



- (51) International Patent Classification:  
C08B 30/12 (2006.01)
- (21) International Application Number:  
PCT/NL2013/050668
- (22) International Filing Date:  
16 September 2013 (16.09.2013)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:  
12184454.2 14 September 2012 (14.09.2012) EP
- (71) Applicant: NEDERLANDSE ORGANISATIE VOOR TOEGEPAST-NATUURWETENSCHAPPELIJK ONDERZOEK TNO [NL/NL]; Schoemakerstraat 97, NL-2628 VK Delft (NL).
- (72) Inventors: ESSERS, Maurice Karel Hubertina; Schoemakerstraat 97, NL-2628 VK Delft (NL). TIMMERMANS, Johannes Wilhelmus; Schoemakerstraat 97, NL-2628 VK Delft (NL). JETTEN, Jan Matthijs; Schoemakerstraat 97, NL-2628 VK Delft (NL). SLAGHEK, Theodoor Maximiliaan; Schoemakerstraat 97, NL-2628 VK Delft (NL). OUDHUIS, Alida Anna Catharina Maria; Schoemakerstraat 97, NL-2628 VK Delft (NL). NAGTEGAAL, Ricardo; Schoemakerstraat 97, NL-2628 VK Delft (NL).
- (74) Agent: NEDERLANDSCH OCTROOIBUREAU; J.W. Frisolaan 13, NL-2517 JS The Hague (NL).
- (81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
- Published:  
— with international search report (Art. 21(3))



WO 2014/042537 A1

(54) Title: HYDROTHERMALLY MODIFIED STARCH

(57) Abstract: A process for producing thermally inhibited starch is described resulting in a viscose starch product. The process comprises providing an alkaline starch having a pH, when measured in a 20 % (w/v) aqueous dispersion, between 9.1 and 11.2, adjusting the water content of the starch to between 2 and 22 wt.%, heating the starch between 130 and 190 °C, especially between 140 and 180 °C, for a sufficient time and at a sufficient pressure for the inhibition of the starch to be initiated before the water content has reached a level of 1 wt.% and before the pH has reached a value of 9, continuing heating the starch between 140 and 190 °C until viscostability is achieved, and cooling and optionally further processing the starch.

### **Hydrothermally modified starch**

[0001] The invention relates to the production of hydrothermally modified starch, which is a starch having stable viscosity behaviour as a result of a thermal treatment. Such hydrothermally modified starches find use in food applications.

#### 5 ***Background***

[0002] Starch is extensively used in food industry, not only for its energy content (carbohydrates), but also as a thickening or emulsifying agent. Native starches, however, require pre-treatment in order to have the required thickening and similar properties *in situ*. Starches modified by chemical crosslinking, such as phosphate crosslinking, have the required *in situ* properties, such as shear, acid and heat resistance. However, chemical modification is less desired in food applications, even though some chemical modifications are regarded as safe.

[0003] US 6,221,420 discloses a thermally inhibited starch, i.e. a hydrothermally modified starch which is inhibited from returning to its native state. The product is obtained by dehydrating starch to a water level below 1% at a temperature between 100 and 125 °C, followed by heat-treating the dry starch at about 140 °C, in a fluidized bed. US 2001/0017133 discloses a similar process wherein the starch is also dehydrated at below 125°C before the inhibition process is started (at 160°C).

[0004] There is a need for providing processes for producing hydrothermally modified starches with improved visco-stability and which are more economical, especially where equipment requirements are concerned.

#### ***Description of the invention***

[0005] It was found according to the invention that a process for producing thermally inhibited starch, by heating an alkaline starch at temperatures of e.g. between 140 and 190 °C, can be improved in terms of viscosity and viscostability by ensuring that the inhibition process, which is believed to proceed by crosslinking within the starch molecules, has been initiated and progressed to some extent in the presence of sufficient water, i.e. more than 1% water on a weight basis.

[0006] Thus the process of thermally inhibiting starch, when carried out in the presence of 2 - 22 wt.% of water, with moisture control and with pH control, until constant viscosity, results in a viscostable product suitable e.g. as a thickener or emulsifier. The process can be performed in relatively simple equipment, not requiring

fluidised beds or the like. Also the use of additives such as surfactants during heat treatment is not necessary and preferably avoided.

**[0007]** Thus, the invention pertains to a process for producing thermally inhibited starch comprising the steps of:

- 5 (i) providing alkaline starch having an initial pH, when measured in a 20 % (w/v) aqueous dispersion, between 9.1 and 11.2;
- (ii) adjusting the water content of the starch to between 2 and 22 wt.%;
- (iii) heating the starch having the adjusted water content between 140 and 190 °C while maintaining the water content of the starch above a level of 1 wt.% for a  
10 sufficient time and at a sufficient pressure for the inhibition of the starch to be initiated, to form carboxylic acids, said carboxylic acids preferably comprising at least formic acid, before pH drops below 9;
- (iv) continuing heating the starch between 140 and 190 °C until viscostability is achieved;
- 15 (v) cooling and optionally further processing the starch.

**[0008]** The starch to be used in the process of the invention can be any common type of starch, including maize, potato, tapioca, rice, wheat, etc. The starch may contain at least e.g. 70% (w/w) of amylopectin.

**[0009]** An important feature of the process of the invention is the control of water  
20 content and pH during the heat treatment. The starch is allowed to react with water under alkaline conditions at high temperature for a sufficient time to initiate the inhibition (cross-linking) process, while avoiding depolymerisation. Without wishing to be bound to a specific theory, the inventors believe that the initiation of cross-linking results in the production of acidic moieties, which may result in a pH drop. This  
25 initiation should occur under alkaline conditions so as to prevent or reduce acid-catalysed degradation processes.

**[0010]** Therefore, the thermal inhibition process should be carried out under such conditions that the water content of the starch, during the heat treatment, remains above 1 wt.% for a sufficient length of time so as to allow the (chemical) inhibition to be  
30 initiated, e.g. by the formation of crosslinks.

**[0011]** The conditions and other features for the required moisture control include the following:

- a starting water content, at the start of the thermal treatment, i.e. when the starch has reached the minimum temperature of the inhibition process, of 130°C or better 140°C, which is at least 2 wt.% by weight, or rather at least 3 wt.% preferably at least 4 wt.% by weight;
- 5 - a control of the water level during the first phase of the thermal treatment, depending on the chosen equipment (forced water vapour removal or not), in particular by applying a pressure of at least 0.05 bar and at most 0.5 bar;
- pH control as further elaborated below;
- temperature control, especially during the first phase of the heat treatment, i.e.  
10 below 190°C, preferably below 180°C.

**[0012]** The moisture control can be monitored by:

- the starting gel point of the starch, which is decreased by at least 0.5°C;
- formation of acids, in particular formic acid.

**[0013]** In order to have a sufficient water content (water activity), the water content of  
15 the starch when entering the heat treatment process (step ii above), i.e. when being above 140°C, is at least 2% (w/w), and if necessary is adjusted to such levels. Preferably, the water content in step (ii) is at least 3%, more preferably at least 4%, most preferably at least 5% (w/w). Water contents above 22% (w/w) do not contribute significantly to the improved viscosity and visco-stability. Preferably, the water content  
20 is 15% or less, most preferably 12 or less, or even 10 % or less (w/w).

**[0014]** The heat treatment is performed at a temperature between 130 and 190°C. The minimum temperature is preferably at least 140°C, most preferably at least 150°C. The maximum is preferably not above 180°C, most preferably 170°C or lower.

**[0015]** The initiation of the starch inhibition in step (iii) can be controlled by the  
25 starting gel point of the starch, i.e. the temperature at which the starch starts to gel or the crossover point of loss modulus and storage modulus. This can be determined by commonly known methods and means, e.g. using an amyloviscograph. The gel point of the starch as treated in step (iii) is determined using one of such means and methods and should be significant using existing amylography, preferably at least 0.5°C lower,  
30 preferably at least 0.6°C, more preferably at least 0.7 °C, most preferably at least 1 °C lower than the gel point of the same starch (but not having been treated according to

step (iii), i.e. in native form) using the same means and methods, using the same conditions (pH, water content, pressure etc.). The gel point assessment may for instance be carried out using a viscograph, where the settings presented for the so-called 'acid Brabender' and 'neutral Brabender' in the experimental section could be used as a guide.

5  
[0016] The initiation of the starch inhibition in step (iii) realizes the formation of carboxylic acids in the initial stage of the heat treatment. Particularly formic acid can be used as marker for sufficient acid formation. The amount of formic acid can be evidenced by e.g. liquid chromatography, in particular HPLC, wherein a significant  
10 peak for formic acid, which may be in the ppm range, is indicative for a sufficient degree of initiation, i.e. completion of step (iii). Figure 2 shows that this is easy for the skilled person to monitor temperature and pressure in function of time, to control to adjust pH at or above 9 in order to achieve carboxylic acid formation. In a preferred embodiment the heating step (iii) involves maintaining the water content above the  
15 desired levels for a sufficient time and sufficient pressure for the inhibition of the starch to be initiated and the formation of detectable amounts of carboxylic acids, preferably formic acid, using HPLC. More preferably the amount of carboxylic acid, preferably formic acid, formed at the initiation of the inhibition of the starch in step (iii) – as for instance measured with HPLC – is at least 1 ppm, more preferably at least 2 ppm, more  
20 preferably at least 3 ppm, even more preferably at least 5 ppm.

[0017] The initiation of the starch inhibition in step (iii) can also be controlled using the pH. The pH is allowed to decrease to a limited degree during the initial phase of the heat treatment, i.e. before the water level has decreased to 1 wt.%, preferably before the water level has decreased to 2 wt.%, or better, before the water level has decreased to 3  
25 wt.%. Preferably, the pH drop is not to below 9.0, more preferably not below 9.1, most preferably not below 9.2, while it may be with 0.5 unit or even 0.7 unit depending on the starting pH. The pH at the start of the heat treatment is preferably between 9.5 and 11, more preferably between 9.5 and 10.5, more preferably between 9.8 and 10.4. It was found that within this range sufficient carboxylic acids are formed.

30 [0018] The length of the heat treatment depends on the treatment conditions and equipment. The total treatment, i.e. steps (iii) and (iv), may range from a few minutes to several hours, for example from 15 minutes to 8 hours, preferably from 30 minutes to 6

hours, most preferably from 1 to 3 hours. For example, at a temperature of 140°C, the minimum time for step (iii), i.e. until a sufficient initiation of the inhibition reaction has taken place, will be 1 hour, preferably at least 1.5 h, while at 160° it may be 30 minutes, more preferably at least 45 minutes. Steps (ii) and (iv) may be performed at different  
5 temperatures or at different other conditions such as pressure, but preferably steps (iii) and (iv) are performed without interruption or change of conditions.

**[0019]** The heat treatment in step (iv) is continued until visco-stability has been achieved, in particular in combination with a high peak viscosity and set-back viscosity. Viscostability is indicative of the required degree of inhibition (cross-linking).  
10 Viscostability is defined according to the invention as a viscosity which, when measured at 95°C, has a breakdown of viscosity after a hold time of 10 minutes at 95°C, divided by the initial viscosity at 95°C and multiplied with 100, which is substantially zero %, i.e. which decreases by less than 5 %, more preferably less than 1 %, even more preferably less than 0.5 %, most preferably about 0% upon holding at 95°C for 10  
15 minutes. While the above conditions are standard for maize or corn starch, for potato starch the viscostability is preferably defined as a viscosity which, when measured at 90°C, has a breakdown of viscosity after a hold time of 5 minutes at 90°C, divided by the initial viscosity at 90°C and multiplied with 100, which is substantially zero %, i.e. which decreases by less than 5 %, more preferably less than 1 %, most preferably about  
20 0% upon holding at 90°C for 5 minutes.

**[0020]** Hitherto, the prior art has not leveled a combination of viscostability and viscosity properties, especially the viscosity level during the holding phase, of chemically crosslinked starch (for example modified by sodium trimetaphosphate (SMTP) or POCl<sub>3</sub>) for non-chemically crosslinked (i.e. native) starch. Chemically  
25 crosslinked starch has the ability to be viscostable, during the holding phase after pasting the starch, at higher viscosity levels, comparable to the level of the peak viscosity of the native starch. The process of the invention enables to match these characteristics but conveniently without the need for chemical crosslinkers.

**[0021]** It is preferred that the final pH during step (iv) does not decrease below 7,  
30 more preferably in the range of 7.1 – 8, more preferred not below 7.2, even more

preferably is in the range of 7.2 - 7.8, most particularly 7.5 – 7.8 at the end of the reaction.

**[0022]** In a preferred embodiment, heat treatment of steps (iii) and (iv) involves a total heating time of at least 0.5 h, in particular at least 1 h, more preferably between 1 and 4 hours, more preferably 1 – 3 hours. In one embodiment, heat treatment of steps (iii) and (iv) takes more than 2 hours.

**[0023]** It was found to be advantageous if at least the first part of the heat treatment is carried out with active removal of water vapour. This can be achieved by using a reactor having a forced circulation, for example using an air blower, or by using sub-atmospheric pressures, e.g. below 0.5 bar, more preferably below 0.4 bar (vacuum). However, an excessively low vacuum is not desired, since it requires very long treatment times, and bears the risk of too low water content at the early stages of the heat treatment. Preferably a pressure of at least 0.05 bar, preferably at least 0.1 bar, preferably at least 0.2 bar, more preferably at least 0.3 bar is maintained at the heating conditions of step (iii) (of preferably between 140 and 180°C). An alternative embodiment involves the use of super-heated steam for heat-treating the starch.

**[0024]** The process of the invention results in a thermally inhibited starch which has an increased and stable viscosity compared to native starch, as a result of crosslinking of the starch. The viscosity during the hold phase can be at least 80%, more preferably at least 90%, especially at least 95%, more particularly at least 100 % of the peak viscosity of the corresponding native starch. The final viscosity can even be higher than the initial viscosity, e.g. 105% or even 110% of the initial viscosity or higher. In absolute terms, the viscosity, as measured using a Brabender visco-amylograph at 5.8 wt.% starch (for maize starch) in water at 35°C is at least 450 mPas, preferably at least 500 mPas.

**[0025]** After the heat treatment (steps (iii) and (iv)), the process of the invention can further comprise a step (v) of washing and drying the heat-treated starch. Other post-treatments can include pH adjustment or mixing with other ingredients.

**[0026]** The process of the invention can be carried out in conventional, relatively inexpensive equipment, such as a dextrinizer, oven, plate dryer, Loedige mixer, or paddle reactor, preferably in combination with water-removing means, such as a blower or a vacuum device. Use of more complex equipment such as fluidized bed reactors are therefore not preferred.

**[0027]** The hydrothermally modified (or inhibited, or moderately cross-linked) starches can suitably be used in applications in which increased and stable viscosity are required. Thus, the starch can be used as a thickener or for providing a pulpy texture to food products. The starches can be used in soups, gravies, sauces, fruit filling, puddings, baby foods and deep fried foods. The starches produced according to the invention have the important advantage of not being chemically modified, and therefore having broader acceptability, both legally and in consumer acceptance.

**[0028]** The invention also pertains to the use of the hydrothermally treated starches as described herein as a food additive, such as thickeners and stabilisers, e.g. at levels of between 0.1 and 5 wt.% on total dry weight basis of the particular food product. Such food products containing the treated starches as defined herein, are also an embodiment of the invention.

**[0029]** In summary, a visco-stable starch with a high viscosity level can be obtained under the following conditions:

- 15- Dehydration takes place at temperatures between 140 and 190°C;
  - Dehydration is enhanced by using equipment that is capable to remove the water (preferably a vacuum system);
  - The inhibition (after reaching a substantially anhydrous stage) should preferably take place between 140 and 180°C; more preferably around 160°C;
- 20 - Initial pH is between 9.1 and 11.2, and pH drop should be controlled as to allow sufficient carboxylic acids to be formed;
- Dehydration of an alkaline starch with a moisture level (between 2-22%) takes place in such a way that carboxylic acids are formed and the starting gel point is lowered, before the water content is decreased to 1 wt.% .

25 This is further illustrated by the examples below.

## EXAMPLES

### Materials:

Waxy corn starch was supplied by Ingredion

Waxy potato starch was supplied by AVEBE

5 0.1N NaOH solution (Aldrich)

### Equipment:

- Brabender Micro-Amylo-Graph Universal (MVAG) model 803222 supplied by Brabender GmbH&Co.KG in Duisburg, Germany
- 10 - Infrared balance Mettler
- Rotavapor (Heidolph)
- Convection oven (Memmert)

### Analytical methods and procedures:

15

#### Preparation alkaline starch.

Starch was suspended in water (100 g of starch in 400 mL of water) under constant stirring with a magnetic stirrer. NaOH solution (0.1 mol/L) was used to adjust the pH to the required level within 15 min. After 1 h of stirring, the pH was measured again and  
20 adjusted, if necessary. The suspension was then filtered through a paper filter (Whatman No 4., Whatman International, England). The starch cake was dried overnight at 60 °C in a convection oven (Memmert, Germany). The dried cake was grinded using a coffee grinder (Type F203, Krups, Germany). The resulting starch was subsequently reconditioned to the desired water content at standard temperature (2, 5 and 10% water  
25 content).

### Inhibition

Inhibition in the examples was achieved through either rotavapor or oven conditions:

#### 30- Procedure 1:

25 grams of starch was placed in a glass evaporation flask which was connected to a condenser and vacuum pump. The flask was submerged in an oil bath at a temperature

of 164°C. The reaction time was set to 1 to 4 hours. The inhibition reaction was stopped by removing the oil bath and allowing the starch to cool.

Procedure 2:

- 5 40 grams of starch was placed in an alum dish and placed in a convection oven at an elevated temperature (160-170°C). The inhibition reaction was stopped by removing the alum dish out of the oven and allowing the starch to cool.

Viscosity; Viscograph

- 10 The viscosity was determined according to standardized conditions. There is a slight change between the method for corn and potato starch:

Corn starch: Sample preparation and set up neutral Brabender viscograph

Sample weight: 6.78g anhydrous grams

- 15 Total charge weight: 110 grams

solids: 5.8 %

Matrix: demineralized water

Speed: 250 (1/min)

Measuring range: 235 cmg

- 20 The Brabender temperature was set at 35 °C. Within a time frame of 11 minutes the temperature was raised to 95 °C. This temperature was held for 10 minutes. Then the starch paste was cooled to 35 °C in 11 minutes. After 5 minutes at 35 °C the measurement was finished.

- 25 Potato starch: Sample preparation and set up neutral Brabender viscograph

Sample weight: 5.5g anhydrous grams

Total charge weight: 110 grams

solids: 5 %

Matrix: demineralized water

- 30 Speed: 250 (1/min)

Measuring range: 235 cmg

The Brabender temperature was set at 35 °C. Within a time frame of 11 minutes the

temperature was raised to 90 °C. This temperature was held for 5 minutes. Then the starch paste was cooled to 35 °C in 11 minutes. After 5 minutes at 35 °C the measurement was finished.

5 pH

pH ; was measured at 20% dry solids in demineralized water.

Assessment of organic acid formation: HPLC

500 mg of starch samples was suspended in 10 mL MilliQ water. By use of a roller  
10 equipment the sample was shaken for 30 min. Then the sample was centrifuged at 9000 G. The supernatant was collected and used for further analyses. A Biorad HPX87-H column (7.5 mm x 30 cm) was used. The system was eluted with MilliQ 0.01 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at 60 °C and a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. Samples were injected at 20 µl. A Waters 2410 RI detector was used for determining the concentration of the eluting fractions.

15

Example 1: Inhibition of waxy potato starch (type 'Eliane')

For these set of experiments waxy potato starch was used as base material, and it was subjected to the above/outlined procedure 2 to perform the inhibition reaction. The initial pH was 11, the temperature during the treatment was 165 °C. Reaction time (h)  
20 and moisture level were varied. The breakdown viscosity was then evaluated by use of the neutral Brabender procedure as detailed above. The results are represented in Table 1 here below.

**Table 1. Breakdown viscosities**

Exp.	Initial moisture level (%)	Time (h)	Final pH	% Breakdown Viscosity
1	<1	1	7.8	23
2	<1	2	7.3	28
3	<1	3	7.1	12
4	6.3	1	8.3	24
5	6.3	2	7.4	24
6	6.3	3	7.2	0
7	10.7	1	8.1	29
8	10.7	2	7.6	22
9	10.7	3	7	0

It showed that inhibition was most pronounced at an initial pH of 11, and a breakdown viscosity of 0 was reached after approximately more than 2 hours, at about 3 hours  
 5 reaction time. In any case, it is only at initial moisture level of higher than 1% at which satisfactory breakdown viscosities were realized. The viscosity of the inhibited starch reached a value during the holding period which is comparable to that of the native starch.

10 Figure 1 compares viscographs (neutral Brabenders) native Eliane (waxy potato) and inhibited Eliane starch (initial pH 11; initial moisture 6%, reaction time was 3 hours at 165°C. The temperature curves show a holding period around 14 minutes, over which the inhibited starch showed viscostable behaviour ('torque', mPas) and the viscosity of the native potato starch dropped;

15

#### Example 2: Inhibition of waxy maize or corn starch

##### a. Influence dehydration on the starting gelpoint of the starch.

Procedure 1 and 2 were used to evaluate the dehydration in function of time, at oven  
 20 and rotavapor conditions. In all cases, the initial moisture level was 10 %.

Viscosity was used using the Brabender procedure. Prior to analyses, waxy corn starch samples were suspended in demineralized water, neutralized with acetic acid (1N) and stirred for two hours.

- 5 The results are summarized in Table 2 here below:

**Table 2. Starting gel point as function of dehydration conditions**

Exp.	Initial pH	System	Pressure	Temperature (°C)	Time (min)	Final moisture level (%)	Starting gel point (°C)
1	10	-	-	-	-	10	71.1
2	10	Rotavapor	Atmospheric	160	60	1.9	71
3	10	Rotavapor	50 mBar	160	3	1.5	69.6
4	10	Rotavapor	350 mBar	160	7	2	70
5	10	Oven	Atmospheric	165	10	1.98	70
6	9.5	oven	Atmospheric	165	10	1.3	70.5

The gel points representing the points at which inhibition of the starch was initiated decreased with less than 0.5 °C in case of leg 2. Dehydration conditions of example 2 did not yield a viscostable product according to the invention.

b. Identification of organic acids during dehydration.

HPLC was used to investigate the formation of organic acids in time during dehydration conditions while monitoring moisture content and pH.

The formation of carboxylic acids during dehydration as detected with HPLC is shown in figure 2, and shown together with pH in Table 3. Formic acid could be identified as one of the carboxylic acids that is formed during the inhibition reaction. It shows that these carboxylic acids are formed already during certain dehydration conditions according to the invention prior for reaching the anhydrous stadium (<1% moisture) when applying the right conditions.

**Table 3. pH and water content during dehydration reaction**

Exp.	Reaction time (min)	Moisture content (%)	pH
1	0	10.6	9.83
2	2	7.87	9.8
3	5	3.51	9.75
4	10	1.98	9.8
5	15	0.31	9.87
6	25	0.18	9.62

With the amounts of carboxylic acids according to the invention it is needed to monitor the formation of carboxylic acids using HPLC which is more sensitive than pH. pH itself is not conclusive.

5

A second series of starch inhibition reactions was performed at various conditions, while monitoring for the formation of organic acids. The results are represented in Table 4.

10

**Table 4. Inhibition at various dehydration conditions; organic acid formation**

Exp.	Initial pH	System	Pressure	Temperature (°C)	Initial moisture (%)	Time (min)	Final moisture level (%)	Carboxylic acid formation
1	10	Oven	Atmospheric	160	10	7	2	Yes
2	10	Rotavapor	350 mBar	165	10	7	1.6	Yes
3	10	Rotavapor	350 mBar	165	10	10	1.2	Yes
4	10	Rotavapor	50 mBar	165	10	3	1.5	Yes
5	10	Rotavapor	Atmospheric	165	< 1	-	-	Hardly detectable
6	9.5	Oven	Atmospheric	160	10	10	1.4	Yes
7	9.4	Oven	Atmospheric	160	10	10	1.3	Yes

In all cases but experiment 5 there was obtained an inhibited starch with satisfactory viscosity (compared to the native starch peak viscosity) and viscostable behaviour. In experiment 5, at low initial water content, no formic acids were formed and viscosity during the holding period (compared to the native starch peak viscosity) was too low, i.e. significantly below 600 mPas.

c. Inhibition of corn starch

The first set of experiments were carried out in an oven, at an initial water content of 12 %, and a temperature during treatment of 160 °C. The influence of the initial pH was investigated and reported in the table below. For sake of comparison of the added value of the viscosities during the holding period, native starch had a viscosity of 600 mPas.

**Table 5. Effect of initial pH. Breakdown viscosities and holding period viscosities.**

Exp.	Initial pH	Time (h)	Breakdown viscosity (%)	Viscosity during holding period (mPas) *	Final pH
Native corn starch	10	-	53	-	-
1	9	2	14	-	7.4
2	9	2.5	7	-	6.9
3	9	3	0	450	6.3
4	9.5	2	6	-	7.6
5	9.5	2.5	0	560	7.1
6	10	1.45	0	600	7.95
7	10	2	0	590	7.39

\*: with '-' it is understood that there was no stable viscosity during the holding period

Starting with initial pH 9, viscostable behavior could be reached after prolonged reaction time disadvantageously causing lower viscosities during the holding period in combination with a pH below 7 (see exp. 3). From the above sets of experiments it was concluded that visco-stability in combination with a high viscosity during the holding period was achieved with an initial pH of above 9. At an initial pH of 10 the reaction proceeds the fastest in order to achieve visco-stability. For the reactions that started with an initial pH 9.5 and 10, a breakdown viscosity of 0 in combination with a high viscosity during the holding period (compared to the native starch peak viscosity) could be achieved, avoiding a pH drop below 7.

10

#### Influence pressure on inhibition reaction.

These experiments were carried out in a rotavapor, and pressure was used as a variable. In all experiments, the initial pH 10, initial water content 12 % and temperature during the treatment was 165 °C. The results in terms of breakdown viscosities are also listed in table 6:

15

**Table 6. Effect of pressure on starch inhibition reaction.**

Exp.	Pressure (mBar)	Time (h)	Final pH	Breakdown Viscosity (%)
1	Atmospheric	3	6.57	26
2	50	3	7.6	12
3	50	4	7.1	0
4	350	2.5	7.6	0

It shows the influence of several reduced pressure systems on the dehydration kinetics of the alkaline starch. Pressure could be used to reduce reaction time. Final pH should be controlled (preferably above 7) in order to combine visco-stability at a high viscosity level.

20

### **C. Comparative samples; standard conditions**

Prior to inhibition the samples were dehydrated at 60°C under vacuum until the moisture level was <1%. The treatment was with initial pH 10 and an initial low water content below 1 %. The treatment was carried out in a rotavapor, at atmospheric conditions and at T 165 °C.

5

It was found that after 3 and 4 hours the breakdown viscosity (%) was 20 and 0, respectively. The viscosity during the holding period was 480 mP after 4 hours, and no stable viscosity after 3 hours. The viscosity was thus unsatisfactory low compared to the native starch peak viscosity of 600 mPas. By use of standard conditions, viscostability was reached after prolonged reaction times (>3 hours).

10

### C. Overview conditions that lead to inhibition and high viscosity during holding phase

**Table 7: summarizing parameters for starch inhibition**

Exp.	Initial pH	Initial moisture (%)	System	Decrease starting gelpoint prior reaching <1% moisture	Formation carboxylic acids	pH prior for reaching 1% moisture	Visco-stability and high viscosity during holding period
1	9	12	Oven (atmospheric pressure)	Not available	+	8.7	-
2	9.5	12	Oven (atmospheric pressure)	+	+	9.4	+
3	10	12	Oven (atmospheric pressure)	+	+	10-9.9	+
4	10	12	Rotavapor (350mbar)	+	+	10-9.9	+
5	10	12	Rotavapor (50mbar)	+	+	10-9.9	+
6	10	<1	Rotavapor (atmospheric)	Not available	< 1 ppm	10-9.9	-

From table 7 it can be concluded that viscostability (i.e. a breakdown viscosity of 0%) in combination with a high viscosity during the holding phase (95-100% of the peak viscosity of the original native starch) can be reached when the dehydration conditions

5 are selected such that:

- the starting gelpoint of the starch prior to reaching the semi-anhydrous stage (<1% moisture) has decreased at least 0.5°C;
- carboxylic acids (including formic acid) are formed in such a degree that the pH prior for reaching the anhydrous stage is not lower than 9.

**Claims**

1. A process for producing thermally inhibited starch comprising the steps of:
  - (i) providing alkaline starch having a pH, when measured in a 20 % (w/v) aqueous dispersion, between 9.1 and 11.2;
  - (ii) adjusting the water content of the starch to between 2 and 22 wt.%;
  - (iii) heating the starch having the adjusted water content between 140 and 190 °C, while maintaining the water content of the starch above a level of 1 wt.% for a sufficient time and at a sufficient pressure for the inhibition of the starch to be initiated to form carboxylic acids before pH drops below 9;
  - (iv) continuing heating the starch between 140 and 190 °C until viscostability is achieved;
  - (v) cooling and optionally further processing the starch.
2. A process according to claim 1, wherein the water content in step (ii) is adjusted to between 3 and 12 % (w/v), preferably between 5 and 10% (w/w).
3. A process according to claim 1 or 2, in which the initial pH is between 9.5 and 11, preferably between 9.5 and 10.5, preferably around 10.
4. A process according to any one of claims 1-3, in which the pH, prior to reaching a water content reaches a level of 1 wt.%, has remained above 9.
5. A process according to any one of claims 1-4, in which in step (iii), the starting gel point of the starch has decreased by at least 0.5°C, compared to the same starch not submitted to steps (i-iii), before the water content reaches a level of 1 wt.%.
6. A process according to any one of claims 1-5, in which in step (iii) an amount of at least 1 ppm of carboxylic acids has formed before the water content reaches a level of 1 wt.%.
7. A process according to any one of claims 1-6, wherein in step (iv) a pH of at least 9, preferably at least 9.4 is maintained at least until the water content has dropped below 2 wt.%, preferably at least until the water content has dropped below 1 wt.%.
8. A process according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein in step (iii) and/or (iv) the starch is heated between 140 and 180 °C.
9. A process according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein said heating in step (iii) is continued for at least 0.5 hour up to 3 hours.

10. A process according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein in step (iii) is performed with forced water removal, for example using a vacuum or an air blower.
11. A process according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein in step (iv) a viscosity of least 90%, preferably at least 95%, more preferably at least 100 % of the viscosity of the native starch is obtained.
12. A process according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein step (v) further comprises washing and drying the heat-treated starch.
13. A process according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the thermally inhibited starch has a viscosity during the hold phase of of least 90%, preferably at least 95%, more preferably at least 100 % of the viscosity the native starch.
14. A process according to any one of the preceding claims, which is carried out in a dextriniser, oven, plate dryer, Loedige mixer, or paddle reactor, preferably combined with a water-removing means.
15. Use of a thermally inhibited starch produced by the process of any one of the preceding claims, as a thickener or for providing a pulpy texture to food products.

Fig. 1





Fig. 2



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No <b>PCT/NL2013/050668</b>
--

<b>A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER</b> INV. C08B30/12 ADD.				
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC				
<b>B. FIELDS SEARCHED</b>				
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) C08B				
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched				
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) EPO-Internal, BIOSIS, COMPENDEX, INSPEC, WPI Data				
<b>C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT</b>				
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
X	US 2001/017133 A1 (CHIU CHUNG-WAI [US] ET AL) 30 August 2001 (2001-08-30) examples -----	1-15		
X	US 6 221 420 B1 (THOMAS DAVID J [US] ET AL) 24 April 2001 (2001-04-24) cited in the application examples -----	1-15		
X	WO 96/22311 A1 (NAT STARCH CHEM INVEST [US]) 25 July 1996 (1996-07-25) examples -----	1-15		
<input type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.</span>				
* Special categories of cited documents : <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; border: none; vertical-align: top;">                     "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance                      "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date                      "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)                      "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means                      "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed                 </td> <td style="width: 50%; border: none; vertical-align: top;">                     "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention                      "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone                      "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art                      "&amp;" document member of the same patent family                 </td> </tr> </table>			"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family			
Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report			
11 October 2013	31/10/2013			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer  Vaccaro, Eleonora			

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No <b>PCT/NL2013/050668</b>
--

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2001017133	A1	30-08-2001	NONE
US 6221420	B1	24-04-2001	NONE
WO 9622311	A1	25-07-1996	AT 192167 T 15-05-2000
		AU 686496 B2	05-02-1998
		AU 4657596 A	07-08-1996
		BR 9606921 A	31-10-2000
		DE 69607936 D1	31-05-2000
		DE 69607936 T2	19-10-2000
		EP 0804488 A1	05-11-1997
		ES 2148728 T3	16-10-2000
		WO 9622311 A1	25-07-1996