



(51) International Patent Classification:

C12Q 1/48 (2006.01) A61K 31/454 (2006.01)
B82Y 5/00 (2011.01) A61K 47/54 (2017.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/US2019/063372

(22) International Filing Date:

26 November 2019 (26.11.2019)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

62/772,861 29 November 2018 (29.11.2018) US
62/811,271 27 February 2019 (27.02.2019) US
62/860,557 12 June 2019 (12.06.2019) US

(71) Applicant: GERON CORPORATION [US/US]; 149
Commonwealth Drive, Menlo Park, California 94025 (US).

(72) Inventor; and

(71) Applicant: RIZO, Aleksandra; 920 US Route 202, Raritan, New Jersey 08869 (US).

(72) Inventors: BUSSOLARI, Jacqueline Cirillo; 920 US Route 202, Raritan, New Jersey 08869 (US). HUANG, Fei; 1400 McKean Road, Spring House, Pennsylvania 19477 (US).

(74) Agent: NG, Rudy J.; 201 Redwood Shores Parkway, Suite 200, Redwood City, California 94065 (US).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DJ, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JO, JP, KE, KG, KH, KN, KP, KR, KW, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

(54) Title: METHODS OF TREATING MYELODYSPLASTIC SYNDROME




FIG. 1A

(57) Abstract: Methods of monitoring therapeutic efficacy in a subject with MDS are provided. Also provided is a method of identifying a subject with myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) for treatment with a telomerase inhibitor, and methods of treating MDS. The subject methods can include administering to the subject an effective amount of a telomerase inhibitor and assessing the hTERT expression levels in a biological sample obtained from the subject. In some cases, a 50% or greater reduction in hTERT expression level identifies a subject who has an increased likelihood of benefiting from treatment with the telomerase inhibitor. The subject can be naive to treatment with a HMA, lenalidomide, or both. In some cases, the subject is classified as having low or intermediate-I IPSS risk MDS and/or MDS relapsed/refractory to Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agent (ESA). In some instances, the telomerase inhibitor is imetelstat sodium.



METHODS OF TREATING MYELOYDYSPLASTIC SYNDROME

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

[0001] This application claims benefit of priority to U.S. Provisional Application No. 62/772,861, filed November 29, 2018, U.S. Provisional Application No. 62/811,271, filed February 27, 2019, and U.S. Provisional Application No. 62/860,557, filed June 12, 2019, the disclosures of each of which are incorporated herein by reference in their entirety.

INTRODUCTION

[0002] Myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) are a group of symptoms that includes cancer of the blood and bone marrow. They also include diseases such as, refractory anemia, refractory anemia with excess blasts, refractory cytopenia with multilineage dysplasia, refractory cytopenia with unilineage dysplasia, and chronic myelomonocytic leukemia. The MDS are a collection of hematological medical conditions that involve ineffective production of the myeloid class of blood cells. In MDS, the immature blood stem cells (blasts) do not become healthy red blood cells, white blood cells, or platelets. The blasts die in the bone marrow or soon after traveling to the blood leaving less room for healthy white cells, red cells, and/or platelets to form in the bone marrow.

[0003] MDS primarily affect the elderly and is characterized by anemia and other cytopenias and a high risk of leukemic transformation (Cheson *et al.*, *Blood* 2006;108:419-425). In clinical practice, MDS are suspected when an otherwise unexplained anemia is associated with other cytopenias, increased mean corpuscular volume, or increased red cell distribution width. Diagnosis involves bone marrow examination and cytogenetic studies. The bone marrow is typically hyperproliferative. Diagnosis is based on demonstration of erythroid, granulocyte, or megakaryocyte dysplasia in 10% or more of informative cells (Vardiman, *et al.*, *Blood* 2009;114(5):937-951). MDS may progress over time. For example, patients with MDS often develop severe anemia and require frequent blood transfusions. Bleeding and risk of infections also occur due to low or dysfunctional platelets and neutrophils, respectively. In some cases, the disease worsens, and the patient develops cytopenias (low blood counts) caused by progressive

bone marrow failure. In other cases, the disease transforms into acute myelogenous leukemia (AML). If the overall percentage of bone marrow myeloblasts rises above a particular cutoff (20% for World Health Organization (WHO) and 30% for French-American-British (FAB) subtypes), then transformation to AML is said to have occurred. Limited treatment options exist for patients with lower risk MDS who are relapsed or refractory to erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (ESA).

[0004] The standard prognostic tool for assessing MDS is the International Prognostic Scoring System (IPSS), which classifies patients into low, intermediate-1, intermediate-2, and high-risk categories based on several prognostic variables including bone marrow blasts, cytogenetics, and presence of cytopenias. The median survival for these four groups has been estimated at 5.7, 3.5, 1.2, and 0.4 years, respectively. The median times for 25% of patients in these groups to develop AML were 9.4, 3.3, 1.1 and 0.2 years, respectively (Greenberg *et al.*, *Blood* 1997; 89(6):2079-2088). Patients with low and intermediate-1 risk MDS may be referred to as having “lower-risk” disease, whereas those with intermediate-2 and high risk MDS may be referred to as patients with “higher-risk” disease.

[0005] In patients aged ≥ 70 years in Western countries, the incidence of MDS is conservatively estimated approximately at 30 to 40 cases per 100,000 population per year. Due to an aging population, the number of cases of MDS is expected to escalate. Despite the reduced rate of leukemic transformation of lower-risk patients, most patients are affected by anemia and anemia-related symptoms with profound effects on patient-reported outcomes (Almeida *et al.*, *Leukemia Res.* 2017; 52:50-57). Many anemic patients with MDS eventually develop dependence on red blood cell (“RBC”) transfusions; evidence suggests that iron overload resulting from chronic RBC transfusion may be a contributing factor in the overall morbidity of the disease (Malcovati *et al.*, *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:7594-7603; Malcovati *et al.*, *Haematologica* 2006;91:1588-1590; Steensma DP., *Mayo Clinic Proc.* 2015;90(7):969-983). Analysis of retrospective data from 426 patients diagnosed with MDS according to WHO criteria in Italy between 1992 and 2004 showed that a transfusion requirement of 2 units per month reduces the life expectancy of a patient with MDS by approximately 50% (Malcovati *et al.*, *Haematologica* 2006).

[0006] The treatment strategy for MDS is largely based on the IPSS score. In patients classified as IPSS intermediate-2 or high risk (higher-risk MDS), with median survival if

untreated of only about 12 months, the treatment goal is modifying the disease course, avoiding progression to AML, and extending survival. In patients classified as IPSS low or intermediate-1 risk (lower-risk MDS), survival is longer, but many patients die from causes other than MDS. Treatment of these patients mainly aims to ameliorate the consequences of cytopenias and transfusions and improve quality of life (Ades *et al.*, *Lancet* 2014; 383(9936): 2239-2252).

[0007] For patients with lower-risk non-del(5q) MDS, first-line treatment of anemia often involves the use of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (ESAs) or other hematopoietic growth factors. High-dose ESAs (*e.g.* epoetin alfa), with or without granulocyte colony-stimulating factors, have yielded erythroid response rates in the range of 30% to 50% and of median duration 2 years (*id.*). Key favorable prognostic factors for response to ESAs are low or absent RBC transfusion requirement (<2 packed red blood cell units/month) and low serum erythropoietin level (≤ 500 units/L) (Hellstrom-Lindberg *et al.*, *Br J Haematol.* 2003;120(6):1037-1046). Studies have shown that ESAs have no effect on the risk of progression to higher-risk MDS and AML, and strongly suggest that they may even improve survival in lower-risk MDS compared with RBC transfusion alone (Garcia-Manero *et al.*, *J Clin Oncol.* 2011;29(5):516-523). In the absence of concomitant progression to higher-risk MDS or AML, patients, who had primary refractoriness to ESA or relapsed within 6 months of response achievement, were observed to have a relatively high risk of AML transformation (23.1%) and short survival (median 3 years), whereas patients, who responded to treatment and relapsed beyond 6 months, had a more favorable outcome after failure with a 9% AML risk at 7 years and a median overall survival of 4.5 years (Kelaidi *et al.*, *Leukemia* 2013; 27(6):1283-1290).

[0008] There is no approved therapy in the United States for patients with lower-risk non-del(5q) MDS who are not responsive to ESA; and treatment options after ESA failure are limited. Most patients with lower-risk MDS will eventually require long-term RBC transfusion, which is often accompanied by iron overload (Ades *et al.*, *Lancet* 2014; Fenaux *et al.*, *Blood* 2013; 121:4280-4286; Steensma *et al.*, *Mayo Clinic Proc.* 2015). Life expectancy for patients with MDS has been shown to be inversely related to RBC transfusion burden (Malcovati *et al.*, *Haematologica* 2006). Patients with chronic anemia despite frequent RBC transfusions may be at risk for associated morbidities (*e.g.* cardiac failure, falls, fatigue) and lower quality of life (Crawford *et al.*, *Cancer* 2002; 95:888-895).

[0009] Hypomethylating agents (HMA) (*e.g.* azacitidine and decitabine) have been approved as treatments for all French-American-British (FAB) subtypes, which includes some lower-risk MDS patients. While these drugs reduce transfusion requirements in higher-risk MDS patients, evidence for improvement of long-term outcomes for lower-risk patients who receive HMAs after ESA failure is absent. In a retrospective study of 1,698 patients with non-del(5q) lower-risk MDS treated with ESAs, patients receiving subsequent treatment with HMAs (n=194) after ESA failure did not experience significant improvement in 5-year overall survival (Park *et al.*, *J Clin Oncol.* 2017; 35(14):1591-1597). According to other reports, in cohorts of patients with lower-risk MDS who are transfusion dependent after ESA failure, azacitidine induces RBC-TI in approximately 14% to 33% of patients (Fili *et al.*, *Clin Cancer Res.* 2013;19:3297-3308; Thepot *et al.*, *Haematologica.* 2016;101:918-925; Tobiasson *et al.*, *Blood Cancer J.* 2014; 4, e189). In view of the limited benefit and observed toxicities (neutropenia, infection), azacitidine cannot be recommended as treatment for these patients (Tobiasson *et al.*, *Blood Cancer J.* 2014).

[0010] The del(5q) chromosomal abnormality is observed in 10% to 15% of patients with MDS and is associated with a favorable prognosis (Oliva *et al.*, *Ann Hematol.* 2013;92(1):25-32). Treatment with lenalidomide results in transfusion independence for approximately two-thirds of such patients (Ades *et al.*, *Lancet* 2014; Fenaux *et al.*, *Blood* 2013; 121(21):4280-4286). In a Phase 3 study, median duration of TI was not reached (median follow-up, 1.55 years) (Fenaux *et al.*, *Blood* 2011; 118(14):3765-3776). Myelosuppression was the most frequently reported Grade 3 or 4 toxicity, and close monitoring of blood counts is required in the first weeks of lenalidomide therapy (*id.*).

[0011] Lenalidomide has also been studied as a treatment for transfusion-dependent non-del(5q) MDS, which represent 85% to 90% of the MDS population. The majority of these subjects do not respond to lenalidomide. Hematologic toxicity (*i.e.*, neutropenia, and thrombocytopenia) was milder than in patients with del(5q) MDS (Loiseau *et al.*, *Exp Hematol.* 2015;43(8):661-72). Like HMAs, treatment with lenalidomide following ESA failure has not been shown to significantly improve overall survival when used to treat lower-risk non-del(5q) MDS patients (Park *et al.*, *J Clin Oncol.* 2017).

[0012] While immunosuppressive therapy is a treatment option for certain lower-risk non-del(5q) patients, no significant effect on transformation-free survival was observed; and adverse events, including hematologic toxicity and associated severe adverse events, such as hemorrhage

and infections, have been reported (Almeida *et al.*, *Leukemia Res.* 2017). Allogeneic stem cell transplantation is typically reserved for medically fit higher-risk MDS patients, but may be considered an option for select lower-risk patients, such as those aged <60 to 70 years with IPSS intermediate-1-risk MDS, poor-risk cytogenetics, or persistent blast elevation, if alternative therapeutic options are ineffective (*id.*).

SUMMARY

[0013] Methods of monitoring therapeutic efficacy in a subject with MDS are provided. Also provided is a method of identifying a subject with myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) for treatment with a telomerase inhibitor, and methods of treating MDS. The subject methods can include administering to the subject an effective amount of a telomerase inhibitor and assessing the hTERT expression levels in a biological sample obtained from the subject. In some cases, a 50% or greater reduction in hTERT expression level identifies a subject who has an increased likelihood of benefiting from treatment with the telomerase inhibitor. The subject can be naive to treatment with a HMA, lenalidomide, or both. In some cases, the subject is classified as having low or intermediate-1 IPSS risk MDS and/or MDS relapsed/refractory to Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agent (ESA). In certain cases, the subject is non-del5q. In some instances, the telomerase inhibitor is imetelstat or imetelstat sodium.

[0014] Methods of treating MDS in a subject with a telomerase inhibitor are provided. The telomerase inhibitor is imetelstat or imetelstat sodium. The subject can be naive to treatment with a HMA, lenalidomide, or both. In some cases, the subject is classified as having low or intermediate-1 IPSS risk MDS and/or MDS relapsed/refractory to Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agent (ESA). In certain cases, the subject is non-del5q. In some cases, the subject is classified as IPSS-R intermediate and poor cytogenetic risk.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0015] The foregoing summary, as well as the following detailed description of the invention, will be better understood when read in conjunction with the appended figures. For the purpose of illustrating the invention, the figures demonstrate embodiments of the present invention. It should be understood, however, that the invention is not limited to the precise arrangements, examples, and instrumentalities shown.

[0016] FIGS. 1A and 1B (first data cut) show waterfall plots of the longest transfusion-free interval (FIG. 1A) and absolute change in transfusion amount in the best 8-week interval (FIG. 1B) in a study of imetelstat sodium in red blood cell (RBC) transfusion-dependent (TD) patients as described herein in the experimental section. HI-E = hematologic improvement-erythroid based on a Hb rise of at least 1.5 g/dL above the pretreatment level for at least 8 weeks or reduction of at least 4 units of RBC transfusions/8 weeks compared with the prior RBC transfusion burden (criterion adapted from IWG 2006); HI-E Hb = HI-E with sustained rise in hemoglobin by at least 1.5 g/dL over 8 weeks; TI = transfusion independence; TR = transfusion reduction by at least 4 units over 8 weeks.

[0017] FIG. 2 (first data cut) shows a hematology and imetelstat sodium administration timeline for an exemplary 24-week transfusion independent (TI) responder.

[0018] FIGS. 3A and 3B (second data cut) show waterfall plots of the longest transfusion-free interval (FIG. 3A) and absolute change in transfusion amount in the best 8-week interval (FIG. 3B) in a study of imetelstat sodium in red blood cell (RBC) transfusion-dependent (TD) patients as described herein in the experimental section. HI-E = hematologic improvement-erythroid based on a Hb rise of at least 1.5 g/dL above the pretreatment level for at least 8 weeks or reduction of at least 4 units of RBC transfusions/8 weeks compared with the prior RBC transfusion burden (criterion adapted from IWG 2006); TI = transfusion independence; TR = transfusion reduction by at least 4 units over 8 weeks.

[0019] FIG. 4 (second data cut) shows the Efficacy Results in EPO and RS Subgroups.

[0020] FIG. 5 (second data cut) shows a hematology and imetelstat sodium administration timeline for up to 115 weeks for an exemplary 24-week transfusion independent (TI) responder.

[0021] FIG. 6 (second data cut) shows the hemoglobin and imetelstat sodium dosing among patients with durable TI.

[0022] FIG. 7 (fourth data cut) shows that mean hTERT RNA expression levels decrease from baseline prior to treatment among patients during cycle 1 and 2 of the study.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0023] This application provides for methods of monitoring therapeutic efficacy in a subject with MDS. Also provided is a method of identifying a subject with myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) for treatment with a telomerase inhibitor, and methods of treating MDS. The subject

methods can include administering to the subject an effective amount of a telomerase inhibitor and assessing the hTERT expression levels in a biological sample obtained from the subject. In some cases, a 50% or greater reduction in hTERT expression level identifies a subject who has an increased likelihood of benefiting from treatment with the telomerase inhibitor. The subject can be naive to treatment with a HMA, lenalidomide, or both. In some cases, the subject treated is classified as having: low IPSS risk MDS, intermediate-1 IPSS risk MDS, MDS relapsed to Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agent (ESA), MDS refractory to MS, or combination thereof. The subject may also be non-del5q. For clarity of disclosure, and not by way of limitation, the detailed description of the invention is divided into subsections that describe or illustrate certain features, embodiments, or applications of the present invention. In some embodiments, the subject is diagnosed as having trisomy 8.

A. Definitions

[0024] As used herein, the term “about” when referring to a measurable value such as an amount, a temporal duration, and the like, is meant to encompass variations of between $\pm 20\%$ and $\pm 0.1\%$, preferably $\pm 20\%$ or $\pm 10\%$, more preferably $\pm 5\%$, even more preferably $\pm 1\%$, and still more preferably $\pm 0.1\%$ from the specified value, as such variations are appropriate to perform the disclosed methods.

[0025] The term “pharmaceutically acceptable salt” means a salt which is acceptable for administration to a patient, such as a mammal (salts with counterions having acceptable mammalian safety for a given dosage regime). Such salts can be derived from pharmaceutically acceptable inorganic or organic bases and from pharmaceutically acceptable inorganic or organic acids. “Pharmaceutically acceptable salt” refers to pharmaceutically acceptable salts of a compound, which salts are derived from a variety of organic and inorganic counter ions well known in the art and include, by way of example only, sodium, and the like; and when the molecule contains a basic functionality, salts of organic or inorganic acids, such as hydrochloride, and the like. Pharmaceutically acceptable salts of interest include, but are not limited to, aluminum, ammonium, arginine, barium, benzathine, calcium, choline, ethylenediamine, lysine, lithium, magnesium, meglumine, procaine, potassium, sodium, tromethamine, N-methylglucamine, N,N'-dibenzylethylene-diamine, chlorprocaine, diethanolamine, ethanolamine, piperazine, zinc, diisopropylamine, diisopropylethylamine, triethylamine and triethanolamine salts.

[0026] The term “salt(s) thereof” means a compound formed when a proton of an acid is replaced by a cation, such as a metal cation or an organic cation and the like. Preferably, the salt is a pharmaceutically acceptable salt. By way of example, salts of the present compounds include those wherein the compound is protonated by an inorganic or organic acid to form a cation, with the conjugate base of the inorganic or organic acid as the anionic component of the salt. Salts of interest include, but are not limited to, aluminum, ammonium, arginine, barium, benzathine, calcium, cesium, choline, ethylenediamine, lithium, magnesium, meglumine, procaine, N-methylglucamine, piperazine, potassium, sodium, tromethamine, zinc, N,N'-dibenzylethylene-diamine, chlorprocaine, diethanolamine, ethanolamine, piperazine, diisopropylamine, diisopropylethylamine, triethylamine and triethanolamine salts. It is understood that for any of the oligonucleotide structures depicted herein that include a backbone of internucleoside linkages, such oligonucleotides may also include any convenient salt forms. In some embodiments, acidic forms of the internucleoside linkages are depicted for simplicity. In some instances, the salt of the subject compound is a monovalent cation salt. In certain instances, the salt of the subject compound is a divalent cation salt. In some instances, the salt of the subject compound is a trivalent cation salt. “Solvate” refers to a complex formed by combination of solvent molecules with molecules or ions of the solute. The solvent can be an organic compound, an inorganic compound, or a mixture of both. Some examples of solvents include, but are not limited to, methanol, N,N-dimethylformamide, tetrahydrofuran, dimethylsulfoxide, and water. When the solvent is water, the solvate formed is a hydrate.

[0027] “Stereoisomer” and “stereoisomers” refer to compounds that have same atomic connectivity but different atomic arrangement in space. Stereoisomers include for example cis-trans isomers, E and Z isomers, enantiomers, and diastereomers. As to any of the groups disclosed herein which contain one or more substituents, it is understood, of course, that such groups do not contain any substitution or substitution patterns which are sterically impractical and/or synthetically non-feasible. All stereoisomers are intended to be included within the scope of the present disclosure.

[0028] A person of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that other tautomeric arrangements of the groups described herein are possible. It is understood that all tautomeric forms of a subject compound are encompassed by a structure where one possible tautomeric arrangement of the groups of the compound is described, even if not specifically indicated.

[0029] It is intended to include a solvate of a pharmaceutically acceptable salt of a tautomer of a stereoisomer of a subject compound. These are intended to be included within the scope of the present disclosure.

[0030] Before certain embodiments are described in greater detail, it is to be understood that this invention is not limited to certain embodiments described, as such may, of course, vary. It is also to be understood that the terminology used herein is for the purpose of describing certain embodiments only, and is not intended to be limiting, since the scope of the present invention will be limited only by the appended claims.

[0031] Where a range of values is provided, it is understood that each intervening value, to the tenth of the unit of the lower limit unless the context clearly dictates otherwise, between the upper and lower limit of that range and any other stated or intervening value in that stated range, is encompassed within the invention. The upper and lower limits of these smaller ranges may independently be included in the smaller ranges and are also encompassed within the invention, subject to any specifically excluded limit in the stated range. Where the stated range includes one or both of the limits, ranges excluding either or both of those included limits are also included in the invention.

[0032] Unless defined otherwise, all technical and scientific terms used herein have the same meaning as commonly understood by one of ordinary skill in the art to which this invention belongs. Although any methods and materials similar or equivalent to those described herein can also be used in the practice or testing of the present invention, representative illustrative methods, and materials are now described.

[0033] All publications and patents cited in this specification are herein incorporated by reference as if each individual publication or patent were specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated by reference and are incorporated herein by reference to disclose and describe the methods and/or materials in connection with which the publications are cited. The citation of any publication is for its disclosure prior to the filing date and should not be construed as an admission that the present invention is not entitled to antedate such publication by virtue of prior invention. Further, the dates of publication provided may be different from the actual publication dates, which may need to be independently confirmed.

[0034] It is noted that, as used herein and in the appended claims, the singular forms “a”, “an”, and “the” include plural referents unless the context clearly dictates otherwise. It is further

noted that the claims may be drafted to exclude any optional element. As such, this statement is intended to serve as antecedent basis for use of such exclusive terminology as “solely,” “only” and the like in connection with the recitation of claim elements, or use of a “negative” limitation.

[0035] Each of the individual embodiments described and illustrated herein has discrete components and features which may be readily separated from or combined with the features of any of the other several embodiments without departing from the scope or spirit of the present invention. Any recited method can be carried out in the order of events recited or in any other order which is logically possible.

[0036] As used throughout, “MDS” refers to myelodysplastic syndrome or myelodysplastic syndromes.

B. Pharmacodynamics (PD)

[0037] The present disclosure is based in part on a pharmacodynamic effect demonstrating an association between response to telomerase inhibition therapy in subjects with a MDS and a decrease in telomerase hTERT expression levels in the subjects from baseline levels. For example, in the clinical study described herein, a higher % of subjects achieved a 50% or more decrease in hTERT RNA expression levels in 8-week transfusion independent (TI) responders than in non-responders.

[0038] The present disclosure provides for stratification and identification or selection of patients likely to benefit from telomerase inhibition therapy for MDS, and provides methods of monitoring response, relapse, and prognosis in subjects undergoing treatment.

[0039] Aspects of the present disclosure include methods of identifying or selecting subjects with myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) for treatment with a telomerase inhibitor, and methods of treating MDS. Methods of monitoring therapeutic efficacy in a subject with MDS are also provided. In some case, the pharmacodynamic effect on which an embodiment of the subject methods is based is reduction of hTERT RNA expression by 50% or more, such as 60% or more, 70% or more, 80% or more, or 90% or more.

[0040] The telomerase ribonucleoprotein consists of components or subunits, two of these being telomerase RNA template (hTR), and telomerase reverse transcriptase protein (hTERT). hTERT expression levels can be assessed, determined and/or measured using any convenient methods. A variety of methods can be applied for the amplification, detection and measurement

of mRNA of telomerase components or related proteins in bodily fluids. Methods and assays of interest which may be adapted for use in the subject methods include, but are not limited to, real-time quantitative RT-PCR assays, e.g., based on based on TaqMan fluorescence methodology, immunohistochemistry methods for protein expression, and methods described by U.S. Patent No. 6,607,898, Bieche et al., Clin. Cancer Res February 1 2000 (6) (2) 452-459, Terrin et al. (“Telomerase expression in B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia predicts survival and delineates subgroups of patients with the same igVH mutation status and different outcome.” Leukemia 2007; 21: 965-972), and

Palma et al. (“Telomere length and expression of human telomerase reverse transcriptase splice variants in chronic lymphocytic leukemia.” Experimental Hematology 2013; 41: 615-626).

[0041] The hTERT expression levels can be assessed or measured in any convenient target cells. Target cells can be any convenient cells of the patient, including but not limited to, cells of the bone marrow or peripheral blood of the patient. In some cases, the target cells are isolated from a bone marrow sample of the patient. In some cases, the target cells are isolated from a peripheral blood sample of the patient. The target cells can be granulocytes.

[0042] hTERT RNA expression levels can be assessed or measured in a RNA sample using any convenient methods. A RNA sample may be obtained by first obtaining a bone marrow sample, a peripheral blood sample, or both and then isolating the RNA from the bone marrow sample, the peripheral blood sample, or both. In one embodiment, the step of obtaining a sample from a patient comprises: obtaining a bone marrow sample from the patient, isolating cells from the bone marrow sample, and extracting RNA and/or DNA from the isolated cells. In another embodiment, the step of obtaining a RNA sample from a patient comprises: obtaining a peripheral blood sample from the patient; isolating cells from the peripheral blood sample (e.g. granulocytes); and extracting RNA and/or DNA from the isolated cells.

C. Treatment

[0043] Aspects of the present disclosure include methods of treating a myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) with a telomerase inhibitor in a subject that is naive to treatment with particular agents, e.g., an agent selected from a hypomethylating agent (HMA) and lenalidomide. A subject is considered to be treatment “naive” if the subject has never undergone the particular

treatment for an illness. Treatment of patients with MDS relapsed/refractory to an ESA therapy with imetelstat can improve outcomes, including lower incidence of anemia.

[0044] A subject is a mammal in need of treatment for cancer. Generally, the subject is a human patient. In some embodiments of the invention, the subject can be a non-human mammal such as a non-human primate, an animal model (*e.g.*, animals such as mice and rats used in screening, characterization, and evaluation of medicaments) and other mammals. As used herein, the terms patient, subject and individual are used interchangeably.

[0045] As used herein, and as well-understood in the art, “treatment” is an approach for obtaining beneficial or desired results, including clinical results. For purposes of this invention, beneficial or desired clinical results include, but are not limited to, alleviation or amelioration of one or more symptoms, diminishment of extent of disease, stabilized (*i.e.*, not worsening) state of disease, preventing spread of disease, delay or slowing of disease progression, amelioration or palliation of the disease state, and remission (whether partial or total), whether detectable or undetectable. “Treatment” can also mean prolonging survival as compared to expected survival if not receiving treatment.

[0046] In certain instances, the subject method provides an enhanced therapeutic response in those subjects who have not previously been treated with a hypomethylating agent (HMA) or lenalidomide, relative to subjects who were so treated previously. By “enhanced therapeutic response” is meant a statistically significant improvement in a primary and/or secondary endpoint of MDS therapy and/or amelioration of one or more symptoms of MDS (*e.g.*, as described herein), *e.g.*, rate and/or duration of red blood cell (RBC) transfusion-independence (TI), or hematologic improvement (HI) rate relative to an appropriate control. In some cases, the subject methods provide a therapeutic effect of red blood cell (RBC) transfusion-independence (TI), *e.g.*, lasting 4 weeks or longer, such as 5 weeks or longer, 6 weeks or longer, 7 weeks or longer, 8 weeks or longer, 9 weeks or longer, 10 weeks or longer, 12 weeks or longer, 16 weeks or longer, 20 weeks or longer, 24 weeks or even longer. In some instances, time to TI and/or duration of TI is significantly improved. In certain instances, the subject method provides a duration of TI that is 24 weeks or longer, such as 30 weeks or longer, 36 weeks or longer, 42 weeks or longer, 48 weeks or longer, 60 weeks or longer, or even longer.

[0047] A hypomethylating agent (HMA) is an agent that inhibits DNA methylation, *e.g.*, by blocking the activity of DNA methyltransferase (DNA methyltransferase inhibitors / DNMT

inhibitors). HMAs of interest include, but are not limited to, decitabine (CAS Registry Number: 2353-33-5; 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine), azacitidine (CAS Registry Number: 320-67-2, 5-azacytidine) and guadecitabine (SGI-110). In some instances, the subject is treatment naive to decitabine. In some instances, the subject is treatment naive to azacitidine. In other instances, the subject is treatment naive to both decitabine and azacitidine.

[0048] Lenalidomide is a drug that is used to treat a variety of inflammatory disorders and cancers, including multiple myeloma and MDS. Lenalidomide (CAS Registry Number: 191732-72-6; 2,6-Piperidinedione, 3-(4-amino-1,3-dihydro-1-oxo-2H-isoindol-2-yl)-; 3-(4-Amino-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)piperidine-2,6-dione) is a derivative of thalidomide. Lenalidomide has various mechanisms of action that provide a broad range of biological activities that can be exploited to treat a variety of hematologic and solid cancers.

[0049] Deletion 5q (del5q) refers a chromosomal abnormality found in particular forms of MDS subjects (Adema *et al.*, *Haematologica*. 2013 Dec; 98(12): 1819-1821; Sole *et al.*, *Haematologica*. 2005; 90(9): 1168-78). In some cases of the subject methods, the subject is a human patient who has del5q. In some cases, the subject is a human patient who is non-del5q. A non-del5q subject is a subject that does not have the del5q chromosomal abnormality. In certain cases, the non-del5q subject is human.

[0050] In certain instances, the subject has not received prior treatment with either a hypomethylating agent (HMA) or lenalidomide and does not have a del(5q) chromosomal abnormality (*e.g.*, is non-del5q). In certain cases, the non-del5q subject is human.

[0051] In certain embodiments, the subject is a human patient with intermediate or poor cytogenetic risk. In some instances, the subject is a human patient diagnosed as having trisomy 8. In certain instances, the subject is a human patient with trisomy 8 mosaicism. In other instances, the subject is a human patient with trisomy 8 without mosaicism. The term “mosaicism” is used herein in its conventional sense to refer to a condition in which cells within the subject have different genetic makeup. In human patients diagnosed as having trisomy 8 with mosaicism, some of the subject's cells have three copies of chromosome 8 while other cells have two copies of chromosome 8.

[0052] In certain embodiments, methods include identifying a subject with myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) for treatment with a telomerase inhibitor, where the method includes: identifying a subject as having trisomy 8 (with or without mosaicism); measuring hTERT

expression level in a biological sample obtained from the patient after administration of a telomerase inhibitor; and comparing the hTERT expression level in the biological sample to a baseline hTERT expression level prior to administration of the telomerase inhibitor; wherein a reduction in hTERT expression level in the biological sample identifies a patient who has an increased likelihood of benefiting from treatment with the telomerase inhibitor.

[0053] In other embodiments, methods include treating myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) in a subject diagnosed as having trisomy 8, where the method includes: identifying a subject diagnosed as having trisomy 8; administering to the subject an effective amount of a telomerase inhibitor; and assessing hTERT expression level in a biological sample obtained from the patient after administration of the telomerase inhibitor.

[0054] In yet other embodiments, methods include monitoring therapeutic efficacy in a subject with myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS), where the method includes: measuring hTERT expression level in a biological sample obtained from a patient diagnosed as having trisomy 8 after administration of a telomerase inhibitor; and comparing the hTERT expression level in the biological sample to a baseline hTERT expression level prior to administration of the telomerase inhibitor; wherein a 50% or greater reduction in hTERT expression level in the biological sample identifies a subject who has an increased likelihood of benefiting from treatment with the telomerase inhibitor.

[0055] In methods according to certain embodiments, the subject is a patient diagnosed as having trisomy 8. In other embodiments, the subject is a patient diagnosed as having trisomy 8 and is naïve to treatment with an agent selected from a hypomethylating agent (HMA), lenalidomide, and combination thereof. In other embodiments, the subject is a patient diagnosed as having trisomy 8 and is a non-del5q human patient. In still other embodiments, the subject is a patient diagnosed as having trisomy 8 and the MDS is relapsed or refractory MDS, such as MDS relapsed/refractory to erythropoiesis-stimulating agent (ESA).

D. Myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS)

[0056] Myelodysplastic syndrome (“MDS”) is a group of diseases that includes cancers of the blood and bone marrow, which in some cases can be characterized by cytopenias resulting from ineffective hemopoiesis. A variety of MDS can be treated using the subject methods, including but are not limited to, diseases such as refractory anemia, refractory anemia with

excess blasts, refractory cytopenia with multilineage dysplasia, refractory cytopenia with unilineage dysplasia, chronic myelomonocytic leukemia, MDS with isolated del (5q) and MDS unclassifiable.

[0057] MDS is characterized by clonal myeloproliferation arising from malignant progenitor cell clones that have shorter telomeres and multiple clonal genetic abnormalities. Telomerase activity (TA) and expression of human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT) is significantly increased in MDS and may play a role in dysregulated cell growth, leading to continued and uncontrolled proliferation of malignant progenitor cell clones. Higher TA and hTERT as well as shorter telomere length are poor prognostic features for patients with low-risk MDS, leading to shorter overall survival. There are limited treatment options for anemia in lower-risk MDS that has relapsed after or is refractory to ESA therapy. Targeting MDS clones with imetelstat can improve outcomes, including anemia, in patients with MDS relapsed/refractory to an ESA therapy.

[0058] In some embodiments, the subject methods find use in alleviating at least one symptom associated with myelodysplastic syndrome, such as, *e.g.*, refractory anemia, refractory anemia with excess blasts, refractory cytopenia with multilineage dysplasia, refractory cytopenia with unilineage dysplasia, and chronic myelomonocytic leukemia. In some embodiments, the symptoms include shortness of breath, fatigue, weakness, fainting, nosebleeds, bruising, bleeding from mouth or gums, bloody stool, petechiae, or stroke.

[0059] In some instances, the subject has a relapsed or refractory MDS. “Refractory MDS” refers to patients who still have MDS cells in their bone marrow after treatment with any convenient MDS-related therapy. “Relapsed MDS” refers to patients who have a return of MDS cells in their bone marrow and a decrease in normal blood cells after remission. In certain instances, the subject has MDS relapsed/refractory to Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agent (ESA). ESAs can increase hemoglobin levels and abolish transfusion dependence for a period of time in some MDS cases. ESAs of interest include but are not limited to erythropoietin-alpha, erythropoietin-beta, and darbepoetin.

[0060] In some embodiments, the subject is identified as a human patient having trisomy 8. In certain instances, the subject is a human patient with trisomy 8 mosaicism. In other instances, the subject is a human patient with trisomy 8 without mosaicism.

[0061] In certain embodiments of the subject method, the subject is classified as a low or intermediate-1 IPSS risk MDS subject. Myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) patients can be divided into lower-risk groups (low and intermediate-1 [INT-1] IPSS), in which apoptotic events in the marrow are prevalent and there is a defective response to cytokines (including erythropoietin), and higher-risk groups (intermediate-2 [INT-2] and high IPSS), in which a block in the maturation of marrow progenitors is the principal alteration. In some cases, transfusion dependence is a negative prognostic variable. As such, in certain embodiments of the method, the subject is Red Blood Cell (RBC) transfusion dependent. In some cases, the transfusion-dependent subject has a RBC transfusion requirement of about 4 units or more over 8 weeks; or from 4-14 units over an 8-week period, or about 6 units or more per 8 weeks prior to administration according to the subject method. A unit of packed red blood cells (PRBCs) can be about 300 mL/unit. A unit of Whole blood can be about 450–500 mL/unit.

[0062] The International Prognostic Scoring System (IPSS) is a system developed for staging MDS. The IPSS rates 3 factors: the percentage of leukemic blast cells in the bone marrow cells (scored on a scale from zero to 2); chromosome abnormalities, if any, in the marrow cells (scored from zero to 1); and the presence of one or more low blood cell counts (scored as zero or 0.5). Each factor is given a score, with the lowest scores having the best outlook. Then the scores for the factors are added together to make the IPSS score. The IPSS puts people with MDS into 4 groups: low risk; intermediate - 1 risk; intermediate - 2 risk; and high risk.


E. Telomerase Inhibitors

[0063] Any convenient telomerase inhibitors can find use in the subject methods. In some embodiments, the telomerase inhibitor is an oligonucleotide with telomerase inhibiting activity, in particular an oligonucleotide as defined in WO 2005/023994 and/or WO 2014/088785, the disclosures of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety. In some cases, one or more than one telomerase inhibitor (*e.g.*, two or three telomerase inhibitors) can be administered to a mammal to treat a hematological malignancy.

Imetelstat


[0064] In certain embodiments, the telomerase inhibitor is imetelstat, including tautomers thereof and salts thereof, *e.g.*, pharmaceutically acceptable salts. Imetelstat is a novel, first-in-

class telomerase inhibitor with clinical activity in hematologic malignancies (Baerlocher *et al.*, *NEJM* 2015; 373:920-928; Tefferi *et al.*, *NEJM* 2015; 373:908-919) (shown below):



where “nps” represents a thiophosphoramidate linkage —NH—P(=O)(SH)—O— , connecting the 3'-carbon of one nucleoside to the 5'-carbon of the adjacent nucleoside.

[0065] In certain instances, the telomerase inhibitor is imetelstat sodium including tautomers thereof. Imetelstat sodium is the sodium salt of imetelstat, which is a synthetic lipid- conjugated, 13-mer oligonucleotide N3'→P5'-thio-phosphoramidate. Imetelstat sodium is a telomerase inhibitor that is a covalently-lipidated 13-mer oligonucleotide (shown below) complimentary to the human telomerase RNA (hTR) template region. The chemical name for imetelstat sodium is: DNA, d(3'-amino-3'-deoxy-P-thio) (T-A-G-G-G-T-T-A-G-A-C-A-A), 5'-[O-[2-hydroxy-3-(hexadecanoylamino)propyl] phosphorothioate], sodium salt (1:13) (SEQ ID NO: 1). Imetelstat sodium does not function through an anti-sense mechanism and therefore lacks the side effects commonly observed with such therapies.



Imetelstat sodium

[0066] Unless otherwise indicated or clear from the context, references herein to imetelstat also include tautomers thereof and salts thereof, *e.g.*, pharmaceutically acceptable salts. As mentioned, imetelstat sodium in particular is the sodium salt of imetelstat. Unless otherwise indicated or clear from the context, references herein to imetelstat sodium also include all tautomers thereof.

[0067] Imetelstat and imetelstat sodium can be produced, formulated, or obtained as described elsewhere (*see e.g.* Asai *et al.*, *Cancer Res.*, 63:3931- 3939 (2003), Herbert *et al.*, *Oncogene*, 24:5262-5268 (2005), and Gryaznov, *Chem. Biodivers.*, 7:477-493 (2010)). Unless otherwise indicated or clear from the context, references herein to imetelstat also include salts thereof. As mentioned, imetelstat sodium in particular is the sodium salt of imetelstat.

[0068] Imetelstat targets the RNA template of telomerase and inhibits telomerase activity and cell proliferation in various cancer cell lines and tumor xenografts in mice. Phase 1 studies

involving patients with breast cancer, non-small-cell lung cancer and other solid tumors, multiple myeloma, or chronic lymphocytic leukemia have provided information on drug pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. A subsequent phase 2 study involving patients with essential thrombocythemia showed platelet-lowering activity accompanied by a significant reduction in JAK2 V617F and CALR mutant allele burdens. Imetelstat sodium is routinely administered intravenously; it is contemplated that in the practice of the subject methods other administration routes also can be used, such as intrathecal administration, intratumoral injection, oral administration and others. Imetelstat sodium can be administered at doses comparable to those routinely utilized clinically. In certain embodiments, imetelstat sodium is administered as described elsewhere herein.

[0069] A particular embodiment is according to any one of the other embodiments, wherein imetelstat is limited to imetelstat sodium.

F. Pharmaceutical compositions

[0070] For ease of administration, the telomerase inhibitor (*e.g.*, as described herein) may be formulated into various pharmaceutical forms for administration purposes. In some cases, the telomerase inhibitor is administered as a pharmaceutical composition. The carrier or diluent of the pharmaceutical composition must be “acceptable” in the sense of being compatible with the other ingredients of the composition and not deleterious to the recipients thereof. The pharmaceutical composition may be in unitary dosage form suitable, in particular, for administration orally, rectally, percutaneously, by parenteral injection or by inhalation. In some cases, administration can be via intravenous injection. For example, in preparing the composition in oral dosage form, any of the usual pharmaceutical media may be employed such as, for example, water, glycols, oils, alcohols and the like in the case of oral liquid preparations such as suspensions, syrups, elixirs, emulsions and solutions; or solid carriers such as starches, sugars, kaolin, diluents, lubricants, binders, disintegrating agents and the like in the case of powders, pills, capsules and tablets. Because of their ease in administration, tablets and capsules represent the most advantageous oral dosage unit forms in which case solid pharmaceutical carriers are obviously employed. For parenteral compositions, the carrier will usually comprise sterile water, at least in large part, though other ingredients, for example, to aid solubility, may be included. Injectable solutions, for example, may be prepared in which the carrier comprises saline solution, glucose solution or a mixture of saline and glucose solution. Injectable solutions,

for example, may be prepared in which the carrier comprises saline solution, glucose solution or a mixture of saline and glucose solution. Injectable solutions containing the telomerase inhibitor described herein may be formulated in oil for prolonged action. Appropriate oils for this purpose are, for example, peanut oil, sesame oil, cottonseed oil, corn oil, soybean oil, synthetic glycerol esters of long chain fatty acids and mixtures of these and other oils. Injectable suspensions may also be prepared in which case appropriate liquid carriers, suspending agents and the like may be employed. Also included are solid form preparations that are intended to be converted, shortly before use, to liquid form preparations. In the compositions suitable for percutaneous administration, the carrier optionally comprises a penetration enhancing agent and/or a suitable wetting agent, optionally combined with suitable additives of any nature in minor proportions, which additives do not introduce a significant deleterious effect on the skin. Said additives may facilitate the administration to the skin and/or may be helpful for preparing the desired composition. The composition may be administered in various ways, *e.g.*, as a transdermal patch, as a spot-on, as an ointment.

[0071] It is especially advantageous to formulate the aforementioned pharmaceutical compositions in unit dosage form for ease of administration and uniformity of dosage. Unit dosage form as used herein refers to physically discrete units suitable as unitary dosages, each unit containing a predetermined quantity of active ingredient calculated to produce the desired therapeutic effect in association with the required pharmaceutical carrier. Examples of such unit dosage forms are tablets (including scored or coated tablets), capsules, pills, powder packets, wafers, suppositories, injectable solutions or suspensions and the like, and segregated multiples thereof.

[0072] In order to enhance the solubility and/or the stability of the drug described herein in pharmaceutical compositions, it can be advantageous to employ α -, β - or γ -cyclodextrins or their derivatives, in particular hydroxyalkyl substituted cyclodextrins, *e.g.* 2 hydroxypropyl- β -cyclodextrin or sulfobutyl- β -cyclodextrin. Also, co-solvents such as alcohols may improve the solubility and/or the stability of the telomerase inhibitor in pharmaceutical compositions.

[0073] Depending on the mode of administration, the pharmaceutical composition will preferably comprise from 0.05 to 99 % by weight, more preferably from 0.1 to 70 % by weight, even more preferably from 0.1 to 50 % by weight of the telomerase inhibitor described herein, and from 1 to 99.95 % by weight, more preferably from 30 to 99.9 % by weight, even more

preferably from 50 to 99.9 % by weight of a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, all percentages being based on the total weight of the composition.

G. Administration and Administration Regimens

[0074] The frequency of administration can be any frequency that reduces the severity of a symptom of a MDS (*e.g.*, as described herein) without producing significant toxicity to the subject. For example, the frequency of administration can be from about once every two months to about once a week, alternatively from about once a month to about twice a month, alternatively about once every six weeks, about once every 5 weeks, alternatively about once every 4 weeks, alternatively about once every 3 weeks, alternatively about once every 2 weeks or alternatively about once a week. The frequency of administration can remain constant or can be variable during the duration of treatment. A course of treatment with a composition containing one or more telomerase inhibitors can include rest periods. For example, a composition containing a telomerase inhibitor can be administered weekly over a three-week period followed by a two-week rest period, and such a regimen can be repeated multiple times. As with the effective amount, various factors can influence the actual frequency of administration used for a particular application. For example, the effective amount, duration of treatment, use of multiple treatment agents, route of administration, and severity of the MDS and related symptoms may require an increase or decrease in administration frequency.

[0075] An effective duration for administering a composition containing a telomerase inhibitor (*e.g.*, imetelstat or imetelstat sodium) can be any duration that reduces the severity of a symptom of a MDS (*e.g.*, as described herein) without producing significant toxicity to the subject. Thus, the effective duration can vary from one month to several months or years (*e.g.*, one month to two years, one month to one year, three months to two years, three months to ten months, or three months to 18 months). In general, the effective duration for the treatment of a MDS can range in duration from two months to twenty months. In some cases, an effective duration can be for as long as an individual subject is alive. Multiple factors can influence the actual effective duration used for a particular treatment. For example, an effective duration can vary with the frequency of administration, effective amount, use of multiple treatment agents, route of administration, and severity of the MDS and related symptoms.

[0076] In certain instances, a course of treatment and the severity of one or more symptoms related to a MDS can be monitored. Any method can be used to determine whether or not the

severity of a symptom of a MDS is reduced. For example, the severity of a symptom of a MDS (*e.g.*, as described herein) can be assessed using biopsy techniques.

[0077] Telomerase inhibitors as used in the subject methods can be administered at any dose that is therapeutically effective, such as doses comparable to those routinely utilized clinically. Specific dose regimens for known and approved anti-cancer agents (*e.g.*, the recommended effective dose) are known to physicians and are given, for example, in the product descriptions found in the PHYSICIANS' DESK REFERENCE, 2003, 57th Ed., Medical Economics Company, Inc., Oradell, N.J.; Goodman & Gilman's THE PHARMACOLOGICAL BASIS OF THERAPEUTICS" 2001, 10th Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York; and/or are available from the Federal Drug Administration and/or are discussed in the medical literature.

[0078] In some aspects, the dose of a telomerase inhibitor, imetelstat sodium, administered to the subject is about 1.0 mg/kg to about 13.0 mg/kg. In other aspects, the dose of a telomerase inhibitor is about 4.5 mg/kg to about 11.7 mg/kg or about 6.0 mg/kg to about 11.7 mg/kg or about 6.5 mg/kg to about 11.7 mg/kg. In some embodiments, the dose of a telomerase inhibitor includes at least about any of 4.7 mg/kg, 4.8 mg/kg, 4.9 mg/kg, 5.0 mg/kg, 5.5 mg/kg, 6.0 mg/kg, 6.1 mg/kg, 6.2 mg/kg, 6.3 mg/kg, 6.4 mg/kg, 6.5 mg/kg, 6.6 mg/kg, 6.7 mg/kg, 6.8 mg/kg, 6.9 mg/kg, 7 mg/kg, 7.1 mg/kg, 7.2 mg/kg, 7.3 mg/kg, 7.4 mg/kg, 7.5 mg/kg, 7.6 mg/kg, 7.7 mg/kg, 7.8 mg/kg, 7.9 mg/kg, 8 mg/kg, 8.1 mg/kg, 8.2 mg/kg, 8.3 mg/kg, 8.4 mg/kg, 8.5 mg/kg, 8.6 mg/kg, 8.7 mg/kg, 8.8 mg/kg, 8.9 mg/kg, 9 mg/kg, 9.1 mg/kg, 9.2 mg/kg, 9.3 mg/kg, 9.4 mg/kg, 9.5 mg/kg, 9.6 mg/kg, 9.7 mg/kg, 9.8 mg/kg, 9.9 mg/kg, 10 mg/kg, 10.1 mg/kg, 10.2 mg/kg, 10.3 mg/kg, 10.4 mg/kg, 10.5 mg/kg, 10.6 mg/kg, 10.7 mg/kg, 10.8 mg/kg, 10.9 mg/kg, 11 mg/kg, 11.1 mg/kg, 11.2 mg/kg, 11.3 mg/kg, 11.4 mg/kg, 11.5 mg/kg, 11.6 mg/kg, 11.7 mg/kg, 11.8 mg/kg, 11.9 mg/kg, 12 mg/kg, 12.1 mg/kg, 12.2 mg/kg, 12.3 mg/kg, 12.4 mg/kg, 12.5 mg/kg, 12.6 mg/kg, 12.7 mg/kg, 12.8 mg/kg, 12.9 mg/kg, or 13 mg/kg.

[0079] In some embodiments, the effective amount of a telomerase inhibitor administered to the individual includes at least about any of 1 mg/kg, 2.5 mg/kg, 3.5 mg/kg, 4.7 mg/kg, 5 mg/kg, 6.0 mg/kg, 6.5 mg/kg, 7.5 mg/kg, 9.4 mg/kg, 10 mg/kg, 15 mg/kg, or 20 mg/kg. In some embodiments, the effective amount of a telomerase inhibitor administered to the individual is about any of 1 mg/kg, 2.5 mg/kg, 3.5 mg/kg, 5 mg/kg, 6.5 mg/kg, 7.5 mg/kg, 9.4 mg/kg, 10 mg/kg, 15 mg/kg, or 20 mg/kg. In various embodiments, the effective amount of a telomerase inhibitor administered to the individual includes less than about any of 350 mg/kg, 300 mg/kg,

250 mg/kg, 200 mg/kg, 150 mg/kg, 100 mg/kg, 50 mg/kg, 30 mg/kg, 25 mg/kg, 20 mg/kg, 10 mg/kg, 7.5 mg/kg, 6.5 mg/kg, 5 mg/kg, 3.5 mg/kg, 2.5 mg/kg, 1 mg/kg, or 0.5 mg/kg of a telomerase inhibitor.

[0080] Exemplary dosing frequencies for the pharmaceutical composition including a telomerase inhibitor include, but are not limited to, daily; every other day; twice per week; three times per week; weekly without break; weekly, three out of four weeks; once every three weeks; once every two weeks; weekly, two out of three weeks. In some embodiments, the pharmaceutical composition is administered about once every week, once every 2 weeks, once every 3 weeks, once every 4 weeks, once every 5 weeks, once every 6 weeks, once every 7 weeks or once every 8 weeks. In some embodiments, the composition is administered at least about any of 1x, 2x, 3x, 4x, 5x, 6x, or 7x (*i.e.*, daily) a week, or three times daily, two times daily. In some embodiments, the intervals between each administration are less than about any of 6 months, 3 months, 1 month, 20 days, 15 days, 12 days, 10 days, 9 days, 8 days, 7 days, 6 days, 5 days, 4 days, 3 days, 2 days, or 1 day. In some embodiments, the intervals between each administration are more than about any of 1 month, 2 months, 3 months, 4 months, 5 months, 6 months, 8 months, or 12 months. In some embodiments, there is no break in the dosing schedule. In some embodiments, the interval between each administration is no more than about a week.

[0081] Telomerase inhibitors such as imetelstat (*e.g.*, imetelstat sodium) can be administered using any appropriate method. For example, telomerase inhibitors such as imetelstat (*e.g.*, imetelstat sodium) can be administered intravenously once every 4 weeks over a period of time (*e.g.*, one, two, three, four, or five hours). In some embodiments, imetelstat is administered intravenously once weekly over a period of about 2 hours at 7-10 mg/kg. In certain embodiments, imetelstat is administered intravenously once every 3 weeks over a period of about 2 hours at 2.5-7 mg/kg. In an embodiment, imetelstat is administered intravenously for a period of about 2 hours once every 4 weeks at 0.5-5 mg/kg. In an embodiment, imetelstat is administered intravenously once every 3 weeks over a period of about 2 hours at about 2.5-10 mg/kg. Alternatively, imetelstat is administered intravenously for a period of about 2 hours once every 4 weeks at about 0.5-9.4 mg/kg.

[0082] In certain embodiments of the method, imetelstat is administered for 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 or more than 8 dosage cycles, each cycle comprising: intravenous administration of about 7-10

mg/kg imetelstat once every four weeks, intravenous administration of about 7-10 mg/kg imetelstat once weekly for four weeks, intravenous administration of about 2.5-10 mg/kg imetelstat once every three weeks, or intravenous administration of about 0.5-9.4 mg/kg imetelstat once every four weeks. In certain instance, each dosage cycle comprises intravenous administration of about 7-10 mg/kg imetelstat once every four weeks. In some cases, each dosage cycle comprises intravenous administration of about 7.5 mg/kg imetelstat about once every four weeks.

[0083] In one embodiment of the invention, imetelstat is administered intravenously at a dosage of about 7-10 mg/kg imetelstat once every four weeks following premedication with an antihistamine, corticosteroid, or both. In other embodiments, imetelstat is administered intravenously at a dosage of about 7.5 mg/kg, alternatively from about 7.0 mg/kg to about 7.7 mg/kg, imetelstat once every four weeks following premedication with an antihistamine, corticosteroid, or both.

[0084] In certain embodiments, imetelstat is administered at a dosage about 7.5 mg/kg, alternatively from about 7.0 mg/kg to about 7.7 mg/kg, once every four weeks for at least three cycles and then the dosage is increased. In certain embodiments, the dosage of imetelstat may be increased to about 9.4 mg/kg, alternatively from about 8.8 mg/kg to about 9.6 mg/kg, provided ANC and platelet nadir have not dropped between about $1.5 \times 10^9/\text{L}$ and about $75 \times 10^9/\text{L}$, respectively, and there is no grade ≥ 3 non-hematological toxicity.

[0085] It will be appreciated that treatment for cancer sometimes involves multiple “rounds” or “cycles” of administration of a drug, where each cycle comprises administration of the drug one or more times according to a specified schedule (*e.g.*, every three weeks for three consecutive days; once per week; etc.). For example, anti-cancer drugs can be administered for from 1 to 8 cycles, or for a longer period. When more than one drug (*e.g.*, two drugs) is administered to a subject, each can be administered according to its own schedule (*e.g.*, weekly; once every three weeks; etc.). It will be clear that administration of drugs, even those administered with different periodicity, can be coordinated so that both drugs are administered on the same day at least some of the time or, alternatively, so the drugs are administered on consecutive days at least some of the time.

[0086] As is understood in the art, treatment with cancer therapeutic drugs can be suspended temporarily if toxicity is observed, or for the convenience of the patient, without departing from the scope of the invention, and then resumed.

[0087] In certain embodiments, the invention relates to a telomerase inhibitor for use in a method of treating myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS), the method comprising administering to a subject in need thereof an effective amount of a telomerase inhibitor; wherein the subject is naïve to treatment with an agent selected from a hypomethylating agent (HMA) and lenalidomide. In other embodiments, the invention relates to a telomerase inhibitor for use in a method of treating myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS), the method comprising administering to a subject in need thereof an effective amount of a telomerase inhibitor; wherein the subject is naïve to treatment with an agent selected from a HMA, lenalidomide, and combination thereof.

[0088] In certain embodiments, the invention relates to a telomerase inhibitor for use in a method as defined in any of the other embodiments.

H. Exemplary embodiments

[0089] Exemplary embodiments of the methods of treating MDS of the invention, which involve administering to a subject in need thereof an effective amount of a telomerase inhibitor whereby the subject is naïve to treatment with an agent selected from a hypomethylating agent (HMA) and lenalidomide are shown in Table A below.

[0090] Exemplary embodiments include using any of the telomerase inhibitors in Table A to treat any one of the types of MDS shown in Table A in any one of the subjects shown in Table A, whereby the subject is naïve to any one of the treatments shown in Table A. In certain embodiments, one of the administration regimens described in Table A is used. In other embodiments, the methods may be used to treat any one of the types of MDS shown in Table A in any one of subjects shown in Table A using imetelstat (imetelstat sodium), whereby the subject is naïve to any one of the treatments shown in Table A. When imetelstat (imetelstat sodium) is used, any of the administration regimens shown in Table A may be used.

Table A Exemplary embodiments of the invention	
Type of MDS	MDS
	Relapsed or refractory MDS
	Relapsed MDS
	Refractory MDS

	MDS relapsed/refractory to erythropoiesis-stimulating agent (ESA) (<i>e.g.</i> erythropoietin-alpha, erythropoietin-beta, darbepoetin, or combination thereof).
Subject	low or intermediate-1 IPSS risk MDS subject
	low or intermediate-1 IPSS risk MDS subject and transfusion dependent
	low or intermediate-1 IPSS risk MDS subject and transfusion dependent with a transfusion requirement of about 4 units or more during the 8 weeks prior to the administration of the telomerase inhibitor
	transfusion dependent <i>e.g.</i> transfusion dependent with a transfusion requirement of about 4 units or more during the 8 weeks prior to the administration of the telomerase inhibitor
	low or intermediate-1 IPSS risk MDS subject and non-del5q
	low or intermediate-1 IPSS risk MDS subject, non-del5q, and transfusion dependent
	low or intermediate-1 IPSS risk MDS subject, non-del5q, and transfusion dependent with a transfusion requirement of about 4 units or more during the 8 weeks prior to the administration of the telomerase inhibitor
	non-del5q
	non-del5q and transfusion dependent
	non-del5q and transfusion dependent with a transfusion requirement of about 4 units or more during the 8 weeks prior to the administration of the telomerase inhibitor
Subject naive to treatment with	Hypomethylating agent (<i>e.g.</i> decitabine or azacitidine)
	Hypomethylating agent (<i>e.g.</i> decitabine or azacitidine) and lenalidomide
	decitabine
	azacitidine
	azacitidine and decitabine
	lenalidomide
	lenalidomide and decitabine
	lenalidomide and azacitidine
	lenalidomide, azacitidine, and decitabine
Telomerase inhibitor	Any suitable inhibitor or
	imetelstat (imetelstat sodium)
Administration	Administration of telomerase inhibitor for 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 or more than 8 dosage cycles

	Administration of imetelstat (imetelstat sodium) for 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 or more than 8 dosage cycles, each cycle comprising: (a) intravenous administration of about 7-10 mg/kg imetelstat once every four weeks; (b) intravenous administration of about 7-10 mg/kg imetelstat once weekly for four weeks; (c) intravenous administration of about 2.5-10 mg/kg imetelstat once every three weeks; or (d) intravenous administration of about 0.5-9.4 mg/kg imetelstat once every four weeks.
	Administration of imetelstat (imetelstat sodium) for 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 or more than 8 dosage cycles, each cycle comprises intravenous administration of about 7-10 mg/kg of imetelstat (imetelstat sodium) once every four weeks
	Administration of imetelstat (imetelstat sodium) for 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 or more than 8 dosage cycles, each cycle comprises intravenous administration of about 7.5 mg/kg imetelstat of imetelstat (imetelstat sodium) once every four weeks
Pharmacodynamics	Reduction of hTERT RNA expression by 50% or more, such as 60% or more, 70% or more, 80% or more, or 90% or more.
	Reduction of hTERT expression by 50% or more, such as 60% or more, 70% or more, 80% or more, or 90% or more.

[0091] The following examples are offered by way of illustration and not by way of limitation.

EXAMPLES

Example 1: *Efficacy and Safety of Imetelstat in Transfusion-Dependent (TD) Patients with International Prognostic Scoring System (IPSS) Low/Intermediate-1 Risk Myelodysplastic Syndromes that are Relapsed/Refractory to Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agent (ESA) Treatment (IMerge™)*

Introduction

[0092] IMerge™: ongoing 2-part, global, study of imetelstat sodium in red blood cell (RBC) transfusion-dependent (TD) patients, ESA-relapsed/refractory, and lower risk MDS. Part 1 consists of an open-label, single-arm design with imetelstat sodium monotherapy. This example provides safety and efficacy findings from 32 patients enrolled in Part 1. A subgroup analysis of patients naive to lenalidomide and hypomethylating agent (HMA) treatment and without del(5q) is also presented. The results suggest improved efficacy among these patients.

Methods

[0093] Eligibility: The eligibility requirements for the study were as follows:

- Adults diagnosed with MDS; International Prognostic Scoring System (IPSS) Low or Int-1
- Transfusion Dependence (TD), defined as a red blood cell (RBC) transfusion requirement of ≥ 4 units over 8 weeks prior to study entry.
- ESA relapsed or refractory following at least 8 weeks of weekly epoetin alfa 40,000 U or darbepoetin alfa 150 mcg (or equivalent) or serum erythropoietin (sEPO) > 500 mU/mL
- Any prior therapy (including lenalidomide or HMAs) allowed. Patients with the del(5q) karyotype were allowed to enter irrespective of prior treatment.
- Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) score 0-2.
- Absolute neutrophil count (ANC) $\geq 1.5 \times 10^9/L$ and platelets $\geq 75 \times 10^9/L$ independent of growth factor or transfusion support.
- Liver function tests: AST, ALT and ALP ≤ 2.5 times the upper limit of normal ($\times$ ULN), total bilirubin $\leq 3 \times$ ULN and direct bilirubin $\leq 2 \times$ ULN (unless due to Gilbert's syndrome).

[0094] Treatment: Imetelstat sodium was administered as a 2-hour IV infusion every 4 weeks at a starting dose of 7.5 mg/kg, following premedication with an antihistamine and corticosteroid. Dose escalation to 9.4 mg/kg was permitted for insufficient response after at least 3 cycles at the initial dose, provided that ANC and platelet nadirs had not dropped below $1.5 \times 10^9/L$ and $75 \times 10^9/L$, respectively, and no grade ≥ 3 non-hematological toxicity. Supportive care, including transfusion and myeloid growth factors as clinically indicated, was permitted.

[0095] Endpoints and Analysis:

- Primary endpoint: rate of RBC transfusion-independence (TI) lasting ≥ 8 weeks.
- Key secondary endpoints:
 - Safety;
 - Rate of ≥ 24 -week TI;
 - Time to and duration of TI;
 - Hematologic improvement (HI) rate; and
 - Rate of complete response (CR) and partial response (PR) per International Working Group (IWG).

Results**[0096]** *Patients*

- Baseline median RBC transfusion burden, 6 units/8 weeks (range: 4–14)

[0097] The baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1 below. The following abbreviations are used in Table 1: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status Score of 0-1 (“ECOG PS 0-1”); refractory anemia with ringed sideroblasts (“RARS”); or refractory cytopenia with multi-lineage dysplasia and ringed sideroblasts (“RCMD-RS”).

Table 1: Baseline characteristics (N=32)	
Median age (range), y	68.5 (46-83)
Male, n (%)	16 (50)
ECOG PS 0-1 (%)	29 (91)
IPSS risk, n (%)	
Low	19 (59)
Intermediate-1	13(41)
Karyotype	
Normal	17 (53)
Any Abnormality	11 (34)
del(5q)	7 (22)
Unknown (missing or no growth)	4 (13)
WHO category, n (%)	
RARS/RCMD-RS	16 (50)
All others	16 (50)
sEPO >500 mU/mL, n (%)	13* (43)
Prior ESA	28 (88)
Prior lenalidomide, n (%)	12 (38)
Prior decitabine or azacitidine	8 (25)
Naive to lenalidomide and HMA and non-del (5q), n (%)	13 (41)
* Of 30 patients with sEPO levels reported	

[0098] Key hematologic criteria: ANC=1,500 and PLT=75,000. Based on the baseline RBC transfusion burden, this was a heavily transfused group of patients.

Results (first data snapshot)**[0099]** *Exposure*

- Median follow-up for this analysis: 66.1 weeks
- Median number of treatment cycles: 6.5 (range: 1–20 cycles)

- Sixteen patients (50%) had dose reductions and 19 patients (59%) had cycle delays due to adverse events
- Seven patients had imetelstat sodium dose escalation to 9.4 mg/kg

Efficacy

[0100] Table 2 below shows key efficacy outcomes.

Table 2: Key Efficacy Outcomes		
Outcomes	All treated (N=32)	Lenalidomide and HMA naïve and non-del(5q) (n=13)
Rate of ≥ 8 -week TI, n (%)	12* (38)	7 (54)
Mean relative reduction from baseline transfusion burden (%)	-64	-71
Rate of ≥ 24 -week TI, n (%)	5 (16)	4 (31)
Median time to onset of TI, weeks	8.1	8.3
Median duration of TI, weeks	23.1	42.9
Erythroid HI rate, n (%)	20 [†] (63)	9 [†] (69)
CR + mCR + PR (per IWG), n (%)	4 (13)	3 (23)
*Results are based on the October 16, 2017 data snapshot (“first data snapshot”), at which one ≥ 8 -week TI had not been fully confirmed based on communication with investigator [†] Includes patients with a transfusion reduction of ≥ 4 units during the best 8-week on-study interval, as well as those with a hemoglobin increase from pretreatment level.		

[0101] The primary endpoint of RBC TI lasting ≥ 8 -weeks was achieved in 12/32 (38%) patients.

[0102] 5/32 (16%) achieved 24-week TI (*see* FIGS 1A, 1B and FIG. 2). These patients also achieved sustained hemoglobin increases by at least 1.5 g/dL over 8 weeks (HI-E Hb) (HI-E Hb = HI-E with sustained rise in hemoglobin by at least 1.5 g/dL over 8 weeks). The patients’ duration of TI (65.1 weeks) exceeded one year.

[0103] 20/32 patients (63%) had an erythroid hematologic improvement (HI) (*see* FIG. 1A and FIG. 1B).

[0104] In the subset of patients who were naïve to lenalidomide and HMAs and who lacked del(5q), 8-week and 24-week TI rates were 54% and 31%, respectively (higher than in the overall population) and the erythroid HI rate was 69% (similar to that reported in the overall

population). Complete Response (CR) and marrow CR (mCR) were each reported for 2 patients and there were no Partial Responses (PRs), for a CR+PR+mCR rate of 13%.

[0105] One CR and both mCR were in the subset of patients who were naive to lenalidomide and HMAs and who lacked del(5q). 8-week TI did not differ based on the presence of ringed sideroblasts (RS): 38% (6/16) for RS+ and 38% (6/16) for RS-. Response appeared to be independent of sEPO level; of 30 patients with baseline sEPO level reported: 41% (7/17) with sEPO level ≤ 500 mU/L achieved ≥ 8 -week TI; and 38% (5/13) with sEPO level > 500 mU/L achieved ≥ 8 -week TI.

Safety

[0106] Cytopenias, particularly neutropenia and thrombocytopenia, were the most frequently reported adverse events overall and in the subset who were naive to lenalidomide and HMAs and lacked del(5q) (*see* Table 3 below). This subset of patients had a lower incidence of grade ≥ 3 neutropenia relative to the overall population but similar grade ≥ 3 thrombocytopenia (*see* Table 4 below). In most cases, grade ≥ 3 cytopenias were reversible within 4 weeks without clinical sequelae, and patients were able to continue imetelstat sodium treatment after dose modification.

[0107] 1 patient (of 22 with neutropenia) experienced neutropenic fever and 2 patients (of 18 with thrombocytopenia) had grade 3 thrombocytopenia concurrent with grade 1 bleeding events that were both considered related to imetelstat sodium; these events recovered without sequelae. 28 patients (88%) had liver function test (LFT) elevations by at least one grade. These events were generally grade 1 or 2 and reversible. Four patients (including 3 in the subset of patients who were naive to lenalidomide and HMAs and who lacked del[5q]) had grade 3 worsening of aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and/or alanine aminotransferase (ALT), and 1 of these patients had grade 3 worsening of bilirubin; all of which were reversible.

[0108] Table 3 shows the most common treatment emergent adverse events. Table 4 shows the maximum grade change in cytopenias from baseline.

Table 3: Most Common Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events ($\geq 10\%$ of Patients in All Treated Patients)		
	All Treated (N = 32)	Lenalidomide and HMA naive and non-del(5q) (n=13)
Patients with ≥ 1 treatment emergent adverse events ("AEs"), n (%)	31 (97)	12 (92)
Neutropenia	22 (69)	7 (54)
Thrombocytopenia	18 (56)	8 (62)
Headache	8 (25)	2 (15)
Alanine aminotransferase ("ALT") increased	6 (19)	3 (23)

Table 3: Most Common Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events ($\geq 10\%$ of Patients in All Treated Patients)

	All Treated (N = 32)	Lenalidomide and HMA naive and non-del(5q) (n=13)
Aspartate aminotransferase ("AST") increased	5 (16)	3 (23)
Leukopenia	5 (16)	2 (15)
Muscle spasms	5 (16)	2 (15)
Anemia	4 (13)	2 (15)
Asthenia	4 (13)	4 (31)
Constipation	4 (13)	2 (15)
Cough	4 (13)	1 (8)
Diarrhea	4 (13)	1 (8)
Dyspnea	4 (13)	2 (15)
Influenza like illness	4 (13)	1 (8)
Nausea	4 (13)	2 (15)
Peripheral edema	4 (13)	2 (15)
Viral URI	4 (13)	4 (31)

Table 4: Maximum Grade Change in Cytopenias From Baseline

	All Treated (N=32)	Lenalidomide and HMA naive and non-del(5q) (n=13)
Neutrophils, n (%)		
No worsening	4 (13)	3 (23)
1	3 (9)	1 (8)
2	4 (13)	2 (15)
3	8 (25)	2 (15)
4	13 (41)	5 (38)
Platelets, n (%)		
No worsening	7 (22)	3 (23)
1	2 (6)	1 (8)
2	7 (22)	2 (5)
3	10 (31)	5 (38)
4	6 (19)	2 (15)

Results in Table 4 are based on the first data snapshot.

Results (second data snapshot)

[0109] Exposure

- Median follow-up for this analysis: 95 weeks
- Median number of treatment cycles: 6.5 (range: 1–28 cycles)
- Sixteen patients (50%) had dose reductions and 19 patients (59%) had cycle delays
- Seven patients had imetelstat sodium dose escalation to 9.4 mg/kg

Efficiency

[0110] Table 5 below shows key efficiency outcomes at the second data snapshot.

Table 5: Key Efficacy Outcomes		
Parameters	All Treated (N=32)	Lenalidomide and HMA naive and non-del(5q) (n=13)
Rate of 8-week TI, n (%)	11 (34)	7 (54)
Rate of 24-week TI, n (%)	5 (16)	4 (31)
Median time to onset of TI (range), weeks	8.0 (0.1-33.1)	8.3 (0.1-33.1)
Median duration of TI (range), weeks	23.1 (8-105)	42.9 (8-105)
Rate of transfusion reduction (HI-E), n (%)	19 (59)	9 (69)
Mean relative reduction of RBC transfusion burden from baseline, %	-60	-71
CR + marrow CR + PR (per IWG), n (%)	6 (19)	4 (31)
*Results are based on the May 10, 2018 data snapshot ("second data snapshot") ‡Includes patients with a transfusion reduction of ≥ 4 units during the best 8-week on-study interval, as well as those with a hemoglobin increase from pretreatment level.		

[0111] Maximum duration of follow-up from responding patient was 115 weeks or 26 months.

[0112] Among the seven subjects who had dose escalation, one subject reached 8-week TI and three subjects reached HI-E. The median number of treatment cycles for subgroup was 8 cycles. Median duration of therapy overall and subgroup was 24 weeks and 29 weeks, respectively.

[0113] FIG. 3A shows the longest transfusion free interval at the second data snapshot. Three of the five patients at 24-week TI are still on treatment. The data shown in FIG. 3A are summarized in Table 6 below:

Table 6: Longest Transfusion-Free Interval	
Parameters	All Treated (N=32)
Rate of 8-week TI, n (%)	11 (34)
Rate of 24-week TI, n (%)	5 (16)
Median time to onset of TI (range), weeks	8.0 (0.1-33.1)
Median duration of TI (range), weeks	23.1 (8-105)

[0114] FIG. 3B shows the absolute change in transfusion amount in the best 8-week interval. One patient with transfusion burden of 10 went down to 0. Patients not achieving TI (HI-E (TR)) had some fairly meaningful reductions in transfusion burden. The data shown in FIG. 3B are summarized in Table 7 below:

Table 7: Absolute Change in Transfusion Amount in the Best 8-Week Interval	
Parameters	All Treated (N=32)
Rate of transfusion reduction (HI-E), n (%)	19 (59)
Mean relative reduction of RBC transfusion burden from baseline, %	-60

[0115] FIG. 4 shows the efficacy results in EPO and RS Subgroups at the second data snapshot. Similar efficacy was observed across these subgroups. FIG. 5 shows hematology and imetelstat sodium administration by patients over time at the second data snapshot. FIG. 6 shows the hemoglobin and imetelstat sodium dosing among patients with durable TI. The top three patients in FIG. 6 are still receiving treatment. The top two subjects in FIG. 6 have the longest follow-up.

Safety

[0116] Safety findings for those who were lenalidomide/HMA-naïve/ non-del(5q) were similar to the overall study population.

[0117] Table 8 shows the most common treatment emergent adverse events at the second data snapshot. Table 9 shows the occurrence and reversibility of Grade 3/4 Cytopenias. Table 10 shows the maximum post-baseline Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) grade, worsened since baselines for cytopenia by population and safety analysis set at the second data snapshot.

Table 8: Most Common Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events ($\geq 10\%$ of Patients in All Treated Patients)		
	All Treated (N = 32)	Lenalidomide and HMA naïve and non-del(5q) (n=13)
Patients with ≥ 1 treatment emergent adverse events ("AEs"), n (%)	31 (97)	12 (92)
Neutropenia	23 (72)	7 (54)
Thrombocytopenia	18 (56)	8 (62)
Headache	8 (25)	2 (15)
Alanine aminotransferase ("ALT") increased	6 (19)	3 (23)
Aspartate aminotransferase ("AST") increased	5 (16)	3 (23)

Table 8: Most Common Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events ($\geq 10\%$ of Patients in All Treated Patients)

	All Treated (N = 32)	Lenalidomide and HMA naïve and non-del(5q) (n=13)
Leukopenia	5 (16)	2 (15)
Muscle spasms	5 (16)	2 (15)
Diarrhea	5 (16)	2 (15)
Anemia	4 (13)	2 (15)
Asthenia	4 (13)	4 (31)
Back pain	4 (13)	2 (15)
Constipation	4 (13)	2 (15)
Cough	4 (13)	1 (8)
Dyspnea	4 (13)	2 (15)
Influenza like illness	4 (13)	1 (8)
Nausea	4 (13)	2 (15)
Peripheral edema	4 (13)	2 (15)
Viral URI	4 (13)	4 (31)

Table 9: Occurrence and Reversibility of Grade 3/4 Cytopenias

	All Treated (N=32)	Lenalidomide and HMA naïve and Non-del(5q) (n=13)
Neutrophils, n (%)		
Grade 3	8 (25)	2 (15)
Recovered < 4 weeks	4 (50)	1 (50)
Grade 4	13 (41)	5 (38)
Recovered < 4 weeks	12 (92)	5 (100)
Platelets, n (%)		
Grade 3	10 (31)	5 (38)
Recovered < 4 weeks	9 (90)	5 (100)
Grade 4	8 (25)	3 (23)
Recovered < 4 weeks	6 (75)	3 (100)

[0118] Eleven patients received G-CSF during the study for treatment of an adverse event or ongoing medical history (n=10) or as prophylaxis (n=1).

Table 10: Maximum Post-baseline CTCAE Grade, Worsened Since Baseline for Cytopenia by Population; Safety Analysis Set

	All Subjects	Target Population	Other Subjects
Analysis set: safety	32	13	19
Neutrophils (x10E9/L)			
No worsening	4 (12.5%)	3 (23.1%)	1 (5.3%)
1	3 (9.4%)	1 (7.7%)	2 (10.5%)
2	4 (12.5%)	2 (15.4%)	2 (10.5%)

Table 10: Maximum Post-baseline CTCAE Grade, Worsened Since Baseline for Cytopenia by Population; Safety Analysis Set

	All Subjects	Target Population	Other Subjects
3	8 (25.0%)	2 (15.4%)	6 (31.6%)
4	13 (40.6%)	5 (38.5%)	8 (42.1%)
Platelets (x10E9/L)			
No worsening	5 (15.6%)	2 (15.4%)	3 (15.8%)
1	2 (6.3%)	1 (7.7%)	1 (5.3%)
2	7 (21.9%)	2 (15.4%)	5 (26.3%)
3	10 (31.3%)	5 (38.5%)	5 (26.3%)
4	8 (25.0%)	3 (23.1%)	5 (26.3%)

Note: Worsened defined as CTCAE grade elevated after baseline. The grade 1-4 summaries categorize subjects according to the maximum grade lab among those labs that have worsened since baseline.

Note the target population includes the subjects who had neither prior HMA nor Len use and no del(5q) at baseline.

Data as per snapshot on May 10, 2018 ("second data snapshot")

Observations (based on both data snapshots)

[0119] The safety and efficacy data for the 32 patients in Part 1 of the study support continued investigation of imetelstat sodium using the current dosing regimen of 7.5 mg/kg every 4 weeks.

[0120] At the first data snapshot, 8-week RBC TI was demonstrated in 38% and erythroid HI in 63% of IPSS Low/Int-1 RBC transfusion dependent MDS patients relapsed/refractory to ESA. Durable 24-week TI, with sustained rises in Hb, was observed in 16% of patients.

[0121] At the first data snapshot, 54% RBC TI was observed in the 13 patients without del(5q) and without prior exposure to either lenalidomide or HMA (compared to 38% in overall population), and responses were more durable (24-week TI rate of 31%).

[0122] Overall, 8-week TI observed in 34% of all patients, with a 24-week TI rate of 16%. The median time to TI was 8.0 weeks. The median duration of TI was 23.1 weeks.

[0123] For those patients who were lenalidomide/HMA-naïve and non-del(5q), the 8-week and 24-week TI rates were 54% and 31%, respectively. For these patients, the median duration of TI was 42.9 weeks.

[0124] Overall, TR (HI-E) was observed in 59% of all patients. The mean relative reduction of RBC transfusion burden from baseline was 60%.

[0125] These results support further study of imetelstat sodium (7.5 mg/kg /4 weeks) in IPSS Low/Int-1, TD, ESA-relapsed/refractory MDS. In RBC TD patients with LR-MDS (median: 6 U/8 weeks), imetelstat sodium treatment resulted in erythroid improvement in a majority of patients.

[0126] This study was repeated for the target population of 13 subjects with non-del(5q) MDS and without prior exposure to either an HMA or lenalidomide. In this target population, 53.8% achieved the primary endpoint of 8-week RBC TI, compared with 21.1% of other subjects not in the target population. The responses were more durable in the target population than in other subjects (median duration, 42.9 vs 13.9 weeks) and more subjects in the target population achieved 24-week RBC TI (30.8% vs 5.3%). The target population exhibited a comparable or better safety profile for cytopenias and other adverse events, and cytopenias appeared to resolve faster in the target population.

Example 2: Results at Third Data Snapshot

[0127] A third data snapshot was performed, with a clinical cutoff of October 26, 2018. An additional 25 lenalidomide and HMA naïve patients without del(5q) were enrolled and updated results were compiled for this group in combination with the initial 13 lenalidomide and HMA naïve patients without del(5q) described above. N=38 patients in total.

[0128] Median follow-up for the initial 13 patients was 29.1 months. Median follow-up for the additional 25 patients was 8.7 months.

[0129] Median number of treatment cycles: 8.0 (range: 1–34) cycles. Mean dose intensity was 6.9 mg/kg/cycle.

[0130] Table 11: IMerge: Key Efficacy Outcomes

Parameters	N=38
Rate of 8-week TI, n (%)	14 (37)
Rate of 24-week TI, n (%)	10 (26)
Median time to onset of TI (range), weeks	8.1 (0.1-33.1)
Median duration of TI (range), weeks	NE (17.0-NE)
Rate of transfusion reduction (HI-E), n (%)	27 (71)

Mean relative reduction of RBC transfusion burden from baseline, %	-68
CR + marrow CR + PR (per IWG), n (%)	8 (21)

[0131] CR, complete remission; HI-E, hematologic improvement-erythroid; IWG, International Working Group; NE, not estimable; PR, partial remission; RBC, red blood cell; TI, transfusion independence.

Example 3: Results at Fourth Data Snapshot

[0132] A fourth data snapshot was performed, with a clinical cutoff of January 23, 2019 to report updated efficacy data with a median follow-up of 12.1 months in 38 LR non-del(5q) MDS patients, relapsed/refractory to ESA and naïve to lenalidomide/HMA from the open-label, single-arm Part 1 of the IMerge™ study.

[0133] Methods

[0134] Part 1 of the IMerge™ study included patients with low or intermediate-1 IPSS risk MDS (LR-MDS), who were heavily transfused (≥ 4 U/8 weeks), were relapsed/refractory to ESA or had sEPO >500 mU/mL. Imetelstat 7.5 mg/kg was administered IV every 4 weeks. The primary endpoint was 8-week Transfusion Independence (TI) rate; key secondary endpoints included 24-week TI rate, safety, duration of TI, and hematologic improvement (HI) rate. Among the initially enrolled patients, higher 8-week TI rate was observed in the non-del5q, lenalidomide/HMA naïve patients. Therefore, the study was amended to subsequently enroll only these patients. From a total of 57 patients enrolled in Part 1, 38 were non-del(5q), lenalidomide/HMA naïve patients (13 in the initial and 25 in the expansion cohort). Long-term efficacy, safety and biomarker data from these 38 patients is reported.

[0135] Results

[0136] Median prior RBC transfusion burden was 8 Units/8 weeks (range 4-14), 37% of the patients had IPSS Int-1; 71% had WHO 2008 RARS (refractory anemia with ringed sideroblasts) or RCMD-RS (refractory cytopenia with multilineage dysplasia and ringed sideroblasts) subtype and 32% with evaluable sEPO (serum erythropoietin) levels had baseline level >500 mU/mL.

[0137] As of January 23, 2019, median follow-up was 12.1 months; 30.4 for the initial 13 patients and 11.6 months for the additional 25 patients, respectively. The 8-week TI rate was 45%

(17/38) and median TI duration was 8.5 months (range 1.8-32.4). Of the 17 responding patients, 10 (59%) were transfusion free for over 6-months. The 8-week TI rate did not differ based on the presence of ring sideroblasts or baseline sEPO levels. The 24-week TI rate was 26% (10/38), with median duration of 10.5 months (range 8.3-32.4). 68% (26/38) achieved erythroid hematologic improvement (HI) defined as $\geq 50\%$ reduction in transfusion burden for at least 8 weeks. The most frequently reported adverse events were manageable and reversible grade ≥ 3 cytopenias.

Summary

[0138] In high RBC transfusion burden patients with non-del(5q) LR-MDS relapsed/refractory to ESA and naive to lenalidomide/HMA, single-agent imetelstat yielded 8-week TI rate of 45%, with a median duration of 8.5 months (range 1.8-32.4). The 24-week TI rate was 26% with median duration of 10.5 months (range 8.3-32.4 months). All patients with IPSS-R intermediate and poor cytogenetic risk responded. Biomarker analyses of telomerase activity and mutation allele burden indicate an effect on the malignant mutant clone, as described below.

Example 4: Biomarker Data

[0139] Various biomarkers were monitored and evaluated during the course of the IMerge™ study, including telomerase hTERT RNA levels, telomerase activity (TA), cytogenetic data and mutation data. hTERT RNA expression levels were measured from whole blood samples collected from patients pre- and post-treatment. The results are summarized below.

[0140] Greenberg *et al.* (“Revised International Prognostic Scoring System for Myelodysplastic Syndromes”, Blood. 2012 Sep 20; 120(12): 2454–2465), the disclosure of which is herein incorporated by reference in its entirety, describes the Revised International Prognostic Scoring System (IPSS-R) for assessing prognosis of primary untreated adult patients with MDS. Table 2 of Greenberg *et al.* sets forth an MDS Cytogenetic Scoring System, which is reproduced below as Table 12 and was utilized to classify patients of the IMerge™ study.

[0141] Table 12: MDS Cytogenetic Scoring System of Greenberg *et al.*

Prognostic subgroups, % of patients	Cytogenetic abnormalities	Median survival,* years	Median AML evolution, 25%,*	Hazard ratios OS/AML*	Hazard ratios OS/AML†

			years		
Very good (4%*/3%†)	–Y, del(11q)	5.4	NR	0.7/0.4	0.5/0.5
Good (72%*/66%†)	Normal, del(5q), del(12p), del(20q), double including del(5q)	4.8	9.4	1/1	1/1
Intermediate (13%*/19%†)	del(7q), +8, +19, i(17q), any other single or double independent clones	2.7	2.5	1.5/1.8	1.6/2.2
Poor (4%*/5%†)	–7, inv(3)/t(3q)/del(3q), double including –7/del(7q), complex: 3 abnormalities	1.5	1.7	2.3/2.3	2.6/3.4
Very poor (7%*/7%†)	Complex: > 3 abnormalities	0.7	0.7	3.8/3.6	4.2/4.9

OS indicates overall survival; and NR, not reached.

*Data from patients in this International Working Group for Prognosis in MDS (IWG-PM) database, multivariate analysis (n = 7012).

†Data (n = 2754) from Schanz *et al.* (“New comprehensive cytogenetic scoring system for primary myelodysplastic syndromes and oligoblastic AML following MDS derived from an international database merge.” J. Clin. Oncol. 2012; 30(8): 820–829).

[0142] *PD Effect: Decrease in Telomerase hTERT RNA Level*

[0143] Table 13: hTERT RNA Expression Analysis (hTERT) at third data snapshot

Subjects with hTERT RNA expression decreases from baseline			
	N with data	hTERT ≥ 50% decrease	Any Decrease in hTERT
Baseline	35		
Cycle 1 Day 1 - 24 hr	30	9 (30.0%)	18 (60.0%)
Cycle 1 Day 8	29	10 (34.5%)	15 (51.7%)
Cycle 2 Day 8	30	10 (33.3%)	18 (60.0%)
Any timepoint during cycle 1-2 (C1-C2)	34	18 (52.9%)	25 (73.5%)

[0144] At the fourth data snapshot, post treatment decrease in telomerase hTERT RNA level was observed in 25/34 (73.5%) patients with available sample. See also Figure 7.

[0145] Association Between hTERT Reduction and Response

[0146] A higher % of subjects achieved $\geq 50\%$ decrease in hTERT RNA expression levels in 8-week transfusion independence (TI) responders than in non-responders.

[0147] Table 14: hTERT Reduction at least 50% from baseline by TI response at third data snapshot.

8-wk TI Response	Part 1 target population	Part 1 expansion	Total
Yes	3 / 7 (42.9%)	6 / 7 (85.7%)	9 / 14 (64.3%)
No	1 / 6 (16.7%)	8 / 18 (44.4%)	9 / 24 (37.5%)

[0148] PD Effect: Telomerase Activity (TA)

[0149] TA decreased post-treatment in some patients.

[0150] Table 15: Subjects with telomerase activity (TA) decreases from baseline at third data snapshot.

	N with data	TA $\geq 50\%$ decrease	TA $\geq 30\%$ decrease
Baseline	16		
Cycle 1 Day 1 - 24 hr	5	1 (20%)	3 (60%)
Cycle 1 Day 8	6	2 (33%)	3 (50%)

[0151] Change in Mutation Variant Frequency

[0152] At third data snapshot, 6 subjects had SF3B1 mutations at baseline, reduction of variant frequency was observed in 2 subjects, which had the longest TI duration. One of the subjects had reduction in DNMT3A mutation, and substantial reduction in bone marrow ringed sideroblasts (75% to 3%).

[0153] At fourth data snapshot, among seven patients with pre- and post-treatment mutation analyses, six had SF3B1 mutations at baseline, and decrease in the mutation variant allele frequency was observed in 2 patients that had longest TI duration on study.

[0154] Cytogenetic Risk

[0155] Patients were classified according to the revised International Prognostic Scoring System (IPSS-R) as shown in Table 12.

[0156] At the fourth data snapshot, 6 out of 38 patients had IPSS-R intermediate/poor cytogenetic risk and 5 out of 6 patents achieved 8-week TI; 2/6 patients achieved partial cytogenetic response.

[0157] Summary

[0158] Decrease in telomerase activity/hTERT RNA level post treatment was observed in a subset of subjects indicating PD effect of imetelstat. Subjects that achieved >50% reduction in hTERT were enriched in 8-wk TI responders compared to non-responders

[0159] Majority of subjects are in good or intermediate cytogenetic risk categories, have observed 8-wk Transfusion Independence (TI) response. At the third data snapshot, two subjects with poor cytogenic risk did not have a TI response. However, by the fourth data snapshot, of the 6 out of 38 patients who had IPSS-R intermediate/poor cytogenetic risk, 5 out of 6 patents achieved 8-week TI; 2/6 patients achieved partial cytogenetic response.

[0160] Among 7 subjects with pre- and post-treatment mutation samples, six had SF3B1 mutations at baseline, and change in the mutation variant frequency observed in patients with the longest TI duration.

Example 5: Treatment with Imetelstat of Heavily Transfused Non-del(5q) Lower Risk Myelodysplastic Syndromes Patients that are Relapsed/Refractory to Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agents (ESA)

[0161] This example provides safety and efficacy findings from 38 patients enrolled in IMerge™, the study directive being described in greater detail above. The results provided by this example demonstrate that treatment with imetelstat results in meaningful and durable transfusion independence in this patient group.

Methods

[0162] **Eligibility:** The eligibility requirements for the study were as follows:

- Adults diagnosed with MDS; International Prognostic Scoring System (IPSS) Low or Int-1
- Transfusion Dependence (TD), defined as a red blood cell (RBC) transfusion requirement of ≥ 4 units over 8 weeks prior to study entry.
- ESA relapsed or refractory to ESA or serum erythropoietin (sEPO) >500 mU/mL
- Patients were non-del(5q) and lenalidomide or HMAs naive.
- Patient age range from 46-83, with median of 71.5
- Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) score PS 0-1.

[0163] Treatment: Imetelstat sodium was administered as a 2-hour IV infusion every 4 weeks at a starting dose of 7.5 mg/kg, following premedication with an antihistamine and corticosteroid. Dose escalation to 9.4 mg/kg was permitted for insufficient response after at least 3 cycles at the initial dose, provided that ANC and platelet nadirs had not dropped below $1.5 \times 10^9/L$ and $75 \times 10^9/L$, respectively, and no grade ≥ 3 non-hematological toxicity. Supportive care, including transfusion and myeloid growth factors as clinically indicated, was permitted.

Results

[0164] Patients

- Baseline median RBC transfusion burden, 8 units/8 weeks (range: 4–14)

Table 15A: Treatment Exposure Parameters (N=38)	
Median Follow-up, months (range)	15.7 (5.6-37.5)
Initial cohort, (n=13)	33.7 (5.6-37.5)
Expansion cohort (n=25)	14.3 (10.9-16.5)
Median treatment duration, months (range)	8.5 (0.02-37.5)
Median treatment cycles,(range)	9 (1-39)
Median dose intensity, %	95.2

[0165] The baseline characteristics are shown in Table 16 below. The following abbreviations are used in Table 16: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status Score of 0-1 (“ECOG PS 0-1”); refractory anemia with ringed sideroblasts (“RARS”); or refractory cytopenia with multi-lineage dysplasia and ringed sideroblasts (“RCMD-RS”); RAEB-1: refractory anemia with excess blasts.

Table 16: Baseline characteristics (N=38)	
Median age (range), years	71.5 (46-83)
Male, n (%)	25 (66)
ECOG PS 0-1 (%)	34 (89)
IPSS risk, n (%)	
Low	24 (63)
Intermediate-1	14 (37)
RBC transfusion burden, units/8 weeks, median (range)	8 (4-14)
> 4 units/8 weeks at baseline, n (%)	35 (92)
WHO category, n (%)	
RARS/RCMD-RS	27 (71)
RA, RCMD or RAEB-1	11 (29)
sEPO >500 mU/mL, n (%)*	12 (32)
Prior ESA use, n (%)	34 (89)
*from 37 patients with baseline sEPO levels	

Results

[0166] *Exposure*

- Median follow-up for this analysis: 15.7 months
- Median number of treatment cycles: 9 (range: 1–39 cycles)

[0167] *Efficacy*

[0168] Table 17 below shows key efficacy outcomes.

Table 17: Key Efficacy Outcomes	
Outcomes	All treated (N=38)
Rate of ≥ 8 -week transfusion independence (TI), n (%)	16* (42)
Time to onset, weeks, median (range)	8.3 (0.1-40.7)
Duration of transfusion independence (TI), weeks, median (range)	85.9 (8.0-140.9)
Rate of ≥ 24 -week transfusion independence (TI), n (%)	11 (29)
Erythroid HI rate, n (%)	26 (68)
≥ 1.5 g/dL increase in Hgb lasting ≥ 8 weeks	12 (32)
Transfusion reduction by ≥ 4 unit/8 weeks	26 (68)
CR + mCR + PR (per IWG), n (%)	9 (24)
CR	5 (13)
marrow CR	4 (10)
PR	0

Table 17: Key Efficacy Outcomes

*additional 2 patients with 8-week transfusion independence (TI); additional 1 patient with 24-week transfusion independence (TI); additional 2 patients with CR

[0169] The primary endpoint of RBC TI lasting ≥ 8 -weeks was achieved across different subgroups as shown in Table 18:

Table 18: 8-week transfusion independence (TI) across different subgroups		
	N	8-week TI
All Subjects (%)	38	16 (42.1)
WHO 2008 category		
RARS / RCMD-RS	27	12 (44.4)
Other	11	4 (36.4)
RBC transfusion burden		
4-6 units	17	8 (47.1)
> 6 units	21	8 (38.1)
IPSS risk status		
Intermediate-1	14	11 (78.6)
Low	24	5 (20.8)
Serum erythropoietin level		
≤ 500 mU/mL	25	12 (48)
> 500 mU/mL	12	4 (33.3)

[0170] Among 34 patients with baseline cytogenetic data:

- 6/34 (18%) had intermediate or poor cytogenetic risk (see Table 19)
 - 5/6 (83%) achieved 8-week transfusion independence (TI) and all had a ringed-sideroblast WHO subtype
 - 3/3 with trisomy 8 achieved 8-week transfusion independence (TI) and 2/3 achieved 24-week transfusion independence (TI)
- 2/3 patients with available post-treatment cytogenetic data achieved partial cytogenetic response

Table 19: Activity in Patients with Intermediate or Poor Cytogenetic Risk						
Subject	Karyotype	24 wk*	48 wk*	24-wk TI	48-wk TI	WHO Class
A	47,XX,+8 [9] (45%)	47,XX,+8 [1] (5%)		X	X	RCMD-RS
B	47,XY,+8 [20] (100%)	47,XY,+8 [5] (25%)	47,XX,+ 8 [1] (5%)	X	X	RCMD-RS
C	47,XX,+8 [20] (100%)			X		RARS
D	46,XY,DEL(7) (Q22) [5] (25%)			X		RCMD-RS
E	46,XX,Dup/Tri/ Qtp(9)(P13P2 4) [20] (100%)	46,XX,Du p/Tri/Qtp(9)(P13P24) [19] (95%)	46,XX, Dup/Tri/ Qtp(9)(P13P24) [19] (95%)	X		RCMD-RS
F	46,XY,T(3;3)(Q21;Q26.2) (100%)					RA
*post imetelstat TI: transfusion independence						

[0171] *Impact on Malignant Clone with Imetelstat*

[0172] 2/6 patients with baseline SF3B1 mutations had reduction in variant allele frequency and maintained transfusion independence (TI) lasting over a year (Table 20)

Table 20: Impact on Malignant Clone with Imetelstat	
SF3B1 mutated subject ID	TI duration in SF3B1 patients (weeks)
G	48.7
H	3.6
I	52
A	32
B	56.7 *
J	62.9 *
*confirmed partial cytogenetic response (100% to 5% abnormal karyotype)	

Table 20: Impact on Malignant Clone with Imetelstat

TI: transfusion independence

[0173] Of the 16 patients who achieved 8-week transfusion independence (TI):

- Median duration of transfusion independence (TI) is 86 weeks (range: 8-141 weeks)
- 11/16 (69%) achieved 24-week transfusion independence (TI) rate
- 12/16 (75%) had a Hgb rise ≥ 3 g/dL from the pretreatment level
- Mean relative reduction of RBC transfusion burden from baseline was 68%

Safety

[0174] Adverse effects included thrombocytopenia, neutropenia and anemia (Table 21)

Table 21: Hematologic Adverse Events

	All Grades N = 38 (n,%)	$\geq$ Grade 3 N=38 (n,%)
Neutropenia	22 (58)	21 (55)
Thrombocytopenia	25 (66)	23 (61)
Anemia	10 (26)	8 (21)
Alanine aminotransferase ("ALT") increased	7 (18)	2 (5)
Aspartate aminotransferase ("AST") increased	6 (16)	3 (8)
Back pain*	7 (18)	0
Bronchitis	6 (16)	3 (8)
Other AEs ⁺	6 (16)	0
Headache	6 (16)	1 (3)

* In 3/7 (43%) patients back pain was an AE associated with infusion related reaction
 + nasopharyngitis, diarrhea, constipation, edema, peripheral and asthenia occurred in 6/38 (16%) patients with no Grade ≥ 3 events

Table 22: Reversible Grade $\frac{3}{4}$ Cytopenias

Laboratory Value	Grade 3/4 cytopenia (n, %)
Neutrophils, n (%)	22/38 (58)
Platelets, n (%)	24/38 (63)

[0175] For neutrophils, 91% resolved within 4 weeks while 9% did not resolve within 4 weeks. For platelets, 92% resolved within 4 weeks while 8% did not resolve within 4 weeks. 2/38 patients (5%) had febrile neutropenia. 4/38 patients (10%) had bleeding events, 2/38(5%) were Grade $\frac{3}{4}$.

[0176] On target activity was demonstrated by the reduction in telomerase activity and hTERT expression (Table 23). The results show that patients with transfusion independence (TI) have higher reduction in hTERT expression (Table 24)

Table 23		
Biomarker reduction from baseline	TA	hTERT
Any reduction	6/12 (50%)	26/35 (74%)
at least 50% reduction from baseline*	3/12 (25%)	18/35 (51%)

* 50% reduction is the PD effect shown in the correlation with in vivo anti-tumor activity from preclinical xenograft models

Table 24: Patients with transfusion independence (TI) have higher reduction in hTERT expression				
hTERT reduction from baseline	8-wk TI Response		24-wk TI Response	
	Yes	No	Yes	No
Any reduction	12/15 (80%)	14/20 (70%)	10/11 (91%)	16/24 (67%)
At least 50% reduction from baseline*	11/15 (73%)	7/20 (35%)	9/11 (82%)	9/24 (38%)

* 50% reduction is the PD effect shown in the correlation with in vivo anti-tumor activity from preclinical xenograft models

[0177] Overall, 8-week TI observed in 42% of all patients, with a 24-week TI rate of 29%. The median duration of transfusion independence (TI) was 20 months. Overall, TR (HI-E) was observed in 68% of all patients.

[0178] Transfusion independence was observed across different clinical subgroups, including patients with intermediate/poor cytogenetic risk. The biomarker data suggests potential effect on the malignant clone and disease modification.

[0179] Although the particular embodiments have been described in some detail by way of illustration and example for purposes of clarity of understanding, it is readily apparent in light of the teachings of this invention that certain changes and modifications may be made thereto without departing from the spirit or scope of the appended claims.

[0180] Accordingly, the preceding merely illustrates the principles of the invention. Various arrangements may be devised which, although not explicitly described or shown herein, embody the principles of the invention and are included within its spirit and scope. Furthermore, all examples and conditional language recited herein are principally intended to aid the reader in understanding the principles of the invention and the concepts contributed by the inventors to furthering the art, and are to be construed as being without limitation to such specifically recited examples and conditions. Moreover, all statements herein reciting principles, aspects, and embodiments of the invention as well as specific examples thereof, are intended to encompass both structural and functional equivalents thereof. Additionally, it is intended that such equivalents include both currently known equivalents and equivalents developed in the future, *i.e.*, any elements developed that perform the same function, regardless of structure. The scope of the present invention, therefore, is not intended to be limited to the exemplary embodiments shown and described herein. Rather, the scope and spirit of present invention is embodied by the appended claims.

CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A method of identifying a subject with myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) for treatment with a telomerase inhibitor, the method comprising:
 - measuring hTERT expression level in a biological sample obtained from the subject after administration of a telomerase inhibitor; and
 - comparing the hTERT expression level in the biological sample to a baseline hTERT expression level prior to administration of the telomerase inhibitor;
 - wherein a reduction in hTERT expression level in the biological sample identifies a subject who has an increased likelihood of benefiting from treatment with the telomerase inhibitor.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the reduction in hTERT expression level is 50% or more.
3. The method of any one of claims 1-2, wherein the subject is diagnosed as having trisomy 8.
4. The method of claim 3, wherein the subject is diagnosed as having trisomy 8 with mosaicism.
5. The method of any one of claims 3-4, further comprising diagnosing the subject with trisomy 8.
6. A method of treating myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS), the method comprising:
 - administering to a subject in need thereof an effective amount of a telomerase inhibitor;
 - and
 - assessing hTERT expression level in a biological sample obtained from the subject after administration of the telomerase inhibitor.

7. The method of claim 6, wherein the hTERT expression level is reduced by 50% or more relative to a baseline hTERT expression level prior to administration of the telomerase inhibitor.
8. The method of claim 3 or 4, further comprising altering the dosage of the telomerase inhibitor, the frequency of dosing, or the course of therapy administered to the subject.
9. The method of any one of claims 6-8, wherein the subject is diagnosed as having trisomy 8.
10. The method of claim 9, wherein the subject is diagnosed as having trisomy 8 with mosaicism.
11. The method of any one of claims 9-10, further comprising diagnosing the subject with trisomy 8.
12. A method of monitoring therapeutic efficacy in a subject with myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS), the method comprising:
 - measuring hTERT expression level in a biological sample obtained from the subject after administration of a telomerase inhibitor; and
 - comparing the hTERT expression level in the biological sample to a baseline hTERT expression level prior to administration of the telomerase inhibitor;
 - wherein a 50% or greater reduction in hTERT expression level in the biological sample identifies a subject who has an increased likelihood of benefiting from treatment with the telomerase inhibitor.
13. The method of any one of claims 1-12, wherein the hTERT expression level measured or assessed is hTERT RNA expression level.
14. The method of any one of claims 1-12, wherein the hTERT expression level measured or assessed is hTERT protein expression level.

15. The method of any one of claims 1-14, wherein the subject is naive to treatment with an agent selected from a hypomethylating agent (HMA), lenalidomide, and combination thereof.
16. The method of any one of claims 1-15, wherein the MDS is relapsed or refractory MDS.
17. The method of any one of claims 1-16, wherein the MDS is MDS relapsed/refractory to erythropoiesis-stimulating agent (ESA).
18. The method of any one of claims 1-17, wherein the subject is classified as a low or intermediate-1 IPSS risk MDS subject.
19. The method of any one of claims 1-18, wherein the subject is transfusion dependent.
20. The method of claim 19, wherein the transfusion dependent subject has a transfusion requirement of about 4 units or more during the 8 weeks prior to the administration of the telomerase inhibitor.
21. The method of any one of claims 1-20, wherein the subject is a non-del5q human patient.
22. The method of any one of claims 1-21, wherein the subject is naive to treatment with lenalidomide.
23. The method of any one of claims 1-21, wherein the subject is naive to treatment with HMA selected from decitabine and azacitidine.
24. The method of any one of claims 1-23, wherein the telomerase inhibitor is imetelstat.
25. The method of claim 24, wherein the imetelstat is imetelstat sodium.
26. The method of claim 24, wherein the telomerase inhibitor is imetelstat and is administered for 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 or more than 8 dosage cycles, each cycle comprising:

- (a) intravenous administration of about 7-10 mg/kg imetelstat once every four weeks;
- (b) intravenous administration of about 7-10 mg/kg imetelstat once weekly for four weeks;
- (c) intravenous administration of about 2.5-10 mg/kg imetelstat once every three weeks; or
- (d) intravenous administration of about 0.5-9.4 mg/kg imetelstat once every four weeks.

27. The method of claim 26, wherein each dosage cycle comprises intravenous administration of about 7-10 mg/kg imetelstat once every four weeks.

28. The method of claim 1, wherein the MDS is relapsed or refractory MDS and wherein the subject is classified as a low or intermediate-1 IPSS risk MDS subject.

29. The method of claim 28, wherein the subject is transfusion dependent.

30. The method of claim 28, wherein the subject is a non-del5q human patient.

31. The method of any one of claims 1-30, wherein the subject is naive to treatment with an agent selected from a hypomethylating agent (HMA) and lenalidomide.

32. The method of any one of claims 12-31, wherein the subject is diagnosed as having trisomy 8.

33. The method of claim 32, wherein the subject is diagnosed as having trisomy 8 with mosaicism.

34. The method of any one of claims 32-33, further comprising diagnosing the subject with trisomy 8.

35. A method of identifying a subject with myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) for treatment with a telomerase inhibitor, the method comprising: diagnosing the subject with trisomy 8.

36. A method of treating myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS), the method comprising:
administering to a subject in need thereof an effective amount of a telomerase inhibitor,
wherein the subject is diagnosed as having trisomy 8.
37. Use of a telomerase inhibitor in the treatment of a subject with myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS), wherein a biological sample obtained from the subject is determined to have a reduction in hTERT expression level after administration of the telomerase inhibitor as compared to a baseline hTERT expression level in a biological sample obtained from the subject prior to administration of the telomerase inhibitor.
38. Use of a telomerase inhibitor in the treatment of a subject with myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS), wherein the subject is diagnosed as having trisomy 8.




FIG. 1A




FIG. 1B




FIG. 2




FIG. 3A




FIG. 3B




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 19/63372

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC - C12Q 1/48, B82Y 5/00, A61K 31/454, A61K 47/54 (2020.01)

CPC - C12Q 1/6883, A61K 31/454, A61K 31/706, G01N 33/573, C12Q 2600/158, G01N 2333/9128, G01N 2800/22, C12N 2320/30, A61K 47/543, C12N 15/1135, A61K 47/48092

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

See Search History document

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

See Search History document

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

See Search History document

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 2015/0005250 A1 (H. LEE MOFFITT CANCER CENTER AND RESEARCH INSTITUTE, INC.) 01 January 2015 (01.01.2015) Abstract; Claim 1; Claim 10; Claim 12; Claim 13; Claim 15; para [0005]; para [0018]; para [0145]	1-4, 6-7, 12, 28-30, 37
Y	US 2014/0163090 A1 (GERON CORPORATION) 12 June 2014 (12.06.2014) Abstract; Claim 9; para [0002]; para [0085]; para [0100]; para [0144]; para [0156]; para [0182]; para [0191]	1-4, 6-7, 12, 28-30, 35-38
Y	US 2012/0322072 A1 (NYGREN) 20 December 2012 (20.12.2012) Abstract; para [0236-0237]	3-4, 35-36, 38

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"D" document cited by the applicant in the international application

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

22 February 2020

Date of mailing of the international search report

09 MAR 2020

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US, Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. 571-273-8300

Authorized officer

Lee Young

Telephone No. PCT Helpdesk: 571-272-4300

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 19/63372

Box No. I Nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence(s) (Continuation of item 1.c of the first sheet)

1. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of a sequence listing:

- a. ☐ forming part of the international application as filed:
 - ☐ in the form of an Annex C/ST.25 text file.
 - ☐ on paper or in the form of an image file.
- b. ☐ furnished together with the international application under PCT Rule 13ter.1(a) for the purposes of international search, only in the form of an Annex C/ST.25 text file.
- c. ☐ furnished subsequent to the international filing date for the purposes of international search only:
 - ☐ in the form of an Annex C/ST.25 text file (Rule 13ter.1(a)).
 - ☐ on paper or in the form of an image file (Rule 13ter.1(b) and Administrative Instructions, Section 713).

2. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that forming part of the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

3. Additional comments:

The electronic sequence listing submitted on 08 January 2020 in response to the PCT/ISA/225 issued on 10 December 2019 is acknowledged; however, no required late furnishing fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.445(a)(5) was provided. Therefore, the international search was not carried out on the basis of that non-compliant electronic sequence listing.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 19/63372

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. ☒ Claims Nos.: 5, 8-11, 13-27, 31-34
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying additional fees, this Authority did not invite payment of additional fees.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest fee.
- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.
- ☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.