




(86) Date de dépôt PCT/PCT Filing Date: 1995/08/09
(87) Date publication PCT/PCT Publication Date: 1996/02/22
(45) Date de délivrance/Issue Date: 2004/11/23
(85) Entrée phase nationale/National Entry: 1997/02/06
(86) N° demande PCT/PCT Application No.: CA 1995/000473
(87) N° publication PCT/PCT Publication No.: 1996/005329
(30) Priorité/Priority: 1994/08/15 (08/290,206) US

(51) Cl.Int.⁶/Int.Cl.⁶ C22B 3/08, C22B 3/44
(72) Inventeurs/Inventors:
O'BRIEN, N. ROBERT, CA;
PETERS, ERNEST, CA
(73) Propriétaire/Owner:
R & O MINING PROCESSING LTD., CA
(74) Agent: SIM & MCBURNEY

(54) Titre : CONVERSION HYDROMETALLURGIQUE DE SULFURE DE ZINC EN SULFATE A PARTIR DE MINERAIS
ET DE CONCENTRES RENFERMANT DU SULFURE DE ZINC
(54) Title: HYDROMETALLURGICAL CONVERSION OF ZINC SULFIDE TO SULFATE FROM ZINC SULFIDE
CONTAINING ORES AND CONCENTRATES



(57) **Abrégé/Abstract:**


In a hydrometallurgical process for converting zinc sulfide in an ore containing zinc sulfide, the zinc sulfide being chemically converted at elevated temperatures to $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ which crystallizes substantially in the monohydrate form as $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ in a conversion solution having a high concentration of H_2SO_4 , the improvement comprises: (i) selecting an ore which contains both zinc sulfide and copper sulfide, the ore containing greater than 1% by weight of copper, (ii) contacting the zinc sulfide/copper sulfide ore with a conversion solution comprises a concentration of sulfuric acid selected from the range of about 45% by weight up to about 70% by weight of the conversion solution and at the elevated temperature in the range of 90°C to less than boiling point of the conversion solution for the selected concentration of sulfuric acid; (iii) ensuring a reducing condition in the conversion solution, by virtue of the concentration of H_2SO_4 , temperature, and maintenance of atmospheric pressure, to produce continuously sufficient H_2S to preclude oxidation of the copper sulfide; (iv) maintaining the conversion solution at the elevated temperature and at the range of concentration of the sulfuric acid to ensure continued formation of the crystals of $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ until substantially all available ZnS is chemically converted; and (v) separating the $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ crystals and remaining solids of the ore from the conversion solution.



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : C22B 3/08, 3/44 // 19:00	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 96/05329 (43) International Publication Date: 22 February 1996 (22.02.96)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/CA95/00473 (22) International Filing Date: 9 August 1995 (09.08.95) (30) Priority Data: 08/290,206 15 August 1994 (15.08.94) US (71) Applicant: R & O MINING PROCESSING LTD. [CA/CA]; The Bentall Centre #904, 1055 Dunsmuir Street, P.O. Box 49066, Vancouver, British Columbia V7X 1C4 (CA). (72) Inventors: O'BRIEN, N., Robert; 2614 Queenswood Drive, Victoria, British Columbia V8N 1X5 (CA). PETERS, Ernest; 2708 W. 23rd Avenue, Vancouver, British Columbia V6N 2G1 (CA). (74) Agent: WOODLEY, John, H.; Sim & McBurney, Suite 701, 330 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario M5G 1R7 (CA).		(81) Designated States: AM, AT, AU, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LR, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG), ARIPO patent (KE, MW, SD, SZ, UG). 2196981 Published With international search report.

(54) Title: HYDROMETALLURGICAL CONVERSION OF ZINC SULFIDE TO SULFATE FROM ZINC SULFIDE CONTAINING ORES AND CONCENTRATES



(57) Abstract

In a hydrometallurgical process for converting zinc sulfide in an ore containing zinc sulfide, the zinc sulfide being chemically converted at elevated temperatures to $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ which crystallizes substantially in the monohydrate form as $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ in a conversion solution having a high concentration of H_2SO_4 , the improvement comprises: (i) selecting an ore which contains both zinc sulfide and copper sulfide, the ore containing greater than 1 % by weight of copper; (ii) contacting the zinc sulfide/copper sulfide ore with a conversion solution comprising a concentration of sulfuric acid selected from the range of about 45 % by weight up to about 70 % by weight of the conversion solution and at the elevated temperature in the range of 90 °C to less than boiling point of the conversion solution for the selected concentration of sulfuric acid; (iii) ensuring a reducing condition in the conversion solution, by virtue of the concentration of H_2SO_4 , temperature, and maintenance of atmospheric pressure, to produce continuously sufficient H_2S to preclude oxidation of the copper sulfide; (iv) maintaining the conversion solution at the elevated temperature and at the range of concentration of the sulfuric acid to ensure continued formation of the crystals of $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ until substantially all available ZnS is chemically converted; and (v) separating the $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ crystals and remaining solids of the ore from the conversion solution.

2196981

Hydrometallurgical conversion of zinc sulfide to sulfate from zinc sulfide containing ores and concentrates.

5 Field of Invention

The present invention relates to a hydrometallurgical process for conversion of zinc sulfide in an ore at high temperature using high concentration of sulfuric acid.

Background of Invention

10 There is a significant push to develop commercial forms of a hydrometallurgical process to recover various types of metal from sulfidic ore bodies. The significant advantage of a hydrometallurgical process over the standard smelting process, is the significant reduction
15 in sulfur dioxide emissions. Although the chemistry might appear to be relatively direct in extracting zinc from sulfide ores, all known commercial approaches in this regard have either treat only zinc concentrates containing less than 1% copper, or have either failed or
20 are not economically viable. It is known that several of these hydrometallurgical processes for leaching zinc from either a concentrate or a rich ore involve the use of sulfuric acid and/or nitric acid and/or nitrate salts. As is appreciated, although sulfuric acid is very useful
25 in removing zinc sulfides from ore as soluble sulfates or this metal, the resultant leach solution has to be electrowon to recover the zinc because there is at present no other economically feasible way to separate the zinc sulfates from the dilute H_2SO_4 solution.

30 United States Patent 4,710,227 describes a process for leaching zinc from zinc containing ores where the zinc is removed from the ore by one or more leaching stages. The leached material is then purified and preferably subjected to electrowinning to recover zinc
35 from the leaching solution. Subsequent to one or more electrowinning steps, the remaining solution may be evaporated to increase the acid strength until it reaches a concentration of about 60% to 80% H_2SO_4 . The solubility of zinc and magnesium in this composition decreases

radically at acid strengths of this magnitude. As a result, there is precipitated a crystal mass which comprises mainly zinc sulfate, magnesium sulfate and manganese sulfate. The remaining liquid is predominantly acid which can then be recycled in the process. The resultant crystal mass can either be discarded or dissolved in a small quantity of water. This redissolved solution of primarily magnesium sulfate, zinc sulfate and manganese sulfate can be discarded or recycled for further treatment. Alternatively, the zinc can be precipitated from the solution by neutralizing it at a high pH to facilitate dumping of material. The process of evaporating and thereby concentrating the solution to form the crystalline mass is, however, expensive because of the significant fuel or energy costs for the evaporation step, and the need for corrosion resistant material used in the heat transfer evaporating process. Hence the process is not of commercial significance, because of the significant costs associated with recirculating the liquid phase and discarding the trace amount of metals in the liquids removed from the electrowinning stages.

In Canadian Patent No. 864,455 a process is disclosed to treat ores. 80% to 100% sulfuric acid by weight of the reaction solution at temperatures between 160°C and 250°C is used, causing a suspension of solids that includes anhydrous sulfates of copper and zinc. The solids are washed with water so that zinc sulfate and copper sulfate dissolve into solution. The zinc and copper are then recovered by electrowinning techniques. Such extremely high concentrations of sulfuric acid and extremely high temperatures result in a degradation of the zinc and copper sulfides into anhydrous sulfates with the concurrent production of SO₂ and a plastic form of sulfur which tends to be very gummy and hence hard to handle.

United States patents 4,071,421 and 4,440,569 to Sheritt Gordon discloses a pressure leach system which is very effective for separating zinc from ore or concentrate. However, the commercial aspect of the process requires that the ore or concentrate contain less than 0.5% by weight copper and preferably less than 0.1% by weight copper; otherwise, significant processing complications arise along with consequent plant shutdown and equipment clean out.

Furthermore, none of the above processes work well with all types of zinc sulfide containing ores or concentrates. For example, other prominent supplies for zinc sulfide containing ore or concentrate include lead/zinc ores and zinc/silicate ores. Usually one or more aspects of the prior art processes is compromised by the presence of lead minerals or soluble silicates. With some of the prior art processes, the presence of soluble silicates forms a very gelatinous mass of hydrated silica which renders the leach solution unfiltrable. Soluble silicates are more basic than insoluble silicates. For example, the orthosilicates Zn_2SiO_4 (the mineral Willemite) or $2\text{ZnO} \cdot \text{SiO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ (the mineral hemimorphite) are acid soluble, while the metasilicate ZnSiO_3 is insoluble. Similarly, there are acid soluble orthosilicates of iron - Fe_2SiO_4 (fayalite) and of magnesium (Mg_2SiO_4 , forsterite) while metasilicates FeSiO_3 (gruenerite) and MgSiO_3 (dinoenstatite) are insoluble. When soluble silicates dissolve, they form solutions very supersaturated in quartz (SiO_2), but the precipitation of stable quartz crystals requires geologic time frames, and so gelatinous silica is formed instead. This gelatinous silica is an impediment to liquid solids separation and a serious impurity in zinc plant electrolytes. Exemplary processes for the recovery of Zn from Zn silicate ores are described in Canadian patent 876,034 and in Kumar et al "Zinc Recovery from Zawar Ancient Siliceous Slag" Hydrometallurgy, (1986) 15:267-280.

Christensen U.S. patent 1,937,631 describes a process for treating zinc ores so as to recover zinc therefrom. The process is directed to the recovery of zinc in the form of zinc sulfate from mixed lead-zinc sulfide ores and/or concentrates, which contains only trace amounts of copper sulfide. Christensen grinds the ore in admixture with hot sulfuric acid in which the solubility of zinc sulfate in the hot acid is near the minimum. The amount of sulfuric acid used is defined in terms of acid strength of about 55% to 70%. The process is satisfactory for recovering zinc from mixed zinc-lead sulfide ores because the converted lead sulfate as it forms a thin superficial coating on the ore can be ground off to reveal fresh zinc sulfide, which is further converted to the zinc sulfate. Christensen appreciates that in treating copper containing ores such as pyrite or chalcopyrite, a very high acid concentration is required - 95% and greater. Although Christensen achieves the desired conversion of zinc sulfide to zinc sulfate which is recovered as a solid, no thought is given by Christensen in preventing the oxidation of any copper sulfides to copper sulfate. Since copper sulfate is soluble, Christensen has to employ additional steps to remove the copper sulfate from the treatment solution before achieving final isolation of the desired zinc sulfate.

The process according to this invention overcomes several of the problems associated with the prior art processes in providing a process in which high concentrations of sulfuric acid are used to convert zinc sulfide in zinc sulfide containing ores. The process is operated temperatures in the range of 90°C to less than boiling point of the conversion solution to convert the zinc sulfide into zinc sulfate crystal monohydrate which in the conversion solution forms crystals. Hence, the process, in accordance with this invention, provides a novel way to achieve separation of zinc sulfate from a

H₂SO₄ treatment solution without requiring an electrowinning step.

Summary of the Invention

In accordance with an aspect of the invention, in a hydrometallurgical process for converting zinc sulfide in an ore containing zinc sulfide, the zinc sulfide being chemically converted at elevated temperatures to form ZnSO₄.xH₂O which crystallizes substantially in the monohydrate form as ZnSO₄.H₂O in a conversion solution having a high concentration of H₂SO₄, the improvement comprises:

- selecting an ore which contains both zinc sulfide and copper sulfide, the ore containing greater than 1% by weight of copper;
- 15 - contacting the zinc sulfide/copper sulfide ore with a conversion solution comprising a concentration of sulfuric acid selected from the range of about 45% by weight up to about 70% by weight of the conversion solution and at the elevated temperatures in the range of 20 90°C to less than boiling point of the conversion solution for the selected concentration of sulfuric acid;
- ensuring a reducing condition in the conversion solution, by virtue of the concentration of H₂SO₄, temperature, and maintenance of atmospheric pressure, to 25 produce continuously sufficient H₂S to preclude oxidation of the copper sulfide;
- maintaining the conversion solution at the elevated temperature and at the range of concentration of the sulfuric acid to ensure continued formation of the 30 crystals of ZnSO₄.H₂O until substantially all available ZnS is chemically converted;
- separating the ZnSO₄.H₂O crystals and remaining solids of the ore from the conversion solution.

In accordance with another aspect of the invention, 35 the recovered crystals of ZnSO₄.H₂O may be dissolved in a solution having a low concentration of sulfuric acid

where the low concentration of sulfuric acid may be derived from a zinc recovery electrolytic cell.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Figure 1 is a diagram of experimental test results for temperature versus H_2SO_4 concentration wherein the region of successful conversion of zinc sulfide to zinc sulfate monohydrate is identified. The legend for the diagram is the "□" symbol indicates less than 50% zinc extraction and the "Δ" indicates more than 50% zinc extraction.

Description of the Preferred Embodiment

The process of this invention is particularly suited in the treatment of zinc sulfide metal ores which contain copper sulfides and possibly, in addition lead sulfides or silicates. The process allows for the zinc to be preferentially recovered therefrom without recovery of the copper, lead or interference by silicates in the ore. The ore may be either in a finely divided concentrate form, a finely divided rich ore or a combination of the two and hence the term ore is intended to mean anyone of these alternatives.

Examples of such mineral bearing ores commonly include chalcopyrite, chalcocite, bornite, tetrahedrite, sphalerite, galena, molybendite, pyrite, pyrrhotite and arsenopyrite. The ore is in particle form and is preferably ground such that 75% of the finest particles pass 275 mesh; i.e., in the range of 50 microns or less. This ensures a finely divided material on which the reagents used in the process of this invention react. Most copper and zinc ore sources normally include chalcopyrite, sphalerite, bornite, pyrite, galena and mixtures thereof. In a preferred aspect of the invention the objective is to recover zinc in the form of monohydrate zinc sulfate crystals.

It is also appreciated that such ores may include precious metals such as rhodium, palladium, platinum, silver and gold. Usually such constituents are in trace

amounts and may not warrant recovery. It has been found that these precious metals do not present a problem with respect to the processing conversion conditions.

Similarly, small amounts of Pb, Cd, As and Sb are
5 commonly found in such ores. It has also been found that the presence of iron in the ore also does not present any processing problems and although most iron sulfide minerals are not reacted, iron in the form of marmalite (Zn,Fe)S or pyrrhotite (Fe_{1-x}S) is converted into
10 crystalline ferrous sulphate (FeSO_4) and can be separated from zinc sulfate monohydrate in subsequent processing steps familiar to those versed in the art.

The zinc conversion process of the present invention involves the production of monohydrate zinc sulfate
15 crystals from the zinc sulfide fraction in the ore. Sufficiently concentrated sulfuric acid at a sufficiently high temperature is used to yield hydrogen sulfide and to convert all available zinc sulfide. The preferred application is in the separation of zinc from copper
20 containing ores and in particular ores containing greater than 0.5% by weight and usually greater than 1% by weight of copper. As previously noted, such ores are not commercially treatable by the Sherritt Gordon pressure leach process of United States patents 4,071,421 and
25 4,440,569, while at the same time not decomposing or converting any sulfidic copper minerals. This absence of reaction with the copper sulfides is due to the presence of the reducing H_2S from the preferential zinc sulfide conversion reaction. The presence of H_2S ensures a
30 reducing condition in the conversion solution which in turn precludes oxidation of copper sulfide to copper sulfate.


Although the chemistry in the well known prior art leaching process involves the use of sulfuric acid, it is
35 not fully understood. That reaction generally proceeds as follows:



The reaction proceeds under ordinary conditions, that is at room temperature and at low concentrations of H_2SO_4 ; e.g. 1 molar sulfuric acid (98 grams of H_2SO_4 per litre of leach solution).

5 While it has been known that the reaction
equilibrium moves to the right with increasing acid
concentration and temperature, that is, increasing zinc
sulfate concentration and hydrogen sulfide partial
pressure, we have discovered that the reaction will go to
10 completion (and not merely to an equilibrium) when the
acid concentration is high enough to salt out
(precipitate) a lower hydrate of zinc sulfate and when
the temperature is sufficiently high to yield a hydrogen
sulfide pressure in excess of the ambient pressure in the
15 reactor to ensure at all times during the treatment that
the reducing condition is maintained in the conversion
solution to maintain thereby copper in the form of copper
sulfide. Under these conditions, where the reaction goes
to completion (as distinct from reaching an equilibrium)
20 the salt produced from zinc sulfide is $ZnSO_4 \cdot H_2O$ through
all the H_2SO_4 concentration range of this invention.

The zinc conversion is therefore believed to proceed
as follows at high concentrations of H_2SO_4 and at high
temperatures;



It has been found that by increasing the acid
concentration and temperature, a point is reached where
the produced zinc sulfate in its monohydrate form
crystallizes and drops out of solution and surprisingly
30 any copper sulfides are not converted, nor do copper
sulfates precipitate out of solution. Therefore, it has
been found that there is a minimum sulfuric acid
concentration and a minimum temperature at which the
equilibrium of the above reaction exceeds the point where
35 hydrogen sulfide partial pressure is 1 atmosphere and the
solution is saturated with zinc sulfate. Above these
minimum concentration and temperature values, sufficient

hydrogen sulfide gas is produced and boils off and monohydrate zinc sulfate crystals form until all substantially available zinc sulfide in the ore is converted to zinc sulfate. By use of the term

5 "substantially", it is intended to mean that all zinc sulfide of the ore that is available for conversion by the H_2SO_4 solution is converted on the basis of a commercially viable reactor residence time and commercially viable extent of grinding and crushing to a

10 sufficiently fine ore particle size. We have also determined that operating at extremely high acid concentrations and temperatures, such as with the process of aforementioned Canadian patent 864,455, is not acceptable because both the zinc and copper remain in

15 solution as anhydrous sulfate and unacceptable amounts of plastic sulfur and SO_2 are produced instead of the desired H_2S which provided the required reducing condition.

The theoretical minimum sulfuric acid concentrations and minimum temperature can be calculated empirically

20 using reported data. Theoretical data, as applied to the equilibrium of equation (1) in a commercial recovery environment are not available, but may be extrapolated from measured data reported - L.T. Romankiw and P.L. DeBruyn, "Kinetics of Dissolution of Zinc Sulfide in Sulfuric Acid", in Unit Processes in Hydrometallurgy,

25 (eds. Wadsworth and Davis), Gordon & Breach Science Publishers, N.Y. (1964), pp 45-65. It is important to understand, however, that these measured data were made on synthetic zinc sulfide precipitates, and that natural

30 zinc sulfides are up to 20 KJ per mole more stable. Data from Bard, Parsons, and Jordan "Standard Potentials in Aqueous solution" published by the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, 1985), pp. 252-253 give the following

35 thermodynamic values for zinc sulfide phases:

Phase	ΔH°_{298} (KJ/Mole)	ΔG°_{298} (KJ/Mole)
ZnS, sphalerite	-206.0	-201.3

ZnS, wurtzite	-192.6	-185
ZnS, Precipitate	-185	-181

The theoretical calculations on precipitated ZnS would indicate that as little 20% by weight sulfuric acid at 130°C and a minimum of 35% by weight sulfuric acid at 70°C would effect such conversion. These theoretical calculations are based on solubility data of zinc sulfate in sulfuric acid. Based on analysis of this data, it would appear that at sulfuric concentrations of approximately 20% by weight and a temperature of about 130°C, or approximately 35% by weight sulfuric acid at a temperature of 70°C, would convert zinc sulfide into zinc sulfate monohydrate which should have presumably crystallized and dropped out of the conversion solution. Quite surprisingly however, at these lower concentrations of H₂SO₄, no zinc sulfate monohydrate was formed. Any zinc sulfate formed in the solution was not enough to saturate the acid conversion solution, so that no crystals of zinc sulfate monohydrate appeared in conversion solutions of that lower concentration. It would appear that these theoretical calculations were not accurate in respect of what we have found is required in terms of the minimum concentration of sulfuric acid and minimum temperatures to achieve production of the zinc sulfate monohydrate which would crystallize in the conversion solution. These differences appear to be due to the thermodynamic calculations being somewhat askew because the reaction was not as favourable as the theoretical data would indicate. The natural ore is far more stable and hence less apt to be converted compared to the materials reacted with sulfuric acid on which the theoretical calculations were based. The zinc sulfide was made synthetically, where the material contained less than 0.006% iron and was of size in the range of 0.1 to 0.3 microns. On the other hand, actual ores to be treated, in accordance with this process, may be of the above noted types and in particular marmatite containing

approximately 5% to 10% iron and having a particle size of 50 microns or greater.

Higher concentrations of sulfuric acid and higher temperatures for the conversion solution were investigated in order to achieve the process conditions of equation (2). By various tests carried out in accordance with this invention and as described in the accompanying examples, it has been determined that at a temperature as low as about 90°C and at approximately 70% by weight of sulfuric acid in the conversion solution, sufficient zinc sulfate is formed which drops out of the conversion solution in crystalline form as zinc sulfate monohydrate. At a concentration of sulfuric acid of approximately 45% by weight in the conversion solution, a temperature of about 130°C provides sufficient zinc sulfate monohydrate which crystallizes and drops out of the conversion solution. Hence the process of this invention has an operable concentration of sulfuric acid and temperature well above that predicted by the theoretical values. Furthermore, it has been found that increasing beyond approximately 75% by weight of sulfuric acid also results in a commercially inoperable processes, because of the formation of plastic sulfur and SO₂ instead of H₂S and hence the conversion of copper into copper sulfate which goes into solution. Hence the extremely high concentrations and temperatures employed, in accordance with the aforementioned prior art, such as in Canadian patent 864,455, are not applicable in respect of this invention.

Figure 1 is a plot of the experimental test results which clearly indicate the region in terms of temperature versus concentration of sulfuric acid in which zinc extractions greater than 50% can be achieved in approximately one to three hours with minimal, if any, generation of sulfur. The experimental test results are based on the conversion of ores and ore concentrates so that it is believed that the parameters in respect of

temperature and sulfuric acid concentration can be extrapolated to a commercial process to achieve the preferential removal of zinc from zinc sulfide containing ores, where other sulfides may be present including copper sulfide which is not affected by the conversion process and is not crystallized out with the zinc. This processing condition, in accordance with this invention, provides a significant advance in the hydrometallurgical treatment of ores to remove zinc sulfides for recovery and hence provide a treated ore which is now enriched in copper sulfide for treatment by other processes.

Based on the region identified in Figure 1, it is apparent that, at any temperature above approximately 90°C and for a selected sulfuric acid concentration in excess of about 60% by weight, conversion of zinc can be achieved and for temperatures up to approximately the boiling point of the conversion solution for weaker sulfuric acid concentrations, such as in the range of 45% to 55%, conversion can also be achieved. It is also understood that the rate of reaction increases measurably if at the higher concentrations of sulfuric acid, either approaching the boiling point of the conversion solution or in the range of about 130°C to 140°C, excellent preferential conversion of the zinc sulfide is achieved without impacting on the copper sulfides remaining in the ore.

It is also apparent that concentrations of sulfuric acid above 80% by weight or less than 40% by weight do not produce any commercially significant result, either by virtue of poor zinc extractions at less than 40% H₂SO₄ or by virtue of generating SO₂ and plastic sulfur at greater than 80% H₂SO₄. Region B is indicated on Figure 1 to identify the predominate production of SO₂ which is undesirable. Region A indicates the process parameters of the aforementioned Canadian Patent 864,455 to _____

Treadwell Corporation which results in the unacceptable production of SO_2 and the gummy deposit of sulphur.

Therefore in accordance with the preferred aspect of the invention, practising any of the conditions as set out in Figure 1, which are within the region identified as the zinc extraction region, generates a sufficiently high yield of the zinc sulfate monohydrate at equilibrium such that the conversion solution becomes saturated with the monohydrate form, whereby the zinc sulfate monohydrate commences to crystallize and fall out of solution. Providing fresh ore is continuously introduced to the conversion solution and the concentrations of sulfuric acid and temperature for the conversion solutions are maintained, the conversion of zinc sulfide to zinc sulfate monohydrate will continue and provide on a continuous basis salt containing the zinc sulfate monohydrate which can be later processed for recovery of the zinc.

It is believed that, due to the presence of hydrogen sulfide gas which boils off the conversion solution during the conversion process, the conversion of copper minerals and, in particular, copper sulfide is prevented by a far poorer equilibrium between copper ions in solution, hydrogen sulfide gas, and sulfuric acid. Indeed, any copper ions initially present in the solution would be precipitated as copper sulfides. Hence the process provides an excellent commercial zinc-copper separation, particularly with ores or concentrates containing more than 0.5% by weight copper and usually in excess of 1% by weight copper in the form of copper sulfides. It is expected that some of the iron, particularly in the form of $(\text{Zn}, \text{Fe})\text{S}$ and $\text{Fe}_{0.8}\text{S}$ might react with the conversion solution. It is very doubtful, however, that other types of iron, such as FeS_2 (pyrite) and FeAsS (arsenopyrite) would be attacked by the conversion solution. It is also doubtful that arsenic or antimony would enter the conversion solution. Certainly

mercury, silver and gold would not enter the conversion solution. However, magnesium and calcium minerals would be converted and enter the conversion solution, but unlikely any highly silicious minerals or quartz.

5 Silicious zinc sulfide ores presented a significant prior art processing problem, because of the conversion of soluble silicates into gelatinous hydrated silicate substituents which interferes or prevents filtration to separate leached zinc from the treated ore or
10 concentrate. The process, in accordance with this invention, overcomes this problem because in treating silicate/zinc ores at the elevated temperature and prescribed range of sulfuric acid concentrations, the silicates are marginally hydrated so that the silicates
15 remain solid rather than forming a gelatinous mass. Such solid form of silicates does not, then, appreciably interfere with the process of the zinc sulfide conversion and the falling out of the zinc sulfate monohydrate crystals.

20 Hence in removal of the crystalline zinc sulfate monohydrate from the conversion solution, there may be trace amounts of iron, magnesium and calcium, but these minerals can be readily separated from the zinc sulfate monohydrate material during the recovery of the zinc from
25 the crystalline material. Ideally, the recovered crystalline material, once separated from the conversion solution, can be treated with either water or dilute acid solution to dissolve the zinc sulfate monohydrate in the form of $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$. The remaining constituents in the
30 crystalline material may be insoluble in the dilute acid mixture or water; hence providing a further purification of the zinc sulfate before carrying out electrowinning or the like to remove or recover zinc from the composition.

The reaction of equation (2) is endothermic and
35 hence requires the input of heat during the conversion which may either be carried out on a batch or continuous basis. On a continuous basis or batch basis, heat may be

introduced to the reactor by various types of heat exchange devices, although in view of the very high concentration of sulfuric acid, the preferred way of heating the reaction is by submerged combustion.

5 The amount of heat needed for this endothermic reaction is far smaller than that necessary for boiling down a 15% sulfuric acid solution to 60 to 80% sulfuric acid, as previously described with respect to United States patent 4,712,277.

10 The zinc sulfide containing ore may be in the form of a concentrate, a finely divided ore or the like. The particle size of the finely divided ore is normally in the range of 50 microns to 100 microns. It is appreciated that the process will work equally well on
15 various particle sizes for the ore and ore concentrate. However as is understood, the finer the division in the ore, the faster the rate of reaction in converting the available zinc sulfide and as well, the less residence time to achieve greater than 50% conversion of the zinc
20 sulfide. Under optimum conditions, it is expected that conversions in the range of 80% to 90% can be achieved with sufficiently fine ore, temperature and sulfuric acid concentration selection. The selection of the upper range of temperature is, of course, determined by the
25 boiling point of the conversion solution for a selected concentration of sulfuric acid. It is appreciated that, as the sulfuric acid concentration increases, so does the boiling point of the conversion solution. Conversion solutions having a concentration of sulfuric acid in the
30 range of 40% to 50% by weight boil at approximately 120°C to 140°C, whereas at sulfuric acid concentrations of 70% to 80% by weight, the conversion solution boils in the range of 165°C to about 195°C. It is appreciated, however, that, in achieving equilibrium for the reaction
35 of equation (2), sufficient hydrogen sulfide is produced that it will tend to bubble off at temperatures below the boiling point of the conversion solution. Preferably the

hydrogen sulfide is removed from the reactor so that the reaction is carried out at approximately atmospheric pressure. The reaction could be expedited by enhancing the removal of H_2S from the reaction solution by applying
5 a vacuum or using a flushing gas. Lower concentrations of sulfuric acid and/or temperature might then be possible. However, the application of a vacuum or the addition of a flushing gas to the reactor, which has such a high concentration of sulfuric acid, would dramatically
10 increase the overall costs in the process and are believed to render it economically unviable.

The hydrogen sulfide gas removed from the reactor may be treated by various techniques to either convert the hydrogen sulfide into sulfur or sulfuric acid. If
15 converted into sulfuric acid, it can be used to replenish the conversion solution.

The various tests, as carried out in establishing the operable region of this process, establish several factors which include laboratory tests and indicate that
20 for an economical zinc extraction the zinc should be converted by at least 50% within one hour of being subjected to the conversion solution. Amounts of sulfur generated, normally in excess of 0.5 to 1 gram based on the quantities used in the laboratory tests, would
25 predict an uneconomic process because of excessive generation of sulfur.

Experimental tests

30 The following laboratory scale experiments demonstrate the useful region of the process parameters involving sulfuric acid concentration and temperature. The experimental tests were carried out principally as follows. A suitable zinc sulfide ore or concentrate was
35 selected and finely divided to approximately 50 microns size. The suitable zinc sulfide ore may be sphalerite or bulk concentrates made from zinc copper sulfide ores.

Copper in the ore may be in equal amounts compared to the weight of zinc in the ore and may be less than weights of iron in the ore. For example, the ratios of zinc, copper to iron may be 2:2:3.

- 5 Approximately 100 grams of the mineral in 150 mls of water is placed in the reaction flask. Approximately 100 mls of the acid solution of the selected sulfuric acid concentration is slowly added to the mixture while mixing. The conversion solution was allowed to react
- 10 with the ore from 1 to 3 hours, where the temperature of the reaction was maintained at the selected temperature. At the end of the selected period of reaction, any crystalline material was filtered from the conversion solution and an analysis carried out with respect to
- 15 amount of zinc and other components in the conversion solution in the crystalline material and in any other solids. The results, in terms of temperature, concentration of sulfuric acid and percent conversion is set out in Table 1. From these results, it is apparent
- 20 that acceptable conversions in excess of 50% and minimal production of sulfur are identified.

TABLE 1

2196981

ZnS Conversion - Acid Concentration Effect

5	Exp. #	Temperature °C	% Zn converted from Ore	Reaction Time/Hours
10			20% Sulfuric Acid	
	1	70	5.0	1
	2	100	22.5	3
15			30% Sulfuric Acid	
	3	70	12.4	2
	4	100	8.0	2
	5	114	19.8	1
20			40% Sulfuric Acid	
	6	70	7.9	1
	7	100	18.0	1
	8	114	22.5	1
	9	120	23.7	1
25	10	120	24.2	1
			45% Sulfuric Acid	
	11	70	2.0	3
	12	100	23.5	1
30	13	127	51.2	3
	14	124	76.0	1
			55% Sulfuric Acid	
	15	70	13.8	1
35	16	100	47.7	1
	17	132	89.0	1
			60% Sulfuric Acid	
	18	138	97.4	1
40			65% Sulfuric Acid	
	19	70	35.6	1
	20	100	76.9	1
45			70% Sulfuric Acid	
	21*	136	91.5	1
			75% Sulfuric Acid	
	22*	70	20.6	
50	23*	100	77.7	1
	24*	134	91.8	1

*Excessive amount of sulfur produced in excess of 0.5 to 1 gram.

In accordance with these experimental results, the process parameters for an economically viable process have been defined which surprisingly and with repeatable success provide a system for recovering zinc from zinc sulfide ores, which may include copper sulfide, where the resultant material can be solubilized to provide a solution from which zinc may be electrowon. When the ore includes copper sulfides, the process provides ore now enriched in copper sulfide which may be processed to recover copper therefrom.

Although preferred embodiments of the invention are described herein in detail, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that variations may be made thereto without departing from the spirit of the invention or the scope of the appended claims.

WE CLAIM

1. In a hydrometallurgical process for converting zinc sulfide in an ore containing zinc sulfide, said zinc
5 sulfide being chemically converted at elevated temperatures to $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ which crystallizes substantially in the monohydrate form as $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ in a conversion solution having a high concentration of H_2SO_4 , the improvement comprising:

10 i) selecting an ore which contains both zinc sulfide and copper sulfide, said ore containing greater than 1% by weight of copper.

ii) contacting said zinc sulfide/copper sulfide ore with a conversion solution comprising a concentration of
15 sulfuric acid selected from the range of about 45% by weight up to about 70% by weight of said conversion solution and at said elevated temperatures in the range of 90°C to less than boiling point of said conversion solution for said selected concentration of sulfuric
20 acid;

iii) ensuring a reducing condition in the conversion solution, by virtue of the concentration of H_2SO_4 , temperature, and maintenance of atmospheric pressure, to produce continuously sufficient H_2S to preclude oxidation
25 of the copper sulfide.

iv) maintaining said conversion solution at said elevated temperature and at said range of concentration of said sulfuric acid to ensure continued formation of said crystals of $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ until substantially all
30 available ZnS is chemically converted; and

v) separating said $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ crystals and remaining solids of said ore from said conversion solution.

2. A process of claim 1 wherein said concentration of sulfuric acid is in the range of 50% by weight to 65% by weight of said conversion solution.

5 3. A process of claim 1 wherein sulfuric acid and heat are added as needed to said mixture during said chemical conversion of said zinc sulfide to ensure said continued formation of said crystals.

10 4. A process of claim 1 further comprising dissolving said crystals to separate in the hydrated form $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ from said remaining solids of said ore.

15 5. A process of claim 4, wherein said crystals are dissolved in a dilute sulfuric acid solution.

6. A process of claim 5, wherein said solution of low concentration of sulfuric acid is electrolyte removed from a zinc recovery electrolytic cell.

20

7. A process of any one of claims 1 through 6, wherein said ore is finely divided.

25 8. A process of any one of claims 1 through 6, wherein said ore is a concentrate.

2196981

1/1




FIG.1.

