



(86) **Date de dépôt PCT/PCT Filing Date:** 2013/12/09  
 (87) **Date publication PCT/PCT Publication Date:** 2014/06/19  
 (85) **Entrée phase nationale/National Entry:** 2015/06/05  
 (86) **N° demande PCT/PCT Application No.:** IB 2013/060736  
 (87) **N° publication PCT/PCT Publication No.:** 2014/091385  
 (30) **Priorité/Priority:** 2012/12/10 (US61/735,245)

(51) **Cl.Int./Int.Cl. G06F 17/30** (2006.01)  
 (71) **Demandeur/Applicant:**  
 KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V., NL  
 (72) **Inventeurs/Inventors:**  
 GROSS, BRIAN DAVID, NL;  
 ELDO, ISSAC, NL  
 (74) **Agent:** SMART & BIGGAR

(54) **Titre : PROCEDURE ET SYSTEME POUR RENDRE ANONYMES DES MESURES DE PERFORMANCE MULTISITE ET POUR COMMANDER DES ACTIONS ET RE-IDENTIFICATION DE DONNEES ANONYMES**  
 (54) **Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR MAKING MULTISITE PERFORMANCE MEASURE ANONYMOUS AND FOR CONTROLLING ACTIONS AND RE-IDENTIFICATION OF ANONYMOUS DATA**




FIGURE 1

(57) **Abrégé/Abstract:**

A system for making data source anonymous including a plurality of data sources, each data source including a data creation engine which creates normalized data in accordance with a common schema and a transformation engine which de-normalizes the normalized data such that the data source cannot be inferred by other data sources and transmits the de-normalized data to a remote computing resource. A remote computing resource receives and stores the de-normalized data from the plurality of data sources.

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property  
Organization  
International Bureau(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 2014/091385 A1**(43) International Publication Date  
19 June 2014 (19.06.2014)(51) International Patent Classification:  
*G06F 17/30* (2006.01)(21) International Application Number:  
PCT/IB2013/060736(22) International Filing Date:  
9 December 2013 (09.12.2013)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:  
61/735,245 10 December 2012 (10.12.2012) US(71) Applicant: **KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V.** [NL/NL];  
High Tech Campus 5, NL-5656 AE Eindhoven (NL).(72) Inventors: **GROSS, Brian David**; c/o High Tech Campus,  
Building 5, NL-5656 AE Eindhoven (NL). **ELDO, Issac**;  
c/o High Tech Campus, Building 5, NL-5656 AE Eindh-  
hoven (NL).(74) Agents: **STEFFEN, Thomas** et al.; High Tech Campus  
Building 5, NL-5656 AE Eindhoven (NL).(81) Designated States (*unless otherwise indicated, for every  
kind of national protection available*): AE, AG, AL, AM,  
AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY,  
BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM,  
DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT,HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR,  
KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME,  
MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ,  
OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA,  
SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM,  
TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM,  
ZW.(84) Designated States (*unless otherwise indicated, for every  
kind of regional protection available*): ARIPO (BW, GH,  
GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ,  
UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ,  
TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK,  
EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV,  
MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM,  
TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW,  
KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).**Declarations under Rule 4.17:**

- as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a patent (Rule 4.17(ii))
- as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii))

**Published:**

- with international search report (Art. 21(3))

(54) Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR MAKING MULTISITE PERFORMANCE MEASURE ANONYMOUS AND FOR CONTROLLING ACTIONS AND RE-IDENTIFICATION OF ANONYMOUS DATA




FIGURE 1

(57) Abstract: A system for making data source anonymous including a plurality of data sources, each data source including a data creation engine which creates normalized data in accordance with a common schema and a transformation engine which de-normalizes the normalized data such that the data source cannot be inferred by other data sources and transmits the de-normalized data to a remote computing resource. A remote computing resource receives and stores the de-normalized data from the plurality of data sources.

WO 2014/091385 A1

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR MAKING MULTISITE PERFORMANCE MEASURE ANONYMOUS AND FOR CONTROLLING ACTIONS AND RE-IDENTIFICATION OF ANONYMOUS DATA

The present application relates to analyzing clinical data by remote computing resources. It finds particular application in conjunction with systems and methods for making multisite performance measures anonymous. It further finds particular application in conjunction with systems and methods for controlling actions and re-identification of anonymous data. However, it is to be understood that it also finds application in other usage scenarios and is not necessarily limited to the aforementioned application.

Measuring performance of multiple healthcare sites is not only part of any quality improvement initiative, but is also required in many cases by the state, federal, private investors, and the like. Typically, there is a reluctance by healthcare sites to contribute data or a reduction of data fidelity, when healthcare sites are asked to share reporting. This is typically due to the fear that others will see areas where performance is lacking. This has resulted in delays identifying operational improvement projects, and more importantly, identifying disruptive innovators that practice care processes that result in significantly better outcomes over others.

Mapping sites to generic IDs has been used as a way of partly-de-identifying the performance data but it is still possible to decode several of the sites by knowing how many sites and units are a part of the system. For example, if there is a single hospital with a 12 bed intensive care unit (ICU) and a 12 bed coronary care unit (CCU) and an enterprise with 6 hospitals each with 6 ICUs (including a neonatal intensive care unit (NICU)) were coded, it would be obvious that the 36 units belong to the large facility and the 2 belong to the small. Similarly, if there were 3600 encounters in a year at one site and 78000 encounters at another, or NICU data in one site's data, it would be obviously which facility was which.

The present application supports the federation of many performance data bases in such a way that the any site could compare their results to the cohort without the risk that others in the cohort could infer their performance. This would ensure the anonymization of each site to the shared performance outcomes, while maintaining specific non-singular attributes in the data set.

Further, real time data analytics on de-identified datasets is the foundation to "big data" clinical research. One challenge is once the "right" patient sets are obtained, there

is a need to gather different data or merge the data in the analytics repository with other non-de-identified data. This requires the re-identification of the de-normalized datasets.

By using fixed roles in the cloud to drive data manipulation processes, invoking an on-premise user authentication against those permissions, the site owning the data (contributing to the de-identified analytics system) is in control of actions permitted on that data (view, run reports, re-identify, export...). Further, an authorization token is issued by the application to the site hosted action service in the form of a site issued token. This allows the site to manage the user pool, and permit who they want to have those action permissions without adding these users to the cloud authentication system. More importantly, the token prevents an unauthorized user or fraudulent user who may learn the user role passwords in the cloud, from activating the re-identification service without first be authenticated by the owning site.

The present application further supports the federation of many de-identified clinical data bases in such a way that the site can manage who has the authority to perform specific actions on that data. Further, this system ensures that the action cannot be invoked without owning site user authentication. Further, this system ensures that the data re-identification process runs on the on-premise server therefore not sending protected health information (PHI) to the cloud.

The present application provides a new and improved apparatus and method which overcomes the above-referenced problems and others.

In accordance with one aspect, a system for making data source anonymous is provided. The system including a plurality of data sources, each data source including a data creation engine which creates normalized data in accordance with a common schema and a transformation engine which de-normalizes the normalized data such that the data source cannot be inferred by other data sources and transmits the de-normalized data to a remote computing resource. A remote computing resource receives and stores the de-normalized data from the plurality of data sources.

In accordance with another aspect, a method for making data source anonymous is provided. The method including creating normalized data in accordance with a common schema by a plurality of data sources, de-normalizing the normalized data such that the data source cannot be inferred by other data sources by a transformation engine, transmitting the de-normalized data to a remote computing resource, and storing the de-normalized data from the plurality of data sources at the remote computing resource.

In accordance with another aspect, a system for making data source anonymous is provided. The system including a plurality of data sources, each data source including a data creation engine which creates normalized data in accordance with a common schema and transmits the normalized data to a remote computing resource. A remote computing resource receives and stores the normalized data from the plurality of data sources and includes a transformation engine which de-normalizes the normalized data such that the data source cannot be inferred by other data sources and stored the de-normalized data.

10

One advantage resides in the anonymization of healthcare clinical data.

Another advantage resides in re-identification of de-normalized data based on user authentication without sending credentials outside the hosting domain.

Another advantage resides in controlling actions based on user roles without having to exposing the actual authenticated users to the cloud environment.

Another advantage resides in the federated host to define what and how to decimate the sending data prior to transmission thus further protecting the source data from interception.

Another advantage resides in improve access to benchmarking data due to the reduced risk of unauthorized re-identification of site, unit, user, or patient information.

Another advantage resides in improve clinical care and efficiency that results from benchmarking enabled by this invention.

Still further advantages of the present invention will be appreciated to those of ordinary skill in the art upon reading and understanding the following detailed description.

The invention may take form in various components and arrangements of components, and in various steps and arrangements of steps. The drawings are only for purposes of illustrating the preferred embodiments and are not to be construed as limiting the invention.

FIGURE 1 is a block diagram of an IT infrastructure in accordance with the present application.

FIGURE 2 is a flowchart diagram of a method for making multisite performance measures anonymous in accordance with the present application.

FIGURE 3 is a diagram of a healthcare provider configuration in accordance with the present application.

FIGURE 4 is a diagram of a healthcare provider access scenario in accordance with the present application.

5 FIGURE 5 is a diagram of the re-identification logic in accordance with the present application.

FIGURE 6 is a diagram of direct cloud access scenario in accordance with the present application.

10 FIGURE 7 is a flowchart diagram of a method for controlling action and re-identification of anonymous data in accordance with the present application.

With reference to FIGURE 1, a block diagram illustrates one embodiment of an information technology (IT) infrastructure **10** of a system for controlling access to clinical data analyzed by a remote computing resource. The IT infrastructure **10** suitably includes one or more healthcare systems and providers **12**, a remote computing resource or federated data store **14**, and the like, interconnected via a communications network **16**. It is contemplated that the communications network **16** includes one or more of the Intranet, a local area network, a wide area network, a wireless network, a wired network, a cellular network, a data bus, a personal area network, and the like. The healthcare provider **12** collects clinical data related to one or more patients cared for by the healthcare system or medical institution which is analyzed and stored by the remote computing resource **14**, which in one embodiment is located in a cloud infrastructure. In other embodiments the system may be located at a single location. In yet another embodiment may exist in a secure environment, but data transmission is over public media or shared infrastructure.

25 As used herein, “cloud” may refer to a collection of resources (e.g., hardware, data and/or software) provided and maintained by an off-site or off-premise party (e.g., third party), wherein the collection of data and resources can be accessed by an identified user via a network. The resources can include data storage services, data processing services (e.g., applications), and many other services that are conventionally associated with and reside within personal computers, local or “on-premise” servers, having at least one processing device such as a microprocessor, graphics processor among other processing devices and associated components. In general, cloud computing may be employed to perform services in a dissociative way, i.e., the client may not know where the service is performed as long as the service is performed with the expected quality of service.

30

As used herein, “clinical data” may refer to data collected from a patient or from a medical institution in any number of conventional ways. For example, clinical data may be collected in the field by a healthcare provider such as a physician or clinician. In another embodiment, clinical data includes data relating the wellness of a given patient or sub population. In another embodiment, clinical data includes data relating to the structure and local performance of the healthcare provider. Alternatively, a patient may be admitted to a healthcare provider such as a hospital or an emergency clinic and related clinical data may be collected by, for example, admissions or administration at the healthcare provider. Clinical data may be collected by other medical devices, such as, patient monitors including various subsystems for each vital sign such as SpO<sub>2</sub>, temperature, blood pressure, heart rate, etc., various imaging equipment, pacemaker monitors and interrogation devices, laboratory equipment, and other clinical data collection systems. Clinical data may also be collected by a patient's home monitoring systems, which may report physical, chemical, electrical or other patient's clinical parameters. Data collection used herein may be episodic, based on a predefined event or stochastic process, periodic, such as every 4 hours, or continuous. Data collection may be real time, near real-time or previously acquired and later uploaded.

The healthcare system, application, process, or provider (referred to as healthcare provider here forward) **12** creates data on behalf of the data owner, or processes the collected clinical data and securely transmits the clinical data to the remote computing resource **14** for analysis and/or reporting. After receiving the clinical data, the remote computing resource **14** processes the clinical data and generates one or more results and/or reports from the analysis. As described above, there is reluctance by healthcare providers to contribute clinical data or a reduction of clinical data fidelity, when healthcare providers are asked to share reporting. This is typically due to the fear that other healthcare provider will see areas where performance is lacking. Mapping sites to generic IDs has been previously used as a way of partly de-identifying the clinical data. However, due to the contents of the clinical data it is still possible to decode several of the sites by knowing basic knowledge about particular healthcare providers. As such, the present application supports the collection of clinical data in such a way that the any healthcare provider could compare their results and/or reports to a cohort healthcare provider without the risk that others could infer their performance. This would ensure the anonymization of each healthcare provider to the shared results and/or reports, while maintaining specific non-singular attributes in the clinical data set.

Specifically, the healthcare provider **12** includes a data creation engine **18** which creates clinical data in a normalized form on behalf of a data owner such as a patient, the healthcare provider itself, and the like which is then stored in a normalized data set database **20**. In one embodiment, the clinical data created by the data creation engine **18** includes data collected from a patient or from a medical institution in any number of conventional ways. In another embodiment, the data creation engine **18** creates clinical data in accordance with a common schema such that the data can be identified and mappable to common terms among other healthcare providers and the remote computing resource **14**. It should also be appreciated that the clinical data created by the data creation engine **18** includes unique attributes about the healthcare provider that would normally be a way of inferring the healthcare provider as the source of the clinical data such as the number of units, the unit types, number and type of procedures, number and type of tests, number of beds, number of patient encounters, number of facilities, and the like.

To de-normalize the clinical data such that other healthcare providers cannot infer the source of the clinical data, the healthcare provider **12** includes a transformation engine **22** that de-normalizes the clinical data created by the healthcare provider **12** which is stored in a de-normalized data set database **24**. Specifically, the transformation engine **22** transforms the clinical data such that each unique attribute is de-normalized and anonymous when viewed with respect to other clinical data set. To accomplish this, the remote computing resource **14** determines a target number of entries for each unique attribute of the clinical data which is sent to or referenced by the transformation engine **22**. For example, the remote computing resource **14** communicates a transformation format that instructs the transformation engine **22** how to decimate the clinical data including the target number of entries for each unique attribute to each healthcare provider **12**. The target number for each unique attribute in the clinical data is set where healthcare provider anonymity is required. For example, if a first healthcare provider data set includes six (6) care units and a second healthcare provider data set includes two (2) care units, the remote computing resource **14** will determine the target number of entries for the care unit attribute to be the lowest common denominate of the entries of the datasets. In this case, the first healthcare provider data set would transform the six (6) care unit entries into a three (3) different two (2) care unit entries such making the first healthcare provider data set anonymous from the second healthcare provider data set. The transformation engine **22** transforms the clinical data according to the target number for each unique attribute such that the source of the clinical data is anonymous. Specifically, the transformation engine **22** de-normalizes the clinical

data into one or more data cubes based on unique attributes that need to be anonymized, the target number of each unique attributes, and a data cube definition received from the remote computing resource **14**. The data cube definition is a standard data format utilized by the remote computing resource **14** such that the de-normalized clinical data can be compared to other healthcare provider clinical data efficiently. In another embodiment, the transformation engine **22** generates a table of global unique identifiers (GUID) which maps each attribute in the de-normalized data back to the normalized data format. To re-identify the de-normalized data, a re-identification engine **26** utilizes the table of GUIDs to map back the de-normalized data to its original normalized format. The healthcare provider **12** also includes user input devices to input clinical data or adjust the target number of each unique attributes and/or data cube definition. In some embodiments, the healthcare provider **12** include display devices providing users a user interface within which to manually enter the clinical data and/or for displaying generated reports and/or analysis of the clinical data.

For example, in the scenario described in Table A, Healthcare Provider A includes three (3) hospitals (Hosp 1, 2, and 3) with various units (MICU, SICU, CCU, NICO, ICU, CVICU, RICU, and PICU) and a respective number of bed per unit. Healthcare Provider B includes a single hospital with three (3) units (CCU, ICU, and NICU) and a respective number of beds per unit.

System	Hosp	Unit	Beds
A	1	MICU	8
		SICU	8
		CCU	8
		NICU	22
	2	CCU	6
		ICU	6
		CVICU	16
	3	CCU	24
		ICU	16
		MICU	8
		RICU	8
		PICU	16
B	1	NICU	60
		CCU	12
		ICU	12
		NICU	24

Table A

In the remote computing system **14**, there is a configuration which is read by the healthcare providers **12** and contains, in addition to the transformation cube definitions, the target number of attribute parameters for GUID replacement. In this example, the unit

size is equal to six (=6) and unit number of units per hospital is equal to two (=2). In this example there is a need to anonymize the source bed. If data is not associated to a bed, but instead an encounter, the encounter data can be randomly assigned to a new federated unit representation.

5                   The transformation engine **22** de-normalizes the clinical data by randomly break the beds from one care unit into units of six (6) beds and systems of hospitals with two (2) care units. As previously mentioned, the remote computing resource **14** communicates a transformation format that instructs the transformation engine **22** how to decimate the clinical data including the target number of entries for each unique attribute to each healthcare provider **12**. Encounter data from patients admitted to these beds can now be represented as  
10 clinical data without a way for other suites to determine the source. Table B below describes a serialization of the transform identification to make it simple to see the transformation, but in the preferred embodiment, the transform identification would not be in any discernible order and contain a rather long alpha numeric random GUID. The following represents the  
15 resulting transformation:

System	Hosp	Unit	Beds
A	1a	MICU1	6
	1a	MICU2	2
	1b	SICU1	6
	1b	SICU2	2
	1c	CCU1	6
	1c	CCU2	2
	1d	NICU1	6
	1d	NICU2	6
	1e	NICU3	6
	1e	NICU4	4
	2a	CCU3	6
	2a	ICU1	6
	3a	CVICU1	6
	3a	CVICU2	6
	3b	CVICU3	4
	3b	CCU4	6
	3c	CCU5	6
	3c	CCU6	6
	3d	CCU7	6
	3d	ICU2	6
	3e	ICU3	6
	3e	ICU4	4
	3f	MICU3	6
	3f	MICU4	2
	3g	RICU1	6
	3g	RICU2	2

	3h	PICU1	6
	3h	PICU2	6
	3i	PICU3	4
	3i	NICU1	6
	3j	NICU2	6
	3j	NICU3	6
	3k	NICU4	6
	3k	NICU5	6
	3l	NICU6	6
	3l	NICU7	6
	3m	NICU8	6
	3m	NICU9	6
	3n	NICU10	6
B	1aa	CCU8	6
	1aa	CCU9	6
	1ab	ICU5	6
	1ab	ICU6	6
	1ac	NICU11	6
	1ac	NICU12	6
	1ad	NICU13	6
	1ad	NICU14	6

Table B

The transformation engine **22** also creates a table of GUIDs representing the descriptors which have been altered (in this case Hospitals and Units) and stores the reference table for re-identifying the data at the source healthcare provider. For Hospital Healthcare

5 Provider B, Table C describes the table of GUIDs in this example.

System	Hospital	Care Units	Federated Hospital	Federated Unit	Federated beds included
B	1	CCU	1aa	CCU8	1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9
			1aa	CCU9	2, 5, 6, 10, 11, 12
		ICU	1ab	ICU5	2, 3, 6, 9, 10, 11
			1ab	ICU6	1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 12
		NICU	1ac	NICU11	1, 6, 9, 11, 16, 18
			1ac	NICU12	3, 7, 8, 14, 15, 21
			1ad	NICU13	2, 4, 5, 17, 22, 24
			1ad	NICU14	10, 12, 13, 19, 20, 23

Table C

In this example, Healthcare Provider B would know that hospital IDs 1aa through 1ad represent their care units CCU8 and CCU9 and are specifically Healthcare Provider B's 16 bed care unit, but other healthcare providers looking at the data could not discern which of the bed CCUs are related to which hospital. When a healthcare provider **12** requests a report and/or analysis based on the stored federated data store, the healthcare provider **12** can compare their performance to other similar care units and facilities without knowing which source facility belongs to the cohort results. If the healthcare provider

chooses to benchmark with each other, they simply need to share the table of GUIDs in order to see the performance and any benchmark healthcare providers who agree to share their identity with. The example above can easily be extended to cause a transformation of clinical data with healthcare providers **12** containing one hospital such that the table above would appear to be from forty-three (43) enterprises each one hospital with two (2) care units containing no more than six (6) beds. Additional descriptors can be added to the cube definition to assist operational research. For example, a new parameter can be added to the clinical data representation indicating if the federated unit is from a big unit (say more than 16 beds) or small.

10 The healthcare provider **12** then transmits the de-normalized clinical data to the remote computing resource **14** for further analysis and/or reporting via an analysis and reporting engine **28**. For example, the analysis and reporting engine **28** may compare the healthcare provider's clinical data, such as local performance data, with baseline clinical data, all of which may be stored in or accessible by the remote computing resource **14**. The analysis may also include the generation of one or more reports by the analysis and reporting engine **28**, which may include performance reports, clinical recommendations or advisories, or chronological graphical reports, including charts indicating healthy and unhealthy results in clear and easy to read display formats, for example. In an exemplary embodiment, result and/or report data corresponding to the results are transmitted back to healthcare system, provider, or actual data owner **12** for further processing.

15 In another embodiment, the one or more healthcare providers **12** transmit normalized clinical data to the remote computing resource **14** which stores the normalized clinical data in a remote normalized data set database **30**. To de-normalize the clinical data such that other healthcare providers cannot infer the source of the clinical data, the remote computing resource **14** includes a transformation engine **22** that de-normalizes the clinical data created by the healthcare provider **12** which is stored in a remote de-normalized data set database **32**. The transformation engine **22** transforms the clinical data according to the target number for each unique attribute as described above. Specifically, the transformation engine **22** de-normalizes the clinical data into one or more data cubes based on unique attributes that need to be anonymized, the target number of each unique attributes, and a data cube definition of the remote computing resource **14**. The transformation engine **22** also generates a table of global unique identifiers (GUID) which maps each attribute in the de-normalized data back to the normalized data format. To re-identify the de-normalized data, a re-identification engine **26** utilizes the table of GUIDs to map back the de-normalized data to its

original normalized format. The remote computing resource **14** also includes user input devices to input clinical data or adjust the target number of each unique attributes and/or data cube definition. In some embodiments, the remote computing resource **14** include display devices providing users a user interface within which to manually enter the clinical data and/or for displaying generated reports and/or analysis of the clinical data.

With reference to FIGURE 2, a flowchart diagram **200** of a method for making multisite performance measures anonymous is illustrated. In a step **202**, one or more healthcare providers connect to a remote computing resource. In a step **204**, the one or more healthcare providers read a data cube definition from the remote computing resource. In a step **206**, the one or more healthcare providers read an attribute de-normalization list and a target number of parameters for each attribute from the remote computing resource. In a step **208**, the one or more healthcare providers create data cubes in accordance with the data cube definition. In a step **210**, the one or more healthcare providers transform data by creating randomized GUIDs pursuant to cube definitions and attribute randomization list. In a step **212**, a table of GUIDs for re-identification of the data is created on the healthcare provider. In a step **214**, the transformed data is sent to the remote computing resource. In a step **216**, the one or more healthcare providers check for new cube definitions pursuant to a transformation schedule.

With continuing reference of FIGURE 1 and in the scenario in which the one or more healthcare providers **12** transmit normalized clinical data to the remote computing resource **14** which de-normalizes the clinical data, the remote computing resource **14** controls access and re-identification to the de-normalized data. Specifically, the remote computing resource includes predefined roles for what actions can be invoked by the users of the healthcare providers **12** when attempting to access and re-identify the de-normalized clinical data. These roles are synchronized with the healthcare provider's user roles for authentication. Specifically, a healthcare provider **12** user logs into a healthcare provider application and based on their approved roles, they are offered actions to invoke on the de-normalized clinical data such as creating a report list, unit list, patient report, etc. Once the user establishes the report of interest based on de-normalized data, the user can invoke the remote computing resource **14** to re-identify the de-normalized clinical data. This action causes a certificate to be transmitted from the healthcare provider **12** to the re-identification engine **26** of the remote computing resource **14**. The de-normalized clinical data is then re-identified based on the table of GUIDs and the remote computing resource **14** matching the

certificate stored in the remote computing resource **14** and certificate received from the healthcare provider **12**.

Specifically, the one or more healthcare providers **12** includes an authentication engine **34** which enables a user to log into the healthcare provider application to select one or more actions to invoked on the analysis and reporting engine **28** of the remote computing resource **14** based off the roles set for that particular user. For example, if a user's approved role is a physician, the analysis and reporting engine **28** provides them with the ability to create a patient report. Similarly, if the user's role is an administrator, the analysis and reporting engine **28** enables the user to create reports relating to the performance of the one or more healthcare providers. After the user selects an action of interest based on the de-normalized data, the user is presented with the option to re-identify the de-normalized clinical data associated with their healthcare provider **12**. If the user chooses to re-identify the de-normalized clinical data, authentication engine **34** transmits a certificate to the re-identification engine **22** of the remote computing resource **14**. If the re-identification engine **22** of the remote computing resource **14** determines that the certificate received from the authentication engine **34** matches a certificate stored in the remote computing resource **14**, the re-identification engine **22** utilizes the table of GUIDs to map back the de-normalized clinical data associated with the healthcare provider to its original normalized format. It should also be appreciated that the above authentication process can also be utilized for controlling access to the de-normalized clinical data in a similar fashion.

The components of the IT infrastructure **10** suitably include processors **40** executing computer executable instructions embodying the foregoing functionality, where the computer executable instructions are stored on memories **42** associated with the processors **40**. It is, however, contemplated that at least some of the foregoing functionality can be implemented in hardware without the use of processors. For example, analog circuitry can be employed. Further, the components of the IT infrastructure **10** include communication units **44** providing the processors **40** an interface from which to communicate over the communications network **20**. Even more, although the foregoing components of the IT infrastructure **10** were discretely described, it is to be appreciated that the components can be combined.

With reference to FIGURE 3, a diagram **300** of a healthcare provider configuration is illustrated. A user logs into **302** a health provider viewing application **304**. The user is then authenticated **306** via a healthcare provider active directory **308**. The health provider viewing application **304** reports the role of the user **310**. An action to invoke on the

de-normalized data **312** is selected by the user and communicated to the remote computing resource **314**. The user's role and selected action then stored locally **316** for further processing.

With reference to FIGURE 4, a diagram **400** of a healthcare provider access scenario is illustrated. A user logs into **402** a healthcare provider viewing application **404**. The user is then authenticated **406** via a healthcare provider active directory **408**. The healthcare provider viewing application **404** looks up the action selected by the user to invoke on the de-normalized data **410**. A list of actions to invoke on the de-normalized data available to the user **412** is provided from the remote computing resource **414** and displayed to the user **416** on the healthcare provider viewing application **404**. The user clicks on an action **416** on the healthcare provider viewing application **404**. In response to clicking on an action, a session token is sent **418** the re-identification service **420**. The action invoked by the user **422** is launched with the token **424**. In response to launching the invoked action, the token is validated **426** via the re-identification service **420**. If the validation fails **428**, an error page **430** is displayed. If the validation passes **432**, the action is invoked and the data is normalized and displayed to the user.

With reference to FIGURE 5, a diagram **500** of the re-identification logic is illustrated. In selecting an action to invoke **502**, a re-identification command **504** is transmitted to the re-identification service. If the validation of the token fails **506**, an error page **508** is displayed. If the validation of the token passes **510**, the action is invoked and the data is normalized **512** and displayed to the user

With reference to FIGURE 6, a diagram **600** of direct cloud access scenario is illustrated. A user logs into **602** a healthcare provider manager **604**. The user is then authenticated **6066** via a cloud active directory **608**. Access to the actions to invoke on de-normalized data is controlled based on the role of the user **610** which are received **612** from the cloud active directory **608**. Based on the roles of the user, the function of viewing and accessing the de-normalized is controlled **614**.

With reference to FIGURE 7, a flowchart diagram **700** of a method for controlling action and re-identification of anonymous data is illustrated. In a step **702**, de-normalized data is stored in a remote computing resource. In a step **704**, a user logs into a healthcare provider application. In a step **706**, the remote computing resource provides the user actions to invoke on the de-normalized data based on the user's role. In a step **708**, the healthcare provider transmits a certificate to the remote computing resource in response to the user invoking an action to re-identify the normalized data. In a step **710**, the remote

computing resource re-identifies the de-normalized data in response to the certificate received from the healthcare provider matching a certificate stored in the remote computing resource.

As used herein, a memory includes one or more of a non-transient computer readable medium; a magnetic disk or other magnetic storage medium; an optical disk or other optical storage medium; a random access memory (RAM), read-only memory (ROM), or other electronic memory device or chip or set of operatively interconnected chips; an Internet/Intranet server from which the stored instructions may be retrieved via the Internet/Intranet or a local area network; or so forth. Further, as used herein, a processor includes one or more of a microprocessor, a microcontroller, a graphic processing unit (GPU), an application-specific integrated circuit (ASIC), a field-programmable gate array (FPGA), and the like; a user input device includes one or more of a mouse, a keyboard, a touch screen display, one or more buttons, one or more switches, one or more toggles, and the like; and a display device includes one or more of a LCD display, an LED display, a plasma display, a projection display, a touch screen display, and the like.

The invention has been described with reference to the preferred embodiments. Modifications and alterations may occur to others upon reading and understanding the preceding detailed description. It is intended that the invention be constructed as including all such modifications and alterations insofar as they come within the scope of the appended claims or the equivalents thereof.

## CLAIMS:

1. A system for making data source anonymous, the system comprising:  
a plurality of data sources, each data source including:  
a data creation engine which creates normalized data in accordance with a common schema;  
a transformation engine which de-normalizes the normalized data such that the data source cannot be inferred by other data sources and transmits the de-normalized data to a remote computing resource;  
the remote computing resource receiving and storing the de-normalized data from the plurality of data sources.
2. The system according to claim 2, wherein the normalized data includes one or more unique attributes that infer the identity of the data source
3. The system according to claim 2, wherein the transformation engine transforms the normalized data to de-normalized data by altering the number of entries for each of the one or more unique attributes.
4. The system according to any one of claims 1-3, wherein the transformation engine generates a table of global unique identifiers to maps each unique attribute in the de-normalized data back to the normalized data format.
5. The system according to any one of claims 1-4, wherein the remote computing resource utilizes the de-normalized data from the one or more data sources to provide performance analysis and/or reports.
6. The system according to any one of claims 1-5, wherein the data sources are healthcare providers and the normalized data is clinical data.
7. A method for making data source anonymous, the method comprising:  
creating normalized data in accordance with a common schema by a plurality of data sources;

de-normalizing the normalized data such that the data source cannot be inferred by other data sources by a transformation engine;  
transmitting the de-normalized data to a remote computing resource;  
storing the de-normalized data from the plurality of data sources at the remote computing resource.

8. The method according to claim 7, wherein the normalized data includes one or more unique attributes that infer the identity of the data source.

9. The method according to claim 8, further including:  
transforming the normalized data to de-normalized data by altering the number of entries for each of the one or more unique attributes.

10. The method according to any one of claims 7-9, further including:  
generating a table of global unique identifiers to maps each unique attribute in the de-normalized data back to the normalized data format.

11. The method according to any one of claims 7-10, further including:  
utilizing the de-normalized data from the one or more data sources to provide performance analysis and/or reports.

12. The method according to any one of claims 7-11, wherein the data sources are healthcare providers and the normalized data is clinical data.

13. A system for making data source anonymous, the system comprising:  
a plurality of data sources, each data source including:  
a data creation engine which creates normalized data in accordance with a common schema and transmits the normalized data to a remote computing resource;  
the remote computing resource receiving and storing the normalized data from the plurality of data sources and including:  
a transformation engine which de-normalizes the normalized data such that the data source cannot be inferred by other data sources and stored the de-normalized data.

14. The system according to claim 13, wherein the remote computing engine controls access and re-identification of the de-normalized data.
15. The system according to claim 14, wherein the remote computing engine controls the actions to be invoked on the de-normalized data based on the role of the user logged into the data provider.
16. The system according to claim 15, wherein each data source includes:  
an authorization engine which transmits a certificate to the remote computing resource to invoke a re-identification action.
17. The system according to claim 16, wherein the remote computing service compares the certificate transmitted from the data source to a stored certificate to authenticate the re-identification action.
18. The system according to any one of claims 14-17, wherein the transformation engine generates a table of global unique identifiers to maps each unique attribute in the de-normalized data back to the normalized data format to re-identify the normalized data.
19. The system according to any one of claims 13-18, wherein the normalized data includes one or more unique attributes that infer the identity of the data source.
20. The system according to claim 19, wherein the transformation engine transforms the normalized data to de-normalized data by altering the number of entries for each of the one or more unique attributes.





FIGURE 1



**FIGURE 2**




FIGURE 3




FIGURE 4

500 →

### Re-Id Logic




FIGURE 5

200 →

Direct Cloud Access Scenario




FIGURE 2




FIGURE 7

