(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau

(43) International Publication Date
18 August 2011 (18.08.2011)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2011/100600 A2

(54) **Title**: METHODS, SYSTEMS AND COMPUTER READABLE MEDIA FOR PROVIDING PRIORITY ROUTING AT A DIAMETER NODE

FIG. 1

(57) **Abstract**: Methods, systems, and computer readable media for providing priority routing at a Diameter node are disclosed. One exemplary method includes receiving, at a Diameter message processor associated with a DSR, a Diameter message from a first Diameter node. The method further includes assigning, at the Diameter message processor, a priority level indicator to the Diameter message. The method also includes routing the Diameter message with the priority level indicator to a second Diameter node. A second exemplary method includes receiving, at a Diameter message processor associated with a DSR, a Diameter message that includes a priority level indicator from a first Diameter node. The second method further includes applying, at the Diameter message processor, a routing action to the Diameter message based at least in part on the priority level indicator contained in the Diameter message.

DESCRIPTION
METHODS, SYSTEMS, AND COMPUTER READABLE MEDIA FOR PROVIDING PRIORITY ROUTING AT A DIAMETER NODE

5   PRIORITY CLAIM

This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application Serial No. 61/304,310 filed February 12, 2010; the disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

10   STATEMENT OF INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE

The disclosures of each of the following commonly-owned, co-pending U.S. Patent Applications filed on February 11, 2011 are hereby incorporated herein by reference in their entireties:

"Methods, Systems, And Computer Readable Media For Inter-
15   Diameter-Message Processor Routing," Attorney Docket No. 1322/399/2 (Serial No. not yet assigned);

"Methods, Systems, And Computer Readable Media For Source Peer Capacity-Based Diameter Load Sharing" Attorney Docket No. 1322/399/3 (Serial No. not yet assigned);

20   "Methods, Systems, And Computer Readable Media For Inter-Message Processor Status Sharing," Attorney Docket No. 1322/399/4 (Serial No. not yet assigned);

"Methods, Systems, And Computer Readable Media For Providing Peer Routing At A Diameter Node," Attorney Docket No. 1322/399/6/2
25   (Serial No. not yet assigned);

"Methods, Systems, And Computer Readable Media For Providing Origin Routing At A Diameter Node," Attorney Docket No. 1322/399/7 (Serial No. not yet assigned);

"Methods, Systems, And Computer Readable Media For Providing
30   Local Application Routing At A Diameter Node," Attorney Docket No. 1322/399/8 (Serial No. not yet assigned);

"Methods, Systems, And Computer Readable Media For Answer-Based Routing Of Diameter Request Messages," Attorney Docket No. 1322/399/9 (Serial No. not yet assigned);

"Methods, Systems, And Computer Readable Media For Performing
5   Diameter Answer Message-Based Network Management At A Diameter Signaling Router (DSR)," Attorney Docket No. 1322/399/10 (Serial No. not yet assigned);

"Methods, Systems, And Computer Readable Media For Multi-Interface Monitoring And Correlation Of Diameter Signaling Information,"
10   Attorney Docket No. 1322/399/11 (Serial No. not yet assigned);

"Methods, Systems, And Computer Readable Media For Diameter Protocol Harmonization," Attorney Docket No. 1322/399/12 (Serial No. not yet assigned);

"Methods, Systems, And Computer Readable Media For Diameter
15   Network Management," Attorney Docket No. 1322/399/13 (Serial No. not yet assigned); and

"Methods, Systems, And Computer Readable Media For Diameter Application Loop Prevention," Attorney Docket No. 1322/399/14 (Serial No. not yet assigned).

20

TECHNICAL FIELD

The subject matter described herein relates to performing routing at a Diameter node. More specifically, the subject matter relates to methods, systems, and computer readable media for providing priority routing at a
25   Diameter node.

BACKGROUND

Diameter is an authentication, authorization and accounting (AAA) protocol for computer networks, and is a successor to RADIUS. The
30   Diameter base protocol is defined in IETF RFC 3588, the disclosure of which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety. RFC 3588 discusses a Diameter routing agent that routes Diameter signaling messages, but does not specify architecture for the Diameter routing agent or Diameter routing in

general. Similarly, RFC 3588 does not address a specific method in which to conduct Diameter message routing using priority information parameters contained in Diameter signaling messages.

Accordingly, in light of these difficulties, a need exists for improved
5   methods, systems, and computer readable media for providing priority routing at a Diameter node.

SUMMARY

Methods, systems, and computer readable media for providing priority
10 routing at a Diameter node are disclosed. One exemplary method includes receiving, at a Diameter message processor associated with a DSR, a Diameter message from a first Diameter node. The method further includes assigning, at the Diameter message processor, a priority level indicator to the Diameter message. The method also includes routing the Diameter
15 message with the priority level indicator to a second Diameter node. A second exemplary method includes receiving, at a Diameter message processor associated with a DSR, a Diameter message that includes a priority level indicator from a first Diameter node. The second method further includes applying, at the Diameter message processor, a routing
20 action to the Diameter message based at least in part on the priority level indicator contained in the Diameter message.

The subject matter described herein may be implemented in software in combination with hardware and/or firmware. For example, the subject matter described herein may be implemented in software executed by a
25 processor. In one exemplary implementation, the subject matter described herein for providing priority routing at a Diameter node may be implemented using a non-transitory computer readable medium to having stored thereon executable instructions that when executed by the processor of a computer control the processor to perform steps. Exemplary non-transitory computer
30 readable media suitable for implementing the subject matter described herein include chip memory devices or disk memory devices accessible by a processor, programmable logic devices, and application specific integrated circuits. In addition, a computer readable medium that implements the

subject matter described herein may be located on a single computing platform or may be distributed across plural computing platforms.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

5   The subject matter described herein will now be explained with reference to the accompanying drawings of which:

Figure 1 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary software architecture including a single Diameter message processor (MP) for providing priority routing at a Diameter node according to an embodiment of

10   the subject matter described herein;

Figure 2 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary software architecture including a full stack per message processor (MP) for providing priority routing at a Diameter node according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein;

15   Figure 3 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary architecture including dedicated DCL message processors (MPs) for providing priority application routing at a Diameter node according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein;

Figure 4 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary architecture

20   including dedicated DCL/DRL and application message processors (MPs) for providing priority routing at a Diameter node according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein;

Figure 5 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary high level message routing flow for providing priority routing at a Diameter node

25   according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein;

Figure 6 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary scalable Diameter signaling router including functional partitioning for providing priority routing at a Diameter node according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein;

30   Figure 7 is a message sequence diagram illustrating normal inter-message processor routing according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein;

Figure 8 is a message sequence diagram illustrating an initial routing attempt rejected by an egress message processor due to the unavailability of a Diameter peer node according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein;

5   Figure 9 is a message sequence diagram illustrating no routes supporting a particular application identifier in an ingress request message according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein;

Figure 10 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary route list table according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein;

10   Figure 11 is a conceptual view of multiple route groups in a route list according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein;

Figure 12 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary peer routing table (PRT) and a related Route List Table according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein;

15   Figure 13 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary peer routing table that utilizes default entries according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein;

Figure 14 is a block diagram illustrating another exemplary peer routing table that utilizes default entries according to an embodiment of the

20   subject matter described herein;

Figure 15 is a block diagram illustrating yet another exemplary peer routing table that utilizes default entries according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein;

Figure 16 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary route list table

25   that provides route list capacities for each table entry according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein;

Figure 17 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary route list table that provides route list availability data for each table entry according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein;

30   Figure 18 is a flow diagram that illustrates an exemplary route selection process performed by the Diameter routing layer of a Diameter signaling router according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein;

Figure 19 is a signaling diagram that illustrates priority-based routing performed on a Diameter message containing a priority level indicator by a DSR according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein;

Figure 20 is a signaling diagram that illustrates inserting a priority

5   level indicator into a Diameter request message by a DSR according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein;

Figure 21 is a signaling diagram that illustrates priority-based routing performed on a plurality of Diameter messages containing priority level indicators by a DSR according to an embodiment of the subject matter

10   described herein; and

Figure 22 is a second signaling diagram that illustrates priority-based routing performed on a plurality of Diameter messages containing priority level indicators by a DSR according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein.

15

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The subject matter described herein includes methods, systems, and computer readable media for providing priority routing at a Diameter node. Specifically, the present subject matter described herein may be

20   implemented at a Diameter node that includes a Diameter connection layer (DCL), Diameter routing layer (DRL), one or more local applications, and one or more routing tables, such as a peer routing table (PRT) and application routing table (ART). For example, a Diameter node may comprise a Diameter signaling router (DSR) a mobility management entity (MME), a

25   home subscriber server (HSS) and/or authentication, authorization, and accounting (AAA) server, a Bearer Binding and Event Reporting Function (BBERF), a serving gateway (SGW), a packet data network gateway (PDN GW), a charging data function (CDF), an online charging system, an offline charging system, a policy charging enforcement function (PCEF), a policy

30   charging and rules function (PCRF), a subscriber profile repository (SPR), a Diameter agent, a network node, a policy engine, a policy server, an application function (AF), an application server, a Diameter signaling agent, a long term evolution (LTE) node, an Internet protocol (IP) multimedia

subsystem (IMS) network node, a server, a correlation node, a node, a database, a signaling gateway, a gateway, a monitoring node, a Diameter message processor, a data collection platform, a multi-protocol signaling gateway, a multi-protocol signaling router, or a computing platform.

5   Examples of a Diameter signaling router (DSR) include, but are not limited to, a Diameter routing agent, a Diameter relay agent, a Diameter redirect agent, a Diameter translation agent, a Diameter proxy agent. In one embodiment, a DSR includes a set of co-located DSR MPs that share common Diameter routing tables.

10   As used herein, the term "Diameter connection layer (DCL)" refers to a software layer of a Diameter stack in the DSR that implements Diameter transport connections. As used herein, the term "Diameter routing layer (DRL)" refers to a software layer of the Diameter stack which implements Diameter routing. Exemplary DRL capabilities may include: routing request

15   messages to Diameter peer nodes or local applications based on message content, discarding or rejecting Diameter request messages based on message content rules, peer congestion control, and easier configuration. In order to support both application processing and core Diameter routing functions, the DRL in the DSR may support the following message routing

20   tables: an application routing table (ART) and a peer routing table (PRT), each of which will be described in greater detail later.

In one embodiment, priority-based routing may be performed at a DSR that only includes a single Diameter message processor. This exemplary software architecture option is depicted in Figure 1 where a DSR

25   network element (NE) **200** includes a Diameter message processor (MP) **201** supports a full Diameter stack that includes the DCL, DRL, and Application layers (not shown). DSR **200** may be further provisioned with a priority-based routing rules (PRR) database **230**. The Diameter MP **201** may be configured to receive Diameter messages from Diameter peer nodes

30   (such as Diameter peer node **218**) as well as to transmit Diameter messages to other Diameter peer nodes (such as Diameter peer node **220**). Upon receiving a Diameter message, the Diameter MP **201** may access PRR database **230** using priority information contained in the Diameter message

in order to determine how and where to route the message. Other exemplary software architectures that employ multiple message processors may also be employed.

For example, a first multi-MP software architecture option may include 5 an embodiment where each message processor (MP) supports a full Diameter stack that includes the DCL, DRL, and Application layers. A second multi-MP software architecture option may include a DCL that runs on dedicated MPs, Routing and Application layers can either be combined on dedicated MPs or have dedicated MPs for each layer. A third multi-MP 10 software architecture option may include a Diameter stack (DCL, DRL) that runs on dedicated MPs, local Diameter applications run on separate dedicated MPs. Each of these exemplary software architecture options are described in greater detail below with respect to Figures 2, 3, and 4.

Figure 2 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary architecture 15 including a full stack per MP for providing priority routing at a DSR according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein. Referring to Figure 2, DSR network element (NE) 200 may include an ingress MP 202 for receiving Diameter messages from Diameter peer nodes and an egress MP 204 for transmitting Diameter messages to Diameter peer nodes. Ingress 20 MP 202 and egress MP 204 may each include a DCL, DRL, and one or more signaling applications (residing in the application layer). For example, ingress MP 202 may include a DCL 206, a DRL 208, and a signaling application 210. Likewise, egress MP 204 may include a DCL 212, a DRL 214, and a signaling application 216. In order to facilitate communications 25 between ingress MP 202 and egress MP 204, DRL 208 of ingress MP 202 may be operable to communicate with DRL 214 of egress MP 204. Additionally, DRLs 208 and 214 may each be operable to communicate with DCLs 206 and 212 and applications 210 and 216, respectively.

In an exemplary Diameter message routing scenario, Diameter peer 30 node N-1 218 may send a Diameter message to DSR 200. The Diameter message may be received by DCL 206 of ingress MP 202. In one embodiment, the received Diameter message is a Diameter request message. Ingress messages may be processed completely on ingress MP

202 including the selection of a destination Diameter peer node for the Diameter message by DRL **208**. Continuing with the above example, DRL **208** may receive a Diameter message passed by DCL **206**.

If local application processing is required, ingress DRL **208** may forward the Diameter message to an appropriate local application prior to the forwarding of the Diameter message to the appropriate egress MP. For example, DRL **208** may forward the Diameter message to local application **210**, which processes the message and returns the message to DRL **208**. It is appreciated that an application distribution function may not be required.

Next, ingress DRL **208** may forward the Diameter message to egress DRL **214** for forwarding to the local queue associated with DCL **212**. Egress DCL **212** may then transmit the Diameter message to Diameter peer node N+1 **220**.Figure 3 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary architecture including dedicated DCL MPs for providing priority routing at a DSR according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein. In contrast to the full stack-per MP embodiment shown in Figure 2, the embodiment shown in Figure 3 includes dedicated MPs for the DCLs, as well as dedicated MPs for the DRLs and local applications. Referring to Figure 3, DSR **200** may include DCL-MP **300** for receiving Diameter messages from peers and DCL-MP **308** for transmitting Diameter messages to peers. Similarly, DSR **200** may include DRL-MP **302** and DRL-MP **306** for receiving Diameter messages from Diameter peer nodes and for transmitting Diameter messages to Diameter peer nodes. In contrast to a full stack-per MP embodiment (see Figure 2), application-MP **304** may be associated with DRL-MP **302** and may not have a corollary associated with DRL-MP **306**. DRL-MPs **302** and **306** may each be operable to communicate with one another.

In an exemplary Diameter message routing scenario analogous to the one described above with respect to Figure 2, ingress Diameter messages may be received by DCL-MP **300**, which may distribute the Diameter messages (e.g., a Diameter request messages) to DRL-MPs based on various factors including, but not limited to, the availability, transactions per second (TPS) capacity and congestion status of DRL-MP **302** as compared

with other DRL-MPs (not shown in their entirety). In one embodiment, DRL-MP **302** may determine whether signaling application processing required. If application processing is required, ingress DRL-MP **302** may forward the Request message to Appl-MP **304** (also based on its availability, TPS

5   capacity and congestion status).

In one embodiment, ingress DRL-MP **302** may then select a destination peer and route for the Diameter message using a peer routing table (not shown) and ingress DRL-MP **302** may forward the message to egress DRL-MP **306** based on the route selection process. Egress DRL-MP

10   **306** may then forward the Diameter message to egress DCL-MP **308** (for delivery to Diameter peer node N+1 **220** as selected by ingress DRL-MP **302**.

Figure 4 is a diagram illustrating an exemplary software architecture including dedicated DCL/DRL MPs and application MPs for providing local

15   application routing at a DSR according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein. Figure 4 represents a hybrid approach between the full stack per MP of Figure 2 and the dedicated DCL/DRL/application-MPs of Figure 3. Referring to Figure 4, in an exemplary Diameter message routing scenario, Diameter peer node N-1 **218** may send a Diameter message to

20   DSR **200**. The Diameter message may be received by DCL **206** of ingress MP **202**. Ingress Diameter messages may be processed completely on ingress MP **202** including the selection of a destination Diameter peer node for the Diameter message by DRL **208**. DCL **206** may then pass the Diameter message to DRL **208**.

25   If signaling application processing is required, ingress DRL **208** may forward the Diameter message to local signaling application(s). For example, DRL **208** may forward the Diameter message to local application **304**, which may process the message and return the message to DRL **208**. Afterwards, ingress DRL **208** may forward the Diameter message to egress

30   DRL **214** for forwarding to the local queue associated with DCL **212**. Egress DCL **212** may then transmit the Diameter message to Diameter peer node N+1 **220**.

Figure 5 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary high level message routing flow for providing priority routing at a DSR according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein. Referring to Figure 5, Diameter peer node N-1 **218** may send a Diameter request message to DSR

5   **200**. In one embodiment, DSR **200** may optionally consult ART **500** in order to determine whether processing of the Diameter message by a Diameter signaling application is needed. If the message content matches an ART rule, the message is forwarded to the identified application for processing. The searching process may continue iteratively until no additional rule

10   matches are found. For example, ART **500** may forward the message to application **210** for processing and, after the Diameter message is returned to ART **500**, the message may then be forwarded to application **216** for processing.

After application processing is completed in DSR **200**, ART **500** may

15   forward the message to Peer Routing Table (PRT) **502**. In one embodiment, PRT **502** may be searched after ART **500** searching is completed such that if the message content (after application processing updates) matches a rule in PRT **502**, the message may be routed to a Diameter peer node as defined by a Route List in route list table **504** associated with the rule. Thus, the

20   message may be sent to Diameter peer N+1 **220** after route list table **504** is consulted.

By using a single DSR configuration as described above, redundant DRL routing on both the ingress and egress MPs may be eliminated. For example, the ingress MP may be configured to receive a Diameter message

25   from a first Diameter peer node and be responsible for conducting ART and PRT searches and a route selection process. The ingress MP would select a route from the Active Route Group and forward both the Diameter message received from a sending Diameter peer node and the selected route to the egress DSR MP that controls the Diameter peer connection.

30   Notably, when the egress MP on the DSR receives a Diameter request message from an ingress MP containing a route, the egress MP will bypass local ART and PRT process described above and attempt to deliver the message to the Diameter peer node selected by the ingress MP.

This is aspect is depicted in Figure 6, which is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary scalable DSR including functional partitioning for providing priority routing according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein. Referring to Figure 6, the ingress MP **202** and egress MP **204** are utilized to perform steps associated with route list processing **700**. In one embodiment, ingress MP **202** is configured to conduct the selection of the Active Route Group step (step **520**) and the selection of a route from the route group step (step **610**). Specifically, ART **500**, local application **210**, PRT **502**, and the selection of a route group step **520** and the selection of a route from the route group step (step **610**) of route list processing **700** may be associated with ingress MP **202**. Likewise, the Diameter message delivery step (step **620**) of route list processing **700** may be associated with egress MP **204**. If egress MP **204** cannot route the message (e.g., the Diameter peer node is unavailable), egress MP **204** will send a response to ingress MP **202**. In response, ingress MP **202** may then select a next viable route from the Active Route Group.

In one embodiment, the inter-MP Diameter message routing with the scalable DSR may be optimized. In order to minimize overhead associated with inter-MP routing of Diameter messages, the overhead of Diameter messages on inter-MP links should be avoided. Namely, forwarding a Diameter request message from the ingress MP to the egress MP may involve the ingress DRL creating a new Hop-by-Hop identifier for the request message directed toward the egress MP. Specifically, the ingress DRL may insert a MP identifier into the Hop-by-Hop identifier, which allows an egress DRL to back-route a Diameter answer response message to an ingress DRL. If the egress DRL happens to encounter an error (e.g., the failure of the second Diameter peer node, the transport queue is full, etc.), the egress DRL may send an error response (with a cause code) to the ingress DRL. In one embodiment, the error response may include either a Diameter answer message or an internal message.

In one embodiment, egress-to-ingress MP Diameter answer messages may be forwarded upon the egress DRL validating the embedded MP identifier in the Diameter answer message's Hop-by-Hop identifier. If the

MP identifier is valid and the MP is available, the egress DRL internally routes the Diameter answer message to the ingress DRL for Diameter back-routing processing. If either the MP identifier is invalid or the MP is unavailable, then the egress DRL may discard the Diameter answer

5   message received from a second Diameter peer node. Notably, any Diameter answer messages received by the Ingress DRL from an egress DRL may be processed as if the Diameter message has been received directly from the second Diameter peer node.

Figure 7 is an exemplary Diameter signaling flow diagram illustrating

10   inter-MP routing according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein. Diameter peer node **218** is depicted as sending a Diameter request message, which includes a Hop-by-Hop identifier that is equal to 100, to ingress MP **202** in a DSR. Ingress MP **202** may then use the Diameter request message, whose parameters match a PRT rule with an Action of

15   "Route to Peer". Ingress MP **202** may then select the first route from the Route List's Active Route Group (which is indicated by a priority identifier), create a new Hop-by-Hop ID containing the MP identifier of the ingress MP(e.g., ID=200), and forward the Diameter message to the egress MP's DCL layer that controls the connection to Diameter peer node **220**. When

20   egress DSR MP **204** receives a request message from ingress MP **202** containing a route, egress MP **204** may bypass DRL processing and attempt to queue the Diameter request message to the Diameter peer node **220** (i.e., the peer node selected by ingress MP **202**) unmodified. Egress MP **204** then sends the Diameter request message to the Diameter peer node

25   indicated in the Diameter request message (e.g., "Peer=X1"). After receiving the Diameter request message, Diameter peer node **220** responds by transmitting a Diameter answer message with Hop-by-Hop identifier=**200** to egress MP **204**. When egress MP **204** receives the Diameter answer message from Diameter peer node **220**, egress MP **204** routes the message

30   to the MP identified in the Hop-by-Hop ID (i.e., ingress MP **202**). Upon receiving the Diameter message, ingress MP **202** modifies the Hop-by-Hop ID from "200" to "100" and forwards the message to Diameter peer node **218** to complete the back tracking process. Notably, the Diameter answer

message sent by Diameter peer node **220** to Diameter peer node **218** traverses through the same "path" of egress and ingress message processors that were utilized to transmit the Diameter request message from Diameter peer node **218** to Diameter peer node **220**. In one embodiment,

5   each of the ingress and egress message processors maintains state information associated with the Diameter session or connection. In another embodiment, the ingress and egress message processors may use and modify the Hop-by-Hop identifiers in the Diameter messages to ensure that the entire Diameter request-answer communication transaction is handled by

10   the same ingress and egress message processors. For example, in the DSR, the ingress MP (MP1) may receive a Diameter message, M1, on a connection C10, with a hop-by-hop ID=250. The ingress MP may then select an egress MP (MP2), on a connection C20, and generate a new hop-by-hop-ID = 01000231. Notably, MP1 generates a hop-by-hop ID that

15   encodes the ingress MP into the hop-by-hop ID For example, the hop-by-hop ID is 32 bits: 8 bits which encode the ingress MP's address and 24 bits which are an increasing counter starting from 0. Every egress MP that receives an Answer message from a peer diameter node can forward the Answer message to the original ingress MP. This is because the peer is

20   configured to return an Answer message using the original hop-by-hop ID. The egress MP can access the 8 bits where the original ingress MP's address was encoded. Also, the egress MP may forward the Answer message to the ingress MP. The egress MP identifies itself (MP2) and the connection it received the Answer message on (C20) when it forwards the

25   Answer message. Furthermore, the ingress MP may use the connection ID and the 24-bit sequence number as a KEY to lookup the original ingress Request message. For example, the KEY = C20+000231 where the result is Connection C10 and the message with hop-by-hop ID = 250.

In an alternate embodiment, where multiple Diameter message

30   processors may be associated with or service the same Diameter peer connection, the present subject matter allows for the ingress MP that receives a Diameter answer message to select an egress MP that is different from the MP that received the associated Diameter request message. As

such, in the event that an MP which served as the ingress MP for a Diameter request message fails (or becomes unavailable) prior to receipt at the DSR of an associated answer message, the Diameter answer message may be internally routed by the ingress MP to an egress MP that is different from the failed MP.

5

Figure 8 is an exemplary signaling flow diagram illustrating a first routing attempt rejected by an egress MP due to the unavailability of a Diameter peer node according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein. Diameter peer node **218** is depicted as sending a Diameter request message, which includes a Hop-by-Hop identifier that is equal to **100** (which identifies peer node **218**), to ingress MP **202** in a DSR. Ingress MP **202** searches ART and the PRT (not shown) in order to determine if the parameters in the Diameter request message matches a PRT rule "Route to Peer" which is then paired with a Route List Name. Ingress MP **202** may then select the first route from the Route List's Active Route Group (e.g., Peer=X1 via egress MP **204**) whose current status on the ingress MP is "Available". Ingress MP **202** may then create a new Hop-by-Hop identifier containing its MP identifier and subsequently forward the request message to egress MP **204** along with the selected route (Peer=X1). In this particular scenario, Diameter peer node **220** is indicated as being "Unavailable" on egress MP **204** and egress MP **204** rejects the Diameter request message. Thus, routing fails for the first route selected. In response, ingress MP **202** may update the status of Diameter peer node **220** on egress MP **204** to "Unavailable" and select a route to another peer node, i.e., Diameter peer node **820**. Ingress MP **202** may also create a new Hop-by-Hop identifier containing its MP identifier and re-forward the Diameter request message to egress MP **804**, which controls the new route. Egress MP **804** then forwards the Diameter request message to Diameter peer node **820**. Diameter peer node **820** responds by sending a Diameter answer message indicating success (i.e., DIAMETER _SUCESS) back to egress MP **804**. When egress MP **804** receives a Diameter answer message from Diameter peer node **820**, egress MP **804** routes the Diameter answer message to ingress MP **202**, which is identified by the Hop-by-Hop identifier.

Ingress MP **202** may then, in turn, forward the Diameter answer message to Diameter peer node **218**.

Figure 9 is an exemplary signaling flow diagram illustrating a scenario where no routes support the application identifier in an ingress Diameter request message according to an embodiment of the subject matter described herein. Diameter peer node **218** is depicted as sending a Diameter request message, which indicates the need for a Diameter application identified by ID=87, to ingress MP **202**. Ingress MP **202** may search ART and PRT (not shown) in order to determine if parameters in the received Diameter request message matches a PRT rule "Route to Peer", which is then paired with a Route List Name. The Route List's Active Route Group may indicate two Available routes (e.g., egress MP **204** to peer node **220** and egress MP **804** to peer node **820**). However, in this scenario, neither Diameter peer node **220** nor Diameter peer node **820** supports the Application ID=87. Consequently, ingress MP **202** sends a Diameter answer message, which indicates that the Diameter request message was unable to be delivered, to Diameter peer node **218**.

In one embodiment, a scalable DSR may be used to route Diameter messages to Diameter peer nodes. After local application routing has completed on the DSR (or not invoked if the DSR has no locally configured services/applications), the ingress DRL on a DSR may search the PRT using parameters included in the Diameter message. In one embodiment, the PRT may include a plurality of message content matching rule entries, each of which may have one of the following Actions assigned by a network operator. For example, the assigned Action may either be "Route to Peer" or "Send Answer response". If the Action is "Route to Peer", the rule may be associated with a "Route List" that the ingress DRL can use to route the Diameter message towards the ultimate message destination (i.e., a Diameter peer node). In one embodiment, a Route List consists of one or more routes, each of which is associated with a specific Diameter peer node. An exemplary route list **1000** is depicted in Figure 10. Figure 10 depicts an exemplary route table **1000** that includes a plurality of route lists (e.g., route list-1, route list-2, route list-3). Figure 11 illustrates a conceptual view of an

exemplary route list that includes multiple route groups. Specifically, Figure 11 depicts the conceptual view of route list-1 in route list table **1000** (as shown in Figure 10). DSR **1100** may communicate with three Diameter peer nodes in route group **1102** via three weighted routes. Notably, DSR **110** may send Diameter messages to route groups **1104** and **1106** via the weighed routes as shown in Figure 11.

As indicated above, a "route" may actually represent a specific Diameter peer node. Because more than one route can be assigned to a "Route List", each route may be assigned a priority and weight to provide assistance in the route selection process. A set of routes in a Route List with equal priority may be called a "Route Group". In one embodiment, a Route Group in a Route List may be used for routing messages selected by a PRT rule. The Route Group that is currently being used for routing, based on Route Group availability and capacity rules, may be called the "Active Route Group."

The routing of Diameter messages from a DSR to a Diameter peer node is conducted in accordance with certain rules or objectives. In one embodiment, the DSR may support routing to Diameter peer nodes that is consistent with DNS load-share routing required by 1) the ability to define multiple Route Groups to a Diameter peer node using costs/priorities and/or 2) the ability to load share messages across multiple routes within the same priority (Route Group) using route weights. However, the DSR may not select a route within a Route Group if the Diameter peer node does not support the Diameter application indicated by the application ID in the message or if a Diameter peer node has already processed the message (i.e., message looping detection). Also, the DSR may not select a route if the Diameter peer node's transport layer queue is full. In one embodiment, the DSR may reduce the percentage of messages the DSR routes to a Diameter peer node based on the peer node's level of congestion. In another embodiment, the DSR may not attempt to select a route more than once for routing the same Diameter message. In yet another embodiment, the DSR should not exceed the Diameter peer node's rated capacity.

In one embodiment, the DSR may attempt to route a message to a Diameter peer node using the highest priority Route Group in the Route List that is available. A Route Group is "available" if all of the following criteria are met. First, at least one route in the set is "Available" and the available routes

5   in the set meet the minimum capacity requirement assigned to the Route List. Please note that "capacity" may be defined in terms of route weights. For example, if the minimum capacity assigned to a Route List is "5", and Route Group-1 is comprised of Route-A (weight=4) and Route-B (weight=6), then Route Group-1 is Available only if Route-B is Available. Also, the DSR

10   may be configured to send a Diameter answer message indicating "DIAMETER_UNABLE_TO_DELIVER" if the peer routing process selects a Route List that does not have any available Route Groups.

As mentioned above, the PRT may include a number of contents, such as a rule name, a rule priority, and a plurality of message selection

15   parameters. As used herein, the rule name is an operator provided name for identification. Similarly, the rule priority refers to the selection of a table entry with the highest priority in the event a table query finds multiple matches. As used herein and indicated above, rule message selection parameters include Diameter message parameters that the user may specify

20   as criteria for message matching. Exemplary rule message selection parameters in the PRT (which may match parameters in the Diameter message) include: 1) a Destination-Realm which is similar to Diameter Realm Routing Table and has an OctetString core data type, 2) an Application ID which is similar to Diameter Realm Routing Table and may be

25   represented by a 32-bit value, and 3) a Destination-Host which is not unlike to "Host Identity" in a Diameter Peer Table and has an OctetString core data type, 4) the Origin-Realm, which identifies the realm from which the message was originated and has an OctetString core data type, 5) an Origin-Host that identifies the Host from which the message was originated, 6) a

30   User-Name that identifies the user for which this service is being invoked and has an OctetString core data type, and 7) a Command-Code that that includes 24 bits and identifies the request message type. Any value may be supported in order to later add application-specific command-codes.

Additional contents of the PRT may include an "Action", which may be defined as the action to perform when the rule is invoked. Exemplary actions include "Route to Peer" (where the Diameter message may be routed to a Diameter peer node defined by the rule's "Route List" field) and

5   "Send Answer Response", which is a Diameter answer response that may be sent using the rules' Result-Code field when a Diameter peer node is unavailable.

In one embodiment, the PRT may also include "Route List Name" and a "Result-Code" parameters associated with a given Action parameter. The

10   Route List name indicates the Route List to be used when the "Action" is set to "Route to Peer". Similarly, the Result-Code includes a Result-Code AVP value to be used when the "Action" is set to "Send Answer Response." The default value of the Result-Code may be 3002 "DIAMETER_UNABLE_TO_DELIVER." For example, if the ingress DRL

15   searches the PRT and is unable to find a match, then a routing failure occurs. The DRL may then send a DIAMETER_UNABLE_TO_DELIVER Answer response message to the original sending Diameter peer node.

Figure 12 depicts an exemplary PRT **1202** and a related route list table **1204**. Notably, PRT **1202** includes a column for one or more route list

20   names, which indicates a specific portion of route list table **1204** that needs to be accessed in order to select the appropriate route. The entries of route list table **1204** are assigned either a priority=1 or priority=2. Notably, for each route group, the entries indicating a priority=1 constitute an Active Route Group while the entries indicating a priority=2 in constitute a Standby

25   Route Group.

In one embodiment, a PRT utilizes one or more default entries. With the ability to wildcard PRT entries and assign priorities to rules, a network operator may specify routing for Diameter request messages addressed to a specific Destination-Realm (regardless of Application ID), a specific

30   Application ID (regardless of Destination-Ream), or for all request messages. This flexibility enables the network operator to configure one or more specific routing rules and then utilize one or more default entries to handle everything else. For example, Figure 13 depicts an exemplary PRT

1300 that includes four routing rules. In this example, the local realm is a realm referenced as "myrealm.com". Rule-3 in PRT **1300** is the default for local realm applications other than applications 55 and 57. Similarly, PRT **1300** indicates that Rule-4 is default for all other realms.

Figure 14 depicts another exemplary PRT that includes a plurality of routing rules. In this Figure, the local realm is "local.com". Referring to the first column of PRT **1400**, rules 1-3 are routing rules for known non-local realms, rules 4-5 perform routing for local application 10, rules 6-7 perform routing for local application 24, rule 8 is default rule for local realm (e.g., for Application IDs other than 10 or 24), and Rule 9 is default for all other non-local realms.

Figure 15 depicts another exemplary PRT that includes a plurality of rules. In this Figure, the local realm is "local.com". Referring to the first column of PRT **1500**, rules 1-4 are default rules created for a local realm Diameter Client and/or Server peer which indicate support for a single application ID in the CER/CEA handshake. This may be used for Destination-Host routing. Similarly, rules 5-6 in PRT **1500** are for a local realm Diameter Proxy Agent peer which indicates support for two different application IDs in the CER/CEA handshake. Lastly, rules 7-8 are routing rules for a local realm Diameter Relay Agent peer which indicates support for all application IDs (0xffffffff) in a CER/CEA handshake.

In one embodiment, a PRT and associated route lists may be used to route Diameter request messages to a Diameter peer node. For example, entries in the PRT may utilize priority routing schemes that include priority indicators and load sharing routing schemes that include weights to facilitate the routing of Diameter messages. Priority routing includes defining more than one route to a destination and assigning a relative priority to each route. With priority routing, the route with the highest priority available Route Group (as indicated with a priority indicator) may be selected for routing. Likewise, load share routing allows for the distributing of Diameter the messages to a set of routes with equal priority (i.e., a Route Group). The distribution of Diameter messages within a Route Group is controlled by assigning a relative weight to each route within a Route Group. The percentage of

messages sent to a given route in the Route Group may be determined from the set of Available routes in the Route Group (i.e., Unavailable routes are excluded) using the calculation:

5   Percent = 100 * (weight of Route-X) / (sum of the weights of the available routes in the Route Group)

In one embodiment, a route list may be comprised of multiple route groups in a PRT, wherein each route group has a unique priority within its route list. Two types of route list redundancy schemes may be supported. A first redundancy scheme may include all route groups within a route list being eligible for routing messages. In such an embodiment, the route selection may start with the highest priority route group with overflows assigned to lower priority groups when no routes in the higher priority can be selected. A second redundancy scheme may involve Active/Standby route groups. For example, one Route Group for routing messages may be designated as the "Active Route Group" while all other Route Groups serve as backups to the Active Route Group in the event the Active Route Group fails. These alternate groups are referred to as "Standby Route Groups". In one embodiment, the highest priority Route Group is always the Active Route Group if at least one route in the Route Group is Available. The Active/Standby redundancy scheme is typically the default Route List redundancy option supported by the DRL.

Figure 16 depicts an exemplary route list capacity table **1600** in a PRT that includes route list capacities. The route list capacity may be defined in terms of a weight, similarly to weights assigned to routes within the route list. In one embodiment, the capacity of a Route Group is the sum of the weights of its available routes as shown in route list capacity table **1600**. The DRL may maintain a status for each Route Group in route list capacity table **1600** that can be used to determine which Route Group in a Route List should be the Active Route Group for routing all messages for that Route List.

Similarly, the present subject matter may address a route group's availability status. In one embodiment, a route group's availability status may be expressed in terms of its capacity. Statuses may include 1) Available, 2) Degraded, or 3) Unavailable. As used herein with respect to a

5   route group's availability status, "Available" is intended to mean the route group's capacity meets or exceeds the minimum value assigned to the route list, "Degraded" is intended to mean the route group's capacity is greater than zero but less than the minimum value assigned to the route list, and "Unavailable" is intended to mean the route group's capacity is zero.

10   In one embodiment, a Route Group is designated the "Active Route Group" for the Route List when it meets one of the following criteria: 1) it is the Highest priority Route Group among Route Groups with the status of "Available", or 2) it is the Highest capacity Route Group when no Route Groups have the status "Available". If more than one Route Group has the

15   same capacity, then the highest priority Route Group is designated as the Active Route group.

In one embodiment, the DRL evaluates and may change the Route Group status and the designated "Active Route Group" for a Route List when any of the following events occur: 1) a Peer (Route) status change (i.e., this

20   potentially impacts multiple Route Lists), 2) the Route added to a Route List by operator (i.e., this increases capacity of a Route Group if peer's status is Available), 3) the Route is deleted from a Route List by a network operator (i.e., this decreases current capacity of a Route Group if peer's status is Available), 4) the route's priority is modified by the network operator, or 5)

25   the route's weight is modified by operator (i.e., this changes the current capacity of associated Route Group if the Diameter peer node's status is Available). In one embodiment, an availability status may be maintained for each Route List to quickly facilitate routing decisions when a Route List is selected by a PRT rule. A Route List availability status may be identical to

30   the status of its Active Route Group. This is depicted in Figure 17, which illustrates an exemplary Route List **1700** that is comprised of Route Groups 1, 2, and 3.

The present subject matter may include a peer table that contains the list of Diameter peer nodes to which a DSR has direct connections for routing Diameter messages via TCP, SCTP, or UDP transport. Notably, all of the information a DSR MP needs to know about a peer node is stored in a peer record. Any peer-specific information required in making a decision of routing a message to a peer node may be stored in this table. Peer-specific information may include the peer node's availability status (i.e., whether at least one active transport connection is available), the peer node's congestion state, and a list of applications IDs the Diameter peer node supports (acquired during Capabilities-Exchange). Any information about the Diameter peer node which is acquired during the Capabilities-Exchange may be stored in the peer node's record so it can be viewed by the network operator.

In one embodiment, the present subject matter may allow for route selection by the ingress DRL. When routing is invoked for a Diameter message that matches a PRT rule Action of "Route to Peer", the DRL may use the Route List assigned to that rule for routing the message to a Diameter peer node. In one embodiment, the DRL uses the Route List's currently designated Active Route Group to route the Diameter message. Notably, the "Active Route Group" designation may be determined asynchronously from message routing based on peer status changes and route list management events. If the Active Route Group has more than one route, then the DRL creates a list of available routes from the Route Group that will be used for all subsequent decisions about routing this message. In one embodiment, this list is stored in the "pending transaction record" for this Diameter message in case message rerouting is required after a message is forwarded. Also, Diameter message rerouting may occur after a Diameter message is forwarded if a Diameter peer node failure occurs or if a negative Diameter answer response message is received.

Once a list of available routes for the Diameter message has been created, the DRL may select a route from the list based on the relative weights assigned to each route. As indicated above, weights may be used to proportion traffic across routes in the Route Group. A weight assigned to

a route does not serve as the route's priority for route selection within the Route Group, but rather the assigned weight serves to calculate the probability that the route may be selected from the list. For example, if a Route Group is comprised of four routes with weights 40, 30, 20, and 10, respectively, then the probability that Route-1 will be selected first for routing any message is 40% (i.e., 40 / (40+30+20+10)). In one embodiment, the DRL selects a route from the list using a statistical algorithm that utilizes the route weights. For example, the algorithm may select Route-1 40% of the time.

Once the DRL selects a route from the list, the DRL determines whether it is possible to use that route based on certain criteria. In one embodiment, the criteria includes: 1) the Diameter message's Application ID is supported by the peer, 2) the Diameter peer node has not previously processed the message (e.g., the peer node's identity does not match one of the message's Route-Record AVPs), 3) the peer node's transport layer queue is not full, 4) the peer node is congested but the Diameter message meets the criteria for forwarding the message to the peer (as described below with respect to peer congestion), 5) the peer node's status is Unavailable, and 6) the peer node is no longer associated with the Route Group or Route List.

If a Diameter peer node matches the route selection criteria, standard Request message processing will be invoked (as mentioned above) and the message will be queued on the Diameter peer node's transport layer queue. If a Diameter peer node does not match the route selection criteria then the DRL may remove that route from the list (i.e., a route should never be considered more than once for routing the same message) and the DRL may re-invoke the statistical route selection algorithm based on the remaining routes in the list. In the previous example, if Route-1 was selected first but did not meet the additional criteria for using that route as defined above, the new list would be limited to routes 2, 3, and 4 with weights 30, 20, and 10, respectively. Thus, with the smaller list of routes, the probability of selecting Route-2 would be 50% (i.e., 30 / (30+20+10) = 50%). Notably, the route selection process continues until a viable route is found or the list is

exhausted. Specifically, if no peer nodes in the Active Route Group meet the route selection criteria, the DRL may abandon routing and send a DIAMETER_UNABLE_TO_DELIVER Answer response message to the Diameter peer node that originally sent the Diameter request message.

5   Figure 18 illustrates an exemplary route selection process of using a list of equal priority routes with assigned weights. In this Figure, the Active Route Group has four available routes with weights 40, 30, 20, and 10, respectively. Specifically, a statistical route selection algorithm is executed by the DRL using the information in initial list **1800**. The DRL determines

10   that route 3 is unavailable because of an application ID mismatch. Consequently, the DRL executes a statistical route selection algorithm using the information in updated list **1802** (which does not include route 3). The DRL then determines that route 1 is unavailable due to congestion. The DRL then executes a statistical route selection algorithm using the

15   information in updated list **1804** (which does not include route 1), which results in the selection of route 4. The DRL then forwards the Diameter message using route 4 and generates a pending transaction record **1806**. Record **1806** may be subsequently used if the Diameter message must be re-routed due to a peer node failure or the like.

20   In one embodiment, the present subject matter may utilize peer application identifiers to conduct route selection. As mentioned above, a Diameter message should not be routed to a Diameter peer node that does not support the Application identifier indicated in the Diameter message. The Diameter base protocol indicates that each time a first transport

25   connection is established between Diameter peer nodes, a Capabilities-Exchange is performed before the transport connection is allowed. Namely, a peer node will send the lists of Application IDs it supports in the CER or CEA message. When a DSR receives a list of Application IDs from a peer node, these identifiers are stored in the Peer Table to be accessed during

30   message routing. When the DSR message routing selects a route from a Route List associated with a peer node, the peer node's supported Application ID list is interrogated to verify that the Application ID in the message matches one of the Application IDs supported by the peer node. If

an Application ID match is not found, the DSR bypasses this route and continues the route selection process.

In one embodiment, the present subject matter may consider peer congestion to conduct route selection. A Diameter peer node may report congestion by sending an Answer response with Result-Code AVP set to DIAMETER_TOO_BUSY. The congested node is identified by the Origin-Host in the Answer response (i.e., the response may not have been sent by a peer node). The DRL should only be concerned with peer congestion, not upstream node congestion. That is, the Diameter protocol is only peer status aware such that the status of upstream nodes is not tracked or managed. In one embodiment, the DRL may detect peer congestion via DIAMETER_TOO_BUSY Answer responses containing the peer node's identity in the Origin Host AVP and via the transport layer interface to the peer (e.g., outbound queue depth, etc.).

A peer congestion detection and control solution may be implemented in the DSR that includes monitoring based on internal queue lengths (e.g., SCTP association egress queue). Currently, simple congestion control procedure may involve discarding the Diameter message if the queue is full. Thus, a DSR may bypass this route during route selection when a Diameter peer node's SCTP egress queue is full. In one embodiment, a DIAMETER_TOO_BUSY Answer response from a peer node may be used to determine the congestion level of peer node. For example, upon receipt of an initial DIAMETER_TOO_BUSY message, the peer congestion level may be set to "1". The DSR may maintain a rolling window of percentage of Request messages rejected by the DIAMETER_TOO_BUSY response and adjust the peer node's congestion level accordingly. It is worth noting that a DIAMETER_TOO_BUSY Answer response received from a peer node may have been originated by an upstream node. The DRL validates that the busy response was initiated by the peer node (i.e., Origin-Host AVP set to the peer node's FQDN) in order to determine if the peer node is congested.

In one embodiment, the DSR may be configured to receive a Diameter message, which includes a priority indicator parameter, from a sending Diameter peer node. The DSR in this case be configured to inspect

the priority indicator in the Diameter message and route the message in accordance with a priority based rule stored in a Diameter priority-based routing rules database. Notably, there may be situations (e.g., partial network outage, emergency scenario, etc.) when the operator of a

5   communications network that employs DIAMETER signaling (e.g., IMS, LTE, etc.) may want to prioritize the importance or urgency of various communications within the communications network and control these communications accordingly. For example, in an emergency scenario, a network operator may want to ensure that network resources are

10   preferentially allocated to communications associated with first responders, law enforcement officers, and the like.

Such an embodiment is illustrated in Figure 19, which depicts a Diameter signaling diagram. Specifically, Figure 19 depicts a Diameter peer node **218** that sends a Diameter request message with an assigned priority

15   level that indicates a low priority (e.g., PRI=Low). The message is received by DSR **200**, which then inspects the received Diameter message for a priority level indicator. In one embodiment, DSR **200** may be configured to decrypt an encrypted priority level indicator parameter upon receiving the Diameter message from a Diameter peer node. Upon detecting the low

20   priority level indicator, DSR **200** accesses priority-based routing rules database **230** to determine an appropriate routing action to execute. In this scenario, DSR **200** may cross-reference the entries in database **230** with the low priority indicator to determine a "Do Not Route" action. DSR **200** may also be optionally configured to send a Diameter response "error" message

25   back to Diameter peer node **218** indicating that the priority level of the original Diameter request message is low and will not be routed. If the priority indicator in the original Diameter request message was sufficiently high, database **230** may have indicated that the message be routed on to Diameter peer node **220**.

30   In another embodiment, DSR **200** may insert, in a received Diameter message, information (e.g., a new parameter) that assigns a priority level to the received Diameter message (or to a communication with which the Diameter message is associated). In one embodiment, the DSR may

include a prioritization indicator parameter in a Diameter message that is generated or relayed by the DSR. In one embodiment, the DSR **200** may also encrypt the priority indicator parameter. This priority indicator parameter may be examined by other Diameter nodes and used to

5   determine if and how the associated communication should be allowed to proceed.

Such an embodiment is illustrated in Figure 20, which depicts a Diameter signaling diagram. Specifically, Figure 20 depicts a Diameter peer node **218** that sends a Diameter request message without an assigned

10   priority level. The message is received by DSR **200**, which then assigns a priority level indicator to the Diameter request message (e.g., PRI=Low). After assigning a priority level indicator, DSR **200** may forward the modified Diameter request message to a Diameter peer node **220**, which may be configured to determine if and how the modified Diameter request message

15   should be allowed to proceed.

In another embodiment, network operators may want to prioritize communications in order to provide subscribers with varying levels of quality of service (QoS). The varying levels of QoS may depend, for example, on the type of service plan purchased by a subscriber. Such an embodiment is

20   illustrated in Figure 21, which depicts a Diameter signaling diagram. Specifically, Figure 21 depicts a Diameter peer node **218** that sends a first Diameter request message with an assigned priority level that indicates a low priority (e.g., PRI=Low) and a second Diameter request message with an assigned priority level that indicates a high priority (e.g., PRI=High). The

25   messages are received by DSR **200**, which then inspects each received Diameter message for a priority level indicator. Upon detecting the low priority level indicator in the first Diameter message and a high priority level indicator in the second Diameter message, DSR **200** accesses priority-based routing rules database **230** to determine an appropriate routing action

30   to execute for each Diameter message. In this scenario, DSR **200** may cross-reference the entries in database **230** with the low priority indicator to determine that the first message should be routed to a Low QoS Diameter resource node **234**. Similarly, DSR **200** may cross-reference the entries in

database **230** with the high priority indicator to determine that the second message should be routed to a High QoS Diameter resource node **232**.

In another embodiment, DSR **200** may be configured to delay the routing of the Diameter message to a destination Diameter node based on the value of the prioritization indicator parameter. For example, upon receiving a plurality of Diameter messages with different prioritization indicator parameters, the Diameter relay node may route the messages in accordance to the level of priority, thereby effectively delaying the Diameter messages with the lowest priority indicator parameters and thereby preferentially allocating routing, processing and/or bandwidth resources towards Diameter messages with higher priority indicator values. For example, Figure 22 depicts Diameter peer node **218** that sends a first Diameter request message with an assigned priority level that indicates a low priority (e.g., PRI=Low) and a second Diameter request message with an assigned priority level that indicates a high priority (e.g., PRI=High). The messages are received by DSR **200**, which then inspects each received Diameter message for a priority level indicator. Upon detecting the low priority level indicator in the first Diameter message and a high priority level indicator in the second Diameter message, DSR **200** accesses priority-based routing rules database **230** to determine an appropriate routing action to execute for each Diameter message.

DSR **200** may utilize database **230** to determine that only the second Diameter request message with the high priority level indicator is forwarded to a Diameter resource node **236** and that the first Diameter request message with the low priority level indicator is to be delayed for a period of time or after a certain condition is met. Instead, database **230** may indicate that DSR **200** instead send a Diameter response "error" message back to Diameter peer node **218** indicating that the priority level of the first Diameter request message is too low and will instead be routed after a predetermined delay period. Alternatively, instead of routing both Diameter request messages to a Diameter resource of an appropriate QoS level, DSR **200** may utilize database **230** to determine that only the second Diameter request message with the high priority level indicator is forwarded to a

Diameter resource node **236** and that the first Diameter request message with the low priority level indicator is not to be routed. Instead, database **230** may indicate that DSR **200** instead send a Diameter response "error" message back to Diameter peer node **218** indicating that the priority level of

5   the first Diameter request message is too low and will not be routed.

It will be understood that various details of the subject matter described herein may be changed without departing from the scope of the subject matter described herein. Furthermore, the foregoing description is for the purpose of illustration only, and not for the purpose of limitation, as

10   the subject matter described herein is defined by the claims as set forth hereinafter.

CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1.   A method for routing Diameter messages using a Diameter Signaling
       Router (DSR) based on priority information, the method comprising:
5   receiving, at a Diameter message processor associated with a
       DSR, a Diameter message from a first Diameter node;
               assigning, at the Diameter message processor, a priority level
       indicator to the Diameter message; and
               routing the Diameter message with the priority level indicator to
10   a second Diameter node.

2.   A method of claim 1 comprising selecting a route over which to send
       the Diameter message based at least in part on the assigned priority
       value to the second Diameter node.

3.   The method of claim 1 wherein routing the Diameter message
15   includes selecting the second Diameter node as the destination for
       the Diameter message based at least in part on the assigned priority
       indicator.

4.   The method of claim 3 wherein selecting the second Diameter node
       includes selecting the second Diameter node associated with a
20   particular QoS level that is indicated by the assigned priority level
       indicator in the Diameter message.

5.   The method of claim 2 wherein routing the Diameter message
       includes routing the Diameter to the second Diameter route if the
       assigned priority value exceeds a priority threshold contained in a
25   priority routing rules database, and discarding the Diameter message
       if the assigned priority value fails to exceed a priority threshold
       contained in a priority routing rules database.

6.   The method of claim 5 wherein in response to discarding the
       Diameter message, sending the first Diameter node a Diameter
30   response message that indicates that an error associated with the
       Diameter message occurred.

7.   The method of claim 2 wherein selecting a route includes selecting a route based at least in part on the congestion state of the second Diameter node.

8.   The method of claim 1 wherein the second Diameter node is a peer node with respect to the DSR.

9.   A method for routing Diameter messages using a Diameter Signaling Router (DSR) based on priority information, the method comprising:

        receiving, at a Diameter message processor associated with a DSR, a Diameter message that includes a priority level indicator from a first Diameter node; and

        applying, at the Diameter message processor, a routing action to the Diameter message based at least in part on the priority level indicator contained in the Diameter message.

10.   The method of claim 9 wherein applying a routing action includes accessing a priority base routing rules database.

11.   The method of claim 9 wherein applying a routing action includes discarding the Diameter message.

12.   The method of claim 9 wherein applying a routing action includes routing the Diameter message to an QoS Diameter resource node associated with the priority level indicator.

13.   The method of claim 9 wherein applying a routing action includes selecting a route over which to forward the Diameter message towards the second Diameter node.

14.   The method of claim 9 wherein applying a routing action includes delaying the routing of the Diameter message towards the second Diameter node.

15.   The method of claim 9 wherein the priority indicator is encrypted.

16.   The method of claim 15 wherein receiving the Diameter message includes decrypting the priority level indicator at the DSR.

17.   A system for routing Diameter signaling messages, the system comprising:

        a Diameter signaling router (DSR) comprising:

a memory for storing Diameter peer routing information that includes Diameter message priority level information;

a Diameter message processor configured to receiving a Diameter message from a first Diameter node, assigning a priority level indicator to the Diameter message, and routing the Diameter message with the priority level indicator to a second Diameter node in accordance to the Diameter message priority level information.

18.   A system of claim 17 wherein the Diameter message processor is configured to select a route over which to send the Diameter message based at least in part on the assigned priority value to the second Diameter node.

19.   The system of claim 17 the Diameter message processor is configured to select the second Diameter node as the destination for the Diameter message based at least in part on the assigned priority indicator.

20.   The system of claim 19 the Diameter message processor is configured to select the second Diameter node associated with a particular QoS level that is indicated by the assigned priority level indicator in the Diameter message.

21.   The system of claim 18 wherein the Diameter message processor is configured to route the Diameter to the second Diameter route if the assigned priority value exceeds a priority threshold contained in a priority routing rules database, and discard the Diameter message if the assigned priority value fails to exceed a priority threshold contained in a priority routing rules database.

22.   The system of claim 21 wherein in response to discarding the Diameter message, the Diameter message processor is configured to send the first Diameter node a Diameter response message that indicates that an error associated with the Diameter message occurred.

23. The system of claim 18 wherein the Diameter message processor is configured to select a route based at least in part on the congestion state of the second Diameter node.

24. The system of claim 17 wherein the second Diameter node is a peer node with respect to the DSR.

25. A system for routing Diameter signaling messages, the system comprising:

a Diameter signaling router (DSR) comprising:

a memory for storing Diameter peer routing information that includes Diameter message priority level information;

a Diameter message processor configured to receive a Diameter message that includes a priority level indicator from a first Diameter node and to apply a routing action to the Diameter message based at least in part on the priority level indicator contained in the Diameter message.

26. The system of claim 25 wherein the Diameter message processor is configured to apply a routing action includes accessing a priority base routing rules database.

27. The system of claim 25 wherein the Diameter message processor is configured to discard the Diameter message.

28. The system of claim 25 wherein the Diameter message processor is configured to apply route the Diameter message to an QoS Diameter resource node associated with the priority level indicator.

29. The system of claim 25 wherein the Diameter message processor is configured to select a route over which to forward the Diameter message towards the second Diameter node.

30. The system of claim 25 wherein the Diameter message processor is configured to delay the routing of the Diameter message towards the second Diameter node.

31. The system of claim 25 wherein the priority indicator is encrypted.

32. The system of claim 30 the Diameter message processor is configured to decrypt the priority level indicator at the DSR.

33. A non-transitory computer readable medium comprising computer executable instructions embodied in a computer readable medium and when executed by a processor of a computer performs steps comprising:

receiving, at a Diameter message processor associated with a DSR, a Diameter message from a first Diameter node;

assigning, at the Diameter message processor, a priority level indicator to the Diameter message; and

routing the Diameter message with the priority level indicator to a second Diameter node.

34. A non-transitory computer readable medium comprising computer executable instructions embodied in a computer readable medium and when executed by a processor of a computer performs steps comprising:

receiving, at a Diameter message processor associated with a DSR, a Diameter message that includes a priority level indicator from a first Diameter node; and

applying, at the Diameter message processor, a routing action to the Diameter message based at least in part on the priority level indicator contained in the Diameter message.

FIG. 1

FIG. 2

FIG. 3

FIG. 4

FIG. 5

FIG. 6

FIG. 7

FIG. 8

FIG. 9

FIG. 10

ROUTE GROUP-1
(ROUTES WITH PRI=1)

ROUTE GROUP-2
(ROUTES WITH PRI=2)

ROUTE GROUP-3
(ROUTES WITH PRI=3)

ROUTE LIST -1

ROUTE GROUP-1

ROUTE-1, PRI=1, WT=40, PEER=1
ROUTE-2, PRI=1, WT=30, PEER=2
ROUTE-3, PRI=1, WT=30, PEER=3

ROUTE GROUP-2

ROUTE-1, PRI=2, WT=60, PEER=4
ROUTE-2, PRI=2, WT=40, PEER=5

ROUTE GROUP-3

ROUTE-1, PRI=2, WT=50, PEER=6
ROUTE-2, PRI=2, WT=50, PEER=7

FIG. 11

PEER ROUTING TABLE (PRT) 1202

DEST-REALM	APPL ID	DEST-HOST	ACTION	ROUTE LIST NAME	PRI
VZW.COM	DON'T CARE	DON'T CARE	ROUTE TO PEER	ATT_NW	1
ATT.COM	DON'T CARE	DON'T CARE	ROUTE TO PEER	VZW_NW	1
MYNW.COM	43	DON'T CARE	ROUTE TO PEER	HSS1	1
MYNW.COM	65	DON'T CARE	ROUTE TO PEER	MME2	1
DON'T CARE	DON'T CARE	DON'T CARE	SEND ANSWER "X"	---	99

ROUTE LIST TABLE 1204

ROUTE LIST NAME	ROUTE NAME	PRI	WEIGHT
ATT_NW	ATT_PEER1	1	60
ATT_NW	ATT_PEER2	1	40
ATT_NW	ATT_PEER3	2	50
ATT_NW	ATT_PEER4	2	50
VZW_NW	VZW_PEER1	1	100
VZW_NW	VZW_PEER2	2	100
HHS1	HSS1_SRV1	1	100
MME1	MME1_SRV1	1	100
MME1	MME1_SRV2	2	100

FIG. 12

RULE #	DEST-REALM	APPL ID	DEST-HOST	ROUTE LIST NAME	ACTION	PRI
1	MYREALM.COM	55	DON'T CARE	APPL55	ROUTE TO PEER	1
2	MYREALM.COM	57	DON'T CARE	APPL57	ROUTE TO PEER	1
3	MYREALM.COM	DON'T CARE	DON'T CARE	NA	SEND ANSWER	10
4	DON'T CARE	DON'T CARE	DON'T CARE	NONLOCAL	ROUTE TO PEER	99

1300

FIG. 13

RULE #	DEST-REALM	APPL ID	DEST-HOST	ROUTE LIST NAME	ACTION	PRI
1	ABC.COM	DON'T CARE	DON'T CARE	ABC-REALM	ROUTE TO PEER	1
2	EFG.COM	DON'T CARE	DON'T CARE	EFG-REALM	ROUTE TO PEER	1
3	XYZ.COM	DON'T CARE	DON'T CARE	XYZ-REALM	ROUTE TO PEER	1
4	LOCAL.COM	10	HOST1.LOCAL.COM	LOCALHOST1	ROUTE TO PEER	1
5	LOCAL.COM	10	HOST2.LOCAL.COM	LOCALHOST2	ROUTE TO PEER	1
6	LOCAL.COM	24	HOST3.LOCAL.COM	LOCALHOST3	ROUTE TO PEER	1
7	LOCAL.COM	24	HOST4.LOCAL.COM	LOCALHOST4	ROUTE TO PEER	1
8	LOCAL.COM	DON'T CARE	DON'T CARE	NA	SEND ANSWER	20
9	DON'T CARE	DON'T CARE	DON'T CARE	ALLELSE	ROUTE TO PEER	99

1400

FIG. 14

1500

RULE #	DEST-REALM	APPL ID	DEST-HOST	ROUTE LIST NAME	ACTION	PRI
1	LOCAL.COM	10	HOST1.LOCAL.COM	LOCALHOST1	ROUTE TO PEER	1
2	LOCAL.COM	10	HOST2.LOCAL.COM	LOCALHOST2	ROUTE TO PEER	1
3	LOCAL.COM	24	HOST3.LOCAL.COM	LOCALHOST3	ROUTE TO PEER	1
4	LOCAL.COM	24	HOST4.LOCAL.COM	LOCALHOST4	ROUTE TO PEER	1
5	LOCAL.COM	10	HOST5.LOCAL.COM	LOCALHOST5	ROUTE TO PEER	1
6	LOCAL.COM	24	HOST5.LOCAL.COM	LOCALHOST5	ROUTE TO PEER	1
7	LOCAL.COM	DON'T CARE	HOST6.LOCAL.COM	LOCALHOST6	ROUTE TO PEER	1
8	LOCAL.COM	DON'T CARE	DON'T CARE	LOADSHARERELAY	ROUTE TO PEER	20

FIG. 15

USE CASE	ROUTE GROUP						ROUTE GROUP'S WEIGHT
	ROUTE-1		ROUTE-2		ROUTE-3		
	WEIGHT	STATUS	WEIGHT	STATUS	WEIGHT	STATUS	
UC1	20	AVAILABLE	30	AVAILABLE	40	AVAILABLE	90 (20+30+40)
UC2	20	AVAILABLE	30	UNAVAILABLE	40	AVAILABLE	60 (20+40)
UC3	20	UNAVAILABLE	30	UNAVAILABLE	40	UNAVAILABLE	0

1600

FIG. 16

1700

ROUTE LIST COMPRISED OF ROUTE GROUPS 1, 2, & 3, MINIMUM CAPACITY = 75

USE CASE	ROUTE GROUP-1			ROUTE GROUP-2			ROUTE GROUP-3			ACTIVE ROUTE GROUP	ROUTE LIST STATUS
	PRI	CAPACITY	STATUS	PRI	CAPACITY	STATUS	PRI	CAPACITY	STATUS		
UC1	1	80	AVAILABLE	2	95	AVAILABLE	3	85	AVAILABLE	RS-1	AVAILABLE
UC2	1	80	AVAILABLE	2	100	AVAILABLE	3	110	AVAILABLE	RS-1	AVAILABLE
UC3	1	60	DEGRADED	2	50	DEGRADED	3	90	AVAILABLE	RS-3	AVAILABLE
UC4	1	60	DEGRADED	2	80	AVAILABLE	3	90	AVAILABLE	RS-2	AVAILABLE
UC5	1	60	DEGRADED	2	50	DEGRADED	3	70	DEGRADED	RS-3	DEGRADED
UC6	1	60	DEGRADED							RS-1	DEGRADED
UC7	1	0	UNAVAILABLE							NONE	UNAVAILABLE

FIG. 17

INITIAL LIST (1800)

RTE	WT	PROB
1	40	40%
2	30	30%
3	20	20%
4	10	10%
TOTAL	100	100%

UPDATED LIST-1 (1802)

RTE	WT	PROB
1	40	50%
2	30	38%
4	10	13%
TOTAL	80	100%

UPDATED LIST-2 (1804)

RTE	WT	PROB
2	30	75%
4	10	25%
TOTAL	40	100%

UPDATED LIST-3 — PENDING TRANSACTION RECORD (1806)

RTE	WT	PROB
2	30	100%
TOTAL	30	100%

DRL STATISTICAL ROUTE SELECTION ALGORITHM

RTE-3 (APPL ID MISMATCH)

RTE-1 (CONGESTED)

RTE-4

DRL FORWARDS MESSAGE USING RTE-4

FIG. 18

FIG. 19

FIG. 20

FIG. 21

FIG. 22