



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)


<p>(51) International Patent Classification ⁷ : H04B 7/005</p>	<p>A1</p>	<p>(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/57576 (43) International Publication Date: 28 September 2000 (28.09.00)</p>
--	------------------	--

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US00/07556
 (22) International Filing Date: 22 March 2000 (22.03.00)
 (30) Priority Data:
 60/125,417 22 March 1999 (22.03.99) US
 60/136,556 28 May 1999 (28.05.99) US
 60/136,557 28 May 1999 (28.05.99) US
 (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): INTERDIGITAL TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION [US/US]; Suite 527, 300 Delaware Avenue, Wilmington, DE 19801 (US).
 (72) Inventors; and
 (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): ZEIRA, Ariela [US/US]; 8 Old Oak Road, Trumbull, CT 06611 (US). SHIN, Sung-Hyuk [KR/US]; 1531 8th Street, Fort Lee, NJ 07024 (US). DICK, Steven, G. [US/US]; 61 Bobann Drive, Nesconset, NY 11767 (US).
 (74) Agents: VOLPE, Anthony, S. et al.; Volpe and Koenig, P.C., Suite 400, One Penn Center, 1617 John F. Kennedy Boulevard, Philadelphia, PA 19103 (US).

(81) Designated States: AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published
 With international search report.

(54) Title: OUTER LOOP/WEIGHTED OPEN LOOP POWER CONTROL IN A TIME DIVISION DUPLEX COMMUNICATION SYSTEM



(57) Abstract

Outer loop/weighted open loop power control controls transmission power levels in a spread spectrum time division duplex communication system. A first communication station (110) transmits a communication to a second communication station including target adjustment information generated at the first station on the basis of measured error rates of communications from the second station to the first station. The second station receives the communication and measures its received power level. Based on in part the received communication's power level and the communication's transmission power level, a path loss estimate is determined. A quality of the path loss estimate is also determined. The transmission power level for a communication from the second station to the first station is based on in part weighting the path loss estimate in response to the estimate's quality and based on the receive target adjusted by the target adjustment information transmitted from the first station.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece			TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon			PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

OUTER LOOP/WEIGHTED OPEN LOOP POWER CONTROL IN A TIME DIVISION DUPLEX COMMUNICATION SYSTEM

BACKGROUND

This invention generally relates to spread spectrum time division duplex (TDD) communication systems. More particularly, the present invention relates to a system and method for controlling transmission power within TDD communication systems.

Figure 1 depicts a wireless spread spectrum time division duplex (TDD) communication system. The system has a plurality of base stations 30_1 - 30_7 . Each base station 30_1 communicates with user equipment (UEs) 32_1 - 32_3 in its operating area. Communications transmitted from a base station 30_1 to a UE 32_1 are referred to as downlink communications and communications transmitted from a UE 32_1 to a base station 30_1 are referred to as uplink communications.

In addition to communicating over different frequency spectrums, spread spectrum TDD systems carry multiple communications over the same spectrum. The multiple signals are distinguished by their respective chip code sequences (codes). Also, to more efficiently use the spread spectrum, TDD systems as illustrated in **Figure 2** use repeating frames 34 divided into a number of time slots 36_1 - 36_n , such as sixteen time slots. In such systems, a communication is sent in selected time slots 36_1 - 36_n using selected codes. Accordingly, one frame 34 is capable of carrying multiple communications distinguished by both time slot and code. The combination

of a single code in a single time slot is referred to as a resource unit. Based on the bandwidth required to support a communication, one or multiple resource units are assigned to that communication.

5 Most TDD systems adaptively control transmission power levels. In a TDD system, many communications may share the same time slot and spectrum. When a UE **32₁** or base station **30₁** is receiving a specific communication, all the other communications using the same time slot and spectrum cause interference to the specific communication. Increasing the transmission power level of one communication degrades the signal quality of all other communications within that
10 time slot and spectrum. However, reducing the transmission power level too far results in undesirable signal to noise ratios (SNRs) and bit error rates (BERs) at the receivers. To maintain both the signal quality of communications and low transmission power levels, transmission power control is used.

15 One approach using transmission power control in a code division multiple access (CDMA) communication system is described in U.S. Patent No. 5,056,109 (Gilhousen et al.). A transmitter sends a communication to a particular receiver. Upon reception, the received signal power is measured. The received signal power is compared to a desired received signal power. Based on the comparison, a control bit is sent to the transmitter either increasing or decreasing transmission power by a
20 fixed amount. Since the receiver sends a control signal to the transmitter to control the transmitter's power level, such power control techniques are commonly referred to as closed loop.

Under certain conditions, the performance of closed loop systems degrades. For instance, if communications sent between a UE and a base station are in a highly dynamic environment, such as due to the UE moving, such systems may not be able to adapt fast enough to compensate for the changes. The update rate of closed loop power control in TDD is typically 100 cycles per second which is not sufficient for fast fading channels. Accordingly, there is a need for alternate approaches to maintain signal quality and low transmission power levels.

SUMMARY

Outer loop/weighted open loop power control controls transmission power levels in a spread spectrum time division duplex communication system. At a first communication station, errors are measured in a received communication from a second communication station. Based on in part the measured errors, an adjustment in a target level is determined. The first station transmits a communication and the target adjustment to the second station. The second station measures the first station's communication's received power level. Based on in part the received power level, a path loss is determined. The target level is adjusted in response to receiving the target adjustment. The quality of the path loss is determined with respect to a subsequent communication to be transmitted from the second station. The second station's transmission power level for the subsequent communication is adjusted based on in part the determined path loss, the determined quality and the adjusted target level.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 illustrates a prior art TDD system.

Figure 2 illustrates time slots in repeating frames of a TDD system.

Figure 3 is a flow chart of outer loop/weighted open loop power control.

5 **Figure 4** is a diagram of components of two communication stations using
outer loop/weighted open loop power control.

Figure 5 is a graph of the performance of outer loop/weighted open loop,
weighted open loop and closed loop power control systems.

10 **Figure 6** is a graph of the three systems performance in terms of Block Error
Rate (BLER).

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

The preferred embodiments will be described with reference to the drawing
figures where like numerals represent like elements throughout. Outer
15 loop/weighted open loop power control will be explained using the flow chart of
Figure 3 and the components of two simplified communication stations **110**, **112** as
shown in **Figure 4**. For the following discussion, the communication station having
its transmitter's power controlled is referred to as the transmitting station **112** and the
communication station receiving power controlled communications is referred to as
20 the receiving station **110**. Since outer loop/weighted open loop power control may
be used for uplink, downlink or both types of communications, the transmitter
having its power controlled may be associated with the base station **30₁**, UE **32₁** or

both. Accordingly, if both uplink and downlink power control are used, the receiving and transmitting station's components are associated with both the base station **30₁** and UE **32₁**.

The receiving station **110** receives various radio frequency signals including
5 communications from the transmitting station **112** using an antenna **78**, or
alternately, an antenna array, **step 38**. The received signals are passed through an
isolator **66** to a demodulator **68** to produce a baseband signal. The baseband signal
is processed, such as by a channel estimation device **70** and a data estimation device
72, in the time slots and with the appropriate codes assigned to the transmitting
10 station's communication. The channel estimation device **70** commonly uses the
training sequence component in the baseband signal to provide channel information,
such as channel impulse responses. The channel information is used by the data
estimation device **72**, the interference measurement device **74**, and the transmit
power calculation device **76**. The data estimation device **72** recovers data from the
15 channel by estimating soft symbols using the channel information.

Prior to transmission of the communication from the transmitting station **112**,
the data signal of the communication is error encoded using an error
detection/correction encoder **110**. The error encoding scheme is typically a circular
redundancy code (CRC) followed by a forward error correction encoding, although
20 other types of error encoding schemes may be used.

Using the soft symbols produced by the data estimation device **72**, an error
detection device **112** detects errors in the soft symbols. A processor **111** analyzes the

detected error and determines an error rate for the received communication, **step 39**.

Based on the error rate, the processor **111** determines the amount, if any, a target level, such as a target signal to interference ration (SIR_{TARGET}), needs to be changed at the transmitting station **112**, **step 40**. Based on the determined amount, a target adjustment signal is generated by the target adjustment generator **114**. The target adjustment is subsequently sent to the transmitting station, **step 41**. The target adjustment is signaled to the transmitting station **112**, such as using a dedicated or a reference channel as shown in **Figure 4**, **step 41**.

One technique to determine the amount of adjustment in the target level uses an upper and lower threshold. If the determined error rate exceeds an upper threshold, the target level is set at an unacceptably low level and needs to be increased. A target level adjustment signal is sent indicating an increase in the target level. If the determined error rate is below a second threshold, the target level is set at an unnecessarily high level and the target level can be decreased. By reducing the target level, the transmitting station's power level is decreased reducing interference to other communications using the same time slot and spectrum. To improve performance, as soon as the error rate exceeds the upper limit, a target adjustment is sent. As a result, high error rates are improved quickly and lower error rates are adjusted slowly, such as once per 10 seconds. If the error rate is between the thresholds, a target adjustment is not sent maintaining the same target level.

Applying the above technique to a system using CRC and FEC encoding follows. Each CRC block is checked for an error. Each time a frame is determined

to have an error, a counter is incremented. As soon as the counter exceeds an upper threshold, such as 1.5 to 2 times the desired block error rate (BLER), a target adjustment is sent increasing the target level. To adjust the SIR_{TARGET} at the transmitting station **112**, the increase in the SIR_{TARGET} is sent (SIR_{INC}), which is typically in a range of 0.25 dB to 4 dB. If the number of CRC frames encountered exceeds a predetermined limit, such as 1000 blocks, the value of the counter is compared to a lower threshold, such as 0.2 to 0.6 times the desired BLER. If the number of counted block errors is below the lower threshold, a target adjustment signal is sent decreasing the target level, SIR_{DEC} . A typical range of SIR_{DEC} is 0.25 to 4 dB. The value of SIR_{DEC} may be based on SIR_{INC} and a target block error rate, $BLER_{TARGET}$. The $BLER_{TARGET}$ is based on the type of service. A typical range for the $BLER_{TARGET}$ is 0.1% to 10%. **Equation 1** illustrates one such approach for determining SIR_{DEC} .

$$SIR_{DEC} = SIR_{INC} \times BLER_{TARGET} / (1 - BLER_{TARGET}) \quad \text{Equation 1}$$

If the count is between the thresholds for the predetermined block limit, a target adjustment signal is not sent.

Alternately, a single threshold may be used. If the error rate exceeds the threshold, the target level is increased. If the error rate is below the threshold, the target is decreased. Additionally, the target level adjustment signal may have several adjustment levels, such as from 0 dB to ± 4 dB in 0.25 dB increments based on the difference between the determined error rate and the desired error rate.

The interference measurement device **74** of the receiving station **110** determines the interference level in dB, I_{RS} , within the channel, based on either the channel information, or the soft symbols generated by the data estimation device **72**, or both. Using the soft symbols and channel information, the transmit power calculation device **76** controls the receiving station's transmission power level by controlling the gain of an amplifier **54**.

For use in estimating the pathloss between the receiving and transmitting stations **110**, **112** and sending data, the receiving station **110** sends a communication to the transmitting station **112**, **step 41**. The communication may be sent on any one of the various channels. Typically, in a TDD system, the channels used for estimating pathloss are referred to as reference channels, although other channels may be used. If the receiving station **110** is a base station **30₁**, the communication is preferably sent over a downlink common channel or a common control physical channel (CCPCH). Data to be communicated to the transmitting station **112** over the reference channel is referred to as reference channel data. The reference data may include, as shown, the interference level, I_{RS} , multiplexed with other reference data, such as the transmission power level, T_{RS} . The interference level, I_{RS} , and reference channel power level, I_{RS} , may be sent in other channels, such as a signaling channel.

The reference channel data is generated by a reference channel data generator **56**. The reference data is assigned one or multiple resource units based on the communication's bandwidth requirements. A spreading and training sequence insertion device **58** spreads the reference channel data and makes the spread

reference data time-multiplexed with a training sequence in the appropriate time slots and codes of the assigned resource units. The resulting sequence is referred to as a communication burst. The communication burst is subsequently amplified by an amplifier **60**. The amplified communication burst may be summed by a sum device **62** with any other communication burst created through devices, such as a data generator **50**, spreading and training sequence insertion device **52** and amplifier **54**.

The summed communication bursts are modulated by a modulator **64**. The modulated signal is passed through an isolator **66** and radiated by an antenna **78** as shown or, alternately, through an antenna array. The radiated signal is passed through a wireless radio channel **80** to an antenna **82** of the transmitting station **112**. The type of modulation used for the transmitted communication can be any of those known to those skilled in the art, such as direct phase shift keying (DPSK) or quadrature phase shift keying (QPSK).

The antenna **82** or, alternately, antenna array of the transmitting station **112** receives various radio frequency signals including the target adjustments. The received signals are passed through an isolator **84** to a demodulator **86** to produce a baseband signal. The baseband signal is processed, such as by a channel estimation device **88** and a data estimation device **90**, in the time slots and with the appropriate codes assigned to the communication burst of the receiving station **110**. The channel estimation device **88** commonly uses the training sequence component in the baseband signal to provide channel information, such as channel impulse responses.

The channel information is used by the data estimation device **90** and a power measurement device **92**.

The power level of the processed communication corresponding to the reference channel, R_{TS} , is measured by the power measurement device **92** and sent
5 to a pathloss estimation device **94**, **step 42**. Both the channel estimation device **88** and the data estimation device **90** are capable of separating the reference channel from all other channels. If an automatic gain control device or amplifier is used for processing the received signals, the measured power level is adjusted to correct for the gain of these devices at either the power measurement device **92** or pathloss
10 estimation device **94**. The power measurement device is a component of an outer loop/weighted open loop controller **100**. As shown in **Figure 4**, the outer loop/weighted open loop controller **100** comprises the power measurement device **92**, pathloss estimation device **94**, quality measurement device **94**, target update device **101**, and transmit power calculation device **98**.

15 To determine the path loss, L , the transmitting station **112** also requires the communication's transmitted power level, T_{RS} . The communication's transmitted power level, T_{RS} , may be sent along with the communication's data or in a signaling channel. If the power level, T_{RS} , is sent along with the communication's data, the data estimation device **90** interprets the power level and sends the interpreted power
20 level to the pathloss estimation device **94**. If the receiving station **110** is a base station **30₁**, preferably the transmitted power level, T_{RS} , is sent via the broadcast channel (BCH) from the base station **30₁**. By subtracting the received

communication's power level, R_{TS} , from the sent communication's transmitted power level, T_{RS} , the pathloss estimation device **94** estimates the path loss, L , between the two stations **110**, **112**, **step 43**. Additionally, a long term average of the pathloss, L_0 , is updated, **step 44**. The long term average of the pathloss, L_0 , is an average of the pathloss estimates. In certain situations, instead of transmitting the transmitted power level, T_{RS} , the receiving station **110** may transmit a reference for the transmitted power level. In that case, the pathloss estimation device **94** provides reference levels for the pathloss, L .

Since TDD systems transmit downlink and uplink communications in the same frequency spectrum, the conditions these communications experience are similar. This phenomenon is referred to as reciprocity. Due to reciprocity, the path loss experienced for the downlink will also be experienced for the uplink and vice versa. By adding the estimated path loss to a target level, a transmission power level for a communication from the transmitting station **112** to the receiving station **110** is determined.

If a time delay exists between the estimated path loss and the transmitted communication, the path loss experienced by the transmitted communication may differ from the calculated loss. In TDD where communications are sent in differing time slots **36₁-36_n**, the time slot delay between received and transmitted communications may degrade the performance of an open loop power control system. To overcome these drawbacks, weighted open loop power control determines the quality of the estimated path loss using a quality measurement device

96, step 45, and weights the estimated path loss accordingly, L , and long term average of the pathloss, L_0 .

To enhance performance further in outer loop/weighted open loop, a target level is adjusted. A processor **103** converts the soft symbols produced by the data estimation device **90** to bits and extracts the target adjustment information, such as a SIR_{TARGET} adjustment. A target update device **101** adjusts the target level using the target adjustments, **step 46**. The target level may be a SIR_{TARGET} or a target received power level at the receiving station **110**.

The transmit power calculation device **98** combines the adjusted target level with the weighted path loss estimate, L , and long term average of the pathloss estimate, L_0 , to determine the transmission power level of the transmitting station, **step 47**.

Data to be transmitted in a communication from the transmitting station **112** is produced by data generator **102**. The data is error detection/correction encoded by error detection/correction encoder **110**. The error encoded data is spread and time-multiplexed with a training sequence by the training sequence insertion device **104** in the appropriate time slots and codes of the assigned resource units producing a communication burst. The spread signal is amplified by an amplifier **106** and modulated by modulator **108** to radio frequency. The gain of the amplifier is controlled by the transmit power calculation device **98** to achieve the determined transmission power level. The power controlled communication burst is passed through the isolator **84** and radiated by the antenna **82**.

The following is one outer loop/weighted open loop power control algorithm. The transmitting stations's transmission power level in decibels, P_{TS} , is determined using **Equation 2**.

$$P_{TS} = SIR_{TARGET} + I_{RS} + \alpha(L-L_0) + L_0 + \text{CONSTANT VALUE} \quad \text{Equation 2}$$

5 The SIR_{TARGET} has an adjusted value based on the received target adjustment signals. For the downlink, the initial value of SIR_{TARGET} is known at the transmitting station **112**. For uplink power control, SIR_{TARGET} is signaled from the receiving station **110** to the transmitting station **112**. Additionally, a maximum and minimum value for an adjusted SIR_{TARGET} may also be signaled. The adjusted SIR_{TARGET} is
10 limited to the maximum and minimum values. I_{RS} is the measure of the interference power level at the receiving station **110**.

L is the path loss estimate in decibels, $T_{RS} - R_{TS}$, for the most recent time slot **36₁-36_n** that the path loss was estimated. L_0 , the long term average of the path loss in decibels, is the running average of the pathloss estimate, L . The **CONSTANT**
15 **VALUE** is a correction term. The **CONSTANT VALUE** corrects for differences in the uplink and downlink channels, such as to compensate for differences in uplink and downlink gain. Additionally, the **CONSTANT VALUE** may provide correction if the transmit power reference level of the receiving station is transmitted, instead
20 of the actual transmit power, T_{RS} . If the receiving station **110** is a base station, the **CONSTANT VALUE** is preferably sent via a Layer 3 message.

The weighting value, α , is a measure of the quality of the estimated path loss and is, preferably, based on the number of time slots **36₁-36_n** between the time slot,

n, of the last path loss estimate and the first time slot of the communication transmitted by the transmitting station **112**. The value of α is between zero and one. Generally, if the time difference between the time slots is small, the recent path loss estimate will be fairly accurate and α is set at a value close to one. By contrast, if the time difference is large, the path loss estimate may not be accurate and the long term average path loss measurement is most likely a better estimate for the path loss. Accordingly, α is set at a value closer to one.

Equations 3 and 4 are equations for determining α .

$$\alpha = 1 - (D - 1)/(D_{\max} - 1) \quad \text{Equation 3}$$

$$\alpha = \max \{ 1 - (D - 1)/(D_{\max - \text{allowed}} - 1), 0 \} \quad \text{Equation 4}$$

The value, D, is the number of time slots **36₁-36_n** between the time slot of the last path loss estimate and the first time slot of the transmitted communication which will be referred to as the time slot delay. If the delay is one time slot, α is one. D_{\max} is the maximum possible delay. A typical value for a frame having fifteen time slots is seven. If the delay is D_{\max} , α is zero. $D_{\max - \text{allowed}}$ is the maximum allowed time slot delay for using open loop power control. If the delay exceeds $D_{\max - \text{allowed}}$, open loop power control is effectively turned off by setting $\alpha = 0$. Using the transmit power level, P_{TS} , determined by a transmit power calculation device **98** the transmit power of the transmitted communication is set.

Figures 5 and 6 compare the performance of the weighted outer loop/open loop, open loop and closed loop systems. The simulations in **Figures 5 and 6** were

performed for a slightly different version of the outer loop/weighted open loop algorithm. In this version, the target SIR is updated every block. A SIR_{TARGET} is increased if a block error was detected and decreased if no block error was detected. The outer loop/weighted open loop system used **Equation 2**. **Equation 3** was used to calculate α . The simulations compared the performance of the systems controlling a UE's 32_1 transmission power level. For the simulations, 16 CRC bits were padded every block. In the simulation, each block was 4 frames. A block error was declared when at least two raw bit errors occur over a block. The uplink communication channel is assigned one time slot per frame. The target for the block error rate is 10%. The SIR_{TARGET} is updated every 4 frames. The simulations address the performance of these systems for a UE 32_1 traveling at 30 kilometers per hour. The simulated base station used two antenna diversity for reception with each antenna having a three finger RAKE receiver. The simulation approximated a realistic channel and SIR estimation based on a midamble sequence of burst type 1 field in the presence of additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN). The simulation used an International Telecommunication Union (ITU) Pedestrian B type channel and QPSK modulation. Interference levels were assumed to have no uncertainty. Channel coding schemes were not considered. L_0 was set at 0 db.

Graph **120** of **Figure 5** shows the performance as expected in terms of the required E_s/N_0 for a BLER of 10^{-1} as a function of time delay between the uplink time slot and the most recent downlink time slot. The delay is expressed by the number of time slots. E_s is the energy of the complex symbol. **Figure 5**

demonstrates that, when gain/interference uncertainties are ignored, the performance of the combined system is almost identical to that of weighted open loop system. The combined system outperforms the closed loop system for all delays.

In the presence of gain and interference uncertainties, the transmitted power level of the open loop system is either too high or too low of the nominal value. In graph 122 of **Figure 6**, a gain uncertainty of -2 dB was used. **Figure 6** shows the BLER as a function of the delay. The initial reference SIR_{TARGET} for each system was set to its corresponding nominal value obtained from **Figure 5**, in order to achieve a BLER of 10^{-1} . **Figure 6** shows that, in the presence of gain uncertainty, both the combined and closed loop systems achieve the desired BLER. The performance of the weighted open loop system severely degrades.

*

*

*

CLAIMS

1. A method for controlling transmission power levels in a spread spectrum time division duplex communication system having frames with time slots for communication, the method comprising:

receiving at a first communication station communications from a second communication station and determining an error rate of the received communications;

producing target adjustments as needed based on in part the error rate;

transmitting a first communication having a transmission power level in a first time slot and the target adjustments from the first communication station;

receiving at the second communication station the target adjustments and the first communication and measuring a power level of the first communication as received;

determining a path loss estimate based on in part the measured received first communication power level; and

setting a transmission power level for a second communication in a second time slot from the second station to the first station based on in part the path loss estimate weighted by a first factor, a long term pathloss estimate weighted by a second factor, and a target level adjusted by the target adjustments, wherein the first and second factors are a function of a time separation of the first and second time slots.

2. The method of claim 1 wherein the target level is a target signal to interference ratio.

3. The method of claim 2 wherein the adjustments to the target signal to interference ratio are limited to a maximum and minimum value.

4. The method of claim 2 wherein each target adjustment is in a range of 0.25 decibels to 4 decibels.

5. The method of claim 2 wherein:

a target adjustment increasing the target signal to interference ratio is SIR_{INC} ;

a target adjustment decreasing the target signal to interference ratio is SIR_{DEC} ;

a target block error rate is $BLER_{TARGET}$; and

5 SIR_{DEC} is determined by

$$SIR_{DEC} = SIR_{INC} \times BLER_{TARGET} / (1 - BLER_{TARGET}).$$

6. The method of claim 5 wherein the $BLER_{TARGET}$ is in the range of 1% to 10%.

7. The method of claim 1 wherein:

if the error rate exceeds an upper or is below a lower threshold, a target adjustment is transmitted; and

if the error rate is between the upper and lower threshold, no target adjustment
 5 is transmitted.

8. The method of claim 7 wherein the target adjustments increasing the target level are transmitted as soon as an error count exceeds an upper threshold.

9. The method of claim 1 further comprising:
 determining a quality, α , of the pathloss estimate based on in part a number of time slots, D , between the first and second time slot; and
 wherein the first factor is α and the second factor is $1-\alpha$.

10. The method of claim 9 wherein a maximum time slot delay is D_{\max} and the determined quality, α , is determined by

$$\alpha = 1 - (D-1)/(D_{\max}-1).$$

11. The method of claim 9 wherein a maximum allowed time slot delay is $D_{\max\text{-allowed}}$ and the determined quality, α , is determined by

$$\alpha = \max \{ 1-(D-1)/(D_{\max\text{-allowed}}-1), 0 \}.$$

12. The method of claim 1 wherein the set transmission power level compensates for differences in uplink and downlink gains.

13. The method of claim 1 wherein the first station is a base station and the second station is a user equipment.

14. The method of claim 1 wherein the first station is a user equipment and the second station is a base station.

15. A spread spectrum time division duplex communication system having a first and second communication station, the system using frames with time slots for communication, the system comprising:

the first station comprising:

5 means for receiving communications from a second communication station and determining an error rate of the received communications;

means for producing target adjustments as needed based on in part the error rate;

10 means for transmitting a first communication having a transmission power level in a first time slot and the target adjustments;

the second station comprising:

means for receiving the target adjustments and the first communication and measuring a power level of the first communication as received;

15 means for determining a path loss estimate based on in part the measured received first communication power level; and

means for setting a transmission power level for a second communication in a second time slot from the second station to the first station based on in part combining the path loss estimate weighted by a first factor, a long term pathloss estimate weighted by a second factor and a target level adjusted by the target adjustments, wherein the first and second factors are a function of a time separation of the first and second time slots.

20 16. The system of claim 15 wherein the target level is a target signal to interference ratio.

17. The system of claim 16 wherein the adjustments to the target signal to interference ratio are limited to a maximum and minimum value.

18. The system of claim 15 wherein each target adjustment is in a range of 0.25 decibels to 4 decibels.

19. The system of claim 15 wherein:

if the error rate exceeds an upper or is below a lower threshold, a target adjustment is transmitted; and

if the error rate is between the upper and lower threshold, no target adjustment is transmitted.

5

20. The system of claim 19 wherein the target adjustments increasing the target level are transmitted as soon as an error count exceeds an upper threshold.

21. The system of claim 15 wherein:

the second station further comprises means for determining a quality, α , of the pathloss estimate based on in part a number of time slots, D , between the first and second time slot; and

5 the first factor is α and the second factor is $1-\alpha$.

22. The system of claim 21 wherein a maximum time slot delay is D_{\max} and the determined quality, α , is determined by

$$\alpha = 1 - (D-1)/(D_{\max}-1).$$

23. The system of claim 21 wherein a maximum allowed time slot delay is $D_{\max\text{-allowed}}$ and the determined quality, α , is determined by

$$\alpha = \max \{1-(D-1)/(D_{\max\text{-allowed}}-1), 0\}.$$

24. The system of claim 15 wherein the set transmission power level compensates for differences in the uplink and downlink gains.

25. The system of claim 15 wherein the first station is a base station and the second station is a user equipment.

26. The system of claim 15 wherein the first station is a user equipment and the second station is a base station.

FIG. 1




FIG. 2





FIG. 3

3 / 5






FIG. 4

FIG. 5

5 / 5





FIG. 6



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 00/07556

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 H04B7/005

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 H04B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, INSPEC

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	WO 98 45962 A (ERICSSON GE MOBILE INC) 15 October 1998 (1998-10-15) abstract; figures 5,6,8 page 8, line 19 -page 10, line 9 page 12, line 14 -page 13, line 10 page 14, line 15 -page 15, line 12 page 17, line 13 -page 18, line 2 ---	1-3, 12-17, 25,26
A	US 5 542 111 A (IVANOV KOLIO ET AL) 30 July 1996 (1996-07-30) abstract; figures 1,2 column 2, line 20 - line 43 column 2, line 58 - line 64 column 3, line 24 -column 4, line 61 --- -/--	1,7-9, 13-15, 19-21, 25,26

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

° Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

4 July 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

11/07/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
 Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Sieben, S

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 00/07556

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	EP 0 462 952 A (ERICSSON TELEFON AB L M) 27 December 1991 (1991-12-27) abstract; figures 4,5 column 6, line 6 -column 8, line 15 ---	1,9, 13-15, 21,25,26
A	US 4 868 795 A (MCDAVID WILLIAM T ET AL) 19 September 1989 (1989-09-19) abstract column 4, line 16 - line 44; figure 2 ---	1-3,7,8, 13-17, 19,20, 25,26
A	EP 0 610 030 A (NOKIA MOBILE PHONES LTD) 10 August 1994 (1994-08-10) column 2, line 28 -column 3, line 3; figures 1,2 -----	1,13-15, 25,26

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 00/07556

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9845962 A	15-10-1998	AU 6870598 A	30-10-1998
		BR 9808118 A	08-03-2000
		EP 0972359 A	19-01-2000
US 5542111 A	30-07-1996	DE 59408295 D	01-07-1999
		EP 0616435 A	21-09-1994
		FI 941296 A	20-09-1994
		NO 940998 A	20-09-1994
EP 0462952 A	27-12-1991	SE 467332 B	29-06-1992
		AT 121242 T	15-04-1995
		AU 635429 B	18-03-1993
		AU 7917391 A	02-01-1992
		BR 9102499 A	21-01-1992
		CA 2045211 A	22-12-1991
		CN 1057557 A, B	01-01-1992
		DE 69108793 D	18-05-1995
		DE 69108793 T	24-08-1995
		DK 462952 T	14-08-1995
		ES 2073728 T	16-08-1995
		HK 108795 A	14-07-1995
		JP 4233334 A	21-08-1992
		KR 9606142 B	09-05-1996
		NZ 238269 A	26-10-1993
		SE 9002228 A	22-12-1991
US 5241690 A	31-08-1993		
US 4868795 A	19-09-1989	NONE	
EP 0610030 A	10-08-1994	FI 930515 A	06-08-1994
		JP 6303181 A	28-10-1994
		US 5564074 A	08-10-1996