



- (51) **International Patent Classification:**
H04L 25/02 (2006.01) *H04B 10/61* (2013.01)
H04L 25/03 (2006.01)
- (21) **International Application Number:**
PCT/EP2013/070872
- (22) **International Filing Date:**
8 October 2013 (08.10.2013)
- (25) **Filing Language:** English
- (26) **Publication Language:** English
- (30) **Priority Data:**
12306228.3 9 October 2012 (09.10.2012) EP
- (71) **Applicant:** ALCATEL LUCENT [FR/FR]; 3, avenue Octave Gréard, F-75007 Paris (FR).
- (72) **Inventors:** LAYEC, Patricia; Alcatel-Lucent Bell Labs France, Centre de Villarsaux, Route de Villejust, F-91620 Nozay (FR). GHAZISAEIDI, Amirhossein; Alcatel-Lucent Bell Labs France, Centre de Villarsaux, Route de Villejust, F-91620 Nozay (FR).
- (74) **Agent:** MILDNER, Volker; Alcatel Lucent Intellectual Property Business Group, Lorenzstrasse 10, 70435 Stuttgart (DE).
- (81) **Designated States** (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY,

BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

- (84) **Designated States** (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Declarations under Rule 4.17:

- as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a patent (Rule 4.17(ii))
- of inventorship (Rule 4.17(iv))

Published:

- with international search report (Art. 21(3))

[Continued on next page]

- (54) **Title:** APPARATUS, METHOD AND COMPUTER PROGRAM OPERABLE TO DETERMINE ESTIMATES




Fig. 1

- (57) **Abstract:** Embodiments provide an apparatus, a method and a computer program. The apparatus 10 is operable to determine estimates for transmitted symbols from received symbols of an optical signal affected by XpolM. The apparatus 10 is operable to group two or more subsequent received symbols from the optical signal into a group of received symbols and to estimate an optical channel based on the group of received symbols and a hypothesis on the transmitted symbols. The apparatus 10 is further operable to determine a reliability measure for a combination of the group of received symbols, the channel estimate, and the hypothesis, and the apparatus 10 is further operable to determine the estimates for the transmitted symbols based on reliability measures for multiple hypotheses on the transmitted symbols.





-
- *before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments (Rule 48.2(h))*

Apparatus, Method and Computer Program Operable to Determine Estimates

Embodiments of the present invention relate to data transmission and reception, more particularly but not exclusively to data estimation and data detection in an optical receiver.

Background

5 Conventional communication systems mostly comprise a backbone part and an access part. For example, a wireless communication network may comprise a Radio Network Access (RAN) part, which establishes and controls the wireless access, and a Core Network (CN), through which other networks and other users of the wireless communication network are connected. Another example of a packet data or a packet switched communication network
10 is the communication network established between the servers and routers of the World Wide Web (WWW). With the steady increases of data demand, interfaces and networks are improved to handle the increased load. For wired connections in the network, optical data transmission using fibers is used to enhance the link capacity between such interfaces.

In communication systems, such as systems using optical data transmission, wireless,
15 wireline or powerline communications, digital data values may be transmitted by means of an optical transmission signal. The optical transmission signal is generated by modulating the phase and/or the amplitude of an optical carrier signal. For example a carrier frequency of the optical signal is changed in dependence on the transmitted data values and in accordance with a constellation diagram of a respective Phase-Shift Keying (PSK)
20 modulation or Quadrature Amplitude Modulation (QAM) method. Prominent examples are Binary Phase Shift Keying (BPSK), Quaternary Phase Shift Keying (QPSK), 16 QAM, 64 QAM, etc.

Moreover, conventional concepts may use polarization multiplexing for data transmission, i.e. different polarization may be used to transmit different transmit symbols
25 simultaneously. Optical fibers are used for transmitting optical signals. Non-linear effects may impose limits on the performance of optical communication systems. In particular, systems using Polarization Division Multiplexing (PDM) can be limited by cross-Polarization Modulation (XPoIM). This effect can be predominant when the power of the transmit signal is close to the non-linear threshold, e.g. notably when using PDM-BPSK
30 modulation over existing submarine cables based on Non-Zero Dispersion Shifted Fiber

(NZ-DSF). The Signal-to-Noise-Ratio (SNR) and the Q-factor can thus suffer from large variations.

Summary

It is a finding that data reception or data detection can be based on blind channel estimation
5 and mean square error or maximum likelihood detection. Moreover, especially when XPolM is used for optical communication blind channel estimation can be based on a hypothesis on the transmitted symbols and a reliability measure can be determined on a combination of received symbols, the channel estimate and the hypothesis on the transmitted symbols. The reliability measure may represent a probability on whether said
10 combination, especially the hypothesis and the channel estimate based thereon, correspond to the real values.

Embodiments provide an apparatus which is operable to determine estimates for transmitted symbols from received symbols of an optical signal affected by cross-polarization modulation (XPolM). For example, the optical signal can be impaired by
15 XPolM. Cross-talk between differently modulated polarizations may be introduced in an optical channel. In other words, the optical signal may comprise cross-talk interference from the polarization channels used for polarization multiplexing. In embodiments the apparatus may be comprised or included in a receiver for the optical signal and the receiver or the apparatus may comprise further or additional components such as optical/electrical
20 converters, chromatic dispersion estimation and/or compensation, a constant modulus entity for applying a constant modulus algorithm, carrier frequency and phase estimation, a decoder, a detector, one or more filters, etc. All of these components can be implemented using one or more according modules, devices or units.

The apparatus is operable to group two or more subsequent received symbols from the
25 optical signal into a group of received symbols and to estimate an optical channel based on the group of received symbols and a hypothesis on the transmitted symbols. In other words the apparatus may comprise means for grouping multiple received symbols into a group or block of received symbols for which the channel is commonly estimated. That is to say the apparatus may comprise means for estimating the channel per group of received symbols.
30 The means for grouping and the means for estimating can thus be coupled with each other. The apparatus is further operable to determine a reliability measure for a combination of

the group of received symbols, the channel estimate, and the hypothesis. Hence, in some embodiments the reliability measure can be chosen as a likelihood ratio, a log-likelihood ratio, a mean square error, etc. Hence the apparatus can comprise means for determining the reliability measure. The means for determining can be coupled to the above described
5 means.

Furthermore, the apparatus is operable to determine the estimates for the transmitted symbols based on reliability measures for multiple hypotheses on the transmitted symbols. In other words, the apparatus comprises further means for determining the estimates on the transmitted symbols, which can be operable to determine at least two different hypotheses
10 on different combinations of transmitted symbols based on which at least two reliability measures are determined. The estimation on the transmitted symbols can be determined based on selecting the transmitted symbols from the most reliable combination of received symbols, channel estimate and hypothesis. The further means for determining can be coupled to the above described means.

15 Means as described herein may be implemented using one or more according devices, units, or modules, which can be operable to carry out the respective function or task of the means as described above. In some embodiments the means can be implemented as computer programs and one or more hardware components operable to execute said computer programs, such as one or more Digital Signal Processors (DSP), multi-purpose
20 processors, etc.

In embodiments a transmission of transmit symbols can be organized in repetitive symbol intervals. Such symbol intervals can also be referred to as slots, frames, transmission intervals, etc. Two transmit symbols can be transmitted per symbol interval using different polarizations of the optical signal, such as horizontal and vertical polarizations. The
25 apparatus can be operable to receive two received symbols per symbol interval. That is to say that the apparatus comprises means for receiving said received symbols. The means for receiving can be implemented as a receiver comprising typical receiver components, such as an optical/electrical converter, filter, amplifiers, mixer, one of the above components, etc. Hence, the apparatus can be operable to receive an optical receive signal, to convert
30 the optical receive signal to an electrical receive signal, and to determine the received symbols from the electrical receive signal.

The apparatus, the means for grouping, respectively, can be operable to group received symbols of two or more symbol intervals into the group of received symbols. In other words there can be two received symbols per symbol interval, which can be received from different polarizations. The apparatus, the means for estimating, respectively, can be operable to estimate the optical channel jointly for all received symbols in the group. The channel may then be considered as being a common channel for the symbols in the group.

In other words, the apparatus and/or the means for grouping can be operable to group received symbols from symbol intervals corresponding to a time period, which is shorter than a coherence time of the optical channel, into the group of received symbols. That is to say the group of received symbols comprises received symbols from a time interval, which is short enough for the channel not to change significantly. Hence, the assumption of a constant channel can be applied per group of received symbols.

Embodiments may make use of a concept for mitigating the XpolM at the receiver side. It can be based on a two-step approach. Firstly, it may relax the XPolM problem, and secondly, it may solve the relaxed problem with a joint blind channel estimation and data detection concept. The joint channel estimate and data detection in embodiments can be based on the generalized maximum likelihood theory. Embodiments may process a received sequence operating on blocks of symbols. For each block, first an optimization may be performed over the unknown channel matrix, whose particular form is given by the relaxed XpolM problem, and then a minimum distance over the set of all possible transmitted blocks can be computed. That is to say the reliability measure can be based on a difference or a deviation between the received symbols and a combination of the estimate of the optical channel and the hypothesis on the transmitted symbols. In simple words, estimated received symbols may be determined based on the hypothesis and the channel estimation. The difference between the estimated received symbols and the actual received symbols may serve as a basis for the reliability measure, e.g. the magnitude or the square of the difference may be evaluated. Hence, in some embodiments the reliability measure corresponds to a squared difference or deviation between the received symbols and

estimated received symbols, the estimated received symbols correspond to the product of the hypothesis on the transmitted symbols and the channel estimate.

In further embodiments the apparatus can be operable to iterate through different reliability measures based on different hypotheses of transmitted symbols and different channel estimates. The apparatus may then determine the estimates of the transmitted symbols based on a hypothesis on the transmitted symbols yielding a reliability measure with highest reliability, e.g. with the lowest deviation from the actual received symbols as described above. In some embodiments the apparatus can be operable to iterate through all possible hypotheses on transmitted symbols in a given group of received symbols. In other words, in some embodiments an exhaustive search may be carried out through all combinations of transmit symbols for the group. The apparatus may then be operable to determine different estimates of the optical channel for the different hypotheses. The apparatus may further determine the reliability measure accordingly and finally estimate the transmitted symbols based on the different reliability measures as described above.

As an exhaustive search or iteration can be computationally very complex, the apparatus may narrow down the search in some other embodiments. For example, the apparatus can be operable to determine different reliability measures for all possible hypotheses for a first symbol interval. The group of received symbols may represent multiple subsequent symbols intervals as described above. The apparatus may, at first, only consider the first symbol from the interval and it may base a subsequent estimation on the hypothesis for the first symbol interval, which indicates the highest reliability. That is to say symbols, which indicate low reliability may not be considered subsequently, which may eliminate branches of subsequent combinations. From another perspective the apparatus may be operable to consider the first symbols as the roots for different combinations and it may consider the reliability measures for said root first and it may then eliminate one or more roots from the subsequent search or iteration.

In further embodiments the apparatus can be operable to iteratively determine different reliability measures for all possible hypotheses for the subsequent symbol intervals in the group of received symbols and for basing a subsequent estimation on the hypothesis for the respective symbol interval, which indicates the highest reliability. In other words the apparatus may be operable to further preclude certain sub-branches subsequently and

therewith narrow the scope of the search, such that it becomes computationally feasible. For example, the optical receive signal can be a pulse-duration-modulated binary phase-shift keying signal. Hence, the symbol alphabet for the transmit symbols comprises two possibilities, two of which are transmitted at a time multiplexed using different polarizations. Altogether this may result in four combinations per symbol interval. Obviously, the scope of the search depends on the size of the group of received symbols and/or the time period the group represents and the order of the modulation used.

Embodiments further provide a method for determining estimates for transmitted symbols from received symbols of an optical signal affected by XpolM. The method comprises grouping two or more subsequent received symbols from the optical signal into a group of received symbols. The method further comprises estimating an optical channel based on the group of received symbols and a hypothesis on the transmitted symbols and determining a reliability measure for a combination of the group of received symbols, the channel estimate, and the hypothesis. The method further comprises determining the estimates for the transmitted symbols based on reliability measures for multiple hypotheses on the transmitted symbols.

Moreover, embodiments provide a computer program having a program code for performing one of the above-described methods, when the computer program is executed on a computer or a processor.

Embodiments may provide the advantage that an efficiency as compared to existing data estimators may be improved. Moreover, embodiments may provide a scalable concept in terms of complexity depending on the number of received symbols in a group, in the following also referred to as block length P . Embodiments may further provide the advantage that a blind channel estimation concept may be enabled, such that no transmission resources have to be used for reference signals, which may even further increase the efficiency of an optical transmission system.

Brief Description of the Figures

Some other features or aspects will be described using the following non-limiting embodiments of apparatuses and/or methods and/or computer programs by way of example only, and with reference to the accompanying Figures, in which

- Fig. 1 illustrates an embodiment of an apparatus operable to determine estimates for transmitted symbols;
- Fig. 2 shows a block diagram of a receiver comprising an embodiment;
- Fig. 3 shows a block diagram of an embodiment of a method;
- 5 Fig. 4 depicts a decision aided XPolM algorithm;
- Fig. 5 shows a block diagram of a blind XPolM algorithm;
- Fig. 6 illustrates simulation results of bit error rates versus bit energy per noise density; and
- Fig. 7 shows a block diagram of an embodiment of a method.

10 Description of Some Embodiments

Various embodiments will now be described in more detail with reference to the accompanying drawings. In the figures, the thicknesses of lines, layers and/or regions may be exaggerated for clarity.

Accordingly, while embodiments are capable of various modifications and alternative
15 forms, embodiments thereof are shown by way of example in the figures and will herein be described in detail. It should be understood, however, that there is no intent to limit embodiments to the particular forms disclosed, but on the contrary, embodiments are to cover all modifications, equivalents, and alternatives falling within the scope of the invention. Like numbers refer to like or similar elements throughout the description of the
20 figures.

It will be understood that when an element is referred to as being “connected” or “coupled” to another element, it can be directly connected or coupled to the other element or intervening elements may be present. In contrast, when an element is referred to as being “directly connected” or “directly coupled” to another element, there are no intervening
25 elements present. Other words used to describe the relationship between elements should be interpreted in a like fashion (e.g., “between” versus “directly between,” “adjacent” versus “directly adjacent,” etc.).

The terminology used herein is for the purpose of describing particular embodiments only and is not intended to be limiting of example embodiments. As used herein, the singular forms “a,” “an” and “the” are intended to include the plural forms as well, unless the context clearly indicates otherwise. It will be further understood that the terms
5 “comprises,” “comprising,” “includes” and/or “including,” when used herein, specify the presence of stated features, integers, steps, operations, elements and/or components, but do not preclude the presence or addition of one or more other features, integers, steps, operations, elements, components and/or groups thereof.

Unless otherwise defined, all terms (including technical and scientific terms) used herein
10 have the same meaning as commonly understood by one of ordinary skill in the art to which embodiments belong. It will be further understood that terms, e.g., those defined in commonly used dictionaries, should be interpreted as having a meaning that is consistent with their meaning in the context of the relevant art and will not be interpreted in an idealized or overly formal sense unless expressly so defined herein.

15 In the following description some components will be displayed in multiple figures carrying the same reference signs, but may not be described multiple times in detail. A detailed description of a component may then apply to that component for all its occurrences.

In the following figures optional components are shown in dashed lines. Fig. 1 shows an
20 embodiment of an apparatus 10, which is operable to determine estimates for transmitted symbols from received symbols of an optical signal affected by XpolM. The apparatus 10 is operable to group two or more subsequent received symbols from the optical signal into a group of received symbols. In some embodiments this can be achieved using means for grouping 12, which is shown to be optional in Fig. 1. The apparatus 10 is further operable
25 to estimate an optical channel based on the group of received symbols. In some embodiments this can be achieved by using a channel estimator 14. Moreover, the apparatus is operable to further determine a hypothesis on the transmitted symbols, which can be achieved using a hypothesis determiner 16 in some embodiments. Furthermore, the apparatus 10 is operable to determine a reliability measure for a combination of the group
30 of received symbols, the channel estimate, and the hypothesis. This can in some embodiments be achieved using a reliability measure determiner 18. The apparatus 10 is

further operable to determine the estimates for the transmitted symbols based on reliability measures for multiple hypotheses on the transmitted symbols.

Fig. 2 shows a receiver structure 100 with an embodiment of the apparatus 10. The receiver structure comprises a front end 102, which receives the optical signal and a signal from a Local Oscillator (LO). The front end 102 converts the optical signal to an electrical signal and down-mixes the respective signal from the transmission band to the base band. The signal may as well be converted to complex-valued samples in the base band. The front end 102 is then followed by a Chromatic Dispersion Estimation 104 (CDE) and compensation. Afterwards a Constant Modulus Algorithm 106 (CMA) is applied to carry out blind de-convolution in terms of equalization. In Fig. 2 the lower branch of the signal processing chain comprises a Delay (D) unit 108, which delays the symbols in said branch by N symbols, symbol times or intervals respectively. The remaining two branches are then input into a Carrier Frequency Estimation 110 (CFE) and subsequently into two separate Carrier Phase Estimation (CPE) blocks 112 and 114. The result is then input into the apparatus 10 as received symbols for the different polarizations. The estimates of the transmitted symbols determined by the apparatus 10 are then provided to two differential decoders 116 and 118 before being detected by detector 120.

Embodiments may mitigate the XpolM effect at the receiver 100 side through signal processing. In a standard coherent receiver 100, embodiments may be located after the CFE/CPE blocks 110, 112, 114 as shown in Fig. 2. In other embodiments, one or more of the components shown in Fig. 2 may be comprised in the apparatus 10. In other words the apparatus 10 can be operable to receive an optical receive signal, to convert the optical receive signal to an electrical receive signal, and to determine the received symbols from the electrical receive signal.

In the following details of an embodiment will be provided. It is first assumed that the system-level XpolM can be described with

N_{RX} : the number of received polarizations/modes, i.e. $N_{RX} = 2$ in a Single Mode Fiber (SMF) fiber;

N_{TX} : the number of transmit polarizations/modes, i.e. $N_{TX} = 2$ in an SMF fiber;

- H**: the XpolM channel transfer matrix (of size $N_{Rx} \times N_{Tx}$) capturing the multichannel components of the XpolM;
- x**: the transmitted symbols vector (of size $N_{Tx} \times 1$);
- y**: the received symbols vector (of size $N_{Rx} \times 1$); and
- 5 **n**: the Additive White Gaussian Noise (AWGN) vector (of size $N_{Rx} \times 1$) modeling the Amplified Spontaneous Emission (ASE).

Hence, transmission of transmit symbols is organized in repetitive symbol intervals and two transmit symbols are transmitted per symbol interval using different polarizations of the optical signal. The apparatus 10 is operable to receive two receive symbols per symbol
 10 interval and to group received symbols of two or more symbol intervals into the group of received symbols.

The received symbol at time k , sampled at the symbol-rate, can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{n}_k &= \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{1-|\alpha_k|^2} & -\alpha_k^* \\ \alpha_k & \sqrt{1-|\alpha_k|^2} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{n}_k \\ &\approx \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\alpha_k^* \\ \alpha_k & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{n}_k \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

15 for each discrete time index k . Note that this model assumes that all linear effects are perfectly compensated and other inter- and intra-channel non-linear impairments are neglected. More details on the behavior of α_k can be found in A. Ghazisaeidi, et al., "Performance Analysis of Decision-Aided Nonlinear Cross-Polarization Mitigation Algorithm", ECOC2012. The apparatus 10 is operable to estimate the optical channel **H**
 20 jointly for all received symbols **y** in the group, which is assumed to comprise received symbols from P subsequent symbols intervals. The apparatus 10 is operable to group received symbols from symbol intervals corresponding to a time period, which is less than a coherence time of the optical channel, into the group of received symbols. The typical coherence time of the XPolM process is between 10 to 20 symbols.

In the following embodiment the problem in (1) is relaxed as it is assumed that the channel $\mathbf{H}$ is quasi-static over P symbols of time. This is justified when P is relatively small compared to the coherence time. The problem in (1) can then be reformulated as:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{H}' \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{N} \quad (2)$$

5 where $\mathbf{Y}$ is the received symbol matrix, to which it is also referred to as group of received symbols, stacking P symbols, i.e. $\mathbf{Y} = [\mathbf{y}_k \ \mathbf{y}_{k+1} \ \dots \ \mathbf{y}_{k+P-1}]$, $\mathbf{X}$ is the transmitted symbol matrix of size $N_{TX} \times P$, $\mathbf{N}$ is the noise matrix of size $N_{RX} \times P$ and $\mathbf{H}'$ is the relaxed channel matrix of size $N_{RX} \times N_{TX}$. Note that $\mathbf{H}'$ is unknown at the receiver side and it is to be estimated blindly in the second step of the embodiment detailed hereafter.

10 In the following an XPolM mitigation algorithm of an embodiment will be described. This second step performs a joint channel estimation and data detection. The optimal solution under these assumptions can be given by the Generalized Maximum Likelihood expressed as

$$\mathbf{X}^{opt}, \mathbf{H}'^{opt} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{H}'} \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{H}' \mathbf{X}\|^2. \quad (3)$$

15 It can be solved sequentially by firstly minimizing over $\mathbf{H}'$, and secondly over $\mathbf{X}$. Some more notations need to be introduced. For any matrix $\mathbf{A}$: $\mathbf{A}_{(i)}$ denotes the first i columns of $\mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{a}_i$ denotes the i^{th} column of $\mathbf{A}$, e.g. $\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{a}_1 \ \mathbf{a}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{a}_P]$ and $\mathbf{A}_{(2)} = [\mathbf{a}_1 \ \mathbf{a}_2]$, and $a_{i,j}$ denotes the (i,j) entry of matrix $\mathbf{A}$. The channel estimate for any given transmitted matrix $\mathbf{X}$ of length P can be written as:

$$20 \quad \mathbf{H}' \approx \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\alpha^* \\ \alpha & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \alpha = \frac{1}{2P} \left(\sum_{k=1}^P \frac{y_{2,k} - x_{2,k}}{x_{1,k}} - \frac{y_{1,k}^* - x_{1,k}^*}{x_{2,k}^*} \right). \quad (4)$$

Obviously, brute force search is hardly manageable for $P \geq 4$ and high-order modulation (e.g. 16QAM). However, some progress has been made recently and proposed to solve the GML problems with a branch-estimate-bound framework, cf. Weiyu XU, et al., "ON EXACT MAXIMUM-LIKELIHOOD DETECTION FOR NON-COHERENT MIMO
25 WIRELESS SYSTEMS: A BRANCH-ESTIMATE-BOUND OPTIMIZATION
FRAMEWORK", ISIT2008, pp. 2017-2021.

The following embodiment considers a branch-estimate-bound framework and uses partial metrics. These partial metrics up to the time index i can be written as:

$$M(i) = \min_{\mathbf{H}'} \|\mathbf{Y}(i) - \mathbf{H}' \mathbf{X}(i)\|^2, \quad (5)$$

where $\mathbf{H}'$ is calculated as per (4) with P replaced by the length of $\mathbf{X}(i)$, which is $i \leq P$.

- 5 Let R be the optimum distance. It can be demonstrated that if any partial metric $M(i)$ is larger than the optimum distance R , then the global optimum solution cannot be the candidate sequence $\mathbf{X}(i)$ associated to the partial metric $M(i)$, which serves as reliability measure in the present embodiment. In other words, in this embodiment the reliability measure $M(i)$ is based on the difference between the received symbols $\mathbf{Y}(i)$ and a
- 10 combination $\mathbf{H}' \mathbf{X}(i)$ of the estimate $\mathbf{H}'$ of the optical channel $\mathbf{H}$ and the hypotheses $\mathbf{X}(i)$ on the transmitted symbols. In particular, the reliability measure $M(i)$ corresponds to a squared difference $\|\mathbf{Y}(i) - \mathbf{H}' \mathbf{X}(i)\|^2$ between the received symbols $\mathbf{Y}(i)$ and estimated received symbols $\mathbf{H}' \mathbf{X}(i)$, the estimated received symbols $\mathbf{H}' \mathbf{X}(i)$ correspond to the product of the hypothesis $\mathbf{X}(i)$ on the transmitted symbols and the channel estimate $\mathbf{H}'$.
- 15 In some embodiments, partial candidate solutions can be removed from the search of the optimum solution (with their offsprings), when any partial metric $M(i)$ is larger than the optimum distance R , since the global optimum solution cannot be the candidate sequence $\mathbf{X}(i)$ associated to that partial metric $M(i)$. The complexity of the overall search may therewith be reduced significantly.
- 20 In other words, the apparatus 10 can be operable to iterate through different reliability measures based on different hypotheses of transmitted symbols $\mathbf{X}$ and different channel estimates $\mathbf{H}'$. Note that in line with the above equation (4) the channel estimate depends on the hypothesis. Hence, the apparatus 10 can be operable to determine different estimates of the optical channel for the different hypotheses. The apparatus 10 is further operable to
- 25 determine the estimates of the transmitted symbols based on a hypothesis on the transmitted symbols yielding a reliability measure with highest reliability, in the present embodiment the highest reliability may correspond to the lowest partial metric $M(i)$, which may correspond to the optimum distance or which may be the closest to the optimum distance. In some embodiments the apparatus 10 can be operable to iterate through all
- 30 possible hypotheses on transmitted symbols in a given group of received symbols. In other

embodiments, the search may be limited based on the partial metrics or reliability measures of certain symbols.

In some embodiments, the apparatus 10 is further operable to determine different reliability measures for all possible hypotheses for a first symbol interval and for basing a subsequent estimation on the hypothesis for the first symbol interval, which indicates the highest reliability. In other words, the apparatus 10 may preclude certain combinations after having determined certain partial metrics, which are higher than the optimal distance. Principally, this may be carried out at any stage of the processing. However, at least in some embodiments the number of combinations, which can be precluded from the search may depend on how early certain combinations could be precluded. In other words, the earlier such a preclusion can be determined, the higher the reduction in complexity.

The apparatus 10 may then be operable to iteratively determine different reliability measures for all possible hypotheses for subsequent symbol intervals in the group of received symbols and for basing a subsequent estimation on the hypothesis for the respective symbol interval, which indicates the highest reliability.

In the following the choice of the initial radius will be described for an embodiment. The initial radius depends on the statistics of the AWGN noise $(1/\sigma^2) \cdot \|N\|^2$, which is chi-square distributed with $2N_{RX} \cdot P$ degrees of freedom. A good initial radius is such that a candidate partial sequence is found with a sufficiently high probability $\Pr(\|N\|^2 \leq R^2) = x\%$, where x can be defined, e.g. as 50, 75, 90, 95, 99, etc. If no point or combination is found with the initial radius, then the XpolM mitigation algorithm increases the radius to find a solution. $R^2 = 2 \cdot N_{RX} \cdot P \cdot \sigma^2$ as the initial radius is a good compromise. However, it is worth mentioning that the algorithm may always converge, whatever the choice of the initial radius; but a too large R will take more time to find the optimum solution.

In the following a possible implementation will be described for an embodiment. A possible implementation of the XPolM mitigation algorithm is shown in the flow chart in Fig. 3. Fig. 3 illustrates a block diagram of an embodiment of a method for determining estimates of transmitted symbols. As Fig. 3 shows in block 400, input values into the method are the received symbols Y , the block or group size P , the initial Radius R and the constellation Ψ . The constellation Ψ is a set representing the different combinations of the constellation points of the transmit symbols from the N_{TX} transmitter polarizations/modes

$\Psi = \{\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_{|\Psi|}\}$ and $|\Psi|$ denotes the cardinality of this set. For instance, in a PDM-BPSK system, the set Ψ is $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} +1 \\ +1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} +1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ +1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, where $\begin{bmatrix} +1 \\ +1 \end{bmatrix}$ are the symbols transmitted on the 2 polarizations and $|\Psi| = 2^{N_{Tx}M}$, where M is the number of bits/symbol. Hence, in the present embodiment the optical receive signal is a pulse-
5 duration-modulated binary phase-shift keying signal.

Note that in the present embodiment, there are two main variables representing indices, i.e. the variable $k \in [1, P]$ denoting the depth level of the algorithm and the variable $i(k) \in [1, |\Psi|]$ depending on the index k , and denoting the i^{th} tested candidate Ψ_i for the k^{th} column of $\mathbf{X}$, i.e. the hypotheses. In step 402 of Fig. 3 initialization for the algorithm is carried out,
10 i.e. $k=1$ for each index $i(k) \in [1, |\Psi|]$, $\mathbf{x}_k = \Psi_{i(k)}$. Moreover the estimated channel is computed in line with the above equation (4) and the metric $M(k)$ is computed based on $\mathbf{x}_k$ and equation (5). These metrics can be stored in a list and sorted in ascending order. The output indexes can be stored in a variable list.

In step 404 of Fig. 4 an iteration starts with $k=1$, $i(k)=1$ and $\mathbf{x}_k = \Psi_{\text{list}(i(k))}$. It is to be noted
15 that the iteration may therewith be started with the hypothesis yielding the lowest metric from the sorted list. In step 406 the estimated channel is computed according to equation (4) and the metric $M(k)$ is computed in line with equation (5) and $\mathbf{x}_k$ from step 404. In step 408 a comparison of the metric $M(k)$ with R^2 is carried out. If $M(k) \leq R^2$ in step 410 it is checked whether $k=P$, i.e. whether all hypotheses across the group of $2P$ received symbols
20 have already been considered. If not, i.e. if $k < P$, then in step 412 $k=k+1$, $i(k)=1$ and $\mathbf{x}_k = \Psi_{\text{list}(i(k))}$. The next iteration loop the starts at step 406 with the correspondingly increased k .

If in the comparison step 408 it is found that $M(k) > R^2$ hence, that the partial metric is larger than the optimum value, then in step 414 it is determined whether an integer j exists with $1 \leq j \leq k$, i.e. within the positions in the group of received symbols which have already
25 been considered and with $i(j) < |\Psi|$, i.e. without reaching the limit of the symbol alphabet for the hypothesis. In step 416 it is then checked whether such integer j exists. If it does exist, then in step 418 $k=j$, $i(k) = i(k)+1$ and $\mathbf{X}_k = \Psi_{\text{list}(i(k))}$, i.e. the next hypothesis is considered starting a new iteration loop in step 406.

In other words, the loop shown on the left hand side in Fig. 3 (steps 406, 408, 410, 412, back to 406) iterates through the time index k making sure that all positions in the block are considered. If at a certain position it is found that $M(k) \leq R^2$ then the loop on the right hand side of Fig. 3 (steps 408, 414, 416, 418, 406, back to 408) assures that all possible hypothesis are considered at that position. If in step 410 $k=P$ is reached, i.e. all positions within the block have been considered and for each position an $M(k) \leq R^2$ has been found, the current solution is stored in step 420 as $\mathbf{X}^{\text{opt}} = [\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_P]$ and the distance is updated as $R^2 = M(k)$. From there the algorithm continues in step 414, i.e. it is checked whether other combinations for checking are available, i.e. whether another according integer j can be found. If in the subsequent step 416 it turns out that no such integer j can be found, i.e. all combinations have been considered up to this point, in step 422 it is verified if a solution has been found at all. If a solution has been stored, cf. step 420, then the solution $\mathbf{X}^{\text{opt}}$ is output in step 424. If no solution has been found in step 422 the distance R is increased in step 426, since this may occur when R is set so small that in step 408 all metrics $M(k)$ fulfill the condition and step 410 has never been reached. Increasing R in step 426 ensures that R will reach a value, which will eventually satisfy $M(k) \leq R^2$ in step 408.

In order to speed up the convergence of the embodiment, an ordering step can be introduced. This ensures that the algorithm begins with potential candidates with the smallest partial metrics at the first level (i.e. when $k=1$). Intuitively, it can be expected that a small partial metric at the first level has a higher likelihood to lie within the square radius distance R^2 at the level P (i.e. when $k=P$). Hence, it could be stored as a current solution, and the new distance R^2 would be reduced (if necessary). The ordering step makes use of an ordered set of indexes called *List* in Fig. 3. Obviously, if the ordering step is skipped, then *List* is just a list of indexes from 1 to $|\Psi|$ in the ascending order.

In the following simulation results will be presented comparing the above embodiment to two other approaches. The following receiver side signal-processing-based techniques are considered for comparison. A decision-aided XPolM algorithm, introduced by Lei Li, et al, "Nonlinear Polarization Crosstalk Canceller for Dual-Polarization Digital Coherent Receivers", OFC2010, is depicted in Fig. 4, which provides poor performance improvement, since the processing is based on hard decision of the received symbols sequences. The approach shown in Fig. 4 makes use of threshold decisions and averaged

crosstalk compensation based on said decisions. It is therefore referred to as a Nonlinear Polarization Crosstalk Canceller (NPCC).

The second approach is a blind XPolM algorithm and it makes use of covariance matrix averaging and linear regression axis fitting in the Stokes space, using conversion from the Jones space to Stokes space and back to the Jones space. A principal block diagram is depicted in Fig. 5. The concept provides a larger performance improvement compared to the first approach shown in Fig. 4. However, the Q^2 -factor, as a quality measure, is still limited as shown in Fig. 6.

Fig. 6 illustrates the simulation results by means of Bit Error Rates (BER) versus bit energy E_b per noise density N_0 . For illustration purposes, the numerical simulations have been performed with a semi-analytical model also shown in A. Ghazisaeidi, et al. In the simulated example the XPolM strength was set to 0.06, which is a typical value in experimental settings. The plot in Fig. 6 shows different curves representative of:

- the uncompensated case, i.e. when XPolM is not post-compensated at the receiver side;
- the first approach in line with Lei Li et al, where the decision-aided algorithm was applied at the receiver side to mitigate XpolM;
- the second approach in line with P. Serena et al, where the blind-XpolE algorithm from Bell Labs was applied at the RX side to mitigate XpolM;
- the invention GML-based algorithm for various values of $P = 2, 3$, or 4.

From Fig. 6 it can be seen that the proposed invention with $P = 2$, performance is very close to the previously introduced blind-XPolE algorithm, cf. the second approach. However, when the value of P increases, the performance also improves. With $P = 3$ (respectively $P = 4$), the gap with respect to the best existing solution is $\sim 0.7\text{dB}$ (resp. 1dB) at the BER 10^{-3} . In further embodiments a threshold value may be implemented to (de-) activate the algorithm. In other words, in some embodiments the iteration may be terminated once a certain threshold for the distance R has been reached.

Some embodiments may estimate the XPolM strength. The variance of the XpolM can be monitored and estimated at the receiver side. Then, a threshold value (configurable at

Operation and Maintenance (OAM) for instance) may be implemented and if the monitored XpolM strength exceeds the defined threshold, some embodiments may activate the main XpolM mitigation algorithm; otherwise, it may remain deactivated.

Additionally, a parameter β can be introduced to optimize the performances of the mitigation algorithm in some embodiments, when the XPolM strength is relatively low. β is a scaling factor, which can be used during the blind channel estimation step in some embodiments, where it can offset the value of α in (4), i.e. $\alpha^{(new)} = \alpha/\beta$. In some embodiments it may be optimized through Monte Carlo simulations and it may be a function of the block length P and the XpolM strength. In some practical implementation of an embodiment, the optimal values of β can be computed offline for a set of parameters (P, XpolM strength) and can be stored in a look-up table.

Fig. 7 illustrates a block diagram of an embodiment of a method for determining estimates for transmitted symbols from received symbols of an optical signal affected by XpolM. The method comprises a step of grouping two or more subsequent received symbols from the optical signal into a group of received symbols. The method comprises a further step of estimating an optical channel based on the group of received symbols and a hypothesis on the transmitted symbols. The method comprises the further steps of determining a reliability measure for a combination of the group of received symbols, the channel estimate, and the hypothesis and determining the estimates for the transmitted symbols based on reliability measures for multiple hypotheses on the transmitted symbols.

Embodiments may provide the advantage that the decoding efficiency compared to the existing solutions may be increased. Moreover, embodiments may provide scalability in terms of complexity depending on the block length P. Embodiments may provide the further advantage that they are independent from a modulation format and that they may enable blind channel estimation. Embodiments may provide ~0.5 to 1dB improvement in coherent receivers for typical XPolM strength and BER target, which allows the system to be more resilient to XPolM effects.

Further embodiments provide a computer program having a program code for performing one of the above methods when the computer program is executed on a computer or processor.

A person of skill in the art would readily recognize that steps of various above-described methods can be performed by programmed computers. Herein, some embodiments are also intended to cover program storage devices, e.g., digital data storage media, which are machine or computer readable and encode machine-executable or computer-executable programs of instructions, wherein said instructions perform some or all of the steps of said above-described methods. The program storage devices may be, e.g., digital memories, magnetic storage media such as magnetic disks and magnetic tapes, hard drives, or optically readable digital data storage media. The embodiments are also intended to cover computers programmed to perform said steps of the above-described methods or (field) programmable logic arrays ((F)PLAs) or (field) programmable gate arrays ((F)PGAs), programmed to perform said steps of the above-described methods.

The description and drawings merely illustrate the principles of the invention. It will thus be appreciated that those skilled in the art will be able to devise various arrangements that, although not explicitly described or shown herein, embody the principles of the invention and are included within its spirit and scope. Furthermore, all examples recited herein are principally intended expressly to be only for pedagogical purposes to aid the reader in understanding the principles of the invention and the concepts contributed by the inventor(s) to furthering the art, and are to be construed as being without limitation to such specifically recited examples and conditions. Moreover, all statements herein reciting principles, aspects, and embodiments of the invention, as well as specific examples thereof, are intended to encompass equivalents thereof.

Functional blocks denoted as “means for ...” (performing a certain function) shall be understood as functional blocks comprising circuitry that is adapted for performing or to perform a certain function, respectively. Hence, a “means for s.th.” may as well be understood as a “means being adapted or suited for s.th.”. A means being adapted for performing a certain function does, hence, not imply that such means necessarily is performing said function (at a given time instant).

The functions of the various elements shown in the Figures, including any functional blocks labeled as “means”, “means for grouping”, “means for estimating”, “means for determining”, etc., may be provided through the use of dedicated hardware, such as “a grouper”, “an estimator”, “a determiner”, etc. as well as hardware capable of executing

software in association with appropriate software. Moreover, any entity described herein as “means”, “means for” may correspond to or be implemented as “one or more modules”, “one or more devices”, “one or more units”, etc. When provided by a processor, the functions may be provided by a single dedicated processor, by a single shared processor, or
5 by a plurality of individual processors, some of which may be shared. Moreover, explicit use of the term “processor” or “controller” should not be construed to refer exclusively to hardware capable of executing software, and may implicitly include, without limitation, digital signal processor (DSP) hardware, network processor, application specific integrated circuit (ASIC), field programmable gate array (FPGA), read only memory (ROM) for
10 storing software, random access memory (RAM), and non-volatile storage. Other hardware, conventional and/or custom, may also be included. Similarly, any switches shown in the Figures are conceptual only. Their function may be carried out through the operation of program logic, through dedicated logic, through the interaction of program control and dedicated logic, or even manually, the particular technique being selectable by
15 the implementer as more specifically understood from the context.

It should be appreciated by those skilled in the art that any block diagrams herein represent conceptual views of illustrative circuitry embodying the principles of the invention. Similarly, it will be appreciated that any flow charts, flow diagrams, state transition diagrams, pseudo code, and the like represent various processes which may be
20 substantially represented in computer readable medium and so executed by a computer or processor, whether or not such computer or processor is explicitly shown.

Claims

1. An apparatus (10) operable to determine estimates for transmitted symbols from received symbols of an optical signal affected by XpolM, the apparatus (10) being operable to group two or more subsequent received symbols from the optical signal
5 into a group of received symbols and to estimate an optical channel based on the group of received symbols and a hypothesis on the transmitted symbols, wherein the apparatus (10) is further operable to determine a reliability measure for a combination of the group of received symbols, the channel estimate, and the hypothesis, and wherein the apparatus (10) is further operable to determine the
10 estimates for the transmitted symbols based on reliability measures for multiple hypotheses on the transmitted symbols.
2. The apparatus (10) of claim 1, wherein a transmission of transmit symbols is organized in repetitive symbol intervals and wherein two transmit symbols are transmitted per symbol interval using different polarizations of the optical signal,
15 and wherein the apparatus (10) is operable to receive two received symbols per symbol interval and to group received symbols of two or more symbol intervals into the group of received symbols.
3. The apparatus (10) of claim 1, wherein the apparatus (10) is operable to estimate the optical channel jointly for all received symbols in the group.
- 20 4. The apparatus (10) of claim 1, wherein the reliability measure is based on a difference between the received symbols and a combination of the estimate of the optical channel and the hypotheses on the transmitted symbols.
5. The apparatus (10) of claim 1, wherein the reliability measure corresponds to a squared difference between the received symbols and estimated received symbols,
25 the estimated received symbols corresponding to the product of the hypothesis on the transmitted symbols and the channel estimate.
6. The apparatus (10) of claim 1, being operable to iterate through different reliability measures based on different hypotheses of transmitted symbols and different channel estimates and to determine the estimates of the transmitted symbols based

on a hypothesis on the transmitted symbols yielding a reliability measure with highest reliability.

7. The apparatus (10) of claim 6, being operable to iterate through all possible hypotheses on transmitted symbols in a given group of received symbols.
- 5 8. The apparatus (10) of claim 7, being operable to determine different estimates of the optical channel for the different hypotheses.
9. The apparatus (10) of claim 2, being further operable to determine different reliability measures for all possible hypotheses for a first symbol interval and for basing a subsequent estimation on the hypothesis for the first symbol interval,
10 which indicates the highest reliability.
10. The apparatus (10) of claim 9, being further operable to iteratively determine different reliability measures for all possible hypotheses for the subsequent symbol intervals in the group of received symbols and for basing a subsequent estimation on the hypothesis for the respective symbol interval, which indicates the highest
15 reliability.
11. The apparatus (10) of claim 2, wherein the apparatus (10) is operable to group received symbols from symbol intervals corresponding to a time period, which is less than a coherence time of the optical channel, into the group of received symbols.
- 20 12. The apparatus (10) of claim 1, wherein the optical receive signal is a pulse-duration-modulated binary phase-shift keying signal.
13. The apparatus (10) of claim 1, further being operable to receive an optical receive signal, to convert the optical receive signal to an electrical receive signal, and to determine the received symbols from the electrical receive signal.
- 25 14. A method for determining estimates for transmitted symbols from received symbols of an optical signal affected by XpolM, the method comprising
grouping (22) two or more subsequent received symbols from the optical signal into a group of received symbols;

estimating (24) an optical channel based on the group of received symbols and a hypothesis on the transmitted symbols;

determining (26) a reliability measure for a combination of the group of received symbols, the channel estimate, and the hypothesis; and

5 determining (28) the estimates for the transmitted symbols based on reliability measures for multiple hypotheses on the transmitted symbols.

15. A computer program having a program code for performing the method of claim 14, when the computer program is executed on a computer or processor.

1 / 7





Fig. 1

2 / 7



100 ↗

Fig. 2

3 / 7




Fig. 3




Fig. 4

5 / 7




Fig. 5

6 / 7




Fig. 6

7 / 7




Fig. 7

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/EP2013/070872

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

INV. H04L25/02 H04L25/03 H04B10/61
ADD.

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

H04B H04L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, INSPEC

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	WINTER M ET AL: "Cross-Polarization Modulation in Polarization-Division Multiplex Transmission", IEEE PHOTONICS TECHNOLOGY LETTERS, IEEE SERVICE CENTER, PISCATAWAY, NJ, US, vol. 22, no. 8, 15 April 2010 (2010-04-15), pages 538-540, XP011303351, ISSN: 1041-1135 abstract; figure 2 ----- -/--	1-15



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

10 February 2014

Date of mailing of the international search report

18/02/2014

Name and mailing address of the ISA/

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Phillips, Simon

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No

PCT/EP2013/070872

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	PETER NOEL ET AL: "The design, development and implementation of a cross-polarization interference cancellation system for point-to-point Digital Microwave Radio systems", ELECTRICAL AND COMPUTER ENGINEERING (CCECE), 2011 24TH CANADIAN CONFERENCE ON, IEEE, 8 May 2011 (2011-05-08), pages 1365-1369, XP031967746, DOI: 10.1109/CCECE.2011.6030686 ISBN: 978-1-4244-9788-1 abstract; figure 1 -----	1-15
A	US 2012/224852 A1 (LIU XIANG [US] ET AL) 6 September 2012 (2012-09-06) abstract; figure 1 -----	1-15
A	US 2011/268168 A1 (DYBDAL ROBERT B [US] ET AL) 3 November 2011 (2011-11-03) abstract; figure 2 -----	1-15
A	US 6 081 566 A (MOLNAR KARL JAMES [US] ET AL) 27 June 2000 (2000-06-27) abstract; figure 2 -----	1-15

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No

PCT/EP2013/070872

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2012224852	A1	06-09-2012	EP 2684326 A1 15-01-2014
			US 2012224852 A1 06-09-2012
			WO 2012145071 A1 26-10-2012

US 2011268168	A1	03-11-2011	NONE

US 6081566	A	27-06-2000	AR 008590 A1 09-02-2000
			AU 725189 B2 05-10-2000
			AU 2672197 A 12-11-1997
			CA 2251843 A1 30-10-1997
			DE 69727476 D1 11-03-2004
			DE 69727476 T2 23-12-2004
			EP 0894367 A1 03-02-1999
			ID 19673 A 30-07-1998
			JP 2000509575 A 25-07-2000
			KR 200000005543 A 25-01-2000
			US 6081566 A 27-06-2000
			WO 9740588 A1 30-10-1997
