Abstract:
The invention relates to a recombinant vaccine providing protective immunity especially against tuberculosis in human subjects.
Recombinant Mycobacterium as vaccine for use in humans

Description

The invention relates to a novel recombinant vaccine providing protective immunity especially against tuberculosis in human subjects.

In 1993 tuberculosis (TB) has been declared a global emergency by World Health Organization (WHO). Worldwide approximately 2 billion people \(^1\) are infected with Mycobacterium tuberculosis, the causative microorganism of TB. All are at risk of developing clinical symptoms of the disease. In most individuals infection with Mycobacterium tuberculosis is initially contained by host defences, and the infection remains latent. However, latent TB infection has the potential to develop into active TB disease at any time, and individuals with active TB become sources of new infections. In 2007 the number of new cases of disease was reported in WHO report (2009) to be 9.3 million \(^1\) and is increasing steadily. Approximately 1.8 million people die from the disease each year. Thus, TB continues to be a leading cause of death by infectious disease worldwide.

BCG (Bacillus Calmette-Guerin), an attenuated strain of Mycobacterium bovis, has been in use as a TB vaccine since 1921. To date approximately 4 billion doses have been administered. \(^3\) However, vaccination with BCG is insufficiently effective to stop the spread of TB. BCG can protect against, or at least ameliorate, severe forms of systemic TB in children, particularly meningitis. BCG does not protect against the pulmonary and infectious form of the disease. \(^4\) This, however, would be necessary for the interruption of the transmission of the disease.

There are only a few antibiotic treatments available. They are increasingly falling, as more and more patients get infected with multi-drug resistant TB strains. \(^15\) To make the situation even worse, new highly pathogenic strains,
like Mycobacterium tuberculosis Beijing/W, are spreading.  

An object of the present invention is the development of a safe, well tolerated and efficacious vaccine against TB, particularly for residents in endemic areas and persons at risk in non-endemic areas. This vaccine is to replace the currently used BCG vaccine. The new vaccine should be at least as potent as the current strain and should be safer than BCG.  

Mycobacterium tuberculosis and BCG are phagocytosed by host macrophages. Intraphagosomal location causes bacterial antigen trafficking through the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) II pathway. This results in preferential stimulation of CD4 T cells. However, it has been shown that MHC I restricted CD8 cytotoxic T cells are crucial in immunity to Mycobacterium tuberculosis. In contrast to Mycobacterium tuberculosis, BCG only induces weak stimulation of CD8 cytotoxic T cells. Therefore, a recombinant BCG strain expressing a phagolysosomal escape domain was generated in order to direct mycobacterial antigens to the MHC I pathway. The strain secretes listeriolysin (Hly) of L. monocytogenes. It enables the strain to escape from the phagosome of infected host cells by perforating the membrane of the phagosome. Inactivation of the urease C gene was necessary to assure an acidic phagosomal pH for optimal Hly activity. Perforation promotes antigen translocation into the cytoplasm and facilitates cross-priming through increased apoptosis. This process mimics the immune induction of Mycobacterium tuberculosis very effectively. The mode of action is expected to result in an efficacious and well tolerated vaccine against TB.

The concept has been described in W099/1 01496 and in WO 2004/094469, the contents of which are herein incorporated by reference.

In this study a recombinant urease-deficient BCG vaccine was applied in human subjects for the first time. The study evaluated safety, local and systemic tolerability as well as the immunogenicity of the vaccine. It followed
a dose-escalating sequential design with comparison to commercially available BCG. Eighty (80) subjects in Germany were randomly allocated to 4 groups consisting of 20 subjects each stratified for their history of BCG-vaccination.

Intensive safety monitoring including laboratory parameters, physical safety evaluations and detailed ECG-analysis was done in addition to standard safety monitoring.

A subject-matter of the present invention is a vaccine for use in humans comprising as an active ingredient a recombinant Mycobacterium which is urease-deficient and which comprises a recombinant nucleic acid molecule encoding a fusion polypeptide comprising (a) a Mycobacterium antigen or an immunogenic fragment thereof, and (b) a phagolysosomal escape domain.

A further subject-matter of the present invention is a method for vaccinating a human subject, comprising administering a pharmaceutically effective dose of a recombinant Mycobacterium which is urease-deficient and which comprises a recombinant nucleic acid molecule encoding a fusion polypeptide comprising (a) a Mycobacterium antigen or an immunogenic fragment thereof, and (b) a phagolysosomal escape domain.

In an especially preferred embodiment the ureC sequence is inactivated (AUrec), e.g. by constructing a suicide vector containing a ureC gene disrupted by a selection marker gene, transforming the target cell with the vector and screening for selection marker-positive cells having a urease negative phenotype.

The cell is preferably an M.bovis cell, an M.tuberculosis cell, particularly an attenuated M.tuberculosis cell or other Mycobacteria, e.g. M.microti, M.smegmatis, M.canettii, M.marinum or M.fortuitum. More preferably, the cell is a recombinant M.bovis (BCG) cell, particularly a recombinant M.bovis cell from strain Danish subtype Prague. Most preferably, the cell is recombinant BCG strain Danish subtype Prague characterized as rBCG AUrec :: Hly+ ::
Hyg⁺ (VPM1002).

The Mycobacterium cell of the invention comprises a recombinant nucleic acid molecule, e.g. the nucleic acid molecule in SEQ ID No.1. This nucleic acid molecule comprises a signal peptide coding sequence (nucleotide 1 - 120), a sequence coding for an immunogenic domain (nucleotide 121 - 153), a peptide linker coding sequence (nucleotide 154 - 210), a sequence coding for a phagolysosomal domain (nucleotide 211 - 1722), a further peptide linker coding sequence (nucleotide 1723 - 1800) and a sequence coding for a random peptide (nucleotide 1801 - 1870). The corresponding amino acid sequence is shown in SEQ ID No.2.

The domain capable of eliciting an immune response is selected from immunogenic peptides or polypeptides from M.bovis or M.tuberculosis or from immunogenic fragments thereof having a length of at least 6, preferably at least 8 amino acids. Specific examples for suitable antigens are Ag85B (p30) from M.tuberculosis (Harth et al., 1996), Ag85B (a-antigen) from M.bovis BCG (Matsuo et al., 1988), Ag85A from M.tuberculosis (Huygen et al., 1996) and ESAT-6 from M.tuberculosis (Sorensen et al., 1996, Harboe et al., 1996 and Andersen et al., 1995). More preferably, the immunogenic domain is derived from the antigen Ag85B. Most preferably, the immunogenic domain comprises the sequence from aa.41 to aa.51 in SEQ ID No.2.

The recombinant nucleic acid molecule further comprises a phagolysosomal escape domain, i.e. a polypeptide domain which provides for an escape of the fusion polypeptide from the phagolysosome into the cytosol of mammalian cells. Preferably, the phagolysosomal escape domain is a Listeria phagolysosomal escape domain, which is described in US 5,733,151, herein incorporated by reference. More preferably, the phagolysosomal escape domain is derived from the listeriolysin gene (Hly) of ...monocytogenes. Most preferably, the phagolysosomal domain is encoded by a nucleic acid molecule selected from: (a) a nucleotide sequence comprising nucleotides 211 - 1722 as shown in SEQ ID No.1, (b) a nucleotide sequence which encodes for the same amino acid sequence as the sequence from (a), and (c) a nucleotide sequence hybridizing under stringent conditions with the sequence from (a) or (b).

Apart from the nucleotide sequence depicted in SEQ ID No.1 the present
invention also comprises nucleic acid sequences hybridizing therewith. In the present invention the term "hybridization" is used as defined in Sambrook et al. (Molecular Cloning. A laboratory manual, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press (1989), 1.101-1.104). In accordance with the present invention the term "hybridization" is used if a positive hybridization signal can still be observed after washing for one hour with 1 X SSC and 0.1 \% SDS at 55°C, preferably at 62\° C and more preferably at 68\° C, particularly for 1 hour in 0.2 X SSC and 0.1 \% SDS at 55°C, preferably at 62\°C and more preferably at 68\°C. A sequence hybridizing with a nucleotide sequence as per SEQ ID No.1 under such washing conditions is a phagolysosomal escape domain encoding nucleotide sequence preferred by the subject invention.

A nucleotide sequence encoding a phagolysosomal escape domain as described above may be directly obtained from a Listeria organism or from any recombinant source e.g. a recombinant E.coli cell containing the corresponding Listeria nucleic acid molecule or a variant thereof as described above.

Preferably, the recombinant nucleic acid molecule encoding for a fusion polypeptide contains a signal peptide encoding sequence. More preferably, the signal sequence is a signal sequence active in Mycobacteria, preferably in M.bovis, e.g. a native M.bovis signal sequence. A preferred example of a suitable signal sequence is the nucleotide sequence coding for the Ag85B signal peptide which is depicted in SEQ ID No.1 from nucleotide 1 to 120.

Further, it is preferred that a peptide linker be provided between the immunogenic domain and the phagolysosomal escape domain. Preferably, said peptide linker has a length of from 5 to 50 amino acids. More preferably, a sequence encoding a linker as shown in SEQ ID No.1 from nucleotide 154 to 210 or a sequence corresponding thereto as regards the degeneration of the genetic code.

The nucleic acid may be located on a recombinant vector. Preferably, the recombinant vector is a prokaryotic vector, i.e. a vector containing elements for replication or/and genomic integration in prokaryotic cells. Preferably, the recombinant vector carries the nucleic acid molecule of the present invention operatively linked with an expression control sequence. The expression control sequence is preferably an expression control sequence active in Mycobacteria,
particularly in M.bovis. The vector can be an extrachromosomal vector or a
vector suitable for integration into the chromosome. Examples of such vectors
are known to the man skilled in the art and, for instance, given in Sambrook et
al. supra.

In some embodiments, the recombinant Mycobacterium cell may carry an
antibiotic resistance gene, e.g. a hygromycin (Hyg) resistance gene. In other
embodiments, the recombinant Mycobacterium cell does not carry an antibiotic
resistance gene.

Preferably, the vaccine is a live-vaccine for use in humans, e.g. for use in
residents in areas endemic for mycobacterial infections, such as tuberculosis
or for use in persons at risk in non-endemic areas. The vaccine may be for
administration to a Mycobacterium, e.g. BCG-naïve subject, e.g. a human who
has not been pre-exposed to an immunogenic Mycobacterium challenge or a
human who has not been pre-immunized with BCG. Examples of such
subjects are e.g. newborns or children, e.g. up to 8 years, e.g. in areas
endemic for mycobacterial infections, such as tuberculosis, or persons at risk
in non-endemic areas. The vaccine is particularly suitable for administration to
subjects with HIV-positive parents, e.g. mothers. The vaccine may be
administered to Mycobacterium-, e.g. BCG-, naïve subjects in a population
endemic for HIV-infections. In other embodiments, the vaccine may be for
administration to a Mycobacterium, e.g. BCG, pre-exposed subject, e.g.
children from 9 years on or adults, e.g. living in areas with endemic
tuberculosis or subjects pre-immunized with BCG. In such subjects the
inventive vaccine has a boosting effect on already existing BCG induced
immune status.

In a further preferred embodiment administration of the vaccine results in an
increased IFN-γ response in naïve or pre-immunized subjects and in an
upregulation of CD4+T cells, particularly of multifunctional CD4+T cells.

In a preferred embodiment, the vaccine is a lyophilisate comprising the
Mycobacterium cell and optionally agents, e.g. glucose and/or dextran.
Optionally the vaccine additionally comprises a reconstitution fluid, water for
injection or saline. In some embodiments, the vaccine comprises a dose of
about 10^3-10^4 CFU (colony forming units), about 10^4-10^5 CFU or about 10^5-10^6
Administration to a mucosal surface (e.g. ocular, intranasal, oral, gastric, intestinal, rectal, vaginal or urinary tract) or via the parenteral route (e.g. subcutaneous, intradermal, intramuscular, intravenous or intraperitoneal) might be chosen. Especially preferred is intradermal administration.

In some embodiments, the vaccine is for administration in a single dose including an immunization of Mycobacterium-na`ive subjects or a booster vaccination of Mycobacterium-pre-exposed subjects, e.g. subjects who have been pre-vaccinated with a Mycobacterium-based vaccine, e.g. a native BCG vaccine for subjects who have come into contact with Mycobacteria, e.g. pathogenic Mycobacteria before administration of the inventive vaccine. Alternatively, the vaccine of the invention may be administered in two or more doses. The respective doses may be administered between intervals of about 1 week to about 6 months or longer.

The vaccine of the present invention is for use against Mycobacterial infections, more particularly for use against tuberculosis.

The invention will be further illustrated by the following Figures, Sequence Listings and Examples.

Fig. 1: Correlation of Mean Erytheme Size by Treatment Group and Study Day

Fig. 2: Mean Induration Size by Treatment Group and Study Day

Fig. 3: Mean Changes from Baseline for IFN-γ-Response after Stimulation with Ag85B in Naive Subjects.

A. PBMC ELISA for IFN-γ,
B. ELISpot,
C. Whole blood ELISA for IFN-γ.

All assays have been stimulated with Ag85B 2 pg/mL. The VPM1002 (5x10⁵) in red bars, BCG group in blue bars.

Stimulation: Ag 85B 2 pg/mL. VPM1002 increases the IFN-γ.
response.

Figure 4: Mean Changes from Baseline for IFN-γ Response after Stimulation with Ag 85B in Pre-Immunized Subjects
A. PBMC ELISA for IFN-γ
B. Whole blood ELISA for IFN-γ
All assays have been stimulated with Ag85B 2 pg/mL. The VPM1002 (5x10^5) in red bars, BCG group in blue bars.
Stimulation: Ag 85B 2 pg/mL VPM1002 increases the IFN-γ response.

Figure 5: Change from Baseline of Single and Multifunctional CD4+ T cells in Naive Subjects
A. The frequency of single positive CD4+ T cells (expression of IFN-γ) re-stimulated with PPD
B. Frequency of multifunctional CD4+ T cells (expression of IFN-γ and IL-2) re-stimulated with Ag85B.
C. Frequency of multifunctional CD4+ T cells (expressing IFN-γ, IL-2 and TNF-α) re-stimulated with PPD or
D. Re-stimulated with Ag85B, was determined by FACS ICS of PBMC from adults immunized with VPM1002 (red) or BCG control (blue).

SEQ ID No.1: shows the nucleotide sequence of a nucleic acid molecule encoding a Mycobacterium 85B antigen and a listeria phage-lysomal escape domain.

SEQ ID No.2: shows the corresponding amino acid sequence of the nucleic acid molecule of SEQ ID No.1.

Example

Clinical Phase 1 Study to evaluate safety and immunogenicity of an inventive vaccine (VPM1002) in comparison with BCG in healthy male volunteers.
stratified for history of BCG vaccination.

1. **Identity of the vaccine VPM 1002**

VPM1002 is a genetically modified BCG vaccine derived from the Mycobacterium bovis BCG strain Danish subtype Prague characterised as rBCG AureC :: Hly⁺:: Hyg⁺. VPM1002 was available as a lyophilised cake of live Mycobacterium bovis BCGAureC::Hly⁺:: Hyg⁺. One vial contained 5x10⁶ CFU (range 2-8x10⁶ CFU) of VPM 1002.

The gene for listeriolysin (Hly) has been incorporated into the urease C gene (ureC) which results in deletion of the urease C activity and introduction of listeriolysin activity.

VPM 1002 is resistant to hygromycin (Hyg). Hygromycin resistance served as a selection marker during genetic engineering of the strain and will serve as specific marker in the genetically modified organism (GMO)-monitoring and GMO-emergency-plan. VPM1002 is sensitive to antibiotics commonly used in treatment of mycobacterial infection, i.e. isoniazid, rifampicin and ethambutol.

VPM1002 was supplied as a freeze dried (lyophilized) cake which was reconstituted with 1 mL H₂O (aqua ad injectabilia). The concentration after reconstitution was about 5x10⁶ CFU. For administration of doses of 5x10³ and 5x10⁴ CFU, the reconstituted VPM1002 suspension was diluted 1:100 or 1:10, respectively, using sterile ready to use sodium chloride 0.9% solution.

2. **Objectives**

The primary objective of this study was to investigate the safety of single doses of VPM 1002.
The secondary objective of this study was to investigate the immunogenicity of single doses of VPM1002 for vaccination against Tuberculosis.

3. **Methodology** (design of study):

This was the first application of VPM1002 to humans. The study followed an open, randomised, controlled, dose-escalation design to assess the safety and immunogenicity of a single dose of VPM1002.

A single vaccination with VPM1002 was administered intra-dermally to subjects who were either Bacille Calmette-Guerin (BCG) naive or had a pre-immunization with BCG (documented BCG-vaccination in the vaccination documents or BCG-scar and in both cases plus purified protein derivative (PPD)-skin-test not more than weakly positive). Three escalating doses of VPM1002 were investigated. A reference group of subjects received a single dose of BCG vaccine.

Following vaccination safety parameters were closely monitored until 4 hours after dosing. Thereafter the subjects were discharged from the clinic, except for the first 3 subjects within each dose group, who stayed in the clinic until 24 hours after vaccination.

Safety and pharmacodynamic assessments were performed until Day 57 and again 6 months after vaccination.

An interim safety analysis was performed after the results of Day 57 were available from the first 3 subjects of each cohort. Based on these data the administration of VPM1002 in doses up to $5 \times 10^5$ CFU was regarded as safe and well tolerated. Based on the secondary study endpoints of immunogenicity a statistic re-estimation of the sample size was performed. The results of this analysis ($p_1 = 0.0119$) showed that the planned sample size of 80 subjects included in the study was sufficient. An extension of the sample size was not necessary.
4. Number of subjects

Forty (40) BCG naive and 40 subjects with BCG previous vaccination (or PPD positive) were planned to be included in this study. All 80 subjects, except for 1 subject, who was lost to follow-up, completed the study as planned.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study cohorts</th>
<th>no prior BCG-vaccination and PPD-negative</th>
<th>prior BCG-vaccination or PPD-positive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BCG</td>
<td>Group 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjects included</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCG</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other reasons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Treatment: BCG = 5 x 10E5 CFU BCG (range 2 - 8 x 10E5), Group 1 = 5 x 10E3 CFU VPM1002 (range 2 - 8 x 10E3), Group 2 = 5 x 10E4 CFU VPM1002 (range 2 - 8 x 10E4), Group 3 = 5 x 10E5 CFU VPM1002 (range 2 - 8 x 10E5)

5. Diagnosis and main criteria for inclusion:

Healthy male subjects, aged 18-55 years (extremes included), without any symptoms, physical signs or laboratory values suggestive of systemic disorders or current illness and without any signs of active or latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI). The tuberculin-PPD test had to be < 10 mm for subjects with previous BCG vaccination and < 1 mm for naive subjects at baseline.

6. Test product, dose and mode of administration, batch number:

The active ingredient of VPM1002 was Mycobacterium bovis rBCGA ureC::Hly +::Hyg +, freeze- dried and standardised to number of viable (colony forming units (CFU)) mycobacteria per application.
Dose levels: $5 \times 10^3$ CFU VPM1 002 (range $2-8 \times 10^3$ CFU)
$5 \times 10^4$ CFU VPM1 002 (range $2-8 \times 10^4$ CFU)
$5 \times 10^5$ CFU VPM1 002 (range $2-8 \times 10^5$ CFU)

Approximately 0.1 mL reconstituted and diluted VPM1002 suspension was administered via intra-dermal injection with a syringe of 1 mL subgraduated into hundredths of mL (1/100 mL) fitted with a short bevel needle (25G/0.50 mm or 26G/0.45 mm, 10 mm in length). No jet injectors or multiple puncture device was allowed.

7. Duration of treatment:
One single vaccination

8. Reference therapy, dose and mode of administration, batch number:
BCG Vaccine SSI, powder and solvent for suspension for injection, Statens Serum Institut Denmark.

After reconstitution, 1 dose (0.1 mL) contained:

Mycobacterium bovis BCG (Bacillus Calmette-Guerin), Danish strain 1331, live attenuated, $2-8 \times 10^5$ CFU.

Administration was performed as described for VPM1002.

9. Criteria of evaluation:

Safety parameters:

- incidence of adverse events, time profile of adverse events, other profile of adverse events
- assessment of local reaction at the vaccination site and photodocumentation of local reaction at the vaccination site (Days 1, 5, 11, 29, 57, after 6 months)
- standard safety laboratory parameters (haematology, coagulation,
clinical chemistry including liver enzymes, urinalysis)

- QuantiFeron gold test at baseline, Day 57 and Month 6
- physical examination including electrocardiogram (ECG), vital signs and body weight
- chest-X-ray
- sonographic liver imaging at baseline, Day 57 and Month 6
- subjects' global assessment of tolerability.

**Immunogenicity parameters:**

- lymphocyte stimulation test (LST): amount of interferon (IFN)-γ per cell
- enzyme linked immuno spot technique (ELIspot): number of IFN-γ secreting peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) per total number of PBMC
- whole blood assays (WBA): amount of IFN-γ per number of lymphocytes
- intracellular cytokine staining (ICS) (fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS)-analysis): number of CD4+ and CD8+ lymphocytes; that were xxx-bright, xxx-bright and xxxxbright ("triple-positive-cells"); per total number of lymphocytes.

**10. Study endpoints:**

**Primary endpoint:**
To assess the safety of a single dose of VPM1002 as assessed by physical examination, vital signs, ECG, liver sonography, chest X-ray, laboratory safety parameters (including haematology, coagulation, clinical chemistry and urinalysis), tolerability, recording of concomitant medication and monitoring of adverse events.

**Secondary endpoints:** Immunogenicity, assessed by

- LST for tuberculin (PPD) with subsequent IFN-γ specific enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) on supernatants of PBMC.
- ELIspot specific for the number of IFN-γ secreting PBMC after stimulation with PPD.
- WBA stimulating cells for 3 days with PPD and measuring IFN-γ in the plasma by ELISA.

**Exploratory endpoints:** Immunogenicity, assessed by

- FACS-analysis of ICS for IFN-γ, tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-α and interleukin (IL)-2 in CD4+ and CD8+ lymphocytes upon overnight stimulation with PPD.
- FACS-analysis of intracellular staining with carboxyfluorescein diacetate succinimidyl esters (CFSE) in CD4+ and CD8+ lymphocytes upon overnight stimulation with PPD.
- LST, ELIspot, ICS and WBA for stimulation with the tuberculosis antigen (TB-Ag) 85b peptide cocktail.
- Concentration of serum antibodies against PPD or the TB-Ag85B peptide cocktail; quantification of the immunoglobulin (Ig)G-subtypes of these serum antibodies.

**11. Statistical methods:**

Descriptive statistics was used for evaluation of safety parameters.

Following statistical test procedures were used for immunogenicity data:

- Jonckheere Terpstra test (α = 0.05) for detecting a dose-response relationship in the adjusted changes from baseline in a repeated measurement setting in comparison with the BCG-group
- Linear regression model for adjusting changes from baseline to individual visits after baseline in the respective parameter with prospectively defined putative covariates and cofactors of the treatment factor (Backward selection)
- Estimate of treatment effects (changes from baseline) using 95% confidence intervals, both within groups and comparing VPM1002-groups with the BCG-group
- Backward elimination of statistically irrelevant covariates / cofactors in the adjusting regression models
- X2-test, t-test, U-test for exploratory comparisons between two
treatment groups
• multivariate linear regression instead of Jonckheere-Terpstra-test estimating the sensitivity of the non-parametrical analyses

12. Summary Study Population:

All 80 subjects were included in the safety population and the Intention to Treat (ITT) population. All 80 subjects provided valid and interpretable assessments for immunogenicity parameters and had no major protocol deviation; therefore all subjects were valid for the Immunogenicity (IM) and the Per-Protocol (PP) population.

Overall mean age was 33.1 years (means for the different cohorts between 25.2 and 38.7 years). Mean height was 179.7 cm (between 177.2 and 181.8 cm), mean weight was 78.8 kg (between 73.0 and 82.5 kg) and mean BMI was 24.38 kg/m² (between 22.98 and 25.78 kg/m²). Differences between the treatment groups were considered not clinically relevant.

13. Summary Pharmacodynamics:

The secondary objective of this study was to show the immunogenicity of VPM1002. The secondary objective was met. The study shows that VPM1002 induces quantitatively and qualitatively very good cellular immune responses in both strata the "naive" and the BCG "pre-immunized" subjects. All observed data show a clear Th1 type immune response elicited by VPM1002. The initial goal of the development of that particular vaccine strain VPM1002 was to increase the cell mediated immune response and to induce qualitatively better immune responses than BCG. These goals could be met. In addition it shows also a potential for a boost vaccination on a pre-existing immune response induced by BCG.

For the amount of IFN-γ per number of lymphocytes (secondary endpoints LST and WBA) a dose-response correlation was observed between the
groups who received VPM1002 by non-parametric and parametric statistics. Within each stratum, mean changes from baseline were highest in the $5 \times 10^5$ CFU VPM1002 group and lowest in the $5 \times 10^3$ CFU VPM1002 on all study days. This proves the effect of VPM1002 to the recipient.

The linear regression analysis of the changes from baseline in the secondary endpoints showed that age, weight, total PBMC at baseline and total lymphocytes at baseline had no statistical significant effect on the results.

In the exploratory endpoints a considerable effect on induction of multifunctional CD4+ T cells was observed in both strata.

To conclude, VPM1002 elicits a Th1 immune response by inducing IFN-γ, not only quantitatively different from BCG but also qualitatively different with multifunctional T cells. These results encourage the further development of the vaccine.

14. Summary Safety:

The primary endpoint of this phase I study was the safety-assessment of VPM1002. Indeed, the study did not reveal any safety concerns for VPM1002.

In detail, single vaccination with up to $5 \times 10^5$ CFU VPM1002 was well tolerated. No Serious Adverse Event (AE) occurred.

Overall 80.7% of all AEs were considered as related to the study medication (adverse drug reactions (ADRs): relationship assessed as "certain", "probable" or "possible") by the investigator.

ADRs were reported by all subjects. Almost all ADR were injection site disorders (98.0% of all ADRs).

The number of ADRs increased with increasing dose. However, the
frequency and intensity of ADRs was always medically acceptable, even at the highest dose of VPM1002 (5x10^5 CFU). There was also a tendency towards a higher incidence of ADRs in subjects with previous BCG vaccination as compared to the respective treatment groups without BCG pre-immunization (239 vs. 204 ADRs, respectively).

All subjects experienced AE. The number of AEs was similar in the BCG and the 5x10^5 CFU VPM1002 groups in subjects not pre-immunized with BCG vaccination (76 and 82 AEs, respectively) and lower in the 2 other groups (47 AEs after 5x10^3 CFU VPM1002 and 53 AEs after 5x10^4 CFU VPM1002). In the group of subjects with prior BCG vaccination the number of AEs was highest in the 5x10^5 CFU VPM1002 group (97 AEs), compared to 72 AEs in the BCG group and 61 AEs in both the 5x10^3 CFU and 5x10^4 CFU VPM1002 groups.

Within the stratum of BCG-naive subjects ADRs observed in the treatment groups that received BCG and VPM1002 in the same dose range (5x10^5 CFU) were of comparable incidence and severity (64 versus 72 after BCG and VPM1002, respectively). Within the stratum of BCG preimmunized subjects the incidence of ADRs was slightly higher in subjects who received 5x10^5 CFU VPM1002 compared to 5x10^5 CFU BCG (78 ADRs after VPM1002 versus 60 ADRs after BCG). However the intensity of ADRs was comparable between both cohorts and upon closer inspection the main reason for this imbalance seems to be a slightly higher incidence of injection site ulceration after VPM1002 (4 and 8 events after BCG and VPM1002, respectively; 1 to 8 mm in diameter) associated with related follow-up events like scabbing, and exfoliation at the injection site (6 versus 9 and 4 versus 7 ADRs, respectively, after BCG and VPM1002), all rated to be mild in intensity and being another indicator of induction of immunogenicity.

Most AEs were of mild intensity (95.3% of all AE), 25 AEs (4.6% of all AE) were of moderate intensity and 1 AE (0.1 % of all AE, reported after BCG) was of severe intensity.
No subject discontinued due to an AE.

Overall, the number of subjects with local reactions and the intensity of local reaction increased with increasing dose and were comparable for the 5x10^5 CFU BCG and VPM1002 groups. Results in BCG naive subjects and subjects pre-immunized with BCG were generally similar, no clear trend to a different local reaction was observed. The most prominent local reactions were erythema and induration. Erythema was observed in all subjects. The mean size of the erythema increased with dose. The mean erythema size was similar after vaccination with 5x10^5 CFU BCG and VPM1002. In subjects who received 5x10^5 CFU VPM1002 mean erythema size was consistently higher in pre-immunized subjects, whereas in the BCG group mean size was higher in the subject group without prior BCG-immunization.

No clear dose-relationship was observed for the number of subjects with induration. The mean size of induration was highest in the treatment groups, who received 5x10^5 CFU BCG or VPM1002. The maximum induration occurred in the groups vaccinated with 5 x 10^5 CFU BCG around day 3 to 5.
which was earlier than in the groups vaccinated with 5 x 10^6 CFU VPM1002 who showed the maximum size on Days 11 to 29. The size of the local induration is a measure of a local cellular immune response. The characteristic time profile in the VPM1002-groups differs from the time profile in the BCG-groups which is in accord with the pharmacodynamic immunogenicity results.

The correlation of Mean Erythema Size by Treatment Group and Study Day is shown in Fig. 1.

The correlation of Mean Induration Size by Treatment Group and Study Day is shown in Fig. 2.

Global tolerability was almost always assessed as good (42%) or very good (57%) by the subjects. Only 1 subject (BCG, no prior vaccination) rated the global tolerability as bad on Day 57, but no longer at 6 months after vaccination.

Laboratory data showed no clinically relevant time- or dose-related differences. Some subjects had values above the normal range already at baseline. Liver function parameter, especially ALT, increased above the normal range in some subjects (19 subjects, 13 BCG naive subjects and 6 subjects with prior BCG vaccination). The number of subjects with abnormal ALT values after vaccination was highest in the group of subjects, who were BCG naive and received 5x10^5 CFU VPM1002, but more pronounced increases were observed at the lower dose groups and never exceeded the 6-fold normal range and decreased until end of study. Vital signs and ECG parameters showed no time- or dose-related differences.

No clinically relevant findings were observed in post-vaccination physical examination, liver sonography and chest X-ray. All QuantiFeron gold tests were negative.
15. Conclusions

Pharmacodynamics (secondary study objective)
- The secondary study objective was met.
- VPM1002 shows immunogenicity as detected by dose-dependent IFN-γ stimulation. The results are shown in Figs. 3 and 4.
- VPM1002 induces quantitatively and qualitatively a different immune response than BCG.
- VPM1002 has a boosting effect on an already existing, BCG induced, immune status.
- Multifunctional CD4+ T cells were upregulated in all cohorts of VPM1002 (5x1 0^5 CFU). The results are shown in Fig. 5.

Safety (primary study objective)
- The primary study objective was met: Single vaccination with VPM1002 up to 5x1 0^5 CFU was safe and well tolerated.
- Adverse events considered as drug-related were almost always injection site disorders. The number of AEs increased with dose and was similar after 5x1 0^5 CFU VPM1002 and the reference vaccine of 5x10^5 CFU BCG.
- The number and intensity of local reactions increased with dose of VPM1002. at the highest dose the incidence of local reactions was similar to that observed after vaccination with BCG.
- Global tolerability of VPM1002 was always assessed as good or very good by the subjects.
- Laboratory data, vital signs and ECG data showed no clinically relevant time- or dose-related differences.

16. Overall

The safety profile of VPM1002 was fine. VPM1002 showed immunogenicity. The immunogenic profile of VPM1002 differs from that of BCG. The benefit-risk-ratio allows for continuing the clinical development of this vaccine candidate.
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Claims

1. Vaccine for use in humans comprising as an active ingredient a recombinant Mycobacterium which is urease-deficient and which comprises a recombinant nucleic acid molecule encoding a fusion polypeptide comprising (a) a Mycobacterium antigen or an immunogenic fragment thereof, and (b) a phagolysosomal escape domain.

2. The vaccine of claim 1, wherein the recombinant Mycobacterium cell is a recombinant Mycobacterium bovis (BCG) cell, particularly a recombinant M.bovis cell from strain Danish subtype Prague.

3. The vaccine of claim 1 or 2, wherein the Mycobacterium antigen is Ag85B.

4. The vaccine of any one of claims 1-3, wherein the recombinant Mycobacterium cell carries an antibiotic resistance gene, e.g. a hygromycin resistance gene.

5. The vaccine of any one of claims 1-3, wherein the recombinant Mycobacterium cell does not carry an antibiotic resistance gene.

6. The vaccine of any one of claims 1-3, which is rBCG AUrec :: Hly+ :: Hyg+ (VPM1002).

7. The vaccine of any one of claims 1-6, which is for administration to a Mycobacterium-naïve subject, e.g. a newborn.

8. The vaccine of any one of claims 1-6, which is for administration to a Mycobacterium-pre-exposed subject.
9. The vaccine of any one of claims 1-8, which is a lyophylisate optionally together with a reconstruction fluid.

10. The vaccine of any one of claims 1-9, which comprises a dose of about \(10^3\text{-}10^4\) CFU, about \(10^4\text{-}10^5\) CFU or about \(10^5\text{-}10^6\) CFU.

11. The vaccine of any one of claims 1-10 for intradermal administration.

12. The vaccine of any one of claims 1-11 for administration in a single dose or doses.

13. The vaccine of any one of claims 1-12 for the upregulation of multifunctional CD4\(^+\) T cells.

14. The vaccine of any one of claims 1-13 for use against tuberculosis.

15. A method for vaccinating a human subject, comprising administering a pharmaceutically effective dose of a recombinant Mycobacterium which is urease-deficient and which comprises a recombinant nucleic acid molecule encoding a fusion polypeptide comprising (a) a Mycobacterium antigen or an immunogenic fragment thereof, and (b) a phagolysosomal escape domain.
Figure 1

Mean Erythema Size by Treatment Group and Study Day

Treatment: BCG = 5 x 10E5 CFU BCG (range 2 - 8 x 10E5),
Group 1 = 5 x 10E3 CFU VPM1002 (range 2 - 8 x 10E3),
Group 2 = 5 x 10E4 CFU VPM1002 (range 2 - 8 x 10E4),
Group 3 = 5 x 10E5 CFU VPM1002 (range 2 - 8 x 10E5).
Figure 2

Mean Induration Size by Treatment Group and Study Day

Treatment:
- BCG = 5 x 10E5 CFU BCG (range 2 - 8 x 10E5),
- Group 1 = 5 x 10E3 CFU VPM1002 (range 2 - 8 x 10E3),
- Group 2 = 5 x 10E4 CFU VPM1002 (range 2 - 8 x 10E4),
- Group 3 = 5 x 10E5 CFU VPM1002 (range 2 - 8 x 10E5).
Figure 3

Mean Changes from Baseline for IFN-γ-Response after Stimulation with Ag85B in Naïve Subjects
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Figure 4

Mean Changes from Baseline for IFN-γ-Response after Stimulation with Ag85B in Pre-Immunized Subjects
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Figure 5

Change from Baseline of Single Multifunctional CD4⁺ T cells in Naïve Subjects
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