in the art. See, for example, Maes, *et al.*, (1999) *Trends Plant Sci.* 4:90-96; Dharmapuri and Sonti, (1999) *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 179:53-59; Meissner, *et al.*, (2000) *Plant J.* 22:265-274; Phogat, *et al.*, (2000) *J. Biosci.* 25:57-63; Walbot, (2000) *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.*

2:103-107; Gai, *et al.*, (2000) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 28:94-96; Fitzmaurice, *et al.*, (1999) *Genetics* 153:1919-1928). In addition, the TUSC process for selecting Mu insertions in selected genes has been described in Bensen, *et al.*, (1995) *Plant Cell* 7:75-84; Mena, *et al.*, (1996) *Science* 274:1537-1540 and US Patent Number 5,962,764, each of which is
5 herein incorporated by reference.

ii. Mutant Plants with Reduced Activity

Additional methods for decreasing or eliminating the expression of endogenous genes in plants are also known in the art and can be similarly applied to the instant
10 invention. These methods include other forms of mutagenesis, such as ethyl methanesulfonate-induced mutagenesis, deletion mutagenesis and fast neutron deletion mutagenesis used in a reverse genetics sense (with PCR) to identify plant lines in which the endogenous gene has been deleted. For examples of these methods see, Ohshima, *et al.*, (1998) *Virology* 243:472-481; Okubara, *et al.*, (1994) *Genetics* 137:867-874 and
15 Quesada, *et al.*, (2000) *Genetics* 154:421-436, each of which is herein incorporated by reference. In addition, a fast and automatable method for screening for chemically induced mutations, TILLING (Targeting Induced Local Lesions In Genomes), using denaturing HPLC or selective endonuclease digestion of selected PCR products is also applicable to the instant invention. See, McCallum, *et al.*, (2000) *Nat. Biotechnol.* 18:455-
20 457, herein incorporated by reference.

Mutations that impact gene expression or that interfere with the function (dimerization domain activity) of the encoded protein are well known in the art. Insertional mutations in gene exons usually result in null-mutants. Mutations in conserved residues are particularly effective in inhibiting the dimerization domain activity of the encoded
25 protein. Conserved residues of plant dimerization domain polypeptides suitable for mutagenesis with the goal to eliminate dimerization domain activity have been described. Such mutants can be isolated according to well-known procedures and mutations in different dimerization domain loci can be stacked by genetic crossing. See, for example, Gruis, *et al.*, (2002) *Plant Cell* 14:2863-2882.

30 In another embodiment of this invention, dominant mutants can be used to trigger RNA silencing due to gene inversion and recombination of a duplicated gene locus. See, for example, Kusaba, *et al.*, (2003) *Plant Cell* 15:1455-1467.

The invention encompasses additional methods for reducing or eliminating the activity of one or more dimerization domain polypeptide. Examples of other methods for
35 altering or mutating a genomic nucleotide sequence in a plant are known in the art and include, but are not limited to, the use of RNA:DNA vectors, RNA:DNA mutational vectors, RNA:DNA repair vectors, mixed-duplex oligonucleotides, self-complementary RNA:DNA

oligonucleotides and recombinogenic oligonucleobases. Such vectors and methods of use are known in the art. See, for example, US Patent Numbers 5,565,350; 5,731,181; 5,756,325; 5,760,012; 5,795,972 and 5,871,984, each of which are herein incorporated by reference. See also, WO 98/49350, WO 99/07865, WO 99/25821 and Beetham, *et al.*,
5 (1999) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 96:8774-8778, each of which is herein incorporated by reference.

*iii. Modulating plant growth and/or dimerization domain component
stack activity*

10 In specific methods, the level and/or activity of a dimerization domain gene in a plant is increased by increasing the level or activity of the dimerization domain polypeptide in the plant. Methods for increasing the level and/or activity of dimerization domain polypeptides in a plant are discussed elsewhere herein. Briefly, such methods comprise
15 increasing the level and/or activity of the dimerization domain polypeptide. In other embodiments, a dimerization domain nucleotide sequence encoding a dimerization domain polypeptide can be provided by introducing into the plant a polynucleotide comprising a dimerization domain nucleotide sequence of the invention, expressing the dimerization domain sequence, increasing the activity of the dimerization domain
20 polypeptide and thereby increasing the dimerization domain activity and therefore the tissue growth in the plant or plant part. In other embodiments, the dimerization domain nucleotide construct introduced into the plant is stably incorporated into the genome of the plant.

In other methods, the number of cells and biomass of a plant tissue is increased
25 by increasing the level and/or activity of the dimerization domain polypeptide in the plant. Such methods are disclosed in detail elsewhere herein. In one such method, a dimerization domain nucleotide sequence is introduced into the plant and expression of said dimerization domain nucleotide sequence decreases the activity of the dimerization domain polypeptide and thereby increasing the plant growth and/or dimerization domain in
30 the plant or plant part. In other embodiments, the dimerization domain nucleotide construct introduced into the plant is stably incorporated into the genome of the plant.

As discussed above, one of skill will recognize the appropriate promoter to use to modulate the level/activity of a plant growth and/or dimerization domain polynucleotide and polypeptide in the plant. Exemplary promoters for this embodiment have been
35 disclosed elsewhere herein.

Accordingly, the present invention further provides plants having a modified plant growth and/or dimerization domain when compared to the plant growth and/or

dimerization domain of a control plant tissue. In one embodiment, the plant of the invention has an increased level/activity of the dimerization domain polypeptide of the invention and thus has increased plant growth and/or dimerization domain in the plant tissue. In other embodiments, the plant of the invention has a reduced or eliminated level
5 of the dimerization domain polypeptide of the invention and thus has decreased plant growth and/or dimerization domain in the plant tissue. In other embodiments, such plants have stably incorporated into their genome a nucleic acid molecule comprising a dimerization domain nucleotide sequence of the invention operably linked to a promoter that drives expression in the plant cell.

10
iv. Modulating Root Development

Methods for modulating root development in a plant are provided. By "modulating root development" is intended any alteration in the development of the plant root when compared to a control plant. Such alterations in root development include, but are not
15 limited to, alterations in the growth rate of the primary root, the fresh root weight, the extent of lateral and adventitious root formation, the vasculature system, meristem development or radial expansion. In particular, the most desirable outcome would be a root with a stronger vasculature that improves the standability of the plant and thus reduces root lodging as well as being less susceptible to pests.

20 Methods for modulating root development in a plant are provided. The methods comprise modulating the level and/or activity of the dimerization domain polypeptide in the plant. In one method, a dimerization domain sequence of the invention is provided to the plant. In another method, the dimerization domain nucleotide sequence is provided by introducing into the plant a polynucleotide comprising a dimerization domain nucleotide
25 sequence of the invention, expressing the dimerization domain sequence and thereby modifying root development. In still other methods, the dimerization domain nucleotide construct introduced into the plant is stably incorporated into the genome of the plant.

In other methods, root development is modulated by altering the level or activity of the dimerization domain polypeptide in the plant. An increase in dimerization domain
30 activity can result in at least one or more of the following alterations to root development, including, but not limited to, larger root meristems, increased in root growth, enhanced radial expansion, an enhanced vasculature system, increased root branching, more adventitious roots and/or an increase in fresh root weight when compared to a control plant.

35 As used herein, "root growth" encompasses all aspects of growth of the different parts that make up the root system at different stages of its development in both monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants. It is to be understood that enhanced root

growth can result from enhanced growth of one or more of its parts including the primary root, lateral roots, adventitious roots, etc.

Methods of measuring such developmental alterations in the root system are known in the art. See, for example, US Patent Application Number 2003/0074698 and
5 Werner, *et al.*, (2001) *PNAS* 18:10487-10492, both of which are herein incorporated by reference.

As discussed above, one of skill will recognize the appropriate promoter to use to modulate root development in the plant. Exemplary promoters for this embodiment include constitutive promoters and root-preferred promoters. Exemplary root-preferred
10 promoters have been disclosed elsewhere herein.

Stimulating root growth and increasing root mass by increasing the activity and/or level of the dimerization domain polypeptide also finds use in improving the standability of a plant. The term "resistance to lodging" or "standability" refers to the ability of a plant to fix itself to the soil. For plants with an erect or semi-erect growth habit, this term also
15 refers to the ability to maintain an upright position under adverse (environmental) conditions. This trait relates to the size, depth and morphology of the root system. In addition, stimulating root growth and increasing root mass by increasing the level and/or activity of the dimerization domain polypeptide also finds use in promoting *in vitro* propagation of explants.

Furthermore, higher root biomass production due to an increased level and/or activity of dimerization domain activity has a direct effect on the yield and an indirect effect of production of compounds produced by root cells or transgenic root cells or cell cultures of said transgenic root cells. One example of an interesting compound produced in root
20 cultures is shikonin, the yield of which can be advantageously enhanced by said methods.

Accordingly, the present invention further provides plants having modulated root development when compared to the root development of a control plant. In some embodiments, the plant of the invention has an increased level/activity of the dimerization domain polypeptide of the invention and has enhanced root growth and/or root biomass. In other embodiments, such plants have stably incorporated into their genome a nucleic
25 acid molecule comprising a dimerization domain nucleotide sequence of the invention operably linked to a promoter that drives expression in the plant cell.
30

v. Modulating Shoot and Leaf Development

Methods are also provided for modulating shoot and leaf development in a plant. By "modulating shoot and/or leaf development" is intended any alteration in the
35 development of the plant shoot and/or leaf. Such alterations in shoot and/or leaf development include, but are not limited to, alterations in shoot meristem development, in

leaf number, leaf size, leaf and stem vasculature, internode length and leaf senescence. As used herein, "leaf development" and "shoot development" encompasses all aspects of growth of the different parts that make up the leaf system and the shoot system, respectively, at different stages of their development, both in monocotyledonous and
5 dicotyledonous plants. Methods for measuring such developmental alterations in the shoot and leaf system are known in the art. See, for example, Werner, *et al.*, (2001) *PNAS* 98:10487-10492 and US Patent Application Publication Number 2003/0074698, each of which is herein incorporated by reference.

The method for modulating shoot and/or leaf development in a plant comprises
10 modulating the activity and/or level of a dimerization domain polypeptide of the invention. In one embodiment, a dimerization domain sequence of the invention is provided. In other embodiments, the dimerization domain nucleotide sequence can be provided by introducing into the plant a polynucleotide comprising a dimerization domain nucleotide
15 sequence of the invention, expressing the dimerization domain sequence and thereby modifying shoot and/or leaf development. In other embodiments, the dimerization domain nucleotide construct introduced into the plant is stably incorporated into the genome of the plant.

In specific embodiments, shoot or leaf development is modulated by decreasing the level and/or activity of the dimerization domain polypeptide in the plant. An decrease
20 in dimerization domain activity can result in at least one or more of the following alterations in shoot and/or leaf development, including, but not limited to, reduced leaf number, reduced leaf surface, reduced vascular, shorter internodes and stunted growth and retarded leaf senescence when compared to a control plant.

As discussed above, one of skill will recognize the appropriate promoter to use to
25 modulate shoot and leaf development of the plant. Exemplary promoters for this embodiment include constitutive promoters, shoot-preferred promoters, shoot meristem-preferred promoters and leaf-preferred promoters. Exemplary promoters have been disclosed elsewhere herein.

Decreasing dimerization domain activity and/or level in a plant results in shorter
30 internodes and stunted growth. Thus, the methods of the invention find use in producing dwarf plants. In addition, as discussed above, modulation of dimerization domain activity in the plant modulates both root and shoot growth. Thus, the present invention further provides methods for altering the root/shoot ratio. Shoot or leaf development can further be modulated by decreasing the level and/or activity of the dimerization domain
35 polypeptide in the plant.

Accordingly, the present invention further provides plants having modulated shoot and/or leaf development when compared to a control plant. In some embodiments, the

plant of the invention has an increased level/activity of the dimerization domain polypeptide of the invention, altering the shoot and/or leaf development. Such alterations include, but are not limited to, increased leaf number, increased leaf surface, increased vascularity, longer internodes and increased plant stature, as well as alterations in leaf senescence, as compared to a control plant. In other embodiments, the plant of the invention has a decreased level/activity of the dimerization domain polypeptide of the invention.

vi Modulating Reproductive Tissue Development

Methods for modulating reproductive tissue development are provided. In one embodiment, methods are provided to modulate floral development in a plant. By "modulating floral development" is intended any alteration in a structure of a plant's reproductive tissue as compared to a control plant in which the activity or level of the dimerization domain polypeptide has not been modulated. "Modulating floral development" further includes any alteration in the timing of the development of a plant's reproductive tissue (i.e., a delayed or an accelerated timing of floral development) when compared to a control plant in which the activity or level of the dimerization domain polypeptide has not been modulated. Macroscopic alterations may include changes in size, shape, number or location of reproductive tissues, the developmental time period that these structures form or the ability to maintain or proceed through the flowering process in times of environmental stress. Microscopic alterations may include changes to the types or shapes of cells that make up the reproductive tissues.

The method for modulating floral development in a plant comprises modulating dimerization domain activity in a plant. In one method, a dimerization domain sequence of the invention is provided. A dimerization domain nucleotide sequence can be provided by introducing into the plant a polynucleotide comprising a dimerization domain nucleotide sequence of the invention, expressing the dimerization domain sequence and thereby modifying floral development. In other embodiments, the dimerization domain nucleotide construct introduced into the plant is stably incorporated into the genome of the plant.

In specific methods, floral development is modulated by decreasing the level or activity of the dimerization domain polypeptide in the plant. A decrease in dimerization domain activity can result in at least one or more of the following alterations in floral development, including, but not limited to, retarded flowering, reduced number of flowers, partial male sterility and reduced seed set when compared to a control plant. Inducing delayed flowering or inhibiting flowering can be used to enhance yield in forage crops such as alfalfa. Methods for measuring such developmental alterations in floral

development are known in the art. See, for example, Mouradov, *et al.*, (2002) *The Plant Cell* S111-S130, herein incorporated by reference.

As discussed above, one of skill will recognize the appropriate promoter to use to modulate floral development of the plant. Exemplary promoters for this embodiment include constitutive promoters, inducible promoters, shoot-preferred promoters and inflorescence-preferred promoters.

In other methods, floral development is modulated by increasing the level and/or activity of the dimerization domain sequence of the invention. Such methods can comprise introducing a dimerization domain nucleotide sequence into the plant and increasing the activity of the dimerization domain polypeptide. In other methods, the dimerization domain nucleotide construct introduced into the plant is stably incorporated into the genome of the plant. Increasing expression of the dimerization domain sequence of the invention can modulate floral development during periods of stress. Such methods are described elsewhere herein. Accordingly, the present invention further provides plants having modulated floral development when compared to the floral development of a control plant. Compositions include plants having an increased level/activity of the dimerization domain polypeptide of the invention and having an altered floral development. Compositions also include plants having an increased level/activity of the dimerization domain polypeptide of the invention wherein the plant maintains or proceeds through the flowering process in times of stress.

Methods are also provided for the use of the dimerization domain of the invention to increase seed size and/or weight. The method comprises increasing the activity of the dimerization domain in a plant or plant part, such as the seed. An increase in seed size and/or weight comprises an increased size or weight of the seed and/or an increase in the size or weight of one or more seed part including, for example, the embryo, endosperm, seed coat, aleurone or cotyledon.

As discussed above, one of skill will recognize the appropriate promoter to use to increase seed size and/or seed weight. Exemplary promoters of this embodiment include constitutive promoters, inducible promoters, seed-preferred promoters, embryo-preferred promoters and endosperm-preferred promoters.

The method for decreasing seed size and/or seed weight in a plant comprises decreasing dimerization domain activity in the plant. In one embodiment, the dimerization domain nucleotide sequence can be provided by introducing into the plant a polynucleotide comprising a dimerization domain nucleotide sequence of the invention, expressing the dimerization domain sequence and thereby increasing seed weight and/or size. In other embodiments, the dimerization domain nucleotide construct introduced into the plant is stably incorporated into the genome of the plant.

It is further recognized that increasing seed size and/or weight can also be accompanied by an increase in the speed of growth of seedlings or an increase in early vigor. As used herein, the term "early vigor" refers to the ability of a plant to grow rapidly during early development and relates to the successful establishment, after germination, of a well-developed root system and a well-developed photosynthetic apparatus. In addition, an increase in seed size and/or weight can also result in an increase in plant yield when compared to a control.

Accordingly, the present invention further provides plants having an increased seed weight and/or seed size when compared to a control plant. In other embodiments, plants having an increased vigor and plant yield are also provided. In some embodiments, the plant of the invention has an increased level/activity of the dimerization domain polypeptide of the invention and has an increased seed weight and/or seed size. In other embodiments, such plants have stably incorporated into their genome a nucleic acid molecule comprising a dimerization domain nucleotide sequence of the invention operably linked to a promoter that drives expression in the plant cell.

vii. Method of Use for dimerization domain promoter polynucleotides

The polynucleotides comprising the dimerization domain promoters disclosed in the present invention, as well as variants and fragments thereof, are useful in the genetic manipulation of any host cell, preferably plant cell, when assembled with a DNA construct such that the promoter sequence is operably linked to a nucleotide sequence comprising a polynucleotide of interest. In this manner, the dimerization domain promoter polynucleotides of the invention are provided in expression cassettes along with a polynucleotide sequence of interest for expression in the host cell of interest. The dimerization domain promoter sequences of the invention are expressed in a variety of tissues containing cells that have dimerization domain and thus the promoter sequences can find use in regulating the temporal and/or the spatial expression of polynucleotides of interest particularly in the dimerization domain containing cells.

Synthetic hybrid promoter regions are known in the art. Such regions comprise upstream promoter elements of one polynucleotide operably linked to the promoter element of another polynucleotide. In an embodiment of the invention, heterologous sequence expression is controlled by a synthetic hybrid promoter comprising the dimerization domain promoter sequences of the invention or a variant or fragment thereof, operably linked to upstream promoter element(s) from a heterologous promoter. Upstream promoter elements that are involved in the plant defense system have been identified and may be used to generate a synthetic promoter. See, for example, Rushton, *et al.*, (1998) *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 1:311-315. Alternatively, a synthetic dimerization

domain promoter sequence may comprise duplications of the upstream promoter elements found within the dimerization domain promoter sequences.

It is recognized that the promoter sequence of the invention may be used with its native dimerization domain coding sequences. A DNA construct comprising the dimerization domain promoter operably linked with its native dimerization domain gene may be used to transform any plant of interest to bring about a desired phenotypic change, such as modulating cell number, modulating root, shoot, leaf, floral and embryo development, stress tolerance and any other phenotype described elsewhere herein.

The promoter nucleotide sequences and methods disclosed herein are useful in regulating expression of any heterologous nucleotide sequence in a host plant in order to vary the phenotype of a plant. Various changes in phenotype are of interest including modifying the fatty acid composition in a plant, altering the amino acid content of a plant, altering a plant's pathogen defense mechanism, and the like. These results can be achieved by providing expression of heterologous products or increased expression of endogenous products in plants. Alternatively, the results can be achieved by providing for a reduction of expression of one or more endogenous products, particularly enzymes or cofactors in the plant. These changes result in a change in phenotype of the transformed plant.

Genes of interest are reflective of the commercial markets and interests of those involved in the development of the crop. Crops and markets of interest change, and as developing nations open up world markets, new crops and technologies will emerge also. In addition, as our understanding of agronomic traits and characteristics such as yield and heterosis increase, the choice of genes for transformation will change accordingly. General categories of genes of interest include, for example, those genes involved in information, such as zinc fingers, those involved in communication, such as kinases and those involved in housekeeping, such as heat shock proteins. More specific categories of transgenes, for example, include genes encoding important traits for agronomics, insect resistance, disease resistance, herbicide resistance, sterility, grain characteristics and commercial products. Genes of interest include, generally, those involved in oil, starch, carbohydrate or nutrient metabolism as well as those affecting kernel size, sucrose loading and the like.

In certain embodiments the nucleic acid sequences of the present invention can be used in combination ("stacked") with other polynucleotide sequences of interest in order to create plants with a desired phenotype. The combinations generated can include multiple copies of any one or more of the polynucleotides of interest. The polynucleotides of the present invention may be stacked with any gene or combination of genes to produce plants with a variety of desired trait combinations, including but not limited to

traits desirable for animal feed such as high oil genes (e.g., US Patent Number 6,232,529); balanced amino acids (e.g., hordothionins (US Patent Numbers 5,990,389; 5,885,801; 5,885,802 and 5,703,409); barley high lysine (Williamson, *et al.*, (1987) *Eur. J. Biochem.* 165:99-106 and WO 98/20122) and high methionine proteins (Pedersen, *et al.*, (1986) *J. Biol. Chem.* 261:6279; Kirihara, *et al.*, (1988) *Gene* 71:359 and Musumura, *et al.*, (1989) *Plant Mol. Biol.* 12:123)); increased digestibility (e.g., modified storage proteins (US Patent Application Serial Number 10/053,410, filed November 7, 2001) and thioredoxins (US Patent Application Serial Number 10/005,429, filed December 3, 2001)), the disclosures of which are herein incorporated by reference. The polynucleotides of the present invention can also be stacked with traits desirable for insect, disease or herbicide resistance (e.g., *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxic proteins (US Patent Numbers 5,366,892; 5,747,450; 5,737,514; 5,723,756; 5,593,881; Geiser, *et al.*, (1986) *Gene* 48:109); lectins (Van Damme, *et al.*, (1994) *Plant Mol. Biol.* 24:825); fumonisin detoxification genes (US Patent Number 5,792,931); avirulence and disease resistance genes (Jones, *et al.*, (1994) *Science* 266:789; Martin, *et al.*, (1993) *Science* 262:1432; Mindrinos, *et al.*, (1994) *Cell* 78:1089); acetolactate synthase (ALS) mutants that lead to herbicide resistance such as the S4 and/or Hra mutations; inhibitors of glutamine synthase such as phosphinothricin or basta (e.g., bar gene); and glyphosate resistance (EPSPS gene)) and traits desirable for processing or process products such as high oil (e.g., US Patent Number 6,232,529); modified oils (e.g., fatty acid desaturase genes (US Patent Number 5,952,544; WO 94/11516)); modified starches (e.g., ADPG pyrophosphorylases (AGPase), starch synthases (SS), starch branching enzymes (SBE) and starch debranching enzymes (SDBE)) and polymers or bioplastics (e.g., US Patent Number 5,602,321; beta-ketothiolase, polyhydroxybutyrate synthase and acetoacetyl-CoA reductase (Schubert, *et al.*, (1988) *J. Bacteriol.* 170:5837-5847) facilitate expression of polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs)), the disclosures of which are herein incorporated by reference. One could also combine the polynucleotides of the present invention with polynucleotides affecting agronomic traits such as male sterility (e.g., see, US Patent Number 5,583,210), stalk strength, flowering time or transformation technology traits such as cell cycle regulation or gene targeting (e.g., WO 99/61619; WO 00/17364; WO 99/25821), the disclosures of which are herein incorporated by reference.

In one embodiment, sequences of interest improve plant growth and/or crop yields. For example, sequences of interest include agronomically important genes that result in improved primary or lateral root systems. Such genes include, but are not limited to, nutrient/water transporters and growth inducers. Examples of such genes, include but are not limited to, maize plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase (MHA2) (Frias, *et al.*, (1996) *Plant Cell* 8:1533-44); AKT1, a component of the potassium uptake apparatus in *Arabidopsis*,

(Spalding, *et al.*, (1999) *J Gen Physiol* 113:909-18); RML genes which activate cell division cycle in the root apical cells (Cheng, *et al.*, (1995) *Plant Physiol* 108:881); maize glutamine synthetase genes (Sukanya, *et al.*, (1994) *Plant Mol Biol* 26:1935-46) and hemoglobin (Duff, *et al.*, (1997) *J. Biol. Chem* 27:16749-16752, Arredondo-Peter, *et al.*, (1997) *Plant Physiol.* 115:1259-1266; Arredondo-Peter, *et al.*, (1997) *Plant Physiol* 114:493-500 and references cited therein). The sequence of interest may also be useful in expressing antisense nucleotide sequences of genes that negatively affects root development.

Additional, agronomically important traits such as oil, starch and protein content can be genetically altered in addition to using traditional breeding methods. Modifications include increasing content of oleic acid, saturated and unsaturated oils, increasing levels of lysine and sulfur, providing essential amino acids and also modification of starch. Hordothionin protein modifications are described in US Patent Numbers 5,703,049, 5,885,801, 5,885,802 and 5,990,389, herein incorporated by reference. Another example is lysine and/or sulfur rich seed protein encoded by the soybean 2S albumin described in US Patent Number 5,850,016 and the chymotrypsin inhibitor from barley, described in Williamson, *et al.*, (1987) *Eur. J. Biochem.* 165:99-106, the disclosures of which are herein incorporated by reference.

Derivatives of the coding sequences can be made by site-directed mutagenesis to increase the level of preselected amino acids in the encoded polypeptide. For example, the gene encoding the barley high lysine polypeptide (BHL) is derived from barley chymotrypsin inhibitor, US Patent Application Serial Number 08/740,682, filed November 1, 1996 and WO 98/20133, the disclosures of which are herein incorporated by reference. Other proteins include methionine-rich plant proteins such as from sunflower seed (Lilley, *et al.*, (1989) *Proceedings of the World Congress on Vegetable Protein Utilization in Human Foods and Animal Feedstuffs*, ed. Applewhite (American Oil Chemists Society, Champaign, Illinois), pp. 497-502; herein incorporated by reference); corn (Pedersen, *et al.*, (1986) *J. Biol. Chem.* 261:6279; Kiriara, *et al.*, (1988) *Gene* 71:359, both of which are herein incorporated by reference) and rice (Musumura, *et al.*, (1989) *Plant Mol. Biol.* 12:123, herein incorporated by reference). Other agronomically important genes encode latex, Floury 2, growth factors, seed storage factors and transcription factors.

Insect resistance genes may encode resistance to pests that have great yield drag such as rootworm, cutworm, European Corn Borer and the like. Such genes include, for example, *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxic protein genes (US Patent Numbers 5,366,892; 5,747,450; 5,736,514; 5,723,756; 5,593,881 and Geiser, *et al.*, (1986) *Gene* 48:109), and the like.

Genes encoding disease resistance traits include detoxification genes, such as against fumonisin (US Patent Number 5,792,931); avirulence (*avr*) and disease resistance (*R*) genes (Jones, *et al.*, (1994) *Science* 266:789; Martin, *et al.*, (1993) *Science* 262:1432 and Mindrinos, *et al.*, (1994) *Cell* 78:1089), and the like.

5 Herbicide resistance traits may include genes coding for resistance to herbicides that act to inhibit the action of acetolactate synthase (ALS), in particular the sulfonylurea-type herbicides (e.g., the acetolactate synthase (ALS) gene containing mutations leading to such resistance, in particular the S4 and/or Hra mutations), genes coding for resistance to herbicides that inhibit enol-pyruvylshikimate phosphate synthase (EPSPS), e.g.,
10 glyphosate acetyl transferase (GAT), genes coding for resistance to herbicides that act to inhibit action of glutamine synthase, such as phosphinothricin or basta (e.g., the *bar* gene), a combination thereof or other such genes known in the art. The *bar* gene encodes resistance to the herbicide basta, the *nptII* gene encodes resistance to the antibiotics kanamycin and geneticin and the ALS-gene mutants encode resistance to the
15 herbicide chlorsulfuron.

Sterility genes can also be encoded in an expression cassette and provide an alternative to physical detasseling. Examples of genes used in such ways include male tissue-preferred genes and genes with male sterility phenotypes such as QM, described in US Patent Number 5,583,210. Other genes include kinases and those encoding
20 compounds toxic to either male or female gametophytic development.

The quality of grain is reflected in traits such as levels and types of oils, saturated and unsaturated, quality and quantity of essential amino acids and levels of cellulose. In corn, modified hordothionin proteins are described in US Patent Numbers 5,703,049, 5,885,801, 5,885,802 and 5,990,389.

25 Commercial traits can also be encoded on a gene or genes that could increase for example, starch for ethanol production, or provide expression of proteins. Another important commercial use of transformed plants is the production of polymers and bioplastics such as described in US Patent Number 5,602,321. Genes such as β -Ketothiolase, PHBase (polyhydroxybutyrate synthase) and acetoacetyl-CoA reductase
30 (see, Schubert, *et al.*, (1988) *J. Bacteriol.* 170:5837-5847) facilitate expression of polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs).

Exogenous products include plant enzymes and products as well as those from other sources including procaryotes and other eukaryotes. Such products include enzymes, cofactors, hormones and the like. The level of proteins, particularly modified
35 proteins having improved amino acid distribution to improve the nutrient value of the plant, can be increased. This is achieved by the expression of such proteins having enhanced amino acid content.

This invention can be better understood by reference to the following non-limiting examples. It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that other embodiments of the invention may be practiced without departing from the spirit and the scope of the invention as herein disclosed and claimed.

5

EXAMPLES

Example 1. Yield and Harvest Index tests – RT810ZBS_T (Intro-EF09B/GR1B5)

- PHP26963 (S2a:D8MPL + S2a:CesA10) – 10 evts
- PHP26998 (S2a:D8mpl + Nas2:DD + S2a:CesA10) – 8 evts
- 10 – PHP24843 (S2a:D8MPL + NAS2:DD) – 4 evts
- Construct Nulls (3 events/null)
- WT (Intro-EF09BZTZ/GR1B5)

2 Densities

- **36,000 PPA (JH, MR) – Yield (5 reps)**
- 15 – **48,000 PPA (JH, MR) – Yield (5 reps), Harvest Index (3 reps)**

Three constructs were tested at Johnston (JH) and Marion (MR) Iowa at two densities, 36,000 plants per acre (PPA) and 48,000 PPA in 20" row width. The genes tested consisted of the dwarf mutant D8mpl and the additional genes (stacks) DD (dimerization domain of the D8 gene) or the Ces A10 gene. The constructs are shown below in which the transgenic events are shown with their plasmid identification and nulls (segregating non transgenic sibs) are shown with their plasmid designation and the letter n.

Table 2

25	D8mpl + DD	php24843	E7216.51.1.1
		php24843n	E7216.49.1.5
	D8 + CesA	php26963	E7216.49.2.1
		php26963	E7216.49.2.2
		php26963	E7216.49.3.1
		php26963n	CN
30	D8+DD+CesA	php26998	E7216.50.1.1
		php26998	E7216.50.1.3
		php26998n	CN

35 A higher plant population density was chosen to determine if the dwarf and dwarf stack transgenic plants behaved the same or differently than the construct null sibs (no transgenes with normal height) for yield and harvest index. In general, corn shows a decline in yield in populations above the optimum economic yield such that yield levels decline. Harvest index in corn has been relatively stable, from 45 to 50% as defined by
40 ear dry matter/total above ground dry matter. Increases in biomass and harvest index are

the major determinants of yield, thus a positive change in either attribute could lead to higher potential yield.

The yield comparison for selected events of the different constructs at the Johnston and Marion locations was performed. In general, the reduction in yield levels due to high plant population was more pronounced in the null sibs compared to the respective transgenic stack constructs. In some instances, the transgenic treatments showed an unexpected and increased yield response, particularly in the Marion, IA location. Such an observation indicates that further breeding with a variety of different germplasm sources in addition to those used with these transgene stacks or additional optimization of the agronomic factors such as row width, fertilization practices or optimized plant population for the dwarf phenotype would further improve yield potential.

Harvest index of the entries at the higher population density of 48,000 PPA was measured. Generally, the harvest index of the null sibs were just between 0.5 and 0.52 while most of the transgenic stacks had a harvest index in the range of 0.54 to 0.58. The increase in harvest index could be expected to make better use of available soil moisture and nutrients since a greater proportion of the dry matter produced is in the form of grain.

Example 2. Yield and Harvest Index tests – (Intro-EF09B/HG11)

Topcrosses were made from PHP26963, PHP26998 and PHP24843 T0 plants onto HG11 females. This produced a background genotype similar to commercial hybrid 33A14 which could be used as a reference. These plants were then grown in Johnston observation plots. A small planting of PHP17881 hybrids were also included.

- PHP26963 (S2a:D8MPL + S2a:CesA10) – 2 evts, 6 rows
- PHP26998 (S2a:D8mpl + Nas2:DD + S2a:CesA10) – 2 evts, 6 rows
- PHP24843 (S2a:D8MPL + NAS2:DD) – 2 evts, 8 rows
- PHP17881 (S2a:D8MPL)
- WT (EF09B/HG11 – 33A14) – 11 rows

Minirhizotron tubes were inserted in the soil near these plants to allow for imaging of roots that intersected the tubes. This allowed for a direct measurement of root length of the NAS2:DD stack constructs (Figures 2-5). The DD stacks had longer root systems at earlier time points and appeared to be colonizing the soil more rapidly than the non DD counterparts. The later time point showed the non-DD constructs having similar root lengths to the DD stacks at more shallow depths, but not yet fully colonizing the lowest depth of soil measured. The surface area of these plants increased proportionately with the length, indicating that there is no sacrifice of root width. Plant height and yields were consistent with previous observations of S2a:D8MPL constructs (Figure 4 and Table 3 – Heights from field experiments).

Table 3

	Average Height (m)	Standard Deviation (m)
33A14	2.90	0.06
PHP17881 (D8 MPL)	1.99	0.28
PHP24843 (D8/DD)	1.96	0.06
PHP26963 (D8/CES)	2.10	0.09
PHP26998(D8/DD/CES)	1.90	0.10

Example 3. Greenhouse grown Transgenic stacks

Three constructs were tested in the introEF09B background at the T0 generation to determine the agronomic characteristics of the D8 dimerization domain stacks and for preparation for field testing. Each stacked construct (PHP24843, PHP26963 and PHP26998) utilized the S2A PRO:D8MPL gene, NAS2 PRO:D8 243-331 and/or S2A PRO:ZM-CES A10.

- Genes Tested (Intro EF09B)
 - S2a:D8MPL (Vascular Element Preferred Promoter:moderate dwarfing Gene)
 - Nas2:DD (Root Preferred Promoter: Leucine Zipper Dimerization Domain)
 - S2a:CesA10 (Vascular Element Preferred Promoter:Cellulose Synthase Gene in Stalk Tissue.
- Gene Combinations (“Stacks”) of Two and Three Genes
 - PHP24843 - NAS2 PRO:D8 243-331/S2A PRO:D8MPL Stack (13 events)
 - PHP26963 – S2A PRO:ZM-CES A10/S2A PRO:D8MPL Stack (15 events)
 - PHP26998 – NAS2 PRO:D8 243-331/S2A PRO:ZM-CES A10/S2A PRO:D8MPL (14 events)

Morphometric analyses were performed on the mature T0 plants from this experiment (Figure 6). The NAS2 PRO:D8 243-331 gene increased leaf width and area in this experiment. The S2A PRO:ZM-CES A10 gene increased leaf angle, decreased leaf length and increased seed number.

Example 4. Greenhouse grown Transgenic stacks

Five constructs were tested in GS3xGF3 at the T0 generation to determine the effectiveness of the D8 dimerization domain for reversing dwarfing of the maize root system. Each stacked construct (PHP24843, PHP24844 and PHP24861) utilized a different root preferred promoter to drive expression the D8 243-331 coding sequence.

- Genes Tested (GS3xGF#)
 - S2a:D8MPL (Vascular Element Preferred Promoter:moderate dwarfing Gene)

- Nas2:DD (Root Preferred Promoter: Leucine Zipper Dimerization Domain)
- ZRP2.47 PRO:D8 243-331 (Root Preferred Promoter: Leucine Zipper Dimerization Domain)
- ROOTMET2 PRO:D8 243-331 (Root Preferred Promoter: Leucine Zipper Dimerization Domain)
- ROOTMET2 PRO:GUSINT (Root Preferred Promoter: β -glucuronidase reporter gene)
- Gene Combinations (“Stacks”) of Two Genes
 - PHP24843 - NAS2 PRO:D8 243-331/S2A PRO:D8MPL Stack (25 events)
 - PHP24844 - ZRP2.47 PRO:D8 243-331/S2A PRO:D8MPL Stack (23 events)
 - PHP24861 - ROOTMET2 PRO:D8 243-331/S2A PRO:D8MPL Stack (22 events)
 - PHP17881 - S2A PRO:D8MPL (Dwarf Control) (14 events)
 - PHP23206 - ROOTMET2 PRO:GUSINT (Full Size Control) (14 events)

Morphometric analyses were performed on the mature T0 plants from this experiment (Figure 7). The findings were that root weight was not significantly altered. The expected root change is in root length, which could not be measured due to root bound growth in greenhouse pots. Each construct with the S2A PRO:D8MPL gene displayed a reduced stature with plant height reduced by ~25-35%. Stalk weight was lower in the dimerization domain constructs than in the S2A PRO:D8MPL alone, which was in-turn lower than the full size control. Leaf weight and seed number were reduced in PHP24844, PHP24861 and PHP17881 compared to the full size control; however, PHP24843 (NAS2 PRO:D8 243-331/S2A PRO:D8MPL Stack) retained leaf weight and seed numbers equal to those of the full size control. Seed number is a component of yield and stalk weight is a component of biomass, indicating that PHP24843 may increase harvest index.

Example 5. Greenhouse grown D8 Dimerization Domain transgenics

Four constructs were tested in GS3xGF3 at the T0 generation to determine the effects of the D8 dimerization domain when expressed in roots in a non-stacked configuration. Each stacked construct (PHP24711, PHP24712 and PHP24713) utilized a different root preferred promoter to drive expression the D8 243-331 coding sequence.

- Genes Tested (GS3xGF#)
 - Nas2:DD (Root Preferred Promoter: Leucine Zipper Dimerization Domain)
 - ZRP2.47 PRO:D8 243-331 (Root Preferred Promoter: Leucine Zipper Dimerization Domain)

- ROOTMET2 PRO:D8 243-331 (Root Preferred Promoter: Leucine Zipper Dimerization Domain)
- Gene Constructs
 - PHP24711 - ZRP2.47 PRO:D8 243-331 (25 events)
 - 5 – PHP24712 - ROOTMET2 PRO:D8 243-331 (25 events)
 - PHP24713 - NAS2 PRO:D8 243-331 (25 events)
 - PHP24715 – S2A PRO:AC-GFP1 (Full Size Control) (25 events)

Morphometric analyses were performed on the mature T0 plants from this experiment (Figure 8). Stalk weight and seed number were increased in the ROOTMET2
 10 PRO:D8 243-331 and NAS2 PRO:D8 243-331 constructs.

Example 6. Two location, 3 construct, yield and harvest index trial

Yield and harvest index comparisons were made with three different constructs in genotype “Intro EF09B/GR1B5” compared to their respective construct nulls. The data is
 15 described in Table 4. The yield and harvest index was measured in replicated experiments (5) at 48,000 PPA seeded in 20” rows in Johnston and Marion Iowa using a randomized complete block design. Generally, the semi-dwarf plant height was approximately 60-70% of the construct nulls (CN) with each construct. The phP29693 and phP26998 plant height was about 65% (about 12” taller) than phP24843. Compared
 20 to the construct nulls, yield in Johnston was near equal to the construct null with the events shown in this table. Harvest index was significantly higher in the Johnston location. At the Marion location, several constructs/events were higher in yield than their respective construct nulls. Harvest index was numerically higher and in most cases significantly higher than their respective construct nulls. The semi-dwarf transgenics had
 25 a better yield response at high populations compared to the construct nulls when grown at a lower population of 36,000 ppa. The ‘stacked’ combinations of D8mpl + DD was not significantly lower than the construct null for yield but had higher harvest index at both locations. The combination in construct phP26963 had higher yield potential in Johnston and Marion with higher harvest index. The combination of D8mpl + DD + CesA 10 had
 30 similar or equal yield and higher harvest index in Johnston while the triple gene stack in the Marion, IA location shows lower yields but higher harvest index. Although there were some individual plots that showed root and stalk lodging, neither location had significant differences between the transgenic and their construct nulls.

Table 4

Gene	PHP	ID #	JH yield	% of null	JH HI (%)	MR yield	% of null	MR HI (%)
D8mpl/DD	control	E7216.51.1.1 CN	168	95%	0.57 (110)	152	98%	0.56 (103)
			177		0.51 (110)	156		0.54 (104)
D8/CesA	26963	E7216.49.1.5	163	92	0.58 (114)	141	105%	0.54 (111)
					0.56 (111)	147	110%	0.58 (112)
					0.56 (111)	152	113%	0.53 (104)
					0.53 (104)	151	113%	0.55 (108)
					0.51 (104)	134		0.51 (104)
D8/DD/CesA	26998	E7216.50.1.1 CN	158	93%	0.56 (109)	133	90%	0.58 (113)
			168 169	99%	0.57 (110)	133 149	90%	0.54 (104)
JH=Johnston	MR=Marion	HI=Harvest Index						

Example 7. Transformation and Regeneration of Transgenic Plants

Immature maize embryos from greenhouse donor plants are bombarded with a plasmid containing the Zm dimerization domain sequence operably linked to the drought-inducible promoter RAB17 promoter (Vilardell, *et al.*, (1990) *Plant Mol Biol* 14:423-432) and the selectable marker gene PAT, which confers resistance to the herbicide Bialaphos. Alternatively, the selectable marker gene is provided on a separate plasmid. Transformation is performed as follows. Media recipes follow below.

Preparation of Target Tissue:

The ears are husked and surface sterilized in 30% Clorox® bleach plus 0.5% Micro detergent for 20 minutes and rinsed two times with sterile water. The immature embryos are excised and placed embryo axis side down (scutellum side up), 25 embryos per plate, on 560Y medium for 4 hours and then aligned within the 2.5-cm target zone in preparation for bombardment.

Preparation of DNA:

A plasmid vector comprising the dimerization domain sequence operably linked to an ubiquitin promoter is made. This plasmid DNA plus plasmid DNA containing a PAT selectable marker is precipitated onto 1.1 µm (average diameter) tungsten pellets using a CaCl₂ precipitation procedure as follows:

100 µl prepared tungsten particles in water
10 µl (1 µg) DNA in Tris EDTA buffer (1 µg total DNA)
100 µl 2.5 M CaCl₂
10 µl 0.1 M spermidine

Each reagent is added sequentially to the tungsten particle suspension, while maintained on the multitube vortexer. The final mixture is sonicated briefly and allowed to incubate under constant vortexing for 10 minutes. After the precipitation period, the tubes are centrifuged briefly, liquid removed, washed with 500 µl 100% ethanol and centrifuged for 30 seconds. Again the liquid is removed, and 105 µl 100% ethanol is added to the final tungsten particle pellet. For particle gun bombardment, the tungsten/DNA particles are briefly sonicated and 10 µl spotted onto the center of each macrocarrier and allowed to dry about 2 minutes before bombardment.

Particle Gun Treatment:

The sample plates are bombarded at level #4 in particle gun #HE34-1 or #HE34-2. All samples receive a single shot at 650 PSI, with a total of ten aliquots taken from each tube of prepared particles/DNA.

5

Subsequent Treatment:

Following bombardment, the embryos are kept on 560Y medium for 2 days, then transferred to 560R selection medium containing 3 mg/liter Bialaphos and subcultured every 2 weeks. After approximately 10 weeks of selection, selection-resistant callus clones are transferred to 288J medium to initiate plant regeneration. Following somatic embryo maturation (2-4 weeks), well-developed somatic embryos are transferred to medium for germination and transferred to the lighted culture room. Approximately 7-10 days later, developing plantlets are transferred to 272V hormone-free medium in tubes for 7-10 days until plantlets are well established. Plants are then transferred to inserts in flats (equivalent to 2.5" pot) containing potting soil and grown for 1 week in a growth chamber, subsequently grown an additional 1-2 weeks in the greenhouse, then transferred to classic 600 pots (1.6 gallon) and grown to maturity. Plants are monitored and scored for increased drought tolerance. Assays to measure improved drought tolerance are routine in the art and include, for example, increased kernel-earring capacity yields under drought conditions when compared to control maize plants under identical environmental conditions. Alternatively, the transformed plants can be monitored for a modulation in meristem development (i.e., a decrease in spikelet formation on the ear). See, for example, Bruce, *et al.*, (2002) *Journal of Experimental Botany* 53:1-13.

10

15

20

25

Bombardment and Culture Media:

Bombardment medium (560Y) comprises 4.0 g/l N6 basal salts (SIGMA C-1416), 1.0 ml/l Eriksson's Vitamin Mix (1000X SIGMA-1511), 0.5 mg/l thiamine HCl, 120.0 g/l sucrose, 1.0 mg/l 2,4-D and 2.88 g/l L-proline (brought to volume with D-I H₂O following adjustment to pH 5.8 with KOH); 2.0 g/l Gelrite® (added after bringing to volume with D-I H₂O) and 8.5 mg/l silver nitrate (added after sterilizing the medium and cooling to room temperature). Selection medium (560R) comprises 4.0 g/l N6 basal salts (SIGMA C-1416), 1.0 ml/l Eriksson's Vitamin Mix (1000X SIGMA-1511), 0.5 mg/l thiamine HCl, 30.0 g/l sucrose and 2.0 mg/l 2,4-D (brought to volume with D-I H₂O following adjustment to pH 5.8 with KOH); 3.0 g/l Gelrite® (added after bringing to volume with D-I H₂O) and 0.85 mg/l silver nitrate and 3.0 mg/l bialaphos (both added after sterilizing the medium and cooling to room temperature).

30

35

Plant regeneration medium (288J) comprises 4.3 g/l MS salts (GIBCO 11117-074), 5.0 ml/l MS vitamins stock solution (0.100 g nicotinic acid, 0.02 g/l thiamine HCL, 0.10 g/l pyridoxine HCL and 0.40 g/l glycine brought to volume with polished D-I H₂O) (Murashige and Skoog, (1962) *Physiol. Plant.* 15:473), 100 mg/l myo-inositol, 0.5 mg/l zeatin, 60 g/l sucrose and 1.0 ml/l of 0.1 mM abscisic acid (brought to volume with polished D-I H₂O after adjusting to pH 5.6); 3.0 g/l Gelrite® (added after bringing to volume with D-I H₂O) and 1.0 mg/l indoleacetic acid and 3.0 mg/l bialaphos (added after sterilizing the medium and cooling to 60°C). Hormone-free medium (272V) comprises 4.3 g/l MS salts (GIBCO 11117-074), 5.0 ml/l MS vitamins stock solution (0.100 g/l nicotinic acid, 0.02 g/l thiamine HCL, 0.10 g/l pyridoxine HCL and 0.40 g/l glycine brought to volume with polished D-I H₂O), 0.1 g/l myo-inositol and 40.0 g/l sucrose (brought to volume with polished D-I H₂O after adjusting pH to 5.6) and 6 g/l bacto™-agar (added after bringing to volume with polished D-I H₂O), sterilized and cooled to 60°C.

Example 8. *Agrobacterium*-mediated Transformation

For *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of maize with an antisense sequence of the Zmdimerization domain sequence of the present invention, preferably the method of Zhao is employed (US Patent Number 5,981,840 and PCT Patent Publication WO98/32326, the contents of which are hereby incorporated by reference). Briefly, immature embryos are isolated from maize and the embryos contacted with a suspension of *Agrobacterium*, where the bacteria are capable of transferring the dimerization domain sequence to at least one cell of at least one of the immature embryos (step 1: the infection step). In this step the immature embryos are preferably immersed in an *Agrobacterium* suspension for the initiation of inoculation. The embryos are co-cultured for a time with the *Agrobacterium* (step 2: the co-cultivation step). Preferably the immature embryos are cultured on solid medium following the infection step. Following this co-cultivation period an optional "resting" step is contemplated. In this resting step, the embryos are incubated in the presence of at least one antibiotic known to inhibit the growth of *Agrobacterium* without the addition of a selective agent for plant transformants (step 3: resting step). Preferably the immature embryos are cultured on solid medium with antibiotic, but without a selecting agent, for elimination of *Agrobacterium* and for a resting phase for the infected cells. Next, inoculated embryos are cultured on medium containing a selective agent and growing transformed callus is recovered (step 4: the selection step). Preferably, the immature embryos are cultured on solid medium with a selective agent resulting in the selective growth of transformed cells. The callus is then regenerated into plants (step 5: the regeneration step) and preferably calli grown on selective medium are cultured on solid medium to regenerate the plants. Plants are monitored and scored for a modulation

in meristem development. For instance, alterations of size and appearance of the shoot and floral meristems and/or increased yields of leaves, flowers and/or fruits are monitored.

Example 9. Sugar Cane Transformation

5 This protocol describes routine conditions for production of transgenic sugarcane lines. The same conditions are close to optimal for number of transiently expressing cells following bombardment into embryogenic sugarcane callus. See also, Bower, *et al.*, (1996). *Molec Breed* 2:239-249; Birch and Bower, (1994). Principles of gene transfer using particle bombardment. In Particle Bombardment Technology for Gene Transfer, 10 Yang and Christou, eds (New York: Oxford University Press), pp. 3-37 and Santosa, *et al.*, (2004), *Molecular Biotechnology* 28:113-119, incorporated herein by reference.

Sugarcane Transformation Protocol:

1. Subculture callus on MSC3, 4 days prior to bombardment:
 - 15 (a) Use actively growing embryogenic callus (predominantly globular pro-embryoids rather than more advanced stages of differentiation) for bombardment and through the subsequent selection period.
 - (b) Divide callus into pieces around 5 mm in diameter at the time of subculture and use forceps to make a small crater in the agar surface for each 20 transferred callus piece.
 - (c) Incubate at 28°C in the dark, in deep (25 mm) Petri dishes with micropore tape seals for gas exchange.
2. Place embryogenic callus pieces in a circle (~2.5 cm diameter), on MSC3Osm medium. Incubate for 4 hours prior to bombardment.
- 25 3. Sterilize 0.7 µm diameter tungsten (Grade M-10, Bio-Rad # 165-2266) in absolute ethanol. Vortex the suspension, then pellet the tungsten in a microfuge for ~ 30 seconds. Draw off the supernatant and resuspend the particles at the same concentration in sterile H₂O. Repeat the washing step with sterile H₂O twice and thoroughly resuspend particles before transferring 50 µl aliquots into microfuge tubes.
- 30 4. Add the precipitation mix components:

Component (stock solution)	Volume to add	Final conc in mix
Tungsten (100 µg/µl in H ₂ O)	50 µl	38.5 µg/µl
DNA (1 µg/µl)	10 µl	0.38 µg/µl
CaCl ₂ (2.5M in H ₂ O)	50 µl	963 mM
35 Spermidine free base (0.1M in H ₂ O)	20 µl	15 mM
5. Allow the mixture to stand on ice for 5 min. During this time, complete steps 6-8 below.

6. Disinfect the inside of the 'gene gun' target chamber by swabbing with ethanol and allow it to dry.
7. Adjust the outlet pressure at the helium cylinder to the desired bombardment pressure.
- 5 8. Adjust the solenoid timer to 0.05 seconds. Pass enough helium to remove air from the supply line (2-3 pulses).
9. After 5 min on ice, remove (and discard) 100 µl of supernatant from the settled precipitation mix.
- 10 10. Thoroughly disperse the particles in the remaining solution.
- 11 11. Immediately place 4 µl of the dispersed tungsten-DNA preparation in the center of the support screen in a 13 mm plastic syringe filter holder.
12. Attach the filter holder to the helium outlet in the target chamber.
13. Replace the lid over the target tissue with a sterile protective screen. Place the sample into the target chamber, centered 16.5 cm under the particle source and close the
- 15 door.
14. Open the valve to the vacuum source. When chamber vacuum reaches 28" of mercury, press the button to apply the accelerating gas pulse, which discharges the particles into the target chamber.
15. Close the valve to the vacuum source. Allow air to return slowly into the target
- 20 chamber through a sterilizing filter. Open the door, cover the sample with a sterile lid and remove the sample dish from the chamber.
16. Repeat steps 10-15 for consecutive target plates using the same precipitation mix, filter and screen.
17. Approximately 4 hours after bombardment, transfer the callus pieces from
- 25 MSC3Osm to MSC3.
18. Two days after shooting, transfer the callus onto selection medium. During this transfer, divide the callus into pieces ~5mm in diameter, with each piece being kept separate throughout the selection process.
19. Subculture callus pieces at 2-3 week intervals.
- 30 20. When callus pieces grow to ~5 to 10 mm in diameter (typically 8 to 12 weeks after bombardment) transfer onto regeneration medium at 28°C in the light.
21. When regenerated shoots are 30-60 mm high with several well-developed roots, transfer them into potting mix with the usual precautions against mechanical damage, pathogen attack and desiccation until plantlets are established in the greenhouse.

35

Example 10. Soybean Embryo Transformation

Soybean embryos are bombarded with a plasmid containing a dimerization domain sequence operably linked to an ubiquitin promoter as follows. To induce somatic embryos, cotyledons, 3-5 mm in length dissected from surface-sterilized, immature seeds of the soybean cultivar A2872, are cultured in the light or dark at 26°C on an appropriate agar medium for six to ten weeks. Somatic embryos producing secondary embryos are then excised and placed into a suitable liquid medium. After repeated selection for clusters of somatic embryos that multiplied as early, globular-staged embryos, the suspensions are maintained as described below.

Soybean embryogenic suspension cultures can be maintained in 35 ml liquid media on a rotary shaker, 150 rpm, at 26°C with florescent lights on a 16:8 hour day/night schedule. Cultures are subcultured every two weeks by inoculating approximately 35 mg of tissue into 35 ml of liquid medium.

Soybean embryogenic suspension cultures may then be transformed by the method of particle gun bombardment (Klein, *et al.*, (1987) *Nature* (London) 327:70-73, US Patent Number 4,945,050). A Du Pont Biolistic PDS1000/HE instrument (helium retrofit) can be used for these transformations.

A selectable marker gene that can be used to facilitate soybean transformation is a transgene composed of the 35S promoter from Cauliflower Mosaic Virus (Odell, *et al.*, (1985) *Nature* 313:810-812), the hygromycin phosphotransferase gene from plasmid pJR225 (from *E. coli*; Gritz, *et al.*, (1983) *Gene* 25:179-188) and the 3' region of the nopaline synthase gene from the T-DNA of the Ti plasmid of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. The expression cassette comprising a dimerization domain sense sequence operably linked to the ubiquitin promoter can be isolated as a restriction fragment. This fragment can then be inserted into a unique restriction site of the vector carrying the marker gene.

To 50 µl of a 60 mg/ml 1 µm gold particle suspension is added (in order): 5 µl DNA (1 µg/µl), 20 µl spermidine (0.1 M), and 50 µl CaCl₂ (2.5 M). The particle preparation is then agitated for three minutes, spun in a microfuge for 10 seconds and the supernatant removed. The DNA-coated particles are then washed once in 400 µl 70% ethanol and resuspended in 40 µl of anhydrous ethanol. The DNA/particle suspension can be sonicated three times for one second each. Five microliters of the DNA-coated gold particles are then loaded on each macro carrier disk.

Approximately 300-400 mg of a two-week-old suspension culture is placed in an empty 60x15 mm petri dish and the residual liquid removed from the tissue with a pipette. For each transformation experiment, approximately 5-10 plates of tissue are normally bombarded. Membrane rupture pressure is set at 1100 psi, and the chamber is evacuated to a vacuum of 28 inches mercury. The tissue is placed approximately 3.5

inches away from the retaining screen and bombarded three times. Following bombardment, the tissue can be divided in half and placed back into liquid and cultured as described above.

Five to seven days post bombardment, the liquid media may be exchanged with fresh media and eleven to twelve days post-bombardment with fresh media containing 50 mg/ml hygromycin. This selective media can be refreshed weekly. Seven to eight weeks post-bombardment, green, transformed tissue may be observed growing from untransformed, necrotic embryogenic clusters. Isolated green tissue is removed and inoculated into individual flasks to generate new, clonally propagated, transformed embryogenic suspension cultures. Each new line may be treated as an independent transformation event. These suspensions can then be subcultured and maintained as clusters of immature embryos or regenerated into whole plants by maturation and germination of individual somatic embryos.

Example 11. Sunflower Meristem Tissue Transformation

Sunflower meristem tissues are transformed with an expression cassette containing a dimerization domain sequence operably linked to a ubiquitin promoter as follows (see also, EP Patent Number 0 486233, herein incorporated by reference and Malone-Schoneberg, *et al.*, (1994) *Plant Science* 103:199-207). Mature sunflower seed (*Helianthus annuus* L.) are dehulled using a single wheat-head thresher. Seeds are surface sterilized for 30 minutes in a 20% Clorox® bleach solution with the addition of two drops of Tween® 20 per 50 ml of solution. The seeds are rinsed twice with sterile distilled water.

Split embryonic axis explants are prepared by a modification of procedures described by Schrammeijer, *et al.*, (Schrammeijer, *et al.*, (1990) *Plant Cell Rep.* 9:55-60). Seeds are imbibed in distilled water for 60 minutes following the surface sterilization procedure. The cotyledons of each seed are then broken off, producing a clean fracture at the plane of the embryonic axis. Following excision of the root tip, the explants are bisected longitudinally between the primordial leaves. The two halves are placed, cut surface up, on GBA medium consisting of Murashige and Skoog mineral elements (Murashige, *et al.*, (1962) *Physiol. Plant.*, 15:473-497), Shepard's vitamin additions (Shepard (1980) in *Emergent Techniques for the Genetic Improvement of Crops* (University of Minnesota Press, St. Paul, Minnesota), 40 mg/l adenine sulfate, 30 g/l sucrose, 0.5 mg/l 6-benzyl-aminopurine (BAP), 0.25 mg/l indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), 0.1 mg/l gibberellic acid (GA₃), pH 5.6 and 8 g/l Phytagar.

The explants are subjected to microprojectile bombardment prior to *Agrobacterium* treatment (Bidney, *et al.*, (1992) *Plant Mol. Biol.* 18:301-313). Thirty to forty explants are

placed in a circle at the center of a 60 X 20 mm plate for this treatment. Approximately 4.7 mg of 1.8 mm tungsten microprojectiles are resuspended in 25 ml of sterile TE buffer (10 mM Tris HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) and 1.5 ml aliquots are used per bombardment. Each plate is bombarded twice through a 150 mm nytex screen placed 2 cm above the samples in a PDS 1000® particle acceleration device.

Disarmed *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain EHA105 is used in all transformation experiments. A binary plasmid vector comprising the expression cassette that contains the dimerization domain gene operably linked to the ubiquitin promoter is introduced into *Agrobacterium* strain EHA105 via freeze-thawing as described by Holsters, *et al.*, (1978) *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 163:181-187. This plasmid further comprises a kanamycin selectable marker gene (i.e., *nptII*). Bacteria for plant transformation experiments are grown overnight (28°C and 100 RPM continuous agitation) in liquid YEP medium (10 gm/l yeast extract, 10 gm/l Bacto®peptone, and 5 gm/l NaCl, pH 7.0) with the appropriate antibiotics required for bacterial strain and binary plasmid maintenance. The suspension is used when it reaches an OD₆₀₀ of about 0.4 to 0.8. The *Agrobacterium* cells are pelleted and resuspended at a final OD₆₀₀ of 0.5 in an inoculation medium comprised of 12.5 mM MES pH 5.7, 1 gm/l NH₄Cl, and 0.3 gm/l MgSO₄.

Freshly bombarded explants are placed in an *Agrobacterium* suspension, mixed, and left undisturbed for 30 minutes. The explants are then transferred to GBA medium and co-cultivated, cut surface down, at 26°C and 18-hour days. After three days of co-cultivation, the explants are transferred to 374B (GBA medium lacking growth regulators and a reduced sucrose level of 1%) supplemented with 250 mg/l cefotaxime and 50 mg/l kanamycin sulfate. The explants are cultured for two to five weeks on selection and then transferred to fresh 374B medium lacking kanamycin for one to two weeks of continued development. Explants with differentiating, antibiotic-resistant areas of growth that have not produced shoots suitable for excision are transferred to GBA medium containing 250 mg/l cefotaxime for a second 3-day phytohormone treatment. Leaf samples from green, kanamycin-resistant shoots are assayed for the presence of NPTII by ELISA and for the presence of transgene expression by assaying for a modulation in meristem development (i.e., an alteration of size and appearance of shoot and floral meristems).

NPTII-positive shoots are grafted to Pioneer® hybrid 6440 *in vitro*-grown sunflower seedling rootstock. Surface sterilized seeds are germinated in 48-0 medium (half-strength Murashige and Skoog salts, 0.5% sucrose, 0.3% gelrite®, pH 5.6) and grown under conditions described for explant culture. The upper portion of the seedling is removed, a 1 cm vertical slice is made in the hypocotyl and the transformed shoot inserted into the cut. The entire area is wrapped with parafilm® to secure the shoot. Grafted plants can be transferred to soil following one week of *in vitro* culture. Grafts in soil are maintained

under high humidity conditions followed by a slow acclimatization to the greenhouse environment. Transformed sectors of T₀ plants (parental generation) maturing in the greenhouse are identified by NPTII ELISA and/or by dimerization domain activity analysis of leaf extracts while transgenic seeds harvested from NPTII-positive T₀ plants are identified by dimerization domain activity analysis of small portions of dry seed cotyledon.

An alternative sunflower transformation protocol allows the recovery of transgenic progeny without the use of chemical selection pressure. Seeds are dehulled and surface-sterilized for 20 minutes in a 20% Clorox® bleach solution with the addition of two to three drops of Tween® 20 per 100 ml of solution, then rinsed three times with distilled water. Sterilized seeds are imbibed in the dark at 26°C for 20 hours on filter paper moistened with water. The cotyledons and root radical are removed and the meristem explants are cultured on 374E (GBA medium consisting of MS salts, Shepard vitamins, 40 mg/l adenine sulfate, 3% sucrose, 0.5 mg/l 6-BAP, 0.25 mg/l IAA, 0.1 mg/l GA, and 0.8% Phytagar at pH 5.6) for 24 hours under the dark. The primary leaves are removed to expose the apical meristem, around 40 explants are placed with the apical dome facing upward in a 2 cm circle in the center of 374M (GBA medium with 1.2% Phytagar) and then cultured on the medium for 24 hours in the dark.

Approximately 18.8 mg of 1.8 µm tungsten particles are resuspended in 150 µl absolute ethanol. After sonication, 8 µl of it is dropped on the center of the surface of macrocarrier. Each plate is bombarded twice with 650 psi rupture discs in the first shelf at 26 mm of Hg helium gun vacuum.

The plasmid of interest is introduced into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain EHA105 via freeze thawing as described previously. The pellet of overnight-grown bacteria at 28°C in a liquid YEP medium (10 g/l yeast extract, 10 g/l Bacto®peptone and 5 g/l NaCl, pH 7.0) in the presence of 50 µg/l kanamycin is resuspended in an inoculation medium (12.5 mM 2-(N-morpholino) ethanesulfonic acid, MES, 1 g/l NH₄Cl and 0.3 g/l MgSO₄ at pH 5.7) to reach a final concentration of 4.0 at OD₆₀₀. Particle-bombarded explants are transferred to GBA medium (374E) and a droplet of bacteria suspension is placed directly onto the top of the meristem. The explants are co-cultivated on the medium for 4 days, after which the explants are transferred to 374C medium (GBA with 1% sucrose and no BAP, IAA, GA3 and supplemented with 250 µg/ml cefotaxime). The plantlets are cultured on the medium for about two weeks under 16-hour day and 26°C incubation conditions.

Explants (around 2 cm long) from two weeks of culture in 374C medium are screened for a modulation in meristem development (i.e., an alteration of size and appearance of shoot and floral meristems). After positive (i.e., a change in dimerization domain expression) explants are identified, those shoots that fail to exhibit an alteration in

dimerization domain activity are discarded and every positive explant is subdivided into nodal explants. One nodal explant contains at least one potential node. The nodal segments are cultured on GBA medium for three to four days to promote the formation of auxiliary buds from each node. Then they are transferred to 374C medium and allowed to develop for an additional four weeks. Developing buds are separated and cultured for an additional four weeks on 374C medium. Pooled leaf samples from each newly recovered shoot are screened again by the appropriate protein activity assay. At this time, the positive shoots recovered from a single node will generally have been enriched in the transgenic sector detected in the initial assay prior to nodal culture.

Recovered shoots positive for altered dimerization domain expression are grafted to Pioneer hybrid 6440 *in vitro*-grown sunflower seedling rootstock. The rootstocks are prepared in the following manner. Seeds are dehulled and surface-sterilized for 20 minutes in a 20% Clorox® bleach solution with the addition of two to three drops of Tween® 20 per 100 ml of solution, and are rinsed three times with distilled water. The sterilized seeds are germinated on the filter moistened with water for three days, then they are transferred into 48 medium (half-strength MS salt, 0.5% sucrose, 0.3% gelrite® pH 5.0) and grown at 26°C under the dark for three days, then incubated at 16-hour-day culture conditions. The upper portion of selected seedling is removed, a vertical slice is made in each hypocotyl and a transformed shoot is inserted into a V-cut. The cut area is wrapped with parafilm®. After one week of culture on the medium, grafted plants are transferred to soil. In the first two weeks, they are maintained under high humidity conditions to acclimatize to a greenhouse environment.

Example 12. Agrobacterium mediated Grass Transformation

Grass plants may be transformed by following the Agrobacterium mediated transformation of Luo, *et al.*, (2004) *Plant Cell Rep* (2004) 22:645–652.

Materials and methods

Plant material

A commercial cultivar of creeping bentgrass (*Agrostis stolonifera* L., cv. Penn-A-4) supplied by Turf-Seed (Hubbard, Ore.) can be used. Seeds are stored at 4°C until used.

Bacterial strains and plasmids

Agrobacterium strains containing one of 3 vectors are used. One vector includes a pUbi-gus/Act1-hyg construct consisting of the maize ubiquitin (ubi) promoter driving an intron-containing b-glucuronidase (GUS) reporter gene and the rice actin 1 promoter driving a hygromycin (hyg) resistance gene. The other two pTAP-arts/35S-bar and pTAP-

barnase/Ubi-bar constructs are vectors containing a rice tapetum-specific promoter driving either a rice tapetum-specific antisense gene, *rts* (Lee, *et al.*, (1996) *Int Rice Res News* 21:2–3) or a ribonuclease gene, *barnase* (Hartley, (1988) *J Mol Biol* 202:913–915), linked to the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter (CaMV 35S) or the rice *ubi* promoter (Huq, *et al.*, (1997) *Plant Physiol* 113:305) driving the *bar* gene for herbicide resistance as the selectable marker.

Induction of embryogenic callus and Agrobacterium-mediated transformation

Mature seeds are dehusked with sand paper and surface sterilized in 10% (v/v) Clorox® bleach (6% sodium hypochlorite) plus 0.2% (v/v) Tween® 20 (Polysorbate 20) with vigorous shaking for 90 min. Following rinsing five times in sterile distilled water, the seeds are placed onto callus-induction medium containing MS basal salts and vitamins (Murashige and Skoog, (1962) *Physiol Plant* 15:473–497), 30 g/l sucrose, 500 mg/l casein hydrolysate, 6.6 mg/l 3,6-dichloro-o-anisic acid (dicamba), 0.5 mg/l 6-benzylaminopurine (BAP) and 2 g/l Phytigel. The pH of the medium is adjusted to 5.7 before autoclaving at 120°C for 20 min. The culture plates containing prepared seed explants are kept in the dark at room temperature for 6 weeks. Embryogenic calli are visually selected and subcultured on fresh callus-induction medium in the dark at room temperature for 1 week before co-cultivation.

Transformation

The transformation process is divided into five sequential steps: agro-infection, co-cultivation, antibiotic treatment, selection and plant regeneration. One day prior to agro-infection, the embryogenic callus is divided into 1- to 2-mm pieces and placed on callus-induction medium containing 100 µM acetosyringone. A 10- ml aliquot of *Agrobacterium* suspension (OD=1.0 at 660 nm) is then applied to each piece of callus, followed by 3 days of co-cultivation in the dark at 25°C. For the antibiotic treatment step, the callus is then transferred and cultured for 2 weeks on callus-induction medium plus 125 mg/l cefotaxime and 250 mg/l carbenicillin to suppress bacterial growth. Subsequently, for selection, the callus is moved to callus-induction medium containing 250 mg/l cefotaxime and 10 mg/l phosphinothricin (PPT) or 200 mg/l hygromycin for 8 weeks. Antibiotic treatment and the entire selection process is performed at room temperature in the dark. The subculture interval during selection is typically 3 weeks. For plant regeneration, the PPT- or hygromycin- resistant proliferating callus is first moved to regeneration medium (MS basal medium, 30 g/l sucrose, 100 mg/l myo-inositol, 1 mg/l BAP and 2 g/l Phytigel) supplemented with cefotaxime, PPT or hygromycin. These calli are kept in the dark at room temperature for 1 week and then moved into the light for 2–3 weeks to develop

shoots. Small shoots are then separated and transferred to hormone-free regeneration medium containing PPT or hygromycin and cefotaxime to promote root growth while maintaining selection pressure and suppressing any remaining *Agrobacterium* cells. Plantlets with well-developed roots (3–5 weeks) are then transferred to soil and grown either in the greenhouse or in the field.

Staining for GUS activity

GUS activity in transformed callus is assayed by histochemical staining with 1 mM 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-b-d-glucuronic acid (X-Gluc, Biosynth, Staad, Switzerland) as described in Jefferson, (1987) *Plant Mol Biol Rep* 5:387–405. The hygromycin-resistant callus surviving from selection was incubated at 37 C overnight in 100 µl of reaction buffer containing X-Gluc. GUS expression is then documented by photography.

Vernalization and out-crossing of transgenic plants

Transgenic plants are maintained out of doors in a containment nursery (3–6 months) until the winter solstice in December. The vernalized plants are then transferred to the greenhouse and kept at 25°C under a 16/8 h [day/light (artificial light)] photoperiod and surrounded by non-transgenic wild-type plants that physically isolated them from other pollen sources. The plants will initiate flowering 3–4 weeks after being moved back into the greenhouse. They are out-crossed with the pollen from the surrounding wild-type plants. The seeds collected from each individual transgenic plant are germinated in soil at 25°C and T1 plants are grown in the greenhouse for further analysis.

Seed Testing

Test of the transgenic plants and their progeny for resistance to PPT

Transgenic plants and their progeny are evaluated for tolerance to glufosinate (PPT) indicating functional expression of the bar gene. The seedlings are sprayed twice at concentrations of 1– 10% (v/v) Finale© (AgrEvo USA, Montvale, N.J.) containing 11% glufosinate as the active ingredient. Resistant and sensitive seedlings are clearly distinguishable 1 week after the application of Finale© in all the sprayings.

Statistical analysis

Transformation efficiency for a given experiment is estimated by the number of PPT-resistant events recovered per 100 embryogenic calli infected and regeneration efficiency is determined using the number of regenerated events per 100 events attempted. The mean transformation and regeneration efficiencies are determined based on the data obtained from multiple independent experiments. A Chi-square test can be

used to determine whether the segregation ratios observed among T1 progeny for the inheritance of the bar gene as a single locus fit the expected 1:1 ratio when out-crossed with pollen from untransformed wild-type plants.

5 DNA extraction and analysis

Genomic DNA is extracted from approximately 0.5–2 g of fresh leaves essentially as described by Luo, *et al.*, (1995) *Mol Breed* 1:51–63. Ten micrograms of DNA is digested with HindIII or BamHI according to the supplier's instructions (New England Biolabs, Beverly, Mass.). Fragments are size-separated through a 1.0% (w/v) agarose gel and blotted onto a Hybond-N+ membrane (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, N.J.). The bar gene, isolated by restriction digestion from pTAP-arts/35S-bar, is used as a probe for Southern blot analysis. The DNA fragment is radiolabeled using a Random Priming Labeling kit (Amersham Biosciences) and the Southern blots are processed as described by Sambrook, *et al.*, (1989) *Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual*, 2nd edn. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York.

Polymerase chain reaction

The two primers designed to amplify the bar gene are as follows: 5'-GTCTGCACCATCGTCAACC-3' (SEQ ID NO: 42), corresponding to the proximity of the 5' end of the bar gene and 5'-GAAGTCCAGCTGCCAGAAACC-3' (SEQ ID NO: 43), corresponding to the 3' end of the bar coding region. The amplification of the bar gene using this pair of primers should result in a product of 0.44 kb. The reaction mixtures (25 µl total volume) consist of 50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.8), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% (w/v) Triton X-100, 200 µM each of dATP, dCTP, dGTP and dTTP, 0.5 µM of each primer, 0.2 µg of template DNA and 1 U Taq DNA polymerase (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA). Amplification is performed in a Stratagene Robocycler Gradient 96 thermal cycler (La Jolla, CA) programmed for 25 cycles of 1 min at 94°C (denaturation), 2 min at 55°C (hybridization), 3 min at 72°C (elongation) and a final elongation step at 72°C for 10 min. PCR products are separated on a 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel and detected by staining with ethidium bromide.

Example 13. Plant characterization analysis - greenhouse

Greenhouse experiments were performed with two constructs plus a comparative control. All had 35s::BAR as the selectable marker. Php37407 contained S2A PRO::D8 MPL + F3.7 PRO::CESA4 + FTM1 PRO::DD + NAS2 PRO::DD. Php39175 contained S2A PRO::D8 MPL S89T (ALT4). For each construct, 10 events were planted. An equal number of positive and negative plants (4 per week x 4 weeks) were expected. Due to

greenhouse growth conditions and subsequent extra plantings, the outcome of samples was:

For php37407: 28 positive plants from 10 events and 24 negative siblings from 9 events.

For php39175: 25 positive plants from 8 events and 29 negative siblings from 10 events.

5 Observations were performed on each of the plants, and measurements recorded. Data collected included: Plant Height, Leaf Width and Leaf Length (leaves -2, +2, +4 from ear node), Central Tassel Spike Measurement (absolute value and normalized to height), Anther Exertion Length, Tassel Score (1-9: 1 being very small with no branches, 5 average size with approximately 6 branches, 9 very large with 20 or more branches),
10 Pollen Score (1-5: low to high, a measurement of collected pollen, each unit equivalent to 0.7" of collected pollen in a 0.25" wide apparatus) and Leaf Count.

Final analysis of the plants showed that the stacked php37407 construct containing the dimerization domain had moderating effects on the dwarf gene's phenotype exhibited in php39175 plants. Plant height for php37407 increased 8.8% as compared
15 with php39175. The increases in the leaf width and the reductions in leaf length with the dwarf gene in php39175 were also moderated with the stack in php37407. In the php37407 plants, leaf width was reduced 6% in the leaf two nodes below the ear, 4.2% in the leaf two nodes above the ear and 5.4% in the leaf four nodes above the ear. The leaf lengths in the php37407 plants were increased 2.7% and 2.8% respectively for the nodes
20 two below and two above the ear and showed no difference for the leaf four nodes above the ear. Additionally, the absolute length of the central tassel spike was increased by 3% in the php37407 samples as compared with the php39175 samples. The tassel length as a percentage of height was reduced by 6.4% in php37407, moderating the dwarf gene's effect to increase the relative tassel length compared to the vegetative plant height.
25 Furthermore, on a representative subset of samples, the exerted anther length including the filament plus anther in php37407 plants was increased by 9.9% as compared with the php39175 anthers. In addition, the tassel score index (1-9) taken by the greenhouse in the stacked php37407 plants showed an increase of 9.5%. The pollen score index (1-5) on a representative subset of samples showed no difference between the samples. Leaf
30 counts were also similar between php37407 and php39175 and found one node greater per plant as compared with their negative siblings. Overall, the stack of genes in the php37407 plants displayed a moderating effect of the dwarf gene phenotype in the php39175 samples. Moderating the effect of the dwarf gene included, but was not limited to: increased plant height and tassel size, leaf length and anther exerted.

35

Example 14. Arabidopsis dimerization domain study

Arabidopsis plants ecotype Columbia were transformed with a construct containing a constitutive promoter or tissue-preferred promoter driving expression of the dimerization domain (DD). Plants were transformed using Agrobacterium-mediated transformation method and positive transformants were selected by resistance to an herbicide. Transgenic Arabidopsis plants were grown in nutrient-rich soil under greenhouse conditions. Seeds were collected to determine improvements in yield or yield-related traits between transgenic plants and control plants. Control plants are positive transformants that contain the vector backbone without the promoter and dimerization domain. Effective transgenic events are those that show an increase in yield or seed weight under normal growing conditions.

Transgenic plants containing a putative leaf-preferred promoter driving expression of the dimerization domain showed an approximately 22% increase in seed weight over control plants.

Example 15. Root growth analysis

Seed segregating for transgene heterozygote and wild type are planted in Custom 200C pot filled with Turface MVP then watered with nutrient solution containing 1mM KNO₃ or 4mM KNO₃ as nitrogen source along with a full complement of other nutrients:

Nutrient	1mM KNO ₃	4mM KNO ₃
10x Micronutrients	400ml	400ml
KH ₂ PO ₄ 136.02 Mwt	272g	272g
MgSO ₄ 120.36 Mwt	963g	963g
KNO ₃ fertilizer grade	400g	1200g
KCl 74.55 Mwt	596g	--
*CaCl ₂ 147.01 Mwt	588g	588g
<u>Sprint 330</u>	<u>335g</u>	<u>335g</u>
	/ 100 l	/ 100 l

Add 84 ml H₂SO₄ to reduce pH. Optimum pH is 5-5.5. Add 200ul of the nutrient solution to 3ml tap water and check the pH, it should be 5-5.8. If distilled water is used the pH will have to be raised with 10M KOH instead of decreased.

*If using tap water with Ca⁺⁺ concentration in the 0.5-0.7mM level reduce this amount to 235g. If comparing 6mM growth to any other nutrient mix maintain the CaCl₂ level at 588g/100l.

10x Micronutrients Stock solution

	mg/liter
15mM H ₃ BO ₃	1852mg
5mM MnCl ₂ ·4H ₂ O	1980mg
5 5mM ZnSO ₄ ·7 H ₂ O	2874mg
0.5mM CuSO ₄ ·5 H ₂ O	250mg
0.5mM H ₂ MoO ₄ ·H ₂ O	242mg

After 3 weeks of growth in these media SPAD meter measurements are made by averaging at least 5 readings taken from the base of the youngest most fully expanded leaf. Plants are removed from the pots, the surface washed from the roots and separated into shoots and roots. These samples are dried (70°C for 72hr) and dried roots are weighed separately from the shoots. The dried shoots are ground to a fine powder and total N determined using a sample of the ground tissue. From these parameters greenness (SPAD), total plant weight, shoot weight, root weight, root / shoot ratio, shoot nitrogen concentration and total N are calculated for low and high N fertility grown plants.

Plants have a higher root / shoot ratio when grown in lower nitrogen fertility. Agronomic conditions for growing maize have higher soil nitrate conditions when the plants are the smallest. Higher soil nitrate conditions favor lower root / shoot ratios which does not favor extensive soil exploration by roots. These transgenes that increase the root / shoot ratio under high or low nitrogen fertility would likely explore a greater portion of the soil early during growth and maximize plant growth. Root / shoot ratios would be higher in higher N fertilities.

The use of a root preferred promoter such as NAS2 and the dimerization domain (DD) enhances root growth early in development. The changes in root growth can be detected at the tissue culture stages of plant regeneration following transformation with this gene specifically by the appearance of more roots and larger diameter roots in test tubes prepared for rooting (ref. Zhao). Transgenic seed expressing NAS2:DD would be expected to have an enhanced early root growth phenotype similar to that observed in tissue culture experiments. The expected phenotype in the assay mentioned above would be expected to produce a higher root dry weight at the end of the growth period of three weeks. An altered root growth (higher) would be especially desirable under higher N conditions because of a greater soil exploration capacity in transgenic versus non transgenic plants.

Example 16. The use of DD (dimerization domain) components with moderate dwarfing genes (D8MPL) to improve creeping bentgrass (*Agrostis stolonifera* L.) for turf grass applications.

The semi-dwarf characteristics of S2a:D8MPL in corn could be used to improve turf grass species such as creeping bentgrass (*Agrostis stolonifera* L.). Specifically, a more compact leaf with increased width and reduced length is desirable and the dark green leaf color observed in corn would be especially desirable in turf grass. In addition to the reduced leaf length with S2a:D8MPL, roots may also have shorter length compared to non transgenic creeping bent grass. The use of the DD dominant negative transgene with a root preferred promoter such as NAS2 could be combined in a transgene stack to selectively increase the root growth relative to a more compact leaf phenotype desired in the leaves. The compact leaf structure would also have advantages in terms of reduced maintenance (mowing) with similar or reduced amounts of added fertilizer. Furthermore, the use of the DD with a root preferred or specific promoter would increase the relative root length and root density compared to the expectation of smaller roots with a dwarf shoot/leaf phenotype. Increasing root length and density, especially earlier in plant development, would aide establishment and could also moderate irrigation requirements for establishment and maintenance of commercial turf grass plantings. Similar advantages are anticipated for non-commercial home use of transgenic *Agrostis* species—ease in establishment because of strong root formation from seedlings and more efficient maintenance in terms of less mowing and irrigation to maintain a desirable turfgrass (i.e., dark green) appearance above ground and deeper more vigorous roots to support leaf growth and turf quality maintenance.

Example 17. Variants of dimerization domain Sequences

A. Variant Nucleotide Sequences of dimerization domain That Do Not Alter the Encoded Amino Acid Sequence

The dimerization domain nucleotide sequences are used to generate variant nucleotide sequences having the nucleotide sequence of the open reading frame with about 70%, 75%, 80%, 85%, 90% and 95% nucleotide sequence identity when compared to the starting unaltered ORF nucleotide sequence of the corresponding SEQ ID NO. These functional variants are generated using a standard codon table. While the nucleotide sequence of the variants are altered, the amino acid sequence encoded by the open reading frames do not change. These variants are associated with the ability of the dimerization domain to form defective dimmers thereby preventing the inhibitory response to GA.

B. Variant Amino Acid Sequences of dimerization domain Polypeptides

Variant amino acid sequences of the dimerization domain polypeptides are generated. In this example, one amino acid is altered. Specifically, the open reading frames are reviewed to determine the appropriate amino acid alteration. The selection of the amino acid to change is made by consulting the protein alignment (with the other orthologs and other gene family members from various species). An amino acid is selected that is deemed not to be under high selection pressure (not highly conserved) and which is rather easily substituted by an amino acid with similar chemical characteristics (i.e., similar functional side-chain). Using a protein alignment, an appropriate amino acid can be changed. Once the targeted amino acid is identified, the procedure outlined in the following section C is followed. Variants having about 70%, 75%, 80%, 85%, 90% and 95% nucleic acid sequence identity are generated using this method. .

C. Additional Variant Amino Acid Sequences of dimerization domain Polypeptides

In this example, artificial protein sequences are created having 80%, 85%, 90% and 95% identity relative to the reference protein sequence. This latter effort requires identifying conserved and variable regions from an alignment and then the judicious application of an amino acid substitutions table. These parts will be discussed in more detail below.

Largely, the determination of which amino acid sequences are altered is made based on the conserved regions among dimerization domain protein or among the other dimerization domain polypeptides. Based on the sequence alignment, the various regions of the dimerization domain polypeptide that can likely be altered are represented in lower case letters, while the conserved regions are represented by capital letters. It is recognized that conservative substitutions can be made in the conserved regions below without altering function. In addition, one of skill will understand that functional variants of the dimerization domain sequence of the invention can have minor non-conserved amino acid alterations in the conserved domain.

Artificial protein sequences are then created that are different from the original in the intervals of 80-85%, 85-90%, 90-95% and 95-100% identity. Midpoints of these intervals are targeted, with liberal latitude of plus or minus 1%, for example. The amino acids substitutions will be effected by a custom Perl script. The substitution table is provided below in Table 5.

Table 5. Substitution Table

Amino Acid	Strongly Similar and Optimal Substitution	Rank of Order to Change	Comment
I	L,V	1	50:50 substitution
L	I,V	2	50:50 substitution
V	I,L	3	50:50 substitution
A	G	4	
G	A	5	
D	E	6	
E	D	7	
W	Y	8	
Y	W	9	
S	T	10	
T	S	11	
K	R	12	
R	K	13	
N	Q	14	
Q	N	15	
F	Y	16	
M	L	17	First methionine cannot change
H		Na	No good substitutes
C		Na	No good substitutes
P		Na	No good substitutes

First, any conserved amino acids in the protein that should not be changed is identified and "marked off" for insulation from the substitution. The start methionine will of course be added to this list automatically. Next, the changes are made.

H, C and P are not changed in any circumstance. The changes will occur with isoleucine first, sweeping N-terminal to C-terminal. Then leucine, and so on down the list until the desired target it reached. Interim number substitutions can be made so as not to cause reversal of changes. The list is ordered 1-17, so start with as many isoleucine changes as needed before leucine, and so on down to methionine. Clearly many amino acids will in this manner not need to be changed. L, I and V will involve a 50:50 substitution of the two alternate optimal substitutions.

The variant amino acid sequences are written as output. Perl script is used to calculate the percent identities. Using this procedure, variants of the dimerization domain polypeptides are generating having about 80%, 85%, 90% and 95% amino acid identity to the starting unaltered ORF nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 9.

All publications and patent applications are herein incorporated by reference to the same extent as if each individual publication or patent application was specifically and individually indicated by reference.

The invention has been described with reference to various specific and preferred embodiments and techniques. However, it should be understood that many variations and modifications may be made while remaining within the spirit and scope of the invention.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. An isolated nucleic acid encoding a dimerization domain, the dimerization domain comprising a consensus amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 41 or a sequence that is 90% identical to SEQ ID NO: 41.
- 5 2. The nucleic acid of claim 1 encoding a dimerization domain, the dimerization domain consisting essentially of the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 19 or 21 or a sequence that is 90% identical to SEQ ID NO: 19 or 21.
3. The isolated nucleic acid of claim 1 comprising a polynucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 9.
- 10 4. The isolated nucleic acid of claim 1, wherein the dimerization domain binds to a native maize D8 protein or D9 protein to produce a nonfunctional D8 or D9 dimer.
5. A recombinant expression cassette, comprising the polynucleotide of claim 3, wherein the polynucleotide is operably linked to a promoter.
6. A host cell comprising the expression cassette of claim 5.
- 15 7. A transgenic plant comprising the recombinant expression cassette of claim 5.
8. The transgenic plant of claim 7, wherein said plant is a monocot.
9. The transgenic plant of claim 7, wherein said plant is a dicot.
10. The transgenic plant of claim 7, wherein said plant is selected from the group consisting of: maize, soybean, sunflower, sorghum, canola, wheat, alfalfa, cotton, rice, barley, millet, peanut, sugar cane, grass, turfgrass and cocoa.
- 20 11. A transgenic seed from the transgenic plant of claim 7.
12. A method of modulating harvest index in a transgenic plant, the method comprising expressing a recombinant polynucleotide encoding a dimerization domain of a dwarf gene.
- 25 13. The method of claim 12, wherein the dwarf gene is D8 from maize.
14. The method of claim 12, wherein the polynucleotide comprises the nucleic acid sequence of claim 3 operably linked to a promoter.
15. The method of claim 12, wherein the plant is selected from the group consisting of: maize, soybean, sunflower, sorghum, canola, wheat, alfalfa, cotton, rice, barley, millet, peanut, sugar cane, grass, turfgrass and cocoa.
- 30 16. The method of claim 12, wherein the dimerization domain forms a non-functional dimer of endogenous maize D8 or D9 protein.
17. A method of modulating plant tissue growth with a dimerization domain in a plant, comprising expressing a recombinant expression cassette comprising the polynucleotide of claim 3 operably linked to a promoter.
- 35 18. The method of claim 17, wherein plant tissue growth is due to reduced inhibition by endogenous gibberellic acid.

19. The method of claim 17, wherein the plant is selected from the group consisting of: maize, soybean, sorghum, canola, wheat, alfalfa, cotton, rice, barley, millet, peanut, sugar cane, grass, turfgrass and cocoa.
20. A product derived from the method of processing of transgenic plant component
5 expressing an isolated polynucleotide encoding a dimerization domain, the method comprising:
 - a. growing a plant that expresses a polynucleotide having at least 90% sequence identity to the full length sequence of SEQ ID NO: 9, operably linked to a promoter; and
 - 10 b. processing the plant component to obtain a product.
21. The product of claim 20, wherein the plant component is a seed.
22. A product according to claim 20, wherein the polynucleotide further encodes a polypeptide selected of SEQ ID NO: 19.
23. A product according to claim 20, which is a constituent of ethanol.
- 15 24. The method of claim 12, wherein the plant has improved canopy shape.
25. The method of claim 12, wherein the plant has increased photosynthetic capacity in leaf tissue.
26. The method of claim 12, wherein the plant has improved stalk strength.
27. The method of claim 12, wherein the plant has improved plant standability.
- 20 28. The method of claim 12, wherein the plant has altered vascular bundle structure or number.
29. The method of claim 12, wherein the plant has increased root biomass.
30. The method of claim 12, wherein the plant has enhanced root growth.
31. The method of claim 12, wherein the plant has modulated shoot development.
- 25 32. The method of claim 12, wherein the plant has modulated leaf development.
33. The method of claim 12, wherein the plant has improved silage quality and digestibility.
34. The method of claim 14, wherein the promoter is selected from the group consisting of a leaf specific promoter, vascular element preferred promoter and a
30 root specific promoter.




FIGURE 1




FIGURE 2




FIGURE 3




FIGURE 4

SEQ ID NO: 24	238	NCIRLVSTIIACAEAEENNAAYAAIAIVKQIGFLALSOVGAMRKVAITYFA	287
SEQ ID NO: 26	(154)	NCIRLVSTIIACAEAEENNAAYAAIAIVKQIGFLAVSOVGAMRKVAITYFA	
SEQ ID NO: 28	(153)	NCIRLVSTIIACAEAEENNAAYAAIAIVKQIGFLAVSOVGAMRKVAITYFA	
SEQ ID NO: 30	(214)	AGIRLVSTIIACAEAEENNAAYAAIAIVKQIGFLAVSOVGAMRKVAITYFA	
SEQ ID NO: 32	(205)	AGIRLVSTIIACAEAEENNAAYAAIAIVKQIGFLAVSOVGAMRKVAITYFA	
SEQ ID NO: 34	(165)	NCIRLVSTIIACAEAEENNAAYAAIAIVKQIGFLAVSOVGAMRKVAITYFA	
SEQ ID NO: 36	(217)	NCIRLVSTIIACAEAEENNAAYAAIAIVKQIGFLAVSOVGAMRKVAITYFA	
SEQ ID NO: 38	(148)	TGIRLVSTIIACAEAEENNAAYAAIAIVKQIGFLAVSOVGAMRKVAITYFA	
SEQ ID NO: 40	(176)	TGIRLVSTIIACAEAEENNAAYAAIAIVKQIGFLAVSOVGAMRKVAITYFA	
SEQ ID NO: 19	(153)	TGIRLVSTIIACAEAEENNAAYAAIAIVKQIGFLAVSOVGAMRKVAITYFA	
SEQ ID NO: 41	(1)	--MLVHALLACAEAEVQENFSAFALVKQIPMLASQAGAMRKVAITYFA	
		GVRLVHALLACAEAEVQENLXLADALVKQIGILAAASQAGAMRKVAITYFA	
SEQ ID NO: 24	288	EALARRIYRIFPXXXXXXLDXSFSDVLQMHFYSCPYLKFATHTANQ	336
SEQ ID NO: 26	(204)	EALARRIYRIFPXXXXXXLDXSFSDVLQMHFYSCPYLKFATHTANQ	
SEQ ID NO: 28	(203)	EALARRIYRIFPXXXXXXLDXSFSDVLQMHFYSCPYLKFATHTANQ	
SEQ ID NO: 30	(264)	QALARRIYRIFPXXXXXXLDXSFSDVLQMHFYSCPYLKFATHTANQ	
SEQ ID NO: 32	(255)	QALARRIYRIFPXXXXXXLDXSFSDVLQMHFYSCPYLKFATHTANQ	
SEQ ID NO: 34	(215)	EALARRIYRIFPXXXXXXLDXSFSDVLQMHFYSCPYLKFATHTANQ	
SEQ ID NO: 36	(267)	EALARRIYRIFPXXXXXXLDXSFSDVLQMHFYSCPYLKFATHTANQ	
SEQ ID NO: 38	(198)	EGALARRIYRIFPXXXXXXLDXSFSDVLQMHFYSCPYLKFATHTANQ	
SEQ ID NO: 40	(226)	QALARRIYRIFPXXXXXXLDXSFSDVLQMHFYSCPYLKFATHTANQ	
SEQ ID NO: 19	(203)	EALARRIYRIFPXXXXXXLDXSFSDVLQMHFYSCPYLKFATHTANQ	
SEQ ID NO: 41	(48)	EALARRIYRIFPXXXXXXLDXSFSDVLQMHFYSCPYLKFATHTANQ	

FIGURE 5

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No

PCT/US2010/042231

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

INV. C12N15/82 C07K14/415

ADD. A01H5/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

C12N C07K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, BIOSIS, Sequence Search, EMBASE, WPI Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 99/09174 A1 (PLANT BIOSCIENCE LTD [GB]; HARBERD NICHOLAS PAUL [GB]; RICHARDS DONALD) 25 February 1999 (1999-02-25)	1-3,5-11
Y	* abstract page 3, line 6 - line 25 page 4, line 11 - line 17 page 5, line 1 - line 4 page 30, line 3 - line 13 sequences 8, 15 ----- -/--	4,12-34



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

9 September 2010

Date of mailing of the international search report

29/09/2010

Name and mailing address of the ISA/

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Mundel, Christophe

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No

PCT/US2010/042231

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 2007/124312 A2 (PIONEER HI BRED INT [US]; LAWIT SHAI [US]; KUNDU SUMAN [IN]; RAO ARAGU) 1 November 2007 (2007-11-01)	1-3,5-11
Y	* abstract page 3, line 14 - line 22 page 9, line 4 - line 10 page 9, line 33 - page 10, line 3 page 39, line 18 - line 33 page 52, line 7 - line 9	4,12-34
Y	----- ITOH H ET AL: "The Gibberellin signaling pathway is regulated by the appearance and disappearance of SLENDER RICE 1 in nuclei" PLANT CELL, AMERICAN SOCIETY OF PLANT PHYSIOLOGISTS, ROCKVILLE, MD, US LNKD-DOI:10.1105/TPC.010319, vol. 14, 1 January 2002 (2002-01-01), pages 57-70, XP003012010 ISSN: 1040-4651 * abstract page 57, right-hand column, line 4 - line 9 page 58, left-hand column, line 7 - line 24 page 63, right-hand column, line 14 - page 64, right-hand column, line 13 Dimer Formation; page 67	4,12-34
Y	----- SUN TAI-PING ET AL: "Molecular mechanism of gibberellin signaling in plants" ANNUAL REVIEW OF PLANT BIOLOGY, vol. 55, 2004, pages 197-223, XP002599865 ISSN: 1040-2519 * abstract page 205, line 5 - line 16	4,12-34
A	----- PENG JINRONG ET AL: "'Green revolution' genes encode mutant gibberellin response modulators" NATURE, NATURE PUBLISHING GROUP, LONDON, GB LNKD- DOI:10.1038/22307, vol. 400, no. 6741, 15 July 1999 (1999-07-15), pages 256-261, XP002283524 ISSN: 0028-0836 the whole document ----- -/--	1-34

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No

PCT/US2010/042231

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A,P	<p>CASSANI ELENA ET AL: "Characterization of the first dominant dwarf maize mutant carrying a single amino acid insertion in the VHYNP domain of the dwarf8 gene" MOLECULAR BREEDING, vol. 24, no. 4, November 2009 (2009-11), pages 375-385, XP002599866 ISSN: 1380-3743 the whole document</p> <p>-----</p>	1-34

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No

PCT/US2010/042231

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9909174	A1	25-02-1999	AT 323163 T 15-04-2006
		AU 738652 B2 20-09-2001	
		AU 8737098 A 08-03-1999	
		CA 2299699 A1 25-02-1999	
		CN 1274386 A 22-11-2000	
		DE 69834192 T2 14-12-2006	
		EP 1003868 A1 31-05-2000	
		ES 2263214 T3 01-12-2006	
		JP 4021615 B2 12-12-2007	
		JP 2001514893 T 18-09-2001	
		PT 1003868 E 31-08-2006	
		US 6762348 B1 13-07-2004	
WO 2007124312	A2	01-11-2007	AR 060523 A1 25-06-2008
		CA 2649734 A1 01-11-2007	
		EP 2007799 A2 31-12-2008	
		US 2009172841 A1 02-07-2009	
		US 2007266458 A1 15-11-2007	