



(51) International Patent Classification:
G05B 23/02 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/US2018/045472

(22) International Filing Date:
07 August 2018 (07.08.2018)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
15/676,506 14 August 2017 (14.08.2017) US

(71) Applicant: **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY**
[US/US]; 1 River Road, Schenectady, NY 12345 (US).

(72) Inventors: **AGARWAL, Anurag**; John F. Welch Technology Centre, Whitefield Road, Hoodi Village, Whitefield Road, Bangalore, Karnataka 560066 (IN). **ALLA, Rajesh**; JFWTC, #122, EPIP, Bangalore, Karnataka 560066

(IN). **GRUBER, Frank**; 2630 Glendale-Milford Road, Brendamour Evendale Business Center, Evendale, OH 45241 (US). **ESCRICHE, Lorenzo**; 2630 Glendale-Milford Road, Brendamour Evendale Business Center, Evendale, OH 45241 (US).

(74) Agent: **OVERBECK, Brian, P.** et al.; General Electric Company, GE Aviation Patent Operation, 1 Neumann Way, Mail Drop F16, Cincinnati, OH 45215 (US).

(81) **Designated States** (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DJ, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JO, JP, KE, KG, KH, KN, KP, KR, KW, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA,

(54) Title: TURBINE DIAGNOSTIC FEATURE SELECTION SYSTEM




FIG. 1

(57) **Abstract:** A turbine diagnostic machine learning system builds one or more turbine engine performance models using one or more parameter or parameter characteristics. A model of turbine engine performance includes ranked parameters or parameter characteristics, the ranking of which is calculated by a model builder based upon a function of AIC, AUC and p-value, resulting in a corresponding importance rank. These raw parameters and raw parameter characteristics are then sorted according to their importance rank, and selected by a selection component to form one or more completed models. The one or more models are operatively coupled to one or more other models to facilitate further machine learning capabilities by the system.

SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN,
TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available*): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Declarations under Rule 4.17:

- *as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a patent (Rule 4.17(ii))*
- *as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii))*

Published:

- *with international search report (Art. 21(3))*

TURBINE DIAGNOSTIC FEATURE SELECTION SYSTEM

TECHNICAL FIELD

[0001] The subject matter disclosed herein relates generally to feature selection, and, more particularly, the optimization of selecting one or more turbine jet engine parameters associated in one or more ways with a failure event.

BACKGROUND

[0002] The loss of engine operation in an aircraft or most any critical piece of equipment in a live operations setting often can result in idle time while an airplane is delayed at a gate or on a tarmac. This loss of time can often result in one or more of many extraneous costs above a projected operating budget. Additional costs can appear in the form of incremental maintenance costs, opportunity costs of not servicing a customer, downstream adjustments made to subsequent flight plans or the quality impact made to a brand.

[0003] This loss of time hinges substantially on a maintenance team's abilities to correctly diagnose a failure event with respect to identifying a direct cause for the failure. The correct identification of one or more performance parameters with respect to equipment failure events can directly indicate an equipment component or part that was cause for the failure.

[0004] Such efforts to accurately link parameters to equipment failures are met with the challenge of selecting parameters from an exceedingly large grouping of parameter candidates. Current methods of feature selection result in a prohibitive amount of time lost between a failure event and accurate parameter selection.

[0005] The aforementioned deficiency of current feature selection methods within one or more operations settings is merely intended to provide an overview of some of the barriers of current methods, rather than be fully comprehensive. Additional drawbacks of the current state of the art and the subsequent benefits of the various non-limiting embodiments described herein, may become further apparent upon review of the following detailed description.

SUMMARY

[0006] The following presents a simplified summary of the disclosed subject matter in order to provide a basic understanding of some aspects of the various embodiments. This summary is not an extensive overview of the various embodiments. It is intended neither to identify key or critical elements of the various embodiments nor to delineate the scope of the various embodiments. Its sole purpose is to present some concepts of the disclosure in a streamlined form as a prelude to the more detailed description that is presented later.

[0007] One or more embodiments provide a system for selecting one or more equipment performance parameters, or "features", is provided, comprising a raw parameter analyzer configured to identify one or more characteristics associated with a set of raw parameters; a model builder that builds a classification model based on one or more characteristics or parameters at a time of the set of raw parameters, computing Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Area under Curve (AUC), and hypothesis acceptance as per alpha error, or p value; a ranking component, that computes importance rank for respective raw parameters based on the respective AIC, AUC, and p-value; a sorting component that sorts features based on respective raw parameter importance ranking; and a selection component that selects N features, $N > 1$ based on the sorting to utilize in connection with building the classification model.

[0008] Also, in one or more embodiments, a method for selecting one or more equipment performance parameters is provided, comprising identifying, by a system comprising at least one processor, one or more characteristics associated with a set of respective raw performance parameters; building, by the system, a classification model based on one parameter at a time of the set of raw parameters using computed Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Area under Curve (AUC) and hypothesis acceptance as per alpha error (p-value); computing, by the system an importance rank for respective raw parameters based on the respective computed AIC, AUC, and p-value; sorting, by the system, one or more features based on characteristics of or direct values of raw parameters importance rankings; and selecting, by the system, one or more features based on the sorted features based upon rank to utilize in connection with building the classification model.

[0009] Also, according to one or more embodiments, a non-transitory computer-readable medium is provided having stored thereon instructions that, in response to execution, cause a

system comprising at least a processor to perform operations, the operations comprising identifying one or more characteristics associated with a set of respective raw parameters; building a classification model based on one parameter characteristic or raw parameter at a time of the set of raw parameters or parameter characteristics using computed Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Area under Curve (AUC) and hypothesis acceptance as per alpha error (p-value); computing an importance ranking for respective raw parameters or raw parameter characteristics based on the respective computed AIC, AUC and p-value; sorting features based on respective raw parameter or raw parameter characteristic importance ranking; and selecting one or more features based on the sorting to utilize in connection with building the classification model.

[0010] To the accomplishment of the foregoing and related ends, the disclosed subject matter, then, comprises one or more of the features hereinafter more fully described. The following description and the annexed drawings set forth in detail certain illustrative aspects of the subject matter. However, these aspects are indicative of but a few of the various ways in which the principles of the subject matter can be employed. Other aspects, advantages, and novel features of the disclosed subject matter will become apparent from the following detailed description when considered in conjunction with the drawings. It will also be appreciated that the detailed description may include additional or alternative embodiments beyond those described in this summary.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

[0011] FIG. 1 is a block diagram of an example turbine diagnostic feature selection system.

[0012] FIG. 2 is a diagram illustrating computation of AIC, AUC, and p-values of one or more raw parameters or raw parameter characteristics by the model builder.

[0013] FIG 3 is a diagram illustrating computation of AIC, AUC, and p-values of one or more clusters of raw parameters or raw parameter characteristics by the clustering component.

[0014] FIG 4 is a listing of example functions for characterizing calculation of one or more p-values or AIC of one or more raw parameters or raw parameter characteristics.

[0015] FIG. 5 is a graphical representation of the Area under a Curve, specifically a receiver operating characteristic curve.

[0016] FIG. 6 is an example Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) confusion matrix demonstrating the graphical value of an ROC.

[0017] FIG. 7 is a diagram illustrating an overview of calculation of interdependencies of raw parameter or raw parameter characteristics by the interdependency component.

[0018] FIG. 8 is a diagram illustrating an overview of calculation of importance ranking by the ranking component using an example function to express importance one or more of raw parameters or raw parameter characteristics.

[0019] FIG. 9 is a diagram illustrating an overview of sorting based upon importance ranking within an example feature model.

[0020] FIG. 10 is a diagram illustrating an overview of cross learning done by one or more classification models coupled to other classification models

[0021] FIG. 11 is a diagram illustrating an overview of a utility based analysis of alternate decisions performed by the classification model.

[0022] FIGs. 12A and 12B illustrate a flowchart of an example methodology of model building using a method of feature classification.

[0023] FIG. 13 is an example of a computing environment.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0024] The subject disclosure is now described with reference to the drawings wherein like reference numerals are used to refer to like elements throughout. In the following description, for purposes of explanation, numerous specific details are set forth in order to provide a thorough understanding of the subject disclosure. It may be evident, however, that the subject disclosure may be practiced without these specific details. In other instances, well-known structures and devices are shown in block diagram form in order to facilitate describing the subject disclosure.

[0025] As used in the subject specification and drawings, the terms “object,” “module,” “interface,” “component,” “system,” “platform,” “engine,” “selector,” “manager,” “unit,” “store,” “network,” “generator” and the like are intended to refer to a computer-related entity

or an entity related to, or that is part of, an operational machine or apparatus with a specific functionality; such entities can be either hardware, a combination of hardware and firmware, firmware, a combination of hardware and software, software, or software in execution. In addition, entities identified through the foregoing terms are herein generically referred to as “functional elements.” As an example, a component can be, but is not limited to being, a process running on a processor, a processor, an object, an executable, a thread of execution, a program, and/or a computer. By way of illustration, both an application running on a server and the server can be a component. One or more components may reside within a process and/or thread of execution and a component may be localized on one computer and/or distributed between two or more computers. Also, these components can execute from various computer-readable storage media having various data structures stored thereon. The components may communicate via local and/or remote processes such as in accordance with a signal having one or more data packets (e.g., data from one component interacting with another component in a local system, distributed system, and/or across a network such as the Internet with other systems via the signal). As an example, a component can be an apparatus with specific functionality provided by mechanical parts operated by electric or electronic circuitry, which is operated by software, or firmware application executed by a processor, wherein the processor can be internal or external to the apparatus and executes at least a part of the software or firmware application. As another example, a component can be an apparatus that provides specific functionality through electronic components without mechanical parts, the electronic components can include a processor therein to execute software or firmware that confers at least in part the functionality of the electronic components. Interface(s) can include input/output (I/O) components as well as associated processor(s), application(s), or API (Application Program Interface) component(s). While examples presented hereinabove are directed to a component, the exemplified features or aspects also apply to object, module, interface, system, platform, engine, selector, manager, unit, store, network, and the like.

[0026] FIG. 1 is a block diagram of an example turbine diagnostic feature selection system according to one or more embodiments of this disclosure. Aspects of the systems, apparatuses, or processes explained in this disclosure can constitute machine-executable components embodied within machine(s), e.g., embodied in one or more computer-readable mediums (or media) associated with one or more machines. Such components, when

executed by one or more machines, e.g., computer(s), computing device(s), automation device(s), virtual machine(s), etc., can cause the machine(s) to perform the operations described.

[0027] Turbine diagnostic feature selection system 102 can include sensor(s) 104, a raw parameter analyzer 106, a model builder 108, processor(s) 110, a memory 112, a clustering component 114, an interdependency component 116, a ranking component 118, and a sorting component 120. In various embodiments, sensor(s) 104, a raw parameter analyzer 106, a model builder 108, a processor 110, a memory 112, a clustering component 114, an interdependency component 116, a ranking component 118, and a sorting component 120 can be electronically and/or communicatively coupled to one another to perform one or more of the functions of the turbine diagnostic feature learning system 102. In some embodiments, one or more of components 104, 106, 108, 114, 116, 118, and 120 can comprise software instructions stored on memory 112 and executed by processor(s) 110. Turbine diagnostic feature selection system 102 may also interact with other hardware and/or software components not depicted in FIG. 1. For example, processor(s) 110 may interact with one or more external user interface devices, such as a keyboard, a mouse, a display monitor, a touch-screen, a Human Machine Interface (HMI), or any other suitable interface devices.

[0028] Sensor(s) 104 can be configured to receive and relay data representing measured raw parameter values of a jet engine. Raw performance parameters that can be represented by the data from sensor(s) 104 can be, but are not limited to, turbine fan speed, fan stress, exhaust gas temperature, fuel flow rate, turbine electrical current draw, or other such measured parameters.

[0029] Raw parameter analyzer 106 can be configured to calculate characteristics of one or more raw parameters based on measured data received from sensor(s) 104, and generate one or more values that can be processed by the model builder 108. Raw parameter characteristics can include, but are not limited to, the sum, mean, maximum, difference, median, or standard deviation of any one or more raw parameters. For the sake of simplicity, it can be understood that "throughout this document", mention of "feature" or "features" can refer to one or more raw parameters, raw parameter characteristics, or any groupings of either or both formed therein. Groupings, as mentioned in this context, can include clusters of individual features or groupings in addition to feature clusters.

[0030] Model builder 108 can be configured to receive one or more raw parameters from the sensor(s) 104 or raw parameter characteristics from raw parameter analyzer 106. The model builder 108 can compute one or more derived values based upon the raw parameters or raw parameter characteristics including, but not limited to Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Area under Curve (AUC), and p-value. As detailed below, these derived values are used by the ranking component 118 to calculate an importance rank associated with one or more raw parameters, raw parameter characteristics, or any combinations therein.

[0031] The one or more processors 110 can perform one or more of the functions described herein with reference to the systems and/or methods disclosed. Memory 112 can be a computer-readable storage medium storing computer-executable instructions and/or information for performing the functions described herein with reference to the systems and/or methods disclosed.

[0032] Clustering component 114 can receive two or more raw parameters or raw parameter characteristics and combine two or more of the features into respective clusters and can compute one or more derived values based upon features including, but not limited to Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Area under Curve (AUC), and p-value. As detailed below, these derived values are used by the ranking component 118 to calculate an importance rank associated with one or more raw parameters, raw parameter characteristics, or any combinations therein.

[0033] Interdependency component 116 can calculate interdependencies between one or more features or clusters of features combined by the clustering component 114. These interdependencies can be established using one or more methods, including, but not limited to use of a kernel method or various pattern analysis algorithms. A flow diagram illustrated in FIG. 7 and associated description will describe in further detail the function of interdependency component 116.

[0034] Ranking component 118 can be configured to compute importance rank for respective features based on computed AIC, AUC and p-value. As will be described in more detail below, a generic function (1) of these derived values can be employed to illustrate an example computation of the importance rank by the ranking component 118. Also described below is the use of the importance rank by sorting component 120 to arrange one or more features within a classification model generated by model builder 108.

[0035] Sorting component 120 can sort, based upon respective feature importance rank generated by the ranking component 118, features within a classification model built by model builder 108. The sorting of features by sorting component 120 can be based upon any suitable order, manipulation, or scheme performed or arranged by any of the aforementioned components.

[0036] The embodiments of devices described herein can employ artificial intelligence (AI) to facilitate automating one or more features described herein. The components can employ various AI-based schemes for carrying out various embodiments/examples disclosed herein. In order to provide for or aid in the numerous determinations (e.g., determine, ascertain, infer, calculate, predict, prognose, estimate, derive, forecast, detect) described herein, one or more components can examine an entirety or a subset of data to which it is granted access and can provide for reasoning about or determine states of a system, environment, etc. from a set of observations as captured *via* events and/or data. Determinations can be employed to identify a specific context or action, and/or can generate a probability distribution over states, for example. The determinations can be probabilistic - that is, the computation of a probability distribution over states of interest based on a consideration of data and events. Determinations can also refer to techniques employed for composing higher-level events from a set of events and/or data.

[0037] Such determinations can result in the construction of new events or actions from a set of observed events and/or stored event data, whether or not the events are correlated in close temporal proximity, and whether the events and data come from one or several event and data sources. Components disclosed herein can employ various classification (explicitly trained (e.g., via training data) as well as implicitly trained (e.g., via observing behavior, preferences, historical information, receiving extrinsic information, etc.)) schemes and/or systems (e.g., support vector machines, neural networks, expert systems, Bayesian belief networks, fuzzy logic, data fusion engines, etc.) in connection with performing automatic and/or determined action in connection with the claimed subject matter. Thus, classification schemes and/or systems can be used to automatically learn and perform a number of functions, actions, and/or determination.

[0038] A classifier can map an input attribute vector, $z = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_n)$, to a confidence that the input belongs to a class, as by $f(z) = \text{confidence}(\text{class})$. Such classification can employ a probabilistic and/or statistical-based analysis (e.g., factoring into the analysis utilities and costs) to determinate an action to be automatically performed. A support vector machine (SVM) is an example of a classifier that can be employed. The SVM operates by finding a hyper-surface in the space of possible inputs, where the hyper-surface attempts to split the triggering criteria from the non-triggering events. Intuitively, this makes the classification correct for testing data that is near, but not identical to training data. Other directed and undirected model classification approaches include, e.g., naïve Bayes, Bayesian networks, decision trees, neural networks, fuzzy logic models, and probabilistic classification models providing different patterns of independence can be employed. Classification as used herein also is inclusive of statistical regression that is utilized to develop models of priority.

[0039] FIG. 2 is a block diagram illustrating receiving, by the model builder 108, one or more features relayed by the sensor(s) 104 or the raw parameter analyzer 106. Derived values 210 can be generated based upon the received features by the model builder 108. Derived values 210 can include, but are not limited to Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Area under Curve (AUC), and p-value. Derived values 210 can then be used by the ranking component 118 to calculate importance ranks of various features for use in a classification model.

[0040] Raw parameters ($f_1, f_2 \dots f_N$) 204 represent one or more raw parameters received by the model builder from sensor(s) 104. In this example, $f_1, f_2 \dots f_N$ are used to illustrate an integer N number of raw parameters to be analyzed by the model builder 108. It should be appreciated that sensor(s) 104 and raw parameter analyzer (106) can be considered to be operatively coupled considering that raw parameter values can be received by the raw parameter analyzer 106 from the sensor(s) 104 in order to identify characteristics associated with one or more of those raw parameters. In this aspect, $f_1, f_2 \dots f_N$ can, in addition to being analyzed by the classification model, also be analyzed by the raw parameter analyzer, wherein characteristics associated with the respective raw parameters can be derived.

[0041] Raw parameter characteristics ($F_1, F_2, \dots F_N$) 206 represent N number of raw parameter characteristics. These characteristics can include, but are not limited to, parameter mean, median, maximum, and standard deviation. Similarly to raw parameters 204, these raw

parameter characteristics 206 can be received by the model builder 108 in order to compute Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Area under Curve (AUC), and p-values associated with those respective characteristics.

[0042] Model computational component 208 is a computational block that can be configured to calculate Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Area under Curve (AUC), and p-values associated with one or more raw parameters or raw parameter characteristics - the derived values 210. Written description of example functions and graphical representations pertaining to the calculation of Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Area under Curve (AUC), and p-value are detailed in more depth below in connection with FIGs. 4 and 5.

[0043] FIG 3 is a block diagram illustrating grouping, by clustering component 114, one or more features into a cluster 302. In the present example, the clustering component 114 can compute, based upon respective cluster, Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Area under Curve (AUC), and p-value for one or more clusters. In an aspect, the clustering component 114 can group one or more clusters or features and calculated Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Area under Curve (AUC), and p-value for the resulting clusters, in cluster derived values 304.

[0044] It is worth mentioning that cluster 302 can be a grouping of any two or more features, whether they be raw parameters, characteristics associated with those parameters, or separate clusters. Additionally, in this aspect, elements 302 and 114 are shown as operatively coupled as it is to be understood that the clustering component 114 can be configured to both group one or more features, and to compute Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Area under Curve (AUC), and p-value for the respective clusters.

[0045] Cluster derived values 304 can be one or more cluster derived values including Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Area under Curve (AUC), and p-values associated with one or more clusters of features. These cluster derived values will be used in a function (1) described in more detail below.

[0046] FIG 4 is an illustration of an example mathematical function block or component 402 that demonstrate known methods for calculation of p-value 404 and calculation of Akaike Information Criterion 406. These example functions, in addition to any suitable

alternative functions, can be used by the model builder 108 or cluster component 114 for computation of derived values 210 or cluster derived values 304.

[0047] Function block/component 406 can execute an example function detailing computation of a p-value in a two-tailed hypothesis test. In this example, a hypothesis is formed with a desired confidence level $1 - \alpha$. The value μ denotes a sample mean of which a hypothesis relationship is formed. For instance, a test could be performed to calculate a p-value to show if the null hypothesis $H_0: \mu=3$ is true with consideration of a given error value α . 'X bar' is used here to describe the actual population mean used to calculate p-value. When finding the t-statistic, in this example, we will consider the desired value of $N-1$ degrees of freedom, N being the population size. As this example is a two-tailed test, it can be observed that the p-value will be twice the t-statistic, identified here as x .

[0048] Function block/component 408 can execute an example function detailing the computation of a corrected Akaike Information Criterion. The number of parameters is denoted by N . A expression illustrating the likelihood function of a statistical model M with some data x where θ represents the values that maximize the likelihood function. The corrected AIC is then calculated using the expression shown for AIC_c , where i is the sample size.

[0049] FIG. 5 is a graphical representation conceptually illustrating the value of the Area under a curve for a one or more features. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve 502 specifically illustrates the area under a curve for one or more receiver operating characteristics (AUROC). ROC 504 illustrates an example data point representing a receiver operating characteristic based upon any feature, where the data point is composed of coordinates along x-axis 510, and y-axis 508. AUC_N 506 graphically illustrates an example AUROC value. The derivation of each coordinate value will be described in more detail below as a part of an example classifier confusion matrix 602.

[0050] FIG. 6 features an example classifier confusion matrix 602 illustrating in detail the derivation of the coordinates of the ROC 504. The matrix demonstrates the binary classification of one or more features by the distinction of two outcome classes. A predicted Class of 1 denotes a predicted diagnosis of feature association with, for example, failure of a turbine engine or its components. Conversely, a predicted Class of 0 denotes that the predicted diagnosis will be that there is no association of one or more features with a failure

event. An actual class of 1 denotes an eventual positive association of one or more features with, for example, failure of a turbine engine or its components, regardless of the predicted class. Similarly, an actual class of zero indicates a result that there is no association of one or more features in diagnosing an event. The predicted class of one or more features can be compared against the actual class to demonstrate effectiveness of the feature with respect to its use as an ROC 504. A feature as an ROC with high instances of correctly predicting a class will have high instances of correctly diagnosing the presence of a failure. This is referred to as the true positive rate of an ROC. Subsequently, the rate at which the predicted outcome of association with an event does not match decreases with the aforementioned high true positive rate. This is referred to as the false positive rate. An ROC can be derived by plotting the coordinates where the x-axis 510 is the false positive rate, and the y-axis 508 is the true positive rate.

[0051] FIG. 7 is a block diagram illustrating the various interdependencies learned by the interdependency component 116. The feature interdependencies 704 are shown to be learned based upon one or more raw parameters and raw parameter characteristics, however, this component can also be configured to learn interdependencies between one or more raw parameters, raw parameter characteristics, and one or more clusters of features. In general, the interdependency component 116 learns relevance of two or more features when considered together as opposed to separately. For example, a fluid temperature, flow rate, and pump amperage may have low rates of association independently, but when compared to each other, trend up and down in associative patterns, meriting consideration for use of the respective features as a feature cluster similar to cluster 302.

[0052] FIG. 8 is a flow diagram demonstrating computing, by the ranking component, various importance ranks 806 associated with their respective features. Within the diagram, receipt of one or more derived values 210 and one or more derived cluster values 304 by the ranking component are illustrated. An example function 804 is used to derive one or more importance ranks $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_N$ based upon the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Area under Curve (AUC), and p-values corresponding to their respective features. The example function for importance rank can be given as:

$$\text{Importance Ranking} = AUC / (p - \sqrt{AIC}) \quad (1)$$

or

$$\text{Importance Ranking} = AUC^a \times p^b \times AIC^c \quad (2)$$

[0053] It should be noted that the importance ranking obtained from the equation (1) is merely an example expression used to generate a particular value of importance ranking. Other manipulations of this function are also within the scope of one or more embodiments of the subject disclosure.

[0054] FIG. 9 is a block diagram demonstrating, sorting, by the sorting component, within the classification model one or more features based upon the value of their respective importance rank. Once sorted, one or more features are arranged in a classification model 904. For example, the features may be listed in any manner including, but not limited to ascending importance rank value, descending importance rank value, or specifically grouped by range of importance value.

[0055] A classification model 904 lists the sorted features according to their respective importance ranks. In this particular example, each feature is sorted in ascending order based upon the value of their importance rank. Additionally, in line with one or more embodiments, this particular manipulation of the function (1), features the populating of the model with features listed from most relevant to least relevant with respect to a given event. Values for Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Area under Curve (AUC), and p-values have been arbitrarily selected. As previously stated, "features" sorted in the classification model can be any raw parameter, raw parameter characteristic, or cluster(s) of one or both types.

[0056] FIG. 10 is a block diagram illustrating one or more embodiments wherein a classification model 1002 can be operatively coupled to classification models 1004 and 1006, facilitating cross learning between the models. In this manner, classification models from one aircraft's turbine jet engine would be able to train off of models from a separate aircraft's turbine jet engine, allowing for the model to learn potentially unanticipated impacts to jet engine performance including, but not limited to routine flight paths, climate differences, performance variation between differing aircraft models, and crew variables.

[0057] A selection component 1008 can be configured to incorporate a new feature into a classification model in order to observe its impact on model accuracy after training on the

new feature. In an aspect presented in the subject disclosure, this element can be a rules based algorithm used for further selection, ranking, and sorting of features.

[0058] Context component 1010 can be configured to add a context marker to one or more features. The utility for the element in its present usage allows for comparison of features in various runtime environments, stages, or situations. For example, substantially any feature incorporating solely the raw parameter or a characteristic of engine exhaust pressure may differ between various situations such as takeoff, taxi, landing, ascent, descent, etc. A contextual marker for each feature further improves accuracy of the classification model training on that feature.

[0059] FIG. 11 is a decision methodology outlining a utility based analysis performed by the classification mode in accordance with one or more embodiments of the subject application. While, for purposes of simplicity of explanation, the one or more methodologies shown herein are shown and described as a series of acts, it is to be understood and appreciated that the subject innovation is not limited by the order of acts, as some acts may, in accordance therewith, occur in a different order and/or concurrently with other acts from that shown and described herein. For example, those skilled in the art will understand and appreciate that a methodology could alternatively be represented as a series of interrelated states or events, such as in a state diagram. Moreover, not all illustrated acts may be required to implement a methodology in accordance with the innovation. Furthermore, interaction diagram(s) may represent methodologies, or methods, in accordance with the subject disclosure when disparate entities enact disparate portions of the methodologies. Further yet, two or more of the disclosed example methods can be implemented in combination with each other, to accomplish one or more features or advantages described herein.

[0060] This analysis provides one or more metrics associated with a cost computation where the cost is that of an incorrect decision, calculated at act 1102. For example, if the result of an incorrect decision is a sheared external flap, the associated cost would presumably be much less than a ruptured fuel line. This preliminary determination is performed at act 1104. In an aspect, this determination can also take into account the element of likelihood, in which values of current features can give an indication as to the current overall risk of incurring an associated failure cost.

[0061] At 1106 a comparison is made regarding likelihood and cost of a failure event to the current and alternative courses of action. For example, an anomaly recognized by the classification model due to excessive vibration in an equipment component as a considered feature may be exacerbated if a flight path is altered to an area of higher wind shear or turbulence. In this aspect, the classification model could utilize cross learned patterns from route information identified by other classification models to influence decision making. If the likelihood and cost of a failure event are found to be too high for the given course of action, the classification model can generate an advisory output via one or more external user interface devices

[0062] Alternate acts can precipitate from the comparison made at 1106. At 1108 an advisory output is generated in response to an unfavorable result of the comparison 1106, namely, one in which the likelihood and cost of a failure event outweigh the chance and cost savings of success. This advisory output can include, but is not limited to a course correction advisory, an advisory to ground the plane, a work notification, part order, work order, maintenance request, preventative maintenance request, or any other term generally referring to a maintenance activity performed on the aircraft or equipment. Conversely, act 1110 occurs in response to a favorable outcome with regards to the weighing of the aforementioned risks. Act 1110 would most plausibly be continuation of service or the designated flight path, however can also be a nominated as a defined criterion created by the system.

[0063] At 1112, a measurement is made regarding actual outcome against a defined criterion to determine whether that outcome matched a predicted outcome. In understanding that, in this example provided, the predicted outcome was a favorable result weighted against a reasonable amount of risk, the classification model can be configured to, in response to not satisfying a defined criterion, re-train itself based upon the degree to which the defined criterion has or has not been satisfied. It is to be understood that the proceeding act is the same for either judgment. The result is not to be considered purely binary in nature, rather, the illustration merely demonstrates that the results can differ, the degree to which affecting updating of the classification model at 1114.

[0064] At 1114, the classification model is updated in response to the determination made at 1112. Based upon various determinations, the classification model will update based on the

respective features considered in the determination and subsequently repeat the calculation of the value of the associated failure cost at 1102

[0065] The systems or devices that have been described thus far have primarily been mentioned in applications pertaining to the arena of turbine jet engine root cause analysis or maintenance. It should be considered that these systems can be applied to any suitable number of operating environments, including automotive diagnostic systems, manufacturing facilities, public transit systems diagnostic methods, health care systems, or any other continuous operations environments. The goal of whose use within these environments is the correct diagnosis of a failed component, tissue, organ, part, tool, or piece of equipment particularly when the pool of potential failures is especially large. The subject systems would allow for a more expedient determination of as a result of the methods detailed herein.

[0066] The aforementioned systems and/or devices have been described with respect to interaction between several components. It should be appreciated that such systems and components can include those components or sub-components specified therein, some of the specified components or sub-components, and/or additional components. Sub-components could also be implemented as components communicatively coupled to other components rather than included within parent components. Further yet, one or more components and/or sub-components may be combined into a single component providing aggregate functionality. The components may also interact with one or more other components not specifically described herein for the sake of brevity, but known by those of skill in the art.

[0067] FIG. 12 illustrates a methodology and/or flow diagram in accordance with the disclosed subject matter. For simplicity of explanation, the methodologies are depicted and described as a series of acts. It is to be understood and appreciated that the subject innovation is not limited by the acts illustrated and/or by the order of acts, for example acts can occur in various orders and/or concurrently, and with other acts not presented and described herein. Furthermore, not all illustrated acts may be required to implement the methodologies in accordance with the disclosed subject matter. In addition, those skilled in the art will understand and appreciate that the methodologies could alternatively be represented as a series of interrelated states via a state diagram or events. Additionally, it should be further appreciated that the methodologies disclosed hereinafter and throughout this specification are capable of being stored on an article of manufacture to facilitate transporting and transferring

such methodologies to computers. The term article of manufacture, as used herein, is intended to encompass a computer program accessible from any computer-readable device or storage media.

[0068] FIG. 12A and FIG. 12B illustrate a flow diagram of an example, non-limiting computer implemented method 1200 for selection of features for use in training one or more classification models. At 1202, one or more raw parameters is generated, by a system operatively coupled to a processor from the sensor(s). At 1204, one or more raw parameters is analyzed. At 1206, one or more characteristics of the raw parameters received by the system is identified. At 1208, one or more raw parameters or raw parameter characteristics can be grouped into clusters for evaluation by the system not unlike any other feature considered. At 1210 a classification model is built based upon one feature at a time of the set of features. At 1212, values for Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Area under Curve (AUC), and p-values are computed corresponding to their respective feature values. At 1214, importance ranks are computed with respect to the aforementioned features, by the system, using an function (1) of Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Area under Curve (AUC), and p-values. At 1214, subsequent importance ranks are computed, by the system, for clusters of respective raw parameters, raw parameter characteristics, or further grouped clusters. At 1216, features based on raw feature importance ranking are sorted, by the system. At 1218, a comparison is made to evaluate if a ranked feature satisfies a defined criterion. If that defined criterion is not made, the respective ranked features are stored in the system or use in an alternate model at 1220. At 1222, one or more features is selected, by the system, based on the sorting, to utilize in connection with building the classification model. In some cases, those models will be coupled to enable cross-learning at 1224.

[0069] In order to provide a context for the various aspects of the disclosed subject matter, FIG. 13 as well as the following discussion are intended to provide a brief, general description of a suitable environment in which the various aspects of the disclosed subject matter may be implemented.

[0070] With reference to FIG. 13, a suitable environment 1300 for implementing various aspects of this disclosure includes a computer 1312. The computer 1312 includes a processing unit 1314, a system memory 1316, and a system bus 1318. The system bus 1318 couples system components including, but not limited to, the system memory 1316 to the

processing unit 1314. The processing unit 1314 can be any of various available processors. Dual microprocessors and other multiprocessor architectures also can be employed as the processing unit 1314.

[0071] The system bus 1318 can be any of several types of bus structure(s) including the memory bus or memory controller, a peripheral bus or external bus, and/or a local bus using any variety of available bus architectures including, but not limited to, Industrial Standard Architecture (ISA), Micro-Channel Architecture (MSA), Extended ISA (EISA), Intelligent Drive Electronics (IDE), VESA Local Bus (VLB), Peripheral Component Interconnect (PCI), Card Bus, Universal Serial Bus (USB), Advanced Graphics Port (AGP), Personal Computer Memory Card International Association bus (PCMCIA), Firewire (IEEE 1394), and Small Computer Systems Interface (SCSI).

[0072] The system memory 1316 includes volatile memory 1320 and nonvolatile memory 1322. The basic input/output system (BIOS), containing the basic routines to transfer information between elements within the computer 1312, such as during start-up, is stored in nonvolatile memory 1322. By way of illustration, and not limitation, nonvolatile memory 1322 can include read only memory (ROM), programmable ROM (PROM), electrically programmable ROM (EPROM), electrically erasable programmable ROM (EEPROM), flash memory, or nonvolatile random access memory (RAM) (e.g., ferroelectric RAM (FeRAM)). Volatile memory 1320 includes random access memory (RAM), which acts as external cache memory. By way of illustration and not limitation, RAM is available in many forms such as static RAM (SRAM), dynamic RAM (DRAM), synchronous DRAM (SDRAM), double data rate SDRAM (DDR SDRAM), enhanced SDRAM (ESDRAM), Synchlink DRAM (SLDRAM), direct Rambus RAM (DRRAM), direct Rambus dynamic RAM (DRDRAM), and Rambus dynamic RAM.

[0073] Computer 1312 also includes removable/non-removable, volatile/non-volatile computer storage media. FIG. 13 illustrates, for example, a disk storage 1324. Disk storage 1324 includes, but is not limited to, devices like a magnetic disk drive, floppy disk drive, tape drive, Jaz drive, Zip drive, LS-100 drive, flash memory card, or memory stick. The disk storage 1324 also can include storage media separately or in combination with other storage media including, but not limited to, an optical disk drive such as a compact disk ROM device (CD-ROM), CD recordable drive (CD-R Drive), CD rewritable drive (CD-RW Drive) or a

digital versatile disk ROM drive (DVD-ROM). To facilitate connection of the disk storage devices 1324 to the system bus 1318, a removable or non-removable interface is typically used, such as interface 1326.

[0074] FIG. 13 also depicts software that acts as an intermediary between users and the basic computer resources described in the suitable operating environment 1300. Such software includes, for example, an operating system 1328. Operating system 1328, which can be stored on disk storage 1324, acts to control and allocate resources of the computer system 1312. System applications 1330 take advantage of the management of resources by operating system 1328 through program modules 1332 and program data 1334, e.g., stored either in system memory 1316 or on disk storage 1324. It is to be appreciated that this disclosure can be implemented with various operating systems or combinations of operating systems.

[0075] A user enters commands or information into the computer 1312 through input device(s) 1336. Input devices 1336 include, but are not limited to, a pointing device such as a mouse, trackball, stylus, touch pad, keyboard, microphone, joystick, game pad, satellite dish, scanner, TV tuner card, digital camera, digital video camera, web camera, and the like. These and other input devices connect to the processing unit 1314 through the system bus 1318 via interface port(s) 1338. Interface port(s) 1338 include, for example, a serial port, a parallel port, a game port, and a universal serial bus (USB). Output device(s) 1340 use some of the same type of ports as input device(s) 1336. Thus, for example, a USB port may be used to provide input to computer 1312, and to output information from computer 1312 to an output device 1340. Output adapter 1342 is provided to illustrate that there are some output devices 1340 like monitors, speakers, and printers, among other output devices 1340, which require special adapters. The output adapters 1342 include, by way of illustration and not limitation, video and sound cards that provide a means of connection between the output device 1340 and the system bus 1318. It should be noted that other devices and/or systems of devices provide both input and output capabilities such as remote computer(s) 1344.

[0076] Computer 1312 can operate in a networked environment using logical connections to one or more remote computers, such as remote computer(s) 1344. The remote computer(s) 1344 can be a personal computer, a server, a router, a network PC, a workstation, a microprocessor based appliance, a peer device or other common network node and the like,

and typically includes many or all of the elements described relative to computer 1312. For purposes of brevity, only a memory storage device 1346 is illustrated with remote computer(s) 1344. Remote computer(s) 1344 is logically connected to computer 1312 through a network interface 1348 and then physically connected via communication connection 1350. Network interface 1348 encompasses wire and/or wireless communication networks such as local-area networks (LAN), wide-area networks (WAN), cellular networks, etc. LAN technologies include Fiber Distributed Data Interface (FDDI), Copper Distributed Data Interface (CDDI), Ethernet, Token Ring and the like. WAN technologies include, but are not limited to, point-to-point links, circuit switching networks like Integrated Services Digital Networks (ISDN) and variations thereon, packet switching networks, and Digital Subscriber Lines (DSL).

[0077] Communication connection(s) 1350 refers to the hardware/software employed to connect the network interface 1348 to the bus 1318. While communication connection 1350 is shown for illustrative clarity inside computer 1312, it can also be external to computer 1312. The hardware/software necessary for connection to the network interface 1348 includes, for exemplary purposes only, internal and external technologies such as, modems including regular telephone grade modems, cable modems and DSL modems, ISDN adapters, and Ethernet cards.

CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A machine learning system, comprising:
 - a processor that executes computer executable components stored in memory;
 - a raw parameter analyzer that identifies characteristics associated with a set of respective raw parameters;
 - a model builder that builds a classification model based on one parameter at a time of the set of raw parameters and computes Akaike Information Criterion , Area under Curve, and Hypothesis acceptance as per alpha error (p-value);
 - a ranking component that computes importance rank for respective raw parameters based on the respective computed Akaike Information Criterion, Area under Curve, and p-value;
 - a sorting component that sorts features based on respective raw parameter importance ranking; and
 - a selection component that selects at least two features based on the sorting to utilize in connection with building the classification model.
2. The system of claim 1, wherein the ranking component computes the importance rank as a function of Akaike Information Criterion, Area under Curve, and Hypothesis acceptance as per alpha error (p-value).
3. The system of claim 1 further comprising a clustering component that combines two or more of the selected features into respective clusters and computes Akaike Information Criterion, Area under Curve, and p-values for the respective clusters.
4. The system of claim 3, wherein the ranking component computes importance rank for the respective clusters, and the sorting component sorts the respective clusters based on importance rank, and the classification model is further trained based on the cluster ranking.
5. The system of claim 1, further comprising an interdependency component that learns interdependencies associated with the features.

6. The system of claim 1, wherein the classification model is operatively coupled to other classification models, and the respective classification models cross learn.

7. The system of claim 1, wherein the selection component adds a new feature in connection with building the model and compares accuracy of the model before and after training on the new feature.

8. The system of claim 1, further comprising a context component that adds a context parameter to the selected features in connection with building the model.

9. The system of claim 1, wherein the classification model is trained in part to perform a utility based analysis wherein the cost of making a certain incorrect decision is weighed against the benefits of making a correct decision.

10. A method to facilitate building a classification model, comprising:

using a processor that executes computer executable components stored in memory to perform the following acts:

using a raw parameter analyzer to identify characteristics associated with a set of respective raw parameters;

using a model builder to build a classification model based on one parameter at a time of the set of raw parameters and computes Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Area under Curve (AUC) and Hypothesis acceptance as per alpha error (p-value);

using a ranking component to compute importance rank for respective raw parameters based on the respective computed AIC, AUC and p-value;

using a sorting component to sort features based on respective raw parameter importance ranking; and

using a selection component to select at least two features based on the sorting to utilize in connection with building the classification model.

11. The method of claim 10, wherein the ranking component computes the importance rank as a function of Akaike Information Criterion, Area under Curve, and Hypothesis acceptance as per alpha error (p-value).

12. The method of claim 10 further comprising using a clustering component to combines at least two of the selected features into respective clusters and computes AIC, AUC and p-values for the respective clusters.

13. The method of claim 12, further comprising using the ranking component to compute importance rank for the respective clusters, and the sorting component sorts the respective clusters based on importance rank, and the classification model is further trained based on the cluster ranking.

14. The method of claim 10, further comprising using an interdependency component to learn interdependencies associated with the features.

15. The method of claim 10, further comprising using the selection component to add a new feature in connection with building the model and compares accuracy of the model before and after training on the new feature.

16. The method of claim 10, further comprising using a context component to add respective context parameters to the selected features in connection with building the model.

17. The method of claim 10, further comprising training the classification model to perform a utility based analysis wherein the cost of making a certain incorrect decision is weighed against the benefits of making a correct decision.

18. A computer readable storage device comprising instructions that, in response to execution, cause a system comprising a processor to perform operations, comprising:

using a raw parameter analyzer to identify characteristics associated with a set of respective raw parameters;

using a model builder to build a classification model based on one parameter at a time of the set of raw parameters and computes Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Area under Curve (AUC) and Hypothesis acceptance as per alpha error (p-value);

using a ranking component to compute importance rank for respective raw parameters based on the respective computed AIC, AUC and p-value;

using a sorting component to sorts features based on respective raw parameter importance ranking; and

using a selection component to select at least two features based on the sorting to utilize in connection with building the classification model.

19. The computer readable storage device of claim 18 further comprising instructions to compute the importance rank as a function of Akaike Information Criterion, Area under Curve, and Hypothesis acceptance as per alpha error (p-value).

20. The computer readable storage device of claim 18 further comprising instructions to use an interdependency component to learn interdependencies associated with the features.

1/10




FIG. 1




FIG. 2

2/10




FIG. 3




FIG. 4

3/10




FIG. 5




FIG. 6

4/10




FIG. 7






FIG. 8





7/10




FIG. 11

8/10




FIG. 12A

9/10




FIG. 12B

10/10




FIG. 13

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US2018/045472**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER****G05B 23/02(2006.01)i**

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

G05B 23/02; G06F 15/18; G06N 5/04; G06N 7/00

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Korean utility models and applications for utility models

Japanese utility models and applications for utility models

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

eKOMPASS(KIPO internal) & Keywords: machine learning, raw, data, parameter, identify, classify, model, rank, sort, select

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 2016-0026917 A1 (CAUSALYTICS, LLC) 28 January 2016 See paragraphs [0019], [0028], [0043]-[0049], [0052]-[0054], [0057]-[0058], [0063]; claim 17; and figures 1-3, 5.	1-4, 6-13, 15-19
Y		5, 14, 20
Y	US 8788439 B2 (INSIDESALES.COM, INC.) 22 July 2014 See column 5, lines 41-45; and figure 2.	5, 14, 20
A	US 2005-0216426 A1 (JASON AARON EDWARD WESTON et al.) 29 September 2005 See paragraphs [0013]-[0019], [0047]; claim 1; and figure 1.	1-20
A	ZENA M. HIRA et al., 'A REVIEW OF FEATURE SELECTION AND FEATURE EXTRACTION METHODS APPLIED ON MICROARRAY DATA', Vol. 2015, 11 June 2015, pp. 1-13 <URL: https://www.hindawi.com/journals/abi/2015/198363 ></URL:> See page 2, left column; page 10.	1-20
A	TUHIN SRIVASTAVA, 'MACHINE LEARNING ALGORITHMS TO CLASSIFY SPINAL MUSCULAR ATROPHY SUBTYPES', 24 July 2012, pp. 358-364 <URL: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3400094 ></URL:> See abstract; pages 359-360; and figure 1.	1-20



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

28 November 2018 (28.11.2018)

Date of mailing of the international search report

28 November 2018 (28.11.2018)

Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR

International Application Division

Korean Intellectual Property Office

189 Cheongsa-ro, Seo-gu, Daejeon, 35208, Republic of Korea



Facsimile No. +82-42-481-8578

Authorized officer

BYUN, Sung Cheal

Telephone No. +82-42-481-8262



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/US2018/045472

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2016-0026917 A1	28/01/2016	None	
US 8788439 B2	22/07/2014	AU 2013-305922 A1	19/03/2015
		AU 2013-305922 A1	27/02/2014
		AU 2013-305922 B2	19/05/2016
		AU 2013-305924 A1	12/03/2015
		AU 2013-305924 B2	14/05/2015
		AU 2013-364041 A1	26/06/2014
		AU 2013-364041 A1	09/07/2015
		AU 2013-364041 B2	24/09/2015
		CA 2882699 A1	27/02/2014
		CA 2882701 A1	27/02/2014
		CA 2896089 A1	26/06/2014
		CN 104769574 A	08/07/2015
		CN 104769575 A	08/07/2015
		CN 104956359 A	30/09/2015
		EP 2885718 A2	24/06/2015
		EP 2885719 A2	24/06/2015
		EP 2936334 A1	28/10/2015
		EP 2936334 A4	11/05/2016
		JP 2015-534148 A	26/11/2015
		JP 2015-534149 A	26/11/2015
		JP 2016-505974 A	25/02/2016
		JP 5819572 B1	09/10/2015
		US 2014-0052678 A1	20/02/2014
		US 2014-0180975 A1	26/06/2014
		US 2014-0180978 A1	26/06/2014
		US 2014-0279739 A1	18/09/2014
		US 2015-0161507 A1	11/06/2015
		US 2015-0161508 A1	11/06/2015
		US 2015-0278709 A1	01/10/2015
		US 2015-0332424 A1	19/11/2015
		US 2015-0334207 A1	19/11/2015
		US 2015-0339260 A1	26/11/2015
		US 2015-0355912 A1	10/12/2015
		US 2015-0356313 A1	10/12/2015
		US 2015-0356702 A1	10/12/2015
		US 2016-0357790 A1	08/12/2016
		US 2018-0136938 A1	17/05/2018
		US 8352389 B1	08/01/2013
		US 8706658 B1	22/04/2014
		US 8812417 B2	19/08/2014
		US 9460401 B2	04/10/2016
		US 9980101 B2	22/05/2018
		WO 2014-031683 A2	27/02/2014
		WO 2014-031683 A3	15/05/2014
		WO 2014-031685 A2	27/02/2014
		WO 2014-031685 A3	08/05/2014
		WO 2014-085605 A2	05/06/2014

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/US2018/045472

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2005-0216426 A1	29/09/2005	WO 2014-085605 A3	25/09/2014
		WO 2014-100738 A1	26/06/2014
		WO 2014-143482 A1	18/09/2014
		WO 2015-148302 A1	01/10/2015
US 2005-0216426 A1	29/09/2005	AT 311635 T	15/12/2005
		AT 450834 T	15/12/2009
		AT 496343 T	15/02/2011
		AT 521952 T	15/09/2011
		AU 1242701 A	08/05/2001
		AU 1350501 A	08/05/2001
		AU 1999-37830 A1	23/11/1999
		AU 1999-37830 B2	04/09/2003
		AU 2001-12427 A1	08/05/2001
		AU 2001-12427 B2	03/02/2005
		AU 2001-13505 A1	08/05/2001
		AU 2002-243783 B2	19/07/2007
		AU 2002-253879 B2	12/07/2007
		AU 2002-259250 A8	03/12/2002
		AU 2002-304006 A1	02/01/2003
		AU 2002-304006 A8	02/01/2003
		AU 2002-305652 A1	03/12/2002
		AU 2002-305652 A8	03/12/2002
		AU 3783099 A	23/11/1999
		AU 5161200 A	12/12/2000
		AU 764897 B2	04/09/2003
		AU 779635 B2	03/02/2005
		AU 780050 B2	24/02/2005
		BG 105007 A	28/09/2001
		CA 2231458 C	07/06/2005
		CA 2330878 A1	11/11/1999
		CA 2330878 C	01/03/2011
		CA 2371240 A1	30/11/2000
		CA 2371240 C	09/08/2011
		CA 2388595 A1	03/05/2001
		CA 2388595 C	21/12/2010
		CA 2435254 A1	01/08/2002
		CA 2435254 C	15/05/2012
		CA 2435290 A1	01/08/2002
		CA 2435290 C	01/05/2012
		CN 1197025 C	13/04/2005
		CN 1358288 A	10/07/2002
		DE 60024452 T2	03/08/2006
		EA 200001142 A1	25/06/2001
		EA 200101238 A1	31/10/2002
		EP 0863348 A2	09/09/1998
		EP 0863348 A3	09/06/1999
		EP 0863348 B1	07/05/2003
		EP 1082646 A2	14/03/2001
		EP 1082646 A4	05/12/2001

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/US2018/045472

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
		EP 1082646 B1	24/08/2011
		EP 1192595 A2	03/04/2002
		EP 1192595 B1	30/11/2005
		EP 1192595 B8	01/02/2006
		EP 1236173 A2	04/09/2002
		EP 1356421 A2	29/10/2003
		EP 1393196 A1	03/03/2004
		EP 1393196 A4	28/02/2007
		EP 1449108 A1	25/08/2004
		EP 1449108 A4	22/11/2006
		EP 1459235 A2	22/09/2004
		EP 1459235 B1	19/01/2011
		EP 1828917 A2	05/09/2007
		EP 1828917 A4	25/04/2012
		EP 1884621 A2	06/02/2008
		EP 1884621 A3	18/11/2009
		EP 1884621 B1	12/09/2012
		EP 2296105 A1	16/03/2011
		EP 2296105 B1	10/10/2012
		EP 2357582 A1	17/08/2011
		EP 2373816 A2	12/10/2011
		EP 2373816 A4	10/10/2012
		EP 2373816 B1	21/05/2014
		ES 2254182 T3	16/06/2006
		ES 2337556 T3	27/04/2010
		ID 28800 A	05/07/2001
		IL 146705 A	31/10/2006
		IL 146705 D0	25/07/2002
		JP 10-246356 A	14/09/1998
		JP 2003-500766 A	07/01/2003
		JP 2003-500766 U	07/01/2003
		JP 2003-529131 A	30/09/2003
		JP 2004-536367 A	02/12/2004
		JP 2005-502097 A	20/01/2005
		JP 2008-032007 A	14/02/2008
		JP 3785516 B2	14/06/2006
		JP 3947109 B2	18/07/2007
		JP 4138486 B2	27/08/2008
		JP 4664335 B2	06/04/2011
		JP 5064625 B2	31/10/2012
		KR 10-0482944 B1	18/07/2005
		NO 20015723 A	23/01/2002
		NO 20015723 D0	23/11/2001
		NO 319838 B1	19/09/2005
		NZ 515707 A	30/06/2003
		US 2002-0165837 A1	07/11/2002
		US 2003-0023571 A1	30/01/2003
		US 2003-0172043 A1	11/09/2003
		US 2005-0071140 A1	31/03/2005
		US 2005-0071300 A1	31/03/2005

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/US2018/045472

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
		US 2005-0131847 A1	16/06/2005
		US 2005-0165556 A1	28/07/2005
		US 2005-0228591 A1	13/10/2005
		US 2006-0064415 A1	23/03/2006
		US 2006-0224539 A1	05/10/2006
		US 2007-0092917 A1	26/04/2007
		US 2008-0033899 A1	07/02/2008
		US 2008-0050836 A1	28/02/2008
		US 2008-0059392 A1	06/03/2008
		US 2008-0097938 A1	24/04/2008
		US 2008-0097939 A1	24/04/2008
		US 2008-0097940 A1	24/04/2008
		US 2008-0140592 A1	12/06/2008
		US 2008-0215513 A1	04/09/2008
		US 2008-0233576 A1	25/09/2008
		US 2008-0301070 A1	04/12/2008
		US 2009-0097977 A1	16/04/2009
		US 2009-0215024 A1	27/08/2009
		US 2009-0215058 A1	27/08/2009
		US 2009-0226915 A1	10/09/2009
		US 2009-0286240 A1	19/11/2009
		US 2009-0305257 A1	10/12/2009
		US 2010-0205124 A1	12/08/2010
		US 2010-0256988 A1	07/10/2010
		US 2010-0318482 A1	16/12/2010
		US 2011-0078099 A1	31/03/2011
		US 2011-0106735 A1	05/05/2011
		US 2011-0119213 A1	19/05/2011
		US 2011-0125683 A1	26/05/2011
		US 2011-0184896 A1	28/07/2011
		US 2011-0312509 A1	22/12/2011
		US 2012-0008838 A1	12/01/2012
		US 2013-0297607 A1	07/11/2013
		US 2014-0018249 A1	16/01/2014
		US 2014-0032451 A1	30/01/2014
		US 2015-0339448 A1	26/11/2015
		US 6014498 A	11/01/2000
		US 6128608 A	03/10/2000
		US 6157921 A	05/12/2000
		US 6427141 B1	30/07/2002
		US 6658395 B1	02/12/2003
		US 6714925 B1	30/03/2004
		US 6760715 B1	06/07/2004
		US 6789069 B1	07/09/2004
		US 6882990 B1	19/04/2005
		US 6996549 B2	07/02/2006
		US 7117188 B2	03/10/2006
		US 7318051 B2	08/01/2008
		US 7353215 B2	01/04/2008
		US 7383237 B2	03/06/2008

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/US2018/045472

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
		US 7444308 B2	28/10/2008
		US 7475048 B2	06/01/2009
		US 7542947 B2	02/06/2009
		US 7542959 B2	02/06/2009
		US 7581927 B2	01/09/2009
		US 7617163 B2	10/11/2009
		US 7624074 B2	24/11/2009
		US 7676442 B2	09/03/2010
		US 7788193 B2	31/08/2010
		US 7797257 B2	14/09/2010
		US 7805388 B2	28/09/2010
		US 7890445 B2	15/02/2011
		US 7921068 B2	05/04/2011
		US 7970718 B2	28/06/2011
		US 8008012 B2	30/08/2011
		US 8095483 B2	10/01/2012
		US 8126825 B2	28/02/2012
		US 8209269 B2	26/06/2012
		US 8275723 B2	25/09/2012
		US 8293469 B2	23/10/2012
		US 8463718 B2	11/06/2013
		US 8489531 B2	16/07/2013
		US 8543519 B2	24/09/2013
		US 9952221 B2	24/04/2018
		WO 00-72257 A2	30/11/2000
		WO 00-72257 A3	03/01/2002
		WO 01-31579 A2	03/05/2001
		WO 01-31579 A3	11/07/2002
		WO 01-31580 A2	03/05/2001
		WO 01-31580 A3	11/07/2002
		WO 02-059822 A2	01/08/2002
		WO 02-059828 A2	01/08/2002
		WO 02-059828 A3	14/08/2003
		WO 02-091211 A1	14/11/2002
		WO 02-095533 A2	28/11/2002
		WO 02-095533 A3	10/04/2003
		WO 02-095534 A2	28/11/2002
		WO 02-095534 A3	24/04/2003
		WO 02-103954 A2	27/12/2002
		WO 02-103954 A3	03/04/2003
		WO 03-040949 A1	15/05/2003
		WO 2002-059822 A3	21/05/2004
		WO 2006-053328 A2	18/05/2006
		WO 2006-053328 A3	28/10/2010
		WO 2006-053328 A8	18/05/2006
		WO 2010-065926 A2	10/06/2010
		WO 2010-065926 A3	28/10/2010
		WO 2011-087807 A2	21/07/2011
		WO 2011-087807 A3	17/11/2011
		WO 99-57622 A2	11/11/1999

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/US2018/045472Patent document
cited in search reportPublication
datePatent family
member(s)Publication
date

WO 99-57622 A3

13/04/2000

ZA 200007122 A

01/04/2002

ZA 200007122 B

01/04/2002