




(86) **Date de dépôt PCT/PCT Filing Date:** 2013/04/22
(87) **Date publication PCT/PCT Publication Date:** 2013/10/31
(85) **Entrée phase nationale/National Entry:** 2014/10/20
(86) **N° demande PCT/PCT Application No.:** EP 2013/058264
(87) **N° publication PCT/PCT Publication No.:** 2013/160230
(30) **Priorités/Priorities:** 2012/04/23 (US61/636,882);
2012/04/23 (EP12165201.0)

(51) **Cl.Int./Int.Cl. C12N 15/82** (2006.01),
A01H 5/00 (2006.01)
(71) **Demandeur/Applicant:**
BAYER CROPS SCIENCE NV, BE
(72) **Inventeur/Inventor:**
D'HALLUIN, KATELIJN, BE
(74) **Agent:** SMART & BIGGAR

(54) **Titre : INGENIERIE GENOMIQUE CIBLEE DANS DES PLANTES**
(54) **Title: TARGETED GENOME ENGINEERING IN PLANTS**

Fig. 1a



(57) **Abrégé/Abstract:**

Improved methods and means are provided to modify in a targeted manner the genome of a plant cell or plant at a predefined site via bacterial transformation.

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau

WIPO | PCT



(10) International Publication Number

WO 2013/160230 A1

(43) International Publication Date
31 October 2013 (31.10.2013)

(51) International Patent Classification:

C12N 15/82 (2006.01) A01H 5/00 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/EP2013/058264

(22) International Filing Date:

22 April 2013 (22.04.2013)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

12165201.0	23 April 2012 (23.04.2012)	EP
61/636,882	23 April 2012 (23.04.2012)	US

(71) Applicant: BAYER CROPSCIENCE NV [BE/BE]; J.E.
Mommaertsiaan 14, B-1831 Diegem (BE).(72) Inventor: D'HALLUIN, Katelijn; Hooiland 48, B-9030
Mariakerke (BE).(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every
kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM,
AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY,
BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM,
DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT,
HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP,
KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD,ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI,
NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU,
RW, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ,
TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA,
ZM, ZW.(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every
kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH,
GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ,
UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ,
TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK,
EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV,
MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM,
TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW,
ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Declarations under Rule 4.17:

- as to the identity of the inventor (Rule 4.17(i))
- as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a patent (Rule 4.17(ii))
- as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii))
- of inventorship (Rule 4.17(iv))


Published:

- with international search report (Art. 21(3))

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: TARGETED GENOME ENGINEERING IN PLANTS

Fig. 1a



(57) Abstract: Improved methods and means are provided to modify in a targeted manner the genome of a plant cell or plant at a predefined site via bacterial transformation.

WO 2013/160230 A1

- *before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments (Rule 48.2(h))* — *with sequence listing part of description (Rule 5.2(a))*

Targeted genome engineering in plants

Field of the invention

[1] The invention relates to the field of agronomy. More particularly, the invention provides methods and means to introduce a targeted modification, including insertion, deletion or substitution, at a precisely localized nucleotide sequence in the genome of a plant cell or plant via bacterium-mediated transformation, such as *Agrobacterium*. The modifications are triggered in a first step by induction of a double stranded break at a recognition nucleotide sequence using a double stranded DNA break inducing enzyme encoded by a T-DNA which has been introduced into the plant cell, while a co-introduced T-DNA comprising a repair DNA molecule is subsequently used as a template for repair of the double stranded break. The frequency of correctly targeted genome modification is increased by co-introduction of the two T-DNA molecules by a single bacterium cell.

Background

[2] *Agrobacterium* mediated DNA transfer is the standard method of transformation for most plants, including crop plants. The advantages of the *Agrobacterium* method over other methods include the high efficiency of transformation, the transfer of pieces of DNA with defined ends, the transfer of relatively large segments of DNA, and the absence of a requirement for protoplast culture techniques (Komari et al., 1996 Plant J. 10: 165-174).

[3] When more than one construct is to be transformed, direct DNA delivery methods such as particle bombardment or electroporation may be more efficient because of a higher frequency of cotransformation compared to the simultaneous transformation with more than one *Agrobacterium* strains. However, a disadvantage of these direct delivery methods is that they can lead to more complex transgene integration patterns, making the identification of single copy transformants lengthy and laborious process.

[4] In order to be able to introduce a foreign DNA at a predetermined site, so-called gene targeting, it is required to transform plants cells or tissues with two constructs, one comprising a gene encoding an enzyme that induces a double stranded DNA break (DSB) at a specific target site and one comprising a DNA of interest for repair of the break. This process may also be more efficient when plant cells are transformed with the repair DNA and the DNA encoding the double stranded DNA break inducing (DSBI) enzyme simultaneously using direct DNA delivery methods, than when using two *Agrobacterium* strains.

[5] The frequency of DSB induction and subsequent repair using DNA of interest via Agrobacterium-mediated transformation can be improved by co-delivery of the repair DNA and DSB1 enzyme encoding gene on the same T-DNA using a single Agrobacterium strain. However, this can lead to co-integration of the DSB1 enzyme encoding gene at the site of double strand break induction, which is undesirable,. This co-integration can be avoided by constructing the T-DNA vector in a way that that the DNA to be introduced into the genome is flanked by regions having homology to the genomic target site, thereby directing insertion via homologous recombination, but whereby the DSB1 gene is located outside of these homology regions, but cloning procedures become more complicated because additional elements need to be included in one construct.

[6] Wright et al. (2005, plant J, 44:693-705) discloses Zinc finger nuclease (ZNF) induced chromosomal break repair at an engineered target locus via homologous recombination in tobacco protoplast by simultaneous electroporation of two linearized plasmids containing the ZNF expression construct and donor DNA respectively.

[7] Shukla et al. (2009, Nature 459: 437-441), US 08/0182332 and US 10/0199389 describe targeted insertion into an endogenous locus in maize by codelivery of designed ZNF expression constructs with donor plasmids containing homology arms into maize embryogenic cell cultures via Whiskers and particle bombardment.

[8] Lloyd et al. (2005, Proc Natl Acad Sci, 102: 2232-2237) and Zhang et al. (2009, Proc Natl Acad Sci, 107: 12028-1203) and US 10/0071083 describe an inducible DSB1 enzyme encoding gene that has been stably transformed into the plants genome for use in targeted mutagenesis.

[9] Cai et al. (2009, Plant Mol Biol, 69: 699-709) and US2011008833 describe homology mediated targeted insertion into engineered and endogenous tobacco loci using Agrobacterium, via co-culture of two Agrobacterium strains of which one harbors a donor DNA and the other a designed ZNF expression construct, but also by co-culturing with a single Agrobacterium strain containing a Ti plasmid harboring both the ZNF and donor construct within the same T-DNA.

[10] Komari et al. (1996, Plant J 10: 165-174) and US 5,731,179 discloses super-binary vectors for producing transformants free from selection markers.

[11] Thus, in view of the advantages of the Agrobacterium system for plant transformation, the need remains for more efficient methods for the co-delivery of the repair DNA molecule and the DSB1 enzyme encoding chimeric gene using Agrobacterium. This problem is solved hereinafter, in the detailed description, examples and claims.

Figure legends

[12] Figure 1: (a) Schematic representation of targeted insertion into a preselected genomic target site using a dual T-DNA vector comprising a repair DNA molecule with a DNA of interest (chimeric genes encoding 2mEPSPS and Pf-HPPD) between one set of T-DNA borders and a chimeric gene encoding an endonuclease recognising a recognition site in the genome of the target plant between a second pair of T-DNA borders. The outcome of non-homology based targeted insertion are two possible events, depending on the orientation of the inserted DNA of interest (random). Primers and their amplification products for identification of the events are indicated. The scissors represent the meganuclease protein, which induces a break at its recognition site indicated by the two triangles (each triangle representing one half-part recognition site). RB and LB stands for right and left T-DNA border respectively. (b): Similar situation as in a), but here the repair DNA additionally comprises DNA regions flanking the DNA of interest (homology regions 1 and 2: hr1 and hr2, indicated by accolades) which have homology to respectively the region upstream or the region downstream of the preselected site/recognition site, also indicated by accolades. In this scenario, the orientation of the insert is not random but is determined by the homology of hr1 and h2 to either the region upstream or downstream of the predefined site. Also depending on the choice of the homology regions, the half-part recognition sites remain or do not remain in the genome.

[13] Figure 2: Sequence alignment of candidate correctly targeted insertion events. (a) right side insertion events, (b) left side insertion events.

Detailed description

[14] In previous experiments, co-transformation of a repair DNA and a DSBI enzyme encoding DNA resulted in a frequency of correctly targeted insertion events was about ten times higher when using direct DNA delivery methods (e.g. particle bombardment) than when co-incubating with two *Agrobacterium* strains. The present invention is based on the finding that the frequency of targeted insertion events whereby a repair DNA and a DNA encoding a DSBI enzyme are co-delivered to plants cells via *Agrobacterium* can be increased up to a similar frequency as when using direct DNA delivery methods, when the cells are transformed with a single *Agrobacterium* strain comprising the two DNA molecules in separate T-DNAs, e.g. on the same T-DNA vector (a dual T-DNA vector). This improved method of co-delivery of the DSBI enzyme encoding gene and repair DNA via *Agrobacterium* transformation thus combines the advantages of *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation with a frequency of targeted genome modifications equal to that of direct delivery methods, while at the same time permitting any integrated DSBI enzyme encoding gene to be segregated from the targeted modification in the next generation.

[15] Thus, in a first embodiment, the invention relates to a method for modifying the genome of a plant cell at a preselected site, comprising the steps of:

- a. Contacting a plant cell with a bacterium capable of directing the transfer of defined DNA molecules from said bacterium into the genome of said plant cell, said bacterium comprising:
 - i. a first defined DNA molecule comprising a chimeric gene encoding a plant-functional DSB1 enzyme, said DSB1 enzyme being capable of recognizing and inducing a double stranded DNA break at a recognition site located at or in the vicinity of said preselected site, said chimeric gene comprising the following operably linked elements:
 1. a plant expressible promoter;
 2. a DNA region encoding a DSB1 enzyme;
 3. a plant-functional 3' termination and polyadenylation region; and
 - ii. a second defined DNA molecule comprising a repair DNA molecule for use as a template for repair of said double stranded DNA break;
- b. Selecting a plant cell wherein said repair DNA has been used as a template for repair of the double stranded DNA break, said repair of said double stranded DNA break resulting in a modification of said genome at said preselected site, wherein said modification is selected from
 - i. a replacement of at least one nucleotide;
 - ii. a deletion of at least one nucleotide;
 - iii. an insertion of at least one nucleotide; or
 - iv. any combination of i. – iii.

[16] As used herein, a “double stranded DNA break inducing enzyme” is an enzyme capable of inducing a double stranded DNA break at a particular nucleotide sequence, called the “recognition site”. Rare-cleaving endonucleases, are DSB1 enzymes that have a recognition site of 14 up to 40 or even up to 70 consecutive nucleotides, and therefore have a very low frequency of cleaving, even in the larger plant genomes. Homing endonucleases, also called meganucleases, constitute a family of such rare-cleaving endonucleases. They may be encoded by introns, independent genes or intervening sequences, and present striking structural and functional properties that distinguish them from the more classical restriction enzymes, usually from bacterial restriction-modification Type II systems. Their recognition sites have a general asymmetry which contrast to the characteristic dyad symmetry of most restriction enzyme recognition sites. Several homing endonucleases encoded by introns or inteins have been shown to promote the homing of their respective genetic elements into allelic intronless or inteinless sites. By making a site-specific double strand break in the intronless or inteinless alleles, these nucleases create recombinogenic ends, which engage in a gene conversion process that duplicates the coding sequence and leads to the insertion of an intron or an intervening sequence at the DNA level.

[17] A list of other rare cleaving meganucleases and their respective recognition sites is provided in Table I of WO 03/004659 (pages 17 to 20) (incorporated herein by reference). These include I-Sce I, I-Chu I, I-Dmo I, I-Cre I, I-Csm I, PI-Fli I, Pt-Mtu I, I-Ceu I, I-Sce II, I-Sce III, HO, PI-Civ I, PI-Ctr I, PI-Aae I, PI-BSU I, PI-DhaI, PI-Dra I, PI-Mav I, PI-Mch I, PI-Mfu I, PI-Mfl I, PI-Mga I, PI-Mgo I, PI-Min I, PI-Mka I, PI-Mle I, PI-Mma I, PI-Msh I, PI-Msm I, PI-Mth I, PI-Mtu I, PI-Mxe I, PI-Npu I, PI-Pfu I, PI-Rma I, PI-Spb I, PI-Ssp I, PI-Fac I, PI-Mja I, PI-Pho I, PI-Tag I, PI-Thy I, PI-Tko I or PI-Tsp I.

[18] Furthermore, methods are available to design custom-tailored rare-cleaving endonucleases that recognize basically any target nucleotide sequence of choice. Briefly, chimeric restriction enzymes can be prepared using hybrids between a zinc-finger domain designed to recognize a specific nucleotide sequence and the non-specific DNA-cleavage domain from a natural restriction enzyme, such as FokI. Such methods have been described e.g. in WO 03/080809, WO94/18313 or WO95/09233 and in Isalan et al., 2001, Nature Biotechnology 19, 656- 660; Liu et al. 1997, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 94, 5525-5530). Custom-made meganucleases can be produced by selection from a library of variants, is described in WO2004/067736. Custom made meganucleases with altered sequence specificity and DNA-binding affinity may also be obtained through rational design as described in WO2007/047859. Another example of custom-designed endonucleases include the so-called TALE nucleases, which are based on transcription activator-like effectors (TALEs) from the bacterial genus *Xanthomonas* fused to the catalytic domain of a nuclease, e.g. FOKI. The DNA binding specificity of these TALEs is defined by repeat-variable diresidues (RVDs) of tandem-arranged 34/35-amino acid repeat units, such that one RVD specifically recognizes one nucleotide in the target DNA. The repeat units can be assembled to recognize basically any target sequences and fused to a catalytic domain of a nuclease create sequence specific endonucleases (see e.g. Boch et al., 2009, Science 326: p1509-1512; Moscou and Bogdanove, 2009, Science 326: p1501; Christian et al., 2010, Genetics 186: 757-761, WO10/079430, WO11/072246, WO2011/154393, WO11/146121, WO2012/001527, WO2012/093833, WO2012/104729, WO2012/138927, WO2012/138939). WO2012/138927 further describes monomeric (compact) TALENs and TALENs with various catalytic domains and combinations thereof. Recently, a new type of customizable endonuclease system has been described; the so-called CRISPR/Cas system, which employs a special RNA molecule (crRNA) conferring sequence specificity to guide the cleavage of an associated nuclease Cas9 (Jinek et al, 2012, Science 337:p816-821). Such custom designed endonucleases are also referred to as non-naturally occurring endonucleases.

[19] Site specific recombinases are enzymes different from endonucleases, but can also be used to carry out the methods of the invention. In contrast to endonucleases, site-specific recombinases require two recognition sites, between which recombination occurs. Thus, a repair DNA comprising at least one such recognition site can be targeted to a genomic locus also comprising at least one such sites. Examples of site-specific recombinases are well known in the art and include for instance the Cre-Lox system from bacteriophage P1 (Austin et al., 1981, Cell, 25:729-736), the Flp-Frt system from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Broach et al., 1982, Cell, 29:227-234), the R-RS system from *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii* (Araki et al., 1985, J. Mol. Biol., 182: 191-203) and the integrase from the *Streptomyces*

phage PhiC31 (Thorpe & Smith, 1998, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 95: 5505-5510; Groth et al., 2000, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 97: 5995-6000).

[20] As used herein "a preselected site" or "predefined site" indicates a particular nucleotide sequence in the plant genome (e.g. the nuclear genome) at which location it is desired to insert, replace or delete one or more nucleotides. This can e.g. be an endogenous locus or a particular nucleotide sequence in a previously introduced foreign DNA or transgene.

[21] As used herein "at or near said preselected site", with respect to the location of the recognition site for the DSB1 enzyme, refers to the recognition site overlapping with the preselected site (at) or being located further away (in the vicinity of) from the preselected site. This can be e.g. 10 bp, 20 bp, 30 bp, 40 bp, 50 bp from the preselected site, but also e.g. 100bp, , 200bp, 300bp, 400 bp, 500bp, 1kb, 2kb or 5kb. A person skilled in the art would be able to either choose a double stranded DNA break inducing ("DSBI") enzyme recognizing a recognition site at or near the preselected site or engineer such a DSB1 enzyme. Alternatively, a DSB1 enzyme recognition site may be introduced into the plant genome using any conventional transformation method or by conventional breeding using a plant line having a DSB1 enzyme recognition site in its genome, and any desired DNA may afterwards be introduced into or near that recognition site.

[22] Non-bacteria-based gene transfer and transfection methods, also referred to as direct DNA delivery methods are described in e. g. US2011008833, include but are not limited to, protoplast transfection through calcium-, polyethylene glycol (PEG)- or electroporation-mediated uptake of naked DNA (see Paszkowski et al. (1984), *EMBO J* 3:2717-2722; Potrykus et al. (1985), *Molec. Gen. Genet.* 199:169-177; From et al. (1985), *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 82:5824-5828; and Shimamoto (1989), *Nature* 338:274-276) and electroporation of plant tissues (D'Halluin et al. (1992), *Plant Cell* 4:1495-1505). Additional methods for plant cell transfection include microinjection, silicon carbide-mediated DNA uptake (Kaepler et al. (1990), *PlantCell Reporter* 9:415-418), and microprojectile bombardment (see Klein et al. (1988), *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 85:4305-4309; and Gordon-Kim et al. (1990), *Plant Cell* 2:603-618).).

[23] Bacteria that can be used to carry out the invention can be any bacterium, preferably non-pathogenic or disarmed (not containing oncogenes), that is capable of directing the transfer of defined DNA fragments contained within the bacterium stably into the genome of a plant cell. Such bacteria harbor one or more plasmids, e.g. a tumor-inducing plasmid (Ti plasmid) or a root-inducing plasmid (Ri plasmid), of which the so-called transfer DNA (T-DNA) is transferred into the plant cell and incorporated into the plant genome following transformation. Certain soil bacteria of the order of the Rhizobiales have this capacity, such as Rhizobiaceae (e.g. *Rhizobium* spp., *Sinorhizobium* spp., *Agrobacterium* spp.); Phyllobacteriaceae (e.g. *Mesorhizobium* spp., *Phyllobacterium* spp.); Brucellaceae (e.g. *Ochrobactrum* spp.); Bradyrhizobiaceae (e.g. *Bradyrhizobium* spp.), and Xanthobacteraceae (e.g. *Azorhizobium* spp.), *Agrobacterium* spp., *Rhizobium* spp., *Sinorhizobium* spp., *Mesorhizobium* spp., *Phyllobacterium* spp. *Ochrobactrum* spp. and *Bradyrhizobium* spp., examples of which include *Ochrobactrum* sp., *Rhizobium* sp., *Mesorhizobium loti*, *Sinorhizobium meliloti*.

Examples of Rhizobia include *R. leguminosarum* bv, *trifolii*, *R. leguminosarum* bv, *phaseoli* and *Rhizobium leguminosarum*, bv, *viciae* (US Patent 7,888,552).

[24] Other bacteria that can be employed to carry out the invention which are capable of transforming plants cells and induce the incorporation of foreign DNA into the plant genome are bacteria of the genera *Azobacter* (aerobic), *Closterium* (strictly anaerobic), *Klebsiella* (optionally aerobic), and *Rhodospirillum* (anaerobic, photosynthetically active). Transfer of a Ti plasmid was also found to confer tumor inducing ability on several Rhizobiaceae members such as *Rhizobium trifolii*, *Rhizobium leguminosarum* and *Phyllobacterium myrsinacearum*, while *Rhizobium* sp. NGR234, *Sinorhizobium meliloti* and *Mesorhizobium loti* could indeed be modified to mediate gene transfer to a number of diverse plants (Broothaerts et al., 2005, *Nature*, 433:629-633).

[25] The mechanism of T-DNA transfer to plant cells by *Agrobacterium* and the like has been well documented (see e.g. Tzfira and Citovsky (2006) *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 17: 147-154; Gelvin (2003) *Microbiol. Molec. Biol. Rev.* 67: 16-37; Gelvin (2009) *Plant Physiol.* 150: 1665-1676). Briefly, the T-DNA is delimited by two border regions, referred to as right border (RB) and left border (LB). The borders are nicked by virulence protein VirD2 which produces single stranded transferred DNA (the "T-strand") with covalent attachment of the 40 VirD2 on its 5' end. The protein-DNA complex, also including *Agrobacterium* VirE2 protein, exits *Agrobacterium* cells through the so-called Type 4 secretion system (T4SS, both virulence protein and ssDNA transporter), and is transferred into plant cells and integrated in the plant genome with the help of both *Agrobacterium* virulence proteins and plant factors. The vir genes are normally found as a series of operons on the Ti or Ri plasmids. Various Ti and Ri plasmids differ somewhat in the complement of vir genes, with, for example, *virF* not always being present. The use of *Agrobacterium*-mediated vectors to introduce DNA into plant cells is well known in the art. See, for example, Fraley et al., (1985; *Biotechnology* 3: 629-635), Rogers et al., (1987; *Methods Enzymol* 153: 253-277) and U.S. Pat. No. 5,563,055.

[26] The LB is not strictly required for T-DNA transfer, as oncogene containing T-DNAs lacking the LB but containing the RB were highly virulent whereas such T-DNAs containing the LB but not the RB were completely avirulent (Jen et al., 1986, *J Bacteriol* 166:491-499). Thus, a T-DNA, as used herein, refers to a DNA molecule that is transferable to a plant cell by a bacterium, which comprises in addition to the DNA to be used for repair of the DNA break (the repair DNA) at least one T-DNA border, preferably at least the right T-DNA border. However, to prevent incorporation of undesired vector elements, the left and the right border should both be included, i.e flanking the DNA of interest, as these define the ends of the T-DNA molecules.

[27] It has been described that the left border is more prone to "read through" than the right border (ref). Thus, in order to reduce the chance of two DNAs in one vector being processed as a single T-DNA molecule, the two T-DNAs can be oriented such that at the point on the vector where the two T-DNAs are located closest to each other, there are no two left borders facing each other (head to head; RB-LB; LB-RB). Thus, in one embodiment, the orientation of the two T-DNAs on the vector is such that at the point on the vector where the two T-DNAs are located closest to each other,

there are two right borders facing each other (the T-DNAs are in a tail to tail orientation: LB-RB; RB-LB). In a more preferred embodiment, the orientation of the two T-DNAs on the vector is in the same direction, such that the left border of the one T-DNA faces the right border of the other T-DNA, i.e the two T-DNAs are in a head to tail orientation (LB-LB; RB-LB).

[28] Examples of the bacterium belonging to the genus *Agrobacterium* which may be employed for the invention include but is not limited to *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*, *Agrobacterium radiobacter*, *Agrobacterium rubi*, *Agrobacterium vitis*,. The *Agrobacterium* species used can be a wild type (e.g., virulent) or a disarmed strain. Suitable strains of *Agrobacterium* include wild type strains (e.g., such as *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*) or strains in which one or more genes is mutated to increase transformation efficiency, e.g., such as *Agrobacterium* strains wherein the vir gene expression and/or induction thereof is altered due to the presence of mutant or chimeric virA or virG genes (e.g. Chen and Winans, 1991, J. Bacteriol. 173: 1139-1144; and Scheeren-Groot et al., 1994, J. Bacteriol. 176:6418-6246), *Agrobacterium* strains comprising an extra virG gene copy, such as the super virG gene derived from pTiBo542, preferably linked to a multiple-copy plasmid, as described in U.S. Pat. No. 6,483,013, for example. Other suitable strains include, but are not limited to: *A. tumefaciens* GV3101 (pMP90)) (Konc and Schell, 1986, Mol Gen Genet. 204:383-396), LBA4404 (Hoekema et al., Nature 303: 179-180 (1983)); EHA101 (Hood et al., J. Bac. 168: 1291-1301 (1986)); EHA105 (Hood et al., Trans Res. 2: 208-218 (1993)); AGL1 (Lazo et al., Bio Technology 2: 963-967 (1991)).

[29] For *Agrobacterium*-mediated plant transformation, the DNA to be inserted into the plant cell can be cloned into special plasmids, for example, either into an intermediate (shuttle) vector or into a binary vector. Intermediate vectors are not capable of independent replication in *Agrobacterium* cells, but can be manipulated and replicated in common *Escherichia coli* molecular cloning strains. Such intermediate vectors comprise sequences are commonly framed by the right and left T-DNA border repeat regions, that may include a selectable marker gene functional for the selection of transformed plant cells, a cloning linker, a cloning polylinker, or other sequence which can function as an introduction site for genes destined for plant cell transformation. Cloning and manipulation of genes desired to be transferred to plants can thus be easily performed by standard methodologies in *E. coli*, using the shuttle vector as a cloning vector. The finally manipulated shuttle vector can subsequently be introduced into *Agrobacterium* plant transformation strains for further work. The intermediate shuttle vector can be transferred into *Agrobacterium* by means of a helper plasmid (via bacterial conjugation), by electroporation, by chemically mediated direct DNA transformation, or by other known methodologies. Shuttle vectors can be integrated into the Ti or Ri plasmid or derivatives thereof by homologous recombination owing to sequences that are homologous between the Ti or Ri plasmid, or derivatives thereof, and the intermediate plasmid. This homologous recombination (i.e. plasmid integration) event thereby provides a means of stably maintaining the altered shuttle vector in *Agrobacterium*, with an origin of replication and other plasmid maintenance functions provided by the Ti or Ri plasmid portion of the co-integrant plasmid. The Ti or Ri plasmid also comprises the vir regions comprising vir genes necessary for the transfer of the T-DNA. The plasmid carrying the vir

region is commonly a mutated Ti or Ri plasmid (helper plasmid) from which the T-DNA region, including the right and left T-DNA border repeats, have been deleted. Such pTi-derived plasmids, having functional vir genes and lacking all or substantially all of the T-region and associated elements are descriptively referred to herein as helper plasmids.

[30] T-DNA vectors for plant transformation can also be prepared using the so-called superbinary system. This is a specialized example of the shuttle vector/homologous recombination system (reviewed by Komari et al, (2006) In: Methods in Molecular Biology (K. Wang, ed.) No. 343: Agrobacterium Protocols (2nd Edition, Vol. 1) HUMANA PRESS Inc., Totowa, NJ, pp.15-41; and Komori et al, (2007) Plant Physiol. 145: 1155- 1160). The Agrobacterium tumefaciens host strain employed with the superbinary system is LBA4404(pSBI). Strain LBA4404(pSBI) harbors two independently-replicating plasmids, pAL4404 and pSBI. pAL4404 is a Ti-plasmid-derived helper plasmid which contains an intact set of vir genes (from Ti plasmid pTiACH5), but which has no T-DNA region (and thus no T-DNA left and right border repeat sequences). Plasmid pSBI supplies an additional partial set of vir genes derived from pTiBo542; this partial vir gene set includes the virB operon and the virC operon, as well as genes virG and virDI. One example of a shuttle vector used in the superbinary system is pSBI I, which contains a cloning polylinker that serves as an introduction site for genes destined for plant cell transformation, flanked by right and left T-DNA border repeat regions. Shuttle vector pSBI I is not capable of independent replication in Agrobacterium, but is stably maintained as a co-integrant plasmid when integrated into pSBI by means of homologous recombination between common sequences present on pSBI and pSBI I. Thus, the fully modified T-DNA region introduced into LBA4404(pSBI) on a modified pSBI I vector is productively acted upon and transferred into plant cells by Vir proteins derived from two different Agrobacterium Ti plasmid sources (pTiACH5 and pTiBo542). The superbinary system has proven to be particularly useful in transformation of monocot plant species. See Hiei et al, (1994) Plant J. (6):271-282 and Ishida et al, (1996) Nat. Biotechnol. 14:745- 750.

[31] It will be clear that the dual T-DNA vector of the invention can also be prepared by conventional cloning techniques, as described herein after, instead of via the above described binary homologous recombination system.

[32] Transformation of plant cells using Agrobacterium or any other bacteria can occur via protoplast co-cultivation, explant inoculation, floral dipping and vacuum infiltration. Such technologies are described, for example, in U.S. Patent No. 5,177,010, U.S. Patent No. 5,104,310, European Patent Application No. 0131624B1, European Patent Application No. 120516, European Patent Application No. 159418B1, European Patent Application No. 176112, U.S. Patent No. 5,149,645, U.S. Patent No. 5,469,976, U.S. Patent No. 5,464,763, U.S. Patent No. 4,940,838, U.S. Patent No. 4,693,976, European Patent Application No. 116718, European Patent Application No. 290799, European Patent Application No. 320500, European Patent Application No. 604662, European Patent Application No. 627752, European Patent Application No. 0267159, European Patent Application No. 0292435, U.S. Patent No. 5,231,019, U.S. Patent No. 5,463,174, U.S. Patent No. 4,762,785, U.S. Patent No. 5,004,863, and U.S. Patent No. 5,159,135. The use of T-DNA-containing vectors for the transformation of plant cells has been intensively researched and sufficiently described in

European Patent Application 120516; An et al, (1985, EMBO J. 4:277-284), Fraley et al, (1986, Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. 4: 1-46), and Lee and Gelvin (2008, Plant Physiol. 146: 325- 332).

[33] Various tissue explants that can be transformed according to the invention include explants from hypocotyl, cotyledon, immature zygotic embryos, leaves, anthers, petals, ovules, roots, and meristems, stem cells and petioles. Also callus tissue can be transformed according to the invention. The term "callus", as used herein, refers to a disorganized mass of mainly embryogenic cells and cell clusters produced as a consequence of plant tissue culture. Friable callus refers to callus with a friable texture with the potential to form shoots and roots and eventually regenerate into whole plants. Compact callus can also have the potential to form shoots and roots. Callus can be regenerated/induced from various tissue explants as mentioned above.

[34] In one embodiment, the plant cell of which the genome is modified according to the invention is transformed via transformation of a (friable) embryogenic callus cell, i.e. the cell is a (friable) embryogenic callus cell (comprised within (friable) embryogenic callus), as described below.

[35] In another embodiment, the plant cell of which the genome is modified according to the invention is transformed via hypocotyl transformation, i.e. the plant cell is a hypocotyl cell (comprised within a hypocotyl). It is believed that hypocotyl transformation results in more pure modified events (a lower percentage of chimeric events).

[36] The capability of inducing a double stranded break at a preselected site opens up several potential applications, i.e. insertion, replacement or deletion of one or more nucleotides. In case a DNA of interest present in the repair DNA molecule is to be inserted into the preselected site, this can occur by either homologous recombination, or by the process of non-homologous end-joining. The double stranded break may also be used to induce the formation of small deletions or insertions at the preselected site, thereby potentially inactivating a gene or regulatory element comprising the nucleotide sequence of the preselected site. The double stranded break at or in the vicinity of the preselected site will also facilitate replacement of a DNA region in the vicinity of the site of double strand break induction for a DNA of interest using a repair DNA, e.g. as described in WO 06/105946, WO08/037436 or WO08/148559.

[37] If the double stranded DNA break induction is accompanied by the introduction of a repair DNA molecule which is used as a template, the double stranded break repair can occur basically in three ways. The repair DNA can be integrated into the genomic DNA at the DSB site by non-homologous end joining at both ends, or if one or two flanking regions with homology to the up- and/or downstream regions of the preselected site (the homology regions) are present in the repair DNA, integration of the repair DNA can also occur (partly) through homologous recombination. As such, the double stranded break near the preselected site will also facilitate replacement of a DNA region in the vicinity of the break for a DNA region of interest e.g. as described in WO 06/105946, WO08/037436 or WO08/148559.

[38] To insert a DNA of interest by homologous recombination at the preselected site, the repair DNA may comprise at least one flanking DNA region having a nucleotide sequence which is similar to the nucleotide sequence of the DNA region upstream or downstream of the preselected site. The foreign DNA may also comprise two flanking DNA regions, located on opposite ends of the molecule and which have sufficient homology to nucleotide sequence of the DNA region upstream and downstream of the preselected site respectively to allow recombination between said flanking regions and said upstream and downstream region. Homology regions in the repair T-DNA may further prevent incidental co-integration of the DSB T-DNA.

[39] As used herein "a flanking DNA region" is a DNA region in the repair DNA with a nucleotide sequence having homology (i.e. high sequence identity) to the DNA regions respectively upstream or downstream of the target DNA sequence or preselected site (the homology regions). This allows to better control the insertion of DNA of interest. Indeed, integration by homologous recombination will allow precise joining of the DNA of interest to the plant nuclear genome up to the nucleotide level. Preferably, the recognition site of the DSB enzyme is then located between the two homology regions. To facilitate replacement/deletion, there may also be more than one DSB enzyme recognition site.

[40] To have sufficient homology for recombination, the flanking DNA regions of the repair DNA may vary in length, and should be at least about 10 nucleotides in length. However, the flanking region may be as long as is practically possible (e.g. up to about 100-150 kb such as complete bacterial artificial chromosomes (BACs). Preferably, the flanking region will be about 25 bp to about 2000 bp, e.g. about 50bp, 100 bp, 200 bp, 500 bp, 1000 or 1500 bp. Moreover, the regions flanking the DNA of interest need not be identical to the homology regions (the DNA regions flanking the preselected site) and may have between about 80% to about 100% sequence identity, preferably about 95% to about 100% sequence identity with the DNA regions flanking the preselected site. The longer the flanking region, the less stringent the requirement for homology. Furthermore, it is preferred that the sequence identity is as high as practically possible in the vicinity of the DSB. Furthermore, to achieve exchange of the target DNA sequence at the preselected site without changing the DNA sequence of the adjacent DNA sequences, the flanking DNA sequences should preferably be identical to the upstream and downstream DNA regions flanking the preselected site or the target DNA sequence to be exchanged.

[41] Moreover, the flanking region(s) of the repair DNA do not need to have homology to the regions immediately flanking the recognition site of the DSB enzyme, but may have homology to a DNA region of the nuclear genome further remote from that site. Insertion of the DNA of interest will then result in a removal of the target DNA between the preselected insertion site and the DNA regions of homology. In other words, the target DNA located between the homology regions (i.e. the genomic regions with homology to the flanking regions of the repair DNA) will be substituted for the DNA of interest located between the two flanking regions of the repair DNA. When the repair DNA consists of the two flanking regions only, i.e. lacking any intervening sequences (DNA of interest), this approach can be used to specifically delete the genomic region located between the two homology regions.

[42] The DNA of interest to be inserted may also comprise a selectable or screenable marker, which may or may not be removed after insertion, e.g. as described in WO 06/105946, WO08/037436 or WO08/148559, to facilitate the identification of potentially correctly targeted events. Likewise, also the T-DNA encoding the DSB1 enzyme may comprise a selectable or screenable marker gene, which preferably is different from the marker gene in the DNA of interest, to allow for (negative or counter)selection after segregation.

[43] "Selectable or screenable markers" as used herein have their usual meaning in the art and include, but are not limited to plant expressible phosphinotricin acetyltransferase, neomycine phosphotransferase, glyphosate oxidase, glyphosate tolerant EPSP enzyme, nitrilase gene, mutant acetolactate synthase or acetohydroxyacid synthase gene, β -glucuronidase (GUS), R-locus genes, green fluorescent protein and the likes.

[44] It will be clear that the methods according to the invention allow insertion of any DNA of interest including DNA comprising a nucleotide sequence with a particular nucleotide sequence signature e.g. for subsequent identification, or DNA comprising (inducible) enhancers or silencers, e.g. to modulate the expression of the existing elite event. The DNA of interest may also comprise one or more plant expressible gene(s) of interest including but not limited to a herbicide tolerance gene, an insect resistance gene, a disease resistance gene, an abiotic stress resistance gene, an enzyme involved in oil biosynthesis or carbohydrate biosynthesis, an enzyme involved in fiber strength and/or length, an enzyme involved in the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites.

[45] Herbicide-tolerance genes include a gene encoding the enzyme 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase (EPSPS). Examples of such EPSPS genes are the AroA gene (mutant CT7) of the bacterium *Salmonella typhimurium* (Comai et al., 1983, Science 221, 370-371), the CP4 gene of the bacterium *Agrobacterium* sp. (Barry et al., 1992, Curr. Topics Plant Physiol. 7, 139-145), the genes encoding a *Petunia* EPSPS (Shah et al., 1986, Science 233, 478-481), a Tomato EPSPS (Gasser et al., 1988, J. Biol. Chem. 263, 4280-4289), or an Eleusine EPSPS (WO 01/66704). It can also be a mutated EPSPS as described in for example EP 0837944, WO 00/66746, WO 00/66747 or WO02/26995. Glyphosate-tolerant plants can also be obtained by expressing a gene that encodes a glyphosate oxido-reductase enzyme as described in U.S. Patent Nos. 5,776,760 and 5,463,175. Glyphosate-tolerant plants can also be obtained by expressing a gene that encodes a glyphosate acetyl transferase enzyme as described in for example WO 02/36782, WO 03/092360, WO 05/012515 and WO 07/024782. Glyphosate-tolerant plants can also be obtained by selecting plants containing naturally-occurring mutations of the above-mentioned genes, as described in for example WO 01/024615 or WO 03/013226. EPSPS genes that confer glyphosate tolerance are described in e.g. US Patent Application Nos 11/517,991, 10/739,610, 12/139,408, 12/352,532, 11/312,866, 11/315,678, 12/421,292, 11/400,598, 11/651,752, 11/681,285, 11/605,824, 12/468,205, 11/760,570, 11/762,526, 11/769,327, 11/769,255, 11/943801 or 12/362,774. Other genes that confer glyphosate tolerance, such as decarboxylase genes, are described in e.g. US patent applications 11/588,811, 11/185,342, 12/364,724, 11/185,560 or 12/423,926.

[46] Other herbicide tolerance genes may encode an enzyme detoxifying the herbicide or a mutant glutamine synthase enzyme that is resistant to inhibition, e.g. described in US Patent Application No 11/760,602. One such efficient detoxifying enzyme is an enzyme encoding a phosphinothricin acetyltransferase (such as the bar or pat protein from *Streptomyces* species). Phosphinothricin acetyltransferases are for example described in U.S. Patent Nos. 5,561,236; 5,648,477; 5,646,024; 5,273,894; 5,637,489; 5,276,268; 5,739,082; 5,908,810 and 7,112,665.

[47] Herbicide-tolerance genes may also confer tolerance to the herbicides inhibiting the enzyme hydroxyphenylpyruvatedioxygenase (HPPD). Hydroxyphenylpyruvatedioxygenases are enzymes that catalyze the reaction in which para-hydroxyphenylpyruvate (HPP) is transformed into homogentisate. Plants tolerant to HPPD-inhibitors can be transformed with a gene encoding a naturally-occurring resistant HPPD enzyme, or a gene encoding a mutated or chimeric HPPD enzyme as described in WO 96/38567, WO 99/24585, and WO 99/24586, WO 2009/144079, WO 2002/046387, or US 6,768,044. Tolerance to HPPD-inhibitors can also be obtained by transforming plants with genes encoding certain enzymes enabling the formation of homogentisate despite the inhibition of the native HPPD enzyme by the HPPD-inhibitor. Such plants and genes are described in WO 99/34008 and WO 02/36787. Tolerance of plants to HPPD inhibitors can also be improved by transforming plants with a gene encoding an enzyme having prephenate deshydrogenase (PDH) activity in addition to a gene encoding an HPPD-tolerant enzyme, as described in WO 2004/024928. Further, plants can be made more tolerant to HPPD-inhibitor herbicides by adding into their genome a gene encoding an enzyme capable of metabolizing or degrading HPPD inhibitors, such as the CYP450 enzymes shown in WO 2007/103567 and WO 2008/150473.

[48] Still further herbicide tolerance genes encode variant ALS enzymes (also known as acetohydroxyacid synthase, AHAS) as described for example in Tranel and Wright (2002, *Weed Science* 50:700-712), but also, in U.S. Patent No. 5,605,011, 5,378,824, 5,141,870, and 5,013,659. The production of sulfonylurea-tolerant plants and imidazolinone-tolerant plants is described in U.S. Patent Nos. 5,605,011; 5,013,659; 5,141,870; 5,767,361; 5,731,180; 5,304,732; 4,761,373; 5,331,107; 5,928,937; and 5,378,824; and international publication WO 96/33270. Other imidazolinone-tolerance genes are also described in for example WO 2004/040012, WO 2004/106529, WO 2005/020673, WO 2005/093093, WO 2006/007373, WO 2006/015376, WO 2006/024351, and WO 2006/060634. Further sulfonylurea- and imidazolinone-tolerance genes are described in for example WO 07/024782 and US Patent Application No 61/288958.

[49] Insect resistance gene may comprise a coding sequence encoding:

1) an insecticidal crystal protein from *Bacillus thuringiensis* or an insecticidal portion thereof, such as the insecticidal crystal proteins listed by Crickmore et al. (1998, *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 62: 807-813), updated by Crickmore et al. (2005) at the *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxin nomenclature, online at:

http://www.lifesci.sussex.ac.uk/Home/Neil_Crickmore/Bt/), or insecticidal portions thereof, e.g., proteins of the Cry protein classes Cry1Ab, Cry1Ac, Cry1B, Cry1C, Cry1D, Cry1F, Cry2Ab, Cry3Aa, or Cry3Bb or insecticidal portions

thereof (e.g. EP 1999141 and WO 2007/107302), or such proteins encoded by synthetic genes as e.g. described in and US Patent Application No 12/249,016; or

2) a crystal protein from *Bacillus thuringiensis* or a portion thereof which is insecticidal in the presence of a second other crystal protein from *Bacillus thuringiensis* or a portion thereof, such as the binary toxin made up of the Cry34 and Cry35 crystal proteins (Moellenbeck et al. 2001, Nat. Biotechnol. 19: 668-72; Schnepf et al. 2006, Applied Environm. Microbiol. 71, 1765-1774) or the binary toxin made up of the Cry1A or Cry1F proteins and the Cry2Aa or Cry2Ab or Cry2Ae proteins (US Patent Appl. No. 12/214,022 and EP 08010791.5); or

3) a hybrid insecticidal protein comprising parts of different insecticidal crystal proteins from *Bacillus thuringiensis*, such as a hybrid of the proteins of 1) above or a hybrid of the proteins of 2) above, e.g., the Cry1A.105 protein produced by corn event MON89034 (WO 2007/027777); or

4) a protein of any one of 1) to 3) above wherein some, particularly 1 to 10, amino acids have been replaced by another amino acid to obtain a higher insecticidal activity to a target insect species, and/or to expand the range of target insect species affected, and/or because of changes introduced into the encoding DNA during cloning or transformation, such as the Cry3Bb1 protein in com events MON863 or MON88017, or the Cry3A protein in corn event MIR604; or

5) an insecticidal secreted protein from *Bacillus thuringiensis* or *Bacillus cereus*, or an insecticidal portion thereof, such as the vegetative insecticidal (VIP) proteins listed at:

http://www.lifesci.sussex.ac.uk/home/Neil_Crickmore/Bt/vip.html, e.g., proteins from the VIP3Aa protein class; or

6) a secreted protein from *Bacillus thuringiensis* or *Bacillus cereus* which is insecticidal in the presence of a second secreted protein from *Bacillus thuringiensis* or *B. cereus*, such as the binary toxin made up of the VIP1A and VIP2A proteins (WO 94/21795); or

7) a hybrid insecticidal protein comprising parts from different secreted proteins from *Bacillus thuringiensis* or *Bacillus cereus*, such as a hybrid of the proteins in 1) above or a hybrid of the proteins in 2) above; or

8) a protein of any one of 5) to 7) above wherein some, particularly 1 to 10, amino acids have been replaced by another amino acid to obtain a higher insecticidal activity to a target insect species, and/or to expand the range of target insect species affected, and/or because of changes introduced into the encoding DNA during cloning or transformation (while still encoding an insecticidal protein), such as the VIP3Aa protein in cotton event COT102; or

9) a secreted protein from *Bacillus thuringiensis* or *Bacillus cereus* which is insecticidal in the presence of a crystal protein from *Bacillus thuringiensis*, such as the binary toxin made up of VIP3 and Cry1A or Cry1F (US Patent Appl. No. 61/126083 and 61/195019), or the binary toxin made up of the VIP3 protein and the Cry2Aa or Cry2Ab or Cry2Ae proteins (US Patent Appl. No. 12/214,022 and EP 08010791.5);

10) a protein of 9) above wherein some, particularly 1 to 10, amino acids have been replaced by another amino acid to obtain a higher insecticidal activity to a target insect species, and/or to expand the range of target insect species affected, and/or because of changes introduced into the encoding DNA during cloning or transformation (while still encoding an insecticidal protein).

[50] An "insect-resistant gene as used herein, further includes transgenes comprising a sequence producing upon expression a double-stranded RNA which upon ingestion by a plant insect pest inhibits the growth of this insect pest, as described e.g. in WO 2007/080126, WO 2006/129204, WO 2007/074405, WO 2007/080127 and WO 2007/035650.

[51] Abiotic stress tolerance genes include

1) a transgene capable of reducing the expression and/or the activity of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) gene in the plant cells or plants as described in WO 00/04173, WO/2006/045633, EP 04077984.5, or EP 06009836.5.

2) a transgene capable of reducing the expression and/or the activity of the PARG encoding genes of the plants or plants cells, as described e.g. in WO 2004/090140.

3) a transgene coding for a plant-functional enzyme of the nicotineamide adenine dinucleotide salvage synthesis pathway including nicotinamidase, nicotinate phosphoribosyltransferase, nicotinic acid mononucleotide adenylyl transferase, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide synthetase or nicotine amide phosphorybosyltransferase as described e.g. in EP 04077624.7, WO 2006/133827, PCT/EP07/002433, EP 1999263, or WO 2007/107326.

[52] Enzymes involved in carbohydrate biosynthesis include those described in e.g. EP 0571427, WO 95/04826, EP 0719338, WO 96/15248, WO 96/19581, WO 96/27674, WO 97/11188, WO 97/26362, WO 97/32985, WO 97/42328, WO 97/44472, WO 97/45545, WO 98/27212, WO 98/40503, WO99/58688, WO 99/58690, WO 99/58654, WO 00/08184, WO 00/08185, WO 00/08175, WO 00/28052, WO 00/77229, WO 01/12782, WO 01/12826, WO 02/101059, WO 03/071860, WO 2004/056999, WO 2005/030942, WO 2005/030941, WO 2005/095632, WO 2005/095617, WO 2005/095619, WO 2005/095618, WO 2005/123927, WO 2006/018319, WO 2006/103107, WO 2006/108702, WO 2007/009823, WO 00/22140, WO 2006/063862, WO 2006/072603, WO 02/034923, EP 06090134.5, EP 06090228.5, EP 06090227.7, EP 07090007.1, EP 07090009.7, WO 01/14569, WO 02/79410, WO 03/33540, WO 2004/078983, WO 01/19975, WO 95/26407, WO 96/34968, WO 98/20145, WO 99/12950, WO 99/66050, WO 99/53072, US 6,734,341, WO 00/111192, WO 98/22604, WO 98/32326, WO 01/98509, WO 01/98509, WO 2005/002359, US 5,824,790, US 6,013,861, WO 94/04693, WO 94/09144, WO 94/11520, WO 95/35026 or WO 97/20936 or enzymes involved in the production of polyfructose, especially of the inulin and levan-type, as disclosed in EP 0663956, WO 96/01904, WO 96/21023, WO 98/39460, and WO 99/24593, the production of alpha-1,4-glucans as disclosed in WO 95/31553, US 2002031826, US 6,284,479, US 5,712,107, WO 97/47806, WO 97/47807, WO 97/47808 and WO 00/14249, the production of alpha-1,6 branched alpha-1,4-glucans, as disclosed in WO 00/73422, the production of alteman, as disclosed in e.g. WO 00/47727, WO 00/73422, EP 06077301.7, US 5,908,975 and EP 0728213, the production of hyaluronan, as for example disclosed in WO 2006/032538, WO 2007/039314, WO 2007/039315, WO 2007/039316, JP 2006304779, and WO 2005/012529.

[53] It is also an embodiment of the invention to provide the combination of the T-DNA comprising the repair DNA and the T-DNA comprising the DSB1 enzyme encoding gene, as well as a dual T-DNA vector (Ti or Ri plasmid) comprising those two DNAs and to provide Agrobacterium cells and strains comprising the combination of T-DNAs or

comprising the dual T-DNA vector as described in the above methods. Plants or plant cells comprising the above T-DNA combination are also encompassed within the invention.

[54] It will be appreciated that the methods of the invention can be applied to any plant (Angiospermae or Gymnospermae) including but not limited to cotton, canola, oilseed rape, soybean, vegetables, potatoes, Lemna spp., Nicotiana spp., Arabidopsis, alfalfa, barley, bean, corn, cotton, flax, millet, pea, rape, rice, rye, safflower, sorghum, soybean, sunflower, tobacco, turfgrass, wheat, asparagus, beet and sugar beet, broccoli, cabbage, carrot, cauliflower, celery, cucumber, eggplant, lettuce, onion, oilseed rape, pepper, potato, pumpkin, radish, spinach, squash, sugar cane, tomato, zucchini, almond, apple, apricot, banana, blackberry, blueberry, cacao, cherry, coconut, cranberry, date, grape, grapefruit, guava, kiwi, lemon, lime, mango, melon, nectarine, orange, papaya, passion fruit, peach, peanut, pear, pineapple, pistachio, plum, raspberry, strawberry, tangerine, walnut and watermelon.

[55] It is also an object of the invention to provide plant cells, plant parts and plants generated according to the methods of the invention, such as fruits, seeds, embryos, reproductive tissue, meristematic regions, callus tissue, leaves, roots, shoots, flowers, fibers, vascular tissue, gametophytes, sporophytes, pollen and microspores, which are characterised in that they comprise a specific modification in the genome (insertion, replacement and/or deletion). Gametes, seeds, embryos, either zygotic or somatic, progeny or hybrids of plants comprising the DNA modification events, which are produced by traditional breeding methods, are also included within the scope of the present invention. Such plants may contain a DNA of interest inserted at or instead of a target sequence or may have a specific DNA sequence deleted (even single nucleotides), and will only be different from their progenitor plants by the presence of this heterologous DNA or DNA sequence or the absence of the specifically deleted sequence (i.e. the intended modification) post exchange.

[56] In some embodiments, the plant cells of the invention, i.e. a plant cell comprising the T-DNA combination as well as plant cells generated according to the methods of the invention comprising the intended genomic modification, may be a non-propagating cell, or a plant cell that cannot be regenerated into a plant, or a plant cell that cannot maintain its life by synthesizing carbohydrate and protein from the inorganics, such as water, carbon dioxide, and inorganic salt, through photosynthesis.

[57] The plants obtained by the methods described herein may be further crossed by traditional breeding techniques with other plants to obtain progeny plants comprising the targeted DNA insertion events obtained according to the present invention. In this way, also the DSB1 enzyme encoding T-DNA can be segregated out.

[58] The invention further provides a method for producing a plant comprising a modification at a predefined site of the genome, comprising the step of crossing a plant generated according to the above methods with another plant or with itself and optionally harvesting seeds.

[59] The invention further provides a method for producing feed, food or fiber comprising the steps of providing a population of plants generated according to the above methods and harvesting seeds.

[60] The invention further provides a method for producing a cotton seed or a cotton fiber, comprising growing a cotton plant according to the above methods and isolating said seed or said fiber from said plant.

[61] The plants and seeds according to the invention may be further treated with a chemical compound, e.g. if having tolerance such a chemical.

[62] Accordingly, the invention also provides a method of growing a plant generated according to the above methods, comprising the step of applying a chemical to said plant or substrate wherein said plant is grown.

[63] Further provided is a process of growing a plant in the field comprising the step of applying a chemical compound on a plant generated according to the above methods.

[64] Also provided is a process of producing treated seed comprising the step applying a chemical compound, such as the chemicals described above, on a seed of plant generated according to the above described methods.

[65] As used herein "comprising" is to be interpreted as specifying the presence of the stated features, integers, steps or components as referred to, but does not preclude the presence or addition of one or more features, integers, steps or components, or groups thereof. Thus, e.g., a nucleic acid or protein comprising a sequence of nucleotides or amino acids, may comprise more nucleotides or amino acids than the actually cited ones, i.e., be embedded in a larger nucleic acid or protein. A chimeric gene comprising a DNA region which is functionally or structurally defined may comprise additional DNA regions etc.

[66] As used herein, "plant part" includes any plant organ or plant tissue, including but not limited to fruits, seeds, embryos, meristematic regions, callus tissue, leaves, roots, shoots, flowers, gametophytes, sporophytes, pollen, and microspores.

[67] For the purpose of this invention, the "sequence identity" of two related nucleotide or amino acid sequences, expressed as a percentage, refers to the number of positions in the two optimally aligned sequences which have identical residues (x100) divided by the number of positions compared. A gap, i.e. a position in an alignment where a residue is present in one sequence but not in the other, is regarded as a position with non-identical residues. The alignment of the two sequences is performed by the Needleman and Wunsch algorithm (Needleman and Wunsch 1970). The computer-assisted sequence alignment above, can be conveniently performed using standard software program

such as GAP which is part of the Wisconsin Package Version 10.1 (Genetics Computer Group, Madison, Wisconsin, USA) using the default scoring matrix with a gap creation penalty of 50 and a gap extension penalty of 3.

[68] A nucleic acid or nucleotide, as used herein, refers to both DNA and RNA. DNA also includes cDNA and genomic DNA. A nucleic acid molecules can be single- or double-stranded, and can be synthesized chemically or produced by biological expression in vitro or even in vivo.

[69] It will be clear that whenever nucleotide sequences of RNA molecules are defined by reference to nucleotide sequence of corresponding DNA molecules, the thymine (T) in the nucleotide sequence should be replaced by uracil (U). Whether reference is made to RNA or DNA molecules will be clear from the context of the application.

[70] The following non-limiting Examples describe the construction of a dual T-DNA vector comprising a DSB1 enzyme encoding T-DNA and a repair DNA containing T-DNA as well as their use to efficiently produce plants with a targeted genomic modification.

[71] Unless stated otherwise in the Examples, all recombinant DNA techniques are carried out according to standard protocols as described in Sambrook et al. (1989) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, Second Edition, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, NY and in Volumes 1 and 2 of Ausubel et al. (1994) Current Protocols in Molecular Biology, Current Protocols, USA. Standard materials and methods for plant molecular work are described in Plant Molecular Biology Labfax (1993) by R.D.D. Croy, jointly published by BIOS Scientific Publications Ltd (UK) and Blackwell Scientific Publications, UK. Other references for standard molecular biology techniques include Sambrook and Russell (2001) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, Third Edition, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, NY, Volumes I and II of Brown (1998) Molecular Biology LabFax, Second Edition, Academic Press (UK). Standard materials and methods for polymerase chain reactions can be found in Dieffenbach and Dveksler (1995) PCR Primer: A Laboratory Manual, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, and in McPherson et al. (2000) PCR - Basics: From Background to Bench, First Edition, Springer Verlag, Germany.

[72] All patents, patent applications and publications mentioned herein are hereby incorporated by reference, in their entireties, for all purposes.

[73] The sequence listing contained in the file named "BCS12-2004-WO1_ST25", which is 54 kilobytes (size as measured in Microsoft Windows®), contains 11 sequences SEQ ID NO: 1 through SEQ ID NO: 11, is filed herewith by electronic submission and is incorporated by reference herein.

[74] The invention will be further described with reference to the examples described herein; however, it is to be understood that the invention is not limited to such examples.

Sequence listing

[75] Throughout the description and Examples, reference is made to the following sequences:

[76] SEQ ID NO. 1: Nucleotide sequence of dual T-DNA vector pCTV231

[77] SEQ ID NO. 2: Nucleotide sequence of dual T-DNA vector pTCV237

[78] SEQ ID NO. 3: COT5/6 recognition sequence

[79] SEQ ID NO. 4: Cotton genomic sequence comprising the COT5/6 recognition site

[80] SEQ ID NO. 5: PCR primer IB527

[81] SEQ ID NO. 6: PCR primer IB616

[82] SEQ ID NO. 7: PCR primer IB589

[83] SEQ ID NO. 8: PCR primer VDS382

[84] SEQ ID NO. 9: PCR primer IB588

[85] SEQ ID NO. 10: PCR primer IB303

[86] SEQ ID NO. 11: PCR primer IB624

Examples

Example 1: Vector construction

[87] Using standard molecular biology techniques, the dual T-DNA vector pCV231 (SEQ ID NO. 1) was created, comprising between T-DNA borders the repair DNA comprising a 2mEPSPS and a Pf-HPPD-W336, and the meganuclease COT-5/6 gene between another pair of T-DNA borders (Figure 1a):

- Repair T-DNA:
 - RB (nt 189 to 222): right border repeat from the T-DNA of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (Zambryski, 1988).
 - 3'histonAt (nt 928 to 262): sequence including the 3' untranslated region of the histone H4 gene of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Chabouté et al., 1987).
 - hppdPfW336-1Pa (nt 2021 to 945): coding sequence of the 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase gene of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain A32 modified by the replacement of the amino acid Glycine 336 with a Tryptophane (Boudec et al., 1999), adapted to cotton codon usage.
 - TPotpY-1Pa (nt 2393 to 2024): coding sequence of an optimized transit peptide derivative (position 55 changed into Tyr), containing sequence of the RuBisCO small subunit genes of *Zea mays* (corn) and *Helianthus annuus* (sunflower) (Lebrun et al., 1996), adapted for cotton codon usage.
 - PCsVMV XYZ (2914 to 2402): sequence including the promoter region of the Cassava Vein Mosaic Virus (Verdaguer et al., 1996).
 - Ph4a748 (nt 3013-3929): sequence including the promoter region of the histone H4 gene of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Chabouté et al., 1987).
 - intron1 h3At (nt 3969 to 4434): first intron of gene II of the histone H3.III variant of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Chaubet et al., 1992).
 - TPotpC (nt 4448 to 4819): coding sequence of the optimized transit peptide, containing sequence of the RuBisCO small subunit genes of *Zea mays* (corn) and *Helianthus annuus* (sunflower) (Lebrun et al., 1996).
 - 2mepsps (nt 4820 to 6157): coding sequence of the double-mutant 5-enol-pyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase gene of *Zea mays* (corn) (Lebrun et al., 1997).
 - 3'histonAt (nt 6178 to 6844): sequence including the 3' untranslated region of the histone H4 gene of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Chabouté et al., 1987).
 - LB (nt 6929 to 6952): left border repeat from the T-DNA of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (Zambryski, 1988).
- COT5/6 meganuclease T-DNA:
 - LB (nt 9211-9188): left border repeat from the T-DNA of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (Zambryski, 1988).

- P35S2c(fragment) (9236 to 9594): The P35S2c (fragment) is 123bp shorter than P35S2c.
- P35S2c (nt 9236-10078): Sequence including the promoter region from the Cauliflower Mosaic Virus 35S transcript.
- COT-5/6-SC (nt 10085 to 11167): single-chain custom-made meganuclease from Precision BioScience which recognize the COT-5/6 recognition site 5' TAAAATTATTTACAAGTGTTTA.
- 3'nos (nt 11168 to 11427): sequence including the 3' untranslated region of the nopaline synthase gene from the T-DNA of pTiT37 (Depicker *et al.*, 1982).
- RB (nt 11517-11493): right border repeat from the T-DNA of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (Zambryski, 1988).

[88] This pTCV231 vector was used to transform *Agrobacterium* strain A5891 (=C58C1Rif(pTiEHA101)).

Example 2: Cotton transformation using Agrobacterium

[89] Friable cotton embryogenic callus (EC) of the target line containing in its nuclear genome the COT5/6 target sequence 5' TAAAATTATTTACAAGTGTTTA (SEQ ID NO. 3) was collected on 100 substrate and immersed for 20' in *Agrobacterium* suspension of 5×10^8 cells/ml in M100 substrate pH 5.2, with 100 μ M acetosyringone (AS).

[90] After 3 days co-cultivation in the dark at 24°C on M100 with ½ concentration MS salts pH 5.2, with 100 μ M AS and 100 mg/L L-cysteine, the EC is transferred as small piles on M100 substrate pH5.8, 250mg/L triacillin and 1 mM glyphosate as selective agent and incubated in dim light at 28°C.

Example 3: Identification of targeted insertion events

[91] Glyphosate resistant calli were selected after a few subcultures on this substrate (M100 pH5.8 with 125 or 250 mg/L triacillin and 1 mM glyphosate). On the 575 glyphosate resistant calli thus obtained, a high throughput PCR screen was performed using the Expand High Fidelity PCR System (Roche) to identify candidate stacked events (see figure 1), resulting in the identification of 8 putative targeted insertion events (~1.4%), i.e. events where the repair DNA had been integrated into the target COT5/6 recognition site (table1).

Sample	IB527 X IB616 (2292)	IB527 X IB589 (3321)	IB527 X VDS382 (3387)	IB527 X IB588 (5455)	IB527 X IB303 (50011)	IB616 X VDS382 (2614)	IB616 X IB589 (1958)	Orientation
74	2992	~3000	nd	5300	nd	2000/2500	nd	+
13	2992	~3000	-	5300	nd	2500	nd	+
33	2992	~4000	-	6300	nd	-	nd	+

23	2992	~3000	-	5300	nd	3500	nd	+
52	2992	-	~4000*	nd	6000	5000	nd	-
75	2992	-	~3000*	nd	4000	nd	2000	-
38	-	~3500	~4000*	6000	nd	-	nd	+
66	-	-	~5000*	nd	6500	nd	~2500	-

Table 1: Overview of PCR analysis on calli of putative targeted insertion events indicating amplicon length (bp) obtained per primer pair (theroretical length indicated between brackets, nd = not determined, - = no product obtained, *= weak product) . See figure 1a for a schematic representation of the location of the primers and the theoretical amplicon length.

[92] Next, sequence analysis was done on the candidate targeted insertion events to confirm that they were indeed stacked events (see Fig 2). Although some variation exists in the transition between target plant genome and the insert due to the fact that the repair T-DNA lacks homology regions that can direct precise integration by homologous recombination, it was clear from the sequence data that in each of the analysed events the repair DNA had indeed been inserted into the COT5/6 recognition site. This occurred mostly without co-integration of the COT5/6 T-DNA, as the obtained lengths of the PCR products largely correspond to the theoretically expected lengths based on precise integration of the T-DNA into the COT5/6 recognition site (a much larger fragment could be indicative of incidental co-integration of the COT5/6 T-DNA).

[93] Calli of targeted insertion events are grown into plants and will be further crossed to evaluate segregation of the COT5/6 gene.

[94] When a similar experiment was performed using particle bombardment for co-delivery of the repair DNA and meganuclease encoding gene as described above (but wherein the repair DNA additionally contained homology regions for insertion via homologous recombination), 2065 glyphosate resistant events were obtained, of which 31 were found to be correctly targeted insertion events (~1.5%). Thus, using the dual T-DNA vector, the frequency of targeted insertions can be improved to a similar efficiency as can be obtained using direct DNA transfer methods.

Example 4: Double stranded DNA break repair in the presence of sequence homology

[95] A similar vector as above but wherein the repair T-DNA additionally comprises flanking regions on one or both sides of the DNA region to be inserted (i.e. flanking the HPPD and 2mEPSPS gene cluster but within the T-DNA borders) which have homology to regions upstream and/or downstream of the preselected site respectively was constructed (pTCV237, represented by SEQ ID NO 2) comprising the following operably linked fragments:

- Repair T-DNA:

- RB (nt 189 to 222): right border repeat from the T-DNA of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (Zambryski, 1988).
- FGD COT5/6 ds (nt 1804 to 254): 3' flanking region corresponding to genomic DNA downstream of the COT5/6 recognition site.
- 3'histonAt (nt 2547 to 1887): sequence including the 3' untranslated region of the histone H4 gene of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Chabouté et al., 1987).
- 2mepsps (nt 3908 to 2571): coding sequence of the double-mutant 5-enol-pyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase gene of *Zea mays* (corn) (Lebrun et al., 1997).
- TPotpC (nt 4280 to 3909): coding sequence of the optimized transit peptide, containing sequence of the RuBisCO small subunit genes of *Zea mays* (corn) and *Helianthus annuus* (sunflower) (Lebrun et al., 1996).
- intron1 h3At (nt 4749 to 4287): first intron of gene II of the histone H3.III variant of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Chaubet et al., 1992).
- Ph4a748 (nt 5715 to 4799): sequence including the promoter region of the histone H4 gene of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Chabouté et al., 1987).
- 3'histonAt (nt 6459 to 5799): sequence including the 3' untranslated region of the histone H4 gene of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Chabouté et al., 1987).
- hppdPfW336-1Pa (nt 7561 to 6485): coding sequence of the 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase gene of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain A32 modified by the replacement of the amino acid Glycine 336 with a Tryptophane (Boudec et al., 1999), adapted to cotton codon usage.
- TPotpY-1Pa (nt 7933 to 7562): coding sequence of an optimized transit peptide derivative (position 55 changed into Tyr), containing sequence of the RuBisCO small subunit genes of *Zea mays* (corn) and *Helianthus annuus* (sunflower) (Lebrun et al., 1996), adapted for cotton codon usage.
- 5'cab22L (nt 8003 to 7935): Sequence including the leader sequence of the chlorophyll a/b binding protein gene of *Petunia hybrida* (Harpster et al., 1988).
- P35S2 (nt 8421 to 8004): P35S2 promoter sequence.
- FGD upstream COT5/6 us (nt 10523 to 8465): 5' flanking region corresponding to genomic DNA downstream of the COT5/6 recognition site.
- LB (nt 10550 to 10573): left border repeat from the T-DNA of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (Zambryski, 1988).
- COT5/6 meganuclease T-DNA:
 - LB (nt 12832 to 12809): left border repeat from the T-DNA of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (Zambryski, 1988).
 - P35S2c(fragment) (12857 to 13215): The P35S2c (fragment) is 123bp shorter than P35S2c.
 - P35S2c (nt 13218 to 13699): Sequence including the promoter region from the Cauliflower Mosaic Virus 35S transcript.

- COT-5/6-SC (nt 13706 to 14788): single-chain custom-made meganuclease from Precision BioScience which recognize the COT-5/6 recognition site 5'-TAAAATTATTTACAAGTGTTTA-3' (SEQ ID NO. 3).
- 3'nos (nt 14789 to 15048): sequence including the 3' untranslated region of the nopaline synthase gene from the T-DNA of pTiT37 (Depicker *et al.*, 1982).
- RB (nt 15138 to 15114): right border repeat from the T-DNA of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (Zambryski, 1988).

[96] This vector was transformed into *Agrobacterium* and the resulting *Agrobacterium* strain was subsequently used to transform cotton cells from the target line, which were further cultured and selected as described above. Out of the glyphosate resistant calli, stacked events were identified by PCR and sequence analysis as described above (see also Fig 1b).

[97] On the 1167 glyphosate resistant calli thus obtained, a high throughput PCR screen was performed using the Expand High Fidelity PCR System (Roche) to identify candidate stacked events (see figure 1b), resulting in the identification of a total 70 putative targeted insertion events (~6.0%), i.e. events where the repair DNA had been integrated into the target COT5/6 recognition site (table1), as determined by PCR with primer pair IB527 x IB624 (resulting in a product of 2679 bp). An additional PCR product of 2992 bp with primer pair IB527 x IB616 is indicative of the presence of also the non-modified target (i.e. a chimeric event), whereas the absence thereof is indicative for a pure stacked event (see Table 2).

No. of events	IB527xIB624 (2679 bp)	IB527 x IB616 (2992 bp)
64	+	+
6	+	-

Table 2: Overview of PCR analysis on calli of putative targeted insertion events obtained per primer pair (theoretical length indicated between brackets). See figure 1b for a schematic representation of the location of the primers and the theoretical amplicon length.

Claims

1. A method for modifying the genome of a plant cell at a preselected site, comprising the steps of:
 - a. Contacting a plant cell with a bacterium capable of directing the transfer of defined DNA molecules from said bacterium into the genome of said plant cell, said bacterium comprising
 - i. a first defined DNA molecule comprising a chimeric gene encoding a plant-functional DSB1 enzyme, said DSB1 enzyme being capable of recognizing and inducing a double stranded DNA break at a recognition site located at or in the vicinity of said preselected site, said chimeric gene comprising the following operably linked elements:
 1. a plant expressible promoter;
 2. a DNA region encoding a DSB1 enzyme;
 3. a plant-functional 3' termination and polyadenylation region; and
 - ii. a second defined DNA molecule comprising a repair DNA molecule for use as a template for repair of said double stranded DNA break.
 - b. Selecting a plant cell wherein said repair DNA has been used as a template for repair of the double stranded DNA break, said repair of said double stranded DNA break resulting in a modification of said genome at said preselected site, wherein said modification is selected from
 - i. a replacement of at least one nucleotide;
 - ii. a deletion of at least one nucleotide;
 - iii. an insertion of at least one nucleotide; or
 - iv. any combination of i. – iii.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein said two defined DNA molecules are comprised within the same vector.
3. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein said defined DNA molecule is a T-DNA molecule.
4. The method of any one of claims 1 to 3, wherein said bacterium is selected from the group consisting of: *Agrobacterium* spp., *Rhizobium* spp., *Sinorhizobium* spp., *Mesorhizobium* spp., *Phyllobacterium* spp., *Ochrobactrum* spp., *Bradyrhizobium* spp., *Azobacter* spp., *Closterium* spp., *Klebsiella* spp. and *Rhodospirillum* spp.
5. The method of any one of claims 1 to 4, wherein said bacterium is *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*.
6. The method of any one of claims 1 to 5, wherein said plant cell is comprised within an explant from a plant seed, seedling, hypocotyl, cotyledon, immature zygotic embryos, leaves, anthers, petals, ovules, roots, meristems, stem cells, petioles, callus or cell suspension, preferably hypocotyl or embryogenic callus, and the explant is contacted with said bacterium.
7. The method of any one of claims 1 to 6, wherein said DSB1 enzyme is non-naturally occurring.
8. The method of any one of claims 1 to 7, wherein said repair DNA molecule comprises a DNA molecule of interest.

9. The method of any one of claims 1 to 8, wherein said DNA molecule of interest comprises one or more plant expressible gene(s) of interest.
10. The method of any one of claims 1 to 9, wherein said plant expressible gene of interest is selected from the group of a herbicide tolerance gene, an insect resistance gene, a disease resistance gene, an abiotic stress resistance gene, an enzyme involved in oil biosynthesis, carbohydrate biosynthesis, an enzyme involved in fiber strength or fiber length, an enzyme involved in biosynthesis of secondary metabolites.
11. The method of any one of claims 1 to 10, wherein said repair DNA molecule comprises one or two flanking nucleotide sequences flanking the DNA molecule of interest, said flanking nucleotide sequence or sequences having sufficient homology to the genomic DNA upstream and/or downstream of said preselected site to allow recombination with said upstream and/or downstream DNA region.
12. The method of any one of claims 1 to 7, wherein said repair DNA molecule consists of two flanking nucleotide sequences, one of said flanking nucleotide sequence having sufficient homology to the DNA region upstream of said predefined site, the other flanking nucleotide sequence having sufficient homology to the DNA region downstream of said predefined site to allow recombination between said flanking nucleotide sequences and said upstream and downstream DNA regions.
13. The method of any one of claims 1 to 12, wherein said first and/or second defined DNA molecule further comprise or comprises a selectable or screenable marker gene.
14. The method of any one of claims 1 to 13, comprising the further step of growing said selected plant cell into a plant.
15. The method of any one of claims 1 to 14, wherein said DSB1 enzyme encoding gene and said modification genetically segregate in progeny of a plant regenerated from said selected plant cell.
16. The method of any one of claims 1 to 15, wherein said plant cell or plant is a cotton plant cell or cotton plant.
17. A DNA vector comprising a first and a second defined DNA molecule as described in any one of claims 1 to 13.
18. The DNA vector of claim 17, wherein said defined DNA molecules are capable of being transferred by a bacterium into the genome of a plant cell.
19. A bacterium capable of directing the transfer of defined DNA molecules from said bacterium into the genome of a plant cell, said bacterium comprising the first and second defined DNA molecules as described in any one of claims 1 to 13.
20. The bacterium of claim 19, which is selected from the group consisting of: *Agrobacterium* spp., *Rhizobium* spp., *Sinorhizobium* spp., *Mesorhizobium* spp., *Phyllobacterium* spp., *Ochrobactrum* spp., *Bradyrhizobium* spp., *Azobacter* spp., *Closterium* spp., *Klebsiella* spp. and *Rhodospirillum* spp.
21. The bacterium of claim 19 or 20, which is *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*.
22. A plant cell or plant comprising a modification at a predefined site of the genome produced according to the methods of any one of claims 1 to 16, or plant part, fiber, seed or propagating material thereof.
23. Use of the DNA vector of claim 18 or 19 or of the bacterium of any one of claims 19 to 22 to modify the genome of a plant cell at a preselected site.

Fig. 1a




Fig. 1b




Fig. 2a




Fig. 2b

Extra seq inserted=(lox-I-creI-LB)

Cot5/6 cleavage site

3'flanking seq

stacked event 91-74a 91-74b 92-13	1571 atgaaaatcatagctctaactgtaaaaaatgtacagaagaaaagctagagcagaaacaaaagattctatatctctgggtcccaatttatcatcgcgttttaacgctcc 1033 atgaaaatcatagctctaactgtaaaaaatgtacagaagaaaagctagagcagaaacaaaagattctatatctctgggtcccaatttatcatcgcgttttaacgctcc 498 atgaaaatcatagctctaactgtaaaaaatgtacagaagaaaagctagagcagaaacaaaagattctatatctctgggtcccaatttatcatcgcgttttaacgctcc 1124 atgaaaatcatagctctaactgtaaaaaatgtacagaagaaaagctagagcagaaacaaaagattctatatctctgggtcccaatttatcatcgcgttttaacgctcc
stacked event 91-74a 91-74b 92-13	1671 ctccagatttggatcggg-----ccttaattcaaccggccctgcaggctcgaccggccga-----gtactggca-----gga----- 933 ctccagatttggatcggg-----ccttaattcaaccggccctgcaggctcgaccggccga-----g----- 398 ctccagatttggatcggg-----ccttaattcaaccggccctgcaggctcgaccggccga-----gtac----- 1024 ctccagatttggatcgggaaaacataaacttcttggtatagcatatcatatcacgaaagtctatcaaaaagctcgttgagaaacagttctgggttggtagcgatcgcctggagccga
stacked event 91-74a 91-74b 92-13	1734 --tatataccggtt----gtaattgaagtggtta 881 -----tctatgtttccatatataaattcttgctgaataagtttaattctgttcaaatcttaaatcaaaag 924 tttacaaaactgtctccaaattatcaagtggttattgttcgatattttcaaatcttatcaatatatgctcgtcgaataagtttcaaatctgtaaaatcaaaag
stacked event 91-74a 91-74b 92-13	1828 tatttaaaaatagatatatttattttttatgatatatataaatatcatcgaaacttttagaattttatggacaaaaaacatcaactatccactagtttaattcatttc 827 tatttcaaaaatagatatatttattttttatgatatatataaatatcatcgaaacttttagaattttatggacaaaaaacatcaactatccactagtttaattcatttc 824 tatttcaaaaatagatatatttattttttatgatatatataaatatcatcgaaacttttagaattttatggacaaaaaacatcaactatccactagtttaattcatttc
stacked event 91-74a 91-74b 92-13	1928 tacaaaacgacattttatttttatttaaaatgggtcagaaaaaaattgtgacctaccagggaatgaggattttgatctggaggggatgtaaaaaccaagtctgatatttttttc 727 tacaaaacgacattttatttttatttaaaatgggtcagaaaaaaattgtgacctaccagggaatgaggattttgatctggaggggatgtaaaaaccaagtctgatatttttttc 724 tacaaaacgacattttatttttatttaaaatgggtcagaaaaaaattgtgacctaccagggaatgaggattttgatctggaggggatgtaaaaaccaagtctgatatttttttc
stacked event 91-74a 91-74b 92-13	2028 tcaacttgtgaaaaagatagtggtctggctggaaattttatgtatgatataatttggatagggaccttggaccttgagatttatcttctctgggtctcttcactgcttttcac 627 tcaacttgtgaaaaagatagtggtctggctggaaattttatgtatgatataatttggatagggaccttggaccttgagatttatcttctctgggtctcttcactgcttttcac 624 tcaacttgtgaaaaagatagtggtctggctggaaattttatgtatgatataaaatttgataggggaatttgcaccttgaggaatttatcttctctgggtctcttcactgcttttcac
stacked event 91-74a 91-74b 92-13	2128 aataaacttgactatcaaaattctctctcttggaattctacgacgttccatatctatcttgtatggtggcttcgagtagggaaattttttcaaaaagtattttgtgttttg 527 aataaacttgactatcaaaattctctctcttggaattctacgacgttccatatctatcttgtatggtggcttcgagtagggaaattttttcaaaaagtattttgtgttttg 343 -----tggataaataggac----- 524 aataaacttgactatcaaaattctctctcttggaattctacgacgttccatatctatcttgtatggtggcttcgagtagggaaattttttcaaaaagtattttgtgttttg
stacked event 91-74a 91-74b 92-13	2226 atatttcacatatgttttttatacaatgtttcagaactacttatagttccatttcatcccttaagtaggttagcgccttccctacatttgacaaacaaatctcggatactaa 427 atatttcacatatgttttttatacaatgtttcagaactacttatagttccatttcatcccttaagtaggttagcgccttccctacatttgacaaacaaatctcggatactaa 327 atatttcacatat----- 424 atatttcacatatgttttttatacaatgttttagaactacttatagttccatttcatcccttaagtaggttagcgccttccctacatttgacaaacaaatctcggatactaa
stacked event 91-74a 91-74b 92-13	2326 atcgaactaaaaactcaatcaacgccccatatcttcgacacctcaaatcttggaataaatgaagtaggttatcttataagatatcttgattttatatatgttaaatgatatcttttc 327 atcgaactaaaaactcaatcaacgccccatatcttcgacacctcaaatcttggaataaatgaagtaggttatcttataagatatcttgattttatatatgttaaatgatatcttttc 315 -----ttaaaactcaaatcaacgccccatatcttcgacacctcaaatcttggaataaatgaagtaggttatcttataagatatcttgattttatatatgttaaatgatatcttttc 324 atcgaactaaaaactcaatcaacgccccatatcttcgacacctcaaatcttggaataaatgaagtaggttatcttataagatatcttgattttatatatgttaaatgatatcttttc

Fig. 1a

